Genetic and phenotypic associations between brain imaging, psychopathology and educational attainment in children aged 9-11

Short title: Psychiatric genetic risk and brain structure in childhood

Sara Fernandez-Cabello^{1,2,*}, Dag Alnæs^{1,2}, Dennis van der Meer^{1,3}, Andreas Dahl^{1,2}, Madelene Holm^{1,2}, Rikka Kjelkenes^{1,2}, Ivan I. Maximov⁴, Linn B. Norbom^{1,2,5}, Mads L. Pedersen^{1,2}, Irene Voldsbekk^{1,2}, Ole A. Andreassen^{1,6}, Lars T. Westlye^{1,2,6}

¹ NORMENT Centre, Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

² Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

³ School of Mental Health and Neuroscience, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, The Netherlands

⁴ Department of Health and Functioning, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway

⁵ Department of Psychiatric Research, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway

⁶ KG Jebsen Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

* Corresponding author: Sara Fernandez-Cabello, s.f.rodriquez-cabello@psykologi.uio.no, NORMENT, Oslo University Hospital HF, Ullevål Hospital Building 48, Kirkeveien 116, Oslo, Norway, Phone: +47 97 778 404

Abstract

Background: Psychiatric disorders are highly heritable and polygenic, and many have their peak onset in late childhood and adolescence, a period of tremendous changes. Although the neurodevelopmental antecedents of mental illness are widely acknowledged, research in youth population cohorts is still scarce, preventing our progress towards the early characterization of these disorders.

Methods: We included 7,124 children (9-11 years old) from the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development Study to map the associations of structural brain imaging with common genetic variants and polygenic scores for psychiatric disorders and educational attainment. We used principal component analysis to derive imaging components, and calculated their heritability. We then assessed the relationship of imaging components with genetic and clinical psychiatric risk with univariate models and Canonical correlation analysis (CCA).

Results: Most imaging components had moderate heritability. Univariate models showed limited evidence and small associations of polygenic scores with brain structure at this age. CCA revealed two significant modes of covariation. The first linked higher polygenic scores for educational attainment with less externalizing problems and larger surface area. The second mode related higher polygenic scores for schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and autism spectrum disorder to higher global cortical thickness, smaller white matter volumes of the fornix and cingulum, larger medial occipital surface area and smaller surface area of lateral and medial temporal regions.

Conclusion: While cross-validation suggested limited generalizability, our results highlight the potential of multivariate models to better understand the transdiagnostic and distributed relationships between mental health and brain structure in late childhood.

Introduction

Under the influence of genetic and environmental factors, human brain development covers a protracted period of time from prenatal life to early adulthood. Departures from brain developmental trajectories have been suggested to be fundamental to the emergence of psychiatric disorders and may be observed long before symptoms begin (1–4). Many psychiatric disorders have their peak onset during late childhood and adolescence (5), a period that coincides with tremendous biological, cognitive and behavioral changes and exposures (6–8). Psychiatric disorders are highly heritable and polygenic. With the growing availability of summary statistics from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and genotyping data, polygenic scores (PRS) can be used to study inter-individual differences in the genetic disposition to psychiatric disorders and other traits. Understanding the impact of the genetic determinants of disease on the brain during important developmental periods may foster our knowledge of disease pathophysiology and progression. Thus, the central aim of this study is to provide a more complete picture of the genetic effects on multimodal brain imaging metrics in a large population sample of children and adolescents.

Available samples with thousands of individuals deeply genotyped and phenotyped have recently offered new opportunities to investigate the impact of polygenic risk for psychiatric disorders in the general population. If psychiatric disorders are not distinct entities but represent the ends of developmental continuums (9,10), then studying the full range of variation of risk in the general population may provide major insights into disease processes (3,9,10). Prior studies have examined the relationship of PRS of psychiatric disorders and the brain in adult population samples. For example, individuals with high PRS of schizophrenia show similar anatomical patterns as those observed in patients (11,12), suggesting that PRS in asymptomatic individuals may convey sensitive information to disease-related processes (13). Whether brain differences are already observable at earlier stages of development is an essential question that remains to be fully examined. Research in younger population samples is at present scarce and current small sample sizes may hinder the power to detect small effects. Nonetheless, recent studies point to cortical differences in individuals with high PRS already observed in youth (14,15).

Psychiatric disorders are highly polygenic and are thus likely to have distributed effects on the brain (1,2,16), possibly observed in multiple neuroimaging modalities that are sensitive to different tissue properties (16–19). Besides, brain maturation during late childhood and

adolescence is highly spatially heterogeneous and dynamic, covering increases in surface area, apparent cortical thinning or white matter microstructural changes that possibly reflect synaptic remodeling and myelination (20–23). Multimodal neuroimaging can aid the mechanistic interpretation of neuroimaging results (17) and increase certainty and sensitivity to detect associations between brain imaging and other traits. Furthermore, there is growing recognition of the distributed nature of the brain’s organizational principles. Rather than independent and discrete, brain regions and pathways seem to follow organizational axes likely reflecting functional hierarchies (24–29). Compared to treating all brain regions or tracts independently in mass-univariate analyses, multivariate modeling techniques that capture the covariance patterns of multimodal brain imaging and other variables appear more biologically meaningful. Benefits notwithstanding, multivariate models are prone to overfitting, and statistical significance does not guarantee generalizability even in large samples (30,31). Both careful statistical significance testing and generalizability should be addressed.

Taken together, with the main aim of investigating the associations between brain imaging and genetic risk for psychiatric disorders in late childhood we here relied on 1) Youth population samples, 2) inter-individual differences in polygenic scores for mental disorders and educational attainment, 3) multimodal brain imaging measures and 4) multivariate models with careful cross-validation. We capitalized on the multi-site US-based Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study, that has recruited ~11,800 children aged 9-11 years at baseline (32). Multiple macro and microstructural imaging data were generated using standardized pipelines and decomposed into their axes of maximal variation (“imaging components”) spanning global and regional components. Using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data from all individuals, we investigated the heritability – the proportion of the brain imaging components variance explained by differences in SNPs – through Genomic Complex Trait Analysis (GCTA). We then tested for associations between polygenic scores for various psychiatric disorders and educational attainment and the imaging components as well as clinical symptomatology scores of externalizing and internalizing behaviors. Finally, we investigated the modes of variation between brain imaging components and polygenic scores and clinical risk with canonical correlation analysis (CCA), addressing both statistical significance and generalizability.

Following past reports, we hypothesized that all imaging measures would show moderate to high heritability (33–37) and expected small and widespread associations between PRS of psychiatric disorders and brain imaging components (12,13,15). As a result, we here attempt to provide a more complete picture of the genetic effects on brain structure during this important developmental period.

Methods and Materials

Sample

We used data from the ABCD 3.0 release (DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15154/1519007>). The final sample of the present study after quality control (QC; see below) included 7,124 individuals (3,388 female/3,736 male) with a mean age of 9.92 years (standard deviation=0.62).

Ethical Approval

All procedures were approved by a central Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of California, San Diego, and in some cases by individual site IRBs (e.g. Washington University in St. Louis). Parents or guardians provided written informed consent after the procedures had been fully explained and children assented before participation in the study.

MRI data

T1-weighted and diffusion-weighted measures from bilateral regions of interest (ROIs) were included from the tabulated 3.0 imaging release baseline data. The imaging processing pipelines are explained in detail elsewhere (38). Morphometric ROI measures per hemisphere of cortical thickness and surface area per hemisphere were obtained from the Desikan-Killiany parcellation (39) from Freesurfer v5.3.0. Macro and microstructural white matter measures of volume, fractional anisotropy, mean diffusivity, longitudinal and transverse diffusivity and neurite density with restricted and hindered volume fractions were obtained from predefined tracts derived from standard diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and restriction spectrum imaging (RSI; 26) models. Compared to DTI models, RSI provides information about multiple compartments per voxel. The hindered compartment is thought to largely reflect the extracellular space and the restricted compartment the intracellular space. The QC of the tabulated magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data included: 1) exclusion of participants that did not pass the ABCD recommended QC; 2) set

extreme values (robust median-based z-scores > 3) to missing values; 4) exclusion of participants with more than 10% of missing values in each imaging modality (structural and diffusion MRI) and 5) imputation of the remaining missing values with k-nearest neighbors algorithm (k=3). 8,806 participants remained after these preprocessing steps. The main analyses were re-assessed without imputing extreme MRI observations (n=9,071).

Genetic data

The genotyped data were obtained using an Affymetrix NIDA SmokeScreen array containing 733,293 variants (41). QC was performed based on calling signals and variant rate calls, following previous recommendations (42). See NIMH experiment #1194 for more information. Imputation was performed with the multi-ethnic TOPMed reference panel. Post-imputation QC was performed using plink (43); <http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/>) included the following steps: exclusion of low imputation scores and duplicates, genotype and individual missingness (--geno 0.1 --mind 0.1), filter out low minor allele frequencies (--maf 0.05), and deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (--hwe 1e-6). After these steps, 4,606,001 variants and 11,101 individuals remained. Data from the genotyping plate 461 were excluded (n=82) following the recommendations. Regions in high linkage-disequilibrium including the major-histocompatibility complex were excluded ([https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Regions_of_high_linkage_disequilibrium_\(LD\)](https://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Regions_of_high_linkage_disequilibrium_(LD))). The ABCD cohort includes both unrelated and related individuals (siblings, twins, etc.). Due to possible confounds of the family structure, we calculated pairwise family relationships from the genotyped data (ABCD_release_3.0_QCed) using King v. 2.24 (<http://people.virginia.edu/~wc9c/KING/manual.html>), and only one individual from a family of twins and first siblings was kept for further analyses, with the reasoning that this step will exclude most family relations likely to share close environments without substantially reducing the sample size. After merging these data with the valid MRI data after QC, 7,124 individuals remained. As population structure can be a confound due to the presence of different alleles in different populations, in addition to accounting for population ancestry components (see below), we repeated the main analyses in participants with European genetic ancestry (*genetic_af_european* > 0.90; n=3,841).

Polygenic scores

Polygenic scores are weighted sums of risk alleles weighted by their effect sizes as found through previous GWAS. To study the relationships between PRS of psychiatric disorders and brain imaging phenotypes, we constructed PRS for various disorders, making use of the latest and largest publicly available GWAS summary statistics: Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (PRS-ADHD, 30), Autism Spectrum Disorder (PRS-ASD, 31), Bipolar Disorder (PRS-BIP, 32), Major Depressive Disorder (PRS-MDD, 33), Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (PRS-OCD, 34), Schizophrenia (PRS-SCZ, 35) and a Cross-Disorder PRS (PRS-Multiple, 36) capturing pleiotropy between multiple diagnoses including anorexia nervosa, ADHD, ASD, BIP, MDD, OCD SCZ, and Tourette syndrome. In addition, we included a PRS of Educational attainment (PRS-Edu, 37) given its possible implication in brain development and higher prediction accuracy. All PRS was created using PRSice-2 (52). Because SNPs are in linkage disequilibrium, a clumping procedure to retain independent SNPs was used with default settings that included clumping any SNP within a 250kb window and a correlation of 0.1. PRS scores for each individual were calculated over a range of p-value thresholds (min=5e-8, max=0.5, interval=5e-05).

Dimensional symptoms and height

In addition to exploring the relationship between PRS and brain imaging, we further included dimensional clinical scores to compare their relationship with imaging measures. We included dimensional symptomatology scores from the parent-reported Child-Behavior checklist questionnaire (CBCL), which assesses a wide range of behavioral and emotional problems in the past six months. These problems can be broadly categorized as internalizing, covering a range of emotional problems (mood, anxiety and depression, etc.) or externalizing, indicating overt behavioral conflicts and violation of social norms. Internalizing and externalizing problems were derived from the following syndromic scales: anxious/depressed, withdrawn/depressed and somatic complaints, social problems, thought problems, rule-breaking behavior and aggressive behavior. We obtained the raw scores for externalizing and internalizing behaviors. We computed robust z-scores based on each score's deviation from the median absolute deviation (MAD). Scores with a robust-z >4 (4 x MAD) were considered extreme and were imputed with

the R package *mice*. Less than 10% of values were imputed in each variable. In addition, we included a measure of anthropometric height to compare the genetic effects on brain imaging measures and height. The same QC steps were performed as for the CBCL variables. The results were reassessed without imputing the extreme values. All variables were inverse-ranked normally transformed and z-scored before analyses.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

PCA seeks to reduce the dimensionality of a dataset by transforming the initial variables into a smaller set of orthogonal components. We used PCA on both the MRI ROI measures and the PRS scores to downscale the data into the dimensions that captured the largest amount of variation in the data. The PRS scores were calculated over a range of thresholds. Since the optimal *p-value* threshold for the PRS is unknown, using PCA to reduce the PRS scores provides unbiased estimates for association tests while maximizing the variance retained from the different scores (12,53). Before PCA, all regional MRI ROI measures were residualized for age, sex, scanner and the first 20 ancestry components (see below) using linear models. Similarly, all individual PRS scores were residualized by the first 20 ancestry components. All residuals were then inverse-ranked normally transformed and z-normalized to avoid higher variance variables being overrepresented in the principal components and then submitted to PCA. For each MRI modality, a PCA was performed with the R function *prcomp*, one for cortical thickness, one for surface area, etc. and the first 3 PCs were retained for further analyses. This number of components was chosen arbitrarily to ease interpretability, since the first principal component showed a global measure with all variables loading into it, and the second and third components showed orthogonal regional dimensions. An extended 20 PC solution is shown in Supplementary materials. For the PRS scores, only the first PC was retained because in all cases the variance explained by this component exceeded > 90%, denoted simply as PRS from here on. Component signs were flipped to reflect a positive load on PC1. The individual subject scores for each PC (27 imaging components and 8 PRS) were used for subsequent analyses.

SNP heritability

We used GCTA to quantify the proportion of imaging components and univariate ROI measures variance explained by all the imputed and QCed autosomal SNPs (54). Instead of fitting

individual SNPs, GCTA fits all common SNPs simultaneously as random effects in a linear mixed model framework. Pairwise genetic relationships were estimated from the QCed imputed data to generate a Genetic Relationship Matrix (GRM), where each off-diagonal element represents the additive genetic relatedness between pairs of individuals. Then, Restricted Maximum Likelihood was used to estimate the variance explained by all SNPs. Estimates were tested for significance with a likelihood ratio test, comparing the likelihood of the alternative to that of the null hypothesis. A PCA of the GRM was performed and the eigenvectors of the first 20 PCs (“ancestry PCs”) were used to residualize the imaging and PRS variables. Heritability confidence intervals (CIs) at 95% were estimated using FIESTA (Fast Confidence IntErvals using Stochastic Approximation; (55,56) that uses parametric bootstrapping to calculate accurate heritability CIs based on the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the GRM, and the same covariates that were used to residualize the MRI data. As a sensitivity step, we repeated the analyses 1) restricting the sample to participants with European genetic ancestry (n=3,841) and 2) using the genotyped genetic data (*ABCD_release_3.0_QCed*).

Univariate models between imaging components and PRS and CBCL

Linear relationships between imaging components and PRS and CBCL scores were tested with Bayesian models with all standardized brain imaging components as dependent variables and PRS and CBCL scores as independent variables. Bayes factors to quantify the degree of evidence of the alternative (slopes are non-zero) versus the null hypothesis (slopes are 0, intercept only model) were obtained with the *lmFB* R function and were classified in different categories from providing evidence for the null ($bf \leq 0.33$), anecdotal evidence suggestive of limited sample size ($bf > 0.33 \leq 3$), to moderate ($bf > 3 \leq 10$), strong ($bf > 10 \leq 100$) and extreme ($bf > 100$) evidence for the alternative hypothesis (45,46). Uncertainty estimates and posterior means were obtained running Bayesian models with *brms* with weakly informative priors (normal priors with mean 0 and standard deviation 0.5), 2000 iterations, 1000 warm-ups and 4 chains. All model parameters were tested for convergence based on the Rhat value (< 1.1).

Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)

CCA is a multivariate technique suitable for evaluating associations between two sets of variables. It seeks the latent dimensions that best characterize the shared variance across the

original variables. CCA is ideal to decompose the multivariate shared space of complex and high-dimensional brain imaging and non-imaging phenotypes (60,61). We used CCA to interrogate the simultaneous associations between brain imaging components on the one hand, and PRS and externalizing and internalizing problems on the other hand. To assess the significance of the canonical modes, we used permutation testing (1000 permutations) by randomly shuffling the rows (participants) of the PRS matrix, thereby breaking the associations between the two variable sets. In each permutation, CCA was performed and the maximum canonical correlation was obtained. The null distribution from this procedure effectively controls for multiple comparisons across all possible modes. The p-values were calculated as the number of canonical correlations from the null distribution that exceeded the observed canonical correlation over the total number of permutations. While the permutation test provides stringent control for family-wise errors, the resulting p-values are ignorant to the generalization performance of the model. To evaluate the generalizability of the modes, we performed a 10-fold cross-validation scheme 100 times as in (62). For each iteration of the cross-validation loop, each fold was kept out once and 90% of the data was used to calculate the PCA and CCA weights and applied on the kept-out 10% of the sample. An out-of-sample distribution of canonical correlations was calculated by averaging out the correlations across loops. An alternative MRI-PCA solution with 100 PCs derived from all the neuroimaging ROI data (instead of obtaining 3 PCs per imaging modality) was used as input for CCA to test the stability of the CCA modes. Finally, to investigate whether the brain scores from the CCA were useful in predicting other unseen variables from the same individuals, we ran Bayesian linear models with *brms* predicting fluid and crystallized cognition at baseline and follow-up (n observations = 11,051, mean interval=2.01 years). The models included fluid or crystallized uncorrected scores from the NIH toolbox as dependent variables, fixed effects for brain scores, age at baseline, sex, brain scores*time from baseline and random effects for subjects as predictors.

Results

Imaging components

We used PCA to obtain the first principal components that captured the largest amount of variance of each of the neuroimaging modalities. The first PC of all imaging modalities was a global component with contributions from all ROI measures, and the subsequent PCs showed

regionally specific patterns. Loadings and scree plots are shown in Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1. Figure 1A shows the spatial patterns of the first three components for the cortical measures. The first three components of cortical thickness explained a cumulative 40% of the variance (PC1:32%, PC2:4,5%, PC3:3,5%). PC1 represented a global component, while PC2 and PC3 showed regional components largely following an anterior-posterior and superior-inferior axis, respectively. Similar global to regional decompositions were observed for all imaging modalities. See Supplementary Figures 1-3.

Heritability of imaging components and ROI measures

We first calculated the SNP heritability of the imaging components and found that most imaging components had moderate heritability estimates (between 0.20 and 0.50). Figure 1B and Supplementary Table S2. 23/27 components remained significant after FDR correction, excluding cortical thickness PC3, DTI-MD PC3, DTI-LD PC2 and RSI-Hind PC1. Similar ranges but moderately higher and more variable estimates were found when restricting the sample to participants of European genetic ancestry (Supplementary Figure 4). For an extended 20 PC solution see Supplementary Figure 5. The top 5 components with the highest point estimates were: RSI-Res PC3 ($h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.34$; 95% CI = 0.25-0.44), height ($h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.30$; 95% CI = 0.20-0.38), DTI-FA PC3 ($h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.30$, 95% CI = 0.21-0.39), DTI-TD PC2 ($h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.28$, 95% CI = 0.20-0.37) and surface area PC2 ($h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.28$; 95% CI = 0.20-0.37). The heritability of the global components was also moderate. Notably, the global neurite density RSI-Hind PC1 had a low heritability and the regional components higher (corticostriatal tracts and fornix). We then calculated the SNP heritability of all univariate ROIs (Figure 2). These results confirmed the imaging component results shown in Figure 1B, and showed that RSI-Res and DTI-FA of the superior longitudinal fasciculus and the fornix were the modalities and regions with the highest heritability. The average of the non-zero univariate heritability estimates showed that RSI-Res was the modality with the highest heritability and RSI-Hind with the lowest, that all white matter modalities (excluding RSI-Hind) were more heritable than cortical measures and that cortical thickness was marginally less heritable than surface area. Overall, the SNP heritability estimates reported here are comparable albeit lower to some extent to other recent reports in adults (34,35).

Figure 1. SNP heritability of the first three imaging components of structural neuroimaging data and height.

A) Cortical thickness and surface area first three principal components. Brain plots display the loadings of the imaging variables on each of the components. Brain plots were created with *ggseg* (63) and show the left hemisphere for simplicity as loadings were comparable across hemispheres. On the right-hand side, scree plots display the variance (grey bars) and cumulative variance explained (blue line) of the first 10 components. The red line shows the cumulative variance explained by the first 3 components. B) SNP heritability (V_g/V_p) point estimates and standard errors (SE) of the imaging components and height. The Y-axis shows the SNP heritability (h^2_{SNP}).

Figure 2. SNP heritability of all bilateral MRI ROI measures. ROIs with the highest heritability point estimates ($h^2\text{SNP} > 0.30$) are highlighted in the figure. MRI=magnetic resonance imaging; ROIs=regions of interest; $h^2\text{SNP}$ =SNP heritability; slflh= left superior longitudinal fasciculus; slfrh= right superior longitudinal fasciculus; psllh=left posterior part of the superior longitudinal fasciculus; tsflrh = right temporal part of the superior longitudinal fasciculus; fxcutlh=left fornix.

Univariate associations of imaging components, genetic and clinical risk

We then assessed whether imaging components were related to genetic and clinical risk for psychopathology and PRS for educational attainment as a comparison. These models revealed that the strongest relationships were of imaging components with PRS-Edu and externalizing and internalizing behaviors, further confirmed with Bayes factors suggesting extreme and strong evidence (Figure 3A–B). Higher PRS-Edu were associated with greater surface area PC1, DTI-Vol PC1 and DTI-LD PC1 (Figure 3A), and more externalizing problems with smaller surface area PC1 and DTI-Vol PC1. In contrast, PRS of psychiatric disorders showed overall weaker effects and null to anecdotal evidence. Anecdotal evidence can be indicative of data insensitivity (64) and suggestive of limited sample size, so it is likely that the ability to detect expected small effects will increase with larger sample sizes. Anecdotal results pointed for example to higher PRS of bipolar disorder associated with greater thickness PC2-PC3, larger surface area PC1 and smaller surface area PC3. Uncertainty intervals are shown in Supplementary Figure 6. When restricting the sample to participants with European genetic ancestry, we found similar relative results with the strongest associations between PRS-Edu and CBCL externalizing problems and global surface area and DTI volumes (see Supplementary Figure 7).

Figure 3. Univariate associations between imaging components and PRS of psychiatric disorders, educational attainment and clinical symptomatology. A) Posterior means of the univariate Bayesian linear regression models between brain imaging (x-axis) and PRS of psychiatric disorders and PRS-Edu and CBCL behavioral scores (y-axis) as a comparison. B) Bayes factors (BF evidence) showed largely null and anecdotal associations between psychiatric PRS and brain imaging components. The strongest evidence showed associations between PRS-Edu, CBCL externalizing problems and global surface area and DTI volumes.

Multivariate patterns of brain imaging, genetic and clinical risk

Finally, we used CCA to evaluate the multivariate patterns of covariation between brain imaging components on the one hand, and PRS of psychiatric disorders and educational attainment and clinical symptomatology on the other. If PRS of psychiatric disorders have distributed effects observed across multiple neuroimaging modalities, then we expect to find significant modes of covariation between PRS and imaging components. We found two significant modes of covariation after permutation testing (Mode1: $r=0.153$, $p_{\text{perm}} < 0.001$; Mode2: $r=0.108$, $p_{\text{perm}}=0.004$). The first mode related higher PRS-Edu and fewer externalizing problems to larger global surface area PC1 and DTI-Vol PC1 (Figure 4). The second orthogonal mode showed that higher PRS of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and to a lesser extent autism spectrum disorder were associated with higher global cortical thickness PC1, particularly in the occipital cortex, larger surface area of medial occipital regions (PC2), smaller surface area of lateral temporal regions and inferior parietal cortex (PC3) and smaller tract volumes of the fornix, parahippocampal cingulum and forceps major. Although significant, these modes had limited generalizability to unseen data, with canonical correlations in the test sets substantially lower

than the empirical correlation observed in the full sample ($\text{mean}_{\text{test}}=0.02$, $\text{mean}_{\text{training}}=0.13$ Figure 4). Brain scores were comparable between white Europeans and other ethnicities (mode1/mode2: posterior mean difference -0.01/0.02; 95% credible interval -0.04-0.05/-0.02-0.07). CCA modes were comparable 1) repeating the analysis without imputing extreme MRI observations ($n=7,334$; see Supplementary Figure 8) and 2) using 100 principal components from all the MRI data as input to CCA instead of 3 components per modality (correlation brain scores mode1/mode2:0.67/0.54; correlation PRS scores mode1/mode:0.94/0.93).

Finally, we tested whether brain scores predicted fluid and crystallized cognitive scores at baseline and their change over a two years interval. We found that Mode 1 brain scores were associated with higher fluid ($\beta=1.04$, $\text{CI}=0.82-1.27$) and crystallized scores ($\beta=1.12$, $\text{CI}=0.97-1.28$) at baseline, whereas brain scores of Mode 2 two were only marginally associated with lower crystallized scores at baseline ($\beta=-0.19$, $\text{CI}=-0.35--0.04$). Brain scores did not predict changes in cognition over time in the subsample examined. See Supplementary Figure 9. These results show that although the multivariate patterns did not generalize well, they were able to capture meaningful variation associated with other unmodeled variables of the same individuals.

Figure 4. Multivariate modes of covariation between brain imaging and genetic and clinical psychiatric risk.

Multivariate canonical modes between brain imaging components and PRS and symptoms were assessed with CCA. The figure shows the loadings (correlation of original variables with the canonical variates) of two significant modes of variation. The first mode (upper row) linked greater global surface area with higher PRS-Edu and fewer externalizing problems. The second mode (bottom row) related greater global cortical thickness and smaller white matter volumes of the fornix and parahippocampal cingulum (PC3) to higher PRS of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. The right-hand side of both rows shows the canonical correlations, the permuted null distributions and the observed canonical correlations (blue line) and the cross-validation distributions of the average canonical correlations in the test (blue) and training (gray) sets. Canonical correlation values in the unseen data (test) were substantially lower than the empirical correlation of the full sample, indicating limited generalizability of the results. The distribution of canonical scores in the training subsets showed comparable canonical correlations to that observed in the full sample. Permutation tests were performed with 1000 permutations to assess the significance of the modes. 10-K cross-validation performed 100 times provided averaged canonical correlation in (training) and out-of-sample (test).

Discussion

Recent evidence from genome-wide and functional genomic studies has put brain development at the foreground of psychiatric disorder's pathophysiology (16). Here, we combined human neuroimaging with individual SNP data to understand the links between genetic differences and polygenic scores for psychiatric disorders, and the adolescent brain. First, we decomposed each morphological and microstructural imaging modality into their first axes of variation ("imaging components") and found moderate SNP heritability of most of the components examined. Second, we found small and mostly null associations of imaging components with PRS of psychiatric disorders. The strongest effects revealed higher PRS-Edu with larger surface area PC1 (global). Finally, capitalizing on the interrelated nature of the brain and genetic and clinical risk, we combined brain imaging, genetic and clinical data in a single multivariate model and found two significant modes of covariation after permutation testing. The first mode recapitulated an association between higher PRS-Edu, less externalizing problems and larger surface area PC1. The second orthogonal mode showed that higher PRS of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder were related to higher cortical thickness PC1-PC2, smaller surface area of the lateral temporal and inferior parietal cortex and smaller white matter volumes of the fornix and cingulate tracts. While the stringent cross-validation procedures revealed limited generalizability of the significant multivariate patterns, which could be due to the limited sample size, they agree with current reports showing that the associations between psychiatric polygenic risk and the brain are dominated by small and distributed effects.

We first examined the SNP heritability of imaging components spanning multiple macro and microstructural neuroimaging modalities. We observed modest heritability estimates of most imaging components, conceivably lower than in previous reports with older samples (34,65). Besides methodological differences, the genetic effects on brain imaging measures are likely to vary across the lifespan, and the heritability of cortical thickness of association areas has been shown to increase throughout adolescence (66–68). We also found lower heritability estimates for height than in other adult studies (69). Increased heritability with increasing age may be a general phenomenon that applies to many traits (e.g. IQ, (70) and could be explained by multiple factors including the decline in environmental variance or gene-environment correlations (67,71). Imaging components of cortical sensory regions such as the surface area of the pericalcarine, lingual and cuneus ROIs (PC2) were more heritable than associative components,

in agreement with prior adolescent and adult studies (72,73). The regional RSI component reflecting the neurite density of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (RSI-PC3) showed the highest heritability point estimate ($h^2_{\text{SNP}}=0.34$), further confirmed through univariate analyses (Figure 2). The superior longitudinal fasciculus is an association fiber bundle that connects the occipital, parietal and temporal lobes with the frontal lobes, and matures later than sensory-motor tracts (22,74). These patterns are in line with recent studies reporting the highest heritability for white matter intracellular volume fraction and diffusivity phenotypes, with the superior longitudinal fasciculus among the highest (65,75). In sum, our results highlight the importance for imaging genetics studies to consider the maturational timing of the brain phenotypes examined as well as heterogeneity between imaging modalities and regions.

We then investigated whether PRS of several psychiatric disorders, PRS-Edu and clinical symptomatology were associated with brain imaging components at this age. Using univariate Bayesian linear models, we tested many one-to-one associations between imaging components and PRS and behavioral scores. The strongest effects were found for PRS-Edu, which was related to both global and regional imaging components. Higher PRS-Edu was related to greater surface area PC1 (global) and DTI tract volumes PC1 (global). The relationship between higher PRS-Edu and global surface area in adolescence has been previously shown (76), and is consistent with positive genetic correlations between educational attainment and total surface area (35). PRS-Edu was also related to greater regional white matter diffusion of the cortico-striate tracts that connect the frontal and parietal cortices to the striatum (e.g. RSI-Res PC2). Cortico-striatal connectivity appears to greatly develop during adolescence (77), enabling integration between the striatum and the cortex, and possibly subserving cognitive control and value-guided action (78). The relationship of PRS of psychiatric disorders and imaging components were weaker and mostly characterized by null and anecdotal evidence when examined separately for each imaging phenotype. The stronger associations found for PRS-Edu may relate to differences in the original studies that translate into varying predictability. The PRS-Edu currently explains up to 13% of the variance of the phenotype, whereas the PRS-SCZ explains up to 7% (16,51).

Our multivariate approach revealed two significant modes of covariation between brain imaging and genetic and clinical risk. The results of this analysis should be interpreted with caution in light of the limited generalizability observed with our cross-validation scheme, and

future replication attempts are crucial to determine the implications of these results. Further, small effects may be the norm in larger samples when testing for associations between different complex phenotypes and human traits (13,79,80). Even larger sample sizes than the one used here may be needed to be able to detect the expected small effects of psychiatric PRS on the brain (13). The canonical correlations we found were small ($r < 0.2$). Nonetheless, this analysis highlights the added value of using multimodal imaging in a multivariate framework to help understand the associations with the psychiatric risk that would have been missed otherwise. This is more clearly seen in Mode 2. This mode related higher polygenic scores of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and autism spectrum disorder to higher cortical thickness, smaller surface area in lateral and medial temporal regions (surface area PC3), lower global white matter restricted neurite density (RSI-Res PC1) and smaller white matter volumes in the fornix and cingulum (DTI-Vol PC3). In other words, the PRS for these disorders were not solely related to a single modality or brain area but rather to a combination of morphology and microstructural brain phenotypes. Importantly, these psychiatric PRS were associated with a multimodal brain imaging pattern above and beyond the dimensional clinical symptoms modeled here. In addition to showing higher thickness globally, which is consistent with recent reports in youth samples (15), it revealed regional shape differences in the lateral and medial temporal cortex, and white matter tracts connecting the hippocampus, a region previously associated with schizophrenia (12,81,82). Many biological processes may underlie the observed signals as imaging modalities are sensitive but not specific to single biological processes. It is conceivable that multiple developmental processes (myelination, pruning, morphological changes, etc) are happening in parallel and account for the neuroimaging patterns reported here. Previous animal work points to aberrant pruning in schizophrenia that eventually results in an excess loss of synapses (83,84). Combining quantitative MRI with diffusion-weighted imaging shows promise to help clarify different processes *in-vivo* in humans (17,18). Finally, that the risk for several psychiatric disorders manifests in shared brain correlates supports their transdiagnostic nature (61,85–87).

Although late childhood and early adolescence represent important developmental periods, prenatal genetic influences continue to be observed in the brain throughout development and aging (88,89). Moreover, genes associated with psychiatric disorders show preferential expression during prenatal development (90,91). It is conceivable that at least part of the effects of psychiatric risk on the brain would be observed even earlier in life. Future longitudinal and

experimental studies would be beneficial to disentangle developmental and causal relationships. In this study, we included ROI data from multiple modalities, yet high heterogeneity within ROIs has been reported, particularly within tracts and regions with the strongest age effects (77). Multimodal voxel-wise imaging data can be more precise when studying typical and atypical brain development. Finally, heritability is a sample-specific concept, and other sources of variation than genetics e.g. environmental variance and measurement error impact its estimation. Family designs, repeated measures and cross-cultural studies can give a broader view of the factors accompanying brain development.

To conclude, we found that higher PRS for educational attainment were associated with larger surface area and white matter volumes, confirming previous reports. In contrast, PRS for psychiatric disorders had small and distributed effects on multiple brain imaging measures in late childhood. Our multivariate approach revealed multimodal associations between psychiatric risk and the brain, demonstrating potential pathways for future research and supporting the transdiagnostic nature of psychiatric disorders. Yet, our cross-validation findings act as a reminder of the general knowledge that statistical significance should not be confused with generalization performance, and future studies should test the replicability and generalizability in other populations. Other unmodeled factors such as rare genetic variation and environmental factors may contribute to deepening our understanding of brain development at this age.

Acknowledgments

The European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and Innovation program (ERC StG, Grant 802998), the Research Council of Norway (223273, 273345, 276082, 298646, 300767), and the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authorities (2019101, 2019107, 2020086). Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive DevelopmentSM (ABCD) Study (<https://abcdstudy.org>), held in the NIMH Data Archive (NDA). This is a multisite, longitudinal study designed to recruit more than 10,000 children age 9-10 and follow them over 10 years into early adulthood. The ABCD Study® is supported by the National Institutes of Health and additional federal partners under award numbers U01DA041048, U01DA050989, U01DA051016, U01DA041022, U01DA051018, U01DA051037, U01DA050987, U01DA041174, U01DA041106, U01DA041117, U01DA041028, U01DA041134, U01DA050988, U01DA051039,

U01DA041156, U01DA041025, U01DA041120, U01DA051038, U01DA041148, U01DA041093, U01DA041089, U24DA041123, U24DA041147. A full list of supporters is available at <https://abcdstudy.org/federal-partners.html>. A listing of participating sites and a complete listing of the study investigators can be found at https://abcdstudy.org/consortium_members/. ABCD consortium investigators designed and implemented the study and/or provided data but did not necessarily participate in the analysis or writing of this report. This manuscript reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the opinions or views of the NIH or ABCD consortium investigators.

Disclosures

All authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

Code availability

Code will be made publicly available upon publication acceptance at https://osf.io/z83mw/?view_only=1fc11f1d2b8449b6ba0d36f589a34b6b

References

1. Birnbaum R, Weinberger DR (2017): Genetic insights into the neurodevelopmental origins of schizophrenia [no. 12]. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18: 727–740.
2. Insel TR (2010): Rethinking schizophrenia. *Nature* 468: 187–193.
3. Weinberger DR (1987): Implications of Normal Brain Development for the Pathogenesis of Schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 44: 660.
4. Thapar A, Riglin L (2020): The importance of a developmental perspective in Psychiatry: what do recent genetic-epidemiological findings show? *Mol Psychiatry* 1–9.
5. Solmi M, Radua J, Olivola M, Croce E, Soardo L, Salazar de Pablo G, *et al.* (2021): Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: large-scale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies. *Mol Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-021-01161-7>

6. Larsen B, Luna B (2018): Adolescence as a neurobiological critical period for the development of higher-order cognition. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 94: 179–195.
7. Marco EM, Macrì S, Laviola G (2011): Critical age windows for neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders: evidence from animal models. *Neurotox Res* 19: 286–307.
8. Dahl RE, Allen NB, Wilbrecht L, Suleiman AB (2018): Importance of investing in adolescence from a developmental science perspective [no. 7693]. *Nature* 554: 441–450.
9. Cuthbert BN (2014): The RDoC framework: facilitating transition from ICD/DSM to dimensional approaches that integrate neuroscience and psychopathology: Forum - The Research Domain Criteria Project. *World Psychiatry* 13: 28–35.
10. Weinberger DR (2017): The neurodevelopmental origins of schizophrenia in the penumbra of genomic medicine. *World Psychiatry* 16: 225–226.
11. van Erp TGM, Walton E, Hibar DP, Schmaal L, Jiang W, Glahn DC, *et al.* (2018): Cortical Brain Abnormalities in 4474 Individuals With Schizophrenia and 5098 Control Subjects via the Enhancing Neuro Imaging Genetics Through Meta Analysis (ENIGMA) Consortium. *Biol Psychiatry* 84: 644–654.
12. Alnæs D, Kaufmann T, van der Meer D, Córdova-Palomera A, Rokicki J, Moberget T, *et al.* (2019): Brain Heterogeneity in Schizophrenia and Its Association With Polygenic Risk. *JAMA Psychiatry* 76: 739.
13. Westlye LT, Alnæs D, van der Meer D, Kaufmann T, Andreassen OA (2019): Population-Based Mapping of Polygenic Risk for Schizophrenia on the Human Brain: New Opportunities to Capture the Dimensional Aspects of Severe Mental Disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 86: 499–501.

14. Khundrakpam B, Vainik U, Gong J, Al-Sharif N, Bhutani N, Kiar G, *et al.* (2020): Neural correlates of polygenic risk score for autism spectrum disorders in general population. *Brain Commun* fcaa092.
15. Kirschner M, Paquola C, Khundrakpam BS, Vainik U, Bhutani N, Benazir-Hodzic-Santor, *et al.* (2021): *Schizophrenia Polygenic Risk during Typical Development Reflects Multiscale Cortical Organization*. Neuroscience. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.13.448243>
16. Ripke S, Walters JT, O'Donovan MC (2020): *Mapping Genomic Loci Prioritises Genes and Implicates Synaptic Biology in Schizophrenia*. Genetic and Genomic Medicine. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.12.20192922>
17. Natu VS, Gomez J, Barnett M, Jeska B, Kirilina E, Jaeger C, *et al.* (2019): Apparent thinning of human visual cortex during childhood is associated with myelination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 116: 20750–20759.
18. Lazari A, Salvan P, Cottaar M, Papp D, Jens van der Werf O, Johnstone A, *et al.* (2021): Reassessing associations between white matter and behaviour with multimodal microstructural imaging. *Cortex* 145: 187–200.
19. Rokicki J, Wolfers T, Nordhøy W, Tesli N, Quintana DS, Alnæs D, *et al.* (2021): Multimodal imaging improves brain age prediction and reveals distinct abnormalities in patients with psychiatric and neurological disorders. *Hum Brain Mapp* 42: 1714–1726.
20. Zhou D, Lebel C, Treit S, Evans A, Beaulieu C (2015): Accelerated longitudinal cortical thinning in adolescence. *NeuroImage* 104: 138–145.
21. Ritchie SJ, Quinlan EB, Banaschewski T, Bokde ALW, Desrivieres S, Flor H, *et al.* (2019): *Neuroimaging and Genetic Correlates of Cognitive Ability and Cognitive Development in Adolescence*. PsyArXiv. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/8pwd6>

22. Westlye LT, Walhovd KB, Dale AM, Bjørnerud A, Due-Tønnessen P, Engvig A, *et al.* (2010): Life-span changes of the human brain white matter: diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and volumetry. *Cereb Cortex N Y N 1991* 20: 2055–2068.
23. Norbom LB, Ferschmann L, Parker N, Agartz I, Andreassen OA, Paus T, *et al.* (2021): New insights into the dynamic development of the cerebral cortex in childhood and adolescence: Integrating macro- and microstructural MRI findings. *Prog Neurobiol* 204: 102109.
24. Sydnor VJ, Larsen B, Bassett DS, Alexander-Bloch A, Fair DA, Liston C, *et al.* (2021): Neurodevelopment of the association cortices: Patterns, mechanisms, and implications for psychopathology. *Neuron* S0896627321004578.
25. Valk SL, Xu T, Margulies DS, Masouleh SK, Paquola C, Goulas A, *et al.* (2020): Shaping brain structure: Genetic and phylogenetic axes of macroscale organization of cortical thickness. *Sci Adv* 6: eabb3417.
26. Huntenburg JM, Bazin P-L, Margulies DS (2018): Large-Scale Gradients in Human Cortical Organization. *Trends Cogn Sci* 22: 21–31.
27. Sotiras A, Toledo JB, Gur RE, Gur RC, Satterthwaite TD, Davatzikos C (2017): Patterns of coordinated cortical remodeling during adolescence and their associations with functional specialization and evolutionary expansion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114: 3527–3532.
28. Du J, Buckner RL (2021): Precision estimates of macroscale network organization in the human and their relation to anatomical connectivity in the marmoset monkey. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 40: 144–152.

29. Colby JB, Van Horn JD, Sowell ER (2011): Quantitative in vivo evidence for broad regional gradients in the timing of white matter maturation during adolescence. *NeuroImage* 54: 25–31.
30. McIntosh AR (2021): Comparison of Canonical Correlation and Partial Least Squares analyses of simulated and empirical data. *ArXiv210706867 Stat.* Retrieved January 20, 2022, from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2107.06867>
31. Smith SM, Nichols TE (2018): Statistical Challenges in “Big Data” Human Neuroimaging. *Neuron* 97: 263–268.
32. Casey BJ, Cannonier T, Conley MI, Cohen AO, Barch DM, Heitzeg MM, *et al.* (2018): The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study: Imaging acquisition across 21 sites. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 32: 43–54.
33. Li M, Santpere G, Imamura Kawasawa Y, Evgrafov OV, Gulden FO, Pochareddy S, *et al.* (2018): Integrative functional genomic analysis of human brain development and neuropsychiatric risks. *Science* 362: eaat7615.
34. Elliott LT, Sharp K, Alfaro-Almagro F, Shi S, Miller KL, Douaud G, *et al.* (2018): Genome-wide association studies of brain imaging phenotypes in UK Biobank [no. 7726]. *Nature* 562: 210–216.
35. Grasby KL, Jahanshad N, Painter JN, Colodro-Conde L, Bralten J, Hibar DP, *et al.* (2020): The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. *Science* 367. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay6690>
36. Strike LT, Hansell NK, Couvy-Duchesne B, Thompson PM, de Zubicaray GI, McMahon KL, Wright MJ (2019): Genetic Complexity of Cortical Structure: Differences in Genetic and

- Environmental Factors Influencing Cortical Surface Area and Thickness. *Cereb Cortex N Y N 1991* 29: 952–962.
37. Schmitt JE, Raznahan A, Liu S, Neale MC (2020): The genetics of cortical myelination in young adults and its relationships to cerebral surface area, cortical thickness, and intelligence: A magnetic resonance imaging study of twins and families. *NeuroImage* 206: 116319.
 38. Hagler DJ, Hatton SeanN, Cornejo MD, Makowski C, Fair DA, Dick AS, *et al.* (2019): Image processing and analysis methods for the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *NeuroImage* 202: 116091.
 39. Desikan RS, Ségonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, *et al.* (2006): An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *NeuroImage* 31: 968–980.
 40. White NS, Leergaard TB, D’Arceuil H, Bjaalie JG, Dale AM (2013): Probing tissue microstructure with restriction spectrum imaging: Histological and theoretical validation. *Hum Brain Mapp* 34: 327–346.
 41. Baurley JW, Edlund CK, Pardamean CI, Conti DV, Bergen AW (2016): Smokescreen: a targeted genotyping array for addiction research. *BMC Genomics* 17.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-2495-7>
 42. Lam M, Awasthi S, Watson HJ, Goldstein J, Panagiotaropoulou G, Trubetskoy V, *et al.* (2019): RICOPILI: Rapid Imputation for COnsortias PIpeLIne ((R. Schwartz, editor)). *Bioinformatics* btz633.

43. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, *et al.* (2007):
PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage
Analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 81: 559–575.
44. Demontis D, Walters RK, Martin J, Mattheisen M, Als TD, Agerbo E, *et al.* (2019):
Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity
disorder. *Nat Genet* 51: 63–75.
45. The Autism Spectrum Disorders Working Group of The Psychiatric Genomics Consortium
(2017): Meta-analysis of GWAS of over 16,000 individuals with autism spectrum
disorder highlights a novel locus at 10q24.32 and a significant overlap with
schizophrenia. *Mol Autism* 8: 21.
46. Stahl EA, Breen G, Forstner AJ, McQuillin A, Ripke S, Trubetskoy V, *et al.* (2019):
Genome-wide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. *Nat
Genet* 51: 793–803.
47. Wray NR, Ripke S, Mattheisen M, Trzaskowski M, Byrne EM, Abdellaoui A, *et al.* (2018):
Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic
architecture of major depression. *Nat Genet* 50: 668–681.
48. International Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Foundation Genetics Collaborative (IOCDF-
GC) and OCD Collaborative Genetics Association Studies (OC GAS) (2018): Revealing
the complex genetic architecture of obsessive–compulsive disorder using meta-analysis.
Mol Psychiatry 23: 1181–1188.
49. Ripke S, Walters JT, O’Donovan MC (2020): *Mapping Genomic Loci Prioritises Genes and
Implicates Synaptic Biology in Schizophrenia*. Genetic and Genomic Medicine.
<https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.12.20192922>

50. Lee PH, Anttila V, Won H, Feng Y-CA, Rosenthal J, Zhu Z, *et al.* (2019): Genomic Relationships, Novel Loci, and Pleiotropic Mechanisms across Eight Psychiatric Disorders. *Cell* 179: 1469-1482.e11.
51. Lee JJ, Wedow R, Okbay A, Kong E, Maghzian O, Zacher M, *et al.* (2018): Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nat Genet* 50: 1112–1121.
52. Choi SW, O'Reilly PF (2019): PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobank-scale data. *GigaScience* 8: giz082.
53. Coombes BJ, Ploner A, Bergen SE, Biernacka JM (2020): A principal component approach to improve association testing with polygenic risk scores. *Genet Epidemiol* 44: 676–686.
54. Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM (2011): GCTA: a tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. *Am J Hum Genet* 88: 76–82.
55. Schweiger R, Fisher E, Rahmani E, Shenhav L, Rosset S, Halperin E (2017): Using Stochastic Approximation Techniques to Efficiently Construct Confidence Intervals for Heritability. In: Sahinalp SC, editor. *Research in Computational Molecular Biology*, vol. 10229. Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp 241–256.
56. Schweiger R, Fisher E, Rahmani E, Shenhav L, Rosset S, Halperin E (2018): Using Stochastic Approximation Techniques to Efficiently Construct Confidence Intervals for Heritability. *J Comput Biol* 25: 794–808.
57. Strike LT, Couvy-Duchesne B, Hansell NK, Cuellar-Partida G, Medland SE, Wright MJ (2015): Genetics and brain morphology. *Neuropsychol Rev* 25: 63–96.
58. Keyzers C, Gazzola V, Wagenmakers E-J (2020): Using Bayes factor hypothesis testing in neuroscience to establish evidence of absence. *Nat Neurosci* 23: 788–799.

59. Quintana DS, Williams DR (2018): Bayesian alternatives for common null-hypothesis significance tests in psychiatry: a non-technical guide using JASP. *BMC Psychiatry* 18: 178.
60. Miller KL, Alfaro-Almagro F, Bangerter NK, Thomas DL, Yacoub E, Xu J, *et al.* (2016): Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK Biobank prospective epidemiological study. *Nat Neurosci* 19: 1523–1536.
61. Taquet M, Smith SM, Prohl AK, Peters JM, Warfield SK, Scherrer B, Harrison PJ (2021): A structural brain network of genetic vulnerability to psychiatric illness. *Mol Psychiatry* 26: 2089–2100.
62. Alnæs D, Kaufmann T, Marquand AF, Smith SM, Westlye LT (2020): Patterns of sociocognitive stratification and perinatal risk in the child brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 117: 12419–12427.
63. Mowinckel AM, Vidal-Piñeiro D (2020): Visualization of Brain Statistics With R Packages *ggseg* and *ggseg3d*. *Adv Methods Pract Psychol Sci* 3: 466–483.
64. Dienes Z (2014): Using Bayes to get the most out of non-significant results. *Front Psychol* 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00781>
65. Zhao B, Li T, Yang Y, Wang X, Luo T, Shan Y, *et al.* (2021): Common genetic variation influencing human white matter microstructure. *Science* 372: eabf3736.
66. Lenroot RK, Schmitt JE, Ordaz SJ, Wallace GL, Neale MC, Lerch JP, *et al.* (2009): Differences in genetic and environmental influences on the human cerebral cortex associated with development during childhood and adolescence. *Hum Brain Mapp* 30: 163–174.

67. Schmitt JE, Neale MC, Fassassi B, Perez J, Lenroot RK, Wells EM, Giedd JN (2014): The dynamic role of genetics on cortical patterning during childhood and adolescence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 111: 6774–6779.
68. Teeuw J, Brouwer RM, Koenis MMG, Swagerman SC, Boomsma DI, Hulshoff Pol HE (2019): Genetic Influences on the Development of Cerebral Cortical Thickness During Childhood and Adolescence in a Dutch Longitudinal Twin Sample: The Brainscale Study. *Cereb Cortex N Y N 1991* 29: 978–993.
69. Yang J, Benyamin B, McEvoy BP, Gordon S, Henders AK, Nyholt DR, *et al.* (2010): Common SNPs explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height [no. 7]. *Nat Genet* 42: 565–569.
70. Bouchard TJ (2013): The Wilson Effect: The Increase in Heritability of IQ With Age. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 16: 923–930.
71. Bergen SE, Gardner CO, Kendler KS (2007): Age-Related Changes in Heritability of Behavioral Phenotypes Over Adolescence and Young Adulthood: A Meta-Analysis. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 10: 423–433.
72. Anderson KM, Ge T, Kong R, Patrick LM, Spreng RN, Sabuncu MR, *et al.* (2021): Heritability of individualized cortical network topography. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 118: e2016271118.
73. Schmitt JE, Neale MC, Clasen LS, Liu S, Seidlitz J, Pritikin JN, *et al.* (2019): A Comprehensive Quantitative Genetic Analysis of Cerebral Surface Area in Youth. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 39: 3028–3040.

74. Bürgel U, Amunts K, Hoemke L, Mohlberg H, Gilsbach JM, Zilles K (2006): White matter fiber tracts of the human brain: Three-dimensional mapping at microscopic resolution, topography and intersubject variability. *NeuroImage* 29: 1092–1105.
75. Smith SM, Douaud G, Chen W, Hanayik T, Alfaro-Almagro F, Sharp K, Elliott LT (2020): *Enhanced Brain Imaging Genetics in UK Biobank*. Neuroscience.
<https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.27.223545>
76. Judd N, Sauce B, Wiedenhoeft J, Tromp J, Chaarani B, Schliep A, *et al.* (2020): Cognitive and brain development is independently influenced by socioeconomic status and polygenic scores for educational attainment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 117: 12411–12418.
77. Palmer CE, Pecheva D, Iversen JR, Hagler DJ, Sugrue L, Nedelec P, *et al.* (2021): Microstructural development from 9-14 years: evidence from the ABCD Study. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 101044.
78. Davidow JY, Insel C, Somerville LH (2018): Adolescent Development of Value-Guided Goal Pursuit. *Trends Cogn Sci* 22: 725–736.
79. Dick AS, Lopez DA, Watts AL, Heeringa S, Reuter C, Bartsch H, *et al.* (2021): Meaningful associations in the adolescent brain cognitive development study. *NeuroImage* 239: 118262.
80. Paulus MP, Thompson WK (2019): The Challenges and Opportunities of Small Effects: The New Normal in Academic Psychiatry. *JAMA Psychiatry* 76: 353.
81. Harrison PaulJ (2004): The hippocampus in schizophrenia: a review of the neuropathological evidence and its pathophysiological implications. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 174.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-003-1761-y>

82. van der Meer, Dennis, for the Pediatric Imaging, Neurocognition and Genetics Study, van der Meer D, Rokicki J, Kaufmann T, Córdova-Palomera A, *et al.* (2020): Brain scans from 21,297 individuals reveal the genetic architecture of hippocampal subfield volumes. *Mol Psychiatry* 25: 3053–3065.
83. Sekar, Aswin, Sekar A, Bialas AR, de Rivera H, Davis A, Hammond TR, *et al.* (2016): Schizophrenia risk from complex variation of complement component 4. *Nature* 530: 177–183.
84. Yilmaz M, Yalcin E, Presumey J, Aw E, Ma M, Whelan CW, *et al.* (2021): Overexpression of schizophrenia susceptibility factor human complement C4A promotes excessive synaptic loss and behavioral changes in mice. *Nat Neurosci* 24: 214–224.
85. Elliott ML, Romer A, Knodt AR, Hariri AR (2018): A Connectome-wide Functional Signature of Transdiagnostic Risk for Mental Illness. *Biol Psychiatry* 84: 452–459.
86. Sprooten E, Franke B, Greven CU (2021): The P-factor and its genomic and neural equivalents: an integrated perspective. *Mol Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-021-01031-2>
87. Anttila V, Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, Walters RK, Bras J, Duncan L, *et al.* (2018): Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science* 360: eaap8757.
88. Ball G, Seidlitz J, O’Muircheartaigh J, Dimitrova R, Fenchel D, Makropoulos A, *et al.* (2020): Cortical morphology at birth reflects spatiotemporal patterns of gene expression in the fetal human brain ((C. C. Hilgetag, editor)). *PLOS Biol* 18: e3000976.
89. Fjell AM, Grydeland H, Krogstad SK, Amlien I, Rohani DA, Ferschmann L, *et al.* (2015): Development and aging of cortical thickness correspond to genetic organization patterns. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 112: 15462–15467.

90. Birnbaum R, Jaffe AE, Hyde TM, Kleinman JE, Weinberger DR (2014): Prenatal Expression Patterns of Genes Associated With Neuropsychiatric Disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 171: 758–767.
91. Jaffe AE, Straub RE, Shin JH, Tao R, Gao Y, Collado-Torres L, *et al.* (2018): Developmental and genetic regulation of the human cortex transcriptome illuminate schizophrenia pathogenesis. *Nat Neurosci* 21: 1117–1125.

Supplementary

Supplementary Figure 1. PCA of the MRI modalities. Scree plots showing each PC on the x axis and the variance explained on the y axis. The red lines mark the variance explained by the first three components. The blue lines show the cumulative variance explained.

Supplementary Figure S2. Labels diffusion plots.

Supplementary Figure S3. Principal component loadings for diffusion modalities. Brain plots display the loadings of the variables on each of the first three components. Note that some tracts are not presented in these graphs (e.g., fornix, corpus callosum or corticostriatal tracts). Full loadings are reported in supplementary table S1.

Supplementary Figure 4. SNP heritability of the imaging components in a subset of individuals with genetic European ancestry (n=3,841). Heritability estimates largely resemble those observed in the full mixed sample. For instance, the regional surface area of occipital regions (PC2) exhibits one of the highest heritability estimates.

Supplementary Figure 5. SNP heritability of the first 20 principal components in the full sample (n=7,124). The dot plot shows the point estimates of the heritability of each of the first 20 brain imaging components of each modality. The dots are colored by imaging modality and displayed in order 1-20 on the x axis. The labels highlight the imaging components with the highest SNP heritability ($h^2_{\text{SNP}} > 0.25$). The y-axis shows the SNP heritability. Below the dotplot, brain plots display the first 20 principal component loadings for the cortical imaging modalities (red=positive loadings; blue=negative loadings).

Supplementary Figure 6. Posterior distributions of the univariate Bayesian models examining the relationships between PRS and imaging components. Distributions of the posterior densities. Dots show the mean of the posterior distributions and lines represent the 95% Credible Interval.

Supplementary Figure 7. Univariate associations between brain imaging components and polygenic scores and clinical variables in a subset of individuals with genetic European ancestry (n=3,841). On the left-hand side, posterior means of the univariate Bayesian linear regression models between brain imaging (x-axis) and PRS of psychiatric disorders and PRS-Edu and CBCL behavioral scores (y-axis) as comparison. On the right-hand side, Bayes factors showed largely null and anecdotal associations between psychiatric PRS and brain imaging components. As in the main sample, the strongest evidence showed associations between PRS-Edu, CBCL externalizing problems and global surface area and DTI volumes.

Supplementary Figure 8. PCA and CCA in sample without excluding individuals based on extreme MRI data (n=7,334). The results recapitulate the main findings excluding and imputing extreme observations. On the left-hand side, PCA loadings of the first three components of cortical thickness and surface area. On the right-hand side, CCA loadings of imaging components and PRS.

Supplementary Figure 9. Brain scores predict fluid and crystallized cognition at baseline. Posterior density distributions, showing the mean (dot) and 95% Credible Interval (black lines) of the posterior estimates. On the left-hand side, Mode 1 brain scores were associated with both fluid and crystallized NIH scores at baseline, whereas brain scores of Mode 2 were marginally associated with crystallized scores. On the right-hand side, estimates of the interaction between brain scores and Time show null effects of brain scores and changes in cognition over time.