Title: Convalescent plasma for outpatients with early COVID-19

Subtitle: A pooled analysis of two randomized clinical trials

Running title: Convalescent plasma for outpatients with COVID-19

Version November 18, 2021

Word count: 3044

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

List of authors:

Pere Millat-Martinez^(#), Arvind Gharbharan^(#), Andrea Alemany^(#), Casper Rokx, Corine Geurtsvankessel, Grigorios Papageorgiou, Nan van Geloven, Carlijn Jordans, Geert Groeneveld, Francis Swaneveld, Ellen van der Schoot, Marc Corbacho-Monné, Dan Ouchi, Francini Piccolo Ferreira, Pierre Malchair, Sebastian Videla, Vanesa García García, Anna Ruiz-Comellas, Anna Ramírez-Morros, Joana Rodríguez Codina, Rosa Amado Simon, Joan-Ramon Grifols, Julian Blanco, Ignacio Blanco, Jordi Ara, Quique Bassat, Bonaventura Clotet, Bàrbara Baro, Andrea Troxel, Jaap Jan Zwaginga^(#), Oriol Mitjà^(#), Bart Rijnders^{(#)(*)} for the ConV-ert, CoV-Early and COMPILE_{home} study groups.

^(#) Contributed equally as first and last authors

(*) Contact information corresponding author

Bart J.A. Rijnders

Department of infectious diseases, internal medicine. Erasmus MC.

's Gravendijkwal 230

3015CE Rotterdam

Tel. +31610363002 (Amsterdam-Brussels time)

b.rijnders@erasmusmc.nl

Affiliations of authors:

Pere Millat-Martinez^{1,2}: pere.millat@isglobal.org

Arvind Gharbharan³: a.gharbharan@erasmusmc.nl

Andrea Alemany^{1,4,5}: aalemany@flsida.org

Casper Rokx³: c.rokx@erasmusmc.nl

Corine Geurtsvankessel⁹: c.geurtsvankessel@erasmusmc.nl

Grigorios Papageorgiou⁶: g.papageorgiou@erasmusmc.nl

Nan van Geloven⁷: N.van_Geloven@lumc.nl

Carlijn Jordans³: c.jordans@erasmusmc.nl

Geert Groeneveld⁸: G.H.Groeneveld@lumc.nl

Francis Swaneveld¹⁰: F.Swaneveld@sanquin.nl

Ellen van der Schoot¹¹: e.vanderschoot@sanquin.nl

Marc Corbacho-Monné^{1,4,5,12}: marc.corbach@gmail.com

Dan Ouchi^{1,13}: douchi@irsicaixa.es

Francini Piccolo Ferreira¹⁴: Francini.Ferreira@bioclever.com

Pierre Malchair¹⁵: pierre.malchair@bellvitgehospital.cat

Sebastian Videla^{1,16,17}: svidela@bellvitgehospital.cat

Vanesa García García¹⁵: vgarcia@bellvitgehospital.cat

Anna Ruiz-Comellas^{18,19,20}: annaruizcom@gmail.com

Anna Ramírez-Morros¹⁸: amramirez.cc.ics@gencat.cat

Joana Rodríguez Codina²¹: jrodriguez@hsb.cat

Rosa Amado Simon²¹: rousy80@gmail.com

Joan-Ramon Grifols^{4,22}: jrgrifols@bst.cat

Julian Blanco²³: JBlanco@irsicaixa.es

Ignacio Blanco^{4,24}: iblanco.germanstrias@gencat.cat

Jordi Ara^{4,25}: gterritorial.mn.ics@gencat.cat

Quique Bassat^{2,26,27,28,29}: quique.bassat@isglobal.org

Bonaventura Clotet^{1,4,20,23}: BClotet@irsicaixa.es

Bàrbara Baro²: barbara.baro@isglobal.org

Andrea Troxel³²: Andrea.Troxel@nyulangone.org

Jaap Jan Zwaginga³⁰: j.j.zwaginga@lumc.nl

Oriol Mitjà^{1,4,20,31}: omitja@flsida.org

Bart Rijnders³: b.rijnders@erasmusmc.nl

¹Fight AIDS and Infectious Diseases Foundation, Badalona, Spain

²ISGlobal, Hospital Clínic, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

³Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Infectious Diseases and department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

⁴Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain

⁵Facultat de Medicina-Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

⁶Department of Biostatistics, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

⁷Department of Biomedical Data Sciences, Section of Medical Statistics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

⁸Department of Infectious Diseases and Acute Internal Medicine, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

⁹Department of Viroscience, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

¹⁰Unit of Transfusion Medicine, Sanquin Blood Supply, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

¹¹Department of Experimental Immunohematology, Sanquin Research, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

¹²Hospital Universitari Parc Taulí, I3PT,08028, Sabadell, Spain

¹³Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

¹⁴Bioclever

¹⁵Emergency Department, Bellvitge University Hospital, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain

¹⁶Clinical Research Support Unit (HUB-IDIBELL: Bellvitge University Hospital & Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute), Bellvitge University Hospital, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

¹⁷Pharmacology Unit, Department of Pathology and Experimental Therapeutics, School of Medicine and 33 Health Sciences, IDIBELL, University of Barcelona, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Spain

¹⁸Unitat de Suport a la Recerca de la Catalunya Central, Fundació Institut Universitari per a la recerca a l'Atenció Primària de Salut Jordi Gol i Gurina, Sant Fruitós de Bages, Spain

¹⁹Health Promotion in Rural Areas Research Group, Gerència Territorial de la Catalunya Central, Institut Català de la Salut, Sant Fruitós de Bages, Spain

²⁰Universitat de Vic - Universitat Central de Catalunya (UVIC-UCC), Vic, Spain

²¹Salut Catalunya Central, Hospital de Berga, Berga, Spain

²²Blood Bank Department – Banc de Sang i Teixits (BST), Barcelona, Spain.

²³IrsiCaixa AIDS Research Institute, Germans Trias i Pujol Research Institute (IGTP), Can Ruti Campus, 39 08916, Badalona, Spain

²⁴Metropolitana Nord Laboratory, Institut Català de la Salut, Badalona, Spain

²⁵Gerència Territorial Metropolitana Nord, Institut Català de la Salut, Barcelona, Spain

²⁶Centro de Investigação em Saúde de Manhiça (CISM), Maputo, Mozambique

²⁷ICREA, Pg. Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain

²⁸Pediatrics Department, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Universitat de Barcelona, Esplugues, Spain

²⁹Consorcio de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

³⁰Dept of Haematology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, The Netherlands and CCTR, Sanquin Blood Supply, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

³¹Lihir Medical Centre - InternationalSOS, Lihir Island, Papua New Guinea

³²Department of Population Health, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

Study group for CoV-Early

Erasmus MC

Peter Katsikis, Yvonne Muller, Marion Koopmans, Susanne Bogers, Jelle Miedema, Henk Russcher,
Cees Scherpenisse, Rene van Engen, Ayten Karisli

GGD Rotterdam

Hannelore Götz

Gezondheidscentrum Mathenesserlaan

Jelle Struik, Lotte Rokx-Niemantsverdriet

Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum

Lisa Zwaginga, Josine Oud, Romy Meier, Erik van Zwet, Simon Mooijaart, Arjan Albersen

Sanquin Blood Supply

Hans Vrielink, Leo van de Watering, Boris Hogema

Amphia Hospital, Breda

Peter van Wijngaarden, Ronald van Etten, Adriaan van Gammeren, Nanda Maas, Betty van Ginneken

Maasstad ziekenhuis, Rotterdam,

Jan den Hollander, Jose Verstijnen, Juliette van den Berg – Rahman

Groene hart hospital, Gouda

Faiz Karim, Siepke Hiddema, Kim van Elst

St Antonius hospital, Nieuwegein

Elena van Leeuwen-Segarceanu, Annette Reitsma, Karin Molenkamp

Spaarne Gasthuis

Robert Soetekouw, Caterina Band, José de Droog,

Isala Hospital

Jolanda Lammers, Lonneke Buijtenhuis

Universitair medisch centrum Groningen

Douwe Postma, David Koster, Michaël Lukens, Thea Scholtens, Maartje van den Boomgaard,

Machiel Vonk

Medical Center Leeuwarden

Linda Kampschreur, Marit van Vonderen, Loes Vrolijk

Centrum voor Infectieziektebestrijding, RIVM

Chantal Reusken, Johan Reimerink

NHS Blood and Transplant

Heli Harvala

Study group for ConV-ert:

Fight AIDS and Infectious Diseases Foundation

Gèlia Costes, Mar Capdevila-Jáuregui, Pamela Torrano-Soler, Alba San José, Zahida Jiménez, Ferran Ramírez-Viaplana, Susana Ferrer, Mireia Gallardo, Maria Ubals, Camila González-Beiras, Martí Vall-Mayans, Miquel Angel Rodriguez-Arias, Clara Suñer, Jordi Puig, Aroa Nieto, Ivan Galvan-Femenia, Xavier Comas-Leon

Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol (HUGTIP)

Glòria Bonet Papell, Maria Delgado Capel, Beatriz Díez Sánchez, Maria Pons Barber, Cristian Gonzalez Ruiz, Laura Navarrete Gonzalez, David González García, Ainhoa Vivero Larraza, Victor Carceles Peiró, Clàudia Roquer López, Magí Ferrer

Hospital Universitari de Bellvitge

Carlota Gudiol, Aurema Otero, Jose Carlos Ruibal Suarez, Alvaro Zarauza Pellejero, Ferran Llopis Roca, Orlando Rodriguez Cortez, Pablo Casares Gonzalez, Gemma Arcos Vila, Begoña Flores Aguilera, Vanesa Garcia Garcia, Graciela Rodríguez-Sevilla, Macarena Dastis Arias

CUAP Manresa

Judit Roca Font, Katherine M. Carrasco Matos, Glòria Saüch Valmaña, Carla Vidal Obradors,

Hospital Comarcal de Sant Bernabé

Silvia Tarres García, Margarida Curriu Sabatès, Raquel Nieto Rodríguez

Blood Bank Department - Banc de Sang i Teixits (BST)

Anna Millan, Enric Contreras, Àgueda Ancochea, Rosa Línio, Miriam Fornos, Natàlia Casamitjana, Eva Alonso, Núria Martínez, Laura Analía Maglio, Laura Comellas Fernandez, Nadia Garcia, Luis Hernández, María Isabel González, Anna Bravo, Yolanda García

Gerència Territorial Metropolitana Nord

Núria Prat, Joaquim Verdaguer, Thatiana Vértiz Guidotti, Sergio Benavent, Andrea Sofia Bianco, Ney Nicanor Briones Zambrano, Maria Viozquez Meya

Gerència Territorial Catalunya Central

Anna Forcada, Josep Vidal-Alaball

Metropolitana Nord Laboratory

Montserrat Giménez, Alexa París, Gema Fernández Rivas, Cristina Casañ Lopez, Águeda Hernández, Antoni E. Bordoy, Victoria González Soler

IrsiCaixa AIDS Research Institute

Edwars Pradenas, Silvia Marfil, Benjamin Trinité

Bioclever

Mireia Bonet, Josep Cantoni

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Michael Marks

Abstract

Background: Convalescent plasma (CP) for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 has not demonstrated clear benefits. However, data on outpatients with early symptoms are scarce. We aimed to assess whether treatment with CP administered during the first 7 days of symptoms reduced the disease progression or risk of hospitalization of outpatients. **Methods:** Two double-blind randomized trials (NCT04621123, NCT04589949) were merged with data pooling starting when <20% of their predefined sample size had been recruited. A Bayesian adaptive individual patient data meta-analysis was implemented. Analyses were done with Bayesian proportional odds and logistic models, where odds ratios (OR)<1.0 indicate a favorable outcome for CP. Fourteen study sites across the Netherlands and Catalonia in Spain participated in the trial. The two studies included outpatients aged ≥ 50 years and diagnosed with COVID-19 and symptomatic for ≤ 7 days. The intervention consisted of one unit (200-300mL) of CP with a predefined minimum level of antibodies. The two primary endpoints were (a) a 5-point disease severity scale (fully recovered by day 7 or not, hospital or ICU admission and death) and (b) a composite of hospitalization or death. **Results:** Of 797 patients included, 390 received CP and 392 placebo. At baseline, they had a median age of 58 years, 1 comorbidity, symptoms for 5 days and 93% tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 S-protein IgG antibodies. Seventy-four patients were hospitalized, 6 required mechanical ventilation and 3 died. The OR of CP for an improved disease severity scale was 0.936 (credible interval (CI) 0.667-1.311). The OR for hospitalization or death was 0.919 (CI 0.592-1.416). The effect of CP on hospital admission or death was largest in patients with ≤ 5 days of symptoms (OR 0.658, 95% CI 0.394-1.085). CP did not decrease the time to full symptom resolution ($p=0.62$). **Conclusion:** Treatment with CP of outpatients in the first 7 days of symptoms did not improve the outcome of COVID-19. The possible beneficial effect in patients with ≤ 5 days of symptoms requires further study.

Registration:

NCT04621123 and NCT04589949 on <https://www.clinicaltrials.gov>

Funding source:

ZONMW, the Netherlands, grant number 10430062010001.

SUPPORT-E, grant number 101015756

YoMeCorono, www.tomecorono.com

The Fight AIDS and Infectious Diseases Foundation with funding from the pharmaceutical company

Grifols S.A

Introduction

The unprecedented pace and amount of research on the pathogenesis of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) led to the availability of mortality-reducing therapies within a year after the start of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic (1-3). For non-hospitalized COVID-19 patients, only anti-SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibodies have emerged as a treatment that reduces hospital admission but only when given in the first week of illness. However, they are typically unavailable to middle and low-income countries (4-7).

Convalescent plasma (CP) from COVID-19 recovered patients contains polyclonal anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, can be collected in large quantities at relatively low costs and was used as a therapeutic strategy in previous viral outbreaks (8, 9). So far, randomized trials were unable to generate convincing evidence in support of CP for hospitalized patients with COVID-19 (10-18). However, because an autologous SARS-CoV-2 antibody response typically precedes hospital admission, CP is more likely to be beneficial when it is administered very early after symptom onset (19). Indeed, the only evidence from a randomized trial in favor of CP for COVID-19 comes from a small study in which elderly outpatients received CP in the first 72 hours after symptom onset (20). In a more recent trial, CP did not reduce the risk of disease progression of COVID-19 in patients with early disease (≤ 7 days). However, in this trial, patients were recruited at emergency rooms and were, therefore, more likely to manifest severe symptoms (21). This approach resulted in a trial profile of patients with moderate or late-stage disease, opposed to what was intended in the design. Hence, whether early treatment with CP improves the outcome of outpatients with COVID-19 remains an important question.

As soon as effective vaccines against COVID-19 became available in high-income countries, they were prioritized for individuals at higher risk for a poorer COVID-19 outcome. Because studies on CP for outpatients with COVID-19 focus on these high-risk populations as well, a high vaccination uptake will reduce the number of COVID-19 patients eligible for these studies. More importantly, the risk for a severe outcome will be small when patients become infected despite vaccination. Therefore, we anticipated that vaccination would slow down recruitment, reduce the number of events in the

recruited patients and result in individual studies being underpowered. In light of the uncertainty for achieving recruitment goals, real-time pooling of individual patient data from ongoing clinical trials was proposed as a tool for providing timely data to respond to the public health crisis (22). With this in mind, we initiated the COntinuous Monitoring of Pooled International trials of convaLEscent plasma for COVID-19 patients at home Consortium (COMPILE_{home}), which provided a platform to pool individual patient data continuously and in real-time from randomized clinical trials (RCTs) on CP for outpatients with COVID-19 (22). The COMPILE was conceived very early in the CCP initiative, anticipating the downstream challenges pertaining to enrollment and study power. This COMPILE_{home} consortium merged the data from 2 double-blind RCTs, the CoV-Early (NCT04589949) and the CONV-ert (NCT04621123) studies, to assess the effectiveness of high-titer CP for COVID-19 outpatients.

Methods

Overview of Study Design and research partners

Beginning in November 2020, we systematically searched for RCTs recruiting outpatients that compared treatment with CP with a blinded or unblinded control arm in the European (<https://www.clinicaltrialsregister.eu/>) and American (www.clinicaltrials.gov) trial register. Search terms were convalescent plasma, COVID-19, phase 2 or phase 3, adult, and recruiting or not recruiting. Studies were selected if they were RCTs on outpatients, if their inclusion criteria were confined to patients who had symptoms less than 7 days, and if they had a planned sample size of at least 100 participants of age 50 or older. Investigators of qualifying trials were contacted and informed about COMPILE_{home} and invited to collaborate in the study.

The full COMPILE_{home} protocol is available as an online supplement. The study was designed as a Bayesian adaptive individual patient data meta-analysis of ongoing clinical trials. Prior to the start of pooling, the study teams agreed upon a minimal set of data required to analyze the primary and secondary endpoints was agreed upon by the study teams. Each trial provided updated data every 6 weeks. The pooled data were monitored by 2 unblinded statisticians and a data and safety monitoring board

(DSMB) every 6 weeks using a pre-established stopping guideline for efficacy. At each interim analysis, a posterior distribution of the treatment effect was estimated.

Study patients and selection criteria

Although the exact inclusion and exclusion criteria could vary across the trials, all the subjects had to fulfill the following criteria; 1) Participant of a trial that joined the COMPILER_{home} consortium, 2) Confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis by a diagnostic PCR or antigen test, 3) Neither hospitalized nor at the emergency room department of a hospital before or at the time of randomization, 4) Symptomatic with illness onset ≤ 7 days at the time of screening for the study, and 5) Age 50 or older. Trials had to be approved by the institutional review boards, and competent authorities of the countries involved, and all patients gave written informed consent.

Intervention

To qualify for COMPILER_{home}, participants randomly assigned to the experimental group had to receive an infusion of ABO-compatible CP with high antibody titers as determined via a semi-quantitative antibody test against the spike protein or a virus neutralization assay. Only trials in which the participants were masked for the intervention were included.

Outcomes

Two primary efficacy outcome variables were selected. The first primary endpoint incorporated the speed of recovery and the progression of COVID-19 that would lead to hospital or intensive care unit (ICU) admission or death. It was defined as the highest score on a 5-point ordinal disease severity scale in the 28 days after randomization. A patient was scored 1 if he/she was fully recovered within seven days after transfusion, 2 when continued symptoms attributable to COVID-19 were present on day seven, 3 when admission to a hospital was required at any point, 4 when invasive ventilation was required at any point, and 5 when the patient had died at any moment. The second primary endpoint was the occurrence of hospitalization or death within 28 days.

Secondary endpoints were time to full symptom resolution (assessed by the blinded study team during a telephone contact on day 7, day 14, and day 28) and the safety of CP in outpatients with

COVID-19. Pre-planned subgroup analyses assessed the efficacy of the 2 primary outcomes in the following subgroups: 1) days since disease onset (1-5 or >5days), 2) level of neutralizing antibody anti-SARS-CoV-2 titers in transfused plasma and 3) Negative serum anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG status (Trimeric Spike antibody test, Liaison, Diasorin, Saluggia, Italy).

Statistical analysis

The first primary endpoint was analyzed with a Bayesian proportional odds model with normally distributed priors. The model included a main treatment effect shared among the trials (using a skeptical standard deviation of 0.4), main trial effects (using standard deviation 0.5 for the prior distribution), and trial by treatment interactions (using a standard deviation of 0.14 for the prior distribution). The following covariates were included with a standard deviation of 0.5 for the prior distribution: age, sex, number of comorbidities (0-9), oxygen saturation at baseline (in %), immunocompromised state (Y/N) and duration of time (in days) since COVID-19 symptom onset (Appendix Table 1). The second primary endpoint was analyzed with a Bayesian logistic model with a similar specification.

The use of the Bayesian framework and stopping rules enables continuous monitoring of the accrued data, and allowed for real-time decisions without penalties for multiple data looks associated with the classic frequentist approach. The results of each interim analysis were reported to the unblinded DSMB. The process and pre-specified thresholds for efficacy are described in detail in the protocol. The full statistical analysis plan is available as an online supplement.

The number of studies and patients included in COMPILER_{home} was not restricted and there was no pre-determined minimum or maximum sample size. The monitoring was planned to continue until the DSMB determined that there was sufficient evidence to recommend stopping the study. This situation could be achieved when the predefined stopping thresholds signaled efficacy or when the included studies had finished enrollment or any future recruitment was very unlikely to change the conclusion.

Results

Trials profile

The search for trials resulted in 35 identified studies, thirty-one of which did not meet the selection criteria of the consortium (Appendix Figure 1). Of the four remaining studies, one study team opted to abstain from pooling data while another never responded to repeated emails and calls, resulting in two trials included in the pooled analysis: The CONV-ert study (NCT04621123) and the CoV-Early study (NCT04589949). The CONV-ert study received approval from the Institutional Review Board of the Hospital Germans Trias I Pujol (reference PI 20-313) and the CoV-Early study received approval from the Institutional Review Board of the Erasmus Medical Centre Rotterdam (reference MEC-2020-0682). Briefly, the CONV-ert study randomized outpatients at 4 sites in Catalunya (Spain) aged ≥ 50 years with ≤ 7 days of symptoms to one unit (200-300 mL) of CP or sterile 0.9% saline solution, both covered with opaque tubular bags for blinding investigators and patients. The CONV-ert study joined the consortium when 65 of 474 patients were enrolled. CoV-Early enrolled outpatients at 10 sites aged ≥ 50 years with ≤ 7 days of symptoms and at least one additional risk factor for severe COVID-19 to receive either one unit (300 mL) of CP or non-convalescent plasma (donated before 01/2020) masked to investigators and patients. It had randomized 150 of the 690 planned patients when they joined the consortium. Details about the allocation concealment, blinding and selection of CP donors in both trials can be found in Appendix Table 2 and the study protocols.

The two trials used a different assay to measure the titer of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Therefore, a panel of 15 plasma samples was provided for comparison by the Support-E consortium, aimed at harmonizing CP evaluation in Europe (23). These results confirmed the linearity of both assays and allowed conversion of all neutralizing antibody titers into international units (IU/mL). The median neutralizing antibody titer in the plasma units was 1:386 (IQR 1:233-1:707) IU/mL, which is twice the median titer we previously observed in Dutch CP donors.(19) More details are described in the online supplementary data, in Appendix Table 1 and Appendix

Table 2 and in the individual study protocols.

Study patients and recruitment

Between November 2020 and July 2021, the CoV-Early and COnV-ert study teams contacted approximately 4450 outpatients with a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR or an antigen test. The majority of exclusions occurred for one of the following reasons: few remaining or clearly improving symptoms, no comorbidities, >7 days of symptoms, unable to come to study site or declined to participate. The online supplement provides more information about the recruitment procedures of each trial.

The rapid uptake of COVID-19 vaccination in Europe, which significantly affected recruitment rate in both studies (Appendix Figure 2) and the authorization of specific anti-SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibodies for high-risk outpatients resulted in early trial termination (COnV-ert on 8th of June and CoV-Early on 13th of July 2021) following recommendations of their DSMBs. By that time, 797 participants had been enrolled and 782 of them had received the allocated intervention and could be pooled for the analysis (Figure 1).

Patients included in the analysis had a median age of 58 years (IQR 53-64), a median of 5 days (IQR 4-6) from symptom onset, and a median of 1 comorbidity (IQR 0-2). According to the baseline assessment, 688 patients (93%) had a negative result for serum IgG anti SARS-CoV-2 S-protein, and 21 had completed their COVID-19 vaccination. 14 participants had received one of 2 doses of a mRNA vaccine at the time of inclusion. Baseline characteristics were comparable between both study arms (Table 1).

Primary endpoints

Table 2 shows the distribution of patients across the five categories of the disease severity scale. The overall estimated OR for patients treated with CP was 0.936 (posterior mean, 95% credible interval 0.667-1.311) with a 64.9% posterior probability of benefit (OR <1). Hospital admission or death occurred in 34 of 390 (8.7%) patients treated with CP and in 40 of 392 (10.2%) patients in the control arm with an OR of 0.919 (posterior mean, 95% credible interval 0.592-1.416) and a 64.3% posterior

probability of benefit. The results of all covariates included in the primary analysis can be found in the online supplement (Appendix Figure 5 and 6).

Secondary endpoints

No differences between CP and control patients regarding time to complete resolution of COVID-19 symptoms was seen (log-rank $p=0.62$, Figure 2). The effect size of CP on the binary outcome of hospital admission or death was larger in patients with ≤ 5 days of symptoms (OR 0.658, 95% CI 0.394-1.085) compared to those with >5 days (1.427, 95% CI 0.789-2.580) and comparable results (OR 0.720 95% CI 0.486-1.064) were observed for the ordinal outcome (Appendix Figure 7 and 8).

Finally, the OR for patients who received CP with neutralizing antibody titers above or below the median titer were identical (Appendix Figure 9). Also, no notable difference was observed when patients with IgG anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies detected at baseline were excluded (OR 0.880 95% CI 0.590-1.310 for the binary outcome, 0.892 95% CI 0.643-1.236 for ordinal outcome, Appendix Figures 7 and 8).

Safety

The intervention was well-tolerated. 89 serious adverse events (SAE) were reported, 4 were considered related to the plasma transfusion (3 in the control arm). Three patients could leave the hospital <24 hours after transfusion while the fourth was hospitalized for 5 days one week after the CP transfusion and diagnosed with thrombophlebitis at the infusion site and a pulmonary embolism (Table 3).

Discussion

In this analysis of 782 patients with COVID-19 randomized to high-titer CP or placebo within 7 days of disease onset, treatment with CP did not prevent COVID-19 progression, hospitalization, or other clinical outcomes. Our results agree with those by Korley et al. in patients of the same age and symptom duration but with probably more severe symptoms as they were recruited at emergency rooms in the USA (21). These findings differ from those of a smaller trial that used CP within 72 hours

of symptom onset in much older patients (≥ 75 years) (20). We explored signs of efficacy in various subgroups most likely to benefit from CP. The only subgroup in our study that we found that could potentially benefit from CP was the subgroup with ≤ 5 days from the onset of symptoms (OR 0.70, CI 0.47-1.03). The potential effect of CP when administered early after disease onset has been suggested by other authors (24), and could explain the results reported by Libster et al. study (20). However, in our study this was a secondary endpoint and the confidence interval was wide, so confirmation in other studies is needed. Regarding the safety parameters of this strategy, our study shows no major concerns, with only 4 SAEs related to the plasma infusion; these findings are in line with those described in previous studies (25).

Our study has several strengths. It is the largest of its kind, studying the effect of CP for high-risk outpatients with COVID-19 early after initiation of symptoms. The fact that 93% of all patients were SARS-CoV-2 antibody negative at the time of inclusion confirms that they were recruited in the early stage of the disease. Pooling of the data from both studies was pre-planned and initiated before any interim analyses were performed and when both studies were early in their recruitment. Both teams remained fully blinded as the (interim) analyses were done by an unblinded statistical team that shared the results with the DSMB on a regular basis. We, therefore, consider our results methodologically sound.

Several limitations should be mentioned. Although we only included patients aged ≥ 50 , and most of them also had comorbidities, the hospital admission rate was relatively low at 9.3%. Therefore, the study was not powered to exclude a small overall treatment effect. However, administering CP to infectious and symptomatic outpatients is complex and labor-intensive. Hence, we think that small CCP's clinical role is significantly diminished if unable to establish something greater than "a small effect" because it ceases to be practical. As vaccination uptake progressed in patients aged 50 or older and monoclonal antibody-based therapy with proven effectiveness in high-risk outpatients became available, the recruitment dropped dramatically as of June 2021. This resulted in the recommendation by the individual and COMPILE_{home} DSMBs that further enrollment was unlikely to

change the results, and both studies were discontinued. Regarding the advent of the SARS-CoV-2 variants that may be less susceptible to antibodies induced by the original SARS-CoV-2 virus or the alpha variant, it is reassuring that >95% of the patients in both countries were included at a time when the delta variant was still rare (<5%) (Appendix Figure 3 and 4). The last limitation of our study (and all studies on CP for COVID-19 so far) is the lack of a proper phase 2 dose-finding study. In a recent study, we administered 600 mL of CP to 25 SARS-CoV-2 antibody-negative B-cell depleted patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (26). While all seroconverted immediately after transfusion, the median virus neutralization titer only rose to 1:40. This is 4 times lower than the median titer in immunocompetent convalescent COVID-19 patients and up to 100 times lower than titers observed after treatment with monoclonal antibodies (7, 19). Therefore, we postulate that the range of neutralizing antibody titers present in the 200-300 mL of plasma we used may well have been too low. That underdosing may partially explain our findings is also suggested by a study in which human CP with a neutralizing antibody titer of 1:320 did not prevent disease in hamsters while a titer of 1:2560 did (27). Hence, we recommend that any future study on CP for COVID-19 should use donors at the upper extreme end of antibody titers (e.g., >1:2560 IU). Although, this was virtually impossible in 2020, this should no longer be difficult now as plasma donors recently vaccinated or boosted with a mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccine can be selected.

In conclusion, treatment of COVID-19 with CP in the first 7 days after symptom onset did not improve the outcome. Proper dose-finding studies should be conducted, preferentially in patients with ≤ 5 days of symptoms before future phase 3 studies on CP are initiated.

Acknowledgements

For the CoV-Early study group

The DSMB members for COMPILER_{home} (Jan Nouwen, Andrea Troxel, Greg Papageorgiou, David Boulware, Josep Puig). All GGD contact tracers who informed potential study candidates. All infectiologists at Erasmus MC who worked even harder during COVID times to let the study team

focus on the COVID trials (Adam Anas, Hannelore Bax, Mariana de Mendonça-Melo, Els van Nood, Jan Nouwen, Karin Schurink, Lennert Slobbe, Dorine de Vries-Sluijs) and the ER physicians and colleagues from the department of internal medicine who referred patients and facilitated patient recruitment. The medical students who contacted the patients (Romée Land, Eva Pruijt, Silje Taal, Liselotte Jeletich, Willem Sebrechts, Femke de Vries, Tia Rijlaarsdam). The many research assistants, research nurses and other participants who helped in facilitating the study (Maartje Wagemaker, Marita Tjauw Joe Kim – Amadmoestar, Diane Struik, Denise Heida-Peters, Sabine Harinck, Marjo van der Poel, Greetje van Asselt, Danielle Orij – Westerhof, Marloes Romeijn, Marlies Bouterse, Pepita de Vries, Dewi Dubbelaar, Cynthia Oud, Jo Anne den Ouden, Milly Haverkort). Stichting Hemato-Oncologie voor Volwassenen Nederland (HOVON) for building the eCRF and providing real-time support with the eCRF on very short notice (Henk Hofwegen, Ronnie van der Holt, Mirjam Stomp, Marleen Luten, Monique Steijart). Professor Eva Petkova at NYU Langone who co-designed the COMPILER concept. All the persons involved in the blinding and distribution of plasma products at the sites. Last but certainly not least, all patients that participated in the trial, the thousands of plasma donors and the COVID test centers who informed patients across the Netherlands about the study. This study was made possible by a research grant from ZONMW, the Netherlands (10430062010001). Sanquin Blood Supply provided convalescent plasma free of charge for study sites in the Netherlands.

For the ConV-ert study group

The trial was sponsored by the Fight AIDS and Infectious Diseases Foundation with funding from the pharmaceutical company Grifols S.A and the crowdfunding campaign YoMeCorono (www.yomecorono.com). The study received support of the Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, and Banc de Sang i Teixits de Catalunya (BST). We thank all the plasma donors and the participants in this study and all the effort they made to attend the visits for follow up. We thank Gerard Carot-Sans for providing medical writing support with manuscript preparation and Roser Escrig for her support in the study design and medical writing assistance with the study documentation. We also thank Laia Bertran, Mireia Clua, Jordi Mitjà, Claudia Laporte, Sergi Gavilan,

Miquel Àngel Rodríguez, Joan Mercado and Enric Nieto for the operational and financial management of the project. We thank the personnel from the Fight Aids and Infectious Diseases Foundation for their support in administration, human resources and supply chain management. We thank the independent DSMB for their time and dedication: Cinta Hierro (Catalan Institute of Oncology, Badalona, Spain), Natalia Tovar (Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain), Binh Ngo (University of Southern California, Los Angeles, US), David Boulware (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, US), Robin Mogg (Bill and Melinda Gates Research Institute, Seattle, US). ISGlobal receives support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation through the “Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa 2019–2023” Program (CEX2018-000806-S), and support from the Generalitat de Catalunya through the CERCA Program. CISM is supported by the Government of Mozambique and the Spanish Agency for International Development (AECID). BB was supported by a Beatriu de Pinós postdoctoral fellow granted by the Government of Catalonia’s Secretariat for Universities and Research, and by Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions COFUND Programme (BP3, 801370). EP was supported by a doctoral grant from National Agency for Research and Development of Chile (ANID): 72180406. OM was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Role of funding source

The funders had no role in the design or conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

References

1. Horby P, Lim WS, Emberson JR, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(8):693-704.
2. Brown MJ, Alazawi W, Kanoni S. Interleukin-6 Receptor Antagonists in Critically Ill Patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(12):1147.
3. Tocilizumab in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10285):1637-45.
4. Dougan M, Nirula A, Azizad M, Mocherla B, Gottlieb RL, Chen P, et al. Bamlanivimab plus Etesevimab in Mild or Moderate Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021.
5. Gottlieb RL, Nirula A, Chen P, Boscia J, Heller B, Morris J, et al. Effect of Bamlanivimab as Monotherapy or in Combination With Etesevimab on Viral Load in Patients With Mild to Moderate COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*. 2021;325(7):632-44.
6. Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, Ali S, Gao H, Bhore R, et al. REGN-COV2, a Neutralizing Antibody Cocktail, in Outpatients with Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;384(3):238-51.
7. Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, Ali S, Gao H, Bhore R, et al. REGEN-COV Antibody Cocktail Clinical Outcomes Study in Covid-19 Outpatients. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.05.19.21257469.
8. Garraud O, Heshmati F, Pozzetto B, Lefrere F, Girot R, Saillol A, et al. Plasma therapy against infectious pathogens, as of yesterday, today and tomorrow. *Transfus Clin Biol*. 2016;23(1):39-44.
9. Mair-Jenkins J, Saavedra-Campos M, Baillie JK, Cleary P, Khaw FM, Lim WS, et al. The effectiveness of convalescent plasma and hyperimmune immunoglobulin for the treatment of severe acute respiratory infections of viral etiology: a systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;211(1):80-90.
10. Estcourt LJ, Turgeon AF, McQuilten ZK, McVerry BJ, Al-Beidh F, Annane D, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma on Organ Support-Free Days in Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*. 2021;326(17):1690-702.

11. Simonovich VA, Burgos Pratz LD, Scibona P, Beruto MV, Vallone MG, Vázquez C, et al. A Randomized Trial of Convalescent Plasma in Covid-19 Severe Pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(7):619-29.
12. Agarwal A, Mukherjee A, Kumar G, Chatterjee P, Bhatnagar T, Malhotra P. Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate covid-19 in adults in India: open label phase II multicentre randomised controlled trial (PLACID Trial). *Bmj*. 2020;371:m3939.
13. Li L, Zhang W, Hu Y, Tong X, Zheng S, Yang J, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Time to Clinical Improvement in Patients With Severe and Life-threatening COVID-19: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*. 2020;324(5):460-70.
14. O'Donnell MR, Grinsztejn B, Cummings MJ, Justman JE, Lamb MR, Eckhardt CM, et al. A randomized double-blind controlled trial of convalescent plasma in adults with severe COVID-19. *J Clin Invest*. 2021;131(13).
15. Avendaño-Solà C, Ramos-Martínez A, Muñoz-Rubio E, Ruiz-Antorán B, de Molina RM, Torres F, et al. Convalescent Plasma for COVID-19: A multicenter, randomized clinical trial. *medRxiv*. 2020:2020.08.26.20182444.
16. Janiaud P, Axfors C, Schmitt AM, Gloy V, Ebrahimi F, Hepprich M, et al. Association of Convalescent Plasma Treatment With Clinical Outcomes in Patients With COVID-19: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Jama*. 2021;325(12):1185-95.
17. Convalescent plasma in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): a randomised controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10289):2049-59.
18. Bégin P, Callum J, Jamula E, Cook R, Heddle NM, Tinmouth A, et al. Convalescent plasma for hospitalized patients with COVID-19: an open-label, randomized controlled trial.
19. Gharbharan A, Jordans CCE, GeurtsvanKessel C, den Hollander JG, Karim F, Mollema FPN, et al. Effects of potent neutralizing antibodies from convalescent plasma in patients hospitalized for severe SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nature Communications*. 2021;12(1):3189.

20. Libster R, Pérez Marc G, Wappner D, Coviello S, Bianchi A, Braem V, et al. Early High-Titer Plasma Therapy to Prevent Severe Covid-19 in Older Adults. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021;384(7):610-8.
21. Korley FK, Durkalski-Mauldin V, Yeatts SD, Schulman K, Davenport RD, Dumont LJ, et al. Early Convalescent Plasma for High-Risk Outpatients with Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2021.
22. Petkova E, Antman EM, Troxel AB. Pooling Data From Individual Clinical Trials in the COVID-19 Era. *Jama*. 2020;324(6):543-5.
23. Nguyen D, Simmonds P, Steenhuis M, Wouters E, Desmecht D, Garigliany M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 neutralising antibody testing in Europe: towards harmonisation of neutralising antibody titres for better use of convalescent plasma and comparability of trial data. *Euro Surveill*. 2021;26(27).
24. Arnold Egloff SA, Junglen A, Restivo JS, Wongsakhaluang M, Martin C, Doshi P, et al. Convalescent plasma associates with reduced mortality and improved clinical trajectory in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *J Clin Invest*. 2021;131(20).
25. Joyner MJ, Bruno KA, Klassen SA, Kunze KL, Johnson PW, Lesser ER, et al. Safety Update: COVID-19 Convalescent Plasma in 20,000 Hospitalized Patients. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2020;95(9):1888-97.
26. Gharbharan A, GeurtsvanKessel CH, Jordans CCE, Blaauw M, van der Klift M, Hassing R-J, et al. Effects of treatment of COVID-19 with convalescent plasma in 25 B-cell depleted patients. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 2021.
27. Haagmans BL, Noack D, Okba NMA, Li W, Wang C, Bestebroer T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Human Antibodies Protect Against Lower Respiratory Tract Disease in a Hamster Model. *J Infect Dis*. 2021;223(12):2020-8.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics

Characteristic	Total (n = 782)	CP* (n = 390)	Control (n = 392)
Male sex – no. (%)	522 (66.8%)	267 (68.5%)	255 (65.1%)
Age - median (IQR)	58 (53 – 64)	58 (53 – 64)	58 (54 – 65)
O ₂ saturation - median (IQR) [†]	97 (96 – 98)	97 (96 – 98)	97 (96 – 98)
Severe immunodeficiency – no. (%)	13 (1.7%)	5 (1.3%)	8 (2.1%)
Number of comorbidities - median (IQR) [‡]	1 (0 – 2)	1 (0 – 2)	1 (0 – 2)
Days since first symptoms - median (IQR)	5 (4 – 6)	5 (4 – 6)	5 (4 – 6)
Positive antibody status at baseline – no. (%)	53 (7.0%)	28 (7.7%)	24 (6.4%)

*Convalescent plasma

[†]Baseline oxygen saturation without supplementary oxygen

[‡]Obesity, cardiac disease, lung disease, neurological disease, diabetes, chronic renal failure, cancer and/or liver disease. See the supplementary appendix for additional details of the comorbidities.

Table 2. Distribution of the outcome of the patients in the 28 days after inclusion across the 5-points disease severity scale.

Worst Disease Severity Score	Total (n = 782)	CP* (n = 390)	Control (n = 392)
Recovered – no. (%) [†]	143 (18.3%)	74 (19.0%)	69 (17.6%)
Continued symptoms – no. (%) [‡]	565 (72.3%)	282 (72.3%)	283 (72.2%)
Admitted to hospital but no invasive ventilation needed – no. (%)	65 (8.3%)	31 (7.9%)	34 (8.7%)
Admitted to hospital and invasive ventilation needed – no. (%)	6 (0.8%)	2 (0.5%)	4 (1.0%)
Death – no. (%)	3 (0.4%)	1 (0.3%)	2 (0.5%)

*Convalescent plasma

[†]Recovered with no symptoms within 7 days after inclusion

[‡]Continued symptoms attributable to COVID-19

Table 3. Serious adverse events*

SAE category	Total	CP ^b	Control
(Prolongation of) hospital admission – no. [‡]	80	37	43
Death – no.	3	1	2
Serious transfusion related adverse event – no. [§]	4	1	3
Life threatening transfusion reaction – no.	2	0	2
Other AE	2	1	1

*Serious adverse events (SAE) were registered in all patients that signed the informed consent form (n=797)

regardless of being transfused or not.

[†]When a patient is hospitalized more than once, each admission is counted separately.

[‡]Convalescent plasma

[§]Any transfusion reaction associated with a plasma transfusion that was considered as a SAE

^{||}2 patients with anaphylaxis very soon after discharge that required urgent therapy by paramedics

Figure 1. CONSORT flow diagram

Figure 2: Time to full symptom resolution up until day 28 (end of follow-up). CP=Convalescent plasma. Log-rank test $p=0.62$.