

Psychological, social and financial impact of COVID-19 on culturally and linguistically diverse communities: a cross-sectional Australian study

Muscat DM^{*1}, Ayre J¹, Mac O¹, Batcup C¹, Cvejic E¹, Pickles K¹, Dolan H¹, Bonner C¹,
Mouwad D², Zachariah D², Turalic U³, Santalucia Y⁴, Chen T², Vasic G², McCaffery KJ¹,

¹The University of Sydney, Sydney Health Literacy Lab, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, New South Wales AUSTRALIA

²Western Sydney Local Health District, New South Wales AUSTRALIA

³Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District, New South Wales AUSTRALIA

⁴Southwestern Sydney Local Health District, New South Wales AUSTRALIA

*Corresponding author

Name: Danielle Marie Muscat

Email: danielle.muscat@sydney.edu.au

Postal Address: 127A Edward Ford Building, University of Sydney, 2006, NSW, Australia

Psychological, social and financial impact of COVID-19 on culturally and linguistically diverse communities: a cross-sectional Australian study

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to explore the psychological, social, and financial impacts of COVID-19 on culturally and linguistically diverse communities in Australia.

Design: Cross-sectional survey informed by the Framework for Culturally Competent Health Research conducted between March and July, 2021.

Setting: Participants were recruited from Greater Western Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

Participants: 708 community members who speak a language other than English at home participated (mean age: 45.4years [range 18–91]; 88% [n=622] born outside of Australia).

Outcome measures: Fifteen items regarding impacts of COVID-19, adapted from validated scales, previous surveys or co-designed in partnership with Multicultural Health and interpreter service staff. Logistic regression models (using post-stratification weighted frequencies) identified factors associated with psychological, social, and financial impacts. Surveys were available in English or translated (11 languages).

Results: Even prior to the COVID-19 outbreak in Sydney, 25% of the sample reported feeling nervous or stressed most/all of the time and 22% felt lonely or alone most/all of the time. One quarter of participants reported negative impacts on their spousal relationships as a result of COVID-19 and most parents reported that their children were less active (64%), had

more screen time (63%), and were finding school harder (45%). Mean financial burden was 2.9/5 (95%CI=2.8 to 2.9). Regression analyses consistently showed distinct impact patterns for different language groups and more negative outcomes for those with comorbidities.

Conclusion: Culturally and linguistically diverse communities experience significant psychological, social and financial impacts of COVID-19, with distinct impact patterns across language groups. A whole-of-government approach with policy and sustainable infrastructure is needed to co-design innovative, tailored and culturally-safe COVID-19 support packages.

Psychological, social and financial impact of COVID-19 on culturally and linguistically diverse communities: a cross-sectional Australian study

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This is the largest Australian survey exploring the impacts of COVID-19 among people who primarily speak a language other than English, enabled through recruitment methods that are inclusive and reduce barriers to participation (e.g. translated surveys, engagement of interpreters and multicultural health staff who are trusted in their communities, and use of multiple recruitment methods including through community events and networks).
- This study was co-designed by researchers and multicultural health service staff, in alignment with the Framework of Culturally Competent Health Research.
- To reduce survey length and burden on participants we purposefully selected a small number of items from validated measures or our previous research to explore psychological, social and financial impacts, or co-designed them specifically for this study.
- We used convenience sampling methods and self-report may have introduced recall and social desirability bias.
- We are unable to explore changes in impacts of COVID-19 over time.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has not impacted all populations equally. Ethnic minority groups in countries across the globe have been disproportionately affected, with higher rates of infection, greater risk of morbidity, higher critical care admissions and mortality, and poorer mental health and financial outcomes (1-6). Such differences reflect pre-existing health disparities and underlying social, economic and political inequalities; ethnic minority groups experience a higher prevalence of comorbidities associated with poor COVID-19 outcomes (e.g. cardiovascular conditions), greater social deprivation and differences in occupational and environmental risk (7-9). The additional burden of structural racism also impacts care seeking and quality of care (7).

While the data tells a clear story of cultural disadvantage in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom and several Nordic countries, there remains limited evidence of the impact of COVID-19 on culturally and linguistically diverse groups in Australia despite being one of the most culturally diverse nations worldwide. Nationally representative surveys exploring the financial, social and psychological impacts of the pandemic (see, for example, (10)) have not investigated culturally and linguistically diverse populations, and there remains a lack of disaggregated data related to COVID-19. A similar trend is observed worldwide (11). Research to date (both in Australia and internationally) has also been limited in its engagement and co-production with diverse communities. This has been exacerbated by online recruitment methods (e.g. via social media networks or market research companies) and English-language data collection, which tends to exclude those who speak a language other than English as their primary language.

The few studies which have been conducted have highlighted important impacts of the pandemic for our diverse communities (12, 13). In a study of 656 refugees and asylum seekers from Arabic, Farsi, Tamil or English-speaking backgrounds who had arrived in Australia within the last 10 years, approximately one in five participants reported experiencing employment loss or decline due to COVID-19, with prevalent stressors related to COVID-19 infection including worries about being infected (66.5%), of a loved one being infected (72.1%) or infecting others (47.7%) (14). Social stressors as a consequence of the pandemic were also common, including school closures (46.7%), reduced social activities (46.6%), and having to remain at home (41.3%), and these stressors predicted increased

depression symptoms and disability outcomes (14). However, the experiences of refugees and asylum seekers are unique and may not reflect the experience of migrants or those who speak a language other than English at home who have not been forced to flee their home country. Both perspectives are critically important and necessary to provide a complete picture of impacts of COVID-19 on culturally and linguistically diverse groups in Australia.

Our own Australian surveys (and others – see, (12, 13)) have shown some differences in financial and psychological impacts of COVID-19 those for who spoke a language other than English at home compared to those for whom English is their primary language. A survey of 4362 Australians conducted in April 2020, for example, showed that participants who spoke a language other than English at home rated the financial impact of COVID-19 as higher, were more likely to feel nervous or stressed as a result of the pandemic compared with those who primarily spoke English at home (15) and had greater anxiety. However, 75% of participants in this survey were born in Australia and only 274 (6%) reported that they did not speak English as their main language at home. As such, our previous findings are limited in their ability to inform appropriate and tailored support for Australian communities that are typically understudied and underserved, such as those from different cultural and language groups.

The aims of this study were to:

1. Explore the psychological, social, and financial impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on culturally and linguistically diverse communities in Greater Western Sydney in New South Wales (NSW), Australia.
2. Examine demographic factors associated with these impacts.

METHODS

Study design

This study involved a cross-sectional survey with 11 language groups, approved by Western Sydney Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee (Project number 2020/ETH03085)

Patient and public involvement

This study was co-designed by researchers, bilingual community members and Multicultural Health and Health Care Interpreter Service staff, and informed by the Framework for Culturally Competent Health Research (16).

Setting

The survey was conducted from 21 March to 9 July, 2021. During this period, rollout of the COVID-19 vaccines had begun across Australia, and daily cases in New South Wales (NSW) were very low by international standards, ranging from 0 – 46 positive cases from a population of approximately 8 million people (17). A ‘stay at home’ order across Greater Sydney due to rising cases began on June 23rd (18). On the day the survey closed the NSW daily case count was 45, and 24% of the population had received one COVID-19 vaccination (19).

Participants were recruited from Greater Western Sydney in New South Wales, Australia from three adjoining regions with high cultural diversity: Western Sydney, South Western Sydney, and Nepean Blue Mountains. Up to 39% of residents in these regions were born overseas in non-English speaking countries (20).

Participants

Participants were eligible to take part if they were aged 18 years or over and spoke one of the following as their main language at home: Arabic, Assyrian, Chinese, Croatian, Dari, Dinka, Hindi, Khmer, Samoan, Tongan, Spanish. Through iterative discussions with Multicultural Health and Health Care Interpreter Service staff in each participating Local Health District, we selected eleven language groups that would provide broad coverage across different global regions, and groups with varying average levels of English language proficiency (based on 2016 Australian census data; (21)), varying access to translated materials, and varying degrees of reading skill in their main language spoken at home.

Recruitment

Participants were recruited through bilingual Multicultural Health staff and Health Care Interpreter Service staff. Multicultural Health staff recruited participants through their

existing networks, community events and community champions. Health Care Interpreter Service staff recruited participants at the end of a medical appointment and via their community network. The survey was hosted online using the web-based survey platform Qualtrics. Potential participants were offered two means of taking part: completing the survey themselves online (available in English or translated), or with assistance from bilingual staff or an interpreter. To ensure consistency in the phrases used for assisted survey completion, translated versions of the survey were provided to all staff assisting with survey completion. Translations were completed by translators with National Accreditation Authority for Translators and Interpreters (NAATI) accreditation where possible.

Measures

Demographic survey items relevant to this study included age, gender, education, whether born in Australia, years living in Australia, main language spoken at home, self-reported English language proficiency, chronic disease status, and a single-item health literacy screener (22). The socioeconomic status of the area of residence for each individual was defined based on the SEIFA Index of Relative Socioeconomic Advantage and Disadvantage (IRSAD (23)). IRSAD aligns the statistical local area with a decile ranking (1–10), with lower scores indicating greater socioeconomic disadvantage. The IRSAD decile was not available for some participants (n=5), for example, because they had entered digits that did not correspond to a valid Australian postcode. IRSAD decile for these participants was replaced with the median IRSAD decile for speakers of the same language in the sample. For the analysis, IRSAD deciles were recoded into quintiles, and dichotomised (lowest quintile vs other).

Fifteen items regarding the impacts of COVID-19 were developed for this survey study. See Table 1. Items related to the psychological and financial impacts were adapted from validated scales (24) and/or our previous work (15). Questions regarding social impacts (including impacts on relationships and children) were co-designed in partnership with Multicultural Health and Health Care Interpreter Service staff. Items had fixed yes/no and Likert-type responses. Items were translated into 11 languages.

Table 1. Survey items, including response options

Item	Response options
Psychological impacts	
Over the past week, how often have you felt	Never / Some of the time / Most of the time / All of the time
- nervous or "stressed" because of COVID-19?	
- alone or lonely because of COVID-19?	
Social impacts	
Do you have a partner (e.g. wife, husband, or someone you are in a romantic or sexual relationship with)?	Yes / No
COVID-19 has changed my relationship with my partner	Very negative effects / Some negative effects / No effects / Some positive effects / Very positive effects
Do you have any children aged less than 18 years?	Yes / No
Since the pandemic started...	Strongly agree / Somewhat agree /
- I or another family member spends more time looking after my child/children	Neither agree nor disagree /
- My child/children are less physically active	Somewhat disagree / Strongly disagree
- My child/children are finding school harder	
- My child/children have more screen time	
- My child/children spend less time with their friends	
Financial impacts	
Has your employment status (work) changed because of COVID-19?	Yes / No
How did your employment status (work) change because of COVID-19?	Have a new job / Lost job / Stood down (not working for pay, but not fired) / Pay cut / Reduction in hours / Not working but still being paid / Other
I worry about the financial problems I will have in the future as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic	Not at all / A little bit / Somewhat / Quite a bit / Very much
I am able to meet my weekly expenses	Not at all / A little bit / Somewhat / Quite a bit / Very much

Analysis

Quantitative data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics Version 24. Descriptive statistics were generated for demographic characteristics of the analysed sample. Frequencies were weighted (using post-stratification weighting) to reflect each language group's gender and age group distribution (18-29 years, 30-49 years, 50-69 years, ≥ 70 years) based on 2016 census data for Western Sydney, South Western Sydney, and Nepean Blue Mountains' combined populations (21). All frequencies presented in the results section are weighted. A single participant indicated their gender as 'other' and was unable to be included in weighted analyses. Total recruitment for the Spanish language group was low (<50), with notable gaps for some age groups. For this reason, results for this language group are not presented in the statistical analyses, but are included in total frequencies.

Survey items about psychological, financial and relationship impacts were re-coded to reflect the categories presented in Tables 3 and 4, to facilitate a more meaningful interpretation of the results. A mean ‘perceived financial burden’ score was also calculated by averaging the two questions about financial impacts: a) worry about financial problems and b) ability to meet weekly expenses. Higher scores indicate greater perceived financial burden. Similarly, a mean score for the impact on children was calculated by averaging questions related to four impacts: physical activity, screen time, schooling and time with friends. Higher scores indicate more negative impacts on children.

Multivariable linear regression models were used to analyse perceived financial burden (averaged across two impacts) and impacts on children (averaged across four impacts). Binomial logistic regression models were used to analyse psychological impacts (feeling lonely or alone; feeling nervous or stressed) and impact on relationships. Age group, gender, chronic illness, education, health literacy, English-language proficiency, years lived in Australia, language group and IRSAD quintile were included in each model. Regression models predicting impacts on relationships also controlled for perceived public health threat of COVID-19, perceived financial burden and psychological variables; models predicting psychological impacts controlled for perceived public health threat of COVID-19 and perceived financial burden. All regression models also controlled for whether participants completed the survey before or after 23rd June, when restrictions were announced for all of Greater Sydney (18).

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

We had a total of 708 respondents (442 [62.4%] self-completed, 266 [37.6%] received assistance through an interpreter). Sample characteristics are summarised in Table 2. The mean age was 45.4 years (standard error [SE] 0.78; range 18–91 years), and 51% of respondents were female (n=363). Most participants (88%, n=622) were born in a country other than Australia; 31% reported that they did not speak English well or at all (n=220); 70% had no tertiary qualifications (n=497). Inadequate health literacy was identified for 59% of the sample (n=290).

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of analysed sample (N=708).

Variable	N	%
Age group		
18-29	147	20.7
30-49	295	41.8
50-69	193	27.3
>70	72	10.2
Gender*		
Male	344	48.6
Female	363	51.4
Language		
Assyrian	133	18.8
Croatian	121	6.2
Arabic	80	11.3
Chinese	76	10.7
Dinka	63	8.9
Khmer	63	8.9
Dari	44	6.2
Spanish**	43	6.1
Hindi	42	5.9
Samoan/Tongan	42	5.9
English language proficiency (How well do you speak English?)		
Very well/ well	487	68.9
Not well/not at all	220	31.1
Literacy in a language other than English (How well do you read in your main language?)		
Very well/ well	589	83.4
Not well/not at all	118	16.6
Health literacy***		
Adequate	417	58.9
Inadequate	290	41.1
Highest level of education		
Less than year 12(less than high school)	115	16.2
Year 12 (high school graduate)	133	18.9
Certificate level I to IV / Advanced diploma and diploma level	249	35.3
Bachelor degree level and above	210	29.7
Years living in Australia		
5 years or less	120	16.9
6 to 10 years	104	14.7
More than 10 years	398	56.4
Born in Australia	85	12.0
IRSAD quintile		
1 (Lowest)	224	31.7
2	140	19.8
3	125	17.7
4	140	19.8
5 (Highest)	87	12.3
Total	707	

NB: Frequencies are weighted (using post-stratification weighting) to reflect each language group's gender and age group distribution (18-29 years, 30-49 years, 50-69 years, ≥70 years) based on 2016 census data for Western Sydney, South Western Sydney, and Nepean Blue Mountains' combined populations (21).

* 1 respondent indicated 'other/prefer not to say'

** Spanish language group had substantial gaps in recruitment across age groups;

*** Based on the Single Item Literacy Screener (SILS) (22).

Psychological impacts

Overall, 25.3% of participants reported feeling nervous or stressed most or all of the time over the past week. The oldest age group (70 years or more) had the highest proportion of participants reporting feeling nervous or stressed most or all of the time (35.0%, n=25) while the youngest age group (30 years or below) had the lowest proportion (20.9%, n=31). A higher proportion of females reported increased nervousness or stress (29.0%; n=105) compared to males (21.3%; n=73). 30.7% (n=89) of participants with inadequate health literacy and 21.4% (n=89) of participants with adequate health literacy reported feeling nervous or stressed most or all of the time. In terms of language groups, this ranged from 6% (n=5) for Chinese speakers to 38% (n=24) for Dinka speakers. See Tables 3 and 4. In the multivariable regression model when sociodemographic factors were controlled for, female gender ($p=0.04$), having two or more chronic illnesses ($p<0.001$) and language group ($p<0.001$) remained significantly associated with increased nervousness or stress, as did higher perceived financial burden ($p<0.001$). See Supplementary Table 1.

Overall, 22.3% of participants reported feeling alone or lonely most or all of the time. The oldest age group (70 years or more) had the highest proportion of participants reporting feeling lonely or alone (45.5%, n=33) while those aged 30-49 years had the lowest proportion (17.6%; n=52). Similar proportions of males and females felt alone or lonely most or all of the time (21.8% and 22.8% respectively). 27.8% (n=81) of participants with inadequate health literacy reported feeling alone or lonely most or all of the time; this proportion was 18.5% for participants with adequate health literacy (n=77). In regards to language groups, the range was from 5.6% (n=2) for Hindi speakers to 51.2% (n=32) for Khmer speakers. See Tables 3 and 4. In the multivariable regression model, having two or more chronic illnesses ($p<0.001$) and university education ($p<0.001$) remained as significant correlates of feeling lonely or alone, with statistically significant differences also observed between language groups ($p<0.001$).

Social impacts

Of the 399 participants who responded to the question regarding impacts of COVID-19 on their relationship with their partner, one quarter (25.5%) reported negative effects; 62.9% said that the pandemic had no effect and 11.7% said that it had had positive effects. We observed significant differences in reporting of negative impacts on relationships across

language groups ($p < 0.001$) and across age groups such that those aged < 30 years had a significantly higher proportion of people reporting negative impacts compared to each other age group (30-49: $p < 0.001$; 50-69: $p < 0.001$; 70 and above: $p = 0.02$). Those in the most disadvantaged IRSAD quintile reported more negative impacts compared to those in higher quintiles ($p < 0.01$). We also observed significant differences in reporting of negative impacts on relationships based on financial burden ($p < 0.001$) and psychological variables (alone/lonely - $p < 0.001$; nervous/stressed - $p < 0.001$).

Of the two hundred and sixty-two participants who reported having children aged less than 18 years, 72.8% reported spending more time looking after their children as a result of the pandemic ($n = 191$). The majority agreed (somewhat or strongly) that COVID-19 has meant that their children spent less time with friends (68.5%), are less physically active (64.2%), and have more screen time (63.3%). Across the entire sample, 44.9% agreed that their children were finding school harder. Mean perceived negative impact on children was rated 3.5 (out of 5; 95% CI= 3.3 to 3.7). Reporting of negative impacts on children was significantly associated with the most disadvantaged IRSAD quintile ($p = 0.02$) and with chronic illness, with participants with one ($p = 0.01$) or two or more ($p < 0.001$) chronic illnesses significantly more likely to report negative impacts compared to those without chronic illness. Reporting of negative impacts on children also varied significantly across language groups ($p < 0.001$). See Supplementary Table 2.

Financial impacts

Overall, 38.6% of participants reported that their employment status has changed because of COVID-19. This was most commonly a reduction in hours of employment. See Figure 1. In total, 63.3% of participants reported somewhat or more worry about financial problems as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, and 53.7% reported that they were having difficulty meeting their financial expenses.

--- Figure 1 here ---

Mean perceived financial burden was 2.9 on a five-point scale (95% Confidence Interval [CI]=2.8 to 2.9). Perceived financial burden was similar across genders, health literacy categories and age groups with the exception of the oldest age group (70+ years) which had a lower mean financial burden score of 2.4 (95% CI= 2.3 to 2.6). In the multivariable

regression model, mean perceived financial burden was significantly lower for the oldest age group compared to the youngest after controlling for other sociodemographic factors ($p < 0.001$).

As well as differences by age, we also observed significant differences in mean perceived financial burden across language groups ($p < 0.001$) (Supplementary Table 3). People with one chronic illness ($p = 0.01$) or two or more ($p < 0.001$) reported significantly more financial burden compared to those without chronic illness.

Table 3. Psychological, social and financial impacts by gender, age group, health literacy, IRSAD quintile and number of comorbidities (n=707)*

	Gender		Age group				Health literacy		IRSAD quintile		Comorbidities**		
	Male	Female	<30	30-49	50-69	70+**	Inadequate	Adequate	Lowest	Not lowest	0	1	2 +
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Psychological impacts													
Nervous or stressed	73 (21.3)	105 (29.0)	31 (20.9)	65 (22.0)	58 (29.9)	25 (35.0)	89 (30.7)	89 (21.4)	61 (27.2)	118 (24.4)	85 (20.1)	46 (29.5)	48 (36.6)
Alone or lonely	75 (21.8)	83 (22.8)	30 (20.2)	52 (17.6)	44 (22.6)	33 (45.5)	81 (27.8)	77 (18.5)	44 (19.7)	114 (23.5)	73 (17.4)	37 (23.7)	48 (36.6)
Social impacts***													
Negative impact on relationship	49 (23.7)	53 (27.3)	23 (47.7)	39 (19.7)	32 (27.2)	8 (21.6)	49 (27.8)	53 (23.6)	14 (12.8)	88 (30.3)	54 (23.9)	23 (25.8)	24 (29.4)
More time looking after children	99 (77.2)	92 (68.6)	8 (64.8)	148 (74.1)	34 (70.2)	-	76 (75.7)	114 (71.0)	61 (72.8)	130 (72.8)	128 (69.9)	41 (84.9)	22 (71.4)
More screen time	85 (66.4)	81 (60.2)	6 (46.1)	131 (65.5)	28 (59.0)	-	65 (64.4)	101 (62.5)	51 (60.9)	115 (64.4)	109 (59.6)	37 (78.4)	19 (61.3)
Less physically active	92 (71.6)	76 (57.0)	5 (39.7)	139 (69.5)	23.5 (48.9)	-	60 (59.3)	108 (67.2)	50 (60.0)	118 (66.1)	118 (64.3)	30 (63.2)	20 (64.5)
Less time with friends	91 (71.1)	89 (66.2)	6 (51.0)	148 (73.7)	25 (52.4)	-	72 (71.6)	107 (66.7)	57 (68.0)	123 (68.8)	118 (64.3)	39 (82.6)	22 (72.2)
Finding school harder	61 (47.7)	56 (42.2)	4 (32.0)	91 (45.5)	22 (46.7)	-	45 (44.7)	72 (45.0)	36 (43.3)	81 (45.6)	79 (43.2)	28 (59.3)	10 (32.3)
Financial impacts													
Employment status changed	139 (40.5)	134 (36.8)	76 (51.8)	134 (45.5)	58 (30.0)	5 (6.3)	101 (35.7)	172 (41.3)	70 (31.4)	202 (41.9)	182 (43.1)	62 (39.9)	30 (22.2)
Worried about financial problems	128 (37.1)	161 (44.2)	65 (44.1)	135 (45.9)	76 (39.5)	12 (16.6)	104 (35.8)	184 (44.3)	288 (40.8)	201 (41.6)	166 (39.5)	73 (47.0)	49 (37.1)
Unable to meet weekly expenses	80 (23.2)	75 (20.8)	32 (21.9)	64 (21.8)	47 (24.6)	11 (15.7)	64 (21.9)	91 (22.0)	49 (21.7)	107 (22.1)	93 (22.0)	30 (19.4)	33 (24.8)
	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)
Mean negative impact on children[#]	3.6 (3.2, 4.0)	3.5 (3.3, 3.7)	2.9 (2.1, 3.8)	3.7 (3.5, 3.8)	3.2 (2.5, 3.9)	-	3.5 (3.1, 3.9)	3.6 (3.3, 3.8)	3.4 (3.0, 3.9)	3.6 (3.4, 3.8)	3.5 (3.2, 3.7)	3.8 (3.6, 4.0)	3.4 (2.7, 4.1)
Mean financial burden[†]	2.8 (2.7, 3.0)	2.9 (2.8, 3.0)	2.8 (2.6, 3.1)	2.9 (2.8, 3.0)	2.9 (2.8, 3.1)	2.4 (2.3, 2.6)	2.8 (2.7, 3.0)	2.9 (2.8, 3.0)	2.8 (2.7, 3.0)	2.9 (2.8, 3.0)	2.8 (2.7, 2.9)	3.0 (2.8, 3.2)	2.9 (2.7, 3.1)

1 respondent indicated 'other/prefer not to say' and is not included in weighted analyses presented in this table

*Health conditions assessed included respiratory disease, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, high blood pressure, cancer, heart disease, stroke, diabetes, depression and anxiety

**Total number of participants that responded to the question regarding the impacts of COVID-19 on their relationship with their partner = 399; Total number of participants reporting having children = 262. Impacts on children are not reported for age group 70+ due to small numbers

Composite score comprising impact on screen time, physical activity, time with friends and schooling. Scale range: 1-5. Higher scores indicate more negative impact.

Scale range: 1-5. Higher scores indicate greater perceived financial burden.

Table 4. Psychological, social and financial impacts, by language group (n=707)*

	Arabic	Assyria n	Chinese	Croatia n	Dari	Dinka	Hindi	Khmer	Samoan / Tongan	Spanish	All
Variable	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
<u>Psychological impacts</u>											
Nervous or stressed	14 (17.9)	22 (16.9)	5 (6.0)	40 (33.4)	14 (31.9)	24 (38.0)	6 (13.4)	36 (57.1)	12 (29.0)	5 (11.9)	179 (25.3)
Alone or lonely	19 (23.5)	13 (9.5)	5 (6.1)	50 (41.1)	8 (17.8)	15 (24.4)	2 (5.6)	32 (51.2)	8 (19.1)	6 (14.2)	158 (22.3)
<u>Social impacts**</u>											
Negative impact on relationship	3 (6.7)	2 (2.7)	8 (20.1)	40 (38.2)	10 (32.9)	6 (18.9)	5 (14.7)	8 (45.3)	0 (0.0)	5 (31.4)	102 (25.5)
More time looking after children	12 (69.8)	40 (80.4)	25 (87.0)	33 (93.8)	17 (83.7)	25 (66.3)	7 (36.7)	16 (90.2)	13 (72.6)	4 (20.9)	191 (72.8)
More screen time	13 (73.6)	30 (60.6)	23 (81.0)	34 (97.3)	8 (39.2)	24 (64.7)	9 (49.4)	10 (56.3)	14 (81.0)	1 (3.5)	166 (63.3)
Less physically active	15 (87.2)	22 (44.8)	25 (88.9)	32 (91.4)	6 (28.4)	24 (63.3)	11 (60.9)	15 (85.3)	13 (76.2)	5 (23.1)	168 (64.2)
Less time with friends	8 (49.4)	28 (55.5)	25 (87.0)	34 (97.3)	14 (66.9)	27 (72.9)	8 (44.9)	17 (100.0)	14 (79.8)	4 (20.9)	180 (68.6)
Finding school harder	12 (72.5)	21 (42.5)	9 (32.3)	22 (63.4)	3 (13.4)	18 (49.5)	4 (21.8)	17 (77.5)	14 (79.8)	-	118 (44.9)
<u>Financial impacts</u>											
Employment status changed	29 (36.1)	24 (18.2)	30 (39.8)	51 (41.9)	25 (56.7)	25 (40.3)	20 (48.0)	38 (59.7)	18 (42.8)	13 (29.7)	272.8 (38.6)
Worried about financial problems	19 (23.7)	39 (29.0)	14 (18.4)	57 (46.8)	26 (59.5)	39 (62.3)	17 (40.4)	45 (71.3)	23 (56.3)	9 (21.4)	288 (40.8)
Unable to meet weekly expenses	12 (14.8)	39 (28.4)	21 (27.3)	5 (3.9)	8 (18.7)	15 (24.5)	3 (7.4)	30 (47.7)	15 (36.9)	6 (14.9)	155 (21.9)
	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)	M (95% CI)
<u>Mean negative impact on children***</u>	3.6 (3.2, 4.0)	3.4 (3.1, 3.6)	4.1 (3.8, 4.3)	4.3 (4.1, 4.5)	2.9 (2.3, 3.4)	3.7 (3.3, 4.1)	3.1 (2.6, 3.5)	4.1 (3.9, 4.3)	4.1 (3.7, 4.5)	1.8 (0.7, 2.9)	3.5 (3.3, 3.7)
<u>Mean financial burden†</u>	2.7 (2.5, 3.0)	2.7 (2.6, 2.9)	2.6 (2.3, 2.9)	2.7 (2.6, 2.9)	3.4 (3.2, 3.6)	3.1 (2.8, 3.3)	2.8 (2.6, 3.0)	3.6 (3.4, 3.8)	3.0 (2.6, 3.5)	2.1 (1.7, 2.6)	2.9 (2.8, 2.9)

*1 respondent indicated 'other/prefer not to say' and is not included in weighted analyses presented in this table

**Total number of participants that responded to the question regarding the impacts of COVID-19 on their relationship with their partner = 399; Total number of participants reporting having children = 262.

***Composite score comprising impact on screen time, physical activity, time with friends and schooling. Scale range: 1-5. Higher scores indicate more negative impact

†Scale range: 1-5. Higher scores indicate greater perceived financial burden.

DISCUSSION

This is the largest Australian survey exploring the impacts of COVID-19 among people who primarily speak a language other than English. Even prior to the July 2021 COVID-19 outbreak in New South Wales, which has disproportionately impacted the communities and geographical areas included in this study, we observed broad negative psychological, social and financial impacts of the pandemic. Over one quarter of the sample reported feeling nervous or stressed most or all of the time, and twenty-two percent felt lonely or alone most or all of the time. Over half worried about financial problems and reported being somewhat or less able to meet their weekly expenses. One quarter of participants reported negative impacts on their spousal relationship and the majority of participants with children under 18 years reported that even out of lockdown their children spent less time with friends as a result of the pandemic (68.5%), were less physically active (64.2%) and had more screen time (63.3%). Regression analyses consistently showed distinct patterns of COVID-19 impacts for different language groups and more negative outcomes for those living with chronic illness and comorbidities.

The impacts of COVID-19 have been explored across a number of countries with different population groups. Direct comparisons are difficult on account of varying survey items, different data collection timepoints, and wide-ranging case numbers, morbidity and mortality from COVID-19 worldwide. However, psychological impacts found in this study are comparable to our national survey conducted in April 2020, at the outset of the pandemic when stay at home orders had been in place for 3 weeks. In this earlier study, we found that 26% of participants reported feeling nervous or stressed most or all of the time, and 27% percent felt lonely or alone most or all of the time (15). Nationally-representative data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics similarly showed that in June 2021, one in five (20%)

Australians experienced high or very high levels of psychological distress in the last four weeks, and 28% of people 18 years and over reported feeling nervous in that survey (10).

Previous work has also confirmed negative impacts of COVID on children's social connectedness and amount of screen time (25, 26). This is the first time to demonstrate these impacts among a large sample of people who speak a language other than English at home.

Implications

A multi-level, whole-of-government approach to address the impacts of COVID-19 for culturally and linguistically-diverse communities. This must necessarily involve a host of coherent multisectoral actions. Policy and sustainable infrastructure is needed to ensure the readiness of the system to map and meet evolving needs of a multicultural society and support meaningful engagement of communities to co-design innovative, tailored and culturally-safe support packages (27). Qualitative studies have highlighted a large number of community-driven initiatives and actions that have emerged as a response to COVID-19, as well as embodied and communal ways of coping (28). Using a strengths-based perspective, we must acknowledge the multiple capacities and resources of our culturally and linguistically diverse communities and provide properly-resourced opportunities to work directly with them to address unique challenges that they face. Timely, understandable and culturally-appropriate information about financial, social and mental health resources and services must be prioritised in line with Lancet Migration's call for responsible, transparent and migrant-inclusive public information strategies (29).

Strengths and limitations

This study was co-designed by researchers and multicultural health service staff, and enabled through recruitment methods that are inclusive and reduce barriers to participation, such as translated versions of the survey, engagement of interpreters and multicultural health staff

who are trusted in their communities, and use of multiple recruitment methods (including through community events and networks). This approach wholly aligns with the Framework of Culturally Competent Health Research (16). However, practical constraints limited the number of languages we could include, and restricted data collection to three regions in Greater Sydney only. We also used convenience sampling methods.

To reduce survey length and burden on participants we purposefully selected a small number of items from validated measures or our previous research to explore psychological, social and financial impacts, or co-designed them specifically for this study. Self-report may have introduced recall and social desirability bias.

Finally, the results of this study reflect a particular point in time when there were very low numbers of community-acquired cases of COVID-19 in Australia, and for the most part, no government-imposed restrictions on movement and activities in New South Wales. It is likely that psychological wellbeing outcomes and financial and social stress have worsened since the July 2021 outbreak and the imposition of stay-at-home orders, in line with previous research (25, 30). We are unable to explore changes in impacts over time in this study.

Conclusion

Culturally and linguistically diverse communities experience significant impacts of COVID-19, with distinct patterns of impacts for different language groups. We must work with communities to address unique challenges they face and tailor interventions and supports accordingly. As COVID-19 continues to disproportionately impact the most culturally and linguistically diverse communities in Sydney and worldwide, responses must too reflect the diversity of our communities through co-production and tailored support packages.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Change in employment

FUNDING STATEMENT

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors. Academic authors are funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council, Heart Foundation and Western Sydney Local Health District.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Muscat DM - Formal analysis, Investigation; Data Curation; Writing - Original Draft; **Ayre J** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation; Data Curation; Writing - Review & Editing; Project administration; **Mac O** - Formal analysis, Investigation; Data Curation; Writing - Review & Editing; Project administration; **Batcup C** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation; Writing - Review & Editing; Project administration; **Cvejic E** - Formal analysis, Writing - Review & Editing; **Pickles K**; Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing; **Dolan H** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing; **Bonner C** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Review & Editing; **Mouwad D** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation; Writing - Review & Editing; **Zachariah D** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation; Writing - Review & Editing; **Turalic U** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation; Writing - Review & Editing; **Santalucia Y** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation; Writing - Review & Editing; **Chen T** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation; Writing - Review & Editing; **Vasic G** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation; Writing - Review & Editing; **McCaffery KJ** - Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing - Review & Editing; Project administration.

DATA SHARING

Deidentified participant data are available from the first author (ORCID identifier: 0000-0001-6106-6298) upon reasonable request.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was approved by Western Sydney Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee (Project number 2020/ETH03085). All participants provided informed consent to participate. This manuscript does not contain any personal or medical information about an identifiable individual.

References

1. Office for National Statistics [ONS]. Coronavirus (COVID-19) related deaths by ethnic group, England and Wales: 2 March 2020 to 10 April 2020. United Kingdom 2020.
2. Sze S, Pan D, Nevill CR, Gray LJ, Martin CA, Nazareth J, et al. Ethnicity and clinical outcomes in COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;29-30:100630-.
3. Jaspal R, Lopes B. Discrimination and mental health outcomes in British Black and South Asian people during the COVID-19 outbreak in the UK. *Mental health, religion & culture*. 2021;24(1):80-96.
4. Lopez MH, Rainie L, Budiman A. Financial and health impacts of COVID-19 vary widely by race and ethnicity. Washington DC: Pew Research Center; 2020.
5. Proto E, Quintana-Domeque C. COVID-19 and mental health deterioration by ethnicity and gender in the UK. *PloS one*. 2021;16(1):e0244419-e.
6. Pan SW, Shen GC, Liu C, Hsi JH. Coronavirus stigmatization and psychological distress among Asians in the United States. *Ethnicity & health*. 2021;26(1):110-25.
7. Yaya S, Yeboah H, Charles CH, Otu A, Labonte R. Ethnic and racial disparities in COVID-19-related deaths: counting the trees, hiding the forest. *BMJ global health*. 2020;5(6):e002913.
8. Bambra C, Riordan R, Ford J, Matthews F. The COVID-19 pandemic and health inequalities. *Journal of epidemiology and community health (1979)*. 2020;74(11):964-8.
9. Kapilashrami A, Bhui K. Mental health and COVID-19: is the virus racist? *British journal of psychiatry*. 2020;217(2):405-7.
10. Australian Bureau of Statistics [ABS]. Household Impacts of COVID-19 Survey. Canberra, Australia; 2021.
11. Morales DR, Ali SN. COVID-19 and disparities affecting ethnic minorities. *The Lancet (British edition)*. 2021;397(10286):1684-5.
12. Rahman MA, Hoque N, Alif SM, Salehin M, Islam SMS, Banik B, et al. Factors associated with psychological distress, fear and coping strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia. *Globalization and health*. 2020;16(1):95.
13. Biddle N, Edwards B, Gray M, Sollis K. Hardship, distress, and resilience: The initial impacts of COVID-19 in Australia. Australian National University 2020.

14. Liddell BJ, O'Donnell M, Bryant RA, Murphy S, Byrow Y, Mau V, et al. The association between COVID-19 related stressors and mental health in refugees living in Australia. *European journal of psychotraumatology*. 2021;12(1):1947564-.
15. McCaffery KJ, Dodd RH, Cvejic E, Ayrek J, Batcup C, Isautier JM, et al. Health literacy and disparities in COVID-19-related knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviours in Australia. *Public Health Research & Practice*. 2020;30(4).
16. Woodland L, Blignault I, O'Callaghan C, Harris-Roxas B. A framework for preferred practices in conducting culturally competent health research in a multicultural society. *Health research policy and systems*. 2021;19(1):24-.
17. covid19data.com.au. COVID-19 in Australia 2021 [Available from: <https://www.covid19data.com.au/>].
18. NSW Health. New COVID-19 restrictions for Greater Sydney 2021 [Available from: <https://www.nsw.gov.au/media-releases/new-covid-19-restrictions-for-greater-sydney-23-june-2021>].
19. Data F. COVID-19 in Australia Australia 2021 [Available from: covid19data.com.au.
20. Public Health Information Development Unit. Social Health Atlas of Australia: Data by Primary Health Network (incl. Population Health Areas) Adelaide: Torrens University Australia; 2019 [Available from: <http://phidu.torrens.edu.au/current/data/sha-aust/phn pha parts/phidu data phn pha parts aust.xlsx>].
21. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2016 Census of Population and Housing [Census TableBuilder] 2016 [
22. Wallace LS, Rogers ES, Roskos SE, Holiday DB, Weiss BD. BRIEF REPORT: Screening Items to Identify Patients with Limited Health Literacy Skills. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2006;21(8):874-7.
23. [ABS] ABoS. IRSAD Canberra, Australia ABS; 2018 [Available from: <https://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/by%20Subject/2033.0.55.001~2016~Main%20Features~IRSAD~20>].
24. Riva S, Maio MD, Efficace F, Frontini L, Gallo C, Giannarelli D, et al. Measuring financial toxicity of cancer in the Italian health care system: Initial results of the patient reported outcome for fighting financial toxicity of cancer project (proFFiT). *Annals of oncology*. 2019;30:v681.
25. [AIHW] AIoHaW. COVID-19 and the impact on young people Canberra, Australia AIHW; 2021 [Available from: <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/children-youth/covid-19-and-young-people>].

26. McArthur BA, Racine N, Browne D, McDonald S, Tough S, Madigan S. Recreational screen time before and during COVID-19 in school-aged children. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2021.
27. Wild A, Kunstler B, Goodwin D, Onyala S, Zhang L, Kufi M, et al. Communicating COVID-19 health information to culturally and linguistically diverse communities: insights from a participatory research collaboration. *Public Health Research & Practice*. 2021;31(1).
28. Couch J, Liddy N, McDougall J. ‘Our Voices Aren’t in Lockdown’—Refugee Young People, Challenges, and Innovation During COVID-19. *Journal of Applied Youth Studies*. 2021.
29. Leaving no one behind in the Covid-19 Pandemic: a call for urgent global action to include migrants in the Covid19 response [press release]. 2020.
30. Bonner C, Cvejic E, Ayre J, Isautier J, Semsarian C, Nickel B, et al. The Psychological Impact of Hypertension During COVID-19 Restrictions: Retrospective Case-Control Study. *JMIRx Med*. 2021;2(1):e25610-e.

