

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table S1. Details of the neuropsychological measures and scores

Domains	Modalities	Measures	Description	Scores
Executive competences				
		Neuropsychological tests		
		Letter-Number Sequencing (WISC-IV, Wechsler (2003))	The letter-number sequencing is a working memory task. Sequences of number and letters are read to the participant, and he/she is then asked to re-sequence the numbers in numerical order from lowest to highest and then to sequence the letters in alphabetical order. Raw scores were regressed on age at testing; the standardised residuals were used as a scores of working memory	Working memory score
		Neurocognitive computerised tasks		
		Flanker Visual Filtering Task (Christ, Kester, Bodner, & Miles, 2011)	The Flanker Visual Filtering Task was used to assess attentional control and information processing speed. Each trial showed a horizontal row of five fish. The participant was asked to respond as quickly as possible to whether the central fish was facing to the left or right. Congruent trials were the ones with all five fish in the horizontal row pointing in the same direction and incongruent trials were the ones with the four distracting fishes pointing in the opposite direction of the central target fish. Reaction time of the congruent condition was used to assess speed of processing, which belongs to the information processing subdomain; and the inhibition score (accuracy in incongruent conditions – accuracy in congruent conditions) was used as a measure of the attentional control subdomain. Raw scores were regressed on age at testing; the standardised residuals were used as a scores of processing speed and scores of inhibition.	- Processing speed score - Inhibition score
Socio-emotional competences				
		Neuropsychological tests		
		Affect Recognition (NEPSY-II, Korkman, Kirk, and Kemp (2007))	The affect recognition subtest assesses the ability to recognise facial emotional expressions (happy, sad, anger, fear, disgust, and neutral) from photographs of children's faces in several matching tasks. In the first task, the participant selected one of the four faces that depicted the same emotion as a child's face at the top of the page. In a second task, the participant selected two photographs of faces that displayed the same affect from a selection of four photographs. Finally, the participant examined a photograph of a child's face for 5 seconds, and then from memory, selected two photographs that matched the same emotion as	Affect recognition score

	<p>the face previously shown. Raw scores were regressed on age at testing; the standardised residuals were used as a scores of affect recognition.</p> <p>Theory of Mind (NEPSY-II, Korkman et al. (2007))</p> <p>The theory of mind subtest measures understanding of mental functions and other people's perspectives. In the first task, questions are asked to the participant about different verbal scenarios measuring understanding of beliefs, intentions, others' thoughts, ideas and comprehension of figurative language. In the second task, participants have to match facial emotional expressions, from photographs of children's faces, to a scenario. The total raw scores were regressed on age at testing; the standardised residuals were used as a scores of theory of mind.</p>	
		Theory of mind score

Supplementary Table S2. Description of the 4 age bins of 25.5 months created to align the full-term and the VPT groups

Group	Age bins (in months)	Number of participants
VPT	(71,96.5]	15
VPT	(96.5,122]	17
VPT	(122,148]	20
VPT	(148,173]	13
FT	(71,96.5]	8
FT	(96.5,122]	9
FT	(122,148]	15
FT	(148,173]	7

Supplementary Table S3. Bootstrapping mean and standard deviations of the loadings for neurobehavioural and callosal structural characteristics measures of the PLSC analyses in the VPT and the full-term control groups.

Supplementary Table S4. Bootstrapping mean and standard deviations of the loadings for neurobehavioural and W-scores of callosal structural characteristics measures of the PLSC analyses in the VPT group.