Information, Involvement, Self-care and Support - The Needs of Caregivers of People with Stroke: A Grounded Theory Approach

Elton H. Lobo^{1*,2}, Anne Frølich^{2,3}, Mohamed Abdelrazek¹, Lene J. Rasmussen^{4,5}, John Grundy⁶, Patricia M. Livingston⁷, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam⁸ and Finn Kensing⁹

¹School of Information Technology, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia;

²Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark;

³Innovation and Research Centre for Multimorbidity, Slagelse Hospital, Region Zealand, Denmark;

⁴Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark;

⁵Center for Healthy Aging, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark;

⁶Faculty of Information Technology, Monash University, VIC, Australia;

⁷Faculty of Health, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia;

⁸Institute for Physical Activity and Nutrition (IPAN), Deakin University, Geelong, Australia;

⁹Department of Computer Science, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark;

***Corresponding author:**

Email: elobo@deakin.edu.au (EL)

1 Abstract

2 **Background:** Globally, stroke is a leading cause of death and disability, with most care
3 undertaken by caregivers who are generally family and friends without prior experience of
4 care. The lack of experience or unpreparedness results in feelings of uncertainty, burnout,
5 anxiety, burden, etc. Hence, it is necessary to identify the needs of caregivers to support them
6 in their caregiving journey better and improve the quality of care delivered.

7 **Methods:** The study employed a grounded theory methodology that utilizes information
8 gathered from literature reviews and social media to represent the needs and create a storyline
9 visually. The storyline is further refined and evaluated using an online survey of 72
10 participants recruited through online stroke caregiving communities.

11 **Results:** The study identified four core categories of needs, i.e., information, involvement,
12 self-care and support that need to be delivered at appropriate times to ensure the caregivers
13 feel prepared to assume their role of care and are supported throughout the care trajectory.

14 **Conclusions:** There is a need to create a caregiver-centered approach in stroke recovery to
15 ensure limited obstruction to care and reduced uncertainty in recovery. Moreover, through the
16 inclusion of caregivers in the recovery process, it may be possible to reduce the burden of
17 care to the caregiver and ensure the satisfaction of the healthcare system throughout recovery.

18
19 **Keywords:** Needs, Experience, Stroke, Caregiver, Information, Involvement, Self-Care,
20 Support

21

22

23

24

25 Introduction

26 Globally, there are over 13.7 million new incident cases of stroke each year, with more than
 27 116 million years of healthy life is lost due to stroke-related deaths and disabilities [1]. With
 28 the recent advancements in the medical field, the stroke mortality rate has decreased [2],
 29 resulting in more than 80 million people currently living after experiencing a stroke [1]. Of
 30 these survivors, up to 50% of individuals are chronically disabled [3], with family members
 31 and/or friends assuming the role of caregiver to provide care [4].

32 The role of caregiving is arguably the most important aspect of stroke recovery. However,
 33 caregiving is a complex concept and is dependent on the condition of the survivor (i.e., age,
 34 impairments and living situations), individual characteristics (i.e. personal beliefs, coping
 35 styles and social expectations) and the relationship between the survivor and the caregiver [5,
 36 6]. Moreover, since the occurrence of stroke is sudden [5], caregivers often have to adjust to
 37 the diagnosis [7], assume new roles and responsibilities [8] and face the challenge of
 38 becoming a caregiver with limited or no preparation [9]. This leads to a substantial increase
 39 in caregiver strain or burden, leaving the caregiver to feel abandoned and unsupported [10].

40 As a result, several researchers have attempted to explore the needs of stroke caregivers at
 41 different stages of stroke. For example, a systematic review by Luker, Murray [11]
 42 highlighted the need for stroke caregivers during in-patient rehabilitation. At the same time, a
 43 longitudinal study by Tsai, Yip [12] described the changing needs of stroke caregivers at
 44 different stages of the care trajectory.

45 Although numerous reviews are available that understand the caregiver's needs and
 46 experiences during recovery, an improved understanding is necessary to create effective
 47 interventions to support the caregiver during the transition into the caregiver role. Hence, this
 48 study utilizes a grounded theory methodology that combines data acquired from literature and

social media sources to identify needs specific to the caregiver and refine and evaluate these needs using an online-based survey to ensure comprehensiveness.

51

52 **Materials and Methods**

53 **Study Design**

The study was designed and conducted based on the grounded theory methodology. The grounded theory aims to generate a theory grounded within data [13]. This approach allowed for investigating a particular phenomenon in diverse environments to develop an explanatory theory [14].

58

59 **Data Collection**

The three-phase study was conducted from September 2020 to May 2021. Phase one and two were completed in English, while phase three was conducted in English and Danish. Data collected in Danish was translated into English by another author (AF). Five authors (EL, MA, FK, AF and SI) coded the data collected in English based on three essential coding schemes; initial, immediate, and advanced coding. To ensure anonymity and confidentiality, personal information related to the participant was excluded from the study.

66

67 **Phase 1: Literature Review**

The first phase involves systematically reviewing the literature to determine the needs and inform the type of caregiver groups to be involved in the study.

- 70 • **Selection Criteria:** Qualitative studies that included the needs, experiences, and perspectives of caregivers supporting people living with stroke at their home was eligible for inclusion. Articles were excluded if they (i) were not available in English,

(ii) were protocols or abstracts, (iii) solely reported quantitative data, and (iv) reported needs, experiences, and perspectives within the hospital. Studies were excluded if they did not acquire data directly from the caregiver. Furthermore, reference lists of included reviews were added to this study to ensure comprehensiveness.

Table 1. Search Terms

Disease	"cerebrovascular disorders" OR "basal ganglia cerebrovascular disease" OR "brain ischemia" OR "carotid artery diseases" OR "intracranial arterial diseases" OR "intracranial embolism and thrombosis" OR "intracranial haemorrhage*" OR "stroke" OR "brain infarction" OR "cerebrovascular accident" OR vasospasm OR "vertebral artery dissection"
Concept	"transitioning from hospital to home" OR "transition home" OR "discharged home" OR outpatient OR transition
Methodology	"Qualitative Research" OR "Cohort Studies" OR "Observational Study" OR "Focus Groups" OR semi-structured OR semistructured OR unstructured OR informal OR in-depth OR indepth OR face-to-face OR structure OR guide OR interview* OR discussion* OR question?aire*
Study Focus	"Patient centered" OR patient centred OR Patient-centered OR patient-centred OR "patient satisfaction" OR "consumer satisfaction" OR "caregiver focus" OR "carer focused" OR "caregiver centred" OR "caregiver centered" OR "caregiver satisfaction" OR "carer support" OR "carer centered" OR "carer centred" OR "carer satisfaction"

- **Data Sources and Searches:** A systematic search was conducted on five electronic databases: Medline, Embase, CINAHL, PsycINFO and Web of Science, from inception to September 2020 for keywords related to stroke caregiving needs, experiences and perspectives (**Table 1**). After removing duplicates, the primary author (EL) reviewed titles and abstracts and was supervised by another author (MA). The potentially relevant

articles were downloaded in full-text and independently reviewed by two authors (EL and FK). All authors discussed any discrepancies until a consensus was achieved.

- **Data Extraction and Representation:** User needs data was extracted from thirty-one accepted articles by the primary author and was subsequently reviewed by another author for accuracy. The data extracted were represented using a concept mapping approach to visually illustrate different concepts into meaningful connections [15].

Phase 2: Social Media Analysis

The data extracted from the first phase led to further data gathering. Phase two data collection was based on user posts on popular information-based social media platforms (i.e., Facebook and Twitter). As the data analysis proceeded, theoretical sampling was implemented to decide how to collect data based on emerging theories and categories.

- **Selection Criteria:** Social media posts were included if they (i) were available in English, (ii) were made publically available, (iii) included stroke caregiver discussions, and (iv) discussed stroke caregiver needs during care and recovery. The posts were excluded if they (i) included discussions from patients, clinicians, or community administrators, (ii) were not available publically, (iii) discussed promotions of products or services, and (iv) were not related to the needs of stroke caregivers.

- **Data Sources and Searches:** A systematic search was conducted on popular social media platforms (i.e., Facebook and Twitter) from inception to January 2021 to identify relevant stroke communities using keywords from popular internet searches from December 2010 to January 2021 (**Table 2**).

- **Data Extraction and Representation:** The primary author (EL) extracted user posts from identified stroke communities using a Python-based web-scraping tool. These posts were filtered by the primary author (EL) based on the selection criteria and were

reviewed by two author (AF and SI). The 1,017 accepted posts were analyzed with the data from the previous phase to develop the initial storyline.

Table 2. Keywords used in Social Media Searches

Keywords	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stroke Stroke Care Stroke Recovery Apoplexy Cerebrovascular Accident 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stroke Unit Traumatic Brain Injury Lacunar Infarct Stroke Medication Aphasia

Phase 3: Caregiver Survey

In phase three, theoretical sampling is utilized to refine the storyline to facilitate an improved theory integration process. This process would determine the suitable area for integrating the generated theories using an anonymous online survey.

- Ethics:** Prior to phase three data collection, the study recieved approval from the Deakin University Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC): 2020-225.
- Selection Criteria:** Caregivers of people with stroke receiving active or palliative treatment over the past five years. The caregivers were excluded is they were aged below 18 years and did not understand English or Danish language(s).
- Participant Recruitment and Study Setting:** Seventy-two caregivers were recruited (Table 3) through stroke health organizations, caregiver organizations and social media communities from March 2021 to May 2021 using a recruitment flyer. All participants were informed about the research and provided informed consent to participate in the anonymous online survey.

- **Data Representation:** The participants received survey questions based on the concept map and the storyline identified in the previous two phases to determine its relevance to the stroke caregiving needs. The online survey included questions related to the type of resources and support required, and aspects that are challenging to the caregiver in their daily activities.

Table 3. Participant Demographics

Caregiver		
Gender	Male	13
	Female	59
Age	18-27	2
	28-37	11
	38-47	8
	48-57	18
	≥58	33
Living Location	Metropolitan	36
	Smaller City	11
	Rural Area	25
Education	Primary School	1
	Secondary School	10
	Diploma	5
	Bachelor's	30
	Master's	14
	Doctoral	2
	Other	10
Relationship	Grandparent	2
	Parent	15
	Partner	50
	Child	5
Survivor		
Gender	Male	54
	Female	18
Age	18-27	1
	28-37	3
	38-47	2
	48-57	15
	≥58	51

Data Analysis

The data extracted in each phase was analyzed based on a constant comparative analysis involving three prominent coding processes, i.e., initial, intermediate and advanced coding. All data collected was organized and managed using NVivo 12. Based on the constant comparative method, the “core category” of the grounded theory is identified from different parts of the data, including emerging codes, properties, dimensions and categories as well as some parts of the data which are compared with the identified themes to identify variations, differences and similarities [16]. This means that the incoming data or new codes in each phase were compared with those from either the same or previous phase(s) to generate a theory.

The raw data is broken down into fragments and labeled to form new codes in the initial coding process. The process of labeling stems from conceptual actions or observable needs. The data from the initial coding is condensed to create a more focused coding. At this stage, the codes identified formed significant categories. The categories undergo constant comparative analysis until the advanced coding phase. In the advanced coding phase, the high-level codes look to address the theory, i.e., the needs of stroke caregivers during care and recovery.

Results

Findings highlight four critical needs identified by analyzing the data of individual caregivers’ experiences across the stroke disease trajectory. The four needs are information, involvement, support and self-care. **S1 File** presents the identified needs and describes individual requirements for individual needs.

Need 1: Information

Most caregivers emphasized the importance of information to reduce uncertainty and be better prepared in stroke caregiving. Due to the sudden onset of stroke, most caregivers find it challenging to understand its occurrence, leaving them shocked and helpless due to this experience.

“It was very hard to come to terms with what just happened.. there was no warning.”

“I don’t know how to get through these dark days.. I feel isolated and alone”

Due to the uncertainty followed by the onset of stroke and the inability to understand the cause of the disease, many caregivers often searched for an explanation to cope with the disease.

“Frankly speaking, I’m quite confused now, because it is a sudden thing...ya, of course, this is my first priority (to know more about the condition)...we have to learn a lot of things? I don’t know” [17]

Most caregivers relied on healthcare professionals such as physicians, nurses and therapists for information to help cope and prepare them to navigate through a ‘completely foreign world’ [18]. However, medical professionals primarily provided the stroke survivor with information, with little consideration to the caregiver. Moreover, some caregivers reported poor physician service attitudes during post-stroke discussions with the clinicians [19].

179

“I don’t think they told me anything, I was just left out in the cold ... I didn’t have a clue what was going on ...” [20]

“His attitude was somewhat arrogant. He won’t answer everything that we asked. I don’t know if it is because of our manner or what... I feel he did not tell us much information. We need to try on our own to understand it.” [19]

“I know as a family member or a patient we have the right to know about what the treatment is for and the medication for. You should give me an explanation. But he didn’t. Every time when I asked him, his attitude was always like this way...Then he answered me, “Are you the doctor or am I? You don’t trust me?!” [19]

180

181 Despite the limited information and communication with the healthcare professionals, a few
182 caregivers mentioned that the information provided to them by the healthcare professional
183 was established based on brochures and pamphlets [21, 22], which were not particularly
184 useful [17]. One caregiver mentioned, *“Honestly, a layperson might not be able to*
185 *understand those leaflets and they won’t read them! So I think the leaflets are not*
186 *worthwhile” [19]. The lack of information provided to the caregiver during recovery left the*
187 caregiver distressed.

188

“They [medical professionals] weren’t forthcoming with information of things to do...you feel like you don’t know what to do ...it felt pretty

helpless” [21]

“With the lack of information, I really don’t know what to expect.”

189

190 Most caregivers discussed the need to have sufficient information provided at the correct
191 times. For example, they requested information about the disease, cause, effects, signs and
192 symptoms, risk factors, prevention techniques, healthcare procedures, home care procedures,
193 treatment and recovery options, locally available services, medications, and aid/tools as
194 shown in **S1 File**. The caregivers preferred the information to be delivered clearly in ‘layman
195 terms’.

196

*“I was told by the hospital that they are removing him [stroke survivor]
from the high dependency ward to the general ward where beds were
available. I am not sure what this means. I need someone to explain to
me in layman’s terms what this means.”*

*“My wife and I care for my grandmother, and we have no healthcare
background, which has resulted in a very tough learning curve with the
information provided to us.”*

197

198 The caregivers also mentioned the importance of timing of information post-stroke, as the
199 information needs are said to change over time [23]. This is because caregivers found it easier
200 to recall information based on their current setting than provided at the time of stroke or
201 discharge [21]. Further, caregivers also discussed the need to have personalized information
202 based on individual needs based on personal experiences delivered in several different ways

based on their literacy and health literacy levels. Some examples discussed by the caregivers for the delivery of stroke information include (i) oral discussions and demonstrations by healthcare professionals and (ii) visual and written methods. Oral and verbal discussions were particularly valuable methods for information delivery. They allowed the caregivers to generate skills at the beginning to help support the person affected with stroke.

“My preference would be the first-hand experience. I mean to have the nurses, doctors, and medical staff actually sharing with me: ‘Your father has stroke, so the best thing that you can do now is to...’ Preferably, they can share some advice on things that I can carry out at home to look after my dad...” [17]

“these [care practices] are not difficult. They just teach me one time then I can do it” [19]

“They played a videotape for us and some papers...such as how to prevent a fall, how to care for a stroke person...but I still don’t understand after reading those papers and watching the videotape. I needed a real situation to increase my understanding” [19]

Besides oral and verbal discussions, caregivers preferred to receive visual and written information if someone explained it more comprehensively. Furthermore, visual and written information allowed the caregivers to access the information later should the need arise with no other form of support available [18].

*“They do have some brochures and pamphlets but those are not really—
I won’t say not up-to-date— but those just explain the stroke condition
very basically... What I reckon would be really helpful is to have
someone brief us and go through them with us.” [17]*

*“It would have been nice to have somebody sit down with me and say
this is what’s happened, this is why it’s happened, this is what you can
expect. Okay, so it is there in the leaflets but you just kind of flick
through the leaflets” [20]*

*“But the fact that she, instead of just handing me information, she sat
down and went through each point even though I was so tired and I’m
going to remember it so much more. When I need to, I’ll know in the
sheets that she gave me where to go to look for the information.” [23]*

215

216 **Need 2: Involvement**

217 Caregivers discussed the need to be actively involved in the care process, as it added to their
218 sense of preparation and confidence. Moreover, it would allow the caregiver to ask questions
219 leading to a good learning experience instead of providing the clinicians with progress
220 updates.

221

*“I think it’s good for the family to be involved and to know what’s going
on. I realize that when you are dealing with the public some of them*

don't know what you're talking about and some of them don't care. But I think that the majority of us want to know what's going on ... and if we don't understand it immediately, then we need to have it explained to us in some terms that we do. We all need to be involved in our own health care, and we need to be involved in whatever needs to happen for people for whom we're taking at least some responsibility for" [24]

222

223 Some caregivers expressed that they saw the caregiving role for their parents as an
224 opportunity to give back as retribution for love and care when they were a child. In contrast,
225 some caregivers did it out of an expression of loyalty, religious beliefs or lack of confidence
226 in the survivor to take care of themselves. Hence, leading to a significant emotional impact
227 on the caregiver's decision to provide care.

228

"I just thought that she had looked after me since I was a baby. When she can't help herself, I have to help her." [25]

"I feel that it's my duty. No one is free to take care of her, so I have to do it. If I get a job or become more stable than this, I might hire someone to take care of her. I am 28 years old now. I need to go to work. I feel that it's my duty to look after her so I do it. In my opinion, no one can do it better than me."

"I can't stop myself sometimes in letting her know when she makes the error. It is just to help her understand that she still does need help for things."

229

230 In addition to the emotional factors, caregivers felt that by being more engaged in care they
 231 would be able to get reassurances of their fears and worries through encouragement derived
 232 from feedback from medical professions during recovery [23]. Moreover, it would allow
 233 them to share more information with the medical professional regarding the pre-stroke life of
 234 the survivor required for them to make informed decisions based on the survivor's
 235 preferences for care.

236

*“By being involved in care I feel reassured. I can engage with providers
 discuss my fears and worries and share with them the needs of my loved
 one. This allows me to carry on in my daily activities and feel a part of
 the recovery.”*

237

238 Despite caregivers expressing their needs to be involved in care, most caregivers feel left out.
 239 Caregivers expressed their interactions with medical professionals as uninvolved or
 240 disconnected [9]. When approached by caregivers, medical professionals either did not
 241 provide enough information or expressed that they were “too busy”, which left them
 242 uncertain [9].

243

*“...but we do not get much information [about] his condition. There is
 no update. You see, I have to go and ask them. They say, ‘The doctor
 will come but don’t know what time.’ Do you understand?” [17]*

*“The communication between me and the hospital leaves a lot to be
 desired. Every day I wake up and I’m still in the twilight zone.”*

244

245 The uncertainty led to numerous concerns regarding the rehabilitation received by the
 246 survivor and the caregiver's own preparation to assume the full-time role as a caregiver. One
 247 caregiver mentioned
 248 *"Well one of the things that's uncertain is not knowing when she's coming home and also not*
 249 *knowing what level of care she'll need"* [9]. This led to the caregivers developing negative
 250 emotions.

251

*"...we're still very shocked... we're trying but we're not coping
 well...our family is going haywire..."*
*"I am scrambling to get a caregiver...I am so stressed...In fact, we are
 very worried..."*
*"I'm probably still coping, but I still get stressed up
 sometimes...because he's never been so sick...all of a sudden..."*
*"...at least he can eat...if he needs tube feeding, then we're in
 trouble...might need to send him to a nursing home."* [17]

252

253 Furthermore, the lack of communication with healthcare professionals has led to improper
 254 treatment for the survivor resulting in the caregiver being frustrated and affecting the
 255 survivor's progress during their recovery.

256

*"...the hospital never answered my questions about care package, they
 didn't tell the home that my mum requires one on one care - they also
 didn't tell us that she can get up and walk on her own. Her speech is
 very muddled, but some make sense. I asked the hospital three times*

over a two week period to provide me with details of the care package and they never got back to me. The home has called emergency doctor out, and she's said that my mum shouldn't have been brought to this home, as clear she needs further physio, speech and ot"

"I have found the lack of treatment pretty appalling ... I do feel quite angry ... she needs more treatment ... she could make far better progress if she were getting better treatment." [26]

257

258 The lack of preparation and communication was not the only reason for the caregivers'
259 involvement in care. One caregiver mentioned that the survivor would constantly 'push' them
260 away during recovery. While another caregiver mentioned that her husband was 'refusing
261 treatment'. The lack of motivation amongst the survivor causes friction with the caregiver
262 leading to depression and withdrawal from care.

263

"... he [survivor] has been going to speech therapy off and on ... He is stating that he does not want to go anymore. Typically, when he doesn't want to do something, he is pretty ornery and stubborn about it. Should I push the issue?"

"Sometimes getting along and sometimes arguing, arguing just because he doesn't want to do these exercises ...and he doesn't want to do it he just sits there and I say come on let's go let's get up let's do something...and I feel so frustrated sometimes and I get angry and that's what we argue about..." [27]

264

265 Regardless of these issues, caregivers have provided care to the survivor in planning, caring,
 266 managing, motivating, and goal-setting activities. These activities are critical in ensuring the
 267 survivor feels supported throughout recovery. Caregivers have employed a range of strategies
 268 in their efforts to care for the survivor. For example, some caregivers considered taking one
 269 day at a time and did not plan for the future. In contrast, other caregivers created ‘meaningful
 270 goals’ for the survivor to create ongoing reassurances of their recovery. Furthermore, the
 271 caregivers also set up medical and follow-up appointments and participated in physical
 272 therapy to add to their sense of preparation and confidence.

273

“I train her cognition and memory. I write some words or numbers and show it to her. Such as I write our four kids’ names on four cards then show her first. Later I pick one and ask her what’s the name on it and which child’s name....things like this. Those strategies pop out of my mind. I use those skills to train her cognition” [19]

“I often talked with her, very often.... I played with her the childhood games she taught us. And I sang her favorite songs to her..... One day I asked her: Mom, do you want some Zong Zi (a pyramid-shaped mass of glutinous rice wrapped in bamboo leaves)? She answered, “No”...And on July 4th, she could say my aunt’s name. Now she can sing her favorite songs with us...At first, she only can sing in her mouth, but now she has the voice. She cannot recognize us, but she can sing those songs!” [19]

“I faced him and put his arms on my shoulder; then I walked backward to guide him to walk. His left foot could not move, so I used my right foot to hook his left foot and pulled his leg forward. I did this during his way to the bathroom and back to his bed.” [19]

274

275 Caregivers in stroke also discussed methods to be considered to be more involved in care.

276 The primary consideration is for healthcare professionals to consider the caregiver as the

277 ‘central role’ in the survivors’ rehabilitation [28]. This can be achieved by creating a close

278 collaboration with clear communication between the caregivers, patients and healthcare

279 professionals. The preferred mode of communication amongst caregivers was face-to-face

280 communication to build a trusting relationship, which may be critical during the counseling

281 process [29]. For example, one caregiver mentioned, *“I need someone who gives me the time*

282 *I need and where I can also show emotions” [29].*

283 Other than efficiently communicating with the healthcare professional, the caregiver

284 preferred being a part of the decision-making process for the next step of rehabilitation and

285 receiving training from a healthcare professional to provide improved care. The inclusion in

286 the decision-making process allowed them to share their opinions, such as the type of care,

287 availability (or time) to attend care and survivors' preferences for care. By ensuring tailored

288 or individualized care, caregivers felt more satisfied and confident in their ability to support

289 the survivor. Moreover, it was considered to be ‘very important in maintaining stroke

290 survivor health’.

291 As for care training, most caregivers stated that they would ‘require training to help their

292 family member specifically those skills needed for transfer and at home after discharge. One

293 caregiver explained, *“I felt so hard because I never bumped into this before. I never faced a*

294 *seriously sick person. This is my first time. I had no idea what I have to do for her....but if I*

want to take care of her, I must learn” [19]. The caregivers believed that hospitalization would be the best period for them to learn from experts (i.e., healthcare professionals) and gain hands-on experience. Moreover, it could allow them to gain some feedback during care.

“if my father is referred to the rehab centre, I could probably be there to watch them and learn a lot...on-the-job training.”

“...or the rehab centre. I don’t think the hospital will be able to help [because] they are so busy.”

“The community hospital will train the maid at the bedside and ask her to do [the care tasks]. She [survivor] will need to stay there for one to two months. That should be enough time to train the maid.” [17]

“...we used the chance to learn. We were in learning process, so we had to do hands-on it. If we learned it, we might feel less pressure. We could not start to learn it after going home. So in the hospital, if we are unable to do it, we can ask for help from them [healthcare professionals]” [19]

Need 3: Self-care

The physical and psychological impact of caregiving was common amongst most post-stroke caregivers. Caregivers reported having feelings of anxiety, loneliness, fatigue, frustration, burnout, fear, social isolation and helplessness during their role as a caregiver due to the alterations of their lifestyles, life status and routines in a negative way.

“Ok I admit I am defeated ... I can’t keep the happy me anymore or keep

up with everything. Maybe just tired or trying to deal with too much, but I do not have a way to fix it or to even try to make a way to make it work. I have bitten off more than I can deal with... I need to be here & go to work & also get chores done & also be able to try & get me time. It's just not possible."

"We are exhausted, drained & overwhelmed feeling. It's a lot to take in!!"

306

307 Most caregivers felt that caregiving's physical and psychological impact was the lack of
308 independence to engage in other activities that previously ensured the fulfillment of life.
309 Before the stroke, caregivers participated in activities such as work, social gatherings,
310 volunteer work, religious activities, vacation and trips, which were halted due to the
311 dependence of the survivor on the caregiver.

312

"Since he's [survivor] come home I've not really gone out very much. Normally I would just go out and do whatever, but I haven't been able to do that since he's come home from the hospital." [20]

"I've quit doing gym. I have virtually given myself up. I only live for my mother and my husband here at home, and don't do anything else." [30]

313

314 Caregivers often put their dreams on hold as they were responsible for providing constant
315 care for the survivor. For example, one caregiver decided not to commit to future
316 employment opportunities, while another caregiver couldn't go on a vacation with their loved

ones. The reason for putting their ‘lives’ or ‘dreams’ on hold was the survivors needs and uncertainty during recovery. However, giving up their dreams was not always done readily [31].

“We put a lot of our dreams on hold, because we don’t see how we can go on vacation. We think about who can watch our loved one, or how can I take him/her [survivor] with us.”

“I’ve been angry. I resented having to quit the full time job where I was making good money and I loved the job...I didn’t want to have to do that” [31]

Work and social participation were two critical themes discussed by caregivers to ensure fulfillment of life during their care journey. Currently, most caregivers have either given up their work or have reduced their work hours to accommodate survivor care. Caregivers who have quit their jobs feel ‘homebound’ or ‘isolated’ [32, 33], while those who have managed to continue their work report having difficulties in maintaining work and care responsibilities.

“this [work] has taken a big toll on me – I am juggling between my job, my husband and children, my husband’s business and caring for my mother – sometimes I can’t see any light at the end of the tunnel” [33]

In terms of social participation, stroke has altered the lives of the caregivers in such a way that they can no longer participate in the activities that give them ‘joy’ or ‘happiness’. One caregiver discussed “not having enough time for himself”; while another caregiver mentioned

that they felt “restrained from going out because of the caring activities and housework” [34]. The inability to participate in social activities strains the relationship between the caregiver and the survivors due to the mental and emotional aspects associated with being isolated at home and the loss of independence.

“I used to have lots of outing activities eight or nine years ago. Now I feel so alone” [34]

“The kids used to love camping, but now they have to go with friends, my wife can’t do that. They also love going to the movies but my wife is sensitive to light so we don’t go anymore. One of us has to stay with my wife at all times, we take turns. I miss the spontaneity; the stroke restricts our lives...” [22]

“My dilemma is finding me time without making them feel left out, which is what's happening. It's straining our relationship because of the mental and emotional toll... I'm trying to be compassionate, forgiving and understanding; to see things from their perspective but I'm almost burnt out.”

Caregivers also discussed practices currently employed for self-care. The practices involved: (i) distracting their partners by tempting them to engage in other activities, (ii) participating in relaxing activities such as cooking, going to church or other household activities, and (iii) taking time off during the survivors’ rehabilitation appointments. Caregivers believed that these practices allowed them to ‘take a break’ or ‘get time for themselves’.

343

“And I do [activities of my own], because my activities also help me get distracted, because it happens that I stress myself with my mother's illness. It is worse, when I get sick, though. So, what I do is do my normal activities as I did before” [35]

344

345 Despite developing practices to ensure self-care, caregivers often report wanting more time to
346 engage in leisure and self-care activities, which has contributed to poor health. To further
347 improve self-care practices, caregivers mentioned potential considerations to improve
348 physical and psychological well-being. Caregivers discussed the need to take breaks from
349 physical care, and either ‘going back to work’, ‘travel’ and/or ‘participate in recreational
350 activities’ as illustrated in **S1 File**. Some described this situation as ‘out of sight, and out of
351 mind’. However, it was only possible for the caregiver to take a break when they were able to
352 share responsibilities with other family members and/or friends during recovery.

353

354 **Need 4: Support**

355 Caregivers discussed the importance of receiving support – in terms of resources, services
356 and finances to sustain their ability to provide quality care (**S1 File**). As caregivers mainly
357 were family members or friends of people living with stroke without prior experience in
358 providing care, they expressed the need for help or support, especially from the healthcare
359 system.

360

“the system could have provided more psychological and emotional support; also a long-term follow-up of how we are doing would be

important” [33]

361

362 The lack of care support can affect the caregiver mentally, leading to uncertainty, fear,

363 loneliness, anxiety, anger and frustration leading to financial and relationship impact.

364

“How am I going to cope? ... I don’t know I really don’t know ... when the worry is on my shoulders, that’s all I’m thinking about ... I don’t think I could take the worry. I don’t—maybe I’ll have to.” [26]

“... I am trying to stay positive for him [survivor] but I don’t have any answers and I am feeling so alone and anxious. I just want to know it will get better.”

“I feel so overwhelmed at times, feel like I’m doing it all wrong, when it comes to important stuff like our finances or dealing with Dr. or the government I feel so inadequate. just scared I’m gonna screw up something important that can’t get fixed. Only been doing this for 8 months. Not getting much help.”

365

366 Caregivers discussed that uncertainty was due to the lack of understanding of the disease and

367 future life. For example, one caregiver mentioned, *“And what the future will bring nobody*

368 *knows. Sometimes I think it can come back, because they told me, it could happen, another*

369 *stroke. Because most people come back with another stroke” [36].* Further, the uncertainty

370 towards the future could result in fear in terms of recurrence of stroke, falls, finance and

371 relationships. As a result, most caregivers choose to be at home to observe and care for the
372 survivor, contributing to isolation and loneliness.

373

*“... now I have to hurry even to go to the supermarket because I’m
afraid ... to leave him ... He may have a CVA again at any time, as the
doctor said. Like the first time it happened. He fell to the floor, urinated
on himself, he fell in the middle of the night. He fell off the bed, and I
didn’t even notice. So, I’m afraid. So, I hurry to supermarket, but my
mind stays here ..” [30]*

*“I am struggling with feeling very alone. My husband had a stroke in
May and it's getting to the point where I don't feel like a wife or partner
anymore. I'm a caregiver 24/7.”*

374

375 During caregiving, caregivers have also discussed feeling of anger and frustration, which is
376 contributed by issues related to care, financial, relationship, emotional and social hardships.

377

*“Sometimes I think I may be going crazy! We are going on almost 3
years post-stroke and it's so hard to deal with still. I lose my temper so
easily now, full of anxiety and anger which I end up taking out on my
husband who has had a stroke. I feel so bad after it happens and it's not
any particular thing that sets it off. I'm supposed to be the strong one
for us - hes' the survivor - I'm the one angry and emotional all the time
now?? It's almost as if I'm experiencing his symptoms if that makes
sense. I should probably speak with someone but I have such crappy*

insurance and can't afford another Dr. bill.....ugh!"

*"Ever get to the point where you have so much on your plate that you cry and laugh at the same time and then have nothing but oreos for dinner and want to throw your hands up and scream at the universe to back the f**k off?!Aaaand I go back to my wine."*

378

379 The financial impact is a common stress factor amongst caregivers. Most caregivers have
380 stopped working altogether to support their loved ones, which made them entirely dependent
381 on financial support from other sources such as family members, insurance, pensions, etc.
382 Some caregivers described that these sources of support were not sufficient to cover the
383 survivor's expenses and as a result resumed working. However, this contributed to increasing
384 workload, caregiver burnout, and guilt due to the inability to provide continuous care.

385

"This [the financial situation] has most affected me, because now I have to wait for my son to give me money, while before I always solved all of the problems related to the household on my own." [35]

"My God, what will my life be like now? I won't work." And then I started thinking: "What will I do if I don't work?"

386

387 Caregivers also discussed the impact of caregiving on their relationships with the survivors
388 and other family members. For example, one caregiver mentioned, *"I wasn't able to be there
389 as much as I really, really wanted to be, because obviously I was seeing to [partner's] needs
390 ...we would have had a much more hands-on relationship with the four grandchildren ..."*

[28]. The caregivers' inability to maintain relationships was due to their failure to balance the different roles. One caregiver discussed that their partners were upset with them for being the second priority in their relationships and would often “complain” about the time and effort taken by the caregiver in caregiving. While another caregiver described that the lack of support received from their partner in the caregiving role resulted in them working for “two people”, which resulted in friction or conflict and thereby increasing their burden.

“With my partner who is far away, that’s really hard because he feels like he’s second most important.... because a lot of my time is spent at home with my parents and helping my dad. So that’s kind of suffering”
[31]

“At the beginning, when my father got sick, ... I argued with my husband and he left. We were separated for almost a year. Then, he came back. Then, he decided to separate again because I was too nervous. He even said that he could understand me, but couldn’t take that situation anymore ... It’s difficult! You see. I had my house, my life there. My husband and I. Then, we left there and moved here, thinking that things would be a certain way, and now that we are here, he has to be everyone’s father ... he’s playing a role that shouldn’t be his. My husband is a very good man, but who can take all this?” [30]

“All that being said....we have been raising our 3 grandchildren as well. They have been a handful for me for 6 years, all being neglected in the past. So they are special needs as well, each with different needs. They

are state kids, wards of the court, and we fought for them to come to us instead of being separated and adopted out, or placed in-group homes/foster homes. Majority of the work fell on me, counseling, doctors, court meetings...etc.”

“There was maybe just a little bit of tension initially with my children because they’re small and don’t understand the seriousness of the situation and I guess they couldn’t understand why I always had to go to the hospital” [31]

398

399 The impact of caregiving, i.e., the mental, financial, relationships and care described above,
400 can obstruct recovery. For example, caregivers with financial difficulties explained the
401 inability to afford rehabilitation or community services to improve survivor recovery, while
402 caregivers with relationship and mental hardships discussed the issues in engaging in care of
403 the survivor.

404

“We hired a person who performs exercises. Rs. 1000 per day. Later, we had to face some financial difficulties. so we couldn’t continue that.”
[32]

“I guess from my perspective probably the friendship that is most lacking is the friendship with him. That’s – (now I’m teary sorry) – it doesn’t exist. No it’s, I have become nothing more than a carer, you know – the secretary that sits at the computer typing, doing his emails, reading everything to him, telling him about everything that happens.

But that's all there is to it" [27]

"My mom had a stroke almost 1 month ago and is now in rehab. We lived in the same town. My brother lives in 2 provinces over and came when she had a stroke. We live in Canada. We are her power of attorney. Can't agree on anything. And now my mom seems to be agreeing with him and I'm being pushed out! I don't understand....."

405

406 As a result, caregivers often look for support to ensure better care for their loved ones, and
407 limit their burden (or burnout). Currently, some caregivers have discussed receiving financial
408 and care support from relatives. Relatives assisted caregivers, including financial aid,
409 visitation, food, advice, materials and equipment, engaging in treatment, and occasionally
410 supporting the caregivers at tasks. Caregivers found that receiving support from relatives
411 could prevent loneliness and isolation and allow them to engage in social activities, work,
412 self-training classes, volunteer groups, and travel. One caregiver stated, "...my daughters and
413 my sons-in-law have helped me. They are very good to me. Our family is very attached.
414 Whenever I need it, I call, and we can always manage to arrange schedules, even though they
415 have a job" [30]; while another caregiver discussed the opportunities sought about by
416 relative support "Having more caregivers other than family members [would help]...because
417 it would give us, the family members, an opportunity to get away from the parent...give us
418 some time that we can go out and have time on our own... Give me a break!" [31]. However,
419 not all caregivers received support from relatives to care for the survivor. These caregivers
420 found it challenging to adjust to post-stroke conditions. One caregiver stating that "...our
421 children have been less supportive than ...expected ...because the ball game has changed for
422 them too, there is no-one around to support them, so they have been of less practical

assistance” [8]. These caregivers often decided to live alone and relied on friends, neighbors, and healthcare providers to ease their burden. In addition to relatives and friends, caregivers often drew on the experiences of other caregivers to cope and understand the situation.

“I know from another group I’m in that other members are wonderful about giving advice and I hope I can learn from them, and maybe offer some advice of my own.”

“being in a group [support] of individuals with similar experiences provides me with comfort and hope, and abundance of information for which I am grateful to God.”

“ya, I think it will definitely help me, because um... some of them may have the experience to be caregivers for stroke patients for many years. For me, I’m just starting out, I’m very new. Definitely there will be lots of mistakes, and my learning curve will be like ups and downs. So definitely if the more experienced caregivers will share with me advice or tips on how to look after a stroke patient. Definitely like I will find it very useful. Like, for me, I need a mentor to become my buddy you know. I’m really very blur, I do not know what to do.” [17]

Caregivers often found it challenging to find caregiving peers and support groups, and often discussed meeting other caregivers on internet-based support groups and outpatient waiting clinics. One caregiver stated, “My Dad just had a TIA Wednesday. He had one three weeks prior also, but never went to the hospital. This time I made him go. All tests came back

normal, which to me is concerning cause then they don't know why these are happening. It makes me so nervous about another TIA or even worse. So, I'm happy to be on this online support page for him".

Other than learning from the experiences of others, caregivers often found it crucial to have coping strategies. Coping strategies allowed caregivers to adjust to their new roles and stay 'strong' and 'positive' [36]. Self-encouragement, strong determination and self-strengthening of the mind were considered critical strategies to cope with the caregiving role [32]. Another coping mechanism discussed by caregivers was including relaxing activities such as going to church, cooking or participating in leisure activities that allowed the caregiver to feel independent.

"[...] I sit down and start to pray and say "please, the life of my husband is in your hands. I don't have faith in anything else other than in You. You will give me enough courage," and I pray for half an hour, ten minutes, five minutes, the time that I have, then [...] afterward I feel better to go on facing the problems I have. This is my way, it is not, as I told you, it is not that I pray and then the things get resolved, to resolve them, I have to resolve them myself."

Further, the caregiver frequently relied on acceptance and patience, the release of temper, rest or relaxation, self-treatment, time management, seeking and receiving help, letting go, using materials and equipment and creativity to manage complex issues with care.

"I think we have all learned to adjust ...that's a big word because our lives changed drastically when it happened. We have to learn to adjust

and accept.” [8]

“Just the fact that [survivor] wasn’t able to do what he had been able to do previously ...acceptance was a big thing in that regard” [8]

“You couldn’t return to where you were ...people think that getting better is getting back to as you were. She got better but in a different way. We evolved our life in a different way” [28]

“Things are good, getting better all the time, it’s not stopped. We’re not in the situation where we’re going to be like this for the rest of our lives. We’ve still got our lives to live and we will” [28]

448

449 While caregivers found creative methods to deal with the burden of stroke caregiving, they
450 often looked for support from the healthcare system. The type of support valued by these
451 caregivers for themselves included information, emotional, social and financial support.

452

“We did not get as much help as we needed – I could have used more respite, especially at the beginning, my questions were poorly addressed by the [local community health clinic]” [33]

“this is difficult financially because the supplies are very expensive, and the insurance doesn’t cover very much”

453

Caregivers also looked for current healthcare services that were tailored to their needs. The needs included flexibility in visiting healthcare staff or rehabilitation programs, as this allowed for them to a break from their caregiving duty for a few hours each day.

In addition to receiving support from healthcare systems, caregivers also highlighted the importance of informal support. For example, one caregiver demonstrated the ability to take refuge in family members *“It does help the fact that, that I know that what I feel, he feels exactly the same way ...I phone up and moan at him about things and feel better for getting it o□ my chest and he phones me about things, and I know it makes him feel better, but nevertheless for both of us it is still di□cult to cope with things.”* [26]; while another discussed the importance of supportive and understanding relationships during the care journey.

Caregivers also stressed the importance of financial, social, emotional, and physical support. Financial support was critical for caregivers to provide efficient care and would allow caregivers to remain less uncertain about the future as described by one caregiver *“Hardest part is cognition and him [survivor] not wanting to let go of me - he fears/anxious about being alone, but I HAVE to return home to hubby and my job! Can’t keep up the overdrawn bank account”*. On the other hand, social and emotional support is essential to support the vulnerability of the caregiver as it enables the caregiver to ‘master their fear’ of caregiving and ensures they ‘feel cared for by others’.

“My daughter helps me – she calls every day to see how I am doing because she knows this is very hard for me and she has us over to dinner once a week” [33]

“I mean, we [siblings] have always been very united,[...] we are one;

this situation connected us even more,[...] in life money is not everything, not everything in life is about having something. Life is living in harmony, and this has united us more...” [35]

“I have some help from friends to keep up my yard... most people don’t understand what I am going through or how much energy it takes to look after someone who is not well” [33]

474

475 Some caregivers expressed difficulties in managing the physical issues of caregiving,
476 especially those that require physical strength, i.e., moving or carrying the survivor. Hence,
477 caregivers often looked for materials and equipment that can help reduce the stress of
478 physical activities, especially during emergencies. However, most caregivers expressed a lack
479 of proper understanding of the tools essential for recovery and local services available to
480 support the survivor. Hence, requiring additional support from healthcare professionals to
481 ensure limited physical stress on the caregiver.

482 Caregivers also expected healthcare professionals to assist them in gaining skills to ‘pacify’
483 and motivate the survivor. One caregiver mentioned, “...is there any possibility that any plan
484 is drawn up, to really let the patient—instead of us (the family)— know what he may expect
485 now...if they are still conscious of what’s going on, I think it will be good to tell them (stroke
486 patients) what they are or what they will be going through” [17]. The ability to gain skills to
487 support the survivor would allow the caregiver to remain ‘positive’ and ‘hopeful’ about the
488 future.

489

490 **Discussion**

491 **Summary of main findings**

The study drew on grounded theory to explore the needs of caregivers of the people living with stroke based on their experiences in care. The core categories identified, i.e., information, involvement, self-care, and support, need to be addressed to empower the caregiver while reducing uncertainty, mental impact, financial stress, and burnout. In particular, it was clear that there are several barriers in the current healthcare system leading to unpreparedness and unwanted effects on the caregiver. Hence, requiring the inclusion of a more caregiver-focused approach in clinical practice and health care planning.

Comparison with literature

Key findings from this grounded theory study demonstrate that caregivers with limited support feel unprepared to care for the survivor and are not recognized as key stakeholders in recovery. Further, the caregiver is overwhelmed with emotions and feels unsupported during the care trajectory. The needs, however, differ based on the individual and the services provided to the caregiver and the survivor. For example, some caregivers received a verbal explanation about the disease and practices leading to increased satisfaction of healthcare services. In contrast, others did not, hence highlighting differences in care that bring challenges in understanding care needs. However, it is important to note that the role of a caregiver is well documented [22, 37-41]. Further, by addressing the individual needs of stroke caregivers, it is possible to limit the feelings of fear, uncertainty, isolation, burnout, fatigue, etc.

In this study, we have, therefore, highlighted four critical themes based on the individual needs of caregivers verified through multiple stages of review and evaluation. While these themes are unique, there may be several synergies to other studies that address stroke caregiver needs. For example, Tsai, Yip [12] classified caregiver needs into (i) health information, (ii) instrumental support, (iii) emotional support, (iv) involvement with patient

care, (v) professional support, and (vi) community networks; while Bakas, Austin [42] categorized the needs and concerns of stroke caregivers into (i) information, (ii) emotions and behaviors, (iii) physical care, (iv) instrumental care and (v) personal responses to caregiving. The needs in this study and past studies show a change in needs based on the survivor's disease progression and health situation. The change in needs has been well documented in the study by Cameron, Naglie [23], which has classified caregivers' needs into five stages: event/diagnosis, stabilization, preparation, implementation, and adaption. The change in needs is the highest during the first few months post-discharge, i.e., in preparation and implementation phases, especially for those caregivers who manage survivors with high levels of physical, communication, and/or cognitive disability [23], which is consistent with the findings in this study.

Strengths and Limitations

The study was conducted in three phases, which include: (i) literature analysis, (ii) social media analysis, and (iii) caregiver survey to identify and evaluate critical needs in stroke caregiving. Due to existing restrictions, most of the collected data did not involve face-to-face interactions with the caregiver. Face-to-face interactions with the caregiver may have allowed for a more detailed understanding of the caregiver's needs, which may have affected the richness and quality of data collected. However, all findings presented in this study have undergone rigorous analysis and documentation to ensure the caregivers' needs are well represented.

Implications for future research

The findings in this study have several implications for clinical practice, healthcare planning, and healthcare policy. In particular, this study sheds light on the needs of stroke caregivers

across the care trajectory. Thus, considerations are made to address these needs to bring about preparedness, reduce uncertainty and promote behavior change. One plausible approach is the use of technology.

Currently, several caregivers in stroke have employed technology connected to the internet to ensure better care for their loved ones [9, 19, 21, 36]. However, the reliability of these technologies to support the constantly changing needs of stroke caregivers during recovery has not been fully explored [43]. Hence there is a need to develop a tool that addresses all the aspects mentioned above.

With the growth of new technological approaches, it is possible to provide the caregiver with context-aware support, i.e., allow the system to understand the varying needs of the caregivers and provide support at critical times to address these needs [10]. However, it would require a more detailed understanding of individual needs to create efficient algorithms and infrastructure [44] for improved support and care. Techniques such as participatory design and user-centered design approaches could be implemented to ensure the design of a sustainable system by creating actionable strategies to test, refine and integrate the solution in the daily activities of the caregiver [10]. Hence, addressing all the critical needs of stroke caregiving and potentially creating a commercially viable solution for support.

Conclusions

The ground theory study provides new insights into the needs and preferences of stroke caregivers during recovery and exposes several areas that need to be addressed to support the care process and prevent obstruction in care and reduce uncertainty. Moreover, by considering these needs, the healthcare system can help navigate the caregiver through the disease trajectory and focus on designing a more inclusive approach towards caregiver-centered care.

567

568 **Acknowledgements**

569 None

570

571 **Funding**

572 This study was supported through doctoral scholarships from the School of Information
573 Technology, Deakin University, and the Department of Public Health, University of
574 Copenhagen. Further, Prof John Grundy is supported by ARC Laureate Fellowship
575 FL190100035 and Dr Islam is supported by NHMRC Emerging Leadership Fellowship.

576

577 **Conflicts of Interest**

578 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

579

580 **References**

- 581 1. Lindsay MP, Norrving B, Sacco RL, Brainin M, Hacke W, Martins S, et al. Global
582 Stroke Fact Sheet 2019: World Stroke Organization (WSO); 2019 [cited 2021 7th July].
583 Available from: [https://www.world-stroke.org/assets/downloads/WSO_Fact-](https://www.world-stroke.org/assets/downloads/WSO_Fact-sheet_15.01.2020.pdf)
584 [sheet_15.01.2020.pdf](https://www.world-stroke.org/assets/downloads/WSO_Fact-sheet_15.01.2020.pdf).
- 585 2. Lackland DT, Roccella EJ, Deutsch AF, Fornage M, George MG, Howard G, et al.
586 Factors influencing the decline in stroke mortality: a statement from the American Heart
587 Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45(1):315-53. Epub 2013/12/05. doi:
588 10.1161/01.str.0000437068.30550.cf. PubMed PMID: 24309587.
- 589 3. Donkor ES. Stroke in the 21(st) Century: A Snapshot of the Burden, Epidemiology,
590 and Quality of Life. Stroke Res Treat. 2018;2018:3238165-. doi: 10.1155/2018/3238165.
591 PubMed PMID: 30598741.
- 592 4. Torregosa MB, Sada R, Perez I. Dealing with stroke: Perspectives from stroke
593 survivors and stroke caregivers from an underserved Hispanic community. Nursing & health
594 sciences. 2018;20(3):361-9. Epub 2018/03/08. doi: 10.1111/nhs.12414. PubMed PMID:
595 29512845.
- 596 5. Camak DJ. Addressing the burden of stroke caregivers: a literature review. Journal of
597 clinical nursing. 2015;24(17-18):2376-82. Epub 2015/06/23. doi: 10.1111/jocn.12884.
598 PubMed PMID: 26095074.

6. Tosun ZK, Temel MJJoCS. Burden of caregiving for stroke patients and the role of social support among family members: an assessment through home visits. 2017;10(3):1696.
7. Soufi S, Chabrier S, Bertoletti L, Laporte S, Darteyre S. Lived experience of having a child with stroke: A qualitative study. European Journal of Paediatric Neurology. 2017;21(3):542-8. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpn.2017.01.007>.
8. Graven C, Sansonetti D, Moloczij N, Cadilhac D, Joubert L. Stroke survivor and carer perspectives of the concept of recovery: a qualitative study. Disability and rehabilitation. 2013;35(7):578-85. Epub 2012/08/15. doi: 10.3109/09638288.2012.703755. PubMed PMID: 22889405.
9. Creasy KR, Lutz BJ, Young ME, Ford A, Martz C. The impact of interactions with providers on stroke caregivers' needs. Rehabilitation nursing : the official journal of the Association of Rehabilitation Nurses. 2013;38(2):88-98. Epub 2013/03/27. doi: 10.1002/rnj.69. PubMed PMID: 23529947; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3742102.
10. Lobo EH, Frølich A, Rasmussen LJ, Livingston PM, Grundy J, Abdelrazek M, et al. Understanding the Methodological Issues and Solutions in the Research Design of Stroke Caregiving Technology. Frontiers in public health. 2021;9:647249. Epub 2021/05/04. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.647249. PubMed PMID: 33937175; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC8085388.
11. Luker J, Murray C, Lynch E, Bernhardtson S, Shannon M, Bernhardt J. Carers' Experiences, Needs, and Preferences During Inpatient Stroke Rehabilitation: A Systematic Review of Qualitative Studies. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2017;98(9):1852-62.e13. Epub 2017/04/02. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.02.024. PubMed PMID: 28363703.
12. Tsai PC, Yip PK, Tai JJ, Lou MF. Needs of family caregivers of stroke patients: a longitudinal study of caregivers' perspectives. Patient preference and adherence. 2015;9:449-57. Epub 2015/04/04. doi: 10.2147/ppa.S77713. PubMed PMID: 25834409; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4370911.
13. Chun Tie Y, Birks M, Francis K. Grounded theory research: A design framework for novice researchers. SAGE Open Medicine. 2019;7:2050312118822927. doi: 10.1177/2050312118822927.
14. Starks H, Brown Trinidad S. Choose Your Method: A Comparison of Phenomenology, Discourse Analysis, and Grounded Theory. Qualitative Health Research. 2007;17(10):1372-80. doi: 10.1177/1049732307307031.
15. Ligita T, Nurjannah I, Wicking K, Harvey N, Francis K. From textual to visual: the use of concept mapping as an analytical tool in a grounded theory study. Qualitative Research. 2020;1468794120965362. doi: 10.1177/1468794120965362.
16. Hallberg LRM. The "core category" of grounded theory: Making constant comparisons. International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being. 2006;1(3):141-8. doi: 10.1080/17482620600858399.
17. Ang SY, Tin AS, Pavitar G, Ng WM, Lee KE, Lim LH, et al. A Qualitative Study into Stroke Caregivers' Educational Needs — Perspectives of Caregivers and Healthcare Professionals. Proceedings of Singapore Healthcare. 2013;22(3):166-74. doi: 10.1177/201010581302200303.
18. Howe T, Davidson B, Worrall L, Hersh D, Ferguson A, Sherratt S, et al. 'You needed to rehab ... families as well': family members' own goals for aphasia rehabilitation. International journal of language & communication disorders. 2012;47(5):511-21. Epub 2012/09/04. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-6984.2012.00159.x. PubMed PMID: 22938062.
19. Wu C-m. Learning to be a family caregiver for severely debilitated stroke survivors during the first year in Taiwan: The University of Iowa; 2009.

20. Cobley CS, Fisher RJ, Chouliara N, Kerr M, Walker MF. A qualitative study exploring patients' and carers' experiences of Early Supported Discharge services after stroke. *Clinical rehabilitation*. 2013;27(8):750-7. Epub 2013/03/05. doi: 10.1177/0269215512474030. PubMed PMID: 23455948.
21. Danzl MM, Harrison A, Hunter EG, Kuperstein J, Sylvia V, Maddy K, et al. "A Lot of Things Passed Me by": Rural Stroke Survivors' and Caregivers' Experience of Receiving Education From Health Care Providers. *The Journal of rural health : official journal of the American Rural Health Association and the National Rural Health Care Association*. 2016;32(1):13-24. Epub 2015/06/24. doi: 10.1111/jrh.12124. PubMed PMID: 26100171.
22. Thomas M, Greenop K. Caregiver experiences and perceptions of stroke %J *Health SA Gesondheid (Online)*. 2008;13:29-40.
23. Cameron JI, Naglie G, Silver FL, Gignac MA. Stroke family caregivers' support needs change across the care continuum: a qualitative study using the timing it right framework. *Disability and rehabilitation*. 2013;35(4):315-24. Epub 2012/06/13. doi: 10.3109/09638288.2012.691937. PubMed PMID: 22686259.
24. Cameron JI, Bastawrous M, Marsella A, Forde S, Smale L, Friedland J, et al. Stroke survivors', caregivers', and health care professionals' perspectives on the weekend pass to facilitate transition home. *Journal of rehabilitation medicine*. 2014;46(9):858-63. Epub 2014/08/29. doi: 10.2340/16501977-1854. PubMed PMID: 25166922.
25. Subgranon R, Lund DA. Maintaining caregiving at home: a culturally sensitive grounded theory of providing care in Thailand. *Journal of transcultural nursing : official journal of the Transcultural Nursing Society*. 2000;11(3):166-73. Epub 2002/05/02. doi: 10.1177/104365960001100302. PubMed PMID: 11982104.
26. Hunt D, Smith JA. The personal experience of carers of stroke survivors: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Disability and rehabilitation*. 2004;26(16):1000-11. Epub 2004/09/17. doi: 10.1080/09638280410001702423. PubMed PMID: 15371048.
27. El Masry Y, Mullan B, Hackett M. Psychosocial experiences and needs of Australian caregivers of people with stroke: prognosis messages, caregiver resilience, and relationships. *Topics in stroke rehabilitation*. 2013;20(4):356-68. Epub 2013/07/31. doi: 10.1310/tsr2004-356. PubMed PMID: 23893835.
28. Buschenfeld K, Morris R, Lockwood S. The experience of partners of young stroke survivors. *Disability and rehabilitation*. 2009;31(20):1643-51. Epub 2009/05/30. doi: 10.1080/09638280902736338. PubMed PMID: 19479557.
29. Krieger T, Feron F, Dorant E. Developing a complex intervention programme for informal caregivers of stroke survivors: The Caregivers' Guide. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences*. 2017;31(1):146-56. Epub 2016/07/22. doi: 10.1111/scs.12344. PubMed PMID: 27440738.
30. Bocchi SC, Angelo M. Between freedom and reclusion: social support as a quality-of-life component in the family caregiver-dependent person binomial. *Revista latino-americana de enfermagem*. 2008;16(1):15-23. Epub 2008/04/09. doi: 10.1590/s0104-11692008000100003. PubMed PMID: 18392525.
31. Bastawrous M, Gignac MA, Kapral MK, Cameron JI. Adult daughters providing post-stroke care to a parent: a qualitative study of the impact that role overload has on lifestyle, participation and family relationships. *Clinical rehabilitation*. 2015;29(6):592-600. Epub 2014/09/27. doi: 10.1177/0269215514552035. PubMed PMID: 25258424.
32. Wagachchige Muthucumarana M, Samarasinghe K, Elgán C. Caring for stroke survivors: experiences of family caregivers in Sri Lanka - a qualitative study. *Topics in stroke rehabilitation*. 2018;25(6):397-402. Epub 2018/07/22. doi: 10.1080/10749357.2018.1481353. PubMed PMID: 30028654.

33. Barbic SP, Mayo NE, White CL, Bartlett SJ. Emotional vitality in family caregivers: content validation of a theoretical framework. *Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation*. 2014;23(10):2865-72. Epub 2014/05/24. doi: 10.1007/s11136-014-0718-4. PubMed PMID: 24853533.
34. Chow C, Tiwari A. Experience of family caregivers of community-dwelling stroke survivors and risk of elder abuse: a qualitative study. *J Adult Pro*. 2014;16(5):276-93. doi: 10.1108/JAP-03-2014-0007.
35. Pesantes MA, Brandt LR, Ipince A, Miranda JJ, Diez-Canseco F. An exploration into caring for a stroke-survivor in Lima, Peru: Emotional impact, stress factors, coping mechanisms and unmet needs of informal caregivers. *eNeurologicalSci*. 2017;6:33-50. Epub 2017/10/11. doi: 10.1016/j.ensci.2016.11.004. PubMed PMID: 28989982; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5627498.
36. Smith SD, Gignac MA, Richardson D, Cameron JI. Differences in the experiences and support needs of family caregivers to stroke survivors: does age matter? *Topics in stroke rehabilitation*. 2008;15(6):593-601. Epub 2009/01/23. doi: 10.1310/tsr1506-593. PubMed PMID: 19158067.
37. Jullamate P, de Azeredo Z, Pául C, Subgranon R. Thai stroke patient caregivers: who they are and what they need. *Cerebrovascular diseases (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2006;21(1-2):128-33. Epub 2005/12/13. doi: 10.1159/000090211. PubMed PMID: 16340188.
38. Steiner V, Pierce L, Drahuschak S, Nofziger E, Buchman D, Szirony T. Emotional support, physical help, and health of caregivers of stroke survivors. *The Journal of neuroscience nursing : journal of the American Association of Neuroscience Nurses*. 2008;40(1):48-54. Epub 2008/03/12. doi: 10.1097/01376517-200802000-00008. PubMed PMID: 18330410; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2442227.
39. Chow SK, Wong FK, Poon CY. Coping and caring: support for family caregivers of stroke survivors. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2007;16(7b):133-43. Epub 2007/06/23. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2006.01711.x. PubMed PMID: 17584422.
40. Mei YX, Lin B, Zhang W, Yang DB, Wang SS, Zhang ZX, et al. Benefits finding among Chinese family caregivers of stroke survivors: a qualitative descriptive study. *BMJ open*. 2020;10(10):e038344. Epub 2020/10/21. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038344. PubMed PMID: 33077565; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7574945.
41. Araújo O, Lage I, Cabrita J, Teixeira L. Training informal caregivers to care for older people after stroke: A quasi-experimental study. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2018. Epub 2018/05/13. doi: 10.1111/jan.13714. PubMed PMID: 29752812.
42. Bakas T, Austin JK, Okonkwo KF, Lewis RR, Chadwick L. Needs, concerns, strategies, and advice of stroke caregivers the first 6 months after discharge. *The Journal of neuroscience nursing : journal of the American Association of Neuroscience Nurses*. 2002;34(5):242-51. Epub 2002/10/24. doi: 10.1097/01376517-200210000-00004. PubMed PMID: 12391740.
43. Lobo EH, Frølich A, Kensing F, Rasmussen LJ, Livingston PM, Grundy J, et al. mHealth applications to support caregiver needs and engagement during stroke recovery: A content review. *Research in nursing & health*. 2021;44(1):213-25. Epub 2020/12/21. doi: 10.1002/nur.22096. PubMed PMID: 33341958.
44. van Engelenburg S, Janssen M, Klievink B. Designing context-aware systems: A method for understanding and analysing context in practice. *Journal of Logical and Algebraic Methods in Programming*. 2019;103:79-104. doi: 10.1016/j.jlamp.2018.11.003.

Supporting Information

745 **S1 File. User Needs and Associated Comments**