1

# Healthcare professionals' knowledge and practices towards hospital infections in surgical clinics

# Petros Galanis, RN, MPH, PhD, Assistant Professor

Department of Nursing, Clinical Epidemiology Laboratory, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

# Katerina Kokkoliou, RN, MSc

General Hospital of Athens "KAT", Athens, Greece

#### Irene Vraka, MD, MSc, PhD

Department of Radiology, P & A Kyriakou Children's Hospital, Athens, Greece

#### Olympia Konstantakopoulou, Economist, MSc, PhD

Department of Nursing, Center for Health Services Management and Evaluation,

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

### Olga Siskou, RN, MSc, PhD, Assistant Professor

University of Piraeus, Piraeus, Greece

#### Angeliki Bilali, RN, MSc, PhD

Hospital Waste Management Unit, P & A Kyriakou Children's Hospital, Athens, Greece

# Daphne Kaitelidou, RN, MSc, PhD, Professor

Department of Nursing, Center for Health Services Management and Evaluation, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

**Corresponding author:** Petros Galanis, PhD, Faculty of Nursing, Center for Health Services Management and Evaluation, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 123 Papadiamantopoulou street, GR-11527, Athens, Greece, e-mail: pegalan@nurs.uoa.gr

#### Abstract

**Background:** Hospital-acquired infections are a major public health problem, as they increase hospitalization, cost, morbidity, mortality and antibiotic resistance.

**Aim:** To assess the level of knowledge and practices of health professionals about hospital infections in surgical clinics and investigate possible determinants that affects their compliance with international protocols for prevention and control of hospital infections.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study with a convenience sample was conducted. Study population included 106 health professionals from medical and nursing staff in surgical clinics of a general hospital in Attica. Data collection was conducted during October and December 2019. We used the Healthcare-Associated Infections questionnaire to measure knowledge and practices of health professionals about hospital infections.

**Results:** The mean overall knowledge score for hospital infections was 59.4, indicating a moderate level of knowledge. The highest level of knowledge was about the safety of healthcare professionals, while the lowest level was about the source of hospital infections. The results of the multivariate linear regression showed that participants who were aware of the infection control program at their hospital and knew they had to wash their hands following the six steps of the hand hygiene protocol had a higher level of knowledge score regarding hospital infections. In contrast, participants who felt that their hospital was following a good infection control and prevention strategy had a lower level of knowledge. In addition, healthcare professionals who washed their hands before and after examining patients, after using medical equipment for patient care, throughout and after the end of the shift, and after removing disposable gloves had a higher level of knowledge for hospital infections.

**Conclusions:** The findings of the present study are consistent with the international literature on the existence of a moderate level of knowledge regarding international prevention guidelines. Compliance of healthcare professionals is essential to achieve universal, quality and safe healthcare and a safe working environment.

**Key words:** healthcare professionals, hospital infections, knowledge, compliance, prevention, practices

#### Introduction

Hospital-acquired infections are a major public health problem, as they increase hospital time and costs, morbidity, mortality, antibiotic resistance and the likelihood of healthcare workers being exposed to infections (Pittet et al., 2008). It is crucial to understand the importance of the existence of hospital-acquired infections, as both the health of staff and patients are at higher risk. Management cost of hospital-acquired infections are not only confined within health structures, but also directly affect society by reducing productivity and family income (Allegranzi et al., 2011; Dimick et al., 2004).

Worldwide, systematic efforts are being made to reduce hospital-acquired infections, but their control is a complex process, with the main obstacle being the noncompliance of health professionals with international guidelines (Haque et al., 2018). Surgical clinics are an additional challenge, since they are closed departments where skilled actions are performed. For this reason, it requires staffing by well-trained personnel with a strongly cultivated climate of adherence to protocols to safeguard patient safety and ensure the provision of optimal health care services (Luo et al., 2010; Nofal et al., 2017). These protocols are about the use of protective equipment, sharps injury avoidance techniques, systematic hand hygiene and the prescribed management of hospital waste during the performance of any nursing procedure (Kriari et al., 2018; Reda et al., 2010; Siegel et al., 2007).

The most important factors that reduce health professionals' compliance with protocols are lack of knowledge, lack of personal protective equipment, poor quality and poor application of personal protective equipment, burnout due to excessive workload, inability to properly manage emergencies that create stress and tension, lack of supervision of the implementation of protocols, and the absence of a safety climate in to the hospitals (Donati et al., 2019; Hosoglu et al., 2011; Kriari et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2010; Nofal et al., 2017; Reda et al., 2010; Sessa et al., 2011; Wasswa et al., 2015).

The aim of this study was to assess the knowledge and practices of healthcare professionals regarding hospital-acquired infections in surgical clinics and to investigate the factors influencing their compliance with guidelines.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study design

A cross-sectional study was conducted with physicians and nurses working in the surgical clinics of a general hospital in Athens. Convenience sampling was conducted between October and December 2019. The participation rate was 70.7% (=106/150). We used the Healthcare-Associated Infections questionnaire (Zhou et al., 2014) which has been translated and validated in Greek (Kriari et al., 2018) to collect information. The knowledge scores obtained from the questionnaires take values from 0 to 100% with higher values indicating more knowledge. The outcome in our study was the attitudes and compliance of health professionals regarding hospital-acquired infections in surgical clinics.

The participation of healthcare professionals in this study was voluntary, with no restrictions on the time of completion of the questionnaire and after they had been informed in advance, about the design and purpose of the study. The study protocol was approved by the scientific and ethics committee of the hospital in which the study was carried out (reference number: 348, date of approval: 12/09/2019).

#### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables are presented as absolute (n) and relative (%) frequencies, while quantitative variables are presented as mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and normality plots were used to test the normal distribution of quantitative variables. Bivariate analysis included independent samples t-test, Spearman's correlation coefficient and Pearson's correlation coefficient. In case where more than two independent variables were found to be statistically significant at the 0.2 level (p<0.2) in the bivariate analysis, multivariate linear regression was applied with scores as the dependent variable. In this case, the backward stepwise linear regression method was applied. Regarding multiple linear regression, the coefficients b (coefficients' beta), the corresponding 95% confidence intervals and p-values are presented. The two-sided level of statistical significance was set equal to 0.05. Data analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS 21.0 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) statistical package for social sciences.

#### Results

The study population included 106 health professionals. In particular, 51.9% (n=55) were males and 48.1% (n=51) were females. The mean age was 36.7 years (standard deviation=9.2), and the median number of years of experience was 9 (interquartile range=9.5). 67% (n=71) were medical staff, while 33% (n=35) were nursing staff.

The knowledge scores of health professionals regarding infections are presented in Table 1. The mean overall knowledge score was 59.4% indicating a moderate level of knowledge. Higher level of knowledge was about healthcare professionals' safety and pathogens associated with infections. Moderate level of knowledge was about personal protection, isolation conditions and basic concepts. The level of knowledge about the source of hospital-acquired infections was the lowest.

Healthcare professionals' responses on their practices for the prevention and control of hospital-acquired infections are presented in Table 2. The majority of healthcare professionals reported that they wash their hands with water and liquid soap (92.5%) and wear disposable gloves during clinical examination (86.8%). The situations in which they wash their hands most often are before each meal (73.6%), before and after patient examination (68.9%), between two different procedures on different patients (67.9%) and before performing invasive procedures at the patient's bedside (62.3%). About of healthcare professionals reported that they cleaned their stethoscope after each patient examination (53.8%), while only 24.5% washed their gown daily. Most healthcare professionals reported that they use a simple surgical mask to transport patients with seasonal influenza (80.2%) and pulmonary tuberculosis (70.5%). 59.4% of healthcare professionals reported that infectious waste from patients is disposed of in a yellow waste cell and 34% in a red waste cell. 70% of physicians reported that they prescribe according to guidelines and protocols.

Bivariate relationships between the independent variables and the knowledge score for the source of hospital-acquired infections are presented in Table 3. According to the results of multivariable linear regression, healthcare professionals who knew about the hospital infection control program had more knowledge about the source of hospital-acquired infections (coefficient beta=10, 95% confidence interval=4.6-15.4, p<0.001) and healthcare professionals who thought that their hospital did not follow a good infection control and prevention strategy had more knowledge about the source

of hospital-acquired infections (coefficient beta=7, 95% confidence interval=1.2-12.4, p=0.001).

Bivariate relationships between the independent variables and knowledge score on hospital-acquired infections are presented in Table 4. According to the results of multivariable linear regression, healthcare professionals who knew about the infection control program in their hospital had more knowledge about hospital-acquired infections (coefficient beta=3.8, 95% confidence interval=1.3-6.4, p=0.003), healthcare professionals who knew to wash their hands properly by following the six steps of the hand hygiene protocol in their hospital had more knowledge about hospital-acquired infections (coefficient beta=4.8, 95% confidence interval=1-8.7, p=0.01) and healthcare professionals who felt that their hospital did not follow a good infection control and prevention strategy had more knowledge about the source of hospital-acquired infections (coefficient beta=2.9, 95% confidence interval=0.5-5.4, p=0.02).

#### Discussion

In this study, the knowledge and practices of healthcare professionals regarding hospital-acquired infections in surgical clinics were investigated. According to the results of multivariate analysis, no relationship was found between demographic characteristics and healthcare professionals' knowledge and practices. This finding is confirmed by the literature (Chan et al., 2002; Ganczak & Szych, 2007; Kriari et al., 2018; Parmeggiani et al., 2010).

The mean overall knowledge score for hospital-acquired infections in this study was 59.4%, which indicates that both physicians and nurses had a moderate level of knowledge which is in line with similar studies (Chan et al., 2002; Nofal et al., 2017; Sessa et al., 2011; Stein et al., 2003; Wasswa et al., 2015). However, there was a promising conclusion as almost half of the participants (43.4%) reported that the most important method for preventing hospital-acquired infections is the education of healthcare professionals which indicates that they recognize the importance of this knowledge and the need to participate in training programs in order to have an upgrade of knowledge. These results are confirmed by studies in which healthcare professionals recognize the importance of participating in seminars that will help to

7

enhance their knowledge and attitudes to prevent hospital-acquired infections (Donati et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2010; Nofal et al., 2017; Sessa et al., 2011). It has also been found that staff who participate in training programmes show higher levels of compliance with guidelines in clinical practice (Chan et al., 2002; Donati et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2010; Parmeggiani et al., 2010; Wasswa et al., 2015). Furthermore, the absence of relevant educational programmes creates a lack of provision of appropriate information and education and promotion of the importance of implementing the protocols, leading staff to adopt incorrect behaviours, which pose risks to both themselves and patients.

In addition, we found that healthcare professionals who were not aware of the hospital infection control programme had less knowledge. According to the literature there is a wide variation in the level of knowledge of health professionals. Two similar studies found that staff with a higher level of education had more knowledge regarding infections (Nofal et al., 2017; Sessa et al., 2011). In some studies (Kriari et al., 2018) physicians had more knowledge compared to nurses while other studies (Gershon et al., 1995; Parmeggiani et al., 2010) found the opposite conclusion. In addition, one study (Iliyasu et al., 2016) found that knowledge regarding infection control was greater among surgical department workers. This finding may be due to the fact that all techniques followed within the operating room are strictly based on aseptic technique and also on close observation of the patient during recovery to ensure that the surgical wound is not infected.

We found that participants who knew how to wash their hands properly according to the guidelines also had more knowledge for hospital-acquired infections. This finding is confirmed by similar studies that evaluated compliance with international infection prevention guidelines (Gershon et al., 1995; Kriari et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2010; Nofal et al., 2017). Furthermore, 92.5% of the participants reported using water with liquid soap as a method of hand hygiene during working hours, which is the most appropriate method. Considering the above results and the fact that the practice regarding hand hygiene is the main means of controlling and preventing the transmission of hospital-acquired infections, it is noted that there is a fairly good compliance rate among the participants in our study.

It should be noted that knowledge level is not a necessary reason for compliance with the guidelines and therefore there is no significant relation between knowledge level and compliance with international protocols. For example, several studies found that

participants with a high level of knowledge and a positive attitude regarding the guidelines for the prevention and control of hospital-acquired infections had a low rate of compliance (Kermode et al., 2005; Ogoina et al., 2015; Parmeggiani et al., 2010; Sessa et al., 2011; Tenna et al., 2013; Wasswa et al., 2015). Moreover, in other studies, although health professionals have at least basic information and knowledge about the guidelines for the control and prevention of hospital-acquired infections and are aware that adherence to them would significantly reduce the pathogens that cause them, their compliance is selective and is estimated to be below desirable levels (Chan et al., 2002; Gershon et al., 1995; Hosoglu et al., 2011; Iliyasu et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2010; Nofal et al., 2017; Ogoina et al., 2015; Reda et al., 2010; Sessa et al., 2011).

We found that most healthcare professionals use disposable gloves during clinical examination. Regarding gloves, while there is a good level of knowledge about their proper management, there is a wide variation in the choice of glove use (Kennedy et al., 2004). Several studies report that compliance with glove use is predominantly high only when high-risk cases, such as HIV patients, are to be managed, and predominantly staff does not always use them (Ganczak & Szych, 2007; Gershon et al., 1995; Parmeggiani et al., 2010). According to the guidelines for the prevention and control of hospital-acquired infections, infectious waste such as used syringes, or other used medical equipment should be disposed of in the special red and yellow bags. Various reasons lead to reduced compliance by health care staff, such as the fact that special waste bins are not available in several of the care facilities either because they were not considered necessary in the specific premises or due to lack of appropriate site layout (Wasswa et al., 2015).

Our cross-sectional study has several limitations. Initially the data relates to a given point in time at which the questionnaire was completed. The results of this study were the product of self-completed questionnaires, so information bias is introduced as the responses are likely to be biased. In addition, a convenience sample was used which introduces selection bias. Also, the results are not representative of all health professionals as the study population came from only one hospital in Attica, namely the surgical clinics.

In conclusion, a good level of compliance of the participants regarding the guidelines for the prevention and control of hospital-acquired infections was observed despite a moderate level of knowledge. The relevant health authorities should pay special attention to investigate the factors that influence the attitudes and compliance of

health personnel in the prevention of hospital-acquired infections by conducting more studies with a larger number of participants in order to formulate appropriate interventions. In addition, one of the primary objectives of healthcare systems is to provide quality and safe care, in which the reduction of hospital-acquired infections, which are an indicator of the quality of health services provided, plays a key role, as their existence indicates a failure to provide protection against infectious agents.

10

Scale	Mean	Standard	Median	Minimum	Maximum
		deviation		value	value
Basic concepts	60.5	13.0	58.3	16.7	83.3
Pathogens associated with	72.6	8.1	72	48	92
infections					
Source of hospital-acquired	42	14.7	39.3	10.7	85.7
infections					
Personal protection	69.3	14.6	70	40	100
Healthcare professionals'	83.5	29	100	0	100
safety					
Isolation conditions	61.0	12.3	57.1	28.6	92.9
Total	59.4	6.7	59.8	45.7	78.3

Table 1. Knowledge scores of healthcare professionals regarding hospital-acquired infections.

Values are expressed in percentages.

11

# Table 2. Healthcare professionals' responses on their practices for the prevention and control of hospital-acquired infections.

Question	N (%)	
How do you usually wash your hands at work?		
With water	1 (0.9)	
With water and solid soap	5 (4.7)	
With water and liquid soap	98 (92.5)	
With alcohol-soaked tissues	12 (11.3)	
When do you wash your hands?		
Before each meal	78 (73.6)	
Before performing invasive procedures at the patient's bedside	66 (62.3)	
Before and after examination of patients	73 (68.9)	
Before and after contact with exposed patient skin; with bare hands; unless contact is	50 (47.2)	
made with gloves		
Between two different manipulations on different patients	72 (67.9)	
Between two different manipulations on the same patient	27 (25.5)	
After using medical equipment to care for patients	49 (46.2)	
After using the computer and the desk in the nursing department	20 (18.9)	
Throughout and after the end of the shift	54 (50.9)	
After removal of disposable gloves	60 (56.6)	
When do you wear disposable (non-sterile) gloves?		
When using the computer and medical equipment for patient care	8 (7.5)	
When prescribing medicines	2 (1.9)	
During clinical examination	92 (86.8)	
When visiting hospital wards	46 (43.4)	
None of the above	5 (4.7)	
How often do you clean your stethoscope with an alcohol solution (e.g. 70% alcohol)?		
After examining each patient	57 (53.8)	
Daily	15 (14.2)	
1 time per week	5 (4.7)	
1 time per month	11 (10.4)	
Never	18 (17.0)	

How often do you wash your medical gown or uniform?	
Daily	26 (24.5)
3 times per week	29 (27.4)
2 times per week	21 (19.8)
1 time per week	22 (20.8)
1 time per month	6 (5.7)
Never	2 (1.9)
Have you ever been soiled with blood/vommit or other biological fluids of a patient?	
Yes	104 (98.1)
No	2 (1.9)
Have you ever been pierced by a used needle?	
Yes	79 (74.5)
No	27 (25.5)
Which patients would you apply a simple surgical mask to during transport to and	
from the ward for further investigation?	
In patients with seasonal influenza	85 (80.2)
In patients coughing with suspected pulmonary tuberculosis	74 (70.5)
Patients undergoing radiotherapy for the treatment of colorectal cancer	38 (35.8)
All patients regardless of their condition	19 (17.9)
Where is infectious waste from patients disposed of?	
In yellow waste cell	63 (59.4)
In a black garbage bag	1 (0.9)
In a red waste bin	36 (34.0)
I don't know	6 (5.7)
Have you treated patients with multi-drug resistant hospital pathogens? (only for	
physicians)	
Yes	60 (84.5)
No	11 (15.5)
Your prescribing policy is based on (only for physicians):	
In your experience	4 (5.7)
The practice followed by your superiors	13 (18.6)
In guidelines and protocols (hospital/national)	49 (70.0)

The information on the package leaflet of the medicines	
What is your selection criterion when prescribing antibiotics; (only for physicians)	
Antimicrobial spectrum	69 (97.2)
Economic cost	35 (50.0)
Pharmaceutical company	34 (48.6)
Generation of antibiotic	40 (56.3)

14

Table 3. The bivariate relationships between the independent variables and the knowledge score for the source of hospital-acquired infections.

	Mean knowledge score for the	P-value
	source of hospital-acquired	
	infections (standard deviation)	
Gender		0.251 <sup>a</sup>
Males	43.6 (15.3)	
Females	40.3 (14.1)	
Age	-0.048 <sup>b</sup>	0.625 <sup>b</sup>
Staff		0.359 <sup>a</sup>
Medical	42.9 (15.7)	
Nurse	40.1 (12.6)	
Years of experience	-0.093 <sup>c</sup>	0.342 <sup>c</sup>
Are you aware of hospital-acquired infections in your hospital?		0.284 <sup>a</sup>
Yes	42.9 (15.1)	
No	39.4 (13.7)	
Are you aware of the infection control programme at your hospital?		0.001 <sup>a</sup>
Yes	47.8 (13.5)	
No	38.3 (14.4)	
Do you know how to wash your hands by following the six steps of		0.063 <sup>a</sup>
the hospital hand hygiene protocol?		
Yes	42.9 (14.8)	
No	34.5 (12.2)	
Do you think your hospital has a good infection control and		0.025 <sup>a</sup>
prevention strategy?		
Yes	38.3 (12.8)	
No	44.7 (15.5)	

<sup>a</sup> t-test

<sup>b</sup> Pearson's correlation coefficient

<sup>c</sup> Spearman's correlation coefficient

15

Table 4. The bivariate relationships between the independent variables and knowledge score on hospital-acquired infections.

	Mean knowledge score on	
	hospital-acquired infections	<b>P-value</b>
	(standard deviation)	
Gender		0.785 <sup>a</sup>
Males	59.2 (6.7)	
Females	58.8 (7.0)	
Age	-0.011 <sup>b</sup>	0.914 <sup>b</sup>
Staff		0.581 <sup>a</sup>
Medical	59.3 (7.1)	
Nurse	58.5 (6.3)	
Years of experience	-0.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.762 <sup>c</sup>
Are you aware of hospital-acquired infections in your hospital?		0.300 <sup>a</sup>
Yes	59.4 (7.1)	
No	57.9 (5.8)	
Are you aware of the infection control programme at your		0.002a
hospital?		0.002
Yes	61.6 (6.2)	
No	57.4 (6.8)	
Do you know how to wash your hands by following the six		0.005 <sup>a</sup>
steps of the hospital hand hygiene protocol?		0.005
Yes	59.7 (6.7)	
No	53.9 (5.4)	
Do you think your hospital has a good infection control and		0.048 <sup>a</sup>
prevention strategy?		0.040
Yes	57.5 (6.9)	
No	60.2 (6.6)	

<sup>a</sup> t-test

<sup>b</sup> Pearson's correlation coefficient

<sup>c</sup> Spearman's correlation coefficient

### References

Allegranzi, B., Nejad, S. B., Combescure, C., Graafmans, W., Attar, H., Donaldson, L., & Pittet, D. (2011). Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, *377*(9761), 228–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61458-4

Chan, R., Molassiotis, A., Chan, E., Chan, V., Ho, B., Lai, C., Lam, P., Shit, F., & Yiu, I. (2002). Nurses' knowledge of and compliance with universal precautions in an acute care hospital. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, *39*(2), 157–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7489(01)00021-9

Dimick, J. B., Chen, S. L., Taheri, P. A., Henderson, W. G., Khuri, S. F., & Campbell, D. A. (2004). Hospital costs associated with surgical complications: A report from the private-sector National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*, 199(4), 531–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2004.05.276

Donati, D., Biagioli, V., Cianfrocca, C., Marano, T., Tartaglini, D., & De Marinis, M. G. (2019). Experiences of compliance with standard precautions during emergencies: A qualitative study of nurses working in intensive care units. *Applied Nursing Research*, *49*, 35–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2019.07.007

Ganczak, M., & Szych, Z. (2007). Surgical nurses and compliance with personal protective equipment. *The Journal of Hospital Infection*, *66*(4), 346–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2007.05.007

Gershon, R. R., Vlahov, D., Felknor, S. A., Vesley, D., Johnson, P. C., Delclos, G. L., & Murphy, L. R. (1995). Compliance with universal precautions among health care workers at three regional hospitals. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 23(4), 225–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-6553(95)90067-5

Haque, M., Sartelli, M., McKimm, J., & Abu Bakar, M. B. (2018). Health careassociated infections-an overview. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, *11*, 2321–2333. https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S177247

Hosoglu, S., Akalin, S., Sunbul, M., Otkun, M., & Ozturk, R. (2011). Healthcare workers' compliance with universal precautions in Turkey. *Medical Hypotheses*, 77(6), 1079–1082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2011.09.007

Iliyasu, G., Dayyab, F. M., Habib, Z. G., Tiamiyu, A. B., Abubakar, S., Mijinyawa, M. S., & Habib, A. G. (2016). Knowledge and practices of infection control among

healthcare workers in a Tertiary Referral Center in North-Western Nigeria. *Annals of African Medicine*, 15(1), 34–40. https://doi.org/10.4103/1596-3519.161724

Kennedy, A. M., Elward, A. M., & Fraser, V. J. (2004). Survey of knowledge, beliefs, and practices of neonatal intensive care unit healthcare workers regarding nosocomial infections, central venous catheter care, and hand hygiene. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, 25(9), 747–752. https://doi.org/10.1086/502471

Kermode, M., Jolley, D., Langkham, B., Thomas, M. S., Holmes, W., & Gifford, S. M. (2005). Compliance with Universal/Standard Precautions among health care workers in rural north India. *American Journal of Infection Control*, *33*(1), 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2004.07.014

Kriari, A., Galanis, P., Diakoumis, G., Passa, G., & Theodorou, M. (2018). Knowledge and attitudes about nosocomial infections of medical and nursing staff in a secondary general hospital. *Archives of Hellenic Medicine*, *35*, 90–98.

Luo, Y., He, G.-P., Zhou, J.-W., & Luo, Y. (2010). Factors impacting compliance with standard precautions in nursing, China. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *14*(12), e1106–e1114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2009.03.037

Nofal, M., Subih, M., & Al-Kalaldeh, M. (2017). Factors influencing compliance to the infection control precautions among nurses and physicians in Jordan: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Infection Prevention*, *18*(4), 182–188. https://doi.org/10.1177/1757177417693676

Ogoina, D., Pondei, K., Adetunji, B., Chima, G., Isichei, C., & Gidado, S. (2015). Knowledge, attitude and practice of standard precautions of infection control by hospital workers in two tertiary hospitals in Nigeria. *Journal of Infection Prevention*, *16*(1), 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/1757177414558957

Parmeggiani, C., Abbate, R., Marinelli, P., & Angelillo, I. F. (2010). Healthcare workers and health care-associated infections: Knowledge, attitudes, and behavior in emergency departments in Italy. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *10*, 35. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-10-35

Pittet, D., Allegranzi, B., Storr, J., Bagheri Nejad, S., Dziekan, G., Leotsakos, A., & Donaldson, L. (2008). Infection control as a major World Health Organization priority for developing countries. *The Journal of Hospital Infection*, *68*(4), 285–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2007.12.013

Reda, A. A., Fisseha, S., Mengistie, B., & Vandeweerd, J.-M. (2010). Standard precautions: Occupational exposure and behavior of health care workers in Ethiopia. *PloS One*, *5*(12), e14420. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014420

Sessa, A., Di Giuseppe, G., Albano, L., Angelillo, I. F., & Collaborative Working Group. (2011). An investigation of nurses' knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding disinfection procedures in Italy. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *11*, 148. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-11-148

Siegel, J. D., Rhinehart, E., Jackson, M., Chiarello, L., & Health Care Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. (2007). 2007 Guideline for Isolation Precautions: Preventing Transmission of Infectious Agents in Health Care Settings. *American Journal of Infection Control*, *35*(10 Suppl 2), S65-164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2007.10.007

Stein, A. D., Makarawo, T. P., & Ahmad, M. F. R. (2003). A survey of doctors' and nurses' knowledge, attitudes and compliance with infection control guidelines in Birmingham teaching hospitals. *The Journal of Hospital Infection*, *54*(1), 68–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0195-6701(03)00074-4

Tenna, A., Stenehjem, E. A., Margoles, L., Kacha, E., Blumberg, H. M., & Kempker, R. R. (2013). Infection control knowledge, attitudes, and practices among healthcare workers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, *34*(12), 1289–1296. https://doi.org/10.1086/673979

Wasswa, P., Nalwadda, C. K., Buregyeya, E., Gitta, S. N., Anguzu, P., & Nuwaha, F. (2015). Implementation of infection control in health facilities in Arua district, Uganda: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, *15*(1), 268. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-0999-4

Zhou, Y., Zhang, D., Chen, Y., Zhou, S., Pan, S., Huang, Y., & Ba-Thein, W. (2014). Healthcare-associated infections and Shanghai clinicians: A multicenter crosssectional study. *PloS One*, *9*(8), e105838. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0105838