COVID-19 Pandemic Response in a Migrant Farmworker Community: Excess Mortality, Testing Access and Contact Tracing in Immokalee, Florida

Neha Limaye MD^{1,2*}, Brennan Ninesling MPH^{1,2,3*}, Frantzso Marcelin^{1,4}, Cody Nolan MD^{1,2}, Walter Sobba⁵, Matthew Hing^{6,7}, Emily Ptaszek PsyD MBA FACHE⁸, Fernet Léandre MD, MMSc-GHD⁷, Daniel Palazuelos MD MPH^{1,7,9,10}

¹ Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

² Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA.

³ University of South Florida Morsani College of Medicine, Tampa, FL, USA..

⁴ Department of History, Steven J. Green School of International & Public Affairs, Florida International University, Miami, FL, USA.

⁵ New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA.

⁶ David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

⁷ Partners In Health, Boston, MA, USA.

⁸ Healthcare Network of Southwest Florida, Immokalee, FL, USA.

⁹ Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

¹⁰ Division of Global Health Equity, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

Correspondence:

Corresponding Author

Neha Limaye

nlimaye@bwh.harvard.edu

24 **Abstract:**

25 Introduction: We aim to estimate the impact of COVID-19 in Immokalee, FL and assess
26 community experiences with workplace conditions, access to testing, sources of information, and
27 contact tracing to inform and strengthen local public health sector efforts in reaching and
28 providing high-quality care to the community.

29 Methods: We conducted a descriptive analysis of data on COVID-19 deaths for Collier County
30 from May-August 2020. We surveyed a cross-sectional, randomized representative sample of
31 318 adults living in Immokalee from March-November 2020 to assess socio-demographics,
32 sources of information, ability to follow guidelines, and experiences with local programs. Results
33 were compared across language groups.

34 Results: Average excess mortality in Collier County was 108%. The majority surveyed in
35 Immokalee had socio-demographic factors associated with higher COVID risk. Non-English
36 speakers had higher workplace risk due to less ability to work from home. Haitian Creole
37 speakers were less likely to be tested, though all participants were willing to get symptomatic
38 testing and quarantine. Those participants who tested positive or had COVID-19 exposures had
39 low engagement with the contact tracing program, and Spanish-speakers reported lower quality
40 of contact tracing than English speakers.

41 Conclusions: The community of Immokalee, FL is a vulnerable population that suffered
42 disproportionate deaths from COVID-19. This study reveals language inequities in COVID
43 testing and contact tracing should be targeted in future pandemic response in Immokalee and
44 other migrant farmworker communities.

45 **Keywords:** COVID-19, farmworker, health disparity, Haitian, Latinx

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Migrant and seasonal farmworkers (MSFW) face enormous structural barriers to health, including poverty, food insecurity, poor working conditions, high occupational hazard, and limited access to healthcare.¹ Although data are lacking, those available suggest that MSFW experience inequities in multiple health outcomes.²⁻⁴ At work they face challenging environmental conditions including heat, sun, pesticide exposure, and dust and particle inhalation.¹ Additionally, most MSFW are uninsured and must overcome language barriers, long work hours, and lack of transportation to attend health appointments, with care often only available at Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC).⁵ Consequently, the majority of MSFW do not have primary care physicians.⁶

The COVID-19 pandemic has only deepened pre-existing health inequities. Data demonstrate disproportionate rates of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality among Black, Latinx and immigrant populations, who make up the majority of MSFW in the U.S.⁷⁻⁹ MSFW are at especially high risk for COVID-19 exposure and subsequent infection due to high density housing and ‘essential worker’ status, which has exempted them from the protection of working from home enjoyed by other industries.^{10,11} Typical working conditions are not conducive to distancing, as many MSFW work side-by-side and share transportation to and from work.¹² Once infected, spread within MSFW communities is facilitated by lack of testing and contact tracing, and by housing conditions which preclude distancing or effective quarantine.¹³ Finally, those infected are at higher risk of severe COVID-19 disease due to underlying health conditions that predispose to morbidity and mortality.

69
70 Although the plight of MSFW during the COVID-19 pandemic has gained media attention, there
71 is minimal data around its true impact. Access to COVID-19 testing in this population is
72 unknown, and the true burden of death due to COVID-19 in these communities remains unclear.
73 Contact tracing is a key aspect of controlling COVID-19 spread and is especially important for
74 MSFW, who move around frequently for work. However, no studies have examined the success
75 or quality of contact tracing programs in an MSFW population. Data around COVID-19's
76 specific impact on MSFW communities in Florida are lacking, despite Florida housing one of the
77 largest populations of MSFW in the country.

78

79 **1.2. Study Site: Immokalee, Florida**

80 Immokalee is a rural community located in southwest Florida's Collier County, the center of the
81 nation's tomato growing industry. Much of the population, from 20,000 to 27,000 residents,
82 works in agriculture as MSFWs or packing house workers, with 37.4% living below the poverty
83 line. Residents are primarily from Mexico, Central America, and Haiti; 68% of the population
84 speak Spanish at home and 13% speak Haitian Creole.⁶ Occupational, economic, and linguistic
85 factors confer substantial vulnerability to COVID-19 infection in Immokalee; despite its small
86 size, Immokalee was the Florida zip code with the highest number of COVID-19 cases in the
87 state in June 2020.¹⁴ Positivity rates at the time were as high as 36% compared to 5.6% in the
88 state.¹⁵ After observing disease burden and difficulties in accessing COVID-19 testing and
89 contract tracing among MSFWs, the Coalition of Immokalee Workers (CIW) — a local human
90 rights organization — facilitated partnerships between the Collier County Department of Health
91 (DOH), Partners in Health (PIH), Doctors Without Borders (Medicins Sans Frontieres - MSF),

and a local FQHC (Collier Health Services, Inc., d/b/a Healthcare Network (HCN)). The DOH led all contract tracing efforts in Immokalee, and offered nasal PCR testing by appointment until November 2020, with results given via an English-language online portal which asked for a social security number. Starting in November 2020, HCN began offering walk-up rapid testing in Immokalee, with results given within an hour by Spanish- and Haitian Creole-speaking staff. Both HCN and DOH created community health worker programs for COVID-19 outreach, but all positive results from HCN's testing were forwarded to the DOH's contact tracing system (see Appendix A, Figure 1 for timeline).

In this study, we first aimed to estimate the impact of COVID-19 on Immokalee, FL by calculating excess mortality from publicly available data. Then, we conducted a household survey to collect sociodemographic information and assess community experiences with workplace conditions, access to testing, sources of information, and the DOH contact tracing program.

2. Methods

2.1. Descriptive Analysis

We collated data from the Florida Department of Health and Medical Examiners Offices for Collier, Lee, Hendry, Glades and Orange Counties. First, we tabulated the total deaths from COVID-19 for residents of Collier County. Data for the Immokalee zip code alone were not available. We then compared deaths for Collier County during May-August 2015-2019 with deaths from May-August 2020. Excess mortality was calculated in accordance with CDC guidelines, where death data were grouped weekly to account for temporal effects. Excess

mortality was calculated for each week and then summed together to find excess mortality for the period beginning 4/27/20 and ending 8/16/20. Data were disaggregated by age (under 60 or ≥ 60 years) and sex.

2.2. Questionnaire

The questionnaire was created using demographic and social screening tools from the National Agricultural Workers Survey and various farmworker healthcare organizations.⁷⁻⁹ Spanish- and Haitian Creole-speaking CIW staff reviewed the tool for understandability by the local population. The questionnaire (Appendix B) assessed the following thematic areas:

- Demographic and socioeconomic information
- Sources of news and information on COVID-19
- Ability to follow COVID-19 precautions
- Experiences with contact tracing

2.3. Sample Size

Our target population was adults living in Immokalee during the months of March-November 2020. We estimated a population of 12,000 adults in Immokalee, a 95% confidence interval, a precision of 5%, and a prevalence of 15-20% of the population meeting requirements to be contacted by contact tracers (given an estimated local COVID-19 prevalence of 8%). From our initial sample size of 193-241 participants, we accounted for intercorrelation in order to survey multiple people per household. We used an intraclass correlation (ICC) of 0.1-0.33 and an estimated household size (m) of ~ 4 to calculate a design effect of 1.3-2, giving us a final sample size goal of 300-350 participants.

138

139 We used a publicly available address list from the Collier County Property Appraiser's office
140 and extracted 350 addresses using a random number generator. Study staff visited each address,
141 and after exhausting that first address list, extracted 100 further addresses at a time to reach a
142 sample size of 300-350 participants.

143

144 **2.4. Study Procedures**

145 From January 18 - March 11, 2021, study staff (FM and BN) visited addresses on weekends and
146 evenings in a predetermined fashion considering geographical location. They wore appropriate
147 personal protective equipment at all times and followed social distancing guidelines. They
148 conducted multiple surveys per household as household members may have had different
149 experiences, and it is common for multiple families in Immokalee to cohabitate.

150

151 Study staff started each visit with an initial screening to confirm each participant 1) was 18 years
152 or older, 2) lived in Immokalee, and 3) lived in Immokalee for at least 2 weeks between March-
153 November 2020. If inclusion criteria were met, study staff obtained verbal informed consent in
154 the participants' preferred language. Verbal consent was obtained due to the population's literacy
155 level and to maintain participant anonymity. If participants preferred to participate at a different
156 time or no adults were home, one follow-up visit was done at the same address. All households
157 visited received a paper pamphlet detailing available health resources in their preferred language.

158

159 Questionnaire responses were recorded in REDCap.^{16,17} The study staff entering data were
160 trained on how to use REDCap, and data were checked weekly by the co-investigators to ensure

internal validity. The study was reviewed by the Institutional Review Boards at Mass General Brigham and the Harvard School of Public Health and met criteria for exemption.

2.5. Data Analyses

All analyses were conducted utilizing R (Version 4.0.2, The R Foundation, 2021) and RStudio (Version 1.3.959, RStudio Team, 2021). Chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were utilized to compare variables as appropriate. Of note, data from a question on sick leave was not analyzed due to participant misinterpretation of the question.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive Analysis

An analysis of Collier County mortality data from April 27th through August 16th revealed an average excess mortality of 108% (167 excess deaths). When data were disaggregated by sex alone, age alone, and both sex and age, excess mortality findings were largely consistent (107%, 115%, and 115%, respectively, see Appendix A, Table 1).

3.2. Study Population

Of 550 households randomized, 131 did not answer the door, 140 were not interested in participating, and 279 agreed to participate. From these, 318 individuals consented to participate and were surveyed (Appendix A, Figure 2).

Baseline participant and household demographics are depicted in Table 1. Spanish (42.1%) was the most frequent preferred language, with English (37.3%) and Haitian Creole (18.7%)

184 accounting for most other participants. Nearly one-fifth of participants reported food insecurity
 185 during the past month (19.2%). The mean household size was 3.95 persons with homes
 186 averaging 0.856 bedrooms per person and 0.483 bathrooms per person.
 187

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of survey participants

Characteristic	Participants	
	N = 312	%
Gender		
Female	165	52.9%
Male	147	47.1%
Age group (yrs)		
18 - 24	34	10.9%
25 - 34	76	24.3%
35 - 44	73	23.3%
45 - 64	96	30.7%
65 - 80	29	9.3%
81+	5	1.6%
Race/Ethnicity		
White	10	3.2%
Black	76	24.1%
Latino/a	213	67.4%
Indigenous	1	0.3%
Bi- or Multi-racial	11	3.5%
Prefer not to answer	2	0.6%
Other	3	0.9%
Preferred language		
English	118	37.30%
Spanish	133	42.10%
Haitian Creole	59	18.70%
Mam	3	0.90%
Other	3	0.90%
Educational attainment		
No formal schooling	26	8.30%
Kindergarten - 5th grade	42	13.40%
6-8th grade	44	14.00%

9-12th grade	161	51.30%
Beyond high school	41	13.10%
Housing situation		
No housing	2	0.60%
Housed but housing insecure	83	26.20%
Housed	230	72.60%
Other	2	0.60%
Housing characteristics (mean)		
Household members	3.95	
Bathrooms	1.52	
Bathrooms per person	0.48	
Bedrooms	2.79	
Bedrooms per person	0.86	
Food insecurity during last month		
Yes	61	19.20%
Primary occupation		
Farmworker	64	20.10%
Other	64	20.10%
Construction	25	7.90%
Landscaping	18	5.70%
Packinghouse worker	16	5.00%
Healthcare worker	13	4.10%
Food service	13	4.10%
Maintenance or custodian	9	2.80%
Driver	8	2.50%
Painter	7	2.20%
Education	7	2.20%
Agricultural supervisor	4	1.30%
Casino Employee	3	0.90%
Unemployed	53	16.70%
Retired	14	4.40%

188

189 3.3. Essential Worker Status and Workplace Policies

190 Table 2 details participants' occupational status and policies. Twenty-six percent of English

191 speakers stated they were offered the option to work from home during the pandemic. By

contrast, only 3% of both Spanish and Haitian Creole speakers reported being given the option to work from home ($p < 0.001$ English vs Spanish; $p = 0.0023$ English vs Haitian Creole). The majority of employed individuals in all language groups were categorized as essential workers by the state of Florida.¹⁸

Table 2. Self-reported workplace policies of participants and essential worker status, stratified by primary language spoken

Survey question	Answer	Primary language spoken						Comparison	
		English		Spanish		Haitian Creole		English / Spanish (<i>p</i>)	English / Creole (<i>p</i>)
		%	No.	%	No.	%	No.		
Option to work from home?	No	74.40%	67	97.00%	96	97.10%	34		
	Yes	25.60%	23	3.00%	3	2.90%	1	<0.0000	0.0023
PPE at work?	No	15.90%	14	26.50%	26	19.40%	7		
	Yes	84.10%	74	73.50%	72	80.60%	29	0.0784	0.6388
Essential worker									
	Yes	68.60%	81	76.70%	102	66.10%	39		
	No	31.40%	37	23.30%	31	33.90%	20	0.1522	0.7329

Note: Boldface indicates statistical significance ($p < 0.05$).

3.4. COVID-19 Testing Experiences

Table 3 shows participants' testing experiences, compared by preferred language. 38.1%, 48.1%, and 57.6% of English, Spanish, and Haitian Creole speakers, respectively, reported that they had never been tested for COVID-19. Significantly fewer Haitian Creole than English speakers reported being tested ($p = 0.014$). English and Spanish speakers reported being tested at similar sites, primarily by the DOH, while Haitian Creole speakers were more likely to report being

205 tested at the HCN Immokalee Clinic or HCN-affiliated mobile testing sites ($p < .0001$). English
 206 speakers were more likely to report being tested at sites outside of Immokalee, namely Fort
 207 Myers and Naples, compared to Spanish and Haitian Creole speakers.
 208
 209 There were no differences in the length of time that lapsed before results were available, though
 210 31.5% of English and 42.6 % of Spanish speakers reported waiting one week or more before
 211 receiving their results. More positive COVID-19 test results were reported for English-speaking
 212 participants (27.4%) than Haitian Creole-speaking participants (0%), though no significant
 213 difference was reported between English and Spanish speaking (26.1%) participants.
 214 When asked whether participants would utilize testing resources if exposed to COVID-19, a
 215 large share of Spanish (89.5%), Haitian Creole (91.4%) and English speakers (87.3%) reported
 216 they would be willing to be tested. The majority of English (53.4%), Spanish (65.4%), and
 217 Haitian Creole speakers (66.7%) reported that they would be willing to isolate themselves in a
 218 temporary shelter if necessary and they were unable to do so inside their own home.
 219

Table 3. Participant experiences with COVID-19 testing, stratified by primary language spoken

Table 6. Participant experiences with COVID-19 testing, stratified by primary language spoken									
		Primary language spoken							
		English		Spanish		Haitian Creole		Comparison	
Survey question	Answer	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	English / Spanish (<i>p</i>)	English / Creole (<i>p</i>)
Have you been tested for COVID-19?	Yes	61.90%	73	51.90%	69	42.40%	25	0.1112	0.0139^a
	No	38.10%	45	48.10%	64	57.60%	34		
Where were you tested?	DOH	23.90%	21	25.70%	19	7.10%	2		
	Immokalee Clinic	18.20%	16	36.50%	27	60.70%	17		
	Naples	20.50%	18	16.20%	12	14.30%	4		
	Fort Myers	22.70%	20	10.80%	8	0.00%	0		

	Other	14.80%	13	10.80%	8	17.90%	5	0.0547	<0.0000^b
	<24 hours	31.50%	23	22.10%	15	56.00%	14		
How long for results?	2-3 days	26.00%	19	25.00%	17	16.00%	4		
	4-6 days	11.00%	8	10.30%	7	12.00%	3		
	1 week+	31.50%	23	42.60%	29	16.00%	4	0.4967	0.1617 ^b
What was the result?	Positive	27.40%	20	26.10%	18	0.00%	0		
	Negative	71.20%	52	73.90%	51	96.00%	24	0.8514	0.0026^b
If you or another person in your household was showing symptoms such as fever, cough, fatigue, would you get tested for COVID-19?	Yes	87.30%	103	89.50%	119	91.40%	53		
	No	11.90%	14	3.00%	4	6.90%	4		
	Not sure	0.80%	1	7.50%	10	1.70%	1	0.0132	0.4292 ^b
If you were to be unable to isolate in your current housing for COVID-19, would you be open to temporarily going to a supportive isolation shelter?	Yes	53.40%	63	65.40%	87	66.70%	38		
	No	38.10%	45	29.30%	39	24.60%	14		
	Not sure	8.50%	10	5.30%	7	8.80%	5	0.0885	0.0702 ^a

^a Chi square

^b Fisher's exact

Note: Boldface indicates statistical significance (p<0.05).

3.5. Quality of Contact Tracing

Those that tested positive for COVID-19 expected to be called by the DOH to trace close contacts, inquire about their ability to quarantine, and provide information about local resources supporting quarantine. Table 4 reflects participants' experiences with the contact tracing process. No Haitian Creole speakers from our sample reported having tested positive. Only 35% of English speakers and 33% of Spanish speakers who tested positive were asked for names and

phone numbers of individuals with which they had been in close contact. 70% of English speakers were asked about their ability to safely quarantine, compared to only 39% of Spanish speakers ($p=0.041$). Forty-five percent of English speakers reported being provided information on local resources helping with quarantine, compared to 28% of Spanish speakers. Only 26% of English speakers reported being asked about their language preference compared to 82% of Spanish speakers, suggesting that most calls started in English and switched to Spanish if the recipient stated they did not understand English.

Individuals that were identified as close contacts of someone that had tested positive for COVID-19 were also supposed to be called about their exposure and need to quarantine. 33% of English speakers and 42% of Spanish speakers reported being called by the DOH about their positive close contact.

Table 4. Contact tracing experiences of participants, stratified by primary language spoken

		Primary language spoken				
		English		Spanish		Comparison
Survey question	Answer	%	No.	%	No.	English / Spanish (<i>p</i>)
Participants who tested positive			20		18	
	Yes	35.00%	7	33.30%	6	
Contact tracing occurred ^a	No	60.00%	12	66.70%	12	1
Quarantine guidance given ^b	Yes	70.00%	14	38.90%	7	0.0409
	No	20.00%	4	61.10%	11	
Connected to resources ^c	Yes	45.00%	9	27.80%	5	0.3133
	No	50.00%	10	72.20%	13	
Asked language preference	Yes	26.30%	5	81.80%	9	0.0183
	No	57.90%	11	18.20%	2	

Language of phone call	English	94.70%	18	9.10%	1	<0.0000
	Spanish	5.30%	1	90.90%	10	
Participants with positive close contact			42		24	
Informed of positive contact	Yes	33.30%	14	41.70%	10	0.6005
	No	64.30%	27	58.30%	14	

^a Did they ask for assistance in identifying the names and phone numbers of individuals you were in contact with?

^b Did they ask about your ability to safely isolate and quarantine in your current housing situation?

^c Did they provide information on any resources that exist to help assist with isolation or quarantine?

Note: Boldface indicates statistical significance (p<0.05).

4. Discussion

In this first study of experiences with COVID-19 testing and contact tracing in an MSFW population, we found high excess mortality and high COVID-19 risk, with low testing and contact tracing rates and multiple language-based disparities despite many actions from a coalition of stakeholders. The 108% excess mortality rate in Collier County calculated in this study is extremely high. In comparison, average excess mortality in Florida was estimated to be 15.5% from March to September, with a peak of 38.1% in August,¹⁹ while nationwide data showed an average of 18.5% excess deaths from March through the end of July.²⁰ While we cannot discern how many of these excess deaths were specifically from Immokalee, we know it is an especially vulnerable community within the county, as demonstrated by our data. With an average household size of ~4 people with shared bathrooms, significant food and housing insecurity, and a preponderance of essential workers, the risk of COVID-19 infection was and continues to be high in this community.¹⁰ For non-English speakers, that risk is even higher, as our data show they are less frequently able to work from home.

Confronted by this excess disease burden in a highly vulnerable population, the stakeholder groups came together rapidly and worked towards a COVID-19 response. Through their combined efforts, a new system for testing, quarantine and contact tracing was put into place. Our data show the successes and challenges of setting up such a system in Immokalee, with lessons relevant to MSFW populations across the U.S.

The initial testing system was based on appointments scheduled via an English-only online portal. While most surveyed residents indicated willingness to test and quarantine, the data show marked language disparities in testing, with significantly lower testing rates in Haitian Creole speakers. The HCN helped address this disparity a few months into testing by initiating rapid antigen testing at mobile field sites run by Haitian Creole- and Spanish-speaking staff. Our data show that this change may have facilitated a higher testing rate in the Haitian Creole population; amongst the number of Haitian Creole speakers tested, the majority received tests at these HCN rapid-test sites. However, the data show overall low testing rates for all language groups despite these collective efforts, demonstrating a need for even more accessible testing for predominantly MSFW populations.

Our data also highlight challenges with initiating a contact tracing program. Contact tracing is a key strategy for interrupting COVID-19 transmission and providing linkage to quarantine resources for vulnerable individuals,^{21,22} but the percentage of participants in this sample that received contact tracing calls was much lower than the 80% benchmark endorsed by recent studies.²³ Language disparities were also present in contact tracing: Spanish-speaking individuals were significantly less likely to be asked by contact tracers about their ability to safely isolate.

We were unable to collect any data on Haitian Creole speakers' experiences with contact tracing, because so few Haitian Creole-speaking respondents were tested. Without access to testing, the true burden of COVID-19 infections in the Haitian population is unknown, and uninterrupted transmission is likely to have occurred.

These disparities in testing and contact tracing highlight the challenges that the population and coalition of stakeholders faced while responding to the high COVID-19 rates in Immokalee. The disparities demonstrate the need for all stakeholders in the larger health system to connect more effectively with vulnerable communities like MSFW, especially Haitian Creole speakers who are known to be particularly marginalized.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine community experiences with COVID-19 for MSFW in Florida and the first to evaluate a COVID-19 contact tracing program using probability sampling methods. The population sampled was representative of the diverse Immokalee community with study demographics that parallel 2019 Census Data regarding gender and race distribution and household size.²⁴ This study is well-powered to detect differences regarding contact tracing experiences among language groups, especially as we anticipated more clustering than was actually present in our sample.

In terms of future public health responses both in Immokalee and other MSFW communities, it will be key to employ strategies that attend to differential access, vulnerability, and experiences. For example, several programs across the United States indicate promises of linking social support with contact tracing for vulnerable populations and represent an important target for

intervention for future health emergencies.^{23,25} The groups working in Immokalee are currently implementing various social support programs, and further research is necessary to understand the effects of this approach. Further research on the Haitian Creole population's experience will also be essential in planning future responses that better reach the most vulnerable. Moving forward, rapid upscaling of testing access, quality improvement of contact tracing, and community vaccination are needed to prevent continued disproportionate COVID-19 spread and death in vulnerable MSFW populations as new variants of SARS-CoV-2 surge.

4.1 Limitations

First, households were sampled from publicly available housing data, which may miss some MSFW living in mobile homes. Also, the survey response rate was just over 50%, raising the possibility of non-response bias. Our suspicion is that non-respondents were more likely to view outreach efforts as intrusive during a pandemic and/or have concerns about migration status; we hypothesize that non-respondents were probably less likely to be reached by public health programs. Additionally, respondents who were migratory from June-October for farm work may have been less likely to receive services. Finally, three participants whose primary language was Mam (a Central American Indigenous language) completed the questionnaire in Spanish, potentially affecting their answers. Future surveys should include all languages in Immokalee.

5. Conclusion

Overall, this study quantifies the impact of COVID-19 on Immokalee and elucidates the individual, household, and occupational factors that place this community at especially high risk. The data show that despite coordinated efforts from a committed group of stakeholders,

327 significant language-based inequities impacted the risk of contracting COVID-19, testing rates,
328 and receiving high-quality contact tracing. These inequities are a proxy for the disproportionate
329 barriers faced by non-English speaking populations in Immokalee to access care.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Marley Monacello and Julia Perkins from the Coalition of Immokalee Workers, John “Trey” Fletcher from the Healthcare Network, and the staff at the Collier County Department of Health for their contributions.

Author Contributions:

Neha Limaye: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing-Original draft preparation, Project administration. **Brennan Ninesling:** Methodology, Investigation, Writing-Original draft preparation, Visualization. **Frantzso Marcelin:** Methodology, Investigation, Writing-Original draft preparation. **Cody Nolan:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Data Curation, Writing-Original draft preparation. **Walter Sobba:** Software, Formal analysis, Writing-Original draft preparation. **Matthew Hing:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing-Original draft preparation. **Emily Ptaszek:** Writing-Review & Editing. **Fernet Léandre:** Conceptualization, Writing- Reviewing & Editing. **Dan Palazuelos:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing-Review & Editing, Supervision.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The authors did not receive funds, grants, or other support from any organization for the submitted work.

7. References

1. Hansen E, Donohoe M. Health issues of migrant and seasonal farmworkers. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2003;14(2):153-164. doi:10.1353/hpu.2010.0790
2. Rust GS. Health status of migrant farmworkers: a literature review and commentary. *Am J Public Health*. 1990;80(10):1213-1217. doi:10.2105/AJPH.80.10.1213
3. Zahm SH, Blair A. Cancer among migrant and seasonal farmworkers: an epidemiologic review and research agenda. *Am J Ind Med*. 1993;24(6):753-766. doi:10.1002/ajim.4700240612
4. Lighthall D. The poor health of farm workers. *West J Med*. 2001;175(4):223-224. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1071557/>. Accessed May 17, 2021.
5. Frank AL, Liebman AK, Ryder B, Weir M, Arcury TA. Health care access and health care workforce for immigrant workers in the agriculture, forestry, and fisheries sector in the southeastern US. *Am J Ind Med*. 2013;56(8):960-974. doi:10.1002/ajim.22183
6. McCoy HV, Williams ML, Atkinson JS, Rubens M. Structural Characteristics of Migrant Farmworkers Reporting a Relationship with a Primary Care Physician. *J Immigr Minor Health Cent Minor Public Health*. 2016;18(3):710-714. doi:10.1007/s10903-015-0265-2
7. Macias Gil R, Marcelin JR, Zuniga-Blanco B, Marquez C, Mathew T, Piggott DA. COVID-19 Pandemic: Disparate Health Impact on the Hispanic/Latinx Population in the United States. *J Infect Dis*. 2020;222(10):1592-1595. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa474
8. Kullar R, Marcelin JR, Swartz TH, et al. Racial Disparity of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in African American Communities. *J Infect Dis*. 2020;222(6):890-893. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa372
9. Clark E, Fredricks K, Woc-Colburn L, Bottazzi ME, Weatherhead J. Disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on immigrant communities in the United States. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2020;14(7). doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008484
10. Fielding-Miller RK, Sundaram ME, Brouwer K. Social determinants of COVID-19 mortality at the county level. *PloS One*. 2020;15(10):e0240151. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0240151
11. Handal AJ, Iglesias-Ríos L, Fleming PJ, Valentín-Cortés MA, O'Neill MS. "Essential" but Expendable: Farmworkers During the COVID-19 Pandemic-The Michigan Farmworker Project. *Am J Public Health*. 2020;110(12):1760-1762. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2020.305947
12. Flocks J. The Potential Impact of COVID-19 on H-2A Agricultural Workers. *J Agromedicine*. 2020;25(4):367-369. doi:10.1080/1059924X.2020.1814922

13. Tutor Marcom R, Freeman Lambar E, Rodman B, et al. Working along the Continuum: North Carolina's Collaborative Response to COVID-19 for Migrant & Seasonal Farmworkers. *J Agromedicine*. 2020;25(4):409-412. doi:10.1080/1059924X.2020.1815621
14. Ogles J. Rural Immokalee now has more COVID-19 cases than any other zip code in Florida. *Fla Polit - Campaigns Elections Lobbying Gov*. June 2020. <https://floridapolitics.com/archives/342071-rural-immokalee-now-has-more-covid-19-cases-than-any-zip-code-in-florida/>. Accessed May 17, 2021.
15. Florida: Doctors Without Borders ends COVID-19 activities in Immokalee. Doctors Without Borders - USA. <https://www.doctorswithoutborders.org/what-we-do/news-stories/news/florida-doctors-without-borders-ends-covid-19-activities-immokalee>. Published June 26, 2020. Accessed May 17, 2021.
16. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009;42(2):377-381. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
17. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. *J Biomed Inform*. 2019;95:103208. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208
18. COVID-19: Essential Workers in the States. National Conference of State Legislatures. <https://www.ncsl.org/research/labor-and-employment/covid-19-essential-workers-in-the-states.aspx>. Published January 11, 2021. Accessed May 17, 2021.
19. Tatar M, Habibdoust A, Wilson FA. Analysis of Excess Deaths During the COVID-19 Pandemic in the State of Florida. *Am J Public Health*. 2021;111(4):704-707. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2020.306130
20. Faust JS, Krumholz HM, Du C, et al. All-Cause Excess Mortality and COVID-19-Related Mortality Among US Adults Aged 25-44 Years, March-July 2020. *JAMA*. 2021;325(8):785. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.24243
21. Schneider J, Love W, Rusie L, et al. COVID-19 Contact Tracing Conundrums: Insights From the Front Lines. *Am J Public Health*. 2021;111(5):917-922. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2021.306200
22. World Health Organization. Contact tracing in the context of COVID-19. <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/contact-tracing-in-the-context-of-covid-19>. Published February 1, 2021. Accessed May 17, 2021.
23. Juneau C-E, Briand A-S, Pueyo T, Collazzo P, Potvin L. Effective Contact Tracing for COVID-19: A Systematic Review. *medRxiv*. July 2020:2020.07.23.20160234. doi:10.1101/2020.07.23.20160234

24. U.S. Census Bureau. American Community Survey 5-year estimates. Census Reporter Profile page for Immokalee, FL. <http://censusreporter.org/profiles/16000US1233250-immokalee-fl/>. Published 2019. Accessed May 31, 2021.
25. Cevik M, Baral SD, Crozier A, Cassell JA. Support for self-isolation is critical in covid-19 response. *BMJ*. 2021;372:n224. doi:10.1136/bmj.n224

8. Appendix

Appendix A, Figure 1. Timeline of COVID-19-related services in Collier County, Florida.

Appendix A, Figure 2. Flow diagram of participant recruitment

Appendix A, Table 1. Results for total recorded deaths, predicted deaths, and estimated excess deaths.

	May					June				July					August		Total
Week	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
Date	4/27-5/3	5/4-5/10	5/11-5/17	5/18-5/24	5/25-5/31	6/1-6/7	6/8-6/14	6/15-5/21	6/22-6/28	6/29-7/5	7/6-7/12	7/13-7/19	7/20-7/26	7/27-8/2	8/3-8/9	8/10-8/16	
Total all-cause recorded deaths, no.	0	5	20	24	12	16	25	16	27	23	30	32	22	29	37	1	319
Predicted deaths, no.	0	2.4	9.6	4.5	13.5	15.3	13.0	14.5	14.2	11.2	8.1	12.1	11.0	13.5	10.7	0.4	154
Excess deaths, no.	0	2.6	10.4	19.5	0.0	0.7	12.0	1.5	12.8	11.8	21.9	19.9	11.0	15.5	26.3	0.6	167
Excess deaths, %	0%	108%	108%	433%	0%	5%	92%	10%	90%	105%	270%	164%	100%	115%	246%	150%	108%

Appendix B

Community Questionnaire, English

Health Determinants and COVID Questionnaire		
General Instructions: Thank you for participating in this survey. The survey is anonymous. For any question, if you do not feel comfortable answering, you can skip the question or say you prefer not to answer. If you want to end the survey at any time, you can stop whenever you would like.		
Section 1: Screening		
A.1	Are you 18 years or older?	Yes 1 No 2 >>> End Survey
A.2	Do you live in Immokalee?	Yes 1 No 2 >>>End Survey
Have you spent two or more weeks staying in Immokalee between March and November 2020?		
A.3		Yes 1 No 2 >>> End Survey
Section 2: Demographics		
D.1	What gender do you identify as?	Female 1 Please read all options Male 2 Transgender 3 Non-binary 4 Other 5 Prefer not to answer 6
D.2	How old are you?	18-24 1 25-34 2 35-44 3 45-64 4 65-80 5 81+ 6
D.3	What race or ethnicity do you identify with?	1 Please read all options White 2 Black 3 Latino/a 4 Indigenous 5 Asian 6 Pacific Islander 7 Native American (US Tribe) 8 Prefer not to answer 9 Other:
D.4	What language do you prefer to use to communicate?	English 1 Spanish 2 Haitian Creole 3 Mam 4 Other:
D.5	What was the highest grade in school that you completed?	No formal schooling 1 Between kindergarten-5th grade 2 Between 6-8th grade 3 Between 9-12th grade 4 13+ grades (beyond high school) 5
D.6	What is your primary occupation?	Farmworker 1 Packinghouse Worker 2 Crew Leader/Agricultural Supervisor 3 Landscaping 4 Construction 5 Food service 6 Other:
D.7	Have you been working during the pandemic?	Yes 1 No 2 >>>Skip to S1
D.8	Were you offered the option of working from	Yes 1 No 2
D.9	equipment (facemasks, gloves) while at	Yes 1 No 2
D.10	Would you be offered sick leave if you were to	Yes 1 No 2
Section 3: Social Determinants of Health		
S.1	What is your housing situation?	I do not have housing (I am staying 1 Please read all options I have housing today, but I am worried 2 I have housing 3 Other: 4
S.2	How many people are living in the place where you currently stay?	Write in number
S.3	How many bathrooms are there in the place you are currently staying?	Write in number
S.4	How many bedrooms are in the place you are currently staying?	Write in number
S.5	If you were to be unable to isolate in your current housing for COVID-19, would you be open to temporarily going to a supportive isolation shelter to protect the others in your home?	Yes 1 No 2 Not sure 3
S.6	In the past month, did you run out of food before you had money to buy more?	Yes 1 No 2 Not sure 3 Prefer not to answer 4
Section 4: Covid-19 Impact		
C.1	During the past few months, where have you received information about COVID-19?	Please read all options, and have participant select all that apply Newspapers 1 Television 2 Internet news 3 Social media 4 Health promoters/promotoras 5 Clinic/Hospital 6 Friends 7 Family 8 Co-Workers 9 Radio 10 Other: 11

C.2	Which of these sources of information do you trust the most?	Newspapers Television Internet news Social media Health promoters/promotoras Clinic/Hospital Friends Family Co-Workers Radio Other: _____	1 Please have participant select ONE 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
C.3	Have you ever been tested for COVID-19?	Yes No Prefer not to answer	1 2 >>>Skip to C.12 3 >>>Skip to C.12
C.4	Where did you get tested?	Department Of Health Immokalee Clinic Fellowship Church Naples Fort Meyers Other: _____	1 2 3 4 5 6
C.5	How long did it take to find out the results?	<24 hours 2-3 days 4-6 days One week or more?	1 2 3 4
C.6	What was the result of the test?	Positive Negative Not sure Prefer not to answer	1 2 >>>Skip to C.13 3 >>>Skip to C.13 4 >>>Skip to C.13
C.7	When you received the phone call about your test result, did they ask for assistance in identifying the names and phone numbers of individuals you were in contact with?	Yes No Not sure Prefer not to answer	1 2 3 4
C.8	Did they ask about your ability to safely isolate and quarantine in your current housing situation?	Yes No Not sure Prefer not to answer	1 2 3 4
C.9	At any point during the phone call, did they provide information on any resources that exist to help assist with isolation or quarantine?	Yes No Not sure Prefer not to answer	1 2 3 4
C.10	What language did the phone call take place in?	English Spanish Haitian Creole Other: _____	1 2 3 4
C.11	Did the caller ask about your language preference?	Yes Not sure No	1 >>>Skip to C.13 3 >>>Skip to C.13 2 >>>Skip to C.13
C.12	Which (if any) of the following factors prevent you from getting tested for COVID-19?	I did not have symptoms/I do not feel sick Not concerned about it Ability to pay for testing I dont understand the testing process Afraid to get tested I dont want to be judged if I have it Lack of transportation Live alone and do not have a caretaker Injury or disability prevent me from leavi Other	Please read all options, and have participant select all that apply 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
C.13	Did anyone in your household or another person close to you with test positive for COVID-19?	Yes No Not sure Prefer not to answer	1 2 >>>Skip to C.17 3 >>>Skip to C.17 4 >>>Skip to C.17
C.14	Were you called by the Department of Health about the positive contact?	Yes No Not sure Prefer not to answer	1 2 3 4
C.15	What language did the phone call take place in?	English Spanish Haitian Creole Other: _____	1 2 3 4
C.16	Did the caller ask about your language preference?	Yes Not sure No	1 3 2
C.17	If you or another person in your household was showing symptoms such as fever, cough, fatigue, would you get tested for COVID-19?	Yes No Not Sure	1 >>>End of Survey 2 >>>End of Survey 3 >>>End of Survey