

The Impact of Early Life Stress on the Genetic Influence on Brain and Cognitive Development in Children

Running title: ELS alters the genetic pathway to the brain and cognitive development

Hee-Hwan Wang^{1*}, Seo-Yoon Moon^{2*}, Hyun-Jin Kim³, KaKyeong Kim¹,
Woo-Young Ahn³, Yoonjung Yoonie Joo⁴, Jiok Cha^{3, 5}

1. Department of Brain Cognitive and Science, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea 08825
 2. College of Liberal Studies, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea 08825
 3. Department of Psychology, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea 08825
 4. Institute of Data Science, Korea University, Seoul, Korea, 02841
 5. AI Institute, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea, 08825
- (* denotes equal contribution)

Correspondence to:

Yoonjung Yoonie Joo, PhD

201c, International Center for Converging Technology

145 Anam-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea, 02841

yojungjoo@korea.ac.kr

Office: +82-2-3290-4646

Jiok Cha, PhD

Gwanak-ro 1, Building #16, Suite M512

Gwanak-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea

connectome@snu.ac.kr

Office: +82-2-880-8618

Keywords: genes-brain-cognition, Early Life Stress (ELS), moderated mediation, path modeling, genome-wide polygenic scores (GPS)

Abstract

Early life stress (ELS), such as *abuse*, neglect, and maltreatment, is a well-known risk factor for mental illness. However, it is unclear how ELS affects the brain and cognitive development. Identifying specific relationships of ELS with the genetic and brain-related underpinnings of cognitive development may reveal biological mechanisms responsible for the negative impact of ELS and those that lead to individual differences in sensitivity (or resilience) to ELS. In this study, to investigate the interlinked processes of cognitive development, we analyzed the multimodal data of DNA genotypes, brain imaging (MRI), and neuropsychological assessment (NIH Toolbox) outcomes of 4,276 children (ages 9 to 10 years, European ancestry) from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study. We estimated the genetic influence on cognitive capacity using genome-wide polygenic scores (GPSs). Our regression and mediation analyses revealed significant causal relationships for the gene-brain-cognition pathway: Brain structural development significantly mediated the genetic influence on cognitive development (*partial mediation effect* = 0.016, $P_{FWE} < 0.001$). Interestingly, within the triangular relationship, we found a significant moderation effect of *abuse* only on the gene-to-brain pathway (*Index of Moderated Mediation* = -0.007; 95% CI = -0.012 ~ -0.002; $P_{FWE} < 0.05$). These findings indicate the negative modulatory effects of ELS on the genetic influence on brain structural development that lead to disadvantageous neurocognitive development in prepubertal children.

52 Introduction

53 Early life stress (ELS), such as *abuse* and *neglect*, is a well-known major risk factor
 54 for maladaptive cognition, behaviors, and psychiatric disorders¹⁻⁴ with long-term sequelae⁵.
 55 ELS modulates the expression of the genes responsible for stress physiology, emotion
 56 regulation, cognitive control, and learning and memory^{6-9,10}. Animal literature shows a causal
 57 effect of ELS on cognition and behaviors¹¹⁻²⁰ and the epi-/genetic mechanisms within the
 58 brain²¹⁻²⁴. In humans, similar mechanisms may be involved in psychopathology^{25,26}, stress
 59 physiology⁷, or emotion regulation²⁷⁻²⁹.

60 Although extensive literature shows associations of ELS with poor cognitive
 61 outcomes and brain functional and structural representations^{1,3,8}, exactly how ELS affects
 62 cognitive capacity in humans remains unclear. Moreover, the impact of ELS on the
 63 development of the brain and cognition in children remains unknown. Addressing these
 64 questions will provide much-needed insight into who, when, and where to intervene
 65 regarding the negative neurocognitive outcomes of ELS. Considering the well-known impact
 66 of ELS on cognition^{3,10}, we hypothesize that ELS is likely to modulate genetic influences on
 67 brain development as well as cognitive development^{3,5}.

68 Cognitive development involves multiple dynamic processes that are regulated by the
 69 complex interplay of genetic and environmental factors³⁰⁻³². Despite its significant impact,
 70 however, less is known about the biological mechanisms of how early environmental factors
 71 such as ELS, interact with genetic influences and impact cognitive development in children.
 72 The recent development of the genome-wide polygenic score approach (GPS) allows
 73 individual genetic loadings for cognitive capacity (e.g., accounting for up to 13% of the
 74 variance in cognitive outcomes in adults³³) to be estimated quantitatively. Using this rigorous
 75 computational approach, we aimed to test whether ELS modulates the gene-brain-cognition
 76 development process.

77 This study had two main questions. First, does a gene-brain-cognition pathway exist
78 during developmental periods? If so, does ELS negatively affect the causal pathway?
79 We investigated these questions using multimodal data, including genetics, brain
80 imaging, psychological data, and cognitive testing in large samples of prepubertal
81 children (ages 9 to 10 years) with a wide range of sociodemographic characteristics.

82 **Methods**

83 **Study Participants**

84 Participants were enrolled in the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD)
85 study, an ongoing longitudinal multisite study of brain development and health of youth in the
86 United States. We used the deidentified neuroimaging, demographic, genetic, and
87 behavioral data from the ABCD 2.0 Data Release³⁴, these data were collected across 21
88 sites from 2015 to 2018. The participants were 11,875 children from the U.S. who were aged
89 9-10 years³⁵. After removing participants who had at least one missing value from genetic,
90 neuroimaging, and ELS data, 4,276 participants were included in our analyses.

91

92 **Genotype data for Genome-wide polygenic scores (GPS)**

93 Saliva samples were collected from study participants and were genotyped using the
94 Affymetrix NIDA Smokescreen array (733,293 SNPs) at the Rutgers University Cell and DNA
95 Repository. We removed any inferiorly genotyped SNPs with thresholds of (i) genotype call
96 rate<95%, (ii) sample call rate<95%, and (iii) rare variants with minor allele frequency
97 (MAF)<1%. We imputed the data using the Michigan Imputation Server³⁶ based on the 1000
98 Genome phase 3 reference panel with Eagle ver2.4 phased output³⁷. For the imputed
99 12,046,090 SNPs, we additionally removed data from any individuals with >5% missing
100 genotypes; extreme heterozygosity (F coefficient > 3 standard deviation from the population
101 mean); and SNPs with <0.4 imputation INFO score, >5% missingness rate, <1% MAF and
102 Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($p < 10^{-6}$). Genetic ancestry was determined with the
103 fastSTRUCTURE algorithm³⁸, available from ABCD release 3.0. Our analysis was restricted
104 to individuals with European ancestry to control for population stratification. We estimated
105 both kinship coefficients (K.C.s) and principal components (P.C.s) to control familial

relatedness and ancestry admixture using PC-Air³⁹ and PC-Relate⁴⁰. We selected unrelated samples that were inferred to be more distant than 4th-degree relatives ($K.C. > 0.022$) and removed any genetic outliers that fell significantly outside (> 6 S.D. limits) the center in P.C. space. In the rest of this paper, we used genotype data (11,301,999 variants) of 8,523 unrelated European samples after Q.C., and the first ten ancestrally informative P.C.s were used as covariates in all the reported analyses (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

To assess the subject-level genetic propensity for cognitive ability, we computed genome-wide polygenic scores (GPS) for cognitive performance (CP) and educational attainment (EA) using publicly available GWAS summary statistics based on the European population³⁰. The GPSs were constructed using PRSice2⁴¹, adjusting for the first ten principal components (P.C.s) of the genotype data to control for population stratification.

Brain Imaging-Anatomical Imaging

T1-weighted (T1w) 3D structural MRI images were acquired in the ABCD study. We processed the images following established protocols^{42,43}. The gradient nonlinearity distortion correction method was performed on structural MRI scans to improve geometric accuracy and image intensity reproducibility⁴⁴. Based on tissue segmentation and sparse spatial smoothing, intensity nonuniformity was corrected. Then, the data were then resampled with 1 mm isotropic voxels into rigid alignment with an atlas-derived brain.

Cortical surface reconstruction was applied using the following procedures: structural MRI scans were processed using FreeSurfer v6.0 (<https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu>) for cortical surface reconstruction⁴⁵, which includes skull-stripping⁴⁶, white matter segmentation and initial mesh creation⁴⁵, correction of topological defects, surface optimization^{47,48}, and nonlinear registration to a spherical surface-based atlas⁴⁹.

Brain Imaging-Diffusion Spectrum Imaging

We used the diffusion spectrum images from the ABCD study that were preprocessed using the following protocol⁵⁰ by the ABCD Data Analysis and Informatics Center (DAIC). Eddy current distortion correction was used with a nonlinear estimation using diffusion gradient orientations and amplitudes to predict the pattern of distortion⁵¹. Head motion was corrected by registering images synthesized from tensor fit⁵². Diffusion gradients were adjusted for head rotation^{52,53}. To identify and replace dark slices due to abrupt head motion, we used robust diffusion tensor estimation⁵⁴. B0 distortion was corrected with the reversing gradient method⁵⁵. Gradient nonlinearity distortion correction was applied⁴⁴. The data were resampled to a standard orientation with an isotropic resolution of 1.5 mm.

To estimate accurate brain imaging phenotypes, we used individual connectome data. We applied MRtrix3⁵⁶ for whole-brain white matter tract estimation and individualized connectome generation. For connectivity metrics, we used streamline counts associated with fiber connection strength^{57,58} associated with fiber integrity. We decreased noise⁵⁹, and performed bias correction with the Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTs) pipeline's N4 algorithm⁶⁰. To obtain a connectivity index with a white matter pathway⁶¹, we performed probabilistic tractography by second-order integration over fiber orientation distributions⁶², with random seeding across the brain and target streamline counts of 20 million. These initial tractograms were filtered from preliminary tractograms using spherical-deconvolution informed filtering (2:1 ratio). With a final streamline count of 10 million, we generated an 84 x 84 whole-brain connectome matrix for each participant using the T1-based parcellation and segmentation in FreeSurfer. This pipeline ensured that individual participants' connectomes were restricted to their own neuroanatomy. We carried out the computation on the supercomputers at Argonne Leadership Computing Facility Theta and Texas Advanced Computing Center Stampede2.

Dimensionality reduction of brain data

To extract brain morphometric representations correlated with genetic influence on intelligence, we first performed generalized linear model analysis using cognitive capacity GPSs as independent variables and neuroimaging data as dependent variables adjusted to covariates (e.g., age, sex, maternal education, income, BMI, study site, and marital status). Among three different types of neuroimaging data (structural MRI, DTI-count, and DTI-FA), only brain morphometric features (i.e., structural MRI) showed significant associations with cognitive capacity GPSs ($P_{FWE} < 0.05$; **Figure 3, Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Table 1**)⁶³. We then performed principal component analysis to extract representations from brain morphological features associated with CP GPS or EA GPS (R version 3.4.1). We used the first principal components as representations of the brain.

NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery data

NIH Toolbox Cognitive Function Tests⁶⁴ were used to assess various levels of general cognitive ability of the participants. For each child, summary scores from the NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery were provided, including the crystallized intelligence composite score (mainly measuring prior learning and past experiences about language), the fluid intelligence composite score (mainly measuring abstract reasoning and learning ability in novel situations), and total intelligence composite score, which is the combination of both crystallized and fluid intelligence composite scores^{65,66}. The crystallized intelligence composite score is a composite of the *Picture Vocabulary Test* and the *Oral Reading Recognition Test* outcomes, and the fluid intelligence composite score is a composite of the *Dimensional Change Card Sort Test*, the *Flanker Inhibitory Control and Attention Test*, the *Picture Sequence Memory Test*, the *List Sorting Working Memory Test*, and the *Pattern*

Comparison Processing Speed Test outcomes. Total intelligence composite score is a representation of general intelligence and is an aggregation of all the tests⁶⁷.

Early life stress

We derived ELS measures based on child exposure domains in the ABCD study⁶⁸ (**Supplementary Table 2**). ELS measures were divided into three main categories: *household challenges, neglect, and abuse*. Subcategories of ELS data were as follows: *parental separation or divorce, criminal household member, household substance abuse, mental illness in household, mother treated violently in household challenges, emotional neglect, physical neglect in neglect, and physical abuse and sexual abuse in abuse*. We extracted the items of each subscale from the following various measurement tools (participant- or parent-reported): ABCD Family Environment Scale-Family Conflict Subscale Modified from PhenX, ABCD Diagnostic Interview for DSM-5 Traumatic Events, ABCD Family History Assessment, ABCD Parent Demographics Survey, ABCD Children's Report of Parental Behavioral Inventory, and ABCD Parental Monitoring Survey. We averaged the measurements for each subcategory and transformed them into z scores. The higher the score, the more stressful experiences children had.

Statistical Analysis

We performed a generalized linear model for three types of relationships — GPS-brain, GPS-intelligence composite scores, and brain-intelligence composite scores. We included the following covariates into those models: age, sex, maternal education, parental income, BMI, study site, and marital status. Family ID was not included because our analysis was performed in the dataset of unrelated individuals after excluding any relatives fourth-

degree or closer during the genetic QC process. We used familywise error (i.e., Bonferroni correction) in each type of relationship for multiple comparison corrections.

For path modeling, we first tested an initial mediation model (**Figure 1-a**) to check whether the key relationship of the GPS-brain-cognition pathway was significant. Before examining the role of ELS in the GPS-brain-cognition pathway, we tested whether ELS directly affects cognition and whether ELS moderates the gene-cognition pathway (**Figure 1-b**). After we determined the potential moderation effect of ELS on the GPS-brain-cognition pathway, we evaluated the first-stage moderated mediation models and the second-stage moderated mediation models, following the framework of moderated mediation analysis⁶⁹⁻⁷¹. These models assessed how ELS and its interaction with genetics or the brain, would affect the triangular pathway. We repeated the analyses with different subtypes of ELS and compared their effects.

Mediation and moderated mediation analyses were performed in R environment v3.4.1 using the *lavaan* v0.6-7 package with 1000 bootstrapping replicates. Mediation models included the same covariates that were used in the regression model. *Parental separation or divorce* had to be excluded in a moderated mediation model because of model convergence issues.

Results

Participants

After quality control, our study cohort comprised 4,276 unrelated children of European ancestry with a mean age of 9-10 years from the ABCD study³⁵. The demographic characteristics of the patients, stratified by records of ELS experience, are summarized in **Table 1 (Supplementary Table 3) and Figure 2**. All covariates, except for BMI, showed significant differences in experience with one or more type of ELS (*abuse*: $P < 0.001$, *neglect*: $P < 0.05$, and *household challenges*: $P < 0.05$) (**Supplementary Table 4**).

Correlation among GPS, Brain, and Intelligence

Cognitive Capacity GPS-Brain

Out of 992 brain morphometric features, 169 and 44 brain features correlated significantly with CP GPS ($P_{FWE} < 0.05$) and EA GPS, respectively ($P_{FWE} < 0.05$), when adjusted for age, sex, maternal education, income, BMI, study site, and marital status (**Figure 3**). Of note, global brain features — including total gray matter volume, total cortex volume, subcortical gray matter volume, and total cerebral white matter volume — were shown to have significant associations with both GPSs (**Supplementary Table 1**). White matter fiber counts and fractional anisotropy of the structural connectomes showed no significant correlations with either GPS (**Supplementary Figure 2**). To obtain cognitive capacity GPS-related brain representations, we linearly reduced the dimensionality of brain morphometric features correlated with each GPS by performing principal component analysis. We verified that only the first components substantially explained the variance of each GPS-related brain morphometric feature (Brain_{CP GPS}: 44.5%; Brain_{EA GPS}: 54.9%)

(**Supplementary Figure 3, 4, 5**) and were significantly correlated with cognitive capacity GPS (Brain CP GPS: $\beta = 0.10$, $P_{FWE} < 0.001$; Brain EA GPS: $\beta = 0.15$, $P_{FWE} < 0.001$) (**Supplementary Table 5**).

Brain-Intelligence Composite Score

Both *Brain CP GPS* and *Brain EA GPS* were significantly correlated with all three types of intelligence composite scores ($P_{FWE} < 0.001$). Among the different types of intelligence composite scores, both brain representations showed the highest effect size on crystallized intelligence composite scores (*Brain CP GPS*: $\beta = 0.18$; *Brain EA GPS*: $\beta = 0.18$), with the lowest effect size on fluid intelligence composite scores (*Brain CP GPS*: $\beta = 0.05$; *Brain EA GPS*: $\beta = 0.05$). Total intelligence composite score was moderately correlated with brain representations (*Brain CP GPS*: $\beta = 0.12$; *Brain EA GPS*: $\beta = 0.12$) (**Supplementary Table 6**).

GPS-Intelligence Composite Score

Both CP and EA GPS were significantly correlated with the outcomes of all three of the different types of intelligence measures ($P_{FWE} < 0.05$). Test statistics showed that composite scores of crystallized intelligence appeared to have the strongest associations with both GPSs (*CP GPS*: $\beta = 0.17$; *EA GPS*: $\beta = 0.15$), followed by total intelligence composite scores (*CP GPS*: $\beta = 0.14$; *EA GPS*: $\beta = 0.10$) and the fluid intelligence composite score (*CP GPS*: $\beta = 0.09$; *EA GPS*: $\beta = 0.05$) (**Supplementary Table 6**).

Mediation Analysis

Our analysis of the GPS-brain-cognition pathway (**Figure 1-a**) showed that the brain morphometric representations significantly mediated the causal effect of both GPSs on all types of intelligence ($P_{FWE} < 0.001$). Both GPSs showed the strongest direct effect on crystallized intelligence composite scores (β *direct effect of CP GPS* = 0.15; β *direct effect of EA GPS* = 0.13) compared to that on fluid intelligence composite scores (β *direct effect of CP GPS* = 0.09; β *direct effect of EA GPS* = 0.04) and total intelligence composite scores (β *direct effect of CP GPS* = 0.13; β *direct effect of EA GPS* = 0.09). Both GPSs also showed the strongest indirect effect size on crystallized intelligence composite scores, which were determined by brain morphometric representation (β *indirect effect of CP GPS* = 0.016; β *indirect effect of EA GPS* = 0.016), compared to fluid intelligence composite scores (β *indirect effect of CP GPS* = 0.004; β *indirect effect of EA GPS* = 0.005) and total intelligence composite scores (β *indirect effect of CP GPS* = 0.010; β *indirect effect of EA GPS* = 0.010) (**Table 2, Supplementary Table 7, Figure 4**).

Path Analysis: Moderated Mediation effect of ELS

Prior to moderated mediation analysis, we first assessed the correlation between ELS and intelligence composite scores and then evaluated the moderation effects of ELS on the relationship between cognitive capacity GPSs and intelligence composite scores (**Figure**

1-b). Among the different types of ELS, only *neglect* showed significant correlations with all types of intelligence composite scores (**Supplementary Table 8**). In moderation analysis, no significant moderation effects of the ELS variables were detected ($P_{FWE} > 0.05$) (**Supplementary Table 9**).

The following first-stage moderated mediation analysis (**Figure 1-c**) showed the significant negative moderation effect of *abuse* on the EA GPS-brain-intelligence composite score relationship. Notably, *abuse* itself did not affect EA GPS-related brain morphometric representation; however, the interaction between EA GPS and *abuse* showed a significant negative association with brain morphometric representation ($\beta = -0.04$, **95% CI** = $-0.07 \sim -0.014$, $P_{FWE} < 0.05$). Among the different kinds of intelligence composite scores, crystallized intelligence composite scores were the most severely affected by the interplay of ELS and cognitive capacity GPSs through the brain (**Index of Moderated Mediation Crystallized Intelligence Score** = -0.007 , **95% CI** = $-0.012 \sim -0.002$; $P_{FWE} < 0.05$) compared to the effect on fluid intelligence composite scores (**Index of Moderated Mediation Fluid Intelligence Score** = -0.002 , **95% CI** = $-0.004 \sim 0.0$; $P_{FWE} < 0.01$) and total intelligence composite scores (**Index of Moderated Mediation Total Intelligence Score** = -0.005 , **95% CI** = $-0.009 \sim -0.002$; $P_{FWE} < 0.01$) (**Table 3, Supplementary Table 10**). In the second-stage moderated mediation models (**Figure 1-d**), we found no significant interactions between the brain and ELS on intelligence composite scores (**Supplementary Table 11**). Taken together, the negative moderation effects of *abuse* on the causal pathway between genetic and phenotypic intelligence were only observed when the brain served as a mediator. The moderated mediation effect was only significant for *abuse*, but not for other ELS variables, even in subscales (**Supplementary Tables 12, 13**).

Discussion

By leveraging the multimodal genetic, neuroimaging, and cognitive assessment data of 4,267 children of European ancestry, we examined the complex interplay among brain structure and genomic influence on cognitive development and how ELS affects the gene-brain-cognition triad. Our analysis reveals likely causal neurodevelopment pathways under the genetic control of intelligence and ELS modulation. We found that brain structural development partially mediates the genomic contributions to cognitive development in young children. Of note, ELS (*abuse*) specifically modulates the influence of cognitive capacity GPSs on the brain, this modulatory effect then affects cognition. These results show the potential causal relationships between ELS (*abuse*) and the genetic pathway for neurocognitive development, accounting for biological how it may lead to cognitive deficits in children.

Extending the existing literature reporting the influence of genetics on the brain and cognition^{3,72-77}, our study shows a more specific multifactorial model in which brain structural development mediates the genetic impact on cognitive development. Of note, this study shows that the association of the cognitive capacity GPS was only significant with gray matter phenotypes but not with white matter phenotypes. This result may be related to the developmental characteristics observed during preadolescence (9 to 10 years old), i.e., marked changes in gray matter, followed by relatively greater white matter changes or myelination⁷⁸. Additionally, the brain mediation effect may be widespread involving several brain regions, considering that the brain mediator was the first principal component derived from hundreds of brain morphological features. Future research should examine how genetic influence is linked to longitudinal changes in brain structure over one's lifetime.

The key contribution of this study to the literature is the discovery that ELS modulates the magnitude of the impact of genomic influence on neurocognitive development. This

finding may account for a potential mechanism of the long-term effect of ELS on cognitive deficits. Note that our brain imaging results shows that ELS modulated the genomic influence on the cortical morphology of the various brain regions responsible for cognition and intelligence. In addition to the literature documenting the impact of ELS on affective processing, stress physiology and the relevant brain system^{3,72-77}, this finding shows that ELS impacts cognitive brain circuitry.

Our moderated mediation results support genetic differential susceptibility to ELS. The genetic differential susceptibility model proposes that genetic variations of individuals regulate sensitivity or susceptibility to environmental influences⁷⁹⁻⁸¹. In our analysis, as a result of the ELS moderation effects on the gene-to-brain pathway, children with the same level of ELS showed different cognitive outcomes depending on the magnitude of the EA GPS. That is, a child with a higher EA GPS showed a greater negative impact of ELS on the cognitive outcome, whereas one with a lower EA GPS showed a smaller negative impact. Perhaps, in the case of individual with a high GPS, ELS may negatively regulate the expression of the genes responsible for the brain and cognitive development (sequentially as supported in our model). Consequently, otherwise, positive effects of high GPSs on cognitive outcomes may be eliminated under the effect of ELS, resulting in lower cognitive outcomes compared with the individuals with high GPSs and no ELS. A crucial outstanding question is whether this modulatory effect of ELS on the cognitive capacity GPS is linked to epigenetics. Animal research shows that ELS induces epigenetic modification in DNA methylation sites that are associated with a wide array of neural events, such as neurotransmitter biosynthesis, neurological system processes, glial cell proliferation, neurogenesis in the hippocampus, neural migration in the cerebral cortex, neuroplasticity, and neurodevelopmental delay⁸²⁻⁸⁴; many of these events are critically related to cognitive development. This line of research may allow precise risk stratification and the development of more individualized intervention strategies.

Another novel aspect of this study was the application of the GPS approach, rather than focusing on selected common variants of DNA, which permitted integrative assessment of the polygenic contribution to cognitive development and revealed its impact on the wide array of brain circuits. A recent study reported a similar finding of the association between cognitive capacity GPS and brain volumes in adult samples in the UK Biobank⁷³. Our study showed that the gene-brain-cognition relationship manifests as early as preadolescence.

Our observations suggested that *physical or sexual abuse* significantly modulates the "gene-to-brain" path, which then leads to "cognition" but not the "gene-to-cognition" path. This finding is in line with previous ELS studies reporting that *physical neglect* and *abuse* negatively impact genetic regulation of brain systems, such as hippocampal glucocorticoid receptors, neurogenesis, and regulation of brain development^{6,7,9,82}.

The direct effect of cognitive capacity GPSs on cognition was tenfold larger in magnitude than the indirect effects on the brain. Note that the gray matter representations derived from ROI-level morphometry may only partially account for the gene-to-brain effects. Indeed, a morphometric analysis may not fully detect subtle changes in the brain tissues under the control of epigenetic (or any other environmental) mechanisms, such as vascularization, neurogenesis, and synaptogenesis. Future research may elucidate sensitive brain representations related to genetic influence and environmental modulation.

Another possible explanation of the small indirect effect on the brain may be related to the limitations of linear models in testing complex nonlinear relationships. We used PCA to extract the brain representation (i.e., principal components) from thousands of brain morphometric variables. We used this method for the ease of statistical modeling (mediation analysis); however, since this method uses a linear and orthogonal transformation of data, we admit that this representation might be too simplistic to capture nonlinear relationships among the brain variables.

Our study showed the utility of our multitrait (cognitive performance and educational attainment) genomic approach for revealing the different patterns of genetic influences on the brain and cognition. Years of education (educational attainment, EA) is a widely used proxy for an intelligence phenotype. This is because of its high genetic correlation with intelligence and the ease of assessment compared to an evaluation of cognitive ability that would require behavioral tests (hence, it is unfavorable in large GWASs). For example, the literature shows that EA GPS correlates with cognitive functioning⁸⁵ and the development of behaviors⁸⁶.

Although both cognitive capacity GPSs showed significant effects on the gene-brain-cognition pathway, only EA GPS showed a significant modulatory effect of ELS on the pathway; CP GPS did not have a notable effect on the pathway. This observed ELS effect on EA GPS may be related to genetic loading not only for cognitive capacity but also for noncognitive skills and traits required for successful education attainment⁸⁷. On the other hand, CP GPS correlated with a greater number of brain morphometric features than EA GPS did. This may reflect that CP GPS represents the genetic influence primarily on biological processes (i.e., brain development) directly linked to cognitive performance, but not so much on noncognitive processes. These points may be used to guide to future studies examining the gene-environment interaction in cognitive development.

Our results indicated greater magnitudes of the genetic influence on crystallized intelligence than on fluid intelligence during development. Crystallized intelligence is the ability to apply prior knowledge to problem solving; fluid intelligence is the ability to reason in novel situations without prior knowledge^{65,88,89}. Since the genetic influence (both direct and indirect) was up to three times larger on crystallized intelligence compared to that on fluid intelligence, the impact of ELS via the gene-brain pathway was proportionally greater on crystallized intelligence than on fluid intelligence. This result adds granularity regarding the specificity of ELS impacts.

A few limitations of the study should be noted. First, it was not within the scope of this study to examine brain function, which is influenced by ELS through the triangular relationship. It is well known that ELS leads to changes in brain function^{3,8}. Previous animal studies support that the function of adaptive gene-brain-cognition feedback systems may be affected by ELS⁸. Thus, the comprehensive impact of ELS on this triangular relationship needs to be further investigated in terms of brain function to reveal all the causal mechanisms. Second, we created composite scores of ELS with several proxy measures instead of using the established measure for childhood trauma, i.e., the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) questionnaire⁹⁰. This was mainly due to the unavailability of the ACE questionnaire data in the ABCD study. However, it is of note that we included questionnaires similar to those of the ACE questionnaire and included several ELS-related measurements⁶⁸, thus aggregating various adverse environmental factors.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the New Faculty Startup Fund from Seoul National University and a Research grant from Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, South Korea (2021R1I1A1A01054995).

Author contributions

- Study concept and design: Y.J., J.C.
- Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Y.J., H.W., S.M., K.K., H.K.
- Drafting of the manuscript: Y.J., H.W., S.M., J.C.

- 434 • Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Y.J., J.K.,
435 H.W. S.M., K.K., W.A.
- 436 • Statistical analysis: H.W., S.M.
- 437 • Obtained funding: J.C.
- 438 • Study supervision: Y.J. J.C.

439

440 **Data and Code Availability**

441 Codes and data are freely available for reproducibility
442 (https://github.com/Transconnectome/ELS_on_genes-brain-cognition)

443

444 **Competing Interest Disclosures**

445 None of the authors have significant competing financial, professional, or personal
446 interests that might have influenced the performance or presentation of the work
447 described in the manuscript.

References

1. Saleh, A. *et al.* Effects of early life stress on depression, cognitive performance and brain morphology. *Psychol. Med.* **47**, 171–181 (2017).
2. Pesonen, A.-K. *et al.* Cognitive ability and decline after early life stress exposure. *Neurobiol. Aging* **34**, 1674–1679 (2013).
3. Pechtel, P. & Pizzagalli, D. A. Effects of early life stress on cognitive and affective function: an integrated review of human literature. *Psychopharmacology* **214**, 55–70 (2011).
4. De Bellis, M. D. Developmental traumatology: the psychobiological development of maltreated children and its implications for research, treatment, and policy. *Dev. Psychopathol.* **13**, 539–564 (2001).
5. Peña, C. J. *et al.* Early life stress confers lifelong stress susceptibility in mice via ventral tegmental area OTX2. *Science* **356**, 1185–1188 (2017).
6. Niwa, M. *et al.* Adolescent stress-induced epigenetic control of dopaminergic neurons via glucocorticoids. *Science* **339**, 335–339 (2013).
7. Fuge, P. *et al.* Interaction of Early Life Stress and Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone Receptor Gene: Effects on Working Memory. *Biological Psychiatry* vol. 76 888–894 (2014).
8. Chen, Y. & Baram, T. Z. Toward Understanding How Early-Life Stress Reprograms Cognitive and Emotional Brain Networks. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 197–206 (2016).
9. McGowan, P. O. *et al.* Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 342–348 (2009).

- 471 10. Koenen, K. C., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., Taylor, A. & Purcell, S. Domestic violence is
472 associated with environmental suppression of IQ in young children. *Dev. Psychopathol.*
473 **15**, 297–311 (2003).
- 474 11. Adjimann, T. S., Argañaraz, C. V. & Soiza-Reilly, M. Serotonin-related rodent models of
475 early-life exposure relevant for neurodevelopmental vulnerability to psychiatric disorders.
476 *Transl. Psychiatry* **11**, 280 (2021).
- 477 12. Dong, E., Guidotti, A., Zhang, H. & Pandey, S. C. Prenatal stress leads to chromatin and
478 synaptic remodeling and excessive alcohol intake comorbid with anxiety-like behaviors in
479 adult offspring. *Neuropharmacology* **140**, 76–85 (2018).
- 480 13. Ivy, A. S. *et al.* Hippocampal dysfunction and cognitive impairments provoked by chronic
481 early-life stress involve excessive activation of CRH receptors. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 13005–
482 13015 (2010).
- 483 14. Spinelli, S. *et al.* Early-life stress induces long-term morphologic changes in primate
484 brain. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* **66**, 658–665 (2009).
- 485 15. Sun, X., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Liu, X. & Qin, C. Early-Life Neglect Alters Emotional and
486 Cognitive Behavior in a Sex-Dependent Manner and Reduces Glutamatergic Neuronal
487 Excitability in the Prefrontal Cortex. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* vol. 11 (2021).
- 488 16. Bock, J., Riedel, A. & Braun, K. Differential changes of metabolic brain activity and
489 interregional functional coupling in prefronto-limbic pathways during different stress
490 conditions: functional imaging in freely behaving rodent pups. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **6**, 19
491 (2012).
- 492 17. Molet, J. *et al.* Fragmentation and high entropy of neonatal experience predict
493 adolescent emotional outcome. *Transl. Psychiatry* **6**, e702 (2016).
- 494 18. Brunson, K. L. *et al.* Mechanisms of late-onset cognitive decline after early-life stress. *J.*
495 *Neurosci.* **25**, 9328–9338 (2005).

- 496 19. Radley, J. J. *et al.* Repeated stress alters dendritic spine morphology in the rat medial
497 prefrontal cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **507**, 1141–1150 (2008).
- 498 20. Aisa, B., Tordera, R., Lasheras, B., Del Río, J. & Ramírez, M. J. Cognitive impairment
499 associated to HPA axis hyperactivity after maternal separation in rats.
500 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* vol. 32 256–266 (2007).
- 501 21. Alviña, K., Jodeiri Farshbaf, M. & Mondal, A. K. Long term effects of stress on
502 hippocampal function: Emphasis on early life stress paradigms and potential involvement
503 of neuropeptide Y. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **99**, 57–66 (2021).
- 504 22. Kronman, H. *et al.* Long-term behavioral and cell-type-specific molecular effects of early
505 life stress are mediated by H3K79me2 dynamics in medium spiny neurons. *Nat.*
506 *Neurosci.* **24**, 667–676 (2021).
- 507 23. Arabadzisz, D. *et al.* Primate early life stress leads to long-term mild hippocampal
508 decreases in corticosteroid receptor expression. *Biol. Psychiatry* **67**, 1106–1109 (2010).
- 509 24. Wang, X.-D. *et al.* Forebrain CRF1 Modulates Early-Life Stress-Programmed Cognitive
510 Deficits. *Journal of Neuroscience* vol. 31 13625–13634 (2011).
- 511 25. De Bellis, M. D. *et al.* Brain structures in pediatric maltreatment-related posttraumatic
512 stress disorder: a sociodemographically matched study. *Biol. Psychiatry* **52**, 1066–1078
513 (2002).
- 514 26. Jackowski, A. P. *et al.* Corpus callosum in maltreated children with posttraumatic stress
515 disorder: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Psychiatry Res.* **162**, 256–261 (2008).
- 516 27. Gatt, J. M. *et al.* Interactions between BDNF Val66Met polymorphism and early life
517 stress predict brain and arousal pathways to syndromal depression and anxiety. *Mol.*
518 *Psychiatry* **14**, 681–695 (2009).
- 519 28. Caspi, A. *et al.* Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in
520 the 5-HTT gene. *Science* **301**, 386–389 (2003).

- 521 29. McLaughlin, K. A. *et al.* Maltreatment Exposure, Brain Structure, and Fear Conditioning
522 in Children and Adolescents. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 1956–1964 (2016).
- 523 30. Lee, J. J. *et al.* Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide
524 association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nat. Genet.* **50**,
525 1112–1121 (2018).
- 526 31. van der Sluis, S., Willemsen, G., de Geus, E. J. C., Boomsma, D. I. & Posthuma, D.
527 Gene-environment interaction in adults' IQ scores: measures of past and present
528 environment. *Behav. Genet.* **38**, 348–360 (2008).
- 529 32. Harden, K. P., Turkheimer, E. & Loehlin, J. C. Genotype by environment interaction in
530 adolescents' cognitive aptitude. *Behav. Genet.* **37**, 273–283 (2007).
- 531 33. Plomin, R. & von Stumm, S. The new genetics of intelligence. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **19**, 148–
532 159 (2018).
- 533 34. Jernigan, T. & Jernigan, T. Adolescent brain cognitive development study (ABCD) -
534 annual release 2.0. (2019) doi:10.15154/1503209.
- 535 35. Karcher, N. R. & Barch, D. M. The ABCD study: understanding the development of risk
536 for mental and physical health outcomes. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **46**, 131–142
537 (2021).
- 538 36. Das, S. *et al.* Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nat. Genet.* **48**,
539 1284–1287 (2016).
- 540 37. Loh, P.-R. *et al.* Reference-based phasing using the Haplotype Reference Consortium
541 panel. *Nature Genetics* vol. 48 1443–1448 (2016).
- 542 38. Raj, A., Stephens, M. & Pritchard, J. K. fastSTRUCTURE: variational inference of
543 population structure in large SNP data sets. *Genetics* **197**, 573–589 (2014).

544 39. Conomos, M. P., Miller, M. B. & Thornton, T. A. Robust inference of population structure
545 for ancestry prediction and correction of stratification in the presence of relatedness.
546 *Genet. Epidemiol.* **39**, 276–293 (2015).

547 40. Conomos, M. P., Reiner, A. P., Weir, B. S. & Thornton, T. A. Model-free Estimation of
548 Recent Genetic Relatedness. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **98**, 127–148 (2016).

549 41. Choi, S. W. & O'Reilly, P. F. PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobank-scale
550 data. *Gigascience* **8**, (2019).

551 42. Garavan, H. *et al.* Recruiting the ABCD sample: Design considerations and procedures.
552 *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* vol. 32 16–22 (2018).

553 43. Casey, B. J. *et al.* The Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study: Imaging
554 acquisition across 21 sites. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience* vol. 32 43–54 (2018).

555 44. Jovicich, J. *et al.* Reliability in multi-site structural MRI studies: effects of gradient non-
556 linearity correction on phantom and human data. *Neuroimage* **30**, 436–443 (2006).

557 45. Dale, A. M., Fischl, B. & Sereno, M. I. Cortical surface-based analysis. I. Segmentation
558 and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage* **9**, 179–194 (1999).

559 46. Ségonne, F. *et al.* A hybrid approach to the skull stripping problem in MRI. *Neuroimage*
560 **22**, 1060–1075 (2004).

561 47. Fischl, B., Liu, A. & Dale, A. M. Automated manifold surgery: constructing geometrically
562 accurate and topologically correct models of the human cerebral cortex. *IEEE Trans.*
563 *Med. Imaging* **20**, 70–80 (2001).

564 48. Ségonne, F., Pacheco, J. & Fischl, B. Geometrically accurate topology-correction of
565 cortical surfaces using nonseparating loops. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **26**, 518–529
566 (2007).

567 49. Fischl, B., Sereno, M. I., Tootell, R. B. H. & Dale, A. M. High-resolution intersubject
568 averaging and a coordinate system for the cortical surface. *Human Brain Mapping* vol. 8
569 272–284 (1999).

570 50. Hagler, D. J., Jr *et al.* Image processing and analysis methods for the Adolescent Brain
571 Cognitive Development Study. *Neuroimage* **202**, 116091 (2019).

572 51. Zhuang, J. *et al.* Correction of eddy-current distortions in diffusion tensor images using
573 the known directions and strengths of diffusion gradients. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* **24**,
574 1188–1193 (2006).

575 52. Hagler, D. J. *et al.* Automated white-matter tractography using a probabilistic diffusion
576 tensor atlas: Application to temporal lobe epilepsy. *Human Brain Mapping* vol. 30 1535–
577 1547 (2009).

578 53. Leemans, A. & Jones, D. K. The B-matrix must be rotated when correcting for subject
579 motion in DTI data. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* vol. 61 1336–1349 (2009).

580 54. Chang, L.-C., Jones, D. K. & Pierpaoli, C. RESTORE: robust estimation of tensors by
581 outlier rejection. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **53**, 1088–1095 (2005).

582 55. Holland, D., Kuperman, J. M. & Dale, A. M. Efficient correction of inhomogeneous static
583 magnetic field-induced distortion in Echo Planar Imaging. *Neuroimage* **50**, 175–183
584 (2010).

585 56. Tournier, J.-D. *et al.* MRtrix3: A fast, flexible and open software framework for medical
586 image processing and visualisation. *Neuroimage* **202**, 116137 (2019).

587 57. Cha, J. *et al.* Abnormal reward circuitry in anorexia nervosa: A longitudinal, multimodal
588 MRI study. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **37**, 3835–3846 (2016).

589 58. Cha, J. *et al.* Neural Correlates of Aggression in Medication-Naive Children with ADHD:
590 Multivariate Analysis of Morphometry and Tractography. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **40**,
591 1717–1725 (2015).

592 59. Veraart, J. *et al.* Denoising of diffusion MRI using random matrix theory. *NeuroImage* vol.
593 142 394–406 (2016).

594 60. Tustison, N. J. *et al.* N4ITK: improved N3 bias correction. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **29**,
595 1310–1320 (2010).

596 61. Ciccarelli, O. *et al.* Probabilistic diffusion tractography: a potential tool to assess the rate
597 of disease progression in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain* **129**, 1859–1871 (2006).

598 62. Calamante, F., Tournier, J.-D., Jackson, G. D. & Connelly, A. Track-density imaging
599 (TDI): super-resolution white matter imaging using whole-brain track-density mapping.
600 *Neuroimage* **53**, 1233–1243 (2010).

601 63. Bedre, R. reneshbedre/bioinfokit: Bioinformatics data analysis and visualization toolkit.
602 (2021) doi:10.5281/zenodo.4422035.

603 64. Gershon, R. C. *et al.* NIH toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function.
604 *Neurology* **80**, S2–6 (2013).

605 65. Cattell, R. B. Theory of fluid and crystallized intelligence: A critical experiment. *Journal of*
606 *Educational Psychology* vol. 54 1–22 (1963).

607 66. Weintraub, S. *et al.* Cognition assessment using the NIH Toolbox. *Neurology* **80**, S54–64
608 (2013).

609 67. Akshoomoff, N. *et al.* VIII. NIH Toolbox Cognition Battery (CB): composite scores of
610 crystallized, fluid, and overall cognition. *Monogr. Soc. Res. Child Dev.* **78**, 119–132
611 (2013).

612 68. Hoffman, E. A. *et al.* Stress exposures, neurodevelopment and health measures in the
613 ABCD study. *Neurobiology of Stress* vol. 10 100157 (2019).

614 69. Edwards, J. R. & Lambert, L. S. Methods for integrating moderation and mediation: a
615 general analytical framework using moderated path analysis. *Psychol. Methods* **12**, 1–22
616 (2007).

- 617 70. Hayes, A. F. An Index and Test of Linear Moderated Mediation. *Multivariate Behav. Res.*
618 **50**, 1–22 (2015).
- 619 71. Preacher, K. J., Rucker, D. D. & Hayes, A. F. Addressing Moderated Mediation
620 Hypotheses: Theory, Methods, and Prescriptions. *Multivariate Behav. Res.* **42**, 185–227
621 (2007).
- 622 72. Thompson, P. M. *et al.* Genetic influences on brain structure. *Nat. Neurosci.* **4**, 1253–
623 1258 (2001).
- 624 73. Elliott, M. L. *et al.* A Polygenic Score for Higher Educational Attainment is Associated
625 with Larger Brains. *Cereb. Cortex* **29**, 3496–3504 (2019).
- 626 74. Mitchell, B. L. *et al.* Educational attainment polygenic scores are associated with cortical
627 total surface area and regions important for language and memory. *Neuroimage* **212**,
628 116691 (2020).
- 629 75. Posthuma, D. *et al.* The association between brain volume and intelligence is of genetic
630 origin. *Nature neuroscience* vol. 5 83–84 (2002).
- 631 76. Pol, H. E. H. *et al.* Genetic Contributions to Human Brain Morphology and Intelligence.
632 *Journal of Neuroscience* vol. 26 10235–10242 (2006).
- 633 77. van Leeuwen, M. *et al.* A genetic analysis of brain volumes and IQ in children.
634 *Intelligence* vol. 37 181–191 (2009).
- 635 78. Gogtay, N. *et al.* Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood
636 through early adulthood. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **101**, 8174–8179 (2004).
- 637 79. Belsky, J. Variation in Susceptibility to Environmental Influence: An Evolutionary
638 Argument. *Psychological Inquiry* vol. 8 182–186 (1997).
- 639 80. Boyce, W. T. & Ellis, B. J. Biological sensitivity to context: I. An evolutionary-
640 developmental theory of the origins and functions of stress reactivity. *Dev. Psychopathol.*
641 **17**, 271–301 (2005).

642 81. Silveira, P. P. *et al.* Genetic Differential Susceptibility to Socioeconomic Status and
643 Childhood Obesogenic Behavior: Why Targeted Prevention May Be the Best Societal
644 Investment. *JAMA Pediatr.* **170**, 359–364 (2016).

645 82. Cecil, C. A. M. *et al.* Epigenetic signatures of childhood abuse and neglect: Implications
646 for psychiatric vulnerability. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **83**, 184–194 (2016).

647 83. Yang, B.-Z. *et al.* Child abuse and epigenetic mechanisms of disease risk. *Am. J. Prev.*
648 *Med.* **44**, 101–107 (2013).

649 84. Labonté, B. *et al.* Genome-wide epigenetic regulation by early-life trauma. *Arch. Gen.*
650 *Psychiatry* **69**, 722–731 (2012).

651 85. Rietveld, C. A. *et al.* GWAS of 126,559 individuals identifies genetic variants associated
652 with educational attainment. *Science* **340**, 1467–1471 (2013).

653 86. Belsky, D. W. *et al.* The Genetics of Success: How Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms
654 Associated With Educational Attainment Relate to Life-Course Development. *Psychol.*
655 *Sci.* **27**, 957–972 (2016).

656 87. Demange, P. A. *et al.* Investigating the genetic architecture of noncognitive skills using
657 GWAS-by-subtraction. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 35–44 (2021).

658 88. Merrifield, P. R. & Cattell, R. B. Abilities: Their Structure, Growth, and Action. *American*
659 *Educational Research Journal* vol. 12 516 (1975).

660 89. Christoforou, A. *et al.* GWAS-based pathway analysis differentiates between fluid and
661 crystallized intelligence. *Genes Brain Behav.* **13**, 663–674 (2014).

662 90. Felitti, V. J. *et al.* Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of
663 the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study.
664 *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **14**, 245–258 (1998).

665




Figure 1. Schematic overview of the tested path models. (a) The baseline m

ediation model has the brain factor as a mediator. **(b)** The moderation model has ELS a s a moderator. **(c)** The first-stage moderated mediation model for testing the moderating role of ELS on the relationship between the brain and genomic factors. **(c)** The second-stage moderated mediation model for testing the moderating role of ELS on the relation ship between the brain and intelligence composite scores.




Figure 2. Flow chart of data selection and research design. From the ABCD

2.0 Data release, genetic, brain imaging, and cognitive assessment data for 11,875 participants were collected. For the 8,496 individuals after initial quality control and GPS calculation, we additionally removed samples of non-European ancestry or with any missing values, for a total of 4,276 participants included in the analysis.






Figure 3. Manhattan plots of GPS-brain linear regression. The results of gen

eralized linear models between brain morphometric features and (a) CP GPS and (b) between brain morphometric features and EA GPS are presented using the Bonferroni-corrected p values. The dotted line indicates the significance threshold ($P_{FWE} = 0.05$). The X-axis is the category of brain features: global features (Global), brain cortical area (Area), cortical volume (Volume), subcortical volume (Sub. Vol.), average cortical curvature (Avg. Curv.), and cortical thickness (Thickness).

(a)



(b)



— Direct Effect
 - - - Indirect Effect

* $p < 0.05$ ** $p < 0.01$ *** $p < 0.001$

Figure 4. Path diagrams for crystallized intelligence mediation models. Standardized regression coefficients for each path. Red dotted lines indicate the total indirect effect.




Figure 5. Effect of abuse on the EA GPS-brain-cognition pathway. The left panel shows standardized regress

-ion coefficients for each path. Red dotted lines indicate the total indirect effect. Gray dotted lines indicate the moderation effect of ELS on the GPS-brain relationship. The right panel shows differences in the indirect impact caused by composite ELS scores (transparent gray areas indicate confidence intervals).

Table1. Demographic characteristics of participants with European ancestry (N=4,276).

The total participants are divided into five intervals regarding the composite score of ELS.

Sex	Number of subjects				
male	2,271				
female	2,005				
Age (control)	Mean	Standard deviation			
	129.28	7.38			
ELS score	0-20% (female)	20-40% (female)	40-60% (female)	60-80% (female)	80-100% (female)
Household Challenges	1711 (46.7%)	464 (48.7%)	88 (47.0%)	25 (40.8%)	2 (50.0%)
Neglect	2508 (51.7%)	1803 (41.8%)	377 (34.2%)	81 (40.7%)	6 (33.3%)
Abuse	4333 (46.8%)	27 (55.6%)	1 (100.0%)	0 (0)	13 (30.8%)

Demographic characteristics of participants regarding the composite score of ELS subscales are listed in supplementary table 4.

Table 2. Results of mediation analysis

Intelligence type	Crystallized intelligence		Fluid intelligence		Total intelligence	
	CP-GPS	EA-GPS	CP-GPS	EA-GPS	CP-GPS	EA-GPS
coefficient (raw β)						
$X \rightarrow M$	0.101*** [0.073, 0.328]	0.094*** [0.066, 0.122]	0.101*** [0.073, 0.328]	0.081*** [0.045, 0.114]	0.185*** [0.077, 0.327]	0.094*** [0.067, 0.328]
$M \rightarrow Y$	0.155*** [0.178, 0.178]	0.188*** [0.149, 0.188]	0.041*** [0.012, 0.071]	0.059*** [0.029, 0.078]	0.095*** [0.071, 0.127]	0.110*** [0.082, 0.188]
$X \rightarrow M \rightarrow Y$ (indirect)	0.016*** [0.011, 0.021]	0.086*** [0.053, 0.021]	0.004*** [0.000, 0.008]	0.009*** [0.001, 0.008]	0.016*** [0.007, 0.014]	0.016*** [0.007, 0.014]
$X \rightarrow Y$ (direct)	0.151*** [0.178, 0.178]	0.134*** [0.182, 0.168]	0.087*** [0.061, 0.078]	0.035* [0.012, 0.074]	0.136*** [0.000, 0.192]	0.093*** [0.057, 0.188]
R^2						
$X \rightarrow M$	0.288	0.279	0.288	0.279	0.288	0.279
$X \rightarrow Y$	0.252	0.243	0.247	0.140	0.248	0.236

Family-Wise linear corrected p value. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.001$, *** $p < 0.001$. Standardized regression coefficients for each path and standardized regression coefficients for indirect/direct path are reported. 95% confidence intervals are listed in the square-bracket at below coefficient values. CP-GPS = Cognitive Performance-GPS; EA-GPS = Educational Attainment-GPS. X [Independent variable] = GPS; M [Mediator] = the first principal component of GPS-related brain morphology features; Brain; Y [Dependent variable] = Intelligence Composite Scores. Note: $N = 4,767$.

Table 3. Moderated Mediation effect of Abuse on Educational Attainment GPS-Brain-Cognition

Intelligence	Crystallized Intelligence	Fluid Intelligence	Total Intelligence
coefficient (p < .05)			
X → M	0.094 ^{***} (0.066, 0.122)	0.094 ^{***} (0.066, 0.121)	0.094 ^{***} (0.066, 0.122)
W → M	-0.009 (-0.03, 0.011)	-0.009 (-0.03, 0.011)	-0.009 (-0.03, 0.011)
X*W → M	0.041 ^{***} (0.009, 0.074)	0.041 ^{***} (0.01, 0.071)	0.041 ^{***} (0.009, 0.074)
M → Y	0.136 ^{***} (0.106, 0.165)	0.049 ^{***} (0.017, 0.079)	0.150 ^{***} (0.095, 0.195)
X → M → Y (Indirect)	0.006 ^{***} (0.001, 0.009)	0.006 ^{***} (0.001, 0.009)	0.006 ^{***} (0.001, 0.01)
X → Y (Direct)	0.134 ^{***} (0.095, 0.169)	0.046 ^{***} (0.016, 0.075)	0.093 ^{***} (0.063, 0.124)
Indirect Moderated Mediation	0.007 ^{***} (0.001, 0.009)	-0.002 [*] (-0.004, 0.001)	-0.003 ^{***} (-0.005, -0.001)
R ²			
X & W → M	0.261	0.181	0.261
X → Y	0.243	0.143	0.235

Family-Wise Error corrected p-values: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Standardized regression coefficients for each path and standardized regression coefficients for indirect/direct path are reported. X (Independent variable) = Educational Attainment GPS; M (Mediator) = the first principal component of Educational Attainment GPS-related brain morphology features; W (Moderator) = Abuse; Y (Dependent variable) = Intelligence Scores; W (Moderator) = Family Life Stress; X*W = interaction term of GPS and FLGS. Note: N = 4,776. bootstrap (5,000) 95% bias/accel CI (lower / upper) = 4,768 / 73; negative bias/accel CI (lower / upper) = 3,968 / 716; accelerated CI (lower / upper) = 1,303 / 2,975.