1 TITLE

2 Evaluation of antibody serology to determine current helminth and *Plasmodium*
3 *falciparum* infections in a co-endemic area in Southern Mozambique

4 SHORT TITLE

5 Antibody serology of helminths and malaria

6 AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS

7 Rebeca Santano^{1*}, Rocío Rubio^{1*}, Berta Grau-Pujol^{1,2,3}, Valdemiro Escola², Osvaldo
8 Muchisse², Inocência Cuamba², Marta Vidal¹, Gemma Ruiz-Olalla¹, Ruth Aguilar¹,
9 Javier Gandasegui¹, Maria Demontis⁴, Jose Carlos Jamine², Anélsio Cossa², Charfudin
10 Saco², Jorge Cano⁵, Luis Izquierdo^{1,6}, Chetan E. Chitnis⁷, Ross L Coppel⁸, Virander
11 Chauhan⁹, David Cavanagh¹⁰, Sheetij Dutta¹¹, Evelina Angov¹¹, Lisette van Lieshout⁴,
12 Bin Zhan¹², José Muñoz¹, Carlota Dobaño^{1,6+}, Gemma Moncunill^{1,6+}

13

14 ¹ ISGlobal, Hospital Clínic - Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

15 ² Centro de Investigação em Saúde de Manhiça (CISM), Maputo, Mozambique.

16 ³ Fundación Mundo Sano, Argentina.

17 ⁴ Department of Parasitology, Centre of Infectious Diseases, Leiden University Medical
18 Centre (LUMC), Leiden, The Netherlands.

19 ⁵ Communicable and Non-communicable Diseases Cluster (UCN), WHO Regional
20 Office for Africa, Brazzaville, Republic of Congo.

21 ⁶ CIBER de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Madrid, Spain.

22 ⁷ Malaria Parasite Biology and Vaccines, Department of Parasites & Insect Vectors,
23 Institut Pasteur, Paris, France.

24 ⁸ Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences
25 Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.

26 ⁹ Malaria Group, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology
27 (ICGEB), New Delhi, India.

28 ¹⁰ Institute of Immunology and Infection Research, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh,
29 UK.

30 ¹¹ Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR), Maryland, USA.

31 ¹² Baylor College of Medicine (BCM), Texas, USA.

32

33 * Contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

34 + Contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

35

36 Corresponding authors:

37 carlota.dobano@isglobal.org

38 gemma.moncunill@isglobal.org

39 rebeca.santano@isglobal.org

40 ABSTRACT

41 **Background:** Soil-transmitted helminths (STH), *Schistosoma* spp. and *Plasmodium*
42 *falciparum* are parasites of major public health importance and co-endemic in many
43 sub-Saharan African countries. Management of these infections requires detection and
44 treatment of infected people and evaluation of large-scale measures implemented.
45 Diagnostic tools are available but their low sensitivity, especially for low intensity
46 helminth infections, leaves room for improvement. Antibody serology could be a useful
47 approach thanks to its potential to detect both current infection and past exposure.

48 **Methodology:** We evaluated total IgE responses and specific-IgG levels to 9 antigens
49 from STH, 2 from *Schistosoma* spp., and 16 from *P. falciparum*, as potential markers of
50 current infection in a population of children and adults from Southern Mozambique (N =
51 715). Antibody responses were measured by quantitative suspension array Luminex
52 technology and their performance was evaluated by ROC curve analysis using
53 microscopic and molecular detection of infections as reference.

54 **Principal findings:** IgG against the combination of EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2 (*P.*
55 *falciparum*) in children and NIE (*Strongyloides stercoralis*) in adults and children had
56 the highest accuracies (AUC = 0.942 and AUC = 0.872, respectively) as markers of
57 current infection. IgG against the combination of MEA and Sm25 (*Schistosoma* spp.)
58 were also reliable markers of current infection (AUC = 0.779). In addition, IgG
59 seropositivity against 20 out of the 27 antigens in the panel differentiated the
60 seropositive endemic population from the non-endemic population, suggesting a
61 possible role as markers of exposure.

62 **Conclusions:** We provided evidence for the utility of antibody serology to detect
63 current infection with parasites causing tropical diseases in endemic populations. In
64 addition, most of the markers could be used as markers of exposure. We also showed
65 the feasibility of measuring antibody serology with a platform that allows the integration
66 of control and elimination programs for different pathogens.

67 AUTHOR SUMMARY

68 Parasitic worms and *Plasmodium falciparum*, the causal agent of malaria, are among
 69 the most relevant parasitic diseases of our time and efforts are under way for their
 70 control and, ultimately, elimination. An accurate diagnosis is relevant for case
 71 management, but also allows calculating the prevalence and evaluating the
 72 effectiveness of treatment and control measures. Unfortunately, current diagnostic
 73 methods for parasitic worms are not optimal and many infections remain undetected.
 74 As for *P. falciparum*, current diagnostic techniques are satisfactory but do not allow for
 75 ascertaining exposure, which is relevant for evaluating control measures. Here we
 76 investigated the utility of measuring antibodies to these parasites as a diagnostic
 77 method. Our results indicate that it is possible to detect current infection with parasitic
 78 worms and *P. falciparum* using antibody detection with a moderate to high accuracy.
 79 We also show that antibodies could distinguish a population from Southern
 80 Mozambique, where these infections are prevalent, from a Spanish population never
 81 exposed to those parasites. Importantly, we used a platform that allows for the
 82 simultaneous detection of immunoglobulins to different parasites, which would be
 83 extremely useful as a tool to integrate control and elimination programs for several
 84 pathogens.

85 INTRODUCTION

86 Soil-transmitted helminths (STH) and *Schistosoma* spp. are amongst the most relevant
 87 neglected tropical diseases (NTDs), affecting 1.5 billion and 236.6 million people
 88 worldwide, respectively (1,2). STH infections are caused by the parasitic worms
 89 *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Ancylostoma duodenale*, *Necator americanus*, *Trichuris trichiura*
 90 and *Strongyloides stercoralis*. Malaria, caused by parasites of the genus *Plasmodium*,
 91 results in a high morbidity and mortality, with 241 million cases and 627,000 deaths in
 92 2020 (3). Both types of parasitic diseases overlap geographically, especially in areas of
 93 sub-Saharan Africa (4).

94 Microscopic observation of eggs or larvae is the recommended procedure for the
 95 diagnosis of STH and *Schistosoma* spp. infections. However, it requires well-trained
 96 personnel, is laborious and time consuming. It is also dependent upon the intermittent
 97 shedding of eggs or larvae, which requires collection of samples from different days for
 98 a correct detection (5–8). On top of that, the impact of the low sensitivity of microscopy
 99 is exacerbated with lower intensity and prevalence of infections, which are likely after
 100 decades of Mass Drug Administration (MDA) to control these infections (9).

101 The diagnosis of *Plasmodium* spp. is most commonly done by the detection of
 102 parasites, their genetic material or antigens in blood by microscopy, quantitative real-
 103 time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) or rapid diagnostic tests (RDT), respectively
 104 (10). These methods also face challenges, such as low parasitemia levels that remain
 105 undetected in the case of microscopy and RDT, the need for well-trained personnel for
 106 microscopy or the required equipment in low-income settings for qPCR.

107 Antibody serology could be a useful tool for detection of current infection but also
 108 exposure, which is not captured by any of the diagnostic methods above. For
 109 helminths, antibody serology has a particularly important role in the diagnosis of *S.*
 110 *stercoralis* infection, since conventional methods have a very low sensitivity and
 111 specific antibodies generally decrease 6 months after treatment, which helps detect

112 current and recent infections (11–13). In fact, antibody serology against the NIE
 113 antigen from *S. stercoralis* has been used to monitor MDA programs thanks to the
 114 rapid decay of antibodies after clearance of infection (14,15). For other species,
 115 antibody serology is less common and not routinely implemented. However, there is
 116 evidence of their potential. For example, a study has shown that increased levels of
 117 immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4) against the *Ascaris* spp. antigen AsHb reflected recent
 118 exposure to the parasite (16), and another study reported moderate accuracy of IgG
 119 against *N. americanus* proteins in detecting current infection (17). In the case of
 120 *Schistosoma* spp., there are commercial kits that might be useful for the diagnosis of
 121 imported schistosomiasis in individuals from non-endemic or low-endemic areas, while
 122 in high- to moderate- endemic areas they have proven limited utility (18,19). For
 123 malaria, serology has mostly been used to measure exposure and determine malaria
 124 endemicity, transmission intensity and to monitor interventions (20,21). In fact, this
 125 ability of antibodies to capture exposure even in the absence of current infection has
 126 been exploited as an useful tool in control and elimination programs for other infections
 127 to monitor changes in transmission, such as the elimination programs of lymphatic
 128 filariasis (22), onchocerciasis (23) and trachoma (24).

129 Identification of patent helminth infection and *Plasmodium* spp. parasitemia by serology
 130 can be challenging, particularly in endemic areas, due to the generally long-lasting
 131 antibody responses and repeated exposure (21,25). However, it might be useful in
 132 patients who have been in endemic areas for the first time, for less prevalent infections,
 133 or in cases of very low parasite burden (26,27), for which highly sensitive diagnostic
 134 tests are required.

135 Increasing evidence indicates that the potent immunomodulatory effects of helminths
 136 might have effects on the immune response to *P. falciparum* (4). Moreover, we have
 137 recently shown that this interaction might be bidirectional, since it was observed that
 138 exposure to or co-infection with helminths and *P. falciparum* was associated with an
 139 increase in antibody responses to antigens from both pathogens (28). Given the

140 reported bidirectional impact on the immune responses to both parasitic infections, it is
141 relevant to study antibody serological diagnostic methods in a context where co-
142 infections might occur and affect the accuracy of the diagnosis. In this study we have
143 evaluated total IgE and antigen-specific IgGs against a wide panel of antigens from
144 helminths (STH, *Schistosoma* spp.) and *P. falciparum* parasitic infections as potential
145 markers of current infection.

146 **METHODS**

147 Study samples

148 Stool, urine, and blood samples were collected from children (5-15 years old) (N= 363)
149 and adults (>15 years old) (N= 352) from a malaria (29) and helminth (30) endemic
150 area in Southern Mozambique (N = 715) in the context of a community-based, case-
151 cohort study (MARS), described in detail elsewhere (30). Serum samples from Spanish
152 adult donors were used as unexposed negative controls (NC) (N = 50) in the
153 serological assays. They represent individuals from a non-endemic area who had
154 never travelled to any countries endemic for the infections addressed in the study.

155 Ethics

156 The study was carried out according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.
157 Written informed consent from individuals older than 18 years old who were willing to
158 participate was obtained. In the case individuals younger than 18 years old, their
159 parents or guardians provided a written informed consent. Additionally, participants
160 from aged 15 to 17 also gave informed assent. For illiterate individuals, informed
161 consent was conducted in presence of a literate witness independent from study.
162 Samples analyzed in this study received ethical clearance for immunological evaluation
163 and/or inclusion as controls in immunoassays. The protocols and informed consent
164 forms were approved by the Institutional Review Board at Hospital Clínic in Barcelona

165 (Ref.: CEIC-7455) and the National Bioethics Committee for Health in Mozambique
166 (Ref.: 517/CNBS/17).

167 Detection of helminth and *P. falciparum* infections by the reference methods

168 Helminth infections were detected by microscopic and molecular diagnosis at the
169 Manhiça Health Research Centre (CISM) (Mozambique) and Leiden University Medical
170 Center (The Netherlands), respectively.

171 Microscopic diagnosis of *N. americanus*, *A. duodenale*, *T. trichiura*, *A. lumbricoides*
172 and *S. mansoni* was performed by search of eggs in two stool samples from two
173 consecutive days using duplicate Kato-Katz thick smear technique and Telemann
174 technique (31,32). *S. stercoralis* was detected in two stool samples from two
175 consecutive days using the Telemann technique (32). *S. haematobium* infection was
176 detected by microscopy after urine filtration (31). Individuals were considered to be
177 positive for helminth infection by copromicroscopy if they had a positive result in at
178 least one of the stool samples (30).

179 Molecular diagnosis was also performed in one stool sample per participant by
180 multiplex semi-qPCR, as described in detail elsewhere (30). Two different multiplex
181 detection panels were used; panel 1 targeted *Schistosoma* spp. and *T. trichiura*, and
182 panel 2 targeted *A. duodenale*, *N. americanus*, *A. lumbricoides* and *S. stercoralis*. Of
183 note, qPCR to detect helminth infections was not performed in 25 out of the 715
184 samples from the endemic population.

185 *P. falciparum* infection was determined at the Barcelona Institute for Global Health
186 (ISGlobal) (Spain) by 18S ribosomal RNA gene detection through qPCR from dried
187 blood spot (DBS) samples as previously described (28).

188 Serological assays

189 A detailed explanation of the antigen panel and serum elution procedure from DBS
190 used for this analysis is described in Santano *et al.* 2021 (28). Eluted serum was used
191 to measure total IgE and antigen-specific IgG by quantitative suspension array
192 technology (qSAT) from Luminex against a panel of 27 antigens: 16 from *P. falciparum*
193 (α -gal, CelTOS, SSP2, LSA1, EXP1, AMA1, EBA175, MSP1 block 2, MSP1₄₂, MSP2,
194 MSP3, MSP5, P41, RH1, RH5 and PTRAMP and 11 from helminths (hookworm
195 [NaGST1, NaAPR1, NaSAA2, AyCp2], *Trichuris* spp. [TmWAP, Tm16], *Ascaris* spp.
196 [As16, As37], *S. stercoralis* [NIE] and *Schistosoma* spp. [MEA, Sm25]). The antigens
197 and a capture anti-human IgE (Mouse anti-Human IgE Fc; ref. ab99834, Abcam PLC,
198 Cambridge, UK) were coupled to magnetic MagPlex® 6.5µm COOH-microspheres
199 (Luminex Corporation, Austin, USA). The antigen-coupled beads were used in
200 multiplex while the anti-human IgE-coupled beads were used in singleplex. Coupled
201 beads were incubated with test and control serum samples, in black 96-well µClear®
202 flat bottom plates at 4 °C overnight (ON) in a shaker at 600 rpm. For the antigen-
203 specific IgG quantification we assayed the samples at 1:100 and 1:2000 dilutions, and
204 for total IgE quantification we assayed the samples at 1:50 dilution. After the ON
205 incubation beads were washed three times with PBS-0.05% Tween20, using a manual
206 magnetic washer platform (ref. 40-285, Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA), and the biotinylated
207 secondary anti-human IgG (ref. B1140-1ML, MilliporeSigma, St. Louis, USA)] and anti-
208 human IgE (ref. A18803, Invitrogen, Waltham, USA) antibodies were added at 1:1250
209 and 1:100 dilutions, respectively, and incubated for 45 min at room temperature (RT)
210 shaking at 600 rpm. Then, beads were washed three times and streptavidin-R-
211 phycoerythrin (ref. 42250-1ML, MilliporeSigma, St. Louis, USA) was added at 1:1000
212 dilution and incubated at RT for 30 min at 600 rpm. Finally, beads were washed,
213 resuspended, and at least 50 beads per analyte and sample were acquired in a
214 FlexMap 3D xMAP® instrument (Luminex Corporation, Austin, USA). Crude median
215 fluorescent intensities (MFI) and background fluorescence from blank wells were
216 exported using the xPONENT software v.4.3 (Luminex Corporation, Austin, USA).

217 Data analysis

218 To determine the seropositivity and perform the statistical analysis, normalized and
219 dilution corrected antibody data were log₁₀-transformed. Detailed information on the
220 processing of data can be found in Santano *et al.* 2021 (28).

221 The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and their corresponding areas
222 under the curve (AUC) for each antibody response were built with the R CRAN
223 package pROC (33) using the log₁₀-transformed MFIs as predictor and the
224 microscopic, molecular or combination of both diagnosis as response variable. Since
225 antibodies are acquired over time (28), we also performed the analysis stratified by age
226 group (children and adults). In addition, to eliminate the possible interference of
227 helminths and *P. falciparum* co-infections with the performance of the assessed
228 markers, we estimated additional ROC curves and AUC analyses where the log₁₀ MFIs
229 from samples with only one infection (cases) or no infection (controls) diagnosed by the
230 corresponding method were used as predictors, and the microscopic, molecular or
231 combination of both diagnosis as response variables. Finally, we also evaluated the
232 performance of combined antibody serological markers for those parasites for which
233 more than one antigen was available. To do so, we built logistic regression models
234 using the R function “glm” with the combination of log₁₀-transformed MFIs as predictor
235 variable and the microscopic, molecular or combination of both diagnosis as response
236 variable. The predicted values fitted by those models were then used to build the ROC
237 curves and calculate the corresponding AUCs. For those parasites with only two
238 antigens in the panel (*Ascaris* spp., *Trichuris* spp. and *Schistosoma* spp.), we
239 performed the analysis with the combination of those two antigen-specific IgG levels.
240 For those with more than two antigens (*P. falciparum* and hookworm) we performed all
241 the possible combinations and selected the one that gave the best AUC with the lowest
242 number of predictors. In the case of *P. falciparum* antigens, we included only the top
243 performers to calculate the combinations, whether for the whole population or stratified

244 by age. For the analysis of antigen-specific IgG, MFI data from the 715 samples from
245 endemic individuals and the 50 samples from Spanish donors were used. In the case
246 of total IgE only MFI data from endemic individuals were used, since there are other
247 IgE-inducing pathologies, such as allergy, which are prevalent in Spain and render
248 these samples not useful as negative controls.

249 Five seropositivity cutoffs were compared to evidence the options that can be used in
250 scenarios requiring prioritization of specificity, sensitivity or a more balanced
251 performance, and to contrast the performance of cutoffs calculated with data from non-
252 endemic or endemic populations. The Expectation-Maximization (EM) cutoff was
253 estimated using Gaussian Mixture Models for each antigen-specific IgG and total IgE in
254 the study population. Two models, estimated by the EM algorithm, were fitted
255 assuming either equal or unequal variances, and each of those two models were built
256 with two clusters for classification, which were meant to represent the distribution of
257 seropositive and seronegative samples in the endemic population. For each antigen,
258 classification of samples was done using the model showing the optimal Bayesian
259 Information Criterion (BIC). The models were fitted using the R CRAN package mclust
260 (34). The NC cutoff was calculated as the mean plus 3 standard deviations of the $\log_{10}$ -
261 transformed MFIs from the Spanish donors for each antigen-specific IgG. Finally, the
262 ROC curves were used to generate three different cutoffs. The first one prioritized a
263 minimum specificity of 95% (95SP) and the maximum sensitivity within the possible
264 cutoffs. The second one prioritized a minimum sensitivity of 95% (95SE) and the
265 maximum specificity within the possible cutoffs. The third one prioritized the maximum
266 Youden's index (YI), which is the cutoff with the maximum distance from the line of
267 identity.

268 We then evaluated whether having an infection with any of the parasites detected in
269 the study had an effect on the specific IgG levels and therefore influenced the false
270 positive or false negative rates for a given seropositivity cutoff. To do so, for each

antigen in the panel we selected the individuals who had a negative diagnosis for the corresponding species (i.e.: for NIE [from *S. stercoralis*] we selected all individuals with a negative *S. stercoralis* diagnosis). Among these individuals, we selected those who had only one single infection (to avoid interferences from co-infections) or no infection diagnosed. Finally, we compared the antibody levels for each species to the levels of those with no infection diagnosed. Pairwise comparisons were done by Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Holm adjustment for multiple testing. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

All data processing and statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software R version 4.0.3. R CRAN packages used to manage data, generate tables and plots were tidyverse (35), ggpubr (36) and ggbeeswarm (37).

RESULTS

Antibody serological markers of current helminth infection

A good serological marker of current infection should be able to distinguish those currently infected from those not infected although previously exposed. The ROC curves analyses and their corresponding AUC showed that IgG levels against most of the helminth antigens in the panel had a low ($0.50 \leq \text{AUC} < 0.70$) or null accuracy ($0.50 < \text{AUC}$) (38) in detecting current helminth infection as defined by microscopy, qPCR or their combination (**Fig 1A** and **Table S1**). However, IgG levels against some antigens showed a moderate accuracy ($0.70 \leq \text{AUC} < 0.90$) in detecting current infection. Namely, NIE from *S. stercoralis* had an AUC = 0.872 using qPCR as a reference, while the AUCs using microscopy or both techniques were slightly lower (**Fig 1A** and **Fig 2**). However, the number of *S. stercoralis* infections detected by microscopy was very low (N = 8), probably giving unreliable results. MEA and Sm25 from *Schistosoma* spp. had AUC = 0.746 and AUC = 0.741, respectively, using *S. mansoni* microscopy data as reference (**Fig 1A** and **Fig 2**). Sm25 also showed moderate AUC when using

297 *Schistosoma* spp. microscopy (AUC = 0.721), *Schistosoma* spp. qPCR (AUC = 0.712)
298 or *S. haematobium* microscopy (AUC = 0.711) as references (**Fig 1A** and **Fig 2**). The
299 remaining cases had all AUC < 0.700 (**Fig 1A** and **Table S1**). When all specific-IgG
300 responses were combined for helminths with more than one antigen in the panel, we
301 observed a slight improvement in the AUCs for *Schistosoma* spp. (**Fig S1A**) but no
302 improvement for the rest (data not shown).

303 **Fig 1. Areas under the curve from receiver operating characteristic curve**
304 **analysis.** **A** and **B** show the performance of helminth-specific IgG levels for the whole
305 population or stratified by age, respectively. **C** and **D** show the performance of malaria-
306 specific IgG levels for the whole population or stratified by age, respectively. Dashed
307 lines represent the accuracy thresholds: null if AUC < 0.5, low if $0.5 \leq \text{AUC} < 0.7$,
308 moderate if $0.7 \leq \text{AUC} < 0.9$ and high if $\text{AUC} \geq 0.9$. AUC: Area Under the Curve; CI:
309 Confidence Interval; M: Microscopy; P: qPCR; MP: Microscopy and qPCR combined.
310 Asc: *Ascaris* spp.; Hoo: Hookworm; Tri: *Trichuris* spp.; Str: *Strongyloides stercoralis*;
311 Sch: *Schistosoma* spp. Complementary information for this figure can be found in
312 supplementary Table S1 and S2.

313 **Fig 2. Receiver operating characteristic curves and their corresponding areas**
314 **under the curve from the best performing IgG responses in detecting current**
315 **helminths infection.** IgG responses ($\log_{10}$ -transformed median fluorescence intensity
316 [MFI] levels) against *S. stercoralis* (NIE) and *Schistosoma* spp. (MEA and Sm25)
317 antigens in serum samples from 715 endemic individuals and 50 Spanish donors were
318 used as predictor variables. Microscopic (M), qPCR (P) or the combination of both
319 (MP) diagnoses were used as response variables. S spp.: *Schistosoma* spp.; Sh:
320 *Schistosoma haematobium*; Sm: *Schistosoma mansoni*. AUC: Area Under the Curve.

321 After stratifying by the age group, the performance for NIE improved for adults when
322 using microscopy as reference, but the cases were very few (N = 5) to generate

reliable results (**Fig 1B** and **Table S1**). On the other hand, the results using qPCR or qPCR combined with microscopy as references were only slightly better in children compared to adults or equal, respectively, but lower than before the age stratification (**Fig 1B** and **Table S1**). As for *Schistosoma* spp., moderate and high AUC values were higher than in the non-stratified analysis, but the children (N < 5) and adult (N = 11) sample size after stratification was much reduced in those cases (**Fig 1B** and **Table S1**).

Finally, the analysis removing all individuals with other infections besides the one being evaluated (for cases) and any infection at all (for controls), revealed that the AUC reached excellent levels for NIE (AUC > 0.9), although the sample size of cases by microscopy was very small (N = 3) (**Table S3**).

Total IgE levels were also evaluated as a proxy of current helminth infection. They showed low accuracy for most infections, but moderate accuracy in detecting infections by *Schistosoma* spp. (AUC = 0.715), *S. mansoni* (AUC = 0.728), *S. haematobium* (AUC = 0.712) and hookworm (AUC = 0.700) as defined by microscopy (**Fig 3A**, **Fig 4** and **Table S1**). When infections were detected by qPCR or qPCR combined with microscopy, AUC were slightly lower. Total IgE also had a moderate accuracy for *S. stercoralis* (AUC = 0.722), but the sample size of cases diagnosed by microscopy was very small (N=8, **Fig 3A** and **Table S1**). After stratifying by age group, the AUC for hookworm and *Schistosoma* spp. infections either did not improve or were only slightly better in children than adults (**Fig 3B** and **Table S1**). Finally, the analysis removing all individuals with other infections besides the one being evaluated (for cases) or any infection at all (for controls), showed that total IgE had an AUC = 0.707 using microscopic diagnosis of hookworm as reference (**Table S3**). Total IgE using microscopic diagnosis of *S. mansoni* as reference had an AUC = 0.741, but the sample size of controls was small (**Table S3**).

Fig 3. Areas under the curve from receiver operating characteristic curve analysis for total IgE. The performance of total IgE levels to detect helminth or *Plasmodium falciparum* infections in the whole population is shown in **A** and stratified by age in **B**. Dashed lines represent the accuracy thresholds: null if $AUC < 0.5$, low if $0.5 \leq AUC < 0.7$, moderate if $0.7 \leq AUC < 0.9$ and high if $AUC \geq 0.9$. AUC: Area Under the Curve; CI: Confidence Interval; M: Microscopy; P: qPCR; MP: Microscopy and qPCR combined. Asc: *Ascaris* spp.; Hoo: Hookworm; Tri: *Trichuris* spp.; Str: *Strongyloides stercoralis*; Sch: *Schistosoma* spp. Hel: Helminths; Pf: *Plasmodium falciparum*. Complementary information for this figure can be found in supplementary Table S1 and S2.

Fig 4. Receiver operating characteristic curves and their corresponding areas under the curve from the best performing total IgE responses in detecting current hookworm and *Schistosoma* spp. infection. Total IgE responses ($\log_{10}$ -transformed median fluorescence intensity levels) in serum samples from 715 endemic individuals were used as predictor variable. Microscopic (M), qPCR (P) or the combination of both (MP) diagnoses for hookworm and *Schistosoma* spp. were used as response variables. DX: diagnosis; S spp.: *Schistosoma* spp.; Sh: *Schistosoma haematobium*; Sm: *Schistosoma mansoni*; AUC: Area Under the Curve.

Antibody serological markers of current *P. falciparum* infection

IgG levels against most *P. falciparum* antigens, including MSP2 (AUC = 0.826), EXP1 (AUC = 0.798), MSP1₄₂ (AUC = 0.790), AMA1 (AUC = 0.785), MSP5 (AUC = 0.784), MSP3 (AUC = 0.772), PTRAMP (AUC = 0.735), RH1 (AUC = 0.726), EBA175 (AUC = 0.721) and LSA1 (AUC = 0.707) showed a moderate accuracy in detecting *P. falciparum* infection as defined by qPCR diagnosis in asymptomatic individuals (**Fig 1C, Fig 5A and Table S2**). IgG levels against the remaining *P. falciparum* antigens of the panel had low accuracy in detecting current *P. falciparum* infection (**Fig 1C and**

375 **Table S2**). The top performers mentioned above were used to calculate all possible
376 combinations and build the regression models. The combination that yielded the
377 highest AUC with the simplest formula was AMA1 + EBA175 + MSP1₄₂ + MSP2 +
378 MSP3 + MSP5 with an AUC = 0.853 (**Fig S1B**), only slightly better than the best
379 performer MSP2.

380 **Fig 5. Receiver operating characteristic curves and their corresponding areas**
381 **under the curve from the best performing IgG responses in detecting current**
382 ***Plasmodium falciparum* infection. A.** IgG responses (log₁₀-transformed median
383 fluorescence intensity [MFI] levels) against the LSA1, EXP1, AMA1, EBA175, MSP1₄₂,
384 MSP2, MSP3, MSP5, Rh1 and PTRAMP in serum samples from 715 endemic
385 individuals and 50 Spanish donors were used as predictor variables and qPCR results
386 as response variable. **B.** IgG responses (log₁₀-transformed MFI levels) against EXP1,
387 AMA1 and MSP2 in serum samples from children (N = 363) and adults (N = 352) were
388 used as predictor variables and qPCR results were used as response variable. In each
389 age group, antibody levels in samples from the 50 Spanish donors were used as
390 negative controls. AUC: Area Under the Curve.

391 After stratifying by age group, AUC values improved for most of the antigens in children
392 except for CelTOS, LSA1, MSP1 block 2 MAD20, RH1 and PTRAMP, for which the
393 values were higher in adults (**Fig 1D** and **Table S2**). Remarkably, AUC values in
394 children reached high levels (>0.9) for EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2 (**Fig 1D, Fig 5B** and
395 **Table S2**). These top performers were used to calculate all possible combinations for
396 the analysis in the children group, while CelTOS, LSA1, EXP1, MSP1 block 2, MSP1₄₂,
397 MSP2, MSP3, MSP5, RH1 and PTRAMP were used for the adult group. The best
398 combinations and their corresponding AUCs were: EXP1 + AMA1 + MSP2 with AUC =
399 0.942 for children (**Fig S1C**) and LSA1 + EXP1 + MSP1₄₂ + MSP2 + MSP3 + MSP5 +
400 PTRAMP with AUC = 0.848 for adults (**Fig S1D**). In addition, when all individuals with
401 helminth infections were removed from the analysis, the AUC values slightly improved

for most antigens with moderate AUC in the analysis including adults and children together (**Table S3**).

Performance of antibodies as markers of exposure

Besides current infection, in some contexts it may be useful to be able to detect and quantify exposure. The cross-sectional design of this study did not allow for evaluating recent versus past exposure. Therefore, we explored the ability of serology to differentiate individuals from a non-endemic area (NC) from exposed individuals from an endemic area. To do so, we took as reference the EM cutoff, which splits the Mozambican individuals into two populations (seronegative and seropositive) based on the distribution of antibody levels to each antigen. We considered as good markers of exposure those antibodies that had a high specificity, i.e., those for which levels in the non-endemic population had little or no overlap with levels in the seropositive individuals from the endemic population, as defined by the EM cutoff.

Based on this, IgG levels against As37 (from *Ascaris* spp.), NIE (from *S. stercoralis*) and MEA (from *Schistosoma* spp.) were able to perfectly separate the non-endemic population from the seropositive endemic population (**Fig 6**). As16 (from *Ascaris* spp.), NaAPR1, NaGST1, NaSAA2 (from hookworm) and Tm16 (from *Trichuris* spp.) also had quite good performance in distinguishing the non-endemic population from the seropositive endemic population with the only exceptions of a few outliers among the non-exposed individuals (**Fig 6**). On the contrary, IgG levels against AyCp2 (from hookworm), TmWAP (from *Trichuris* spp.) and Sm25 (from *Schistosoma* spp.), were elevated in the non-endemic population (**Fig 6**) suggesting low specificity.

Fig 6. Helminth antigen-specific IgG levels in non-endemic and endemic populations and seropositivity cutoffs by the Expectation-Maximization algorithm. Antibody levels are presented as the log₁₀-transformed median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of IgG against helminth antigens. In the endemic

population, filled dots highlight individuals with a helminth infection with the corresponding parasite for each antigen detected by microscopy and/or qPCR. The dashed line represents the serology cutoff calculated with the Expectation-Maximization algorithm. Individuals above (orange) or below (grey) this cutoff were considered seropositive or seronegative, respectively. At the top of each plot, the absolute number and percentage of seropositive individuals with respect to the Non-Endemic (NE) (N = 50) or Endemic (E) (N = 715) populations are indicated. The boxplots represent the median (bold line), the mean (black diamond), the first and third quartiles (box) and the largest and smallest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range (whiskers). Data beyond the end of the whiskers are outliers.

In the case of *P. falciparum* antigens, IgG levels against α -gal, MSP1 block 2 and MSP2 perfectly distinguished the non-endemic population from the seropositive endemic population (**Fig 7**). IgG levels against AMA1, EBA175, EXP1, LSA1, MSP1₄₂, MSP5, RH1, RH5 and PTRAMP also distinguished the non-endemic population from the seropositive endemic population with the only exceptions of a few outliers among the non-exposed individuals (**Fig 7**). Finally, IgG levels against CelTOS, MSP3, P41 and, specially, SSP2, were elevated in the non-endemic population (**Fig 7**).

Fig 7. *Plasmodium falciparum* antigen-specific IgG levels in non-endemic and endemic populations and seropositivity cutoffs by the Expectation-Maximization algorithm. Antibody levels are presented as the log₁₀-transformed median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of IgG against *P. falciparum* antigens. In the endemic population, filled dots highlight individuals with a *P. falciparum* infection detected by qPCR. The dashed line represents the serology cutoff calculated with the Expectation-Maximization algorithm. Individuals above (orange) or below (grey) this cutoff were considered seropositive or seronegative, respectively. At the top of each plot, the absolute number and percentage of seropositive individuals with respect to the Non-Endemic (NE) (N = 50) or Endemic (E) (N = 715) populations are indicated. The

boxplots represent the median (bold line), the mean (black diamond), the first and third quartiles (box) and the largest and smallest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range (whiskers). Data beyond the end of the whiskers are outliers.

Alternative seropositivity cutoffs for current infection

To further explore alternative ways to detect current infection, we investigated other possible seropositivity cutoffs extracted from the ROC analysis that could serve in different scenarios that would require prioritization of specificity, sensitivity or both. We also explored the ability of the EM cutoff to detect current infection. Finally, we assessed the performance of the classical cutoff based on the IgG levels measured in samples from a naïve population, in this case the Spanish donors, calculated as the mean plus 3 standard deviations. The results are summarized in **Fig 8** for the top performer antigens of the panel (NIE, MEA, Sm25, EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2) and for the rest of the antigens in **Fig S2**, **Fig S3** and **Table S4**, where the specificity and sensitivity of each cutoff method are indicated.

Fig 8. Helminth and *Plasmodium falciparum* antigen-specific IgG levels in non-endemic and endemic populations and seropositivity cutoffs calculated by different methods. Antibody levels are presented as the log₁₀-transformed median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of IgG against *Strongyloides stercoralis* (NIE), *Schistosoma* spp. (Sm25 and MEA) and *P. falciparum* (EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2) antigens. In the endemic population, filled dots highlight individuals with a helminth infection with the corresponding parasite for each antigen detected by microscopy and/or qPCR in the case of helminths and qPCR in the case of *P. falciparum*. The boxplots represent the median (bold line), the mean (black diamond), the first and third quartiles (box) and the largest and smallest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range (whiskers). Data beyond the end of the whiskers are outliers. Cutoff95SE: cutoff prioritizing a minimum sensitivity of 95%; Cutoff95SP: cutoff prioritizing a minimum

specificity of 95%; CutoffYI: cutoff corresponding to the maximum Youden's Index; CutoffEM: cutoff defined by the Expectation-Maximization algorithm; CutoffNC: cutoff calculated as median + 3*standard deviations of the log₁₀-transformed MFI levels from the non-endemic population. NE: Non-Endemic (N = 50), E: Endemic (N = 715). The rest of the antigens are in supplementary Fig S2 and S3.

For NIE specially, the EM cutoff provided a good trade-off between specificity (~80 %) and sensitivity (~80 %) and it overlapped with the Youden's Index cutoff (**Fig 8** and **Table S4**). For Sm25, when taking *Schistosoma* spp. infection as a reference defined by the combination of microscopy and qPCR, the EM cutoff also overlapped with the Youden's Index cutoff but the sensitivity was compromised (~70%) by the large variability in the NC, as reflected by the elevated NC cutoff (**Fig 8** and **Table S4**). On the other hand, responses against MEA induced less variability in the NC, but the EM and Youden's Index cutoffs did not overlap. In this case, the EM cutoff had a 28% sensitivity and 82% specificity, while the Youden's Index cutoff had a 70% sensitivity and 56% specificity (**Fig 8** and **Table S4**) when taking *Schistosoma* spp. infection as a reference defined by the combination of microscopy and qPCR.

For EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2, all cutoffs except the cutoff that prioritized specificity had sensitivity values above 94% and specificity values between 30-55% with the exception of the Youden's Index cutoff for MSP2 (~75% sensitivity and specificity) (**Fig 8** and **Table S4**).

Effect of other infections on parasite-specific antibody levels

We assessed the effect of each single infection analyzed on the antigen-specific IgG levels of the top performer antigens of the panel (NIE, MEA, Sm25, EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2) to evaluate if other infections could be associated with false seropositive responses. *S. stercoralis* single infection was associated with statistically significantly higher IgG levels to MEA, Sm25, EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2 compared to no infections

(**Fig 9**). In addition, *Schistosoma* spp. single infection was associated with statistically significantly higher IgG levels to NIE, AMA1 and MSP2 compared to no infections (**Fig 9**). Conversely, infection with *T. trichiura* was associated with statistically significantly lower IgG levels to MEA, EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2 compared to those with no infections (**Fig 9**). The same patterns for *S. stercoralis*, *Schistosoma* spp. and *T. trichiura* infections were observed among the rest of the antigens of the panel (**Fig S4** and **Fig S5**). We also evaluated how the proposed cutoffs would classify those individuals with increased antibody levels that have a negative diagnosis for the corresponding parasite to the antigen. Taking as an example NIE, only the 95% specificity cutoff classifies as seronegative most of those individuals that are *S. stercoralis* negative but *Schistosoma* spp. positive (**Fig 9**). However, this cutoff has a very reduced sensitivity (**Fig 9** and **Table S4**). This applies also to the rest of the top performing antigens, although in the case of Sm25, the NC cutoff has the highest specificity (**Fig 9** and **Table S4**).

Fig 9. Effect of single infections on IgG levels to other infections. Only individuals with single infections or no infections at all detected by the combination of microscopy and qPCR are shown. Antibody levels are presented as the log₁₀-transformed median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of IgG against *Strongyloides stercoralis* (NIE), *Schistosoma* spp. (Sm25 and MEA) and *P. falciparum* (EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2) antigens. For each antigen, antibody levels for each species were compared to the levels of those with no infection and the corresponding species to the antigen was shown as a reference. Statistical comparison between groups was performed by Wilcoxon rank-sum test and the adjusted P values by the Holm approach are shown. Statistically significant P values are highlighted in bold. The sample size of each group is shown below each boxplot. The boxplots represent the median (bold line), the mean (black diamond), the first and third quartiles (box) and the largest and smallest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range (whiskers). Data beyond the end of the whiskers are outliers. Cutoff95SE: cutoff prioritizing a minimum sensitivity of 95%; Cutoff95SP:

534 cutoff prioritizing a minimum specificity of 95%; CutoffYI: cutoff corresponding to the
535 maximum Youden's Index; CutoffEM: cutoff defined by the Expectation-Maximization
536 algorithm; CutoffNC: cutoff calculated as median + 3*standard deviations of the log₁₀-
537 transformed MFI levels from the non-endemic population. The rest of the antigens are
538 in supplementary Fig S4 and S5.

539 DISCUSSION

540 Antibody serology is a useful tool that can be exploited not only for understanding and
541 detecting immunity to infections, but also for monitoring exposure and current infection.
542 Here, we evaluated the ability of serology, measuring total IgE and specific IgG against
543 a panel of STH, *Schistosoma* spp. and *P. falciparum* antigens, to detect current
544 infection compared to microscopy, molecular diagnosis or the combination of both
545 diagnosis, and to detect past exposure. Our study has proved the feasibility to use
546 qSAT to measure antibody levels against a large panel of parasite antigens in serum
547 eluted from DBS. The fact that Luminex allows for multiplexing several antigens with a
548 very small amount of sample shows an advantage over conventional ELISAs, since it
549 could be easily implemented for the detection of antibody responses to several
550 pathogens simultaneously, and allows the integration of control programs for different
551 pathogens. In addition, finger-pricking for the collection of DBS is a minimally invasive
552 technique and is particularly practical for remote health and surveillance programs,
553 since it offers simplified logistics and it is more cost effective than serum collection.

554 While IgG levels against most of the helminth antigens included in our panel were not
555 good markers of current infection, many seem to be good enough markers of exposure.
556 IgG to NIE (*S. stercoralis*), MEA and Sm25 (*Schistosoma* spp.), and MSP2 (*P.*
557 *falciparum*) antigens showed the most promising results in detecting current infection.
558 This is of particular interest for *S. stercoralis* due to the need for improved diagnosis.
559 Microscopic examination has a very low sensitivity (39,40), as we have also verified by

560 comparing the low number of *S. stercoralis* positive individuals detected by microscopy
 561 with those detected by qPCR. However, qPCR and other methods with better
 562 sensitivity than microscopy, such as the Baermann technique and Koga agar plate
 563 culture, could still leave out some infections given the frequency of low-burden
 564 infections (40). Serological tests based on the detection of antibodies to whole *S.*
 565 *stercoralis* parasites or crude extracts have already shown excellent results (39,40).
 566 Nonetheless, the need for a constant supply of parasites and variability of the source
 567 are limitations of those assays (41). On the other hand, antibody serological methods
 568 based on recombinant proteins, such as NIE (42), are more reproducible. Recently, a
 569 study evaluated the performance of two ELISAs that detect IgG and IgG4 against the
 570 NIE and SsRI antigens and found specificities between 91-98% and sensitivities
 571 between 78-92% (43). In our study, the cutoff with the maximum Youden's index results
 572 in specificity and sensitivity of around 79% and 85%, respectively. One source of
 573 variations in accuracy is cross-reactivity. For example, the AUC for IgG against NIE
 574 increased and reached high accuracy after elimination of those samples from
 575 participants with other helminth and *P. falciparum* infections detected in our study. This
 576 informs of a possible cross-reactivity with other species and therefore accuracy might
 577 vary depending on the prevalence of other infections in the target area. This is
 578 particularly relevant for international travelers and people living in very low prevalence
 579 settings for co-infections. However, a study using NIE serology found no cross-
 580 reactivity between *S. stercoralis* and infections with other STH (44).

581 Interestingly, our results indicate that infection by *Schistosoma* spp. was associated
 582 with increased levels to NIE, AMA1 and MSP2, among others in the panel, and
 583 infection by *S. stercoralis* was associated with elevated IgG levels to all antigens. Such
 584 generalized pattern would suggest other mechanisms rather than cross-reactivity, like
 585 induction of polyreactive antibodies (45,46). Another possibility is that increased
 586 antibody levels simply reflect an increased susceptibility and exposure to infections

among *Schistosoma* spp. and *S. stercoralis* infected individuals. In any case, it should be considered that these infections might reduce the specificity of the assay and these results underscore the importance to evaluate antibody serological tools in areas of co-endemicity. Conversely, the opposite pattern has been associated with *T. trichiura* infected individuals, in which IgG levels were generally lower than the non-infected individuals. This suggests a possible immunomodulation by *T. trichiura* as observed by others (47) which could reduce the sensitivity of the assay.

The WHO included the control of morbidity caused by *S. stercoralis* as one of its objectives for 2030 (1) and in September 2020 there was a WHO meeting to discuss diagnostic methods for the control of strongyloidiasis (11). The control strategy is based on the distribution of ivermectin using established platforms to control other STH, and pilot interventions are currently being evaluated (1). Such control strategies require monitoring of the transmission in order to guide future steps of the program. According to the meeting, serological assessment by NIE ELISA is the best available option, although it is not perfect and it should be accompanied by a Baermann or agar-plate method whenever possible (11). NIE has been used in different platforms including ELISA, Luminex and luciferase immunoprecipitation system (LIPS) (43,44,48). Also, it has been proposed as a valuable point-of-care diagnostic tool for its use in lateral flow cassettes (49,50). While NIE serology shows promising results as a diagnostic tool, it is important to consider that total serological reversion is unlikely to occur and, therefore, differentiating between past exposure and current infection can be challenging. However, unlike for other parasitic infections, *S. stercoralis*-specific antibodies decrease markedly within the following months post-treatment (11,12) and, as we and others have demonstrated, it is possible to achieve a moderate to high accuracy based on antibody levels. This is particularly useful to evaluate the success of chemotherapy or MDA and verify transmission interruption (14,15).

613 Regarding *Schistosoma* spp., antibody serology is a valuable screening tool in non-
614 endemic or low-transmission areas (19). In addition, the WHO strategy for control of
615 *Schistosoma* spp. infections is based on administration of preventive chemotherapy at
616 large scale. Therefore, for the same reasons mentioned above for *S. stercoralis*,
617 serology could be a valuable tool to inform decisions in the program. In our study, the
618 cutoff with the maximum Youden's index for IgG against MEA and Sm25 antigens
619 showed sensitivities of 59-84% and 56-75%, respectively, and specificities of 54-69%
620 and 63-85%, respectively. The wide range is affected by the diagnostic method and the
621 species used as a reference. In fact, the genus-specific qPCR was a potential limitation
622 since *S. haematobium* can also be detected in feces (51). Therefore, the inability to
623 distinguish species could have affected the accuracy of MEA and Sm25. In addition,
624 better specificities and sensitivities are also possible depending on the cutoff method
625 selected. Other studies with other antigens and platforms have found also a wide range
626 of specificity and sensitivity with different assays (18,19) and have reported a limited
627 utility of *Schistosoma* spp. serology in the detection of current infection in individuals
628 from endemic areas or in the follow-up after treatment (41). The reason for this is that
629 antibody levels may remain elevated for a long period of time after elimination of the
630 infection, contrary to what it is observed for *S. stercoralis* (19,52). The Sm25 antigen
631 has been evaluated previously and it was proposed as an excellent antigen for
632 measuring responses to *S. mansoni* (53). However, we observed a similar accuracy
633 when the reference species was *S. haematobium*. Thus, it most likely detects both
634 *Schistosoma* species due to cross-reactivity.

635 Specific IgG against many of the *P. falciparum* antigens from our panel were
636 moderately good in detecting current infection, especially IgG against MSP2. In
637 addition, for EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2, the accuracy particularly increased to high levels
638 in children after stratification by age group, presumably because there is less
639 interference from past exposures compared to adults, as observed also by others for

640 other *P. falciparum* antigens (27). IgG levels against these antigens were also very low
641 in the non-endemic population. Given the long-lived nature of antibody responses,
642 especially in endemic areas, it is unlikely that antibody responses find a niche among
643 *P. falciparum* diagnostic methods, although others have identified antibody responses
644 against different antigens from *P. falciparum* (54,55) and *P. vivax* (56) as potential
645 markers of recent exposure. Our results suggest that IgG against the antigens in our
646 panel could be useful markers in the context of control and elimination programs to
647 monitor transmission using children as sentinels in medium- to high-transmission
648 areas, where long-lasting antibody responses in adults might not represent the current
649 transmission scenario. In addition, serology might be particularly relevant in areas of
650 low transmission where large sample size are required to monitor prevalence and the
651 use of other methods such as qPCR or microscopy would require high costs (27), time
652 and would not be able to capture recent exposure. Additional longitudinal studies with a
653 larger sample size in different age groups and areas of varying transmissions would be
654 necessary to corroborate the utility of the markers proposed here as reliable indicators
655 of infection. Interestingly, our results align with others that found IgG to MSP2 among
656 the top 10 responses predictive of malaria incidence in study participants (57) and a
657 strong correlation of IgG to AMA1 with both clinical malaria and asymptomatic infection
658 (55). However, the use of these antigens also comes with potential disadvantages.
659 MSP2 is a highly polymorphic antigen (58,59) and therefore the genotypes prevalent in
660 the community might differ from that included in the assay (60). As for EXP1, and
661 specially AMA1, their high immunogenicity leads to high levels that reach saturation
662 since early age at high transmission intensities, but they might be suitable for low
663 transmission intensities (60). Interestingly, the FlexMAP 3D platform from Luminex
664 allowed has a wider dynamic range compared to other platforms such as the Luminex
665 200 or ELISA, probably allowing for the detection of increases induced by current
666 infections with respect to the basal levels even for highly immunogenic antigens.

667 The comparison of seropositivity cutoffs estimated by different methods highlights the
 668 importance of setting the cutoffs based on the antibody data from the endemic target
 669 population, as opposed to the classic approach of using data from a non-endemic
 670 population as reference. The reason behind is to take background responses into
 671 account, which might differ depending on the origin of the samples. For instance, we
 672 have observed here an elevated NC cutoff for some antigens, which is suggestive of
 673 the presence of antibodies in the non-endemic population that cross-react with some of
 674 the antigens in our panel. We have provided an approach to calculate the seropositivity
 675 cutoffs based on the distribution of antibody levels in an endemic population using the
 676 EM algorithm, also previously used by others (61). Other cutoffs extracted from the
 677 ROC curves provided varying sensitivities and specificities, sometimes at the expense
 678 of a much reduced balance between these parameters, which can be used in different
 679 scenarios. For example, in the context of public health, in low prevalence settings or
 680 control programs, particularly towards the final elimination stage, high sensitivity with a
 681 prioritization of a high specificity is desirable since it reduces the number of false
 682 positive results and saves unnecessary interventions (62). On the contrary, in the
 683 context of individual-focused diagnosis, sensitivity might be prioritized over specificity.
 684 In non-endemic areas where the number of imported cases is low, it may be preferable
 685 to treat a false positive patient than leaving out a potential infection that can be more
 686 threatening in people from non-endemic settings due to inexistent immunity.

687 In addition to IgG, IgG subclasses or other isotypes could be more useful for different
 688 species given the properties of each antibody type. For example, here we evaluated
 689 also total IgE responses, which are a well-known hallmark of the Th2 predominant
 690 responses typical of helminth infections (63). IgE has a shorter half-life than IgG (~3
 691 days versus ~21 days), which makes it a good candidate of recent exposure to
 692 helminths (64). We have found that total IgE levels are a good marker of hookworm
 693 and *Schistosoma spp.* recent infections with moderate accuracy. Besides IgE, other

694 studies have suggested *Ascaris*-specific IgG4 to perform better since it showed less
 695 cross-reactivity with other helminths than IgG1, IgG2 and IgG3 (65,66). Moreover, for
 696 *P. falciparum*, the long-standing issue of finding a good marker of recent exposure
 697 could also benefit from combining the right antigen with the detection by IgG3
 698 antibodies. IgG3 is one of the main IgG subclasses produced during *Plasmodium* spp.
 699 infection and it has a shorter half-life compared to the rest of IgG subclasses (~7 days
 700 versus ~21 days) (67).

701 Many of the antigens included in the analysis were not reliable markers of current
 702 infection since they were not able to classify correctly the population based in the
 703 reference diagnostic methods and reach an AUC > 0.7. On one hand, IgG levels may
 704 stay elevated long after acute infection, leading to high residual responses from past
 705 infections in the exposed non-infected population. On the other hand, due to antibody
 706 dynamics, there is a window of time between infection and detection of antibody titers
 707 that should also be taken into account. For example, in our study, all malaria cases
 708 included were asymptomatic and it could be that many of these infections were recent.
 709 In addition, the specificity of the responses might be against antigens from a specific
 710 stage of the life cycle of the parasite that has not been reached yet. Other possible
 711 reasons for lack of accuracy are: i) the lack of a proper gold standard diagnostic
 712 method for some parasites; ii) the low immunogenicity of some antigens; iii) and shared
 713 epitopes between antigens leading to cross-reactive antibodies in the non-infected
 714 population. In relation to the lack of a proper gold standard for comparison, serology
 715 might be able to capture infections that yield a low number of diagnostic forms for
 716 microscopy such as chronic *Schistosoma* spp. infections, which are characterized by
 717 low or absent egg production (68). For this reason, conventional microscopy is not
 718 completely optimal for the diagnosis of *Schistosoma* spp. and using it as a reference
 719 may result in a false low sensitivity.

720 The design of the current study is subject to other limitations. The Telemann technique
 721 used in this study for the detection of *S. stercoralis* by microscopy is not among the
 722 recommended methods and therefore we consider the finding of *S. stercoralis* by
 723 microscopy purely anecdotic. Moreover, although qPCR has in general a better
 724 sensitivity than microscopy, it should be noted that it can also detect genetic material of
 725 dead parasites after resolving the infection. In addition, it would have been ideal to
 726 have longitudinal data to properly evaluate exposure and time since infection within the
 727 endemic population. We also acknowledge the difficulty of field implementation of
 728 Luminex equipment in low- and middle-income settings, but a reference lab with the
 729 necessary equipment could be in charge of processing the samples. Finally, for *P.*
 730 *falciparum* analysis, the participants of our study were all asymptomatic, which can be
 731 a limitation since antibody signatures might differ compared to symptomatic (69).
 732 However, this also shows the ability of antibody serology against specific antigens to
 733 detect an active infection even at low density.

734 In conclusion, we provide evidence for the utility of serology as a marker of current
 735 infection with some parasitic infections of public health importance in a co-endemic
 736 population from Southern Mozambique. We corroborate the reported good accuracy of
 737 IgG against the NIE (*S. stercoralis*) and show that IgG against MEA, Sm25
 738 (*Schistosoma* spp.), EXP1, AMA1 and MSP2 (*P. falciparum*) might also be markers of
 739 current infection reliable enough to be employed as decision-making tools within
 740 control and elimination programs. Importantly, antibody serology by Luminex allows for
 741 multiplexing and, therefore, integration of control programs for different pathogens in
 742 co-endemic areas. Furthermore, we also show that IgG seropositivity against many of
 743 the antigens differentiated the seropositive endemic population from the non-endemic
 744 populations, suggesting a possible role as markers of exposure.

745 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

746 We are grateful to the volunteers and their families and to all field workers and lab
747 technicians that participated in this study. We also thank CISM Demography and Social
748 Sciences department for their indispensable assistance during field work. Special
749 thanks to the team members from ISGlobal, specifically Laura Puyol for the
750 organization and coordination of shipment of samples, Pau Cisteró for the assistance in
751 setting up the *P. falciparum* qPCR, Diana Barrios and Alfons Jiménez for the technical
752 support in the lab, Miquel Vázquez-Santiago and Llorenç Quintó for the statistical
753 advice, and Chenjerai Jairoce for the aid in assay optimization. For antigen
754 procurement, we also thank Thomas Nutman (NIH/NIAID, USA), Sukwan Handali
755 (CDC, USA) and Deepak Gaur (ICGEB and Jawaharlal Nehru University, India). On
756 qPCR analysis, we particularly would like to thank Eric Brienens (LUMC, the
757 Netherlands).

758 REFERENCES

- 759 1. World Health Organization. Soil-transmitted helminth infections [Internet]. 2020.
760 Available from: [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/soil-transmitted-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/soil-transmitted-helminth-infections)
761 [helminth-infections](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/soil-transmitted-helminth-infections)
- 762 2. World Health Organization. Schistosomiasis [Internet]. 2021. Available from:
763 <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/schistosomiasis>
- 764 3. World Health Organization. World malaria report 2021. Geneva; 2021.
- 765 4. Salgame P, Yap GS, Gause WC. Effect of helminth-induced immunity on infections with
766 microbial pathogens. Nat Immunol. 2013 Nov;14(11):1118–26.
- 767 5. Doehring E, Feldmeier H, Daffalla AA. Day-to-day variation and circadian rhythm of egg
768 excretion in urinary schistosomiasis in the Sudan. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1983
769 Dec;77(6):587–94.

- 770 6. Hall A. Quantitative variability of nematode egg counts in faeces: a study among rural
771 Kenyans. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1981;75(5):682–7.
- 772 7. Anderson RM, Schad GA. Hookworm burdens and faecal egg counts: an analysis of the
773 biological basis of variation. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1985;79(6):812–25.
- 774 8. Dreyer G, Fernandes-Silva E, Alves S, Rocha A, Albuquerque R, Addiss D. Patterns of
775 detection of *Strongyloides stercoralis* in stool specimens: implications for diagnosis and
776 clinical trials. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1996 Oct;34(10):2569–71.
- 777 9. Werkman M, Wright JE, Truscott JE, Oswald WE, Halliday KE, Papaioakovou M, et al.
778 The impact of community-wide, mass drug administration on aggregation of soil-
779 transmitted helminth infection in human host populations. *Parasit Vectors.*
780 2020;13(1):290.
- 781 10. Gitta B, Kilian N. Diagnosis of Malaria Parasites *Plasmodium* spp. in Endemic Areas:
782 Current Strategies for an Ancient Disease. *BioEssays.* 2020 Jan 1;42(1):1900138.
- 783 11. World Health Organization. Diagnostic methods for the control of strongyloidiasis, Virtual
784 meeting, 29 September 2020. Geneva; 2020.
- 785 12. Buonfrate D, Sequi M, Mejia R, Cimino RO, Krolewiecki AJ, Albonico M, et al. Accuracy
786 of Five Serologic Tests for the Follow up of *Strongyloides stercoralis* Infection. *PLoS*
787 *Negl Trop Dis.* 2015 Feb 10;9(2):e0003491.
- 788 13. Balachandra D, Ahmad H, Arifin N, Noordin R. Direct detection of *Strongyloides* infection
789 via molecular and antigen detection methods. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* Off Publ
790 *Eur Soc Clin Microbiol.* 2021 Jan;40(1):27–37.
- 791 14. Marks M, Gwyn S, Toloka H, Kositz C, Asugeni J, Asugeni R, et al. Impact of Community
792 Treatment With Ivermectin for the Control of Scabies on the Prevalence of Antibodies to
793 *Strongyloides stercoralis* in Children. *Clin Infect Dis* [Internet]. 2020 Dec
794 15;71(12):3226–8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa584>
- 795 15. Mounsey K, Kearns T, Rampton M, Llewellyn S, King M, Holt D, et al. Use of dried blood
796 spots to define antibody response to the *Strongyloides stercoralis* recombinant antigen

797 NIE. *Acta Trop*. 2014 Oct;138:78–82.

798 16. Vlaininck J, Supali T, Geldhof P, Hokke CH, Fischer PU, Weil GJ. Community Rates of
799 IgG4 Antibodies to *Ascaris* Haemoglobin Reflect Changes in Community Egg Loads
800 Following Mass Drug Administration. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016 Mar;10(3):e0004532.

801 17. Logan J, Pearson MS, Manda SS, Choi Y-J, Field M, Eichenberger RM, et al.
802 Comprehensive analysis of the secreted proteome of adult *Necator americanus*
803 hookworms. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2020 May 26;14(5):e0008237.

804 18. Kinkel H-F, Dittrich S, Bäumer B, Weitzel T. Evaluation of eight serological tests for
805 diagnosis of imported schistosomiasis. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*. 2012/03/21. 2012
806 Jun;19(6):948–53.

807 19. Hinz R, Schwarz NG, Hahn A, Frickmann H. Serological approaches for the diagnosis of
808 schistosomiasis – A review. *Mol Cell Probes*. 2017;31:2–21.

809 20. Drakeley C, Cook J. Chapter 5 Potential Contribution of Sero-Epidemiological Analysis
810 for Monitoring Malaria Control and Elimination: Historical and Current Perspectives. In
811 Academic Press; 2009. p. 299–352.

812 21. Drakeley CJ, Corran PH, Coleman PG, Tongren JE, McDonald SLR, Carneiro I, et al.
813 Estimating medium- and long-term trends in malaria transmission by using serological
814 markers of malaria exposure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* [Internet]. 2005 Apr
815 5;102(14):5108 LP-5113. Available from:
816 <http://www.pnas.org/content/102/14/5108.abstract>

817 22. Won KY, Sambou S, Barry A, Robinson K, Jaye M, Sanneh B, et al. Use of Antibody
818 Tools to Provide Serologic Evidence of Elimination of Lymphatic Filariasis in The
819 Gambia. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2018;98(1):15–20.

820 23. Guevara Á, Salazar E, Vicuña Y, Hassan HK, Muro A, Guderian R, et al. Use of Ov16-
821 Based Serology for Post-Elimination Surveillance of Onchocerciasis in Ecuador. *Am J*
822 *Trop Med Hyg*. 2020;103(4):1569–71.

823 24. Pinsent A, Solomon AW, Bailey RL, Bid R, Cama A, Dean D, et al. The utility of serology

824 for elimination surveillance of trachoma. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):5444.

825 25. Rabello AL, Garcia MM, Pinto da Silva RA, Rocha RS, Katz N. Humoral immune
826 responses in patients with acute *Schistosoma mansoni* infection who were followed up
827 for two years after treatment. *Clin Infect Dis an Off Publ Infect Dis Soc Am.* 1997
828 Mar;24(3):304–8.

829 26. Doenhoff MJ, Chiodini PL, Hamilton J V. Specific and sensitive diagnosis of schistosome
830 infection: can it be done with antibodies? *Trends Parasitol.* 2004 Jan;20(1):35–9.

831 27. Markwalter CF, Nyunt MH, Han ZY, Henao R, Jain A, Taghavian O, et al. Antibody
832 signatures of asymptomatic *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria infections measured from
833 dried blood spots. *Malar J.* 2021;20(1):378.

834 28. Santano R, Rubio R, Grau-Pujol B, Escola V, Muchisse O, Cuamba I, et al. *Plasmodium*
835 *falciparum* and Helminth Coinfections Increase IgE and Parasite-Specific IgG
836 Responses. *Microbiol Spectr.* 2021 Dec 8;e0110921.

837 29. Saúte F, Aponte J, Almeda J, Ascaso C, Vaz N, Dgedge M, et al. Malaria in southern
838 Mozambique: incidence of clinical malaria in children living in a rural community in
839 Manhica district. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2003;97(6):655–60.

840 30. Grau-Pujol B, Martí-Soler H, Escola V, Demontis M, Jamine JC, Gandasegui J, et al.
841 Towards soil-transmitted helminths transmission interruption: The impact of diagnostic
842 tools on infection prediction in a low intensity setting in Southern Mozambique. Beechler
843 BR, editor. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2021 Oct 25;15(10):e0009803.

844 31. World Health Organization. Basic laboratory methods in medical parasitology [Internet].
845 1991. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/40793>

846 32. Telemann W. Eine Methode zur Erleichterung der Auffindung von Parasiteneiern in den
847 Faeces. *Dtsch Medizinische Wochenschrift.* 1908;34(35):1510–1.

848 33. Robin X, Turck N, Hainard A, Tiberti N, Lisacek F, Sanchez J-C, et al. pROC: an open-
849 source package for R and S+ to analyze and compare ROC curves. *BMC Bioinformatics.*
850 2011 Mar 17;12:77.

- 851 34. Scrucca L, Fop M, Murphy TB, Raftery AE. mclust 5: Clustering, Classification and
852 Density Estimation Using Gaussian Finite Mixture Models. R J. 2016 Aug;8(1):289–317.
- 853 35. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan L, François R, et al. Welcome to
854 the Tidyverse. J Open Source Softw [Internet]. 2019 Nov 21 [cited 2021 Apr
855 20];4(43):1686. Available from: <https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.01686>
- 856 36. Kassambara A. “ggplot2” Based Publication Ready Plots [R package ggpubr version
857 0.4.0]. 2020 Jun 27 [cited 2021 Apr 20]; Available from: [https://cran.r-](https://cran.r-project.org/package=ggpubr)
858 [project.org/package=ggpubr](https://cran.r-project.org/package=ggpubr)
- 859 37. Clarke E, Sherrill-Mix SA. ggbeeswarm: Categorical scatter (violin point) plots [Internet].
860 R package version 0.6.0. 2017 [cited 2021 Apr 20]. Available from:
861 <https://rdr.io/cran/ggbeeswarm/>
- 862 38. Swets JA. Measuring the accuracy of diagnostic systems. Science. 1988
863 Jun;240(4857):1285–93.
- 864 39. Requena-Méndez A, Chiodini P, Bisoffi Z, Buonfrate D, Gotuzzo E, Muñoz J. The
865 laboratory diagnosis and follow up of strongyloidiasis: a systematic review. PLoS Negl
866 Trop Dis. 2013/01/17. 2013;7(1):e2002–e2002.
- 867 40. Buonfrate D, Formenti F, Perandin F, Bisoffi Z. Novel approaches to the diagnosis of
868 Strongyloides stercoralis infection. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2015 Jun 1;21(6):543–52.
- 869 41. Tamarozzi F, Ursini T, Hoekstra PT, Silva R, Costa C, Gobbi F, et al. Evaluation of
870 microscopy, serology, circulating anodic antigen (CAA), and eosinophil counts for the
871 follow-up of migrants with chronic schistosomiasis: a prospective cohort study. Parasit
872 Vectors. 2021 Mar 9;14(1):149.
- 873 42. Ravi V, Ramachandran S, Thompson RW, Andersen JF, Neva FA. Characterization of a
874 recombinant immunodiagnostic antigen (NIE) from Strongyloides stercoralis L3-stage
875 larvae. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2002;125(1–2):73–81.
- 876 43. Tamarozzi F, Longoni SS, Mazzi C, Pettene S, Montresor A, Mahanty S, et al.
877 Diagnostic accuracy of a novel enzyme-linked immunoassay for the detection of IgG and

878 IgG4 against *Strongyloides stercoralis* based on the recombinant antigens NIE/SsIR.
879 Parasit Vectors. 2021;14(1):412.

880 44. Krolewiecki AJ, Ramanathan R, Fink V, McAuliffe I, Cajal SP, Won K, et al. Improved
881 diagnosis of *Strongyloides stercoralis* using recombinant antigen-based serologies in a
882 community-wide study in northern Argentina. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2010
883 Oct;17(10):1624–30.

884 45. Dimitrov JD, Planchais C, Roumenina LT, Vassilev TL, Kaveri S V, Lacroix-Desmazes S.
885 Antibody Polyreactivity in Health and Disease: Statu Variabilis. J Immunol [Internet].
886 2013 Aug 1;191(3):993 LP-999. Available from:
887 <http://www.jimmunol.org/content/191/3/993.abstract>

888 46. Patel P, Kearney JF. Immunological Outcomes of Antibody Binding to Glycans Shared
889 between Microorganisms and Mammals. J Immunol [Internet]. 2016 Dec 1;197(11):4201
890 LP-4209. Available from: <http://www.jimmunol.org/content/197/11/4201.abstract>

891 47. Esen M, Mordmüller B, de Salazar PM, Adegnik AA, Agnandji ST, Schaumburg F, et al.
892 Reduced antibody responses against *Plasmodium falciparum* vaccine candidate
893 antigens in the presence of *Trichuris trichiura*. Vaccine. 2012 Dec;30(52):7621–4.

894 48. Rascoe LN, Price C, Shin SH, McAuliffe I, Priest JW, Handali S. Development of Ss-NIE-
895 1 Recombinant Antigen Based Assays for Immunodiagnosis of Strongyloidiasis. PLoS
896 Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9(4):1–10.

897 49. Yunus MH, Arifin N, Balachandra D, Anuar NS, Noordin R. Lateral Flow Dipstick Test for
898 Serodiagnosis of Strongyloidiasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2019 Aug;101(2):432–5.

899 50. Noordin R, Osman E, Kalantari N, Anuar NS, Gorgani-Firouzjaee T, Sithithaworn P, et
900 al. A point-of-care cassette test for detection of *Strongyloides stercoralis*. Acta Trop
901 [Internet]. 2022;226:106251. Available from:
902 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001706X21004290>

903 51. Cunin P, Tchuem Tchuenté L-A, Poste B, Djibrilla K, Martin PM V. Interactions between
904 *Schistosoma haematobium* and *Schistosoma mansoni* in humans in north Cameroon.

905 Trop Med Int Health. 2003 Dec;8(12):1110–7.

906 52. Yong MK, Beckett CL, Leder K, Biggs BA, Torresi J, O'Brien DP. Long-Term Follow-Up
907 of Schistosomiasis Serology Post-Treatment in Australian Travelers and Immigrants. J
908 Travel Med. 2010 Mar 1;17(2):89–93.

909 53. Njenga SM, Kanyi HM, Arnold BF, Matendehero SH, Onsongo JK, Won KY, et al.
910 Integrated Cross-Sectional Multiplex Serosurveillance of IgG Antibody Responses to
911 Parasitic Diseases and Vaccines in Coastal Kenya. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2020
912 Jan;102(1):164–76.

913 54. van den Hoogen LL, Walk J, Oulton T, Reuling IJ, Reiling L, Beeson JG, et al. Antibody
914 Responses to Antigenic Targets of Recent Exposure Are Associated With Low-Density
915 Parasitemia in Controlled Human Plasmodium falciparum Infections [Internet]. Vol. 9,
916 Frontiers in Microbiology . 2019. p. 3300. Available from:
917 <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03300>

918 55. Wu L, Mwesigwa J, Affara M, Bah M, Correa S, Hall T, et al. Antibody responses to a
919 suite of novel serological markers for malaria surveillance demonstrate strong correlation
920 with clinical and parasitological infection across seasons and transmission settings in
921 The Gambia. BMC Med. 2020;18(1):304.

922 56. Longley RJ, White MT, Takashima E, Brewster J, Morita M, Harbers M, et al.
923 Development and validation of serological markers for detecting recent Plasmodium
924 vivax infection. Nat Med [Internet]. 2020;26(5):741–9. Available from:
925 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0841-4>

926 57. Helb DA, Tetteh KKA, Felgner PL, Skinner J, Hubbard A, Arinaitwe E, et al. Novel
927 serologic biomarkers provide accurate estimates of recent Plasmodium falciparum
928 exposure for individuals and communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2015 Aug
929 11;112(32):E4438 LP-E4447.

930 58. Franks S, Baton L, Tetteh K, Tongren E, Dewin D, Akanmori BD, et al. Genetic diversity
931 and antigenic polymorphism in Plasmodium falciparum: extensive serological cross-

932 reactivity between allelic variants of merozoite surface protein 2. *Infect Immun*. 2003
933 Jun;71(6):3485–95.

934 59. Hoffmann EH, da Silveira LA, Tonhosolo R, Pereira FJ, Ribeiro WL, Tonon AP, et al.
935 Geographical patterns of allelic diversity in the *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria-vaccine
936 candidate, merozoite surface protein-2. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*. 2001 Mar;95(2):117–
937 32.

938 60. Corran P, Coleman P, Riley E, Drakeley C. Serology: a robust indicator of malaria
939 transmission intensity? *Trends Parasitol*. 2007 Dec;23(12):575–82.

940 61. Migchelsen SJ, Martin DL, Southisombath K, Turyaguma P, Heggen A, Rubangakene
941 PP, et al. Defining Seropositivity Thresholds for Use in Trachoma Elimination Studies.
942 *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2017 Jan 18;11(1):e0005230–e0005230.

943 62. Gass K. Time for a diagnostic sea-change: Rethinking neglected tropical disease
944 diagnostics to achieve elimination. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2020 Dec 31;14(12):e0008933–
945 e0008933.

946 63. Maizels RM, Balic A, Gomez-Escobar N, Nair M, Taylor MD, Allen JE. Helminth
947 parasites – masters of regulation. *Immunol Rev*. 2004 Oct 1;201(1):89–116.

948 64. Lawrence MG, Woodfolk JA, Schuyler AJ, Stillman LC, Chapman MD, Platts-Mills TAE.
949 Half-life of IgE in serum and skin: Consequences for anti-IgE therapy in patients with
950 allergic disease. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2016/07/14. 2017 Feb;139(2):422–428.e4.

951 65. Chatterjee BP, Santra A, Karmakar PR, Mazumder DN. Evaluation of IgG4 response in
952 ascariasis by ELISA for serodiagnosis. *Trop Med Int Health*. 1996 Oct;1(5):633–9.

953 66. Santra A, Bhattacharya T, Chowdhury A, Ghosh A, Ghosh N, Chatterjee BP, et al.
954 Serodiagnosis of ascariasis with specific IgG4 antibody and its use in an
955 epidemiological study. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2001;95(3):289–92.

956 67. Vidarsson G, Dekkers G, Rispens T. IgG subclasses and allotypes: From structure to
957 effector functions. *Front Immunol*. 2014;5(OCT):1–17.

958 68. McManus DP, Dunne DW, Sacko M, Utzinger J, Vennervald BJ, Zhou X-N.
959 Schistosomiasis. Nat Rev Dis Prim [Internet]. 2018;4(1):13. Available from:
960 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-018-0013-8>

961 69. Villasis E, Lopez-Perez M, Torres K, Gamboa D, Neyra V, Bendezu J, et al. Anti-
962 Plasmodium falciparum invasion ligand antibodies in a low malaria transmission region,
963 Loreto, Peru. Malar J [Internet]. 2012;11(1):361. Available from:
964 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-361>

965

NIE **MEA** **Sm25**

Total IgE

Total IgE

A***P. falciparum* antigens** **B****Children** **Adults**

Differential

Cutoff method — Cutoff95SE — Cutoff95SP — CutoffFYI — CutoffEM — CutoffNC