Title: Collateral positives of COVID-19 for culturally and linguistically diverse communities in Western Sydney, Australia

Authors: Cornell S^{*1}, Ayre J¹, Mac O¹, Kapoor R¹, Pickles K¹, Batcup C¹, Dolan H¹, Bonner C¹, Cvejic E¹, Mouwad D², Zachariah D², Turalic U³, Santalucia Y⁴, Chen T², Vasic G², McCaffery K¹, Muscat DM¹

Affiliations:

¹The University of Sydney, Sydney Health Literacy Lab, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, New South Wales AUSTRALIA

²Western Sydney Local Health District, New South Wales AUSTRALIA

³Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District, New South Wales AUSTRALIA

⁴Southwestern Sydney Local Health District, New South Wales AUSTRALIA

Funding: This study was not specifically funded. Academic authors are funded by National Health and Medical Research Council, Western Sydney Local Health District Research and Education Network, and National Heart Foundation.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Corresponding author:

Samuel Cornell
School of Public Health. Room 128A Edward Ford Building (A27), The University of Sydney,
NSW, 2006.
Email: samuel.cornell@sydney.edu.au

Acknowledgements: We would like to acknowledge and thank the community members who participated in this survey and the team who co-designed the survey, recruited the participants and collected the data.

Data statement: Data may be accessed upon reasonable request from the authorship team.

Ethics statement: Western Sydney Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee (Project number 2020/ETH03085).

35 **Contributors:** All authors were involved in the conception and design of the study, developing the
36 methods, and coordinating the running of the study. KP developed the coding framework, based on
37 a previously reported framework developed by SC, and all authors contributed to the framework.
38 DMM, JA, OM, RK and EC contributed to the analysis. SC and DMM drafted the manuscript and all
39 authors reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published
40 version of the manuscript.
41
42

43 Abstract

44

45 Issues addressed:

46 To investigate whether culturally and linguistically diverse communities in Western Sydney have
47 experienced any positive effects during the COVID-19 pandemic, and if so, what these were.

48

49 Methods:

50 A cross-sectional survey with ten language groups was conducted from 21st March to 9th July 2021 in
51 Sydney, Australia. Participants were recruited through bilingual multicultural health staff and health
52 care interpreter service staff and answered a question, 'In your life, have you experienced any
53 positive effects from the COVID-19 pandemic?' Differences were explored by demographic variables.
54 Free-text responses were thematically coded using the Content Analysis method.

55

56 Results:

57 707 people completed the survey, aged 18 to >70, 49% males and 51% females. Only 161 (23%) of
58 those surveyed reported any positive impacts. There were significant differences in the proportion
59 of those who reported positives based on age ($p=0.004$), gender ($p=0.013$), language ($p=0.003$),
60 health literacy ($p=0.014$), English language proficiency ($p=0.003$), education ($p<0.001$) and whether
61 participants had children less than 18 years at home ($p=0.001$). Reporting of positive impacts ranged
62 from 12% for people aged seventy years or older to 30% for the 30–49-year age group. Reporting of
63 positive impacts for different language groups ranged from 9% to 42%. 18% of men reported
64 positive impacts compared to 27% of women, and 18% of people with inadequate health literacy
65 reported positive impacts compared to 26% with adequate health literacy. Content Analysis of open-
66 ended responses showed that, of those that did report positives, the top themes were 'Family time'
67 (44%), 'Improved self-care' (31%) and, 'Greater connection with others' (17%).

68

69 Conclusions:

70 From 21st March to July 9th, 2021, few surveyed participants reported finding any positives because
71 of the COVID-19 pandemic. This finding is in stark contrast to related research in Australia in a
72 population dominated by adults with English as their first language, carried out in June 2020, in
73 which many more people experienced positives.

74

75 So what:

76 The needs of people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds must inform future
77 responses to community crises to facilitate an equitable effect of any collateral positives that may
78 arise.

79

80

81 Introduction

82

83 The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted Australia since March 2020 and has been costly for the
84 Australian population with widespread restrictions on movement and work between periods of
85 control. Nevertheless, Australians have shown resilience (1); they have identified positives as a side
86 effect of restrictions (2) and generally complied well with public health directives; with high
87 compliance rates reported by across multiple studies (3,4).

88

89 The negative effects of the pandemic have been widely reported. However, research has found that
90 people have adapted to the novel circumstances and often found positives amidst the disorder.
91 Previous research, conducted by our group in June 2020, with a national sample of Australians,
92 found that 70% of participants had experienced positive effects of COVID-19; The three most
93 common themes were 'Family time' (33%), 'Work flexibility' (29%) and 'Calmer life' (19%)(2).
94 Similarly, a study from Scotland conducted during weeks 9-12 of the Scottish lockdown from May to
95 June 2020 found that participants reported feeling fitter, better rested and calmer—83% being more
96 appreciative of things usually taken for granted, 67% more time doing enjoyable things, 62% paying
97 more attention their health and 54% increasing their amount of exercise (5). Furthermore, another
98 Australian, qualitative, longitudinal survey found mixed responses from participants regarding the
99 effects of COVID-19 on their family relationships—in which participants described feelings of loss
100 and strains on relationships, but also of developing positive characteristics such as appreciation,
101 gratitude, and tolerance and opportunities for strengthening family bonds (6).

102

103 Nevertheless, as with most aspects of health, previous research has not found an equitable
104 distribution of positive experience, with those of higher socioeconomic status more likely to find
105 positives, including working from home for pay and financial benefits; (5) while many existing
106 inequities between the socioeconomic stratum have been exacerbated during COVID-19 (7,8).
107 Furthermore, few studies have specifically aimed to ascertain the positive experience, if any, of
108 those from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. It is important to identify groups and
109 populations which may not experience any positive effects arising from a disaster including a
110 pandemic. This may be due to already present socioeconomic disparities which may be exacerbated
111 from the detrimental effects of lockdowns and other pandemic related side-effects (9).

112

113 In this brief report, we present results from our survey conducted from March 21st to July 9th, 2021
114 survey which examined behaviour and intentions, information sources, and impacts of COVID-19

amongst people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds in Greater Western Sydney. As part of the survey, we asked whether they had experienced any positive effects during the COVID-19 pandemic, and what those positive effects were.

2. Methods

2.1 Study design

This study involved a self-report cross-sectional survey with 11 language groups, approved by Western Sydney Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee (Project number 2020/ETH03085).

2.2 Setting

Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic there has been numerous lockdowns and phases of restrictions affecting the residents of Sydney, New South Wales with concurrent widespread disruption to the daily lives of residents. The survey was conducted from March 21st to July 9th, 2021. During this period, the COVID-19 vaccines had begun to roll out across Australia, and daily cases in NSW ranged from 0 to 46 (10). Stay at home orders (informally known as ‘lockdown’) were implemented across Greater Sydney on June 23rd (11). On the day the survey closed (July 9th) the New South Wales (NSW) daily case count was 45.

Participants were recruited from Greater Western Sydney in NSW, Australia from three adjoining regions with high cultural diversity: Western Sydney, Southwestern Sydney, and Nepean Blue Mountains. Up to 39% of residents in these regions were born overseas in non-English speaking countries (12).

2.3 Participants

Participants were eligible to take part if they were aged 18 or over and spoke one of the following as their main language at home: Arabic, Assyrian, Chinese (Mandarin or Cantonese), Croatian, Dari, Dinka, Hindi, Khmer, Samoan, Tongan, Spanish. Further details on participants are reported elsewhere (REF).

2.4 Recruitment

Participants were recruited through bilingual multicultural health staff and Health Care Interpreter Service staff. Further details on recruitment are reported elsewhere (13).

2.5 Measures

Demographic survey items included age, gender, education, whether born in Australia, years living in Australia, main language spoken at home, English language proficiency, reading proficiency in language spoken at home, access to the internet, smartphones, chronic disease status, and a single-item health literacy screener (14). The socioeconomic status of the area of residence for each individual was defined based on the SEIFA Index of Relative Socioeconomic Advantage and Disadvantage (IRSAD (15)). IRSAD aligns the statistical local area with a decile ranking (1–10), with lower scores indicating greater socioeconomic disadvantage. The IRSAD decile was not available for some participants (n=5), for example, because they had entered digits that did not correspond to a valid Australian postcode. IRSAD decile for these participants was replaced with the median IRSAD decile for speakers of the same language in the sample. For the analysis, IRSAD deciles were recoded into quintiles, and dichotomised (lowest quintile vs other).

Positive impacts of COVID–19 was assessed with a single-item, “In your life, have there been any positive effects from the COVID–19 pandemic?”. Participants answered yes or no and could then provide free text feedback.

2.6 Quantitative analysis

Quantitative data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 26. Descriptive statistics were generated for demographic characteristics of the analysed sample. Within each language group, frequencies were weighted to reflect population (census data) gender and age group distributions (18–29 years, 30–49 years, 50–69 years, ≥70 years). A single participant indicated their gender as ‘other’ and was unable to be included in weighted analyses. Total recruitment for the Spanish language group were low (<50), with notable gaps for some age groups. For this reason, results for this language group are not presented in the analysis but are included in total frequencies. For the single item “positives”, descriptive statistics were generated by age, gender, and health literacy, IRSAD and comorbidities. Chi-square tests were conducted to test for between-group differences in

categorical variables. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Descriptive statistics were also generated for “positives” by language group and free text responses were analysed via Content Analysis.

2.7 Content Analysis

Free-text responses to the item about positive impacts were analysed using Content Analysis (16), a widely used analysis method which combines qualitative and quantitative methods to analyse text data, allowing the content and frequency of categories to be reported. One member of the research team (KP) first read through all the valid free-text responses (n=144) and developed the initial coding framework, based on a previously reported framework developed by SC (2), which was reviewed by the research team. 30 responses (~20%) were double coded independently by two members of the research team (OM and RK). Level of agreement was tested using Cohen's kappa (18) and indicated substantial agreement ($\kappa = 0.78$). OM and RK then independently coded the remaining responses. The frequency of each code and main themes are reported.

Results

Sample characteristics

We had a total of 708 respondents. Sample characteristics are summarised in Table 1. The mean age was 45.4 years (standard error [SE] 0.78; range 18–91 years), and 51% of respondents were female (n=363). Most participants (88%, n=622) were born in a country other than Australia; 31% reported that they did not speak English well or at all (n=220); 70% had no tertiary qualifications (n=497). Inadequate health literacy was identified for 41% of the sample (n=290).

204 **Table 1.** Descriptive statistics of the total sample and those who reported positives.

	Total number of sample	Total number reported positives with significance	
Variable	N (%)	n (%)	P value [#]
Age group			0.004
18-29	147 (20.7)	28 (19.3)	
30-49	295 (41.8)	90 (30.5)	
50-69	193 (27.3)	34 (17.7)	
>70	72 (10.2)	9 (12.5)	
Gender*			0.013
Male	344 (48.6)	61 (17.9)	
Female	363 (51.4)	100 (27.5)	
Language			0.003
Arabic	80 (11.3)	161 (22.8)	
Assyrian	133 (18.8)	25 (31.7)	
Chinese	76 (17.1)	25 (18.6)	
Croatian	121 (6.2)	11 (8.6)	
Dari	44 (8.9)	11 (24.4)	
Dinka	63 (5.9)	17 (26.8)	
Hindi	42 (8.9)	18 (42.0)	
Khmer	63 (10.7)	7 (10.5)	
Spanish**	43 (5.9)	25 (33.1)	
Samoan/Tongan	42 (6.1)	12 (28.3)	
English language proficiency (How well do you speak English?)			0.003
Very well/ well	487 (68.9)	127 (26.2)	
Not well/not at all	220 (31.1)	34 (15.4)	
Literacy in a language other than English (How well do you read in your main language?)			0.774
Very well/ well	589 (83.4)	136 (23.1)	
Not well/not at all	118 (16.6)	25 (21.6)	
Health literacy***			0.014
Adequate	417 (58.9)	110 (26.5)	
Inadequate	290 (41.1)	51 (17.6)	
Education			<0.001
Bachelor degree or above	210 (29.7)	77 (10.9)	
Below bachelor degree	497 (70.3)	84 (11.9)	
Years living in Australia			0.778
5 years or less	120 (16.9)	31 (26.1)	
6 to 10 years	104 (14.7)	20 (19.3)	
More than 10 years	398 (56.4)	92 (23.2)	
Born in Australia	85 (12.0)	18 (20.7)	
IRSAD quintile			0.908
Lowest	224 (31.7)	52 (23.1)	
Not lowest	383 (68.3)	109 (22.7)	
Self-reported chronic health conditions[^]			0.280
0	421 (59.6)	106 (25.3)	
1	154 (21.8)	31 (20.2)	
2 or more	132 (18.6)	24 (18.2)	
Children less than 18 years			<0.001

Yes	262 (37.1)	82 (31.4)	
No	445 (62.9)	79 (11.2)	
Change in employment			0.316
Yes	273 (38.6)	69 (25.3)	
No	434 (61.4)	92 (13.1)	
Total	707	161	

Frequencies are weighted (using post-stratification weighting) to reflect each language group's gender and age group distribution (18-29 years, 30-49 years, 50-69 years, ≥70 years) based on 2016 census data for Western Sydney, South Western Sydney, and Nepean Blue Mountains' combined populations (17).

* 1 respondent indicated 'other/prefer not to say'

** Spanish/Tongan numbers were too small and not included in analysis by language group.

*** Based on the Single Item Literacy Screener (SILS) (10).

[^] Self-reported chronic health conditions included respiratory disease, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, high blood pressure, cancer, heart disease, stroke, diabetes, depression, or anxiety.

[#] P value indicates the significance between groups based on chi-square tests.

Positive impacts

Across the entire sample, only 23% of people reported that there had been any positive impacts of COVID-19 (n=161). Number of people reporting positives by group is summarised in Table 1. Reporting of positive impacts ranged from 12% (n=9) for people aged seventy years or older to 30% (n=90) for the 30-49-year age group. There were significant differences across language groups (p<0.001), the range was between 9% (n=11) for Croatian speakers, to 42% (n=18) for Hindi speakers. There were significant differences across genders; 18% (n=61) of men reported positive impacts compared to 27.5% (n=100) of women (p=0.004), and 18% (n=51) of people with inadequate health literacy reported positive impacts compared to 26% (n=110) with adequate health literacy (p=0.014). There was no significant difference among participants who did or did not report chronic health conditions when finding positives; 25% (n=106) of people with no self-reported chronic health conditions reported positives compared to 20% (n=31) with one and 18% (n=24) with two or more self-reported chronic health conditions (p=0.280). There was no significant difference between 23% (n=52) of participants in the lowest IRSAD quintile reported positives compared to 23% (n=109) not in the lowest. The proportion of people reporting positives was significantly higher for people with children less than 18 years (31%, n=82) compared to those without (11%, n=79; p=0.001). There was no significant difference for those who reported positives between those who had experienced a change in employment status and those who had not (p=0.316).

Content Analysis

Of the 161 participants who identified positive effects of COVID-19, 144 provided a written response detailing their positive experience(s).

The three most reported themes were as follows (Table 2):

1. 'Family time' (44.4%, n=64), in which participants described the positive effects of being able to spend more time with their family (either online or within their home) and a feeling of greater appreciation for their loved ones.
2. 'Developed good habits and improved self-care' (31.3%, n=45) with participants explaining that they had more time to give to their own wellbeing.
3. 'Greater connection with others' (17.4%, n=25) with participants highlighting the time together during the pandemic had enabled a deeper connection with others in their community.

Other major themes in which more than 10% of participants identified positive effects included the following: (4) Staying at home; (5) Financial benefit, and (6) Work flexibility.

Table 2. Themes identified in free-text responses to question 'In your life, have you had any positive effects from the COVID-19 pandemic' with example quote, shown in decreasing order of frequency, of those who reported a positive

Theme*	Example quote	N	%
More time with family	<i>"It made family members spend more time together" "More quality family time especially when we had a semi lockdown"</i>	64	44.4
Developed good habits and improved self-care	<i>"Pay more attention to developing good habits of personal hygiene, developing a healthy lifestyle" "...doing exercise and taking care of the diet"</i>	45	31.3
Greater connection with others	<i>"We're closer as a family, with co-workers and with the community" "I now cherish friendships and family relationships even more than I used to"</i>	25	17.4
Staying at home	<i>"Am a homebody so enjoyed not having to go out"</i>	19	13.2
Financial benefit	<i>"I was able to spend less money going out and socialising"</i>	11	7.6
Work flexibility	<i>"Working from home for some days"</i>	11	7.6
Increased time for hobbies and leisure	<i>"Learning to grow vegetables and flowers, learning to cook"</i>	8	5.6
Gained perspective	<i>"To value things, not allowing time to past not doing anything, reflect on what is important and what is not, be grateful for what I have and that I am not in need"</i>	8	5.6
Less time commuting	<i>"Working remotely saves on the time to be spent on the commute"</i>	4	2.8
Mental health improvement	<i>"Pay more attention to physical and mental health issues, increase the amount of exercise outdoor, pay more attention to people who need assistance, decline unnecessary social events, the betterment of one's inner-self / spirituality."</i>	2	0.1

*Categories are not mutually exclusive

257

258 Discussion

259

260 The findings of this paper illustrate the experiences of people from culturally and linguistically
261 diverse communities living in greater Sydney, in which only 23% identified positive impacts
262 stemming from the COVID-19 pandemic. Nevertheless, although fewer participants in the current
263 study acknowledged positives compared to our previous research with a general Australian
264 population in June 2020, the responses differed by frequency, but not by kind. There are strong
265 similarities between the predominant themes of this study, contrasted to our previous work, in
266 which the top themes were, 'family time', 'work flexibility' and 'calmer life'. It is notable that, in the
267 current study, 44% of participants who identified a positive noted 'Family time' (2). This makes sense
268 in the context of a crisis, when people become increasingly reliant on their family and community for
269 support, and especially when under stay-at-home orders which necessitates spending more time
270 with household members (17). It is plausible that the current study sample found fewer positives,
271 including of spending time with family, due to the likelihood of those in culturally and linguistically
272 diverse communities having family ties overseas.

273

274 Our current research, juxtaposed with our previous study, provides an interesting lens into the
275 experiences of those in culturally and linguistically diverse communities who reported far fewer
276 positives—23% in the current study compared to 70% in our previous research(2). It adds to a
277 growing body of evidence which suggests that positive effects of COVID-19 have not been
278 experienced equally. Our previous research highlighted that while some groups experienced
279 positives stemming from the COVID-19 restrictions, particularly those living with others and working
280 from home for pay, others did not and in fact were much more likely to experience adverse events
281 such as becoming unemployed (18). Additionally, research has expounded the issue that people of
282 culturally and linguistically diverse groups, as well as women, the unemployed and those of poorer
283 physical health are more likely to experience mental health issues during COVID-19 (19).

284

285 Although this research reports on the positives experienced by culturally and linguistically diverse
286 participants, it is imperative to acknowledge that does not suggest the absence of negative effects. It
287 is apparent that for many people in culturally and linguistically diverse communities, there have
288 been many challenges attributable to the disruption of the pandemic which are highlighted in our
289 parallel research. We found broad psychological, financial, and social impacts of the pandemic
290 including significant numbers of respondents experiencing anxiety and worry, financial stress, and

negative impacts on relationships; 25% of participants reported feeling nervous or stressed most or all of the time over the past week, 22% of participants reported feeling alone or lonely most or all of the time, 25% reported negative impacts on their relationships and 39% reported a change in employment status due to pandemic restrictions (13).

Clearly, the needs of the residents of culturally and linguistically diverse communities in Western Sydney need to be carefully considered by those in Government to ensure that those who are at greater risk of pandemic-related disadvantage are supported. It is prudent to foster greater community engagement, mental health services and economic / structural supports for these communities, with a focus on the linguistic and cultural barriers communities may face in a system not specifically designed with them in mind.

Strengths and limitations

This study is novel in its use of both Content Analysis and quantitative analysis to determine if any positive outcomes are to be found in the experiences of a sample of culturally and linguistically diverse people resident in Sydney, New South Wales. It is the largest Australian survey which explores the impacts of COVID-19 for people who speak a language other than English at home.

However, it is important to consider that this investigation into “positives” experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic is dependent upon a single survey item administered during a specific and short time—March 21st to July 9th, 2021 when COVID-19 case numbers were low in Australia. Our previous research from June 2020 reports on a time in which most of Australia was leaving strict restrictions, but in our current study case numbers and restrictions were heading in the opposite direction; this may have been reflected in the much lower rate of positives found in this study amongst these communities.

It is unknown how the repercussions of lockdown, restrictions and higher risk of COVID-19 may have influenced culturally and linguistically diverse community members in terms of finding positives. It is possible that even fewer participants would have reported positives with continued lockdown, particularly as the communities which we surveyed faced tighter restrictions than the rest of Sydney as the weeks after the survey progressed, including curfews, limits on outdoor exercise (20) and the presence of the Australian Defence Force (21). We are unable to explore changes in impacts over time in this study. Therefore, this brief report should be considered a starting point for further

exploration of the themes identified related to positives and it should not be construed as a definitive source of evidence for the experiences of culturally and linguistically diverse residents in Western Sydney.

Conclusion

Few participants reported finding any positives because of the COVID–19 pandemic and associated changes to daily life when surveyed between March 21st to July 9th, 2021. This finding is in stark contrast to related research conducted earlier in the pandemic in which many more people experienced positives. The needs of people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds must be strongly considered in future crises responses to promote an equitable effect of any positives that may arise and importantly to negate any negative effects.

References

1. Biden EJ, Greenwood CJ, Macdonald JA, Spry EA, Letcher P, Hutchinson D, et al. Preparing for Future Adversities: Lessons From the COVID-19 Pandemic in Australia for Promoting Relational Resilience in Families. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 2021;12:1319.
2. Cornell S, Nickel B, Cvejic E, Bonner C, McCaffery KJ, Ayre J, et al. Positive outcomes associated with the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia* [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 16];n/a(n/a). Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/hpja.494>
3. Kleitman S, Fullerton DJ, Zhang LM, Blanchard MD, Lee J, Stankov L, et al. To comply or not comply? A latent profile analysis of behaviours and attitudes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLOS ONE*. 2021 Jul 29;16(7):e0255268.
4. Ayre J, Cvejic E, McCaffery K, Copp T, Cornell S, Dodd RH, et al. Contextualising COVID-19 prevention behaviour over time in Australia: Patterns and long-term predictors from April to July 2020 in an online social media sample. *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(6):1–16.
5. Williams L, Rollins L, Young D, Fleming L, Grealy M, Janssen X, et al. What have we learned about positive changes experienced during COVID-19 lockdown? Evidence of the social patterning of change. *PLOS ONE*. 2021 Jan 5;16(1):e0244873.
6. Evans S, Mikocka-Walus A, Klas A, Olive L, Sciberras E, Karantzas G, et al. From “It Has Stopped Our Lives” to “Spending More Time Together Has Strengthened Bonds”: The Varied Experiences of Australian Families During COVID-19. *Front Psychol*. 2020 Oct 20;11:588667.
7. Paremoer L, Nandi S, Serag H, Baum F. Covid-19 pandemic and the social determinants of health. *BMJ*. 2021 Jan 29;372:n129.
8. Shadmi E, Chen Y, Dourado I, Faran-Perach I, Furler J, Hangoma P, et al. Health equity and COVID-19: global perspectives. *International Journal for Equity in Health*. 2020 Jun 26;19(1):104.
9. Smith JA, Judd J. COVID-19: Vulnerability and the power of privilege in a pandemic. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia*. 2020;31(2):158–60.
10. COVID-19 in New South Wales (NSW) | covid19data.com.au [Internet]. COVID-19-data-aus. [cited 2021 Sep 1]. Available from: <https://www.covid19data.com.au/nsw>
11. New COVID-19 restrictions for Greater Sydney [Internet]. [cited 2021 Sep 1]. Available from: <https://www.nsw.gov.au/media-releases/new-covid-19-restrictions-for-greater-sydney-23-june-2021>
12. Data - Phidu [Internet]. Torrens University. [cited 2021 Sep 1]. Available from: <https://phidu.torrens.edu.au/>
13. Muscat DM, Ayre J, Mac O, Batcup C, Cvejic E, Pickles K, et al. Psychological, social and financial impact of COVID-19 on culturally and linguistically diverse communities: a cross-sectional Australian study [Internet]. 2021 Oct [cited 2021 Oct 21] p. 2021.10.19.21265230. Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.10.19.21265230v1>

- 374 14. Wallace LS, Rogers ES, Roskos SE, Holiday DB, Weiss BD. BRIEF REPORT: Screening Items to
375 Identify Patients with Limited Health Literacy Skills. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006 Aug;21(8):874–7.
- 376 15. Statistics c=AU; o=Commonwealth of A ou=Australian B of. Main Features - IRSAD [Internet].
377 c=AU; o=Commonwealth of Australia; ou=Australian Bureau of Statistics; 2018 [cited 2021 Sep
378 15]. Available from:
379 <https://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/by%20Subject/2033.0.55.001~2016~Main>
380 %20Features~IRSAD~20
- 381 16. Weber RP. Basic content analysis, 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA, US: Sage Publications, Inc; 1990.
382 96 p. (Basic content analysis, 2nd ed).
- 383 17. Jenkins M, Hoek J, Jenkin G, Gendall P, Stanley J, Beaglehole B, et al. Silver linings of the COVID-
384 19 lockdown in New Zealand. *PLOS ONE*. 2021 Apr 1;16(4):e0249678.
- 385 18. Wright L, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Are we all in this together? Longitudinal assessment of
386 cumulative adversities by socioeconomic position in the first 3 weeks of lockdown in the UK. *J*
387 *Epidemiol Community Health*. 2020 Sep 1;74(9):683–8.
- 388 19. Fancourt D, Steptoe A, Bu F. Trajectories of anxiety and depressive symptoms during enforced
389 isolation due to COVID-19 in England: a longitudinal observational study. *Lancet Psychiatry*.
390 2021 Feb;8(2):141–9.
- 391 20. Visontay E, Taylor J. Tougher Covid restrictions for western Sydney criticised for threatening
392 wellbeing of state’s poorest. *The Guardian* [Internet]. 2021 Aug 20 [cited 2021 Sep 27]; Available
393 from: [https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2021/aug/20/tougher-covid-restrictions-](https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2021/aug/20/tougher-covid-restrictions-for-western-sydney-criticised-for-threatening-wellbeing-of-states-poorest)
394 [for-western-sydney-criticised-for-threatening-wellbeing-of-states-poorest](https://www.theguardian.com/australia-news/2021/aug/20/tougher-covid-restrictions-for-western-sydney-criticised-for-threatening-wellbeing-of-states-poorest)
- 395 21. ADF presence in south and west Sydney “intimidating” to diverse communities [Internet]. ABC
396 Radio National. Australian Broadcasting Corporation; 2021 [cited 2021 Oct 19]. Available from:
397 [https://www.abc.net.au/radionational/programs/breakfast/adf-presence-south-west-sydney-](https://www.abc.net.au/radionational/programs/breakfast/adf-presence-south-west-sydney-intimidating-diverse-communities/13479524)
398 [intimidating-diverse-communities/13479524](https://www.abc.net.au/radionational/programs/breakfast/adf-presence-south-west-sydney-intimidating-diverse-communities/13479524)
- 399