Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant by sera from BNT162b2 or Coronavac vaccine recipients

Lu Lu^{1*}, Bobo Wing-Yee Mok^{1*}, Linlei Chen^{1*}, Jacky Man-Chun Chan², Owen Tak-Yin Tsang², Bosco Hoi-Shiu Lam³, Vivien Wai-Man Chuang⁴, Allen Wing-Ho Chu¹, Wan-Mui Chan¹, Jonathan Daniel Ip¹, Brian Pui-Chun Chan¹, Ruiqi Zhang⁵, Cyril Chik-Yan Yip^{1,6}, Vincent Chi-Chung Cheng^{1,6}, Kwok-Hung Chan¹, Ivan Fan-Ngai Hung⁵, Kwok-Yung Yuen^{1,6}, Honglin Chen¹, Kelvin Kai-Wang To^{1,6}

¹State Key Laboratory for Emerging Infectious Diseases, Carol Yu Centre for Infection, Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China

²Department of Medicine and Geriatrics, Princess Margaret Hospital, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China

³Department of Pathology, Princess Margaret Hospital, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China

⁴Quality & Safety Division, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China.

⁵Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China

⁶Department of Microbiology, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China

* These authors contribute equally

Corresponding authors:

Kelvin Kai-Wang To

Email: kelvinto@hku.hk

Phone number: (852)-22552413

Carol Yu Centre for Infection, State Key Laboratory of Emerging Infectious Diseases,
Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong
Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China

Kwok-Yung Yuen

Email: kyyuen@hku.hk

Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong
Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Pokfulam, Hong Kong, China

Word count: Abstract: 250; Main text: 1772.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; Variant of Concern; Omicron variant; COVID-19 vaccine;
Neutralizing antibody

Running title: Omicron variant susceptibility

ABSTRACT

Background

The SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant, designated as a Variant of Concern(VOC) by the World Health Organization, carries numerous spike protein mutations which have been found to evade neutralizing antibodies elicited by COVID-19 vaccines. The susceptibility of Omicron variant by vaccine-induced neutralizing antibodies are urgently needed for risk assessment.

Methods

Omicron variant strains HKU691 and HKU344-R346K were isolated from patients using TMPRSS2-overexpressing VeroE6 cells. Whole genome sequence was determined using nanopore sequencing. Neutralization susceptibility of ancestral lineage A virus and the Omicron, Delta and Beta variants to sera from 25 BNT162b2 and 25 Coronavac vaccine recipients was determined using a live virus microneutralization assay.

Results

The Omicron variant strain HKU344-R346K has an additional spike R346K mutation, which is present in 8.5% of strains in GISAID database. Only 20% and 24% of BNT162b2 recipients had detectable neutralizing antibody against the Omicron variant HKU691 and HKU344-R346K, respectively, while none of the Coronavac recipients had detectable neutralizing antibody titer against either Omicron isolates. For BNT162b2 recipients, the geometric mean neutralization antibody titers(GMT) of the Omicron variant isolates(5.43 and 6.42) were 35.7-39.9-fold lower than that of the ancestral virus(229.4), and the GMT of both omicron isolates were significantly lower than those of the beta and delta variants. There was no significant difference in the GMT between HKU691 and HKU344-R346K.

Conclusions

68 Omicron variant escapes neutralizing antibodies elicited by BNT162b2 or CoronaVac.
69 The additional R346K mutation did not affect the neutralization susceptibility. Our data suggest
70 that the Omicron variant may be associated with lower COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness.

INTRODUCTION

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) transmits efficiently among the human population [1]. Airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2 within hospitals have been reported [2]. Stringent public health and social measures have been implemented worldwide in an effort to control the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic [3].

The availability of COVID-19 vaccine since late 2020 has offered hope that the pandemic can be brought under control. At the time of writing, 8 COVID-19 vaccines have been validated for use by the WHO under the Emergency Use Listing, including the inactivated whole-virion vaccine (Coronavac, BBIBP-CorV, and Covaxin), mRNA vaccine (BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273), and adenovirus-vector vaccine (Ad26.COV2.S, AZD1222, Covishield) [4]. However, several variants with mutations in the spike protein receptor binding domain (RBD), especially at the receptor binding motif (RBM), have emerged and have been found to escape neutralization from antibodies in patients recovered from COVID-19 infection or vaccine recipients [5,6]. These variants, especially the Beta, Gamma and Delta variants, have been associated with reduced vaccine effectiveness [7].

On 24th November 2021, South Africa and Botswana have alerted the World Health Organization of a novel variant B.1.1.529, which has over 50 amino acid mutations, deletions or insertions when compared with the ancestral virus strains first detected in December 2019. On 26th November, 2021, the World Health Organization has classified B.1.1.529 as a Variant of Concern (VOC), and has named it the Omicron variant. The B.1.1.529 lineage has been subsequently subdivided into BA.1 and BA.2. The Omicron variant appears to have transmitted via the airborne route between two travelers who were quarantined at a hotel in Hong Kong [8]. Preliminary data from South Africa suggest that the emergence of Omicron variant is associated

with a higher risk of reinfection [9] and a faster doubling time [10]. Preliminary findings from the United Kingdom suggest that the Omicron variant is associated with a higher risk of transmission among household contacts than that of the Delta variant [11].

Because of the large number of mutations, especially at the spike RBD, there is a concern that the Omicron variant can escape antibody neutralization induced by the current generation COVID-19 vaccines. Here, we determined the neutralization susceptibility of two Omicron variant isolates to antibodies from COVID-19 vaccine recipients, and compared with the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 lineage A virus, the Beta variant, and the Delta variant.

METHODS

Patients

The virus strains hCoV-19/Hong Kong/HKU-691/2021 (HKU691) (GISAID accession number EPI_ISL_7138045) and hCoV-19/Hong Kong/HKU-344/2021 (HKU344-R346K) (GISAID accession number EPI_ISL_7357684) were isolated from the combined nasopharyngeal-throat swabs of two COVID-19 patients in Hong Kong. Serum specimens from recipients of BNT162b2 or Coronavac vaccines were collected in a vaccine trial conducted at two vaccination centers in Hong Kong [12]. This study was approved by the the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority Hong Kong West Cluster (UW 13-265; UW-21-214), and the Kowloon West Cluster REC (KW/EX-20-038[144-26]).

Viral culture

Viral culture was performed in a biosafety level 3 facility as we described previously [6]. Briefly, TMPRSS2-expressing VeroE6 (VeroE6/TMPRSS2) cells (JCRB Cat#JCRB1819) were

seeded with 100 μ L of minimum essential medium (MEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 4×10^4 cells/mL in 96-well plate and incubated at 37°C in a carbon dioxide incubator until confluence for inoculation. Each well was inoculated with 30 μ L of clinical specimen. Virus-induced cytopathic effect was examined. Cultures with more than 50% virus-induced cytopathic effect was expanded to large volume and 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID₅₀) was determined.

The whole genome sequence of the culture isolates was determined using nanopore sequencing (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). Purified libraries were sequenced with the Oxford Nanopore MinION device using R9.4.1 flow cells. We described the lineage information using the Pangolin nomenclatures [13].

Live virus microneutralization (MN) assay

Live virus MN assay was performed as we described previously [6]. Briefly, serum specimens were serially diluted in 2-folds from 1:10. Duplicates of each serum was mixed with 100 TCID₅₀ of a lineage A virus (GISAID accession number: EPI_ISL_434571), a B.1.351 (Beta variant) (GISAID accession number: EPI_ISL_2423556), B.1.617.2 (delta variant) (GISAID accession number: EPI_ISL_3221329) virus isolates for 1 hour, and the serum-virus mixture was then added to VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells. After incubation for 3 days, cytopathic effect was examined.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 26.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics) and GraphPad PRISM 9.1.1 (GraphPad Software, San Diego CA, USA). Log-transformed microneutralization antibody titers against different variants were compared using one-way ANOVA with Dunn's

multiple comparisons test. For the purpose of statistical analysis, an MN titer of <10 was considered as 5. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

This study included serum specimens from a total of 50 adult vaccine recipients, among which 25 received BNT162b2 and 25 received the Coronavac. The median age was 48 years, with an interquartile range of 40 to 54 years (Table 1). There was no statistical significant difference in the age or sex between the BNT162b2 and Coronavac groups. All individuals have received two doses of COVID-19 vaccines, and the serum specimens were collected 56 days after the first dose of vaccine.

We included 2 Omicron variant culture isolates (HKU691 and HKU344-R346K) in this study. Both isolates belong to the Pangolin BA.1 lineage. These two virus isolates differ by only 2 nucleotides (Table 2). HKU-344-R346K has a non-synonymous mutation G22599A, which results in R346K mutation in the spike protein. Spike R346K mutation is present in 8.5% (131/1546) of the Omicron variant sequences deposited into GISAID database as of 10th December 2021 (Supplementary Table S1).

Among BNT162b2 recipients, the seropositive rate of the Omicron variants HKU691 and HKU344-R346K was only 20 and 24%, respectively, while the seropositive rates were 100% for beta variant, delta variant and ancestral virus. The geometric mean MN titer (GMT) against HKU691 (5.43) and HKU344-R346K (6.42) was 39.9 and 35.7-fold lower than those of the ancestral lineage A virus (229.4), respectively (P <0.0001) (Figure 1; Table 3). The GMT against both omicron variants were also significantly lower than those against the beta variant (25.7; P=0.0057 for HKU691 and P=0.0175 for HKU344-R346K) or the delta variant (124.7;

P<0.0001). Among Coronavac recipients, none were seropositive for both Omicron variant isolates or the beta variant, while only 68% was seropositive for delta variant.

DISCUSSIONS

The Omicron variant poses a potential threat to vaccine effectiveness because of the presence of numerous mutations at the spike protein that have been shown to affect binding of neutralizing antibodies. In this study, we demonstrated that both Omicron variants have reduced susceptibility to neutralization by sera collected from COVID-19 vaccine recipients. None of the Coronavac recipients had detectable neutralizing antibody to the Omicron variants. The susceptibility was similar for the omicron variants with or without the spike R346K mutation.

Our results corroborate with the results from recent preprint articles. Cele et al showed that the neutralization titer is 41-fold lower than that of a D614G virus [14]. In another study, Cao et al used pseudovirus neutralization assay and yeast-display RBD library to demonstrate that the Omicron variant is shown to escape from neutralizing monoclonal antibodies [15]. Our study is unique in 2 different ways. First, we tested serum from Coronavac recipients. This is especially important because Coronavac is widely used globally. Second, we included an Omicron variant with R346K mutation. The R346K mutation is a particular concern because the spike R346K mutation is located within the RBD. R346K mutation is also present in the Mu variant, which has been shown to have to be least susceptible to sera from COVID-19 vaccine recipients [16]. The spike amino acid residue 346 is located in the epitope of a class 3 monoclonal neutralizing antibody C135 [17], and escape mutants during C135 passage contains the R346K mutation [18].

Neutralizing antibody titer correlated with vaccine protection in clinical trials and in animal studies [19,20]. Previous studies showed that the Beta (B.1.351) and Mu (B.1.621) variants are least susceptible to sera from COVID-19 vaccine recipients [5,16]. Our study showed that neutralizing antibodies generated by BNT162b2 and Coronavac vaccines are much less effective in neutralizing the Omicron variant than the Beta variant. Therefore, Omicron may be associated with a higher risk of breakthrough infection for COVID-19 vaccine recipients, or reinfection among recovered COVID-19 recipients. Indeed, preliminary data from South Africa suggest that the emergence of Omicron variant is associated with a higher risk of reinfection [9]. The Omicron variant carries important spike mutations that are present in both Beta (K417N, N501Y) and Delta (T478K) variants. The Omicron variant also contains the E484A mutation, which is located within the epitope recognized by clinically approved monoclonal antibodies bamlanivimab and casirivimab [21,22], and E484A has been shown to escape neutralization by antibody [23]. Our previous study showed that RBDs carrying E484K have lowest binding with antibodies in serum from vaccinees or from natural COVID-19 patients [5]. Furthermore, Omicron variant also carries G446S and Q493R. Mutations at spike amino acid residue 446 and 493 have been shown to affect the binding of monoclonal antibodies or from convalescent donor polyclonal serum IgG [24,25]. The effect of other mutations are less well-defined. It remains to be determined which are the critical amino acid residues that confer reduced susceptibility.

COVID-19 vaccines can also induce neutralizing antibodies that target the spike protein NTD and S2 region [26]. The Omicron variant contains several mutations in these regions. Our previous studies show that mutations in these domains can affect the neutralizing activities [6]. Whether these novel mutations affect the neutralizing activity remains to be determined.

There are several limitations in this study. First, we assessed serum specimens that were collected 56 days after the first dose of vaccine. Since antibody waning is well reported [27], it is likely that the neutralization antibody titer and seropositive rate would be lower for serum collected longer after the vaccination. Second, this study only included adult vaccine recipients. Since the antibody titer can be higher among adolescents and children, studies in the pediatric age group would be required. Third, we have not assessed the T cell immunity against the Omicron variant, which correlates with disease severity. Fourth, since all Coronavac recipients had an MN titer of <10 against the Omicron variant and the GMT against the ancestral virus is only 21.73, an accurate fold-reduction in neutralizing antibody titer cannot be determined.

In conclusion, the reduced susceptibility of Omicron variant to vaccine-induced neutralizing antibody, together with the rapid spread of this variant, suggests that newer generation vaccines should be designed to cover this variant. However, before the availability of these next generation vaccines, booster doses of currently available vaccines will likely render most people having protective levels of neutralizing antibody titers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We gratefully acknowledge the originating and submitting laboratories who contributed sequences to GISAID (Supplementary Table S1). This study was partly supported by the Health and Medical Research Fund, the Food and Health Bureau, The Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) (Ref no.: COVID1903010, Project 1), Consultancy Service for Enhancing Laboratory Surveillance of Emerging Infectious Diseases and Research Capability on Antimicrobial Resistance for Department of Health of the HKSAR; and donations of Richard Yu and Carol Yu, Shaw Foundation Hong Kong, Michael Seak-Kan Tong, May Tam

Mak Mei Yin, Lee Wan Keung Charity Foundation Limited, the Providence Foundation Limited
(in memory of the late Lui Hac Mih), Hong Kong Sanatorium & Hospital, Respiratory Viral
Research Foundation Limited, Hui Ming, Hui Hoy and Chow Sin Lan Charity Fund Limited,
Chan Yin Chuen Memorial Charitable Foundation, Marina Man-Wai Lee, the Hong Kong
Hainan Commercial Association South China Microbiology Research Fund, the Jessie & George
Ho Charitable Foundation, Kai Chong Tong, Tse Kam Ming Laurence, Foo Oi Foundation
Limited, Betty Hing-Chu Lee, and Ping Cham So. The funding sources had no role in the study
design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or writing of the report.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

HC, KY Y and KKWT report collaboration with Sinovac and Sinopharm. Other authors
declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok KH, To KK, Chu H, Yang J, et al. A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *Lancet* 2020;395:514-23
- [2] Cheng VC, Fung KS, Siu GK, Wong SC, Cheng LS, Wong MS, et al. Nosocomial Outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 by Possible Airborne Transmission Leading to a Superspreading Event. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;73:e1356-e64
- [3] To KK, Sridhar S, Chiu KH, Hung DL, Li X, Hung IF, et al. Lessons learned one year after SARS-CoV-2 emergence leading to COVID-19 pandemic. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 2021;10.1080/22221751.2021.1898291:1-89
- [4] The World Health Organization. COVID-19 vaccine tracker. Available at <https://covid19.trackvaccines.org/agency/who/>. Accessed on 3rd December 2021
- [5] Chen LL, Lu L, Choi CY, Cai JP, Tsoi HW, Chu AW, et al. Impact of SARS-CoV-2 variant-associated RBD mutations on the susceptibility to serum antibodies elicited by COVID-19 infection or vaccination. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021;10.1093/cid/ciab656
- [6] Lu L, Chu AW, Zhang RR, Chan WM, Ip JD, Tsoi HW, et al. The impact of spike N501Y mutation on neutralizing activity and RBD binding of SARS-CoV-2 convalescent serum. *EBioMedicine* 2021;71:103544
- [7] Cevik M, Grubaugh ND, Iwasaki A, Openshaw P. COVID-19 vaccines: Keeping pace with SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Cell* 2021;184:5077-81
- [8] Wong SC, Au AK, Chen H, Yuen LL, Li X, Lung DC, et al. Transmission of Omicron (B.1.1.529) - SARS-CoV-2 Variant of Concern in a designated quarantine hotel for travelers: a

challenge of elimination strategy of COVID-19. Accepted and In Press. Lancet Reg Health West
Pac 2021

[9] Pulliam JRC, van Schalkwyk C, Govender N, von Gottberg A, Cohen C, Groome MJ, et
al. Increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection associated with emergence of the Omicron variant
in South Africa. medRxiv 2021;10.1101/2021.11.11.21266068:2021.11.11.21266068

[10] Karim SSA, Karim QA. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-19
pandemic. Lancet 2021;10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02758-6

[11] Agency UHS. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in
England. Technical Briefing 31. Available at
https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1040076/Technical_Briefing_31.pdf. Accessed on 13th December 2021. 2021

[12] Zhang R, Khong KW, Leung KY, Liu D, Fan Y, Lu L, et al. Antibody response of
BNT162b2 and CoronaVac platforms in COVID-19 recovered individuals against SARS-CoV-2
wild type and delta variant. Accepted and In Press. Vaccines 2021

[13] Rambaut A, Holmes EC, O'Toole A, Hill V, McCrone JT, Ruis C, et al. A dynamic
nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. Nat Microbiol
2020;10.1038/s41564-020-0770-5

[14] Cele S, Jackson L, Khan K, Khoury D, Moyo-Gwete T, Tegally H, et al. SARS-CoV-2
Omicron has extensive but incomplete escape of Pfizer BNT162b2 elicited neutralization and
requires ACE2 for infection. Available at <https://www.ahri.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/MEDRXIV-2021-267417v1-Sigal.pdf>. Accessed on 8th December
2021.

287 [15] Cao Y, Wang J, Jian F, Xiao T, Song W, Yisimayi A, et al. B.1.1.529 escapes the
288 majority of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies of diverse epitopes. *bioRxiv*
289 2021;10.1101/2021.12.07.470392:2021.12.07.470392

290 [16] Uriu K, Kimura I, Shirakawa K, Takaori-Kondo A, Nakada TA, Kaneda A, et al.
291 Neutralization of the SARS-CoV-2 Mu Variant by Convalescent and Vaccine Serum. *N Engl J*
292 *Med* 2021;10.1056/NEJMc2114706

293 [17] Barnes CO, Jette CA, Abernathy ME, Dam KA, Esswein SR, Gristick HB, et al. SARS-
294 CoV-2 neutralizing antibody structures inform therapeutic strategies. *Nature* 2020;588:682-7

295 [18] Weisblum Y, Schmidt F, Zhang F, DaSilva J, Poston D, Lorenzi JC, et al. Escape from
296 neutralizing antibodies by SARS-CoV-2 spike protein variants. *Elife* 2020;9

297 [19] Khoury DS, Cromer D, Reynaldi A, Schlub TE, Wheatley AK, Juno JA, et al.
298 Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic
299 SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med* 2021;27:1205-11

300 [20] Corbett KS, Nason MC, Flach B, Gagne M, O'Connell S, Johnston TS, et al. Immune
301 correlates of protection by mRNA-1273 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 in nonhuman primates.
302 *Science* 2021;373:eabj0299

303 [21] Starr TN, Greaney AJ, Dingens AS, Bloom JD. Complete map of SARS-CoV-2 RBD
304 mutations that escape the monoclonal antibody LY-CoV555 and its cocktail with LY-CoV016.
305 *Cell Rep Med* 2021;2:100255

306 [22] Hansen J, Baum A, Pascal KE, Russo V, Giordano S, Wloga E, et al. Studies in
307 humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. *Science*
308 2020;369:1010-4

309 [23] Liu Z, VanBlargan LA, Bloyet LM, Rothlauf PW, Chen RE, Stumpf S, et al.
310 Identification of SARS-CoV-2 spike mutations that attenuate monoclonal and serum antibody
311 neutralization. *Cell Host Microbe* 2021;29:477-88 e4

312 [24] Clark SA, Clark LE, Pan J, Coscia A, McKay LGA, Shankar S, et al. SARS-CoV-2
313 evolution in an immunocompromised host reveals shared neutralization escape mechanisms. *Cell*
314 2021;184:2605-17 e18

315 [25] Greaney AJ, Loes AN, Crawford KHD, Starr TN, Malone KD, Chu HY, et al.
316 Comprehensive mapping of mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain that affect
317 recognition by polyclonal human plasma antibodies. *Cell Host Microbe* 2021;29:463-76 e6

318 [26] Amanat F, Thapa M, Lei T, Ahmed SMS, Adelsberg DC, Carreno JM, et al. SARS-CoV-
319 2 mRNA vaccination induces functionally diverse antibodies to NTD, RBD, and S2. *Cell*
320 2021;184:3936-48 e10

321 [27] Thomas SJ, Moreira ED, Jr., Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety
322 and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. *N Engl J Med*
323 2021;385:1761-73

324

325

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Comparison of microneutralization antibody titers between the Omicron variant and other variants or ancestral SARS-CoV-2 virus. All serum specimens were collected 56 days after the first dose of vaccine. A) Sera from all vaccine recipients. B) Sera from BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine recipients. C) Sera from Coronavac vaccine recipients.

* $P < .05$; ** $P < .01$; *** $P < .001$; **** $P < .0001$.

Table 1. Demographics of vaccine recipients

	All vaccinees (n=50)	BNT162b2 (n=25)	CoronaVac (n=25)	P value
Female sex	31 (62)	14 (56)	17 (68)	0.561 ^a
Median age in years (range)	48 (23-61)	47 (23-61)	52 (23-60)	0.183 ^b

Data expressed as no. (%)

^a By Fisher's exact test

^b By Mann-Whitney U test

Table 2. Difference in nucleotide and amino acid mutations between the two Omicron variant strains used in this study

Gene	Nucleotide				Amino acid mutation			
	Position ^a	WH1	HKU691	HKU344-R346K	Position	WH1	HKU691	HKU344-R346K
NSP2	2470	C	C	T	555	A	A	A
Spike	22599	G	G	A	346	R	R	K

Abbreviations: HKU691, Omicron variant hCoV-19/Hong Kong/HKU-691/2021; HKU344-R346K, Omicron variant hCoV-19/Hong Kong/HKU-344/2021; WH1; SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 (NCBI accession number: MN908947)

^a The nucleotide position is numbered according the reference genome Wuhan-Hu-1 (Genbank accession number MN908947.3)

349 **Table 3.** Difference in GMT between Omicron variant and other earlier viruses

	Lineage A (Ancestral virus)	Beta variant	Delta variant	Omicron variant HKU691	Omicron variant HKU344- R346K
Seropositive rate^a % (no. positive/total)					
All	100 (50/50)	50 (25/50)	84 (42/50)	10 (5/50)	12 (6/50)
BNT162b2	100 (25/25)	100 (25/25)	100 (25/25)	20 (5/25)	24 (6/25)
CoronaVac	100 (25/25)	0 (0/25)	68 (17/25)	0 (0/25)	0 (0/25)
Geometric mean titer (95% CI)					
All	70.6 (48.3-103.2)	11.3 (2.6-11.3)	35.8 (4.0-35.8)	5.36 (5.05-5.69)	5.66 (5.07-6.33)
BNT162b2	229.4 (176.4-298.4)	25.7 (19.6-33.7)	124.7 (100.4-154.8)	5.43 (5.11-6.46)	6.42 (5.17-7.97)
CoronaVac	21.7 (17.1-27.6)	5 (5-5)	10.3 (7.9-13.4)	5 (5-5)	5 (5-5)
Fold decrease of GMT when compared with Lineage A					
All	N/A	6.2	2.0	13.2	12.5
BNT162b2	N/A	8.9	1.8	39.9	35.7
CoronaVac	N/A	4.4	2.1	4.3	4.3

^a MN titer ≥ 10

All vaccinees

BNT162b2

Coronavac