Original Article

Factors associated with acceptance of a digital contact tracing application for COVID-19 in the Japanese working-age population

Tomohiro Ishimaru¹, MD, MPH, PhD, Koki Ibayashi¹, MD, Masako Nagata², MD, PhD, Seiichiro Tateishi³, MD, PhD, Ayako Hino⁴, MD, PhD, Mayumi Tsuji⁵, MD, PhD, Hajime Ando⁶, MD, MOH, PhD, Keiji Muramatsu⁷, MD, PhD, and Yoshihisa Fujino¹, MD, MPH, PhD, for the CORoNaWork Project

¹Department of Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

²Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

³Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

⁴Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

⁵Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

⁶Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

⁷Department of Preventive Medicine and Community Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, Kitakyushu, Japan

25

26 **Corresponding Author:** Tomohiro Ishimaru, MD, MPH, PhD, Department of
 27 Environmental Epidemiology, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of
 28 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan, 1-1 Iseigaoka, Yahata-nishi-ku,
 29 Kitakyushu, Fukuoka 807-8555, Japan
 30 Tel: +81-93-603-1611; Fax: +81-93-601-7324; E-mail: ishimaru@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp

32 **Funding Sources**

33 This study was supported and partly funded by the University of Occupational and
 34 Environmental Health, Japan (no grant number), the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour
 35 and Welfare (H30-josei-ippa-002, H30-roudou-ippa-007, 19JA1004, 20JA1006,
 36 210301-1, and 20HB1004), Anshin Zaidan (no grant number), the Collabo-Health Study
 37 Group (no grant number), Hitachi Systems Ltd. (no grant number), and scholarship
 38 donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. (no grant numbers). The funders were
 39 not involved in the design of the study, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data,
 40 the writing of the article, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

42 **Conflict of Interest**

43 None declared.

45 **Acknowledgments**

46 The current members of the CORoNaWork Project, in alphabetical order, are: Prof.
 47 Yoshihisa Fujino (present chairperson of the study group), Dr. Hajime Ando, Prof. Hisashi
 48 Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami, Dr. Arisa Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru,

Dr. Kyoko Kitagawa, Ms. Ning Liu, Dr. Kosuke Mafune, Prof. Shinya Matsuda, Dr. Ryutaro Matsugaki, Prof. Koji Mori, Dr. Keiji Muramatsu, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Tomohisa Nagata, Prof. Akira Ogami, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr. Seiishiro Tateishi, Dr. Kei Tokutsu, and Prof. Mayumi Tsuji. All members are affiliated with the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

Ethical Considerations & Disclosures

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (R2-079).

Running Title: Workers' acceptance of a DCT app for COVID-19

Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to determine factors associated with acceptance of a Digital Contact Tracing (DCT) app for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the Japanese working-age population.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was performed for 27,036 full-time workers registered with an internet survey company during December 2020 in Japan.

Results: The rate of downloading the DCT app was 25.1%. The DCT app was more likely to be accepted by people with married status, university graduation or above, higher income, and occupations involving desk work. Fear of COVID-19 transmission, wearing a mask, using hand disinfection, willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19, and presence of an acquaintance infected with COVID-19 were also associated with a greater likelihood of adopting the app.

Conclusions: The present findings have important implications for widespread adoption of DCT apps in working-age populations in Japan and elsewhere.

Keywords: Contact tracing, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, smartphone, worksite

Introduction

Digital Contact Tracing (DCT) has been used in many countries in response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. DCT apps implemented in mobile devices (e.g. smartphones) can be employed to detect close contact with infected individuals and prevent the spread of COVID-19.¹ Although the method of contact tracing has been used for a long time, traditional paper-based surveys are complicated and time-consuming, and are affected by loss of questionnaires and huge amounts of data entry.² With the recent development of digital technology and the widespread use of mobile devices, DCT has overcome the shortcomings of traditional paper-based surveys and made it possible to conduct surveys more quickly. In recent years, DCT has been associated with effective control of Ebola hemorrhagic fever in Sierra Leone, tuberculosis in Botswana, and whooping cough in the United States.³

Ensuring that large numbers of people install DCT apps is essential for effective operation of DCT against COVID-19.⁴⁻⁶ Previous studies revealed that demographic, behavioral, psychological, and COVID-19-related factors all influence the installation of DCT apps.^{1, 3, 7-9} From the demographic aspect, ethnic minorities, migrants, low-income people, and elderly people were less likely to install the apps.³ From the behavioral aspect, non-smoking status was associated with high app acceptance, while lack of information technology skills and not having a smartphone were associated with low app acceptance.^{1, 8} From the psychological aspect, low app acceptance was associated with doubts about the effectiveness of DCT apps, anxiety about the collection of personal information, and distrust of the government and app developers.^{8, 9} From the COVID-19-related aspect, acceptance of new lifestyles under the COVID-19 epidemic

such as use of hand sanitizers, avoidance of public transportation, and wearing masks was associated with app use.^{7, 8}

Because the working-age population is at the epicenter of COVID-19 infections, it is important to increase the acceptance of DCT apps in this population.¹⁰ In other words, the public health benefits of adopting these apps need to be particularly recognized by the working-age population.^{9, 11} In countries where installation of DCT apps is optional, such as North America, Europe, Oceania, and Japan, the installation rates tend to be lower compared with countries where it is mandatory.^{1, 7, 12} However, few studies have evaluated the factors associated with acceptance of DCT apps using sufficient sample sizes of workers.¹³ The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the factors associated with acceptance of a DCT app for COVID-19 in the working-age population of Japan, where app installation is optional. The findings provide insights that can help to facilitate the adoption of DCT apps among workers.

Methods

Study design and participants

The present study had a cross-sectional design and used baseline questionnaire data from a prospective cohort research project in Japan, the Collaborative Online Research on the Novel-coronavirus and Work (CORoNaWork), between 22 and 26 December 2020.¹⁴ The study supplements another study from the CORoNaWork project that evaluated occupational factors associated with DCT adoption.¹⁰ During the research period, COVID-19 vaccines had not yet been introduced in Japan and the third wave of infection was at its peak, with more than 3,000 new infections per day.¹⁵ The inclusion

criteria for the study were: full-time worker status, age between 20 and 65 years, and registration with an internet survey company. Invitations were sent by e-mail to 605,381 people registered with an internet survey company, of whom 55,045 people accessed the linked website. Of these, 33,302 participants who met the inclusion criteria provided informed consent and completed the baseline survey in an online format. After excluding 6,266 participants who gave incorrect answers to questions designed to identify inappropriate responses, 27,036 participants were eligible for the analysis.

The Contact-Confirming Application (COCOA) DCT app in Japan

The COCOA is the only DCT app in Japan and was released by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in June 2020. The COCOA uses a decentralized approach through Bluetooth and is designed not to store personal information on a central server controlled by the government or the developer. Furthermore, downloading and deleting the COCOA is optional. If a person comes into contact with a COVID-19-positive person within a 1-m radius for more than 15 minutes, the person is notified as a close contact.⁶

Dependent variable

The dependent variable in the study was the following question about adoption of the COCOA: "Have you downloaded the Contact-Confirming Application (COCOA)?" The response options were "yes" and "no".

Independent variables

Based on relevant studies, the independent variables were divided into four aspects: demographic, behavioral, psychological, and COVID-19 transmission-related variables.^{1,}

3, 7-9 Demographic variables included sex, age, marital status, education, annual household income (in Japanese yen), occupation (desk work, work with interpersonal communication, manual work), and family members living together. Behavioral variables included smoking status, alcohol consumption, and regular doctor's visits for chronic diseases. Psychological variables included fear of COVID-19 transmission. Variables related to COVID-19 transmission included wearing a mask, using hand sanitizer, willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19, and presence of an acquaintance infected with COVID-19.

Statistical analysis

Factors associated with COCOA adoption were evaluated by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses, and the results were presented as odds ratios [ORs] and 95% confidence intervals [CIs]. The multivariate analyses were adjusted for sex, age, marital status, education, and annual household income, based on relevant studies.^{1, 3, 7-9} The *P*-values were two-sided, and statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata/SE 16.1 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the study participants. Of the 27,036 participants, around half were men (51.1%), married (55.6%), educated at university or graduate school (48.7%), and engaged in desk work (49.8%). Regarding acceptance of the DCT app for COVID-19, 6,786 respondents (25.1%) reported that they had downloaded the COCOA.

Table 2 presents the factors associated with acceptance of the DCT app for COVID-19. In the multivariate analyses, married (adjusted OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 1.17–1.43) and divorced/widowed (adjusted OR: 1.16; 95% CI: 1.09–1.25) individuals had significantly higher acceptance of the DCT app than single individuals. Similarly, people with a university or graduate school degree had higher acceptance of the DCT app than people with a junior high school or high school degree (adjusted OR: 1.19; 95% CI: 1.11–1.28). Higher annual income was associated with higher acceptance of the app ($\geq 8,000,000$ yen: adjusted OR: 2.08; 95% CI: 1.80–2.39). In contrast, acceptance of the app was lower among people living with family members (adjusted OR: 0.82; 95% CI: 0.76–0.89).

From the behavioral aspect, past smokers were more accepting of the app than non-smokers (adjusted OR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.15–1.34), but there was no significant difference for smokers. Patients who regularly visited the hospital for chronic diseases were more likely to accept the app than patients who did not (adjusted OR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.32–1.48). From the psychological aspect, the odds of accepting the app were 2.29 times (95% CI: 2.13–2.47) higher in people who feared COVID-19 transmission. From the COVID-19-related aspect, people who always wore a mask (adjusted OR: 2.44; 95% CI: 1.94–3.08) or used hand sanitizer (adjusted OR: 1.88; 95% CI: 1.71–2.07) were more likely to accept the app than people who rarely or never performed these actions. Acceptance of the app had 1.83 times higher odds (95% CI: 1.73–1.94) among people who were willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccine than among people who were not. Similarly, people who had an acquaintance infected with COVID-19 had 1.76 times higher odds (95% CI: 1.61–1.93) of accepting the app than people who did not.

Discussion

In the present study, the rate of downloading the COCOA in Japan was not very high at 25.1%. The results revealed that there were several factors associated with downloading of the DCT app for each of the demographic, behavioral, psychological, and COVID-19-related variables. Although different countries have differing policies toward DCT apps, the present results suggest that adoption of DCT apps may be influenced by individual characteristics. The findings of the present study have important implications for the widespread adoption of DCT apps in working-age populations in Japan and elsewhere.

From the demographic aspect, the present study showed that the DCT app was more likely to be accepted by people with married status, university graduation or above, higher income, and desk work. These findings suggest that relatively wealthy people in society are more likely to use DCT apps, consistent with previous studies conducted in many countries including the United States, the United Kingdom, Switzerland, and Japan.^{8, 16-18} In contrast, the present study found that living with family members tended to reduce the use of the DCT app, which was inconsistent with a previous study.¹⁹ Although the reason for the discrepancy remains unclear, it is possible that family interactions reduced anxiety about COVID-19, which in turn reduced the need for the DCT app. Conflicting results were also reported in another study. Specifically, Tomczyk et al.²⁰ pointed out that greater perceived usefulness of DCT apps was associated with greater fear of COVID-19 infection and lower adoption of the apps. Therefore, people who are afraid of letting their families know that they are infected with COVID-19 may

tend to avoid DCT apps.

From the behavioral aspect, individuals with regular doctor's visits for chronic diseases and past smokers were more willing to adopt the DCT app, while current smokers showed no association in the present study. The severity of COVID-19 was reported to be higher in people with regular doctor's visits for chronic diseases such as hypertension and respiratory disease, and in smokers.²¹ Therefore, the present results suggest that people with a greater risk of severe COVID-19 are more positive about adopting DCT apps. On the contrary, current smokers generally have limited access to health information, which may have attenuated the result.²² Measures are needed to facilitate adoption of DCT apps by these people. For example, it may be useful for family physicians to encourage their patients to adopt DCT apps.

From the psychological aspect, fear of COVID-19 transmission was strongly associated with higher acceptance of the DCT app, consistent with a previous study in the United Kingdom that found a positive association between risk perception for COVID-19 infection and acceptance of DCT apps.¹⁷ Kawakami et al.¹⁹ found that installation of a DCT app mitigated the psychological distress associated with the risk of COVID-19 infection. Taken together, the findings suggest that fear of COVID-19 transmission increases the adoption of DCT apps and that appropriate adoption of DCT apps may reduce anxiety about COVID-19.

From the COVID-19-related aspect, wearing a mask, using hand disinfection, willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19, and presence of an acquaintance infected with

COVID-19 led to greater likelihood of adopting the DCT app. A previous study in Singapore demonstrated similar findings for mask wearing and hand sanitizer use.⁷ A similar trend was also observed in a study conducted in the United States, wherein people infected with COVID-19 had a higher acceptance rate of DCT apps.¹⁸ Based on these findings, posting Quick Response codes for DCT apps on the packages of masks and hand sanitizers and at vaccination sites may be an effective measure. A function for inviting friends within the apps may also be effective.⁹

There are several limitations to this study. First, we recruited participants who were registered with an internet research company. Therefore, we may have selected people who actively used the internet, smartphones, and apps. However, we consider this potential selection bias to be negligible because the COCOA downloading rate announced by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare in December 2020 was 20.8%,²³ and thus close to the rate in the present study (25.1%). Second, the present study used cross-sectional data for a single period of time. Therefore, the findings can only suggest associations between factors for this given period of time, and cannot capture changes over time within individuals. Third, in the multivariate logistic regression analyses, there was a possibility of unmeasured confounding. Unmeasured personal habits and behavioral patterns may have influenced the adoption of the DCT app.

Conclusions

The present study revealed factors associated with adoption of a DCT app among the working-age population in Japan. Use of the COCOA among Japanese workers was not sufficient, and it is important to develop an approach based on the present findings. We

found that relatively wealthy people in society were more likely to use the DCT app. In addition, people with a greater risk of severe COVID-19 were more positive about adopting the DCT app. Fear of COVID-19 transmission increased adoption of the DCT app, suggesting that appropriate adoption of DCT apps can reduce anxiety about COVID-19. Because wearing a mask, using hand disinfection, and willingness to be vaccinated against COVID-19 were associated with greater likelihood of adopting the DCT app, posting Quick Response codes for DCT apps on the packages of masks and hand sanitizers and at vaccination sites may be an effective measure.

References

1. Elkhodr M, Mubin O, Iftikhar Z, et al. Technology, Privacy, and User Opinions of COVID-19 Mobile Apps for Contact Tracing: Systematic Search and Content Analysis. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23:e23467.
2. Kouliaridis V, Kambourakis G, Chatzoglou E, Geneiatakis D, Wang H. Dissecting contact tracing apps in the Android platform. *PLoS One.* 2021;16:e0251867.
3. Anglemyer A, Moore TH, Parker L, et al. Digital contact tracing technologies in epidemics: a rapid review. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2020;8:CD013699.
4. Oldeweme A, Martins J, Westmattellmann D, Schewe G. The Role of Transparency, Trust, and Social Influence on Uncertainty Reduction in Times of Pandemics: Empirical Study on the Adoption of COVID-19 Tracing Apps. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23:e25893.
5. Rodriguez P, Grana S, Alvarez-Leon EE, et al. A population-based controlled experiment assessing the epidemiological impact of digital contact tracing. *Nat Commun.* 2021;12:587.
6. Nakamoto I, Jiang M, Zhang J, et al. Evaluation of the Design and Implementation of

- a Peer-To-Peer COVID-19 Contact Tracing Mobile App (COCOA) in Japan. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2020;8:e22098.
7. Saw YE, Tan EY, Liu JS, Liu JC. Predicting Public Uptake of Digital Contact Tracing During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Results From a Nationwide Survey in Singapore. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021;23:e24730.
8. von Wyl V, Hoglinger M, Sieber C, et al. Drivers of Acceptance of COVID-19 Proximity Tracing Apps in Switzerland: Panel Survey Analysis. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. 2021;7:e25701.
9. Garrett PM, White JP, Lewandowsky S, et al. The acceptability and uptake of smartphone tracking for COVID-19 in Australia. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:e0244827.
10. Ishimaru T, Ibayashi K, Nagata M, et al. Industry and workplace characteristics associated with the downloading of a COVID-19 contact tracing app in Japan: a nation-wide cross-sectional study. *Environmental health and preventive medicine*. 2021;26:94.
11. Garrett PM, Wang Y, White JP, et al. Young Adults View Smartphone Tracking Technologies for COVID-19 as Acceptable: The Case of Taiwan. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18.
12. Salathe M, Althaus C, Anderegg N, et al. Early evidence of effectiveness of digital contact tracing for SARS-CoV-2 in Switzerland. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2020;150:w20457.
13. Kolasa K, Mazzi F, Leszczuk-Czubkowska E, Zrubka Z, Péntek M. State of the Art in Adoption of Contact Tracing Apps and Recommendations Regarding Privacy Protection and Public Health: Systematic Review. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2021;9:e23250.
14. Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a Nationwide Internet-based Health Survey of Workers During the COVID-19 Pandemic in 2020. *J uoeh*. 2021;43:217-225.

15. Ishimaru T, Okawara M, Ando H, et al. Gender differences in the determinants of willingness to get the COVID-19 vaccine among the working-age population in Japan. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2021;in press.
16. Machida M, Nakamura I, Kojima T, et al. Acceptance of a COVID-19 Vaccine in Japan during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Vaccines.* 2021;9.
17. Lewandowsky S, Dennis S, Perfors A, et al. Public acceptance of privacy-encroaching policies to address the COVID-19 pandemic in the United Kingdom. *PLoS One.* 2021;16:e0245740.
18. Zhang B, Kreps S, McMurry N, McCain RM. Americans' perceptions of privacy and surveillance in the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS One.* 2020;15:e0242652.
19. Kawakami N, Sasaki N, Kuroda R, Tsuno K, Imamura K. The Effects of Downloading a Government-Issued COVID-19 Contact Tracing App on Psychological Distress During the Pandemic Among Employed Adults: Prospective Study. *JMIR Ment Health.* 2021;8:e23699.
20. Tomczyk S, Barth S, Schmidt S, Muehlan H. Utilizing Health Behavior Change and Technology Acceptance Models to Predict the Adoption of COVID-19 Contact Tracing Apps: Cross-sectional Survey Study. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;23:e25447.
21. Rahman A, Sathi NJ. Risk factors of the severity of COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2021;75:e13916.
22. Paul CL, Ross S, Bryant J, Hill W, Bonevski B, Keevy N. The social context of smoking: A qualitative study comparing smokers of high versus low socioeconomic position. *BMC Public Health.* 2010;10:211.
23. Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare. COVID-19 Contact-Confirming Application. 2021; Available at: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/cocoa_00138.html.

342 Accessed 14 Jul, 2021.

343

Table 1. General characteristics of the study participants

	Total N=27,036 n (%)	Acceptance of digital contact tracing application for COVID-19	
		Yes n=6,786 n (%)	No n=20,250 n (%)
Sex			
Women	13,222 (48.9)	3,181 (46.9)	10,041 (49.6)
Men	13,814 (51.1)	3,605 (53.1)	10,209 (50.4)
Age			
20–39 years	6,763 (25.0)	1,669 (24.6)	50,94 (25.2)
40–49 years	8,011 (29.6)	1,886 (27.8)	6,125 (30.2)
50–59 years	9,012 (33.4)	2,359 (34.8)	6,653 (23.9)
60–65 years	3,250 (12.0)	872 (12.8)	2,378 (11.7)
Marital status			
Single	9,164 (33.9)	1,991 (29.4)	7,173 (35.4)
Divorced or widowed	2,843 (10.5)	722 (10.6)	2,121 (10.5)
Married	15,029 (55.6)	4,073 (60.0)	10,956 (54.1)
Education			
Junior high or high school	7,321 (27.1)	1,647 (24.3)	5,674 (28.0)
Vocational school or college	6,544 (24.2)	1,552 (22.9)	4,992 (24.7)
University or graduate school	13,171 (48.7)	3,587 (52.8)	9,584 (47.3)
Annual household income			
< 2,000,000 yen	1,709 (6.3)	277 (4.1)	1,432 (7.1)
2,000,000–3,999,999 yen	5,548 (20.5)	1,188 (17.5)	4,360 (21.5)
4,000,000–7,999,999 yen	11,927 (44.1)	2,925 (43.1)	9,002 (44.5)
≥ 8,000,000 yen	7,852 (29.1)	2,396 (35.3)	5,456 (26.9)
Job type			
Manual work	6,641 (24.6)	1,283 (18.9)	5,358 (26.5)
Desk work	13,468 (49.8)	3,736 (55.1)	9,732 (48.1)
Work involving interpersonal communication	6,927 (25.6)	1,767 (26.0)	5,160 (25.5)
Family living together			
No	5,807 (21.5)	1,400 (20.6)	4,407 (21.8)
Yes	21,229 (78.5)	5,386 (79.4)	15,843 (78.2)
Smoking			
Never	14,587 (54.0)	3,495 (51.5)	11,092 (54.8)
Past	5,445 (20.1)	1,551 (22.9)	3,894 (19.2)
Current	7,004 (25.9)	1,740 (25.6)	5,264 (26.0)

345

346

Table 1. General characteristics of the study participants (cont.)

	Total N=27,036 n (%)	Acceptance of digital contact tracing application for COVID-19	
		Yes n=6,786 n (%)	No n=20,250 n (%)
Alcohol intake			
Never	11,472 (42.4)	2,699 (39.8)	8,773 (43.3)
Occasionally	4,547 (16.8)	1,186 (17.5)	3,361 (16.6)
Regular	11,017 (40.8)	2,901 (42.7)	8,116 (40.1)
Regular doctor's visits for chronic diseases			
No	17,526 (64.8)	4,008 (59.1)	13,518 (66.8)
Yes	9,510 (35.2)	2,778 (40.9)	6,732 (33.2)
Fear of COVID-19 transmission			
No	7,019 (26.0)	1,049 (15.5)	5,970 (29.5)
Yes	20,017 (74.0)	5,737 (84.5)	14,280 (70.5)
Wearing a mask			
Rarely/never	682 (2.5)	84 (1.2)	598 (3.0)
Often	3,046 (11.3)	570 (8.4)	2,476 (12.2)
Always	23,308 (86.2)	6,132 (90.4)	17,176 (84.8)
Using hand sanitizer			
Rarely/never	3,740 (13.8)	633 (9.3)	3,107 (15.3)
Often	8,282 (30.6)	1,965 (29.0)	6,317 (31.2)
Always	15,014 (55.4)	4,188 (61.7)	10,826 (53.5)
Willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccine			
No	16,889 (62.5)	3,467 (51.1)	13,422 (66.3)
Yes	10,147 (37.5)	3,319 (48.9)	6,828 (33.7)
Presence of an acquaintance infected with COVID-19			
No	24,760 (91.6)	5,947 (87.6)	18,813 (92.9)
Yes	2,276 (8.4)	839 (12.4)	1,437 (7.1)

COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019.

350 Table 2. Factors associated with acceptance of the digital contact tracing application for COVID-19

Category	Variable	Univariate			Multivariate*		
		OR	(95% CI)	P value	OR	(95% CI)	P value
Demographic	Sex						
	Women	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Men	1.12	(1.06–1.18)	<0.001	1.03	(0.96–1.10)	0.402
	Age						
	20–39 years	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	40–49 years	0.94	(0.87–1.01)	0.108	0.90	(0.83–0.98)	0.011
	50–59 years	1.08	(1.01–1.16)	0.033	0.99	(0.91–1.07)	0.752
	60–65 years	1.12	(1.02–1.23)	0.020	1.01	(0.91–1.12)	0.873
	Marital status						
	Single	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Divorced or widowed	1.23	(1.11–1.35)	<0.001	1.16	(1.09–1.25)	<0.001
	Married	1.34	(1.26–1.42)	<0.001	1.29	(1.17–1.43)	<0.001
	Education						
	Junior high or high school	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Vocational school or college	1.07	(0.99–1.16)	0.089	1.08	(0.99–1.17)	0.078
	University or graduate school	1.29	(1.21–1.38)	<0.001	1.19	(1.11–1.28)	<0.001
	Annual household income						
	< 2,000,000 yen	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	2,000,000–3,999,999 yen	1.41	(1.22–1.63)	<0.001	1.40	(1.21–1.61)	<0.001
	4,000,000–7,999,999 yen	1.68	(1.47–1.92)	<0.001	1.60	(1.39–1.83)	<0.001
	≥ 8,000,000 yen	2.27	(1.98–2.60)	<0.001	2.08	(1.80–2.39)	<0.001
	Job type						
	Manual work	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Desk work	1.60	(1.49–1.72)	<0.001	1.49	(1.38–1.60)	<0.001
	Work involving interpersonal communication	1.43	(1.32–1.55)	<0.001	1.36	(1.25–1.48)	<0.001
	Family living together						
	No	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Yes	1.07	(1.00–1.15)	0.049	0.82	(0.76–0.89)	<0.001

351 Table 2. Factors associated with acceptance of the digital contact tracing application for COVID-19 (cont.)

Category	Variable	Univariate			Multivariate*		
		OR	(95% CI)	P value	OR	(95% CI)	P value
Behavioral	Smoking						
	Never smoking	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Past smoking	1.26	(1.18–1.36)	<0.001	1.24	(1.15–1.34)	<0.001
	Current smoking	1.05	(0.98–1.12)	0.156	1.05	(0.98–1.12)	0.212
	Alcohol intake						
	Never	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Occasionally	1.15	(1.06–1.24)	0.001	1.12	(1.03–1.21)	0.006
	Regular	1.16	(1.09–1.23)	<0.001	1.08	(1.02–1.15)	0.014
	Regular doctor's visits for chronic diseases						
Psychological	No	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Yes	1.39	(1.32–1.47)	<0.001	1.39	(1.32–1.48)	<0.001
	Fear of COVID-19 transmission						
COVID-19 related	No	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Yes	2.29	(2.13–2.46)	<0.001	2.29	(2.13–2.47)	<0.001
	Wearing a mask						
	Rarely/never	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Often	1.64	(1.28–2.10)	<0.001	1.57	(1.23–2.01)	<0.001
	Always	2.54	(2.02–3.20)	<0.001	2.44	(1.94–3.08)	<0.001
	Using hand sanitizer						
	Rarely/never	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Often	1.53	(1.38–1.69)	<0.001	1.50	(1.36–1.66)	<0.001
	Always	1.90	(1.73–2.08)	<0.001	1.88	(1.71–2.07)	<0.001
	Willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccine						
	No	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Yes	1.88	(1.78–1.99)	<0.001	1.83	(1.73–1.94)	<0.001
	Presence of an acquaintance infected with COVID-19						
	No	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Yes	1.85	(1.69–2.02)	<0.001	1.76	(1.61–1.93)	<0.001

352 COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

353 *Adjusted for sex, age, income, marital status, education, and annual household income.