




**a**




**b**





**Supp.Fig.1: Variance components analysis (equivalent to Figure 2a ), computed separately on the nasal samples (a) and on the bronchial samples (b). The plots only show the contribution of each variable to the total explained variance.**




**Supp.Fig.2: Smoke injury reversibility analysis. (a)** The slope coefficients associated to the three smoking status variables included in the Bayesian model (CS: current smoker status, FSS: former smoker status, FS: former smoker's time since quit). **(b)** Description of model selection procedure used to assign each gene to a reversibility class: the table shows all possible combinations of inclusion/exclusion of the three smoking status variables. **(c)** In blue, yellow and red, schematic of a gene with altered expression in current compared to never smokers, and the three possible trajectories after smoking cessation, corresponding to the RR, SR and IR reversibility classes; in green, schematic of a gene with no expression different in current versus never smokers, but altered expression in former smokers, corresponding to the CA class. US: not affected; RR: rapidly reversible SR: slowly reversible; IR:irreversible; CA: cessation-associated.




**Supp.Fig.3: Principal component analysis on the genes belonging to different reversibility classes.** RR: Rapidly reversible genes, SR: Slowly reversible genes; IR:irreversible genes. Each small dot is a patient and colors indicate the smoking status of the patient. Large dots represent the mean of all patients for each smoking class. **(a)**: nasal samples from healthy volunteers, using the reversibility classes from the bayesian model on the healthy volunteer group. **(b)**: nasal samples from clinic subjects (cancer + benign), using the reversibility classes from the bayesian model on the clinic group. **(c)**: bronchial samples from clinic subjects (cancer + benign), using the reversibility classes from the bayesian model on the clinic group.

**a****b**

**Supp.Fig.4: Master regulators of smoke injury response. (a)** Plot showing reversibility classification for the same transcription factors in the healthy volunteers and clinic group. TFs classified as unaffected by smoke in both donor groups were excluded; **(b)** Species accumulation plot showing the percentage of smoke injury genes (union of genes found in healthy and clinic group, n=864) covered by an increasing number of smoke injury TFs (union of TFs found in healthy and clinic group, n=285). The ‘elbow’ of the curve is reached at 25 TFs, which cover ~70% of smoke injury genes.



**Supp.Fig.5: Pathway metascores** over smoking status for 4 GO terms involved in smoke injury response in nasal epithelium. Geneset metascores were calculated by averaging the nasal expression of genes belonging to each GO term.






smokingstatus


- current
- ex.1\_to\_12months
- <=10yrs
- >10yrs
- never

**Supp.Fig.6: Pathway metascore over smoking status for four immune-related gene-sets.**


Former smokers with time since quit > 1 year were divided into former smokers who quit <= 10 years and >10 years before sample collection



**Supp.Fig.7: Population and clinic risk scores. (a)** Correlation between the clinic risk score and the population risk score for each patient. Each dot represent a single patient (green: healthy volunteer; orange: clinic benign; purple: clinic cancer). **(b-c):** Distribution of the risk scores after regressing the for the population risk score**(b)** and the clinic risk score **(c)**.





**Supp.Fig.8: Area under the Curve (AUC) calculated after cross validation for different models.** Clinical: model trained on clinical data only, Smoke genes: model trained on the expression of smoke injury risk genes only, Clinical + smoke genes: model trained on clinical data and expression of smoke injury risk genes, Random genes: model trained on expression of a set of randomly selected genes, Clinical + random genes: model trained on clinical data and expression of a set of randomly selected genes. **(A)** Area under the PR and ROC curve for the population score, **(B)** Area under the PR and ROC curve for the clinic score.





**Supp.Fig.9: Comparison with classifier from Perez-Rogers et al. (2017).** (a-b) Our population (a) and clinic (b) risk scores applied to the AEGIS nasal cohort. Patients were scored using the average beta coefficients for the predictors over cross-validation; (c) Perez-Rogers' clinico-genomic model applied to the AEGIS nasal cohort; (d) Perez-Rogers' clinico-genomic model applied to nasal samples from our cohort.

EX





CURRENT





**Supp.Fig.10: Population score stratified by smoking status.** Distribution of the population risk score in the Ex smokers who stopped smoking more than 1 year ago (A) and in the current smokers (C). Distribution of the population risk score after regressing the clinical covariates in Ex smoker >1y (B) and current smokers (D).




EX




CURRENT




**Supp.Fig.11: Clinic score stratified by smoking status.** Distribution of the clinic risk score in the Ex smokers who stopped smoking more than 1 year ago (A) and in the current smokers (C). Distribution of the clinic risk score after regressing the clinical covariates in Ex smoker >1y (B) and current smokers (D).

**a****b****c**



**Supp.Fig.12: Clinic and population scores for different clinical variables.** Distribution of the clinic (**Top rows**) and population (**bottom rows**) risk score in subjects depending on **(A)** The type of cancer **(B)** the stage of the cancer **(C)** the COPD status. Color of the dot indicate for each individual subject his status, namely healthy volunteer (green), clinic benign (orange) or clinic cancer (purple)




**Sup. Fig. 13: Clinic and population scores depending on smoking status:** Distribution of the clinic (**Top row**) and population (**bottom row**) risk score in subjects depending on their smoking status. Scores are represented separately for healthy volunteers (left), clinic benign (middle) and clinic cancer (right). Color of the dot indicate for each individual subject their status, namely healthy volunteer (green), clinic benign (orange) or clinic cancer (purple)




**Sup. Fig. 14: Correlation between patient risk score and geneset metascore for 2 immune-related gene sets involved in smoke injury response.** Top row shows the correlation with the population risk score, bottom row with the clinic risk score. Correlation is shown separately for current (blue) and former (red) smokers; shaded areas around the fitted line indicate 95% confidence interval.



**Sup. Fig. 15: Overlap of eQTL Analysis.** eQTLs in the nasal and bronchial tissue are strongly correlated. **A:** A venn diagram of the eQTL genes found in the nasal tissue (blue), in the bronchial tissues (green) or in an analysis conducted jointly in the two tissues (red). **B:** Correlation between the corrected p-values ( $-\log_{10}$ ) of the eQTL test in all tested genes between the test conducted in the bronchial (BR) and nasal (NS) tissues.



**Sup. Fig. 16: Combined environmental and genetic effect on the expression for 9 gene in nasal tissues.** For the 9 genes with a significant interaction effect between smoking status and the genotype of the patient at the lead eQTL position, we present the expression level of the gene separately for never, former and current smokers. Samples are further stratified depending on the genotype of the subject at the corresponding lead eQTL locus (pink: homozygous reference; green: heterozygous; blue homozygous Alternative). P-values and SNP position are given in Supp Table 6.



**Supp.Fig.17:** Equivalent to Fig 6b, the activity level of the 4 TFs that regulate a high number of risk and GWAS genes, but this time calculated for the Bronchial samples only, on a gene network that is inferred from the Bronchial samples. As for the nasal one, we find no differences between the patient with and without cancer (note that we haven't sampled bronchial tissue for healthy volunteer patients, for ethic reasons).