The challenge of limited vaccine supplies: impact of prior infection on anti-spike IgG antibody trajectories after a single COVID-19 vaccination

Jia Wei^{1,2}, Philippa C. Matthews^{1,3,4}, Nicole Stoesser^{1,5,6,7}, Ian Diamond⁸, Ruth Studley⁸, Emma Rourke⁸, Duncan Cook⁸, John I Bell⁹, John N Newton¹⁰, Jeremy Farrar¹¹, Alison Howarth^{1,5}, Brian D. Marsden^{1,12}, Sarah Hoosdally¹, E Yvonne Jones¹, David I Stuart¹, Derrick W .Crook^{1,5,6,7}, Tim E. A. Peto^{1,5,6,7}, A. Sarah Walker^{# 1,2,6,13}, David W. Eyre^{#2,5,6,7}, Koen B. Pouwels^{# 6,14}, and the COVID-19 Infection Survey team

contribution considered equal

¹ Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

² Big Data Institute, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

³ The Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London, UK

⁴ Division of infection and immunity, University College London, London, UK

⁵ Department of Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK

⁶ The National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance at the University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁷ The National Institute for Health Research Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁸ Office for National Statistics, Newport, UK

⁹ Office of the Regius Professor of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

¹⁰ Health Improvement Directorate, Public Health England, London, UK

¹¹ Wellcome Trust, London, UK

¹² Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

¹³ MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, UCL, London, UK

¹⁴ Health Economics Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Corresponding author:

Dr Koen Pouwels,

koen.pouwels@ndph.ox.ac.uk

Health Economics Research Centre, University of Oxford, Old Road Campus, Oxford, UK

Abstract

Given high SARS-CoV-2 incidence, coupled with slow and inequitable vaccine roll-out, there is an urgent need for evidence to underpin optimum vaccine deployment, aiming to maximise global population immunity at speed. We evaluate whether a single vaccination in previously infected individuals generates similar initial and subsequent antibody responses to two vaccinations in those without prior infection. We compared anti-spike IgG antibody responses after a single dose of ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in the COVID-19 Infection Survey in the UK general population. In 100,849 adults who received at least one vaccination, 13,404 (13.3%) had serological and/or PCR evidence of prior infection. Prior infection significantly boosted antibody responses for all three vaccines, producing a higher peak level and longer half-life, and a response comparable to those without prior infection receiving two vaccinations. In those with prior infection, median time above the positivity threshold was estimated to last for >1 year after the first dose. Single-dose vaccination targeted to those previously infected may provide protection in populations with high rates of previous infection faced with limited vaccine supply, as an interim measure while vaccine campaigns are scaled up.

Main

COVID-19 vaccines have moderate to high efficacy in preventing infections, severe illness, hospitalisation, and death, including the mRNA vaccines BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273, and adenovirus vaccine ChAdOx1^{1–3}. By October 2021, more than 6 billion doses have been administered globally. However, 57% of the world's population remain unvaccinated⁴, and coverage is highly uneven with low vaccination rates in many low-income countries⁵. For example, 58% of people in Europe and South America are fully vaccinated, 55% in North America, 49% in Asia and 7% in Africa⁶, with marked regional differences, for example 88% of the population in Portugal being fully vaccinated, versus 22% in Bosnia-Herzegovina. Previous infection also confers significant protection against re-

infection^{7,8}. However, prior infection rates vary widely, with high seroprevalences estimates in South Africa (48.5%), Ecuador (44.8%) and Peru (43.5%) versus 0.71% in Australia and New Zealand at various stages of the pandemic⁹.

Optimising global immunity and protection against infection is an urgent priority, to minimise deaths, morbidity, and socio-economic losses. While major initiatives seek to address inequalities in vaccine availability, including the COVAX Advance Market Commitment programme¹⁰, marked variation in global access persists. The WHO roadmap for prioritizing COVID-19 vaccine use in the context of limited access is appropriately focused on scale-up of equitable vaccine delivery, but does not take into account prior infection¹¹. Modelling studies suggest that prioritisation based on seropositivity substantially improves efficiency where seroprevalence is high¹². Given this, a single-dose vaccine strategy for individuals with prior infection has already been adopted in some settings (e.g. Netherlands¹³, France, Italy, Germany¹⁴).

Understanding the extent to which prior infection influences antibody responses to vaccinations would inform a more consistent global approach to short-term interventions to optimise population immunity while vaccine deployment scales up. If a single vaccination invokes effective protection among those with prior infection, changing vaccine prioritisation as an interim measure may deliver higher population-level immunity faster, and may also make vaccination programs more affordable.

Existing studies have focused on how prior infection affects initial peak antibody responses¹⁵ or responses after two doses of vaccine¹⁶, showing prior infection significantly boosts vaccine-mediated antibody levels^{17–20}. However, the durability of antibody response after a single vaccination is still unclear; whether a single dose can provide sustained effective protection for individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection requires further investigation, particularly in terms of antibody waning after

one dose of vaccination with prior infection versus two doses of vaccine without previous infection, which we have previously described¹⁶.

We used data from the United Kingdom's national COVID-19 Infection Survey (ISRCTN21086382), to investigate the impact of prior infection on anti-trimeric spike IgG antibody responses following a single dose of ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273 vaccine, and compared the duration of protection for previously infected people receiving a single vaccination to previously uninfected people receiving two vaccinations. We included participants with at least one antibody measurement from 91 days before the first vaccination onwards up to the second vaccination (if received) or breakthrough infection post-first dose. From 8th December 2020 to 18th October 2021, 80,611 included participants received at least one dose of ChAdOx1 (10,168 [12.6%] with prior infection before the first vaccination), 56,024 at least one dose of BNT162b2 (9,556 [17.1%] with prior infection, reflecting many SARS-CoV-2 exposed healthcare workers receiving BNT162b2 early in the vaccination programme), and 3,545 at least one dose of mRNA-1273 (779 [22.0%] with prior infection, reflecting this vaccine being used later in the pandemic in younger age groups) (**Table S1**). The median age was 50 years (interquartile range IQR: 37-63). 75,000 (53.2%) were female, 130,542 (92.6%) reported white ethnicity, 1,214 (0.9%) black ethnicity, and 9,203 (6.5%) another ethnicity. 2,466 (1.7%) were healthcare workers, and 34,191 (24.3%) reported having a long-term health condition.

We modelled antibody trajectories using measurements from 21 days post-first dose for participants <60 years, and 28 days for participants ≥60 years (approximate peak levels for each age group, **Figure S1, S2**). We excluded participants who did not mount an anti-S antibody response to first vaccination (defined as all antibody measurements <28 ng/ml, including ≥1 measurement ≥21 days after the first dose [similar to our previous studies^{15,16}]): 4,940 (6.1%), 1,624 (2.9%), and 18 (0.5%)

participants without prior infection, and 147 (1.8%), 48 (0.9%), 0 (0%) with prior infection with ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, and mRNA-1273, respectively.

61,204 participants with a single ChAdOx1 vaccination (7,522 (12.3%) previously infected) contributed 79,747 antibody measurements ≥ 21 days post-first dose, median (IQR) [range] 2 (1-2) [1-4] measurements per participant. Assuming antibody levels declined exponentially¹⁶, using multivariable Bayesian linear mixed models we estimated a median peak anti-spike IgG level of 549 ng/ml (95% credible interval, CrI 535-564), and a median half-life of 165 days (95%CrI 143-197) for those with prior infection in the reference category (50 years, female, white ethnicity, not reporting a long-term health condition, not a healthcare worker, and deprivation percentile=60). This peak was substantially higher and half-life substantially longer than our previous estimates after a second dose in participants without prior infection (peak 271 ng/ml [268-274], half-life 85 days [83-87] for the same reference category)¹⁶. Those receiving one ChAdOx1 vaccination without prior infection had significantly lower peak levels, 150 ng/ml (148-152), and shorter half-lives, 105 days (100-111) (**Figure 1a, Table S2**). **Table S3** compares peak levels and half-lives for different age groups, sex, and ethnicity. Previously infected participants with non-white ethnicity had higher post-first dose peak levels but slightly shorter half-lives (**Table 1, Figure S2**).

35,473 participants with a single BNT162b2 vaccination (5,234 (14.8%) previously infected) contributed 43,848 antibody measurements ≥ 21 days post-first dose, median (IQR) [range] 1 (1-2) [1-3] measurements per participant. For those with prior infection, the estimated median peak antibody level was 537 ng/ml (95%CrI 521-553), and the half-life was 236 days (178-353) at the reference category. The peak levels were lower than previously reported following two BNT162b2 vaccinations without prior infection (793 ng/ml [783-802]), but half-life was substantially longer (111 days [107-114])¹⁶. Similar to ChAdOx1, non-white ethnicity was associated with a higher peak level

(**Table 1, Figure S2**). Those with one vaccination but no prior infection had lower peak levels (306 ng/ml [300-311]) and shorter half-lives (46 days [44-48]) (**Figure 1b, Table S2**).

2,688 participants with one mRNA-1273 vaccination (416 (15.5%) previously infected) contributed 3,260 antibody measurements ≥ 21 days post-first dose, median (IQR) [range] 1 (1-2) [1-2] measurements per participant. For those receiving one vaccination with prior infection the estimated peak level was 605 ng/ml (546-669), and the half-life was 572 days (131-Not estimable) at the reference category. No comparative data after two vaccinations were available, but participants without prior infection had lower peak levels after one vaccination (501 ng/ml (466-538)) and substantially shorter half-lives (71 days (56-99)) (**Table1, Figure 1c, Table S2**). mRNA-1273 elicited higher antibody levels (especially in those without prior infection) and had a lower percentage of seronegative non-responders versus BNT162b2 (0.5% vs 2.9%), consistent with findings after two doses²¹, and potentially explained by a higher spike protein delivery in mRNA-1273.

We estimated the duration of antibody positivity from first vaccination to levels falling to the antibody positivity threshold (42 ng/ml, see Methods). For those with prior infection, the estimated median durations were 500-670 days for ChAdOx1 and 600-1,300 days for BNT162b2 following one vaccination. For mRNA-1273, although antibody levels for some groups were not estimated to decline and the upper credible intervals could not be defined for all groups due to smaller sample size, based on the posterior median estimates, the durations were 450-1,400 days for 60-year-olds and longer for younger participants (**Figure 2**). Females and those without long-term health conditions had longer estimated durations of seropositivity following all three vaccines. Conditional on seroconverting after one dose as described above, older participants had longer durations of seropositivity than younger participants following ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 due to slightly longer half-lives despite lower peak levels (**Table S2**). In our previous analysis of responses post-second

vaccination, the time from the second dose to antibody levels falling to 42 ng/ml was estimated to be around 250 days for those without prior infection who received two ChAdOx1 doses¹⁶, i.e., much shorter than those with prior infection receiving a single dose, regardless of age. For two BNT162b2 doses without prior infection, the estimated duration of seropositivity post second vaccination decreased with increasing age, from 500-800 days for 20-year-olds to 300-400 days for 80-year-olds. In this analysis, with previous infection and one vaccination, estimates were similar for 20-year-olds (570-730 days) but higher for 80-year-olds (700-1300 days).

We previously reported antibody levels correlating with protection from infection, providing context to the observed antibody levels; post-vaccine levels of 191 ng/ml for ChAdOx1 and 169 ng/ml for BNT162b2 are associated with 67% protection against new infection in those without prior infection, compared with 59 ng/ml in those unvaccinated with prior infection¹⁶. Data were insufficient to estimate correlates of protection for those with prior infection¹⁶, but, since levels associated with the same degree of protection were lower for unvaccinated individuals, if we conservatively assume the threshold levels are similar post any vaccination, the duration providing >67% protection is estimated to be around 200-450 days for a single ChAdOX1 or BNT162b2 vaccination in those with prior infection. Since the duration providing >67% protection in unvaccinated individuals with natural infection was estimated to be 1-2 years^{16,22}, it is highly likely that the duration of protection is >1 year for those with prior infection receiving a single vaccination. In those without prior infection, using these thresholds, a single ChAdOx1 vaccination would not reach the required antibody level, while a single BNT162b2 vaccination would provide 50-100 days of protection for younger people (**Figure S3**).

Higher antibody levels post SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in previously infected individuals have been reported¹⁷⁻²⁰, but these studies did not estimate the trajectory of antibody response. We found that

in those with prior infection, not only were antibody peak levels higher, by 100-400 ng/ml, but the subsequent waning was also slower, with antibody half-lives longer by 60-500 days, supporting sustained protection from a single dose in previously infected individuals. The combination of prior infection with a single vaccination resulted in similar antibody levels regardless of vaccine type (**Figure 1**), despite single ChAdOx1 vaccination resulting in lower peak levels than BNT162b2 in those without prior infection.

Our results could help inform and optimise global immunisation strategies, deploying limited resources in the most effective way to deliver maximum population immunity at speed during a period when incidence remains high and vaccine access is not yet universal. Given the low percentage of vaccinated individuals and high SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in some settings^{23–25}, assuming widespread prior infection, a single vaccination could optimise population-level protection as a short-term measure.

However, such a blanket approach does result in infection-naïve individuals initially receiving one vaccination only, which provides suboptimal protection⁸. To reduce this risk, where previous infection is expected to be high, another option would be to stratify individual vaccination based on an affordable and rapid lateral flow immunoassay (LFIA) for antibody detection, and information on previous PCR positivity. An extensive comparison of different fingerprick-based LFIA antibody tests reported high specificity (98.8–99.8%)²⁶, meaning that there would be few false positives in a high prevalence setting. Sensitivity was lower (69%-86%)²⁶, which would reduce efficiency, but not vaccine programme effectiveness. Although exact prices will vary by manufacturer and may be negotiated, the cost can be as low as US\$1-2 per test. In comparison, a ChAdOx1 vaccine costs around \$4 per dose excluding delivery and storage costs²⁷.

For an unvaccinated population of 10 million individuals willing to be vaccinated with a true seroprevalence of 50%, a LFIA with 99% specificity and 80% sensitivity costing \$1.5 per test, and a cost of \$5 per vaccination (including delivery costs and storage), an LFIA test performed at the first vaccination visit would correctly identify 4,050,000 individuals as having antibodies and eligible for a one-dose schedule – of which 50,000 would be incorrectly identified as having antibodies – the remaining 5,950,000 being invited for a second vaccination according to the agreed dosing interval. This would result in 4.05 million fewer vaccinations needed in the short-term to produce equivalent population immunity to a universal two-dose campaign. This approach delivers a cost saving of \$5.25 million which could be re-invested in securing robust long-term vaccine access (for results with other settings regarding LFIA test sensitivity and specificity, population size, true antibody prevalence, costs per vaccination and LFIA, see https://herc.shinyapps.io/Serology_vaccine_prioritisation/).

Study limitations include that we did not measure other immune responses, such as T cell or innate immune responses. We were also unable to fully estimate rates of waning following mRNA-1273, reflecting the fact that many antibody levels were near the upper limit of quantification for our assay and sample size was relatively small. We only measured anti-spike IgG antibody levels using a single assay; however, calibration to a monoclonal antibody enables comparison with other studies²⁸; assay results have been previously shown to correlate closely with neutralising activity¹⁶. Most of our participants reported white ethnicity (92.6%), so wider generalizability to non-white ethnic groups is less well defined and our data were insufficient to model other ethnic groups separately. However, estimated durations of protection for non-white participants were broadly as long or longer than for white participants, with non-white ethnicity associated with higher peak levels after a single ChAdOX1 or BNT162b2 vaccination.

Data are still awaited to determine the impact of the recent emergence of the Omicron variant, and the relationship between prior infection/vaccination and immunological protection from this and other new variants. For this reason, all approaches to vaccine scheduling will need to remain under intense scrutiny, and the international focus must remain firmly on assuring equitable access to full vaccination in all population settings, which will also mitigate the potential for further variants of concern to emerge.

In summary, prior infection significantly boosts antibody responses after a single ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273 vaccination, producing higher peak levels and longer half-lives, comparable or even better to that obtained from two vaccinations in those without prior infection. Based on the positivity threshold and previously reported correlates of protection, those with prior infection could be protected from infection for >1 year after a single vaccination. While recent studies show that a third vaccination boosts antibody responses³¹ and provides better protection against infection than two vaccinations,³² and two vaccinations plus prior infection provides better protection against re-infection than prior infection alone,⁸ a large part of the global population did not yet have the chance to get their first vaccination due to limited vaccine supplies. These results could inform vaccine strategies, providing an evidence-base to optimise population immunity in the context of resource limitations, while international SARS-CoV-2 immunisation programmes are scaled up and secured.

Methods

Population and survey

The UK's Office for National Statistics (ONS) COVID-19 Infection Survey (CIS) (ISRCTN21086382) randomly and continuously recruited private households to provide a representative sample across its four countries (England, Wales, Northern Ireland, Scotland). At the first visit, participants were asked for consent for optional follow-up visits every week for the next month, then monthly for 12 months or to April 2022. Written informed consent was taken from individuals ≥ 2 years (children aged < 2 years were not eligible for the study). For those 2-15 years this consent was obtained from parents/carers, while those 10-15 years also provided written assent.

Socio-demographic characteristics, behaviours, and vaccination data were collected. Combined nose and throat swabs were taken from all consenting participants for SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing. Blood samples were taken from individuals ≥ 16 years from 10-20% randomly selected households monthly for serological testing, and participants who tested swab positive and their household members were also invited to provide blood samples at follow-up visits. Details on the sampling design are provided elsewhere²⁹. From April 2021, additional participants were invited to provide blood samples monthly to assess vaccine responses. The study protocol is available at

<https://www.ndm.ox.ac.uk/covid-19/covid-19-infection-survey/protocol-and-information-sheets>.

The study received ethical approval from the South Central Berkshire B Research Ethics Committee (20/SC/0195).

Vaccination data

Self-reported vaccinations were obtained from participants at visits, including vaccination type, number of doses, and vaccination dates. Participants from England were also linked to the National Immunisation Management Service (NIMS), which contains all individuals' vaccination data in the English National Health Service COVID-19 vaccination programme. There was good agreement between self-reported and administrative vaccination data (98% on type and 95% on date³⁰). We

used vaccination data from NIMS where available for participants from England, and otherwise data from the survey.

Laboratory testing

Combined nose and throat swabs were tested at high-throughput national “Lighthouse” laboratories in Glasgow (from 16 August 2020 to present) and Milton Keynes (from 26 April 2020 to 8 February 2021). PCR outputs were analysed using UgenTec Fast Finder 3.300.5 (TaqMan 2019-nCoV Assay Kit V2 UK NHS ABI 7500 v2.1; UgenTec), with an assay-specific algorithm and decision mechanism that allows conversion of amplification assay raw data into test results with minimal manual intervention. Positive samples are defined as having at least a single N and/or ORF1ab gene detected, and PCR traces exhibited an appropriate morphology. The S gene alone is not considered to be positive²⁹.

SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels were tested on venous or capillary blood samples using an ELISA detecting anti-trimeric spike IgG developed by the University of Oxford^{28,29}. Normalised results are reported in ng/ml of mAb45 monoclonal antibody equivalents. Before 26 February 2021, the assay used fluorescence detection as previously described, with a positivity threshold of 8 million units validated on banks of known SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative samples²⁸. After this, it used a commercialised CE-marked version of the assay, the Thermo Fisher OmniPATH 384 Combi SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA (Thermo Fisher Scientific), with the same antigen and colorimetric detection. mAb45 is the manufacturer-provided monoclonal antibody calibrant for this quantitative assay. To allow conversion of fluorometrically determined values in arbitrary units, we compared 3,840 samples which were run in parallel on both systems. A piece-wise linear regression was used to generate the following conversion formula:

$$(1) \log_{10}(\text{mAb45 units}) = 0.221738 + 1.751889\text{e-}07 * \text{fluorescence_units} + \\ 5.416675\text{e-}07 * (\text{fluorescence_units} > 9190310) * (\text{fluorescence_units} - 9190310)$$

42 ng/ml was used as the threshold for an IgG positive or negative result (corresponding to the 8 million units with fluorescence detection). Given the lower and upper limits of the assay,

measurements <2 ng/ml (46 observations, 0.3%) and >800 ng/ml (259 observations, 1.8%) were truncated at 2 and 800 ng/ml, respectively.

Statistical analysis

For the current study, participants aged ≥ 16 years who received at least a single vaccination with ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 with antibody measurements from 8th December 2020 until 18th October 2021 were included. Participants with prior infection (before vaccination) were defined as 1) having a positive PCR swab test in the survey or the linked English national testing programme; 2) having a positive anti-spike IgG result (≥ 42 ng/ml) before vaccination; 3) having two consecutive positive anti-nucleocapsid IgG results (≥ 30 ng/ml); or 4) self-reporting a positive swab test in the survey. The infection date was defined as the earliest recorded date from the above definitions. Age was truncated at 85 years to reduce the influence of outliers.

To estimate antibody waning, we excluded a small number of participants who were considered as non-responders after the first dose, defined as all antibody measurements being <28 ng/ml and having at least one antibody measurement 21 days after the first dose (N=5,087 excluded for ChAdOx1, N=1,672 excluded for BNT162b2, N=18 excluded for mRNA-1273).

Bayesian linear mixed interval-censored models were used to estimate changes in antibody levels after the first vaccination with ChAdOX1, BNT162b2, or mRNA-1273. Antibody measurements taken after the second vaccination or after infection that happened post-first dose were excluded. We included antibody measurements from 21 days post-first dose to reflect the peak level for participants <60 years. Given older individuals' levels rose more slowly after vaccination, based on antibody mean levels by time and age, we used 28 days post-first dose as the peak level for participants ≥ 60 years with ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 (as the vast majority of those receiving mRNA-1273 were <60 years). We excluded measurements taken after the 90th percentile of the observed time points to avoid outlier influence (76, 74, and 67 days post-first dose for ChAdOx1, BNT162b2, and mRNA-1273).

We used a multivariable model to examine the association between peak levels and antibody half-lives with continuous age (16-85 years), sex, ethnicity (white vs non-white), reporting having a long-term health condition, reporting working in patient-facing healthcare, deprivation percentile, and prior infection status. We assumed an exponential fall in antibody levels over time, i.e., a linear decline on a log2 scale¹⁶. Population-level fixed effects, individual-level random effects for intercept and slope, and correlation between random effects were included in the models. The outcome was right-censored at 800 ng/ml reflecting truncation of IgG values at the upper limit of quantification. For each model, weakly informative priors were used. Four chains were run per model with 4,000 iterations and a warm-up period of 2,000 iterations to ensure convergence, which was confirmed visually and by ensuring the Gelman-Rubin statistic was <1.05. 95% credible intervals were calculated using highest posterior density intervals.

All analyses were performed in R 4.1 using the following packages: tidyverse (version 1.3.1), brms (version 2.15.0), arsenal (version 3.4.0), cowplot (version 1.1.1), bayesplot (version 1.8.1), and tidybayes (version 3.0.1).

References

1. Baden, L. R. *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *The New England Journal of Medicine* **384**, 403–416 (2020).
2. Polack, F. P. *et al.* Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *The New England Journal of Medicine* **383**, 2603–2615 (2020).
3. Voysey, M. *et al.* Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK. *The Lancet* **397**, 99–111 (2021).
4. Mathieu, E. *et al.* A global database of COVID-19 vaccinations. *Nature Human Behaviour* **2021** 5:7 5, 947–953 (2021).
5. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. COVID-19 vaccine equity and booster doses. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* **21**, 1193 (2021).
6. Looi, M.-K. The world according to covid vaccine coverage. *BMJ* **375**, n2732 (2021).
7. Kojima, N., Shrestha, N. K. & Klausner, J. D. A Systematic Review of the Protective Effect of Prior SARS-CoV-2 Infection on Repeat Infection. *Evaluation and the Health Professions* **44**, 327–332 (2021).
8. Pouwels, K. B. *et al.* Effect of Delta variant on viral burden and vaccine effectiveness against new SARS-CoV-2 infections in the UK. *Nature Medicine* **2021** 1–9 (2021) doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01548-7.
9. Rostami, A. *et al.* Update on SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence: regional and worldwide. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* **27**, 1762 (2021).
10. Gavi. One World Protected: The Gavi COVAX AMC Investment Opportunity. <https://www.gavi.org/gavi-covax-amc-launch-event-april-2021> (2021).
11. WHO. WHO SAGE ROADMAP FOR PRIORITIZING USES OF COVID-19 VACCINES IN THE CONTEXT OF LIMITED SUPPLY An approach to inform planning and subsequent recommendations based on epidemiological setting and vaccine supply scenarios. (2021).
12. Bubar, K. M. *et al.* Model-informed COVID-19 vaccine prioritization strategies by age and serostatus. *Science* **371**, 916–921 (2021).
13. Single vaccination after COVID-19 infection within the past six months | RIVM. <https://www.rivm.nl/en/news/single-vaccination-after-covid-19-infection-within-past-six-months> (2021).
14. Italy, Germany to give just one COVID vaccine shot to some patients | Reuters. <https://www.reuters.com/business/healthcare-pharmaceuticals/italy-give-just-one-covid-shot-some-patients-eu-struggles-with-inoculations-2021-03-04/> (2021).
15. Wei, J. *et al.* Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in 45,965 adults from the general population of the United Kingdom. *Nature Microbiology* **2021** 1–10 (2021) doi:10.1038/s41564-021-00947-3.

16. Wei, J. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike IgG antibody responses after second dose of ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 and correlates of protection in the UK general population. *medRxiv* 2021.09.13.21263487 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.09.13.21263487.
17. Manisty, C. *et al.* Antibody response to first BNT162b2 dose in previously SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals. *The Lancet* **397**, 1057–1058 (2021).
18. Saadat, S. *et al.* Binding and Neutralization Antibody Titers After a Single Vaccine Dose in Health Care Workers Previously Infected With SARS-CoV-2. *JAMA* **325**, 1467–1469 (2021).
19. Bradley, T. *et al.* Antibody Responses after a Single Dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine. *New England Journal of Medicine* **384**, 1959–1961 (2021).
20. Krammer, F. *et al.* Antibody Responses in Seropositive Persons after a Single Dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine. *The New England journal of medicine* (2021) doi:10.1056/NEJMc2101667.
21. Montoya, J. G. *et al.* Differences in IgG Antibody Responses following BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines. *Microbiology spectrum* **9**, (2021).
22. Wei, J. *et al.* Anti-spike antibody response to natural SARS-CoV-2 infection in the general population. *Nature Communications* 2021 12:1 **12**, 1–12 (2021).
23. Fryatt, A. *et al.* Community SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence before and after the second wave of SARS-CoV-2 infection in Harare, Zimbabwe. *EClinicalMedicine* **41**, 101172 (2021).
24. Barrie, M. B. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 antibody prevalence in Sierra Leone, March 2021: a cross-sectional, nationally representative, age-stratified serosurvey. *BMJ global health* **6**, e007271 (2021).
25. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard | WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard With Vaccination Data. <https://covid19.who.int/>.
26. Moshe, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 lateral flow assays for possible use in national covid-19 seroprevalence surveys (React 2): diagnostic accuracy study. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)* **372**, (2021).
27. Poorer countries hit with higher price tag for Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine – POLITICO. <https://www.politico.eu/article/astrazeneca-vaccine-cost-higher-in-poorer-countries-coronavirus/> (2021).
28. Ainsworth, M. *et al.* Performance characteristics of five immunoassays for SARS-CoV-2: a head-to-head benchmark comparison. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* **20**, 1390–1400 (2020).
29. Pouwels, K. B. *et al.* Community prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in England from April to November, 2020: results from the ONS Coronavirus Infection Survey. *The Lancet Public Health* **6**, e30–e38 (2021).
30. Pritchard, E. *et al.* Impact of vaccination on new SARS-CoV-2 infections in the United Kingdom. *Nature Medicine* 1–9 (2021) doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01410-w.
31. Munro, A. P. S. *et al.* Safety and immunogenicity of seven COVID-19 vaccines as a third dose (booster) following two doses of ChAdOx1 nCov-19 or BNT162b2 in the UK (COV-BOOST): a

blinded, multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* (2021) doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02717-3.

32. Patalon, T. *et al.* Odds of testing positive for SARS-CoV-2 following receipt of 3 vs 2 doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine. *JAMA Intern Med* (2021) doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.7382.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the support of all COVID-19 Infection Survey participants.

This study is funded by the Department of Health and Social Care with in-kind support from the Welsh Government, the Department of Health on behalf of the Northern Ireland Government and the Scottish Government. JW is supported by University of Oxford and the China Scholarship Council. ASW, TEAP, NS, DE, KBP are supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance (NIHR200915), a partnership between the UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) and the University of Oxford. ASW and TEAP are also supported by the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. KBP is also supported by the Huo Family Foundation. ASW is also supported by core support from the Medical Research Council UK to the MRC Clinical Trials Unit [MC_UU_12023/22] and is an NIHR Senior Investigator. PCM is funded by Wellcome (intermediate fellowship, grant ref 110110/Z/15/Z) and holds an NIHR Oxford BRC Senior Fellowship award. DWE is supported by a Robertson Fellowship and an NIHR Oxford BRC Senior Fellowship. NS is an Oxford Martin Fellow and holds an NIHR Oxford BRC Senior Fellowship. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, NIHR, Department of Health and Social Care, or UKHSA.

For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising.

COVID-19 Infection Survey team group authorship

Office for National Statistics: Sir Ian Diamond, Emma Rourke, Ruth Studley, Tina Thomas, Duncan Cook.

Office for National Statistics COVID Infection Survey Analysis and Operations teams, in particular Daniel Ayoubkhani, Russell Black, Antonio Felton, Megan Crees, Joel Jones, Lina Lloyd, Esther Sutherland.

University of Oxford, Nuffield Department of Medicine: Ann Sarah Walker, Derrick Crook, Philippa C Matthews, Tim Peto, Emma Pritchard, Nicole Stoesser, Karina-Doris Vihta, Jia Wei, Alison Howarth, George Doherty, James Kavanagh, Kevin K Chau, Stephanie B Hatch, Daniel Ebner, Lucas Martins Ferreira, Thomas Christott, Brian D Marsden, Wanwisa Dejnirattisai, Juthathip Mongkolsapaya, Sarah Cameron, Phoebe Tamblin-Hopper, Magda Wolna, Rachael Brown, Sarah Hoosdally, Richard Cornall, David I Stuart, Gavin Screaton.

University of Oxford, Nuffield Department of Population Health: Koen Pouwels.

University of Oxford, Big Data Institute: David W Eyre, Katrina Lythgoe, David Bonsall, Tanya Golubchik, Helen Fryer.

University of Oxford, Radcliffe Department of Medicine: John Bell.

Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust: Stuart Cox, Kevin Paddon, Tim James.

University of Manchester: Thomas House.

Public Health England: John Newton, Julie Robotham, Paul Birrell.

IQVIA: Helena Jordan, Tim Sheppard, Graham Athey, Dan Moody, Leigh Curry, Pamela Brereton.

National Biocentre: Ian Jarvis, Anna Godsmark, George Morris, Bobby Mallick, Phil Eeles.

Glasgow Lighthouse Laboratory: Jodie Hay, Harper VanSteenhouse.

Department of Health and Social Care: Jessica Lee.

Welsh Government: Sean White, Tim Evans, Lisa Bloemberg.

Scottish Government: Katie Allison, Anouska Pandya, Sophie Davis.

Public Health Scotland: David I Conway, Margaret MacLeod, Chris Cunningham.

Author Contributions

The study was designed and planned by ASW, JF, JB, JN, ID and KBP, and is being conducted by ASW, RS, DC, ER, AH, BM, TEAP, PCM, NS, SH, EYJ, DIS, DWC and DWE. This specific analysis was designed by JW, DWE, ASW, and KBP. JW contributed to the statistical analysis of the survey data. JW, DWE, KBP and ASW drafted the manuscript and all authors contributed to interpretation of the data and results and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing Interests statement

DWE declares lecture fees from Gilead, outside the submitted work. No other author has a conflict of interest to declare.

Data availability

Data are still being collected for the COVID-19 Infection Survey. De-identified study data are available for access by accredited researchers in the ONS Secure Research Service (SRS) for accredited research purposes under part 5, chapter 5 of the Digital Economy Act 2017. For further information about accreditation, contact Research.Support@ons.gov.uk or visit the SRS website.

Code availability

A copy of the analysis code is available at

https://github.com/jiaweioxford/COVID19_antibody_response_first_dose.

Vaccine	Age	Group	Peak level (ng/ml)			Half-life (days)		
			One dose with prior infection	Two doses without prior infection	One dose without prior infection	One dose with prior infection	Two doses without prior infection	One dose without prior infection
ChAdOx1	50	White female	549 (535-564)	271 (268-274)	150 (148-152)	165 (143-197)	85 (83-87)	105 (100-111)
	50	White male	511 (497-525)	262 (259-266)	140 (138-142)	144 (127-167)	85 (83-87)	96 (91-101)
	50	Non-white females	760 (730-792)	327 (319-335)	208 (201-216)	134 (112-167)	80 (76-84)	91 (82-103)
	50	Non-white males	707 (679-738)	316 (308-324)	194 (187-201)	119 (101-146)	80 (77-84)	84 (76-95)
	50	White female	537 (521-553)	793 (783-802)	306 (300-311)	236 (178-353)	111 (107-114)	46 (44-48)
BNT162b2	50	White male	499 (484-514)	762 (752-771)	284 (279-289)	205 (159-287)	97 (94-100)	45 (43-46)
	50	Non-white females	635 (607-665)	808 (790-826)	361 (347-377)	213 (145-393)	125 (117-135)	45 (42-49)
	50	Non-white males	590 (563-618)	777 (760-794)	336 (322-350)	187 (132-319)	108 (101-115)	44 (40-48)
	50	White female	605 (546-669)		501 (466-538)	572 (131-Not estimable)		71 (56-99)
	50	White male	557 (504-614)		460 (429-494)	219 (96-Not estimable)		59 (48-77)
mRNA-1273	50	Non-white females	637 (554-731)		527 (464-597)	225 (80-Not estimable)		60 (42-108)
	50	Non-white males	586 (510-674)		485 (428-549)	139 (65-Not estimable)		51 (37-81)

Table 1. Posterior predicted median peak levels (ng/ml) and half-lives (days) with 95% credible intervals in participants received one vaccination with prior infection, two vaccinations without prior infection, and one vaccination without prior infection, by vaccine type. Estimates for two vaccinations

without prior infection were based on our previous analysis¹⁶. All estimates are at the reference age (50-year-old) and separated by sex (female vs male) and ethnicity (white vs non-white).

Figure 1. Posterior predicted trajectories of anti-spike IgG levels from 21 days post-first dose by prior infection status. **a**, 62,142 participants who received at least a single ChAdOx1 vaccination. **b**, 36,064 participants who received at least a single BNT162b2 vaccination. **c**, 2,688 participants who received at least a single mRNA-1273 vaccination. Plotted at reference categories: 50 years, female, white ethnicity, not reporting a long-term health condition, not a healthcare worker, and deprivation percentile=60. Peak levels for participants ≥ 60 years with single ChAdOx1 or BNT162b2 vaccination were at 28 days. Orange dotted lines in panel a and b were predicted trajectories post-second dose for 92,584 and 51,034 participants who received two ChAdOx1 and BNT162b2 vaccination without prior infection reproduced from our previous analysis, plotted at the same reference categories¹⁶.

a Prior infection

b No prior infection

Female, white Male, white Female, non-white Male, non-white

Figure 2. Posterior predicted days (95% credible interval) from the first vaccination to the positivity threshold of 42 ng/ml in those with evidence of prior infection (panel a) and without evidence of prior infection (panel b). Estimates were separated by age, sex, ethnicity, long-term health condition (LTHC), and vaccine type. The y-axis is truncated at 3,000 days (panel a) for visualisation. For ChAdOx1, the 20-year-old group is not plotted because the vast majority of those receiving ChAdOx1 were ≥ 40 years. For mRNA-1273, the 80-year-old is not plotted because the vast majority of those receiving mRNA-1273 were ≤ 60 years. In panel a, 20 and 40-year-old white female without LTHC is not plotted in mRNA-1273 because their antibody levels were not estimated to decline so no duration could be estimated. Equivalent estimates after second vaccination are provided in¹⁶.