

STROBE-MR checklist of recommended items to address in reports of Mendelian randomization studies^{1 2}

Item No.	Section	Checklist item	Page No.	Relevant text from manuscript
1	TITLE and ABSTRACT	Indicate Mendelian randomization (MR) as the study's design in the title and/or the abstract if that is a main purpose of the study		Title and Abstract.
INTRODUCTION				
2	Background	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the reported study. What is the exposure? Is a potential causal relationship between exposure and outcome plausible? Justify why MR is a helpful method to address the study question		Introduction.
3	Objectives	State specific objectives clearly, including pre-specified causal hypotheses (if any). State that MR is a method that, under specific assumptions, intends to estimate causal effects		Introduction Paragraph 3.
METHODS				
4	Study design and data sources	Present key elements of the study design early in the article. Consider including a table listing sources of data for all phases of the study. For each data source contributing to the analysis, describe the following:		Methods.
		a) Setting: Describe the study design and the underlying population, if possible. Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection, when available.		Methods - Study Population.
		b) Participants: Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Report the sample size, and whether any power or sample size calculations were carried out prior to the main analysis		Methods - Study Population.
		c) Describe measurement, quality control and selection of genetic variants		Supplementary Text - UK Biobank Genotype Data.
		d) For each exposure, outcome, and other relevant variables, describe methods of assessment and diagnostic criteria for diseases		Supplementary Text - Insomnia Phenotype and Supplementary Table S3.
		e) Provide details of ethics committee approval and participant informed consent, if relevant		Footnotes - Ethical Approval.
5	Assumptions	Explicitly state the three core IV assumptions for the main analysis (relevance, independence and exclusion restriction) as well assumptions for any additional or sensitivity analysis		Introduction Paragraph 2.
6	Statistical methods: main analysis	Describe statistical methods and statistics used		Methods.

	a)	Describe how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses (i.e., scale, units, model)	Methods.
	b)	Describe how genetic variants were handled in the analyses and, if applicable, how their weights were selected	Methods - Genetic Risk Score.
	c)	Describe the MR estimator (e.g. two-stage least squares, Wald ratio) and related statistics. Detail the included covariates and, in case of two-sample MR, whether the same covariate set was used for adjustment in the two samples	Methods.
	d)	Explain how missing data were addressed	Methods - PheWAS Analysis.
	e)	If applicable, indicate how multiple testing was addressed	Methods - PheWAS Analysis.
7	Assessment of assumptions	Describe any methods or prior knowledge used to assess the assumptions or justify their validity	Methods.
8	Sensitivity analyses and additional analyses	Describe any sensitivity analyses or additional analyses performed (e.g. comparison of effect estimates from different approaches, independent replication, bias analytic techniques, validation of instruments, simulations)	Methods.
9	Software and pre-registration		
	a)	Name statistical software and package(s), including version and settings used	Methods.
	b)	State whether the study protocol and details were pre-registered (as well as when and where)	Introduction Paragraph 3.

RESULTS

10	Descriptive data		
	a)	Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of included studies and reasons for exclusion. Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1.
	b)	Report summary statistics for phenotypic exposure(s), outcome(s), and other relevant variables (e.g. means, SDs, proportions)	Supplementary Text - Insomnia Phenotype.
	c)	If the data sources include meta-analyses of previous studies, provide the assessments of heterogeneity across these studies	NA.
	d)	For two-sample MR: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Provide justification of the similarity of the genetic variant-exposure associations between the exposure and outcome samples 	Methods - Follow-Up Two-Sample MR.

	ii. Provide information on the number of individuals who overlap between the exposure and outcome studies	Supplementary Table S7.
11	Main results	
	a) Report the associations between genetic variant and exposure, and between genetic variant and outcome, preferably on an interpretable scale	Results Paragraph 1 and Supplementary Table S3.
	b) Report MR estimates of the relationship between exposure and outcome, and the measures of uncertainty from the MR analysis, on an interpretable scale, such as odds ratio or relative risk per SD difference	Supplementary Tables S9-10.
	c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA.
	d) Consider plots to visualize results (e.g. forest plot, scatterplot of associations between genetic variants and outcome versus between genetic variants and exposure)	Figures 6-7.
12	Assessment of assumptions	
	a) Report the assessment of the validity of the assumptions	Results.
	b) Report any additional statistics (e.g., assessments of heterogeneity across genetic variants, such as I^2 , Q statistic or E-value)	Supplementary Tables S9-10.
13	Sensitivity analyses and additional analyses	
	a) Report any sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of the main results to violations of the assumptions	Results and Supplementary Table S3.
	b) Report results from other sensitivity analyses or additional analyses	Results and Supplementary Tables S9-10.
	c) Report any assessment of direction of causal relationship (e.g., bidirectional MR)	Discussion Paragraph 1.
	d) When relevant, report and compare with estimates from non-MR analyses	NA.
	e) Consider additional plots to visualize results (e.g., leave-one-out analyses)	Supplementary Figure S3.
DISCUSSION		
14	Key results	Summarize key results with reference to study objectives
		Discussion Paragraph 1.
15	Limitations	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account the validity of the IV assumptions, other sources of potential bias, and imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias and any efforts to address them
		Discussion - Strength and Limitations.

16	Interpretation		
		a) Meaning: Give a cautious overall interpretation of results in the context of their limitations and in comparison with other studies	Discussion Paragraph 2.
		b) Mechanism: Discuss underlying biological mechanisms that could drive a potential causal relationship between the investigated exposure and the outcome, and whether the gene-environment equivalence assumption is reasonable. Use causal language carefully, clarifying that IV estimates may provide causal effects only under certain assumptions	Discussion Paragraph 1
		c) Clinical relevance: Discuss whether the results have clinical or public policy relevance, and to what extent they inform effect sizes of possible interventions	Discussion.
17	Generalizability	Discuss the generalizability of the study results (a) to other populations, (b) across other exposure periods/timings, and (c) across other levels of exposure	Discussion - Strength and Limitations.
OTHER INFORMATION			
18	Funding	Describe sources of funding and the role of funders in the present study and, if applicable, sources of funding for the databases and original study or studies on which the present study is based	Footnotes - Funding.
19	Data and data sharing	Provide the data used to perform all analyses or report where and how the data can be accessed, and reference these sources in the article. Provide the statistical code needed to reproduce the results in the article, or report whether the code is publicly accessible and if so, where	Footnotes - Data Sharing Statement.
20	Conflicts of Interest	All authors should declare all potential conflicts of interest	Footnotes - Competing Interests Declaration.

This checklist is copyrighted by the Equator Network under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported (CC BY 3.0) license.

1. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology using Mendelian Randomization (STROBE-MR) Statement. JAMA. 2021;under review.
2. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Davies NM, Swanson SA, VanderWeele TJ, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology using Mendelian Randomisation (STROBE-MR): Explanation and Elaboration. BMJ. 2021;375:n2233.