Immunoprecipitation-targeted proteomics assays facilitate rational development of SARS-CoV-2 serological diagnostics
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ABSTRACT

Current design of serological tests employs conservative immunoassay approaches and is often focused on convenience, speed of manufacturing, and affordability. Limitations of such serological tests include semi-quantitative measurements, lack of standardization, potential cross-reactivity, and inability to distinguish between antibody subclasses. As a result of cross-reactivity, diagnostic specificity of serological antibody tests may not be sufficiently high to enable screening of the general asymptomatic populations for the acquired immunity against low-prevalence infectious diseases, such as COVID-19. Likewise, lack of a single standard for assay calibration limits inter-laboratory and international standardization of serological tests. In this study, we hypothesize that combination of immunoaffinity enrichments with targeted mass spectrometry measurements would enable rational design of serology diagnostics of infectious diseases, such as COVID-19. The same instrumental platform allows for sensitive and specific measurements of viral protein antigens, as wells as anti-viral antibodies circulating in human serum. Our proof-of-concept immunoprecipitation - parallel reaction monitoring (IP-PRM) assays quantified NCAP\_SARS2 protein with a limit of detection of 313 pg/mL in serum. In addition, a multiplex IP-selected reaction monitoring (IP-SRM) assay facilitated differential quantification of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody isotypes and subclasses in patient sera. Simultaneous evaluation of numerous antigen-antibody subclass combinations revealed a receptor-binding domain (RBD)-IgG1 as a combination with the highest diagnostic specificity and sensitivity. Anti-RBD IgG1, IgG3, IgM and IgA1 subclasses, but not IgG2, IgG4 and IgA2, were found elevated in COVID-19-positive sera. Synthetic heavy isotope-labeled peptide internal standards as calibrators revealed elevated anti-RBD IgG1 in positive (510-6700 ng/mL; 0.02-0.22% of total serum IgG1) versus negative sera (60 [interquartile range 41-81] ng/mL). Likewise, anti-RBD IgM was elevated in positive (190-510 ng/mL; 0.06-0.16% of total serum IgM) versus negative sera (76 [31-108] ng/mL). Further validation of immunoprecipitation-targeted proteomics assays as a platform for serological assays will facilitate standardization and improvement of the existing serological tests, enable rational design of novel tests, and offer tools for comprehensive investigation of antibody isotype and subclass cooperation in immunity response.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
INTRODUCTION
Conventional diagnostics of viral infections, such as COVID-19, relies on detection of viral genomes by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or the reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Limitations of RNA measurements by RT-PCR include RNA degradation in biological fluids, relatively high false negative rates, and lack of prognostic information. Alternative assays for diagnosis and prognosis of viral infections include serological assays which rely on detection of protein antigens or anti-viral antibodies in biological fluids or blood serum. The most common serological assays measure anti-viral immunoglobulins in blood and enable detection of past and chronic infections, provide prognostic information, and evaluate patient immune status. There is also an increasing number of serological assays measuring circulating viral proteins, to enable screening of donor blood for chronic infections or differential diagnosis of related viruses. Recently, combined serological test for simultaneous detection of circulating protein antigens and anti-viral antibodies were developed to complement RT-PCR diagnostics, or facilitate earlier detection of viral infections.

Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and lateral flow immunoassay (LFA), the most common tools for serology diagnostics, present highly sensitivity, robust and convenient analytical assays to measure viral proteins and anti-viral immunoglobulins in clinical samples. Immunoassay limitations, however, include non-specific binding, insufficient diagnostics specificity, lack of high-quality international reference standards, challenges with multiplexing, and antibody cross-reactivity to the highly homologous proteins of related strains. Differential measurement of the full set of immunoglobulin isotypes (total IgG, total IgA, IgM, IgE, IgD) and subclasses (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1 and IgA2) by indirect ELISA requires eleven individual measurements for each patient sample and is not routinely performed. As a result, common serological tests do not evaluate the isotype- and subclass-specific humoral immune response which could provide additional diagnostic and prognostic information. It has been well established that the identity and circulating levels of immunoglobulin isotypes and subclasses depend on numerous factors, such as time after exposure (early response IgM), antigen identity (peptides or polysaccharides), route of infection (respiratory, urinary, or topical), cell-mediated immunity (class switching induced by either type 1 or 2 helper T cells), subclass stability (reduced half-life of IgG3), and different effector functions (cytotoxicity or phagocytosis).

Mass spectrometry (MS) with its near-absolute analytical selectivity and multiplexing capabilities presents an alternative approach for serology diagnostics. MS has recently been used for identification and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 proteins in biological and clinical samples. Without extensive fractionation, however, MS assays presented relatively poor analytical sensitivity and resulted in low diagnostic sensitivity.

In this work, we hypothesized that combination of immunoaffinity enrichments and mass spectrometry measurements could resolve common limitations of immunoassays and mass spectrometry assays. We suggested that combined immunoprecipitation-selected reaction monitoring (IP-SRM) or immunoprecipitation-parallel reaction monitoring (IP-PRM) assays could facilitate sensitive and selective quantification of SARS-CoV-2 protein antigens and anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in patient samples. Proposed assays (Figure 1) may provide a
single platform for: (i) quantification of SARS-CoV-2 proteins in patient samples, to complement RT-PCR diagnostics and develop prognostic tests; (ii) differential quantification of SARS-CoV-2 emerging mutants; (iii) differential quantification of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody isotypes (IgG, IgA, IgM) and subclasses (IgG1-4, IgA1-2); (iv) rational design of serological diagnostics through selection of antigen-antibody subclass combinations with the highest diagnostic specificity and sensitivity; and (v) standardisation of SARS-CoV-2 protein and antibody assays via stable, pure, and affordable synthetic peptide internal standards.

RESULTS

Development of IP-PRM assays for quantification of SARS-CoV-2 proteins

To develop PRM assays for SARS-CoV-2 proteins, we obtained recombinant proteins, identified tryptic peptides by shotgun mass spectrometry\(^\text{21}\), prioritized the most intense peptides based on the label-free quantification with MaxQuant, and selected the most intense transitions with Skyline. In addition, we re-searched several publically available SARS-CoV-2 proteomic datasets\(^\text{22,23}\), confirmed the choice of proteotypic peptides, and applied label-free iBAQ quantification to determine relative abundances of SARS-CoV-2 proteins: NCAP_SARS2 (nucleoprotein; 55% of the viral proteome), VME1_SARS2 (membrane protein; 18%), AP3A_SARS2 (ORF3a protein; 9%), SPIKE_SARS2 (spike glycoprotein; 8%), ORF9B_SARS2 (ORF9b protein; 7%), NS7A_SARS2 (ORF7a protein; 1.2%), NS6_SARS2 (ORF6 protein; 0.7%), NS8_SARS2 (ORF8 protein; 0.4%), and other proteins.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** Design of immunoaffinity-mass spectrometry assays for serological diagnostics. (A) Identification of proteotypic peptides by shotgun LC-MS/MS and development of quantitative targeted proteomics assays. Recombinant SPIKE_SARS2 and NCAP_SARS2 proteins, or human antibodies, are digested by trypsin, tryptic peptides are analyzed by liquid chromatography-shotgun mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), the best proteotypic peptides are identified and synthesized as heavy isotope-labeled internal standards, and SRM transitions with the highest signal-to-noise ratio are selected. (B) Setup of IP-SRM or IP-PRM assays for quantification of SPIKE_SARS2 and NCAP_SARS2 proteins. (C) Setup of IP-SRM assays for quantification of anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin individual subclasses (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, IgA2, and IgM).
Figure 2. Development of IP-PRM assays for quantification of SPIKE_SARS2 and NCAP_SARS2 proteins. Selection of proteotypic peptides and PRM transitions for quantification of SPIKE_SARS2 (A) and NCAP_SARS2 (B) proteins. (C) SPIKE_SARS2 immunoprecipitation-PRM assays developed with anti-SPIKE_SARS2 chimeric monoclonal antibody CmAb (D001), rabbit monoclonal RmAb (R007) and rabbit polyclonal antibody RpAb (T62). (D) NCAP_SARS2 immunoprecipitation-PRM assays developed with anti-NCAP_SARS2 mouse monoclonal antibody MmAb (MM05), rabbit monoclonal antibodies RmAb (R001) and RmAb (R019), and rabbit polyclonal antibody RpAb (T62).

(~0.4%). A combined database of SARS-CoV-2 proteins, tryptic peptides, and MS fragmentation spectra facilitated rapid development of the targeted proteomics assays. Best proteotypic peptides (Figure 1B) were synthesized as heavy isotope-labeled peptides and used as internal standards for development of PRM assays and quantification of SPIKE_SARS2 and NCAP_SARS2. Selection of AYNVTQAFGR (NCAP_SARS2) and FLPFQQFGR (SPIKE_SARS2) as the best proteotypic peptides confirmed previous studies.22

To develop IP assays, we tested three different anti-NCAP_SARS2 and two anti-SPIKE_SARS2 antibodies, and evaluated assay performance with the corresponding recombinant proteins spiked into human serum (Figure 2). As a result, our IP-SRM assays detected 1.25 ng/mL of SPIKE_SARS2 (238 amol on column) and 313 pg/mL of NCAP_SARS2 (170 amol on column) in serum (Figure 3). These levels were comparable to a recent study which identified AYNVTQAFGR as the best proteotypic peptide of NCAP_SARS2, and reported LOD of 200 amol on column for quantification of NCAP_SARS2 protein in nasopharyngeal swab samples.23 A recent study reported that the median levels of NCAP_SARS2 protein in capillary blood were 3.896 pg/mL for pre-symptomatic and 1.931 pg/mL for symptomatic patients,24 well above the limit of detection of our IP-PRM assay.

Quantification of viral proteins in serum by ELISA
To develop an in-house ELISA, we tested the performance of three anti-SPIKE_SARS2 and four anti-NCAP_SARS2 antibodies. We first evaluated all combinations of these antibodies
to capture (200 ng/well) and detect (20 ng/well; biotinylated in-house) corresponding proteins (Figure 4). Antibody pairs which provided the highest signal intensity (OD at 450 nm) for the recombinant SPIKE_SARS2 (monomeric S1+S2 ECD) and NCAP_SARS2 diluted in the sample buffer were then re-evaluated with proteins spiked into human serum. The best pairs of antibodies included: (i) a capture mouse/human chimeric monoclonal antibody (CmAb D001) and a detection rabbit polyclonal antibody (RpAb T62) to measure SPIKE_SARS2 with LoQ of 63 pg/mL (66 amol/well) in human serum, and (ii) a capture rabbit polyclonal antibody (RpAb T62) and a detection mouse monoclonal antibody (MmAb MM05) to measure NCAP_SARS2 with LoQ of 31 pg/mL (47 amol/well) in human serum (Figure 4).

Some commercial ELISA kits (for example, Sino Biological) would have comparable sensitivity (7.8 pg/mL for SPIKE_SARS2 RBD corresponding to 40 pg/mL for S1+S2 ECD).

Development of IP-SRM assays for the differential quantification of anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulins

Our approach for the differential quantification of human immunoglobulin isotypes (IgG, IgM, and IgA) and subclasses (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1 and IgA2) relied on measurements of the unique proteotypic peptides within the constant heavy chains (Supplemental Figure S1). To select unique proteotypic peptides for each isotype and subclass, we searched our previous proteomic datasets, Peptide Atlas data and literature data. Selected proteotypic peptides represented all immunoglobulin allotypes. Absence of high-frequency polymorphic missense variants was confirmed with the GnomAD database v2.1.1. The search of NextProt database ensured absence of glycosylation sites or other post-translational modifications within the sequences of proteotypic peptides. Finally, nine synthetic heavy isotope-labeled peptides were used for SRM assay development (Table S4). SRM assays for quantification of IGHG1 and IGHM in serum revealed LoQs of 0.3 fmol on column for IGHG1 peptide (corresponding to 14 ng/mL of IgG1) and 1 fmol on column for IGHM peptide (corresponding to 62 ng/mL of IgM) (Figure 5 and Table S7).

Quantification of COVID-19-specific immunoglobulins by IP-SRM

COVID-19-specific immunoglobulins (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgM, IgA1, and IgA2) were quantified with NCAP_SARS2 and SPIKE_SARS2 S1+S2 ECD, S1 and RBD proteins in 7 negative and 3 positive sera (Table S8).

Figure 3. Quantification of recombinant NCAP_SARS2 protein spiked into human serum. Immunoprecipitation-PRM assay with a rabbit polyclonal antibody RpAb T62 revealed a linear response (A) and a limit of detection of 313 pg/mL (S/N>3) in serum, or 170 amol on column (B). A recent study reported that the median levels of NCAP_SARS2 protein in capillary blood were 3,896 pg/mL for pre-symptomatic and 1,931 pg/mL for symptomatic patients, and well above the limit of detection of our IP-PRM assay.
Quantification of COVID-19-specific immunoglobulins by ELISA

We developed an in-house indirect ELISA and measured relative abundance of SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG and IgGAM immunoglobulins captured by NCAP_SARS2 and SPIKE_SARS2 S1+S2 ECD, S1 and RBD proteins. Both IgG or IgGAM assays were sensitive and specific enough to differentiate between 7 negative and 3 positive sera (Figures 6B and S2).

Selection of antigen-antibody combinations with the highest diagnostic performance

We evaluated numerous antigen - immunoglobulin subclass combinations by IP-SRM and indirect ELISA (Figure 6). Simultaneous evaluation of 36 combinations was a definite advantage of a multiplex IP-SRM assay, and allowed identifying 10 combinations which satisfied two essential criteria: statistically-significant difference (MWU P-value<0.05) and no overlap between groups (100% diagnostic specificity at 100% diagnostic sensitivity). Additional ranking by the ratio of medians facilitated selection of combinations with the highest signal-to-noise ratio. RBD/IgG1 and S1/IgG1 were identified as top combinations. Indirect ELISA with anti-IgG and anti-IgGAM detection antibodies confirmed the best performance of RBD/IgG and S1/IgGAM combinations (Figure 6B and Tables S9), which was in agreement with the previous studies. It should be noted that S1+S2 ECD antigen revealed poor diagnostic performance (Figure 6C, D) due to the high background in negative samples. In addition to the rapid evaluation of numerous combinations, we revealed that IP-SRM assay provided a 2.3-fold wider dynamic range in comparison to ELISA. An IP step of our assay provides a dynamic range of detection ~2-3 orders of magnitude, similar to typical affinity interactions.

Figure 4. Development of in-house ELISA for measurement of SPIKE_SARS2 and NCAP_SARS2 proteins in human serum. Numerous combinations of capture and detection anti-SPIKE_SARS2 (A) and anti-NCAP_SARS2 (B) antibodies were evaluated. The best pairs of antibodies included a capture mouse/human chimeric monoclonal antibody (CmAb D001) and a detection rabbit polyclonal antibody (RpAb T62) to measure SPIKE_SARS2 with LoQ of 63 pg/mL in serum (C), and a capture rabbit polyclonal antibody (RpAb T62) and a detection mouse monoclonal antibody (MmAb MM05) to measure NCAP_SARS2 with LoQ of 31 pg/mL in serum (D).
In ELISA, this dynamic range could be further reduced by equilibration parameters and non-specific interactions of the anti-IgG or anti-IgGAM secondary detection antibodies. Unlike immunoassays, SRM measurements (dynamic range of 5-6 orders) allow for the direct quantification of immunoglobulin heavy chains with no cross-reactivity (lower background) and independent of the secondary antibody (no signal loss due to dissociation), thus providing a wider dynamic range. A wider dynamic range of serological diagnostics by IP-SRM could facilitate earlier detection of the triggered immune response and more accurate measurements of its temporal dynamics.

COVID-19-specific versus total immunoglobulin profiles

Total immunoglobulins (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgM, IgA1 and IgA2) were quantified by SRM in 7 negative and 3 positive sera. Median concentrations of total IgG1 and IgM in serum, 2.8 and 0.24 mg/mL, respectively (Figure 7) were in agreement with the previously reported ranges for IgG1 (2.8 - 8.2 mg/mL) and IgM (0.2~2.3 mg/mL)\(^{33-35}\). Anti-RBD IgG1, IgG3, IgM and IgA1 subclasses, but not IgG2, IgG4 and IgA2, were found elevated in positive convalescent sera (Figure 7 and Tables S8). Anti-RBD IgG1 was elevated in
positive (510-6700 ng/mL; 0.02-0.22% of total serum IgG1) versus negative sera (60 [interquartile range, IQR, 41-81] ng/mL). Anti-RBD IgG1 levels measured by our IP-SRM well correlated ($R^2=0.98$) with IgG levels independently measured by SARS-CoV-2 IgG seroconversion ELISA (Innovative Research). Anti-RBD IgM was elevated in positive (190-510 ng/mL; 0.06-0.16% of total serum IgM) versus negative sera (76 [IQR 31-108] ng/mL). Anti-RBD IgG3 was elevated in positive (29-220 ng/mL; 0.004-0.049% of total IgG3) versus negative sera (22 [IQR 13-26] ng/mL). Some positive serum samples revealed anti-RBD IgA1 (35-260 ng/mL; 0.003-0.028% of total IgA1).

**DISCUSSION**

Infectious disease diagnostics has been revolutionized with the advent of PCR and RT-PCR. Further developments of infectious disease diagnostics are increasingly utilizing protein antigen and antibody measurements to aid nucleic acids tests and provide additional diagnostic and prognostic information. Hepatitis B testing is one of the prominent examples...
and utilizes serum measurements of viral DNA by PCR and immunoassay measurements of a viral surface antigen protein, two IgG antibodies against surface and core antigens, and IgM antibody against a core antigen protein. Different combinations of positive and negative results provide detailed interpretation of Hepatitis B infection status (acute, chronic, immune due to vaccination, immune due to previous infection, susceptible to infection, resolved infection).

Standard serological assays to measure anti-pathogen antibodies in blood serum or plasma have been unchanged for decades. The design of serological assays was determined by conservative immunoassay approaches and was often focused on convenience, speed of manufacturing, and affordability. Limitations of such assays included semi-quantitative measurements due to the lack of “gold” standards, potential cross-reactivity, and inability to distinguish between antibody subclasses. Since reference samples with the standardized amounts of anti-pathogen antibodies or recombinant monoclonal antibodies are typically not available at the early stages of the novel pathogen epidemics, different laboratories calibrate serology tests with different convalescent serum samples obtained from the recovered patients. Lack of a single reference standard for assay calibration limits inter-laboratory and international standardization of serological tests, and is a recognized limitation. As a result of cross-reactivity, diagnostic specificity of serological antibody tests may not be sufficiently

Figure 7. Measurement of total IgG1 and IgM by SRM, and specific anti-RBD IgG1 and IgM by IP-SRM. Serum samples were obtained from COVID-19 negative patients (collected before December 2019) and COVID-19 positive patients (confirmed by RT-PCR). Median levels of total IgG1 and IgM, as measured by SRM in serum samples, were 2.8 and 0.24 mg/mL, respectively. Anti-RBD IgG1 levels were elevated in positive convalescent serum (510-6,700 ng/mL; 0.02-0.22% of total serum IgG1) versus negative serum (60 ng/mL; interquartile range 41-81 ng/mL). Anti-RBD IgG1 levels measured by our IP-SRM well correlated (R²=0.98) with IgG levels independently measured by SARS-CoV-2 IgG seroconversion ELISA (Innovative Research). Anti-RBD IgM levels were elevated in positive (190-510 ng/mL; 0.06-0.16% of total serum IgM) versus negative serum (76 ng/mL; interquartile range 31-108). Non-specific binding levels of IgG1 (median 56 ng/mL) and IgM (median 63 ng/mL), as measured with PBS buffer instead of RBD antigen, were subtracted.
high to enable screening of the general asymptomatic populations for the acquired immunity against low-prevalence infectious diseases, such as COVID-19. For instance, 95% diagnostic specificity of COVID-19 serological tests\textsuperscript{36,37} and 0.3% prevalence in early 2020 in Canada would account for 5% positive predictive value. Interestingly, 90% positive predictive value at such low prevalence could only be achieved with a superior test with 99.97% diagnostic specificity.

PCR and RT-PCR are undoubtedly the techniques with unprecedented analytical sensitivity. Sensitivity of protein assays, however, could be leveraged by the presence of numerous analyte copies (for example, \~1,000 copies of NCAP_SARS2 protein per virion\textsuperscript{38}), longer elimination half-life of proteins (for instance, circulating SARS-CoV proteins were detectable in serum on day 25 after infection onset, while serum RNA was undetectable on day 20\textsuperscript{39}), and the higher stability during sample preparation. The most abundant SARS-CoV-2 proteins were recently evaluated as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers\textsuperscript{24}, but evaluation of accessory proteins is still pending, mostly due to the lack of high-quality antibodies and immunoassays. Mass spectrometry has previously been used for identification and quantification of viral proteins in clinical samples\textsuperscript{15-17}, and will facilitates evaluation of SARS-CoV-2 accessory proteins as biomarkers. Since rational development of protein biomarkers involves numerous stages of verification and validation, mass spectrometry assays, due to their rapid design and execution, are particularly useful at the early phases of biomarker development\textsuperscript{40-43}. Without extensive fractionation, however, mass spectrometry assays present relatively poor analytical sensitivity, resulting in low diagnostic sensitivity.

In this study, we hypothesized that combination of immunoaffinity enrichments with mass spectrometry quantification provides a single instrumental platform for sensitive and specific serological testing for pathogen antigen proteins and anti-pathogen antibodies. Immunoaffinity-mass spectrometry platform enables rational design of serological diagnostics of infectious diseases, provides assay standardization, resolves certain limitations of standard PCR and immunoassay techniques, and facilitates independent evaluation of cross-reactivity of serological immunoassays\textsuperscript{44}. Proposed IP-SRM assays combine advantages of two worlds: immunoassays with high analytical sensitivity, and SRM assays with their near-absolute analytical specificity\textsuperscript{44-49}. We previously demonstrated that SRM and PRM targeted proteomic assays provided robust tools for quantification of proteins in human cell lines\textsuperscript{50,51}, primary cells\textsuperscript{52-54}, tissues\textsuperscript{55}, various biological fluids\textsuperscript{46,56-61}; and serum\textsuperscript{44,62}. Additional immunoprecipitation provides up to a 1,000-fold gain in sensitivity, reaching 100 pg/mL levels in complex biological and clinical samples\textsuperscript{44}. All steps of IP and sample preparation are implemented on 96-well plates and provide sufficient throughput and reproducibility\textsuperscript{25,48}. High reproducibility (coefficient of variation, CV<10\%) and throughput (~100 samples per day) of IP-SRM assays enable reliable quantification of proteins in clinical samples.

We have previously developed IP-SRM for novel proteins\textsuperscript{44,47,48,55} and demonstrated limits of quantification as low as 100 pg/mL in serum\textsuperscript{44}. Such sensitivity is sufficient to quantify viral antigens and antiviral antibodies in serum. For example, patients with chronic hepatitis B presented serum surface antigen levels of 40,000 ng/mL\textsuperscript{63}, while SARS-CoV nucleocapsid protein was detected at 100-3,200 pg/mL in serum of infected patients\textsuperscript{64}. Our proof-of-concept IP-PRM assays measured NCAP_SARS2 protein with a limit of detection of 313
pg/mL in serum. Likewise, antiviral IgG immunoglobulins in serum present a range of 1-100 µg/mL\textsuperscript{24,65}, and a recent semi-quantitative SARS-CoV-2 IgG serological test has a clinical cutoff of 0.77 µg/mL\textsuperscript{66}. Our IP-SRM measurements with synthetic peptide internal standards as calibrators revealed elevated anti-RBD IgG1 (510-6,700 ng/mL), IgG3 (29-220 ng/mL), IgM (190-510 ng/mL), and IgA1 (up to 260 ng/mL in some samples), but not IgG2, IgG4 or IgA2 immunoglobulins. Our multiplex IP-SRM assay facilitated simultaneous evaluation of 36 antigen-antibody subclass combinations, and revealed RBD-IgG1 as a combination with the highest diagnostic specificity and sensitivity. These data were in agreement with our in-house indirect ELISA which confirmed RBD/IgG as the top combination.

It should be mentioned that differential quantification of a full set of immunoglobulin isotypes (IgG, IgA, IgM, IgE, IgD) and subclasses (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1 and IgA2) by indirect ELISA would required subclass-specific secondary antibodies and nine independent measurements for each patient sample. As a result, common serological tests do not evaluate the isotype- and subclass-specific humoral immune response. The identity and circulating levels of immunoglobulin isotypes and subclasses, however, vary due to time after exposure (early response IgM antibodies), antigen identity (IgG1 and IgG3 for peptide antigens and IgG2 for polysaccharides), route of infection (respiratory, urinary, or topical), cell-mediated immunity (IgM class switching to either IgG1/IgG3 or IgG2/IgG4 induced by type 1 or type 2 helper T cells, respectively), subclass stability (reduced half-life of IgG3 due to a longer hinge region and faster proteolysis), affinity (lower-affinity antibodies are not detected), and different effector functions (antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity of IgG1>IgG3, antibody-dependent cell-mediated phagocytosis of IgG1, IgG2 and IgG3, or complement-mediated cytotoxicity of IgG1 and IgG3)\textsuperscript{13,14}. Differential quantification of a full set of immunoglobulin isotypes and subclasses may provide additional prognostic information on disease severity and complement existing serological tests.

In future, our IP-targeted proteomics assays could be utilized widely to improve serological testing for numerous infectious diseases, such as HIV, hepatitis and influenza\textsuperscript{67}, and evaluate quality and efficiency of vaccines\textsuperscript{68}. With its nearly absolute analytical selectivity, mass spectrometry assays could serve as independent tools to evaluate diagnostic specificity of the existing serological tests, and select combinations of antigens and antibody subclasses which provide the highest diagnostic specificity. Increased diagnostic specificity (low false-positive rates) and standardization of serology diagnostics will enable evidence-based screening of broader populations for the acquired immunity, thus having a tremendous impact on management of infectious diseases. IP-SRM assays targeting novel antigens or mutated epitopes can be developed and implemented within weeks and are justified as tools for the rapid response to the emerging pandemics. Investigation of mutated neutralizing epitopes for their ability to bind antibodies will support development of vaccines effective against the emerging mutants. Further developments of serological diagnostics by IP-targeted proteomics assays could facilitate selection of antibodies with a desired subclass, affinity\textsuperscript{69-71}, neutralization potential, mutation binding, and eventually provide approaches for selection and sequencing of antibodies with the desired characteristics directly from the patient’s blood, thus paving the way for rapid development of the next-generation therapeutic antibodies.
**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Chemicals, reagents and clinical samples.** Dithiothreitol, iodoacetamide, trifluoracetic acid (TFA) and L-methionine were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Burlington, ON, Canada). Mass spectrometry-grade acetonitrile (ACN) and water were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fair Lawn, NJ). Formic acid (FA) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON). Synthetic stable isotope-labeled peptides SpikeTides_L and SpikeTide_TQL were provided by JPT Peptide Technologies GmbH (Germany). Recombinant SARS-CoV-2 antigens were obtained from Sino Biological (Beijing, China) and included spike glycoprotein extracellular domain (S1+S2 ECD; identical to Val16-Pro1213 of SPIKE_SARS2 (P0DTC2) with a polyhistidine tag at the C-term; 134.3 kDa; #40589-V08B1), the S1 subunit of spike glycoprotein (S1; Val16-Arg685; His-tagged, 76.5 kDa; #40591-V08H), the receptor binding domain of the spike glycoprotein (RBD; Arg319-Phe541; His-tagged, 26.5 kDa; #40592-V08H), and nucleoprotein (N; identical to Met1-Ala419 of NCAP_SARS2 (P0DTC9); His-tagged; 47.08 kDa; #40588-V08B). Anti-SPIKE_SARS2 antibodies from Sino Biological Inc included spike RBD chimeric monoclonal antibody CmAb (#40150-D001), spike S1 rabbit monoclonal RmAb R007 (#40150-R007) and spike RBD rabbit polyclonal antibody RpAb (#40592-T62). Antinucleoprotein antibodies from Sino Biological Inc included rabbit polyclonal antibody RpAb (#40588-T62), rabbit monoclonal antibodies RmAb R001 (#40143-R001) and RmAb R019 (#40143-R019), and mouse monoclonal antibodies MmAb (#40143-MM05). Secondary antibodies from Invitrogen included horseradish peroxidase-conjugated polyclonal goat-anti-human IgG Fcγ (#A18817) and goat-anti-human IgG/IgM/IgA H+L (#A18847). A detailed list of antibodies is presented in Table S1. Seven SARS-CoV-2 negative (collected before November 2019; #ISERS2ML) and three SARS-CoV-2 positive (diagnosed by RT-PCR; #ISERSCOV2P100UL) single donor human serum samples (Table S2) were obtained from Innovative Research (Novi, MI, USA). The study was approved by the University of Alberta (ethics approval #Pro00104098).

**Immunoprecipitation (IP) and reversed IP.** Four anti-NCAP_SARS2 and three anti-SPIKE_SARS2 antibodies were used for immunoprecipitation of recombinant NCAP_SARS2 and SPIKE_SARS2 (monomeric S1+S2 ECD; 134.3 kDa; #40589-V08B1) proteins spiked into human serum, respectively. Antibodies were diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4), coated onto a high-binding 96-well microplates (Greiner Bio-One) at 500 ng/well in 100 μl, and incubated overnight at RT. After washing 3 times with 200 μl wash buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS), the plate was blocked for 1 h with 200 μl of blocking buffer (2% bovine serum albumin in wash buffer). The washing step was repeated. Human serum (50 μl) with spiked-in recombinant proteins was diluted to 100 μl with dilution buffer (0.1% BSA in wash buffer, 0.2 μm filtered) and added to the plates. Following 2 h incubation with continuous shaking, the plate was washed 3 times with wash buffer and 3 times with 50 mM NaHCO₃. To measure anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, four recombinant proteins (S1+S2 ECD, S1, RBD, and N) were coated overnight (500 ng per well). Positive and negative human serum samples (4 μl) were diluted 25-fold with dilution buffer, incubated (100 μl per well) for 2 h at RT, and were washed 3 times with wash buffer and 3 times with 50 mM NaHCO₃.

**Proteomic Sample Preparation.** Enriched proteins or antibodies were reduced with 10 mM dithiothreitol at 70°C for 15 min, and disulfide bonds were alkylated with 20 mM iodoacetamide at room temperature (RT) in the dark for 45 min. Heavy isotope-labeled SpikeTides_TQL (100 fmol per digest; Table S3) were used for quantification of recombinant proteins, and were spiked into each sample before digestion (0.25 ng trypsin per
well). SpikeTides_L peptides (Table S4) were used for quantification of antibody subclasses and isotypes, and were spiked after digestion (100 fmol per digest). Proteins were digested overnight at 37 °C using dimethylated SOLu-trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich: 1:20 trypsin:protein). Digestion was stopped with TFA (1%), and 1 μL of 0.4 M L-methionine was added to prevent methionine oxidation during sample storage. OMIX C18 tips (10 μL; Agilent Technologies) were used for desalting and microextraction of tryptic peptides, which were then eluted with 3 μL of 65% ACN and diluted with 36 μL of 0.1% FA. Each digest was analyzed in duplicates (10 μl injections).

**Liquid Chromatography and Shotgun Mass Spectrometry Analysis.** The best proteotypic peptides for S1+S2 ECD and N recombinant proteins were identified by shotgun mass spectrometry using Orbitrap Elite™ Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) coupled to EASY-nLC II (Thermo Scientific). Peptides were separated at 300 nL/min with a 2-hour gradient: 5% B for 5 min, 5-35% B for 95 min, 35-65% B for 10 min, 65-100% B for 1 min and 100% B for 9 min. MS1 scans (400-1250 m/z) were performed at 60 K resolution in the profile mode, followed by top 20 ion trap centroid MS/MS, acquired at 33% normalized collision energy. FTMS ion count was set to $1 \times 10^6$ with an injection time of 200 ms, while MS/MS scans were set to 9,000 counts and 100 ms injection time. MS/MS acquisition settings included 500 minimum signal threshold, 2.0 m/z isolation width, 10 ms activation time, and 60 s dynamic exclusion. Monoisotopic precursor selection was enabled, +1 and unknown charge states were rejected. Instrument parameters included 230°C capillary temperature and 2.0 kv spray voltage.

**Selection of Proteotypic Peptides and Development of Targeted SRM and PRM Assays.** The top 2 peptides with the highest MS1 intensities were selected for S1+S2 ECD and N recombinant proteins. To facilitate absolute quantification by PRM assay using Q-Exactive, heavy isotope labelled SpikeTides_TQL peptides were used as internal standards. To select the best proteotypic peptides for quantification of human antibodies, we used our previous shotgun mass spectrometry data. Previous literature and the Peptide Atlas, protein BLAST, neXtProt and gnomAD databases were used to confirm specificity of proteotypic peptides for the differential quantification of antibody isotypes (IgG, IgM, and IgA) and subclasses (IgG1, IgG2, IgG3, IgG4, IgA1, and IgA2), and to exclude peptides with posttranslational modifications, allotype variants and high-frequency single aminoacid variants. Heavy isotope-labeled SpikeTides_L peptides were obtained and used as internal standards for SRM assay development (Table S4). Heavy and light peptide pairs (10 transitions per peptide; 5 ms scan time) were initially monitored with an unscheduled SRM assay. Following deletion of low-intensity and high-interference transitions, 3 transitions for each precursor ion were scheduled within 150 s intervals (Tables S5 and S6).

**Liquid chromatography and SRM/PRM parameters.** Q-Exactive coupled to EASY-nLC 1000 (Thermo Scientific) was used for PRM assays. Acclaim PepMap 100 nanoViper C18 column (Thermo Scientific, 100 μm ID×2 cm, 5 μm, 100 Å) was used as a pre-column for sample loading, while the EASY-Spray C18 column (Thermo Scientific, 75 μm ID×15 cm, 3 μm, 5 μm) was used as an analytical column. An 18-min gradient (400 nL/min) started with 0% buffer B and ramped to 50% buffer B over 15 min, followed by an increase to 100% buffer B within 1 min, and continued for 2 min. PRM scans were performed at 17.5 K resolution with 27% normalized collision energy. AGC (Automatic Gain Control) target value was set to $3 \times 10^6$ with a maximum injection time of 100 ms and an isolation width of 2.0 m/z. The quadrupole ion-trap mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX QTRAP 5500) coupled to EASY-nLC II via a NanoSpray III ion source (AB SCIEX) was used for SRM assays. The tryptic peptides were loaded at 5 μL/min onto a C18 trap column (Thermo Scientific, 100 μm ID×2 cm, 5 μm,
120 Å). Peptides were separated with PicoFrit columns (New Objective, 15 cm×75 μm ID, 8 μm tip, PepMap C18, 3 μm, 100 Å) and 18 min gradients (300 nL/min). The gradient started with 15% buffer B and ramped to 65% buffer B over 15 min, followed by an increase to 100% buffer B within 1 min, and continued for 2 min. QTRAP 5500 parameters were: 2300 V ionspray; 75 °C source temperature; 2.0 arbitrary units for gas 1 (N₂), 0 arbitrary units for gas 2; 25 arbitrary units for curtain gas (N₂); and 100 V declustering potential. BSA (200 fmol) was analyzed every 6 runs to assess nanoLC-MS performance.

**Mass spectrometry data analysis.** Raw files of SRM and PRM experiments were analyzed using Skyline Targeted Proteomics Environment v20.1.0.76 (MacCoss Lab). Peak boundaries were adjusted manually, and the integrated areas of all transitions for each peptide were extracted. Light-to-heavy peak area ratios were used for accurate relative or absolute quantification of endogenous peptides. Shotgun MS data were search using MaxQuant software (v1.6.3.4) and a custom Fasta database with 29 SARS-CoV-2 proteins (NCBI Reference Sequences) and some human proteins (60 entries in total). Search parameters included: trypsin enzyme specificity, 2 missed cleavages, 7 aa minimum peptide length, top 8 MS/MS peaks per 100 Da, 20 ppm MS1 and 0.5 Da MS/MS tolerance. Variable modifications included methionine oxidation, N-terminal acetylation and deamidation (N). False-discovery rate (FDR) was set to 1% at both protein and peptide levels. The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via PRIDE with the dataset identifier PXD028560. Raw SRM and PRM data have been deposited to Peptide Atlas with the identifier PASS01699 (www.peptideatlas.org/PASS/PASS01699).

**ELISA.** Immunoassays were developed as previously described. Four proteins (S1+S2 ECD, S1, RBD, and N) were coated onto the plate overnight (300 ng in 100 μl PBS per well). The plate was washed 6 times with 250 μl wash buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS), followed by 1 h blocking with 250 μl of blocking buffer (6% BSA in wash buffer). After washing, 3 positive and 7 negative human serum samples was diluted 15,000-fold with a dilution buffer (0.1% BSA in wash buffer). Samples (100 μl per well) were incubated for 2 h at RT with continuous shaking. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (goat-anti-human IgG and goat-anti-human IgG/IgM/IgA; 20 ng in 100 μl per well diluted in 0.5% BSA in wash buffer) were incubated for 1 h. Following final washing, 100 μl of tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) solution was added to each well. After 10 min incubation, the reaction was stopped with 50 μl of 2 M HCL. Absorbance at 450 nm was measured using FilterMax F5 multi-mode microplate reader (Molecular Devices) with 450NMBW80 absorbance filter. To measure recombinant S1 SPIKE_SARS2 and NCAP_SARS2 proteins spiked into human serum, four anti-NCAP_SARS2 and three anti-SPIKE_SARS2 antibodies (300 ng per well) were coated onto the plate. To generate standard curves, recombinant antigens (62.5 to 10,000 pg/ml) were spiked into human serum. Seven different anti-SARS2 antibodies were biotinylated in-house and used as secondary antibodies (40 ng in 100 μl per well). Following 1 h incubation, streptavidin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase (1:1000) was added and incubated for 20 min. Reaction was stopped with 50 μl of 2 M HCL, and absorbance at 450 nm was measured.
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