Title Page

Article Title:

Current therapeutic trends for tinnitus cure and control – a scoping review

Authors & Affiliations:

Vatsal A Chhaya^{1**},
 Divya G Patel²,
 Shamik P Mehta³,
 Jignesh P Rajvir⁴,
 Vinodkumar J Jhinjhuwadia⁵,
 Pranshuta Sehgal⁶,
 Kapil M Khambholja⁷

1. Sr. Executive, Medical Writing and RWE, Genpro Research Private Ltd., Vadodara, GJ, India
2. Junior Medical Writer & RWE analyst, Genpro Research Private Ltd., Vadodara, GJ, India
3. Consultant & practicing ENT Surgeon, Maple ENT and Laryngology Clinic, Ahmedabad, GJ, India
4. Consultant & practicing ENT surgeon, Gandhinagar, GJ, India
5. Practicing Family Physician, Rajkot, GJ, India
6. Senior Resident, Ram Manohar Lohia General Hospital, New Delhi, DL, India
7. Vice President, Medical and Scientific Writing, HEOR, & RWE, Genpro Research Inc, Waltham, MA, USA

** For email correspondence: Vatsal.chhaya@genproresearch.com

Abstract

Introduction:

Chronic tinnitus treatment has been an enigma due to a lack of practice insights. To date, there has been limited evidence-based research on tinnitus therapies available. Our objective for this scoping was to assess the latest knowledge update in the field of tinnitus treatment and/or management and inform the clinical fraternity with evidence-based knowledge.

Materials and Methods:

We retrieved randomized and non-randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and observational studies from 2014 to 2021 (starting from 1 Apr 2021) on chronic tinnitus patients within the context of evidence-based guidelines available on tinnitus therapies. We considered free, full-text, English language articles from PubMed, Cochrane, and Google using an AI-powered evidence synthesis tool named VOOBY. We excluded studies on epidemiology, technical objectives assessing other than efficacy/safety outcomes, or review articles. Charted data from selected sources of evidence (related to study characteristics, intervention, sample size, efficacy and safety data, and quality of life-related outcomes as applicable) were presented in the form of graphs, tables, and other visual representations.

Results:

We retrieved two evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (US and EU regions). Of total 3923 results, 119 underwent screening based on eligibility criteria and 52 were included for the final charting. Out of these 52 articles, three major treatment trends emerged: 1. Acoustic therapies 2. Stimulation Therapies and 3. Herbal, Complementary and Alternative Medicine and Nutrition Therapies. However, none of these were recommended to practice as per the latest EU guideline in 2019 due to no to limited strength of evidence. Other therapies included drugs, cognitive/habituation therapies, and digital interventions.

Conclusion:

Although tinnitus guidelines did not recommend stimulation therapies, tinnitus research is seen focusing on stimulation. Therefore, it is highly recommended to consider existing clinical practice guidelines and orient tinnitus research focus on digital interventions and multidisciplinary therapies over the standalone therapies for better outcomes.

Introduction

Tinnitus is an unsolved mystery in the field of otorhinolaryngology because of the unclear mechanisms. It is a clinical condition where patients perceive a ringing sound in their ears, impacting their quality of life. This “*auditory phantom phenomenon*”(1) is often characterized in the form of intermittent or persistent perception of sounds like hissing, chirping, roaring, or whistling. Based on its nature, major types of tinnitus are 1. Subjective 2. Objective 3. Somatic and 4. Neurological.(2) However, regardless of its clinical type, tinnitus can interfere in routine activities of patients and may deteriorate the quality of life. This can take form of anxiety, depression, irritability, sleep cycle disturbances, poor concentration, pain, and even suicidal ideations in extreme cases in tinnitus patients. Tinnitus may not only manifest as a symptom of underlying neurotological, infectious, or cardiovascular diseases but also could be the side-effect of oral drugs with a potential of ototoxicity. Although it is believed that it is caused mostly due to age-related hearing loss and loud noise exposure, in most of the cases, physical cause or mechanism for tinnitus occurrence remains unidentifiable. Due to this challenge associated with disease diagnosis, treatment pathway for tinnitus patients is also blurred with no evidence-based clinical practice. Although Tunkel DE et al.(3) and RFF Cima et al.(4) presented clinical practice guideline (CPG) for tinnitus management, it provided positive recommendation for limited interventions (cognitive behavioral therapy and sound therapy), implying the need of further research on other experimental therapies. These included antidepressants, anticonvulsants, anxiolytics, *Ginkgo biloba*, melatonin, zinc, or other dietary supplements, transcranial magnetic stimulation, and acupuncture. Following the US CPG in 2014, several systematic reviews(5–9) had been published on the Cochrane database with patients suffering from tinnitus as target population, but none of them provided overarching insights on existing therapeutic options for

tinnitus due to poor quality or unavailability of data from randomized controlled trials. A typical variant of SLR *aka* Scoping review is a novel and emerging method to inform research priorities to the stakeholders(10). It is a variant of a systematic review with a broader orientation unlike SLR focusing on a particular research problem. Although several scoping reviews(11–24) were conducted by several otorhinolaryngology researchers between 2014-2021, none of them provided holistic insights, indicating a scope of a comprehensive study. This motivated us to explore the latest evidence and to assess the scope of knowledge update with a broader approach for tinnitus treatment and/or management. Another purpose behind this scoping review was to provide an overarching overview of experimental tinnitus therapies to the clinical fraternity within the context of evidence-based CPGs available in public domain.

We developed our ScR to address following research question(s).

- 1. Does any updated evidence exist for treatment or management of Tinnitus? If yes, what are the key therapies studied in the same?***
- 2. Does current tinnitus research align with research and practice recommendations in existing clinical practice guidelines?***
- 3. What could be the future research trends within the context of existing CPGs for tinnitus treatment and/or management?***

Material and Methods

We followed Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) methodological guidance for Scoping Reviews(25) to prepare our report.

Protocol registration

The initial version of the protocol for this study was registered at the open science framework registry (OSF), registration DOI: *10.17605/OSF.IO/R8D39*. Based on several inputs from the global subject matter experts, we modified it to better comply with latest reporting guidance(26).

Eligibility Criteria and Information Sources

Peer-reviewed journal records(studies) matching with all of the following conditions were included: 1. Published in the *English* (due to no translator(s) available) on *humans* between 2014-2021. 2. Free, full-text available (due to no funding available). We considered Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Scoping Reviews mnemonic framework – *Population, Concept, Context (PCC)* - for the eligibility assessment of retrieved records (Figure 1). Accordingly, studies containing any information on efficacy and/or safety outcomes for therapy(ies) in tinnitus patients were deemed eligible for inclusion. We excluded studies focusing on diagnosis aspects, specific risk groups, epidemiology, or any technical objective focusing other than efficacy outcome(s). Eligible study designs for our ScR included systematic reviews, meta-analysis, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and observational studies. We disregarded review articles based on reliability issues. The rationale for selecting timeframe between 2014-2021(*Search Initiated on 1 Apr 2021*) for our ScR was to identify the tinnitus research occurred after the release of clinical practice guideline (CPG) by Tunkel et al.(2014)(3).

Search Strategy

VC and DP identified relevant key terms and developed detailed search strategy from a preliminary search. The final search strategy included two clinical literature databases (PubMed and Cochrane Library) and was comprised of both medical subject headings (MeSH) terms and/or text words related to tinnitus and various interventions studied for tinnitus treatment. Detailed search strategy for PubMed is provided in Table 1.

The PubMed-based records retrieval was performed using an AI-powered tool named VOODY (*Genpro Research Inc.*) (DP and VC). This was supplemented by a targeted search was performed from additional sources like Google, American Tinnitus Association, British Tinnitus Association, All Indian Institute of Speech and Hearing (AIISH), and Joanna Briggs Institute Evidence Synthesis to retrieve if any relevant information available. Also, an exclusive targeted search for tinnitus-related scoping review(s) was performed using the VOODY.

Selection of source(s) of evidence

Two independent reviewers (DP and PS) performed the screening and selection of retrieved records. Any discrepancy regarding screening and/or inclusion was resolved through consultation with the third reviewer (KK).

Data charting

The data charting instrument/template was prepared by referring to JBI Scoping Review Data Extraction Template and was piloted on random five articles (VC). Following necessary modifications post-pilot work, DP and PS performed the charting using a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. SM, JR, and KK cross-verified the charted data with the help of source records. Data discrepancies were resolved by mutual discussion and/or further adjudication from the third reviewer (VJ) if needed. The abstracted data items included study type, sample size, patient characteristics(age, gender, region, tinnitus type), interventions studied to treat tinnitus

patients(pharmacological, surgical, various stimulations, herbal or any other treatment options), study objective(primary and secondary if given), study outcome(s)(effect on tinnitus perception, severity, loudness, hearing loss, quality of life, adverse events), and conclusion remarks/recommendations from the study author(s) as applicable. Charted, verified data was then presented in form of tables and graphical visualizations where possible.

Results

Total 3923 records were retrieved based on the final search strategy. After removal of duplicates (n = 18) and exclusion based on pre-screening search filters (study type, time frame, species and full-text availability) (n = 3094), 811 records underwent first level of screening based on title/abstract and/or relevance with the study topic. Of these, 119 records qualified for eligibility screening. Based on various reasons (different study design, mismatch with research objective, different study population, or any other reason proving ineligibility of respective record), 67 records were excluded, yielding 52 records for inclusion in our review. Our targeted search with relevant key words (Tinnitus therapy guidelines, Tinnitus management white paper, Tinnitus cure, Evidence based consensus for tinnitus) revealed zero free-text articles from ASHA wire, AIISH websites due to paid access. However, two evidence-based clinical practice guidelines and a scoping review (retrieved via Google) were included in final review. A detailed description of the study selection process is shown in PRISMA-ScR flow diagram (Figure 2).

Fifty-two total included records comprised of 16 SLR/MAs (5–9,27–37)(30.18%), 34 RCTs (64.15%)(38–71), one non-randomized CT(72), and one observational study(73) (5.66%). (Figure 3). Three major treatment options from collected evidence included: 1. Electric/magnetic stimulation (28–32,34,36,41,47,50,52–54,57,61,64,65,67,68) 2. Herbal, Complementary & Alternative Medicine (CAM), and Nutritional therapy (8,19,37,39,40,42,49,59,60,63), and 3. Acoustic therapy (related to sound) (7,9,38,51,55,62,71–73) . Other interventions included behavioural, digital, and drug or medical gas related type (Figure 4). Notably, half of the total number of SLRs (50%, n = 8/16) also corresponded to stimulation-based interventions.

Two authors (DP and PS) performed data charting from the selected source of evidence focusing on following characteristics: participants, sample size, interventions, study origin, type of study, tinnitus measurement tool, and efficacy, safety and/or QoL outcomes.

Key Findings

Population

12 and 40 studies specified patients with hearing loss plus tinnitus and tinnitus only, respectively for their inclusion. The sample size for RCTs ranged from 12 patients to 374 patients. 20.58% of total RCTs (N = 7) mentioned sample size more than or equal to 100 patients. Similarly, sample size for SLR evidence widely ranged from 74 patients to 4165 patients. Majority of studies in present ScR were from developed countries(Germany, USA and UK) (N = 26).

Concept

Of total 24 experimental therapies reviewed in our ScR; no additional therapies were found to those considered in existing CPGs from the US and Europe.

Acoustic Therapies

It included notched music therapy, customized sound therapy, sound generators, acoustic coordinated reset neuromodulation. Notched music therapy studies presented equivocal evidence with both significant and non-significant results. Similarly, sound generator RCTs demonstrated significant effect; however, SLRs on sound generator (2018) and hearing aids (2014) did not provide any supporting, strong evidence except for small clinical significance from either. An observational study on acoustic coordinated reset neuromodulation also demonstrated clinically and statistically significant changes in TBF-12 and CGI-I7 scores.

Stimulation Therapies

It included cochlear implant, vagus nerve stimulation, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation and its parameters, non-invasive brain stimulation, transcranial random noise stimulation, and transcranial direct current stimulation. Only eight out of 18 studies (%) that

measured effect on tinnitus severity demonstrated significant effect of respective stimulation techniques. Remaining studies focused on operational aspects and not efficacy outcomes.

Herbal, CAM and Nutritional Therapies

It included Ginkgo Biloba extract EGb 761, antioxidants, acupuncture, zinc supplementations, and Vitamin B12. Three (including SLR also) out of four studies that studied acupuncture therapy showed no beneficial effect. However, Ginkgo Biloba studies showed significant reduction on respective tinnitus measurement scales after 3 months. Of three nutritional therapeutic option studied, only Vitamin B12 study reported significant results in patients with vitamin B12 deficiency.

The detailed characteristics charted from individual source of evidence is depicted in table 2 (Supplement file 1).

The comparative analysis between recommendations from European and US clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) did not result in any noticeable finding as shown in table 3.

Discussion

We performed a scoping review to broadly explore the treatment landscape for chronic tinnitus especially after the release of 2014 evidence-based tinnitus management guideline. Scoping review is an emerging research method to assess the evidence gaps and to obtain a bird eye view on any specific topic. Although several scoping reviews are available on tinnitus, they either focused on individual therapy or had different objective/population. Our preliminary literature search identified two guidelines from European and USA regions(3,4). However, the only scoping review exploring tinnitus therapy a whole did not include any of them. Also, Makar S et al. 2017(18) did not refer to any standard reporting checklists to ascertain the quality of reporting. Therefore, Our ScR is first in its kind with well-defined research objective (to explore tinnitus research landscape within the context of evidence-based guidelines in 2014 & 2019) and in concordance with PRISMA-ScR checklist 2020. Aligning with our research objective, we kept timeframe for our literature search from 2014-2021 starting from 1 Apr 2021. Being a scoping review with broad concepts regarding study designs, we included SLR and SLR-MA, RCTs, non-randomized CTs and observational studies. However, we did not consider case report and review articles due to their low scientific hierarchy. This being a non-funded project, we limited our search to free- full-text articles only. A scoping review by Makar et al. (18) described the interventions with intended cognitive effect on tinnitus, including counseling, tinnitus masking, TRT, CBT, relaxation, and attention diversion in tinnitus patients. Complementing the same, our study described all experimental therapies within the context of evidence-based guidelines for tinnitus from 2014 and 2019(3).

We included 55 studies (including two evidence-based guidelines for treatment and/or management of tinnitus and a scoping review). Our ScR did not include any additional therapy

than those mentioned in European guidelines, indicating the dearth of novel therapies for tinnitus in recent past. Surprisingly, there were limited studies from Asian region with no study from India except for one ScR. This demonstrated limited awareness and/or interest towards tinnitus research in low and middle-income countries like India. Collectively, none of therapies except for cognitive behavioural therapy was found with significant findings with strong recommendations, demonstrating a scope of evaluating methodological inconsistencies for the interventions other than CBT.

Compared with guideline by Tunkel 2014, there were six new therapy modalities found in European guidelines, reflecting the evolution in tinnitus research over the period of time. Contradictorily, we found majority studies focusing on interventions related to stimulation therapies and acoustic therapies despite no strong recommendations for such therapies in both evidence-based guidelines, pointing towards plateaued phase of tinnitus research.

Out of 12 therapy modalities considered in the European guideline, seven presented no recommendation owing to the lack of safety/efficacy/both data. This shows unmet research need and warrants well-designed studies for reforming current practice models.

Apart from evaluation of efficacy and safety of an intervention for tinnitus as a whole, we also found multitude of research objectives for same therapy. For example, Dong C and team evaluated low frequency rTMS whereas Schoisswohl S et al. and Sahlsten H et al. studied effect of change in technical parameters in rTMS and effect of navigation in RMS efficacy, respectively. Unlike systematic review, scoping review aims to broadly capture relevant information. Therefore, such bundle of studies with multiple research objective(s) for the same intervention was possible to add in our ScR.

However, we could not extract any actionable insights out of available studies assessing pharmacological, CAM or similar interventions for tinnitus. The possible reason as experienced by many tinnitus researchers could be the inherent disease complexity. Given the increasing use of artificial intelligence in proteomics and metabolomics for lead identification, we encourage tinnitus researchers to decipher complex tinnitus mechanisms and to explore new drugs with AI-induced target identification or AI-induced frequency modulation. Another important thing that we noticed was a huge evidence gap in terms of reporting secondary outcomes i.e., depression, anxiety and QoL. Only ten studies reported improvement/no improvement in QoL, rendering dearth on similar aspects for the rest of the interventions.

Lastly, although none of the guidelines provide in-depth information on use of digital means to deliver interventions for treating or managing the tinnitus, our ScR analyzed four studies using internet-based or mobile applications-based solutions for delivering CBT with promising outcomes, informing the future cost-effective tinnitus research especially post COVID 19 pandemic.

One of the major limitations that we acknowledge is the modification in the previous version of registered protocol to comply with standard methodological guidance for ScRs and to accommodate inputs from experts following pre-print upload on MedRxiv and OSF registration. (*Summary of Changes will be available upon request*). Secondly, we found no study focusing on multidisciplinary therapy approach for tinnitus treatment and/or management despite its benefit and proven results in the patients with tinnitus. Also, we could not assess a few technical nitty-gritties associated with several therapies like repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, multi-frequency matching and therapies involving frequency fundamentals because of absence of

acoustic technologist in our research team. Lastly, lack of translation facility did not allow us to capture non-English literature, which may be considered as a future scope of improvement.

Conclusion

Our scoping review is first in its kind in the domain of tinnitus research. It provided holistic insights about available therapies for tinnitus treatment and management since the release of evidence-based guidelines in the US and thereafter. Considering the evidence gap from low and middle-income countries, otorhinolaryngology professionals and acoustics professionals from countries like India are encouraged to undertake large scale burden of disease studies and interventional research on various therapies recommended in global CPGs. Given the lack of high-quality efficacy and safety data, it would be worthwhile to promote primary research with meticulous methods onto acoustic technologies and stimulation therapies especially those of lesser harm. Implementation research focusing on effectiveness of multidisciplinary approach is highly recommended over the assessment of therapeutic potential of individual therapies. Digital interventions for CBT delivery may provide newer insights in tinnitus treatment and management, however, requiring robust evidence for health system integration.

References

1. De Ridder D, Vanneste S, Weisz N, Londero A, Schlee W, Elgoyhen AB, et al. An integrative model of auditory phantom perception: tinnitus as a unified percept of interacting separable subnetworks. *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews*. 2014 Jul;44:16–32.
2. The 4 Different Types of Tinnitus | Audiology & Hearing Health [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 18]. Available from: <https://www.audiologyandhearing.com/blog/the-4-different-types-of-tinnitus/>
3. Tunkel DE, Bauer CA, Sun GH, Rosenfeld RM, Chandrasekhar SS, Eugene R. Cunningham J, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline: Tinnitus. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0194599814545325>. 2014 Oct 1;151(2):S1–40.
4. Cima RFF, Mazurek B, Haider H, Kikidis D, Lapira A, Noreña A, et al. A multidisciplinary European guideline for tinnitus: diagnostics, assessment, and treatment. *HNO* 2019 67:1. 2019 Mar 7;67(1):10–42.
5. Fuller T, Cima R, Langguth B, Mazurek B, Vlaeyen JWS, Hoare DJ. Cognitive behavioural therapy for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2020;(1).
6. Wegner I, Hall DA, Smit AL, McFerran D, Stegeman I. Betahistine for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2018;(12).
7. Sereda M, Xia J, El Refaie A, Hall DA, Hoare DJ. Sound therapy (using amplification devices and/or sound generators) for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2018;(12).
8. Person OC, Puga MES, da Silva EMK, Torloni MR. Zinc supplementation for tinnitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2016;(11).
9. Hoare DJ, Edmondson□Jones M, Sereda M, Akeroyd MA, Hall D. Amplification with hearing aids for patients with tinnitus and co□existing hearing loss. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* [Internet]. 2014;(1). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010151.pub2>
10. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien K, Colquhoun H, Kastner M, et al. A scoping review on the conduct and reporting of scoping reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2016 16:1. 2016 Feb 9;16(1):1–10.
11. Elarbed A, Fackrell K, Baguley DM, Hoare DJ. Tinnitus and stress in adults: a scoping review. *International journal of audiology*. 2021 Mar;60(3):171–82.
12. Duda V, Scully O, Baillargeon M-S, Hébert S. Does Tinnitus Fill in the Gap Using Electrophysiology? A Scoping Review. *Otolaryngologic clinics of North America*. 2020 Aug;53(4):563–82.
13. Sereda M, McFerran D, Axon E, Baguley DM, Hall DA, Potgieter I, et al. A process for prioritising systematic reviews in tinnitus. *International journal of audiology*. 2020 Aug;59(8):640–6.
14. Potgieter I, Fackrell K, Kennedy V, Crunkhorn R, Hoare DJ. Hyperacusis in children: a scoping review. *BMC pediatrics*. 2020 Jun;20(1):319.

15. Smith H, Fackrell K, Kennedy V, Barry J, Partridge L, Hoare DJ. A scoping review to catalogue tinnitus problems in children. *International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology*. 2019 Jul;122:141–51.
16. Potgieter I, MacDonald C, Partridge L, Cima R, Sheldrake J, Hoare DJ. Misophonia: A scoping review of research. *Journal of clinical psychology*. 2019 Jul;75(7):1203–18.
17. Tutaj L, Hoare DJ, Sereda M. Combined Amplification and Sound Generation for Tinnitus: A Scoping Review. *Ear and hearing*. 2018;39(3):412–22.
18. Makar SK, Mukundan G, Gore G. Treatment of Tinnitus: A Scoping Review. *The international tinnitus journal*. 2017 Dec;21(2):144–56.
19. Kim SH, Han SH, Song Y, Park CS, Song J-J. Arteriovenous malformation of the external ear: a clinical assessment with a scoping review of the literature. *Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology*. 2017;83(6):683–90.
20. Thompson DM, Hall DA, Walker D-M, Hoare DJ. Psychological Therapy for People with Tinnitus: A Scoping Review of Treatment Components. *Ear and hearing*. 2017;38(2):149–58.
21. Fackrell K, Potgieter I, Shekhawat GS, Baguley DM, Sereda M, Hoare DJ. Clinical Interventions for Hyperacusis in Adults: A Scoping Review to Assess the Current Position and Determine Priorities for Research. *BioMed research international*. 2017;2017:2723715.
22. Durai M, Searchfield G. Anxiety and depression, personality traits relevant to tinnitus: A scoping review. *International journal of audiology*. 2016 Nov;55(11):605–15.
23. Hoare DJ, Adjamian P, Sereda M. Electrical Stimulation of the Ear, Head, Cranial Nerve, or Cortex for the Treatment of Tinnitus: A Scoping Review. *Neural plasticity*. 2016;2016:5130503.
24. Shekhawat GS, Stinear CM, Searchfield GD. Modulation of Perception or Emotion? A Scoping Review of Tinnitus Neuromodulation Using Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation. *Neurorehabilitation and neural repair*. 2015 Oct;29(9):837–46.
25. Peters M, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Munn Z, Trico A, Khalil H. Chapter 11: Scoping Reviews. *JBIManual for Evidence Synthesis*. 2020;
26. AC T, E L, W Z, KK O, H C, D L, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2018 Oct 2;169(7):467–73.
27. Borges AL de F, Duarte PLES, Almeida RBS de, Ledesma ALL, Azevedo YJ de, Pereira LV, et al. Cochlear implant and tinnitus-a meta-analysis. *Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology*. 2021;87(3):353–65.
28. Stegeman I, Velde HM, Robe PAJT, Stokroos RJ, Smit AL. Tinnitus treatment by vagus nerve stimulation: A systematic review. *PloS one*. 2021;16(3):e0247221.
29. Yin L, Chen X, Lu X, An Y, Zhang T, Yan J. An updated meta-analysis: repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation for treating tinnitus. *The Journal of international medical research*. 2021;49(3):300060521999549.
30. Liang Z, Yang H, Cheng G, Huang L, Zhang T, Jia H. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on chronic tinnitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC psychiatry*. 2020;20(1):547.

31. Chen J-J, Zeng B-S, Wu C-N, Stubbs B, Carvalho AF, Brunoni AR, et al. Association of Central Noninvasive Brain Stimulation Interventions With Efficacy and Safety in Tinnitus Management: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA otolaryngology-- head & neck surgery*. 2020;146(9):801–9.
32. Dong C, Chen C, Wang T, Gao C, Wang Y, Guan X, et al. Low-Frequency Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for the Treatment of Chronic Tinnitus: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *BioMed research international*. 2020;2020:3141278.
33. Mehdi M, Riha C, Neff P, Dode A, Pryss R, Schlee W, et al. Smartphone Apps in the Context of Tinnitus: Systematic Review. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2020;20(6).
34. Schoiswohl S, Agrawal K, Simoes J, Neff P, Schlee W, Langguth B, et al. RTMS parameters in tinnitus trials: a systematic review. *Scientific reports*. 2019;9(1):12190.
35. Beukes EW, Manchaiah V, Baguley DM, Allen PM, Andersson G. Internet-based interventions for adults with hearing loss, tinnitus and vestibular disorders: a protocol for a systematic review. *Systematic reviews*. 2018;7(1):205.
36. Santos ADHM, Santos APS, Santos HS, Silva AC da. The use of tDCS as a therapeutic option for tinnitus: a systematic review. *Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology*. 2018;84(5):653–9.
37. He M, Li X, Liu Y, Zhong J, Jiang L, Liu Y, et al. Electroacupuncture for Tinnitus: A Systematic Review. *PloS one*. 2016;11(3):e0150600.
38. Therdphaothai J, Atipas S, Suvansit K, Prakairungthong S, Thongyai K, Limviriyakul S. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of Notched Music Therapy for Tinnitus Patients. *The journal of international advanced otology*. 2021;17(3):221–7.
39. Radunz CL, Okuyama CE, Branco-Barreiro FCA, Pereira RMS, Diniz SN. Clinical randomized trial study of hearing aids effectiveness in association with Ginkgo biloba extract (EGb 761) on tinnitus improvement. *Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology*. 2020;86(6):734–42.
40. Petridou AI, Zagora ET, Petridis P, Korres GS, Gazouli M, Xenelis I, et al. The Effect of Antioxidant Supplementation in Patients with Tinnitus and Normal Hearing or Hearing Loss: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo Controlled Trial. *Nutrients*. 2019;11(12).
41. Kreuzer PM, Poepl TB, Rupprecht R, Vielsmeier V, Lehner A, Langguth B, et al. Daily high-frequency transcranial random noise stimulation of bilateral temporal cortex in chronic tinnitus - a pilot study. *Scientific reports*. 2019;9(1):12274.
42. Cai W, Chen A-W, Ding L, Shen W-D. Thermal Effects of Acupuncture by the Infrared Thermography Test in Patients With Tinnitus. *Journal of acupuncture and meridian studies*. 2019;12(4):131–5.
43. Scherer RW, Erdman SA, Gold S, Formby C. Treatment fidelity in the Tinnitus Retraining Therapy Trial. *Trials*. 2020;21(1):670.
44. Hall DA, Ray J, Watson J, Sharman A, Hutchison J, Harris P, et al. A balanced randomised placebo controlled blinded phase IIa multi-centre study to investigate the efficacy and safety of AUT00063 versus placebo in subjective tinnitus: The QUIET-1 trial. *Hearing research*. 2019;377:153–66.

45. O'Brien DC, Robinson AD, Wang N, Diaz R. Transdermal lidocaine as treatment for chronic subjective tinnitus: A pilot study. *American journal of otolaryngology*. 2019;40(3):413–7.
46. Güntensperger D, Thüning C, Kleinjung T, Neff P, Meyer M. Investigating the Efficacy of an Individualized Alpha/Delta Neurofeedback Protocol in the Treatment of Chronic Tinnitus. *Neural plasticity*. 2019;2019:3540898.
47. Sahlsten H, Holm A, Rauhala E, Takala M, Löyttyniemi E, Karukivi M, et al. Neuronavigated Versus Non-navigated Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Chronic Tinnitus: A Randomized Study. *Trends in hearing*. 2019;23:2331216518822198.
48. Beukes EW, Andersson G, Allen PM, Manchaiah V, Baguley DM. Effectiveness of Guided Internet-Based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy vs Face-to-Face Clinical Care for Treatment of Tinnitus: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA otolaryngology-- head & neck surgery*. 2018;144(12):1126–33.
49. Procházková K, Šejna I, Skutil J, Hahn A. Ginkgo biloba extract EGb 761(®) versus pentoxifylline in chronic tinnitus: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *International journal of clinical pharmacy*. 2018;40(5):1335–41.
50. Yadollahpour A, Mayo M, Saki N, Rashidi S, Bayat A. A chronic protocol of bilateral transcranial direct current stimulation over auditory cortex for tinnitus treatment: Dataset from a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. *F1000Research*. 2018;7:733.
51. Mahboubi H, Haidar YM, Kiumehr S, Ziai K, Djalilian HR. Customized Versus Noncustomized Sound Therapy for Treatment of Tinnitus: A Randomized Crossover Clinical Trial. *The Annals of otology, rhinology, and laryngology*. 2017;126(10):681–7.
52. Tyler R, Cacace A, Stocking C, Tarver B, Engineer N, Martin J, et al. Vagus Nerve Stimulation Paired with Tones for the Treatment of Tinnitus: A Prospective Randomized Double-blind Controlled Pilot Study in Humans. *Scientific reports*. 2017;7(1):11960.
53. James GA, Thostenson JD, Brown G, Carter G, Hayes H, Tripathi SP, et al. Neural activity during attentional conflict predicts reduction in tinnitus perception following rTMS. *Brain stimulation*. 2017;10(5):934–43.
54. Theodoroff SM, Griest SE, Folmer RL. Transcranial magnetic stimulation for tinnitus: using the Tinnitus Functional Index to predict benefit in a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2017;18(1):64.
55. Tao Y, Chang X, Ye S, Chu G, Guan T, Wang J, et al. Multiple-Frequency Matching Treatment Strategy for Tinnitus. *The journal of international advanced otology*. 2017;13(2):221–5.
56. McKenna L, Marks EM, Hallsworth CA, Schaette R. Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy as a Treatment for Chronic Tinnitus: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics*. 2017;86(6):351–61.
57. Henin S, Fein D, Smouha E, Parra LC. The Effects of Compensatory Auditory Stimulation and High-Definition Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (HD-tDCS) on Tinnitus Perception - A Randomized Pilot Study. *PloS one*. 2016;11(11):e0166208.

58. Lim HW, Kim TS, Kang WS, Song C Il, Baek S, Chung JW. Effect of a 4-Week Treatment with Cilostazol in Patients with Chronic Tinnitus: A Randomized, Prospective, Placebo-controlled, Double-blind, Pilot Study. *The journal of international advanced otology*. 2016;12(2):170–6.
59. Doi MY, Tano SS, Schultz AR, Borges R, Marchiori LL de M. Effectiveness of acupuncture therapy as treatment for tinnitus: a randomized controlled trial. *Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology*. 2016;82(4):458–65.
60. Polanski JF, Soares AD, de Mendonça Cruz OL. Antioxidant therapy in the elderly with tinnitus. *Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology*. 2016;82(3):269–74.
61. Roland LT, Peelle JE, Kallogjeri D, Nicklaus J, Piccirillo JF. The effect of noninvasive brain stimulation on neural connectivity in Tinnitus: A randomized trial. *The Laryngoscope*. 2016;126(5):1201–6.
62. Stein A, Wunderlich R, Lau P, Engell A, Wollbrink A, Shaykevich A, et al. Clinical trial on tonal tinnitus with tailor-made notched music training. *BMC neurology*. 2016;16:38.
63. Singh C, Kawatra R, Gupta J, Awasthi V, Dungana H. Therapeutic role of Vitamin B12 in patients of chronic tinnitus: A pilot study. *Noise & health*. 2016;18(81):93–7.
64. Lehner A, Schecklmann M, Greenlee MW, Rupprecht R, Langguth B. Triple-site rTMS for the treatment of chronic tinnitus: a randomized controlled trial. *Scientific reports*. 2016;6:22302.
65. Kreuzer PM, Lehner A, Schlee W, Vielsmeier V, Schecklmann M, Poepl TB, et al. Combined rTMS treatment targeting the Anterior Cingulate and the Temporal Cortex for the Treatment of Chronic Tinnitus. *Scientific reports*. 2015;5:18028.
66. Krings JG, Wineland A, Kallogjeri D, Rodebaugh TL, Nicklaus J, Lenze EJ, et al. A novel treatment for tinnitus and tinnitus-related cognitive difficulties using computer-based cognitive training and D-cycloserine. *JAMA otolaryngology-- head & neck surgery*. 2015;141(1):18–26.
67. Mielczarek M, Olszewski J. Direct current stimulation of the ear in tinnitus treatment: a double-blind placebo-controlled study. *European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology*: official journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS): affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology - Head and Neck Surgery. 2014;271(6):1815–22.
68. Teismann H, Wollbrink A, Okamoto H, Schlaug G, Rudack C, Pantev C. Combining transcranial direct current stimulation and tailor-made notched music training to decrease tinnitus-related distress--a pilot study. *PloS one*. 2014;9(2):e89904.
69. Kim BH, Kim K, Nam HJ. A comparative study on the effects of systemic manual acupuncture, periauricular electroacupuncture, and digital electroacupuncture to treat tinnitus: A randomized, paralleled, open-labeled exploratory trial. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* [Internet]. 2017 Dec 31;17(1):85. Available from: <http://bmccomplementalternmed.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12906-017-1589-3>
70. Hong HY, Karadaghy O, Kallogjeri D, Brown FT, Yee B, Piccirillo JF, et al. Effect of Nitrous Oxide as a Treatment for Subjective, Idiopathic, Nonpulsatile Bothering Tinnitus. *JAMA Otolaryngology–Head & Neck Surgery* [Internet]. 2018 Sep 1;144(9):781. Available from: <http://archotol.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamaoto.2018.1278>

71. dos Santos GM, Bento RF, de Medeiros IRT, Oiticicica J, da Silva EC, Penteadó S. The Influence of Sound Generator Associated With Conventional Amplification for Tinnitus Control: Randomized Blind Clinical Trial. Trends in Hearing [Internet]. 2014 Oct 17;18:233121651454265. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2331216514542657>
72. Rocha AV, Mondelli MFCG. Sound generator associated with the counseling in the treatment of tinnitus: evaluation of the effectiveness. Brazilian journal of otorhinolaryngology. 2017;83(3):249–55.
73. Hauptmann C, Ströbel A, Williams M, Patel N, Wurzer H, von Stackelberg T, et al. Acoustic Coordinated Reset Neuromodulation in a Real Life Patient Population with Chronic Tonal Tinnitus. BioMed research international. 2015;2015:569052.

Figures and tables legends

Figure 1 Scoping Review Mnemonic (PCC) Framework

Figure 2 PRISMA-ScR flow diagram

Figure 3 Evidence-based tinnitus research trend between 2014-2021

Figure 4 Study distribution based on type of tinnitus interventions

Table 1 Sample Strategy for PubMed

Table 2 Characteristics for individual sources of evidence (data charting) (supplement file 1)

Table 3 Comparison between practice recommendations found in US and EU CPGs regarding various tinnitus therapies

Table 1 : Sample search strategy for PubMed

Search Strings	Search Filters
<p>((((((((((((((tinnitus[MeSH Terms])) OR (clicking tinnitus[MeSH Terms])) OR (induced tinnitus, noise[MeSH Terms])) OR (leudet s tinnitus[MeSH Terms])) OR (leudet tinnitus[MeSH Terms])) OR (leudet's tinnitus[MeSH Terms])) OR (disease, meniere's[MeSH Terms])) OR (hyperacusis[MeSH Terms])) OR (hearing loss[Text Word])) OR (subjective tinnitus[Text Word])) OR (objective tinnitus[Text Word])) OR (neurological tinnitus[Text Word])) OR (somatic tinnitus[Text Word])) OR (drug induced tinnitus[Text Word])) OR (surgery induced tinnitus[Text Word])) AND (((((((((((((((((((therap*)) OR (management)) OR (treatment)) OR (care)) OR (surgical)) OR (non-surgical)) OR (medical)) OR (pharmacological)) OR (non-pharmacological)) OR (herbal))) OR (auditory stimulation[MeSH Terms])) OR (magnetic stimulation, transcranial[MeSH Terms])) OR (vagus nerve stimulation[MeSH Terms])) OR (stimulation, deep brain[MeSH Terms])) OR (direct current stimulation[Text Word])) OR (surger*)) OR (outcome*)) OR (severity)) OR (scale)) OR (drug*)) OR (quality of life)) OR (HR-QoL)) OR (QoL)) OR (minimum masking level)) OR (MML))</p>	<p>Clinical Trial, Meta-Analysis, Observational Study, Randomized Controlled Trial, Review, Systematic Review, Humans, from 2014 - 2021</p>

Table 2 : Characteristics for individual sources of evidence (data charting)

Attached as a separate MS-Excel spreadsheet..

Table 3 : Comparison between practice recommendations found in US and EU CPGs regarding various tinnitus therapies

Treatment	Recommendations in European Guideline(s) for Tinnitus (2019)	Equivalent Recommendation(s) from US guideline for Tinnitus (2014)
Drug/Pharmacological	Weak recommendation against	Recommendation Against
Cochlear Implants	No recommendations for Tinnitus	Therapy not included
Hearing Aids	Weak Recommendation i.e., it may be used as an optional therapy in patients with tinnitus and hearing loss.	Recommendation (clinicians may follow this procedure for bothersome, persistent tinnitus but should remain alert for new information and patient preferences)
Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation	No recommendation – Only safety data available; no data on efficacy/effectiveness	Therapy not included
Vagus Nerve Stimulation	No recommendation - Only safety data available; no data on efficacy/effectiveness	Therapy not included
Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation	Recommendation Against – no consistent evidence on efficacy of r-TMS on tinnitus or its long-term safety	Recommendation Against
Acoustic Coordinated	No Recommendation – safety	Therapy not included

Treatment	Recommendations in European Guideline(s) for Tinnitus (2019)	Equivalent Recommendation(s) from US guideline for Tinnitus (2014)
Reset Neuromodulation	evidence available – but no high-level evidence on effectiveness	
Invasive Stimulation Techniques	No recommendation – lack of efficacy and safety data	Therapy not included
Cognitive Behavioural Therapy	Strong Recommendation	Strong Recommendation
Tinnitus Retraining Therapy	No Recommendation – evidence for safety available but not on effectiveness	Therapy not included
Sound Therapy	No recommendation – evidence for safety available but not for effectiveness	Option (Clinicians may be flexible to make practice decisions while considering alternatives and patient preferences)
Dietary and Alternative Therapies	Recommendation Against; No proven efficacy; may cause potential harm	Recommendation Against

Population

- Patients with Tinnitus (characterised according to American Tinnitus Association (ATA)'s clinical description)

Concept

- Treatment and Management therapies (clinical, non-clinical, pharmacological, non-pharmacological, herbal, miscellaneous)
- Outcomes (Safety, Efficacy, QoL etc.)

Context

- Chronic (persistent and bothersome tinnitus)
- Adults (18 years and above)
- From all over the world
- Therapies considered within the context of evidence based practice guidelines published in public domain

Evidence based Medicine Research Trend for Tinnitus - Yearwise (N = 52)

No. of studies per tinnitus intervention type (N = 52)

■ Electric/Magnetic Stimulation

■ Drug or Medical Gas

■ Acoustic

■ Herbal, CAM, and Nutritional

■ Digital

■ Interventions focusing on natural adaptation