


SUPPLEMENTARY FILE: DATA ANALYSIS PROTOCOL

Introduction

The most important steps of our method to calculate the impact of replacing MDIs by DPIs on greenhouse gas emissions in Dutch healthcare, are shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Steps to calculate the impact of conversion of MDI to DPI



Our data-analysis protocol is:

Step 1: Collect diagnoses asthma/COPD from medical claims database

Use the DIS database (DBC Informatie Systeem | Diagnosis-Treatment Combination Information system) to collect the identifiers and diagnoses of patients that received care for asthma or COPD between 2012 and 2020. The DIS database is a medical claims database covering all medical care giving to all Dutch citizens. Private care is also included. The government body Dutch Health Care Authority (Nederlandse Zorgautoriteit) owns this database. The reason for this initial step is to find out how many MDI DDDs were prescribed for patients with severe COPD, as guidelines do not consider them eligible for

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE: DATA ANALYSIS PROTOCOL

DPI. Also, we wanted to know how MDIs are distributed between asthma and COPD. The DIS database does not contain all primary care diagnoses.

Step 2: Join these diagnoses to the inhalation medication prescribed in 2020

The GIP database (Genees- en hulpmiddelen Informatie Project | Medicines and medical devices Information Project) contains all prescriptions of all Dutch citizens from pharmacies since about 1985. The Dutch National Health Care Institute (Zorginstituut Nederland) is the owner of this database. Use the GIP database to select all medication where the ATC-code starts with 'R03', the mode of administration is 'inhalation' and the year is 2020. Increase all numbers with 3%, because a few small health insurance companies do not deliver claims data. These missing data represent 3% of the claims volume.

Exclude the nebulizers since they don't contain propellants and because they are usually not an appropriate alternative for an MDI due to their size and dependency on electrical energy.

Label 'soft mist inhalers' as 'DPIs' since they don't contain propellants and may be considered an alternative to MDI.

Exclude the SABA-SAMA medication, because there are no DPIs containing both SABA and SAMA and they can't be replaced properly. We considered all replacements from MDI to DPI to be acceptable as long as the medication group stays the same and the patient doesn't end up with more inhalers. Because there is no DPI SABA-SAMA available, replacing a MDI SABA-SAMA by a DPI SABA plus a DPI SAMA, would lead to an extra inhaler. This, we did not consider acceptable for replacement. We believed it is not necessary to keep the ATC-code the same during a replacement. E.g., we considered replacing any MDI SABA by any DPI SABA to be acceptable because the medication group did not change.

Create the following medication groups, allowing replacement within each group: SABA, LABA, ICS, SAMA, SABA-ICS, LABA-ICS and LABA-LAMA-ICS. LAMA and LABA-LAMA are missing from the list of inhalation medication with propellants, as they are always delivered by DPI.

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE: DATA ANALYSIS PROTOCOL

Step 3: Calculate the carbon dioxide impact of replacement of MDI by DPI

Calculate the number of canisters for each specific inhalation medication product. The number of DDDs in basic packaging is one of the database fields of the GIP database.

Calculate the carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂ eq.) per type of canister. Do this once by using the conversion table from Wilkinson et al.¹ and once by using the conversion table from Jeswani & Azapagic.² Because the two conversion tables deliver different results, we choose to use both tables in order to create a range. Not all types of canisters were mentioned in the two conversion tables. Therefore we added some assumptions to the tables and marked them. We based these assumptions on the other data.

Table 1. Conversion table adapted from Wilkinson et al. (2019)

<i>Inhalation medication group</i>	<i>kilogram CO₂ per canister</i>
ICS	20.4
LABA	15.6
LABA-ICS, Flutiform	36.5
LABA-ICS, all others	19.6
LABA-LAMA-ICS (assumption)	19.5
SABA	17.2
SABA-ICS (assumption)	19.5
SAMA	14.3

¹ Wilkinson AJK, Braggins R, Steinbach I, et al. Costs of switching to low global warming potential inhalers. An economic and carbon footprint analysis of NHS prescription data in England. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e028763. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028763

² Jeswani, H. K., & Azapagic, A. (2019). Life cycle environmental impacts of inhalers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, [117733]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117733>

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE: DATA ANALYSIS PROTOCOL

Table 2. Conversion table adapted from Yeswani & Azapagic (2019)

<i>Inhalation medication group</i>	<i>kilogram CO2 per canister</i>
ICS, brand = Alvesco, ATC = R03BA08	10.946
ICS, all others	15.0475
LABA	13.2535
LABA-ICS, Flutiform	32.0048
LABA-ICS, all others	14.508
LABA-LAMA-ICS (assumption)	19.5
SABA, brand = AiroMir	7.696
SABA, all others	23.374
SABA-ICS (assumption)	19.5
SAMA	14.17

Calculate the impact of a 70% decrease of MDI use. In 2020 in the Netherlands 50,4% of inhalation medication DDDs consist of MDIs. We assume this can safely be lowered to 15%, which is equal to a 70% decrease $((50,4\% - 15\%)/50,4\%)$. We have two arguments for this assumption:

1) Current Dutch COPD-guidelines³ state that children younger than 7 years and patients with at least two exacerbations per year are more dependent on MDIs. Children cannot yet coordinate their breathing well and need an MDI and a spacer, and patients with 'severe' COPD have a low inspiratory flow and therefore require the force of an MDI propellant. We defined 'severe COPD' as COPD requiring at least 42 DDDs of oral corticosteroids per year, which is equal to two treatments of exacerbations. In our data we observed that 14.3% of MDI DDDs were prescribed for patients who were either younger than 7 years or had severe COPD. If we leave their MDI DDDs untouched, a replacement of 85.7% would theoretically be possible $(100 - 14.3)/100$.

³ Bischoff E, Bouma M, Broekhuizen L, Donkers J, Hallensleben C, De Jong J, Snoeck-Stroband J, In 't Veen JC, Van Vugt S, Wagenaar M. NHG | Nederlands Huisartsen Genootschap (2021) *NHG-richtlijn COPD* [Dutch College of General Practitioners Guideline COPD]. Available: <https://richtlijnen.nhg.org/standaarden/COPD> [Accessed 19 Apr 2021].

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE: DATA ANALYSIS PROTOCOL

2) In Sweden approximately 10% of inhalation medication consists of MDIs. ⁴ Assuming Sweden and The Netherlands are comparable in terms of a variety of social-epidemiological indicators we believe the latter country should be able to lower their percentage of DDDs delivered by MDIs to 15%.

Step 4: Calculate the financial impact or replacement from MDI to DPI

Calculate the financial impact with two scenario's:

1) Low cost scenario

Calculate the costs of all MDI medication and spacers in 2020. Add these costs and multiply by the replacement percentage of 70. These are the current costs.

Divide the MDI medication into the groups: SABA, LABA, ICS, SAMA, LABA-ICS and LABA-LAMA-ICS. Within each group calculate the costs if 70% of MDI DDDs would be replaced by the low cost DPI in the same group. These are the replacement costs.

2) Average cost scenario

Calculate the costs of all MDI medication and spacers in 2020. Add these costs and multiply by the replacement percentage of 70. These are the current costs.

Divide the MDI medication into the groups: SABA, LABA, ICS, SAMA, LABA-ICS and LABA-LAMA-ICS. Within each group calculate the costs if 70% of MDI DDDs would be replaced by the weighted average cost of DPI of the same group. These are the replacement costs.

⁴ Lavorini F, Corrigan CJ, Barnes PJ, Dekhuijzen PR, Levy ML, Pedersen S, Roche N, Vincken W, Crompton GK; Aerosol Drug Management Improvement Team. Retail sales of inhalation devices in European countries: so much for a global policy. *Respir Med.* 2011 Jul;105(7):1099-103.

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE: DATA ANALYSIS PROTOCOL

Outcome of steps 1 and 2

Table 3: Inhaler use by diagnosis (nebulisers were excluded, soft mist inhalers were included within DPI).

Type of inhaler	Patient has diagnosis	Number of patients/ users *	Number of DDDs of inhalation medication	MDIs given for asthma	MDIs given for COPD
MDI	n.a.	501,058	81,324,887		
DPI	n.a.	455,706	88,850,395		
MDI	Asthma	157,475	63,011,726	63,011,726	
DPI	Asthma	121,293	36,650,900		
MDI	COPD	151,786	72,460,301		72,460,301
DPI	COPD	201,022	90,408,417		
MDI	Asthma and COPD	21,176	11,277,574	5,638,787	5,638,787
DPI	Asthma and COPD	20,577	8,705,713		
total			452,689,914	68,650,513	78,099,088

It is clear that MDI use is not very different between asthma and COPD. It is also clear that primary care diagnoses of asthma and COPD are missing.

Table 4. Inhalation medication in the Netherlands 2020

Inhaler type	Number of patients *	Number of DDDs **
Metered-dose (MDI) and soft mist	831,494	228,074,487
Dry powder (DPI)	798,598	224,615,426
Nebulisers (excluded in further analysis)	23,479	6,931,238
MDI and/or DPI (included)	1,388,255	452,689,914
MDI and/or DPI and/or nebulisers (total group)	1,392,743	459,621,151

* Users may use different types of inhalers at the same time

** Defined daily dose

In addition 549224 spacers have been issued to 509650 (MDI using) patients, so 61% of them received a new, yearly-to-be-replaced inhaler.

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE: DATA ANALYSIS PROTOCOL

Table 5. Patient groups not eligible for MDI to DPI replacement (nebulisers were excluded, soft mist inhalers were included within DPI)

<i>Patient group</i>	<i>Number of patients</i>	<i>Their consumption of MDI medication (in DDD)</i>	<i>Percentage of their MDI consumption as part of all MDI consumption</i>
Severe COPD (COPD and at least 42 DDD prednisone per year)	45,884	27,309,586	12,0%
Younger than 7 years	74,177	5,274,243	2,3%
All others	1,392,743	195490658	85,7%
		228,074,487	100%

Outcome of step 3

Table 6. Number of canisters per group, calculated with product specifications

<i>Inhalation medication group</i>	<i>Number of MDI DDDs</i>	<i>Number of MDI canisters</i>
ICS	62,063,901	1,194,372
LABA	15,976,834	364,611
LABA-ICS	71,397,781	2,211,663
LABA-LAMA-ICS	9,256,871	308,380
SABA	48,382,999	1,935,320
SABA-ICS	0	0
SAMA	13,846,309	414,560
Total	220,924,694	6,428,906

The underlying calculations are on product level, and are not shown.

Table 7. Reduction of CO₂ equivalents due to theoretical 70% exchange of MDI for DPI

	<i>Using conversion table from Yeswani</i>	<i>Using conversion table from Wilkinson</i>
Kilogram CO ₂ equivalent	109,942,364	119,739,069
70% reduction of MDI use (in Kilogram CO ₂ equivalent)	76,959,654	83,817,348

SUPPLEMENTARY FILE: DATA ANALYSIS PROTOCOL

Outcome of step 4

Table 8. Financial impact of 70% replacement of MDI to DPI

	<i>DDDs of MDI, which can be replaced</i>	<i>Portion of MDI to be replaced (70%)</i>	<i>Low-cost scenario</i>	<i>Average cost scenario</i>
Volume in DDD	220,924,694	154,647,286	154,647,286	154,647,286
Medication cost	€ 171,372,329	€ 119,960,630	€ 82,861,418	€ 141,025,299
Cost of spacers	€ 18,095,091	€ 12,666,564	0	0
Total cost	€ 189,467,420	€ 132,627,194	€ 82,861,418	€ 141,025,299
Impact of exchange			€ 49,765,776 savings	€ 8,398,105 increased costs

The low-cost scenario would result in € 49,8 million annual savings, the average cost scenario would result in € 8,4 million annual extra expenditure.