Sex, Military Occupation, and Rank Are Associated with Risk of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury in Tactical-Athletes

Aubrey D Agüero, PT, DPT^{1,2}

James J Irrgang, PT, PhD, FAPTA¹

Andrew J MacGregor, PhD, MPH^{3,4}

Scott D Rothenberger, PhD⁵

Joseph M Hart, PhD, ATC, FACSM⁶

John J Fraser, PT, DPT, PhD, FACSM³

1. Physical Therapy Department, School of Health & Rehabilitation Sciences, University of

Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA

2. Naval Medical Leader & Professional Development Command, Bethesda, MD

3. Directorate for Operational Readiness & Health, US Naval Health Research Center, San

Diego, CA

4. Axiom Resource Management, Inc., San Diego, California

5. Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA

6. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

*Address correspondence to John J. Fraser, Directorate for Operational Readiness & Health,

Naval Health Research Center, 140 Sylvester Road, San Diego, CA 92106-3251, USA. Tel: 757-

438-0390, email: john.j.fraser8.mil@mail.mil, ORCID: 0000-0001-9697-3795, Twitter:

@NavyPT

24

25 The preprint of this manuscript is archived on MedRxiv at doi: 10.1101/XXXXXXXXXXXXX

26

27 **Disclaimer:** ADA, AJM, and JJF are military service members or employees of the U.S.

28 Government. This work was prepared as part of their official duties. Title 17, U.S.C. §105

29 provides that copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the U.S.

30 Government. Title 17, U.S.C. §101 defines a U.S. Government work as work prepared by a

31 military service member or employee of the U.S. Government as part of that person's official

32 duties. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect

33 the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the

34 U.S. Government. The study protocol was approved by the Naval Health Research Center

35 Institutional Review Board in compliance with all applicable Federal regulations governing the

36 protection of human subjects. Research data were derived from an approved Naval Health

37 Research Center Institutional Review Board protocol, number NHRC.2020.0203-NHSR.

38

39 **Contributors:** AAD and JJI contributed to data analysis, interpretation, and drafting this

40 manuscript. AJM and JMH contributed to data interpretation. SDR contributed to data analysis.

41 JJF contributed to study design, data analysis, interpretation, and drafting this manuscript. All

42 authors have reviewed and approved this manuscript.

ABSTRACT

Background: ACL injury is frequent within the U.S. military and represents a significant loss to readiness. Since recent changes to operational tempo, there has not been an analysis of ACL injury risk. There is sparse evidence on salient risk factors for ACL injury across all service members.

Hypothesis/Purpose: The aim of this study is to evaluate military occupation, sex, rank, and branch of service on ACL injury risk in the U.S. military from 2006 to 2018.

Study Design: Descriptive Epidemiology Study.

Methods: The Defense Medical Epidemiology Database was queried for the number of U.S. military members with ICD diagnosis codes 717.83 (Old disruption of ACL), 844.2 (Sprain of knee cruciate ligament), M23.61 (Other spontaneous disruption of ACL), and S83.51 (Sprain of ACL of knee) on their initial encounter from 2006 to 2018. Relative risk (RR) and chi-square statistics were calculated to assess sex and military occupation effects on ACL injury. A multivariable negative binomial regression model evaluated changes in ACL injury incidence with respect to sex, branch of service, and rank.

Results: The study period displayed a significant decrease in the ACL injury rate at 0.18 cases per 1000 person-years or relative decrease of 4.08% each year ($p < 0.001$) after averaging over the main and interactive effects of sex, rank, and branch of service. The interaction effect of time with sex indicated a steeper decline in ACL injury incidence in males as compared to females. The risk of ACL injury by sex was modified by rank. Furthermore, the incidence of ACL injury among military personnel varied depending on occupation.

Conclusion: Despite the decline in incidence among military members over time, the rates of ACL injury remain much higher than the general U.S. population. Sex, rank, branch of service, and military occupation were found to be risk factors for ACL injury.

Clinical Relevance: These results are evidence to support inquiry into the specific hazards associated with these factors. It is critical for policy makers to understand the salient risk factors for ACL injury to guide appropriate proactive measures to prevent injury.

Keywords: knee injuries, military personnel, occupational injuries, sports medicine

What is known about this subject: ACL injury is a known command readiness issue in the military, and there is recent evidence of this within subpopulations of the military.

What this study adds to existing literature: This study provides updated trends in ACL injury across the military in light of changes to operational tempo and identifies salient risk factors for ACL injury, which have been previously unknown on a population basis.

INTRODUCTION

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury is frequent among athletes^{23,25} and United States military service members²⁹ which results in significant costs in terms of time and productivity. The rate of ACL injuries sustained by athletes varies widely by sport and competitive level. In studies of at least moderate sample size, the incidence in professional and elite sports is comparable to that in military populations.²⁴ Previous epidemiological studies of ACL injury in the military population have focused on specific subgroups such as the U.S. Naval Special Warfare community³⁰ and midshipmen at the U.S. Naval Academy;¹⁸ however these are both highly active groups that are not representative of the typical service member. In the first military-wide population study assessing ACL injury, Owens and colleagues estimated the incidence of ACL injury for the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes 717.83 (old disruption of ACL) and 844.2 (sprain of knee cruciate ligament) across all services to be 3.0 per 1,000 person-years and 3.7 per 1,000 person-years, respectively, for the period of 1993 to 2003.²⁷ This is in stark contrast to the estimated incidence of the general population in the United States at 0.7 per 1,000 person-years.³¹ The burden of ACL injury within the military represents a significant percentage of loss of command readiness²⁹ that is further complicated by the changes in operational tempo, the rate of involvement in military activities over time. The end of the study period by Owens and colleagues coincides with the beginning of the conflicts Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) in 2001 and Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) in 2003. The changes to incidence of ACL injury across the U.S. military population since 2003 as a result of the changes in operational tempo remains unclear.

Each military branch is comprised of many, diverse occupations that have specific physical requirements and opportunity for injury. An overview of the diverse military occupational specialties can be viewed at <https://bit.ly/MilOcc>. Furthermore, rank within the military can be a factor in the amount of physical labor performed. With regards to the risk stratified by rank, most studies have been directed at return to duty rates after ACL reconstruction (ACLR).^{5,13} Antosh and colleagues found that rank was not a significant predictor for return to duty after ACLR at one institution,⁵ however, there is little evidence regarding rank as a risk factor for ACL injury across the U.S. military.

Rescission of the “1994 Direct Ground Combat Definition and Assignment Rule” in January of 2013¹⁴ allowed for the integration of women into all military occupations employed in combat that were previously closed to them. The Secretary of Defense issued a memorandum to direct all branches to execute their plans to fully implement women into all occupational specialties and career fields no later than April 1, 2016.¹¹ Since this time, it is unclear how this policy has affected the incidence of ACL injury by sex within occupational specialties. Analogous to Title IX integrating women in collegiate athletics, observed rates of ACL injuries were higher in male athletes prior to implementation of this policy.²⁰ This is despite the documented higher non-modifiable intrinsic risk in women^{3,17,23} and likely a function of exposure. There is an obvious necessity to not only have an updated understanding of the burden of ACL injury to the tactical athlete, but to further elucidate the factors that can increase risk. Therefore, the aim of this epidemiological retrospective cohort study was to evaluate the effects of military occupation, sex, rank, and branch of service on the risk of ACL injury in the U.S. military over the course of time from 2006 to 2018.

METHODS

A population-based epidemiological retrospective cohort study of all service members in the US Armed Forces was performed to assess the risk of sex, rank, branch of service and military occupation on the incidence of ACL injury. The Defense Medical Epidemiological Database [(DMED), Defense Health Agency, Falls Church, VA, <https://bit.ly/DHADMED>] was utilized to identify relevant healthcare encounters. This database provides aggregated data for ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes and de-identified patient characteristics, including sex, rank, categories of military occupations, and branch of service for all active duty and reserve military service members. The database is HIPAA compliant, does not include any personal identifiable or personal health information, and has been used previously for epidemiological study of lower extremity injury in the military.^{16,27,28} This study was approved as non-human-subjects research by the Institutional Review Board at the U.S. Naval Health Research Center (NHRC.2020.0203-NHSR).

The database was queried for the number of distinct patients with a primary diagnosis of ACL injury [717.83 (Old disruption of ACL), 844.2 (Sprain of knee cruciate ligament), M23.61 (Other spontaneous disruption of ACL), and S83.51 (Sprain of ACL of knee)] on their initial encounter from 2006 to 2018. Individuals with repeat visits for the same diagnosis were only counted once in all analyses.

Calculations of cumulative incidence of patients diagnosed with an ACL injury were conducted for male and female military members, enlisted and officers, in each service branch (Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force) and occupational category (enlisted specialties: special operations forces, mechanized/armor, artillery/gunnery, aviation, engineers, maintenance, administration, intelligence, and communication, logistics, and maritime/naval specialties; officer specialties: aviation, engineering and maintenance, administration, operations and

intelligence, logistics, and services). Since military end strength fluctuates with a large portion of the force that attrites and is replaced per annum,²² the population at risk should be considered a dynamic cohort. Incidence rates were calculated from the sum of the per-annum ACL outcomes and population at risk in the 13-year study epoch. Relative risk (RR) point estimates and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), risk difference point estimates, attributable risk (AR), number needed to harm (NNH), and chi-square statistics were calculated to assess the effects of sex and military occupational category. All of the preceding calculations were performed using Microsoft Excel for Mac 2016 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) and a custom epidemiological calculator spreadsheet.²¹

A multivariable negative binomial regression was performed to evaluate time trends with respect to ACL injury incidence and included the factors of sex, rank, and branch of service. Average marginal effects of predictor variables in the negative binomial model were estimated for ease of interpretation. Due to overdispersion of the data indicated by the likelihood ratio test demonstrating that alpha is significantly different from zero, the negative binomial model was chosen over the Poisson regression model.¹⁰ The regression analysis was performed using Stata 16 software (StataCorp. 2019. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.).

Male service members were used as the reference group in the assessment of sex. Enlisted personnel were used as the reference group for rank as there is evidence that enlisted personnel suffer more disease and non-battle injuries as compared to commissioned officers.⁸ Enlisted Infantry and Ground/ Naval Gunfire officer groups were used as the reference groups in the assessment of occupational risk. From an organizational and operational perspective, injury risk is referenced to groups with increased exposures to injury due to the relatively higher

physical requirements of these occupational categories.^{4,16} Finally, the Army was the reference group for service branch, with evidence supporting increased injury rates in the Army compared to the other services.¹⁹ The level of significance was $p \leq .05$ for all analyses, and no adjustments were made for multiplicity. Relative risk point estimates were considered statistically significant if CIs did not cross the 1.00 threshold.

RESULTS

During the study period from 2006 to 2018, 59,555 enlisted males sustained ACL injuries (4.8 per 1000 person-years) and 8,350 enlisted females sustained ACL injuries (3.9 per 1000 person-years) for a total of 67,905 enlisted member ACL injuries across the services (4.6 per 1000 person-years). During the same time period, 9,983 male officers sustained ACL injuries (4.0 per 1000 person-years) and 2,198 female officers sustained ACL injuries (4.5 per 1000 person-years) for a total of 12,181 officer ACL injuries across the services (4.1 per 1000 person-years). Tables 1 and 2 display the counts and incidence rates. The overall trend across all services, sex, and rank was a decreasing rate of ACL injury with the exception of spikes in incidence in 2010 and 2016 for female Marine Corps officers (Figures 1-4). Officer male incidence per 1000 person-years decreased from 5.2 (95% CI, 4.9-5.5) to 2.7 (95% CI, 2.5 to 2.9), officer female incidence per 1000 person-years decreased from 5.1 (95% CI, 4.3-5.9) to 3.7 (95% CI, 3.1-4.3), enlisted male incidence per 1000 person-years decreased from 5.9 (95% CI, 5.7-6.1) to 3.3 (95% CI, 3.2-3.4), and enlisted female incidence per 1000 person-years decreased from 4.5 (95% CI, 4.2-4.8) to 2.8 (95% CI, 2.6-3.0).

Table 3 reports the risk of ACL injury by sex, with females referenced to males within their respective rank (officer versus enlisted) and occupation. Female officers had a statistically significant increased risk of ACL injury overall compared to their male colleagues (RR = 1.14

(95% CI 1.09-1.19), AR = 12.0% , NNH = 1836, $p < .001$) and in aviation, administration, and operations and intelligence occupations (RR range = 1.16-1.39, AR = 14-28.1%, NNH = 617-1650, $p \leq .05$). Female enlisted members had a statistically significant decreased risk of ACL injury overall (RR = 0.82 (95% CI = 0.80-0.83), AR = -22.7%, NNH = -1134, $p < .001$) and in maintenance, administration, intelligence, and communication, logistics, and maritime/naval specialties compared to males (RR range = 0.61-0.83, AR = -64 – -20.9%, NNH = -1173 – -523, $p < .001$).

Occupation is shown as a risk factor for ACL injury referenced to infantry for enlisted occupations and referenced to ground and naval gunfire officers for officer occupations in Table 4. Enlisted personnel in aviation, maintenance, administration, intelligence, communication, and maritime/naval specialties were at a statistically significant lower risk compared to infantry (RR range = 0.81-0.93, AR = -23.5 – -8.0%, NNH = -2576 – -1002, $p \leq .05$), and Special Operation Forces and Artillery/Gunnery occupations were at a statistically significant higher risk compared to infantry (RR range = 1.07-1.19, AR = 6.3 – 15.8%, NNH = 1013 – 2827, $p \leq .01$). Aviation officers and services officers had a statistically significant lower risk of ACL injury compared to ground and naval gunfire officers (RR range = 0.89-0.92, AR = -12.9 – -8.2%, NNH = -2127 – -3187, $p \leq .01$), and logistics officers had a statistically higher risk compared to ground and naval gunfire officers (RR = 1.13, AR = 11.8%, NNH = 1808, $p < .001$).

The results of the multivariable negative binomial regression demonstrated significant effects of time, sex, rank, branch of service, and an interaction effect of sex with time on the incidence of ACL injury. There is a statistically significant decrease in ACL injury incidence by 4.64% (95% CI = 4.02 – 5.27%, $p < 0.001$) per year in the reference group of enlisted males in the US Army. Officers in comparison to enlisted personnel have 0.89 times the rate of ACL

injury (95% CI = 0.86 – 0.93, $p < 0.001$). The US Navy and US Air Force have 0.79 times (95% CI = 0.75 – 0.84, $p < 0.001$) and 0.87 times (95% CI = 0.82 – 0.91, $p < 0.001$) the rate of the US Army, respectively, while the US Marine Corps was not statistically different in comparison to the Army (0.96, 95% CI = 0.91 – 1.02, $p = 0.17$). Through calculation of the average marginal effect, the decrease in ACL injury incidence is 0.18 cases per 1000 person-years (95% CI = 0.16 – 0.20 per 1000 person-years, $p < 0.001$), after averaging over the main and interactive effects of sex, rank, and branch of service. This decrease in ACL injury incidence is a 4.08% relative reduction in the injury rate per year (95% CI = 3.56% - 4.60%, $p < 0.001$).

Figure 5 depicts the interaction effect of time and sex on the outcome of ACL injury incidence. Through calculation of the average marginal effect for year, a statistically significant difference was found in the rate of decrease between males and females ($p = 0.005$). The decrease in incidence in males is 0.22 cases per 1000 person-years (95% CI = 0.18 – 0.25, $p < 0.001$) per year compared to females at 0.15 cases per 1000 person-years (95% CI = 0.11 – 0.18, $p < 0.001$) per year, with the difference in the rate of decrease per year at 0.069 cases per 1000 person-years (95% CI = 0.021 – 0.12, $p = 0.005$).

DISCUSSION

The primary finding was that the study period displayed an overall statistically significant decrease in rates of ACL injury over time regardless of sex, rank, or branch of service. The interaction effect of time with sex indicated that the decrease in ACL injury incidence declined at a steeper rate in males as compared to females. This study found that the risk of ACL injury by sex was also modified by rank (officer versus enlisted). The risk of ACL injury among officers and enlisted personnel varied depending on occupation.

Injury Rates Over Time

The significant decrease in incidence rate of ACL injury likely represents a real trend with a potential contributing factor due to changes in the operational tempo in the U.S. Armed Forces over the study epoch. This could be plausibly explained by a decreased exposure to hazards with the decline of operational demands. The higher rates of ACL injury at the beginning of this study in 2006 represents a time of increased military operations with a high frequency of multiple deployments involved in OIF and OEF with increased exposure to hazards that can lead to injury. Activity for these two campaigns peaked in 2008,⁷ and the campaigns concluded for OIF in 2011 and OEF in 2014.³³ After controlling for sex and rank, all branches of service demonstrated a decrease in the incidence rate of ACL injury over time. The U.S. Army demonstrated statistically significant higher rates as compared to the U.S. Navy and Air Force.

Changes in coding may explain a small portion of this change as the ICD-10 coding transition was mandated to occur on 1 October 2015. However, even with this transition, the decline in ACL injury rates was already evident before this timepoint. While there should be a direct mapping of the codes used in this study, it is unclear how the capture of injury data for this study may have been affected.

Sex and Time Interaction

As a group, males of the US Armed Forces had higher rates of ACL injury throughout the study epoch. Their rate of injury also declined faster over time from 2006 to 2018 as compared to females, on average, regardless of rank and branch of service as shown in Figure 5. Of note, the increase in incidence seen by female officers in the U.S. Marines during 2010 and 2016 may potentially coincide with the changes in physical fitness standards for the Combat Fitness Test in

the USMC.²⁶ There is a plausible influence that the changes in standards may have resulted in over engagement in risk-taking activity to meet these standards.

Sex and Rank Interaction

Enlisted members, on average, had higher rates of ACL injury, but these relationships are more complex when stratifying by sex. The risk of ACL injury by sex demonstrated an effect modification depending on rank. In officers, female military members had a statistically significant higher risk compared to male officers regardless of occupation. This is contrasted by female enlisted members who were at a statistically lower risk compared to enlisted males regardless of occupation. The prior population-based study by Owens and colleagues did not stratify by rank²⁷ which potentially masked risk differences between males and females in this study.

The relationship between sex and rank is noteworthy when compared to what is typically reported in the athlete population. When the risk of ACL injury is compared relative to the numbers of male and female athletes, the incidence of ACL injury is higher in females.⁶ A recent systematic review and meta-analysis reported that ACL injury was sustained by female athletes at 3 times the rate of male athletes in the same contact sports and were over 5 times greater for “fixed-object high-impact” sports such as obstacle course tests and races.²³ Furthermore, when highly active groups of military members were studied, documented cases of higher incidence of ACL injury were reported in females.¹⁸ During the time period of this study, enlisted personnel were approximately 7.4 to 7.5 years younger than officers¹ and were considerably closer in age to the athletes referenced in these studies. Therefore, it was surprising to find that the relative risk of ACL injury was lower in female enlisted personnel compared to their male counterparts regardless of occupation for this younger group within the military. This

finding in combination with the trend reversal seen in officers challenges the current paradigm that younger, physically active females are the group at higher risk for ACL injury.

This provides an opportunity for hypothesis generation; elucidating what key factors within military members differ from competitive athletes may provide insight into why ACL injury trends differ by sex depending on rank. An important difference between these groups is that men and women train side by side in the military compared to training for most competitive sports that is clearly stratified by sex. While service members are required to meet physical fitness testing standards that are both age and sex specific, this does not hold true for occupational standards. Furthermore, it is likely that the proportions of women in previously all male occupations has yet to reach its peak even though women have been incorporated into all military occupations since 2016.¹¹ This may be an influential factor on the differences in the rate of decrease in incidence seen by males and females over time. When higher proportions of women enter these fields, it is plausible that this could change the relationship of ACL injury and sex and how it relates to rank structure and military occupation. Finally, it is possible that young, enlisted males are participating in other activities outside of their military occupation that are increasing the risk for ACL injury in this group compared to their female counterparts.

Occupation and Rank

Amongst officers and enlisted, the risk of ACL injury varied depending on occupation. Exposures to hazards may contribute to the occupational differences observed. This study found that enlisted occupations where vehicles were primarily employed or were more sedentary in nature compared to infantry had lower risk of ACL injury. Aviation, maintenance, administration, intelligence, communication, and maritime/naval specialties were at a statistically significant lower risk compared to infantry. Occupations where the knee is loaded on uneven

surfaces are a known predisposing factor for ACL injury.⁹ Infantry members have higher exposures to hazards that can lead to ACL injury with increased outdoor activities such as rucking, maneuvering, and training over variable terrain in comparison to other occupations. Similarly, in the officer community, aviation and services officers had a statistically significant lower risk of ACL injury compared to ground and naval gunfire officers.

Special Operations Forces and Artillery/Gunnery occupations were at a statistically higher risk of ACL injury compared to infantry. The highest risk of ACL injury by occupation found in this study was in the Special Operations Forces; this may be explained by the increased intensity and frequency of tactical training with a correspondingly high level of musculoskeletal injury that is known to occur in this community.² Occupation-specific training and physical activity levels alone are likely not the only important factors driving ACL injury. Risk-taking behaviors that are culturally influenced and vary by occupational communities are likely contributing factors as well.

Social Determinants

Social determinants that affect health care utilization may play a role in the findings of this study. ACL injury is not typically self-limiting, and the billed medical encounters used to generate the data in this study are more likely to represent the true incidence. There is evidence that underreported injuries found in the US Army are due to chronic, overuse injuries as compared to acute musculoskeletal injuries.³² Due to the severity of an acute ACL injury, bias due to health care utilization is not likely to have a large impact on the study results. However, even with ACL injury, barriers to seeking care by military members should be considered, especially in those deemed as “copers” who suffer an ACL injury yet are still able to perform functional tasks. Fear that future career opportunities may be negatively affected is a concern

among military members that may result in underreporting of injuries.³² Additional reasons that may affect reporting of ACL injury by military members include the service member's perception of the convenience and quality of medical care they will receive.¹⁵ The cultural environment of the military reinforces the desire to put aside pain associated with an injury to ensure that the mission is completed and to avoid the negative perceptions associated with injury.¹⁵ It is plausible that underreporting may disproportionately affect certain occupations more than others,¹² and this may have had an influence in the results of this study.

Clinical and Research Implications

The results of this study highlight important trends of ACL injury in regards to sex, occupation, rank, branch of service, and changes over time that require further investigation into the cause of these trends. Specific hazards and exposures associated with military occupations should be explored in order to mitigate the risks. This is especially critical in communities such as Special Operations Forces, where a relatively smaller number of highly trained service members must perform demanding physical tasks which are crucial to complete the mission. Surveillance of ACL injury should continue as the percentage of women in previously restricted combat roles grows. It is essential for policy makers to understand the salient risk factors for ACL injury among the military population as a whole and within subgroups of this population to guide appropriate proactive measures to prevent injury. As rehabilitation specialists across the military branches continue to be incorporated into patient-centered medical homes and are assigned to operational units, the effect on injury risk, rehabilitation, and return to duty rates should be investigated.

Strengths and Limitations

The DMED allows for a population-based analysis which provides the best estimation of ACL injury incidence to be captured based on billed medical encounters. This permitted the calculation of risk for the known, important factor of sex associated with ACL injury and allowed for exploration of time trends and rank, branch of service, and military occupation which had been previously unexplored on a population-basis.

There are also important limitations associated with this study due to inherent constraints associated with DMED. While using initial encounters allowed for the calculation of incidence, this study is also limited in the ability to capture laterality of an injury, and a new injury on the contralateral side may not be counted as such. Important salient factors associated with ACL injury that have been identified in military members are unable to be measured with this database, to include factors such as medical history or body mass index.³⁴

CONCLUSION

There was a statistically significant decrease in the incidence of ACL injuries among members of the U.S. Armed Forces between the years 2006 to 2018 at an average rate of 0.18 cases per 1000 person-years or a 4.08% relative reduction each year. The rate of decrease over time differed between males and females, with males experiencing a higher rate of decline when averaging across the variables of rank and branch of service. The relationship of ACL injury incidence and sex was modified by rank as demonstrated by the significant increase in ACL injury risk experienced by female officers compared to their male colleagues (RR = 1.14), and the significant decrease in ACL injury risk experienced by enlisted females compared to their male colleagues (RR = 0.82). It is plausible that the physical demands and opportunity for exposure within specific military occupations in the enlisted and officer communities may play a

role in the significant differences in ACL injury incidence among occupations reported in this study.

Despite the decline in incidence among members of the U.S. Armed Forces over time, the rates of ACL injury still remain higher than the general U.S. population. Sex, rank, branch of service, and military occupation have been found to be risk factors for ACL injury, however, more investigation is required to develop injury prevention programs within these groups.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to sincerely thank Dr. Charity G. Moore Patterson, PhD, MSPH for her statistical expertise and guidance in the coding and interpretation of the negative binomial regression analysis.

Figure 1. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Incidence among Male Officers, US Armed Forces, 2006–2018.

Figure 2. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Incidence among Female Officers, US Armed Forces, 2006–2018.

Figure 3. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Incidence among Enlisted Males, US Armed Forces, 2006–2018.

Figure 4. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Incidence among Enlisted Females, US Armed Forces, 2006–2018.

Figure 5: Predicted and Observed ACL Injury Incidence among Males and Females in the US Armed Forces, 2006-2018.

Table 1: Number and Incidence of ACL Injury among Officers in the US Armed Forces by Sex and Occupation, 2006-2018.

Officer Specialty	Ground and Naval Gunfire			Aviation			Engineering & Maintenance			Administration			Operations & Intelligence			Logistics			Services			Total		
ACL Injuries (n)																								
	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total
Army	1110	24	1134	442	28	470	736	121	857	276	116	392	428	120	548	430	122	552	785	364	1149	4328	913	5241
Navy	388	49	437	435	37	472	279	23	302	80	23	103	151	32	183	100	12	112	351	200	551	2017	444	2461
Air Force	51	2	53	693	42	735	397	72	469	171	81	252	356	124	480	266	87	353	494	285	779	2673	742	3415
Marines	201	2	203	197	13	210	102	9	111	77	15	92	106	6	112	124	22	146	16	3	19	965	99	1064
Total	1750	77	1827	1767	120	1887	1514	225	1739	604	235	839	1041	282	1323	920	243	1163	1646	852	2498	9983	2198	12181
Incidence (per 1000 person-years)																								
Army	4.5	7.1	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.1	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.6	6.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.7	4.4
Navy	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.5	4.5	3.5	3.8	5.2	3.9	3.0	3.2	3.0	4.0	5.0	4.1	3.6	2.5	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.9	3.6
Air Force	5.0	1.2	4.5	3.4	3.8	3.5	4.4	5.0	4.5	4.2	4.8	4.4	3.7	5.4	4.1	5.4	6.6	5.7	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.6	4.1
Marines	3.9	3.2	3.9	3.7	7.9	3.8	3.5	5.8	3.6	3.0	3.8	3.1	4.3	3.4	4.2	3.9	5.3	4.0	2.4	3.2	2.5	3.8	5.7	3.9
Total	4.1	3.9	4.1	3.6	4.4	3.7	4.3	4.8	4.3	3.7	4.3	3.9	4.1	5.8	4.4	4.6	5.0	4.7	3.7	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.1
Occupation Codes	O205: Ground and Naval Arms; O206: Missiles			O201: Fixed-Wing Fighter/Bomber Pilots; O202: Other Fixed-Wing Pilots; O203: Helicopter Pilots; O204: Aircraft Crews			OFF4: Engineering and Maintenance Officers			OFF1: General Officers and Executives, N.E.C.; OFF7: Administrators			O207: Operations Staff; O301: General Intelligence; O302: Communications Intelligence; O303: Counterintelligence			OFF8: Supply, Procurement and Allied Officers			OFF5: Scientists and Professionals; OFF6: Health Care Officers			All Officer Specialties		

Table 2: Number and Incidence of ACL Injury among Enlisted Members in the US Armed Forces by Sex and Occupation, 2006-2018.

Enlisted Specialty	Special Operations Forces			Infantry			Mechanized/Armor			Artillery/Gunnery			Aviation			Engineers			Maintainance			Administration, Intelligence, & Communication			Logistics			Maritime/Naval Specialties			Total		
ACL Injuries (n)																																	
	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total	M	F	Total
Army	513	**	513	4445	**	4445	543	**	543	1474	80	1554	**	**	0	811	18	829	5957	537	6494	6097	1209	7306	3539	660	4199	29	1	30	24,634	3,406	28,040
Navy	133	**	133	60	**	60	5	**	5	139	28	167	292	24	316	**	**	**	6570	788	7358	2256	445	2701	948	173	1121	518	77	595	12,512	1,953	14,465
Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	264	21	285	**	**	**	5464	314	5778	3264	847	4111	1699	332	2031	**	**	**	12,177	2,252	14,429
Marines	**	**	**	1855	**	1855	180	**	180	242	**	242	97	2	99	229	14	243	3179	152	3331	2609	290	2899	1247	100	1347	**	**	**	10,232	739	10,971
Total	646	**	646	6360	**	6360	728	**	728	1855	108	1963	653	47	700	1040	32	1072	21170	1791	22961	14226	2791	17017	7433	1265	8698	547	78	625	59,555	8,350	67,905
Cumulative Incidence (per 1000 person-years)																																	
Army	6.3	**	6.3	5.5	**	5.5	5.4	**	5.4	5.8	7.1	5.8	**	**	**	5.7	5.9	5.7	5.5	5.1	5.5	5.4	3.8	5.1	6.0	5.0	5.8	5.3	1.5	4.8	5.2	4.6	5.0
Navy	5.9	**	5.9	4.5	**	4.5	3.2	**	3.2	4.0	4.7	4.1	5.2	4.4	5.1	**	**	**	4.4	3.6	4.3	4.5	2.8	4.1	4.7	3.3	4.4	4.6	2.8	4.2	4.3	3.3	4.4
Air Force	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	4.7	6.3	4.8	**	**	**	4.7	3.7	4.6	5.3	3.1	4.6	5.0	4.5	4.9	**	**	**	4.4	3.4	4.4
Marines	**	**	**	4.8	**	4.8	5.9	**	5.9	5.6	**	5.6	4.5	2.7	4.4	4.6	6.4	4.7	5.9	5.1	5.8	6.0	4.1	5.7	5.5	4.0	5.3	**	**	**	5.0	4.6	5.0
Total	6.2	**	6.2	5.3	**	5.3	5.5	**	5.5	5.6	6.3	5.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.5	6.1	5.5	4.9	4.1	4.9	5.3	3.4	4.9	5.4	4.5	5.3	4.6	2.8	4.3	4.8	3.9	4.9
Occupation Codes	E011: Special Forces			E010: Infantry, General			Occupation: E020: Armor and Amphibious, General			E041: Artillery and Gunnery; E042: Rocket Artillery; E043: Missile Artillery, Operating Crew			E050: Air Crew, General; E051: Pilots and Navigators			E030: Combat Engineering, General			ENL7: Craftworkers; ENL1: Electronic Equipment Repairers; ENL6: Electrical/Mechanical Equipment Repairers			ENL2: Communications and Intelligence Specialist; ENL5: Functional Support and Administration			ENL8: Service and Supply Handlers			E062: Small Boat Operators; E063: Seamanship, General; E060: Boatswains			All Enlisted Specialties		

Table 3: Risk of ACL Injury by Sex in Members of the US Armed Forces, 2006-2018.

Enlisted Specialty	Artillery/ Gunnery	Aviation	Engineers	Maintainance	Administration, Intelligence, & Communication	Logistics	Maritime/Naval Specialties	Total
RR (95% CI)	1.13 (0.93-1.37)	1.01 (0.75-1.36)	1.12 (0.79-1.58)	0.83 (0.79-0.87)	0.64 (0.61-0.37)	0.82 (0.77-0.87)	0.61 (0.48-0.77)	0.82 (0.80-0.83)
Risk difference (per 1000 person-years)	0.7	0.1	0.6	-0.9	-1.9	-1.0	-1.8	-0.9
AR (%)	11.3	1.2	10.4	-20.9	-56.2	-21.8	-64	-22.7
<i>p</i>	.22	.94	.54	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001	<.001
NNH	547	16600	1586	-1173	-523	-1027	-558	-1134
Officer Specialty	Ground/Naval Gunfire	Aviation	Engineering & Maintenance	Administration	Operations & Intelligence	Logistics	Services	Total
RR (95% CI)	0.95 (0.75-1.19)	1.22 (1.01-1.47)	1.12 (0.98-1.29)	1.16 (1.00-1.35)	1.39 (1.22-1.59)	1.10 (0.96-1.27)	1.05 (0.97-1.14)	1.14 (1.09-1.19)
Risk difference (per 1000 person-years)	-0.2	0.8	0.5	0.6	1.6	0.5	0.2	0.5
AR (%)	-5.5	18.0	11.1	14	28.1	9.1	5.1	12.0
<i>p</i>	.64	.03	.10	.05	<.001	.19	.21	<.001
NNH	-4631	1258	1875	1650	617	2185	4924	1836

Female service members referenced to male members. RR, Relative Risk; CI, confidence interval; AR, Attributable Risk; NNH, Number Needed to Harm

Table 4: Risk of ACL Injury by Occupation in Members of the US Armed Forces, 2006-2018.

Enlisted Specialty*	Special Operation Forces	Mechanized/ Armor	Artillery/ Gunnery	Aviation	Engineers	Maintainance	Administration, Intelligence, & Communication	Logistics	Maritime/Naval Specialties
RR (95% CI)	1.19 (1.10-1.29)	1.05 (0.97-1.13)	1.07 (1.01-1.12)	0.93 (0.86-1.00)	1.04 (0.98-1.11)	0.93 (0.90-0.95)	0.93 (0.90-0.95)	1.00 (0.97-1.04)	0.81 (0.75-0.88)
Risk difference (per 1000 person-years)	1.0	0.3	0.4	-0.4	0.2	-0.4	-0.4	0.0	-1.0
AR (%)	15.8	4.6	6.3	-8.0	3.9	-8.0	-8.0	-0.2	-23.5
<i>p</i>	<.001	.22	.01	.05	.22	<.001	<.001	.88	<.001
NNH	1013	3915	2827	-2576	4635	-2564	-2571	-78292	-1002
Officer Specialty†	Aviation Officers	Engineering & Maintenance	Administration	Operations & Intelligence	Logistics	Services			
RR (95% CI)	0.89 (0.83-0.94)	1.05 (0.99-1.12)	0.94 (0.87-1.02)	1.07 (1.00-1.15)	1.13 (1.05-1.22)	0.92 (0.87-0.98)			
Risk difference (per 1000 person-years)	-0.5	0.2	-0.3	0.3	0.6	-0.3			
AR (%)	-12.9	5.0	-6.5	6.5	11.8	-8.2			
<i>p</i>	<.001	.12	.13	.06	<.001	.01			
NNH	-2127	4581	-3984	3479	1808	-3187			

* Contrasted to Enlisted Infantry. † Referenced to Ground and Naval Gunfire Officers. RR, Relative Risk; CI, confidence interval; AR, Attributable Risk; NNH, Number Needed to Harm

Table 5: Negative Binomial Regression Model for Incident Rate Ratio Estimates of ACL Injury over Time, adjusted for Sex, Rank, and Branch of Service, in Members of the US Armed Forces, 2006-2018.

Variable*	IRR	Standard Error	95% Confidence Interval	<i>p</i>
Year	0.954	0.00318	0.947 – 0.960	<0.001
Sex	5.50 x 10 ⁻¹¹	6.13 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.78 x 10 ⁻²⁰ – 0.169	0.03
Sex x Year interaction	1.012	0.00561	1.001 – 1.023	0.04
Rank	0.893	0.0187	0.858 – 0.931	<0.001
Branch of Service: USN	0.792	0.211	0.752 – 0.835	<0.001
Branch of Service: USAF	0.866	0.0227	0.823 – 0.912	<0.001
Branch of Service: USMC	0.961	0.0281	0.908 – 1.018	0.17

*The interaction terms of Rank x Year and Branch of Service x Year are not included in final model with $p = 0.84$ and 0.69 , respectively. Reference for Sex is Male, for Rank is Enlisted, and for Branch of Service is Army.

Supplemental Table 1: ACL injury counts, population at risk, and injury rates (per 1,000 person-years) by year for Male Officers

Counts	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Army	372	360	375	352	384	412	356	360	348	281	278	248	202	4,328
Navy	226	192	189	194	179	144	135	166	146	128	101	117	100	2,017
Air Force	307	300	257	209	193	224	206	188	189	155	148	145	152	2,673
Marines	82	84	84	74	101	85	80	79	62	58	66	62	48	965
Total	987	936	905	829	857	865	777	793	745	622	593	572	502	9,983
Population														
Army	69,311	71,314	73,556	76,044	78,737	81,506	82,562	82,654	81,903	79,386	76,947	74,910	75,110	1,003,939
Navy	44,641	44,059	43,828	43,986	44,341	44,732	44,667	44,699	45,016	44,766	44,622	44,270	44,342	577,969
Air Force	58,175	55,391	53,124	53,237	53,485	52,821	52,042	51,692	50,635	48,324	47,944	46,467	46,317	669,654
Marines	17,900	18,280	18,869	19,626	20,223	20,886	20,727	20,296	19,777	19,436	19,307	19,406	19,713	254,446
Total	190,027	189,044	189,378	192,893	196,786	199,944	199,998	199,341	197,330	191,912	188,820	185,053	185,481	2,506,008
Rate														
Army	5.4	5.0	5.1	4.6	4.9	5.1	4.3	4.4	4.2	3.5	3.6	3.3	2.7	4.3
Navy	5.1	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.0	3.2	3.0	3.7	3.2	2.9	2.3	2.6	2.3	3.5
Air Force	5.3	5.4	4.8	3.9	3.6	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.7	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.3	4.0
Marines	4.6	4.6	4.5	3.8	5.0	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.1	3.0	3.4	3.2	2.4	3.8
Total	5.2	5.0	4.8	4.3	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.2	3.1	3.1	2.7	4.0

Supplemental Table 2: ACL injury counts, population at risk, and injury rates (per 1,000 person-years) by year for Female Officers

Counts	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Army	78	60	72	65	74	87	75	70	76	76	65	52	63	913
Navy	29	37	37	46	28	44	34	32	35	24	27	36	35	444
Air Force	61	52	75	66	62	62	59	57	61	49	53	37	48	742
Marines	9	6	9	3	16	3	5	6	8	6	13	10	5	99
Total	177	155	193	180	180	196	173	165	180	155	158	135	151	2,198
Population														
Army	12,563	12,858	13,418	14,189	14,876	15,498	15,883	16,059	16,158	15,921	15,666	15,492	15,859	194,440
Navy	7,671	7,612	7,704	7,901	8,141	8,427	8,625	8,869	9,137	9,328	9,639	9,919	10,262	113,235
Air Force	13,032	12,263	11,784	11,967	12,203	12,182	12,233	12,518	12,531	12,165	12,334	12,374	12,623	160,209
Marines	1,127	1,124	1,161	1,201	1,264	1,312	1,354	1,379	1,426	1,454	1,507	1,566	1,639	17,514
Total	34,393	33,857	34,068	35,258	36,483	37,419	38,096	38,825	39,253	38,867	39,145	39,351	40,383	485,398
Rate														
Army	6.2	4.7	5.4	4.6	5.0	5.6	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.1	3.4	4.0	4.7
Navy	3.8	4.9	4.8	5.8	3.4	5.2	3.9	3.6	3.8	2.6	2.8	3.6	3.4	3.9
Air Force	4.7	4.2	6.4	5.5	5.1	5.1	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.0	4.3	3.0	3.8	4.6
Marines	8.0	5.3	7.7	2.5	12.7	2.3	3.7	4.3	5.6	4.1	8.6	6.4	3.1	5.7
Total	5.1	4.6	5.7	5.1	4.9	5.2	4.5	4.2	4.6	4.0	4.0	3.4	3.7	4.5

Supplemental Table 3: ACL injury counts, population at risk, and injury rates (per 1,000 person-years) by year for Enlisted Males

Counts	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Army	2,141	2,243	2,377	2,385	2,553	2,505	2,399	2,293	1,970	1,640	1,495	1,301	1,064	26,366
Navy	1,399	1,239	1,249	1,236	1,167	1,134	1,029	1,057	969	856	793	668	621	13,417
Air Force	1,229	1,254	1,200	1,099	1,070	1,132	1,105	1,041	950	807	907	705	699	13,198
Marines	979	1,032	952	1,032	995	1,011	991	854	749	631	626	585	540	10,977
Total	5,748	5,768	5,778	5,752	5,785	5,782	5,524	5,245	4,638	3,934	3,821	3,259	2,924	63,958
Population														
Army	356,602	368,968	385,276	397,813	406,484	407,158	392,488	375,912	357,011	339,619	325,696	321,308	322,174	4,756,511
Navy	252,588	241,732	235,115	232,772	228,757	224,608	218,499	217,744	218,836	219,525	218,162	213,620	216,444	2,938,404
Air Force	219,775	212,992	207,765	211,088	213,972	214,062	214,789	215,002	209,281	200,777	202,960	207,575	209,625	2,739,662
Marines	149,900	152,982	162,916	170,257	169,126	166,945	163,060	160,424	155,635	150,625	149,883	149,024	149,423	2,050,201
Total	978,865	976,674	991,073	1,011,930	1,018,340	1,012,773	988,837	969,083	940,763	910,546	896,701	891,528	897,665	12,484,777
Rate														
Army	6.0	6.1	6.2	6.0	6.3	6.2	6.1	6.1	5.5	4.8	4.6	4.0	3.3	5.5
Navy	5.5	5.1	5.3	5.3	5.1	5.0	4.7	4.9	4.4	3.9	3.6	3.1	2.9	4.6
Air Force	5.6	5.9	5.8	5.2	5.0	5.3	5.1	4.8	4.5	4.0	4.5	3.4	3.3	4.8
Marines	6.5	6.7	5.8	6.1	5.9	6.1	6.1	5.3	4.8	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.6	5.4
Total	5.9	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.6	5.4	4.9	4.3	4.3	3.7	3.3	5.1

Supplemental Table 4: ACL injury counts, population at risk, and injury rates (per 1,000 person-years) by year for Enlisted Females

Counts	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
Army	317	284	300	311	291	335	291	277	235	221	210	172	162	3,406
Navy	157	155	171	174	120	147	160	151	148	152	149	140	129	1,953
Air Force	218	227	184	209	190	157	164	174	159	148	127	150	145	2,252
Marines	55	57	55	59	74	78	54	52	63	44	45	54	49	739
Total	747	723	710	753	675	717	669	654	605	565	531	516	485	8,350
Population														
Army	57,078	57,448	58,491	59,505	60,574	60,858	58,291	56,108	54,367	53,170	52,769	53,278	53,860	735,798
Navy	42,281	40,962	40,877	41,868	42,966	43,936	44,216	46,119	47,615	49,165	50,548	50,825	52,944	594,325
Air Force	55,031	53,268	51,798	52,144	51,625	50,689	50,062	49,662	48,046	46,445	47,724	49,930	51,819	658,244
Marines	9,955	10,320	10,954	11,622	12,120	12,419	12,484	12,710	12,816	12,703	13,165	13,716	14,136	159,121
Total	164,345	161,998	162,120	165,140	167,286	167,902	165,054	164,600	162,844	161,484	164,207	167,749	172,759	2,147,488
Rate														
Army	5.6	4.9	5.1	5.2	4.8	5.5	5.0	4.9	4.3	4.2	4.0	3.2	3.0	4.6
Navy	3.7	3.8	4.2	4.2	2.8	3.3	3.6	3.3	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.4	3.3
Air Force	4.0	4.3	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.2	2.7	3.0	2.8	3.4
Marines	5.5	5.5	5.0	5.1	6.1	6.3	4.3	4.1	4.9	3.5	3.4	3.9	3.5	4.6
Total	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.2	3.1	2.8	3.9

References

1. *2018 Demographics: Profile of the Military Community*. Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Military Community and Family Policy;2019.
2. Abt JP, Sell TC, Lovalekar MT, et al. Injury epidemiology of U.S. Army Special Operations forces. *Mil Med*. 2014;179(10):1106-1112.
3. Agel J, Rockwood T, Klossner D. Collegiate ACL Injury Rates Across 15 Sports: National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System Data Update (2004-2005 Through 2012-2013). *Clin J Sport Med*. 2016;26:518-523.
4. Anderson MK, Grier T, Canham-Chervak M, Bushman TT, Jones BH. Occupation and other risk factors for injury among enlisted U.S. Army Soldiers. *Public Health*. 2015;129(5):531-538.
5. Antosh IJ, Patzkowski JC, Racusin AW, Aden JK, Waterman SM. Return to Military Duty After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Mil Med*. 2018;183:7.
6. Arnedt EA, Agel J, Dick R. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Patterns Among Collegiate Men and Women. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 1999;34(2):86-92.
7. Belasco A. *Troop Levels in the Afghan and Iraq Wars FY2001-FY2012: Cost and Other Potential Issues*. 2009.
8. Belmont PJ, Owens BD, Schoenfeld AJ. Musculoskeletal Injuries in Iraq and Afghanistan: Epidemiology and Outcomes Following a Decade of War. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2016;24(6):8.
9. Bliss JP. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury, Reconstruction, and the Optimization of Outcome. *Indian J Orthop*. 2017;51(5):606-613.

10. Cameron AC, Trivedi PK. *Regression Analysis of Count Data*. Cambridge University Press; 1998.
11. Carter A. Implementation Guidance for the Full Integration of Women in the Armed Forces. In:2015.
12. Cohen BS, Pacheco BM, Foulis SA, et al. Surveyed Reasons for Not Seeking Medical Care Regarding Musculoskeletal Injury Symptoms in US Army Trainees. *Mil Med*. 2019;184(5-6):e431-e439.
13. Cullison TR, Getka K, O'Brien TJ, Jonson S. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction in the Military Patient. *Mil Med*. 1998;163(1):3.
14. Dempsey ME, Panetta LE. Elimination of the 1994 Direct Ground Combat Definition and Assignment Rule. Memorandum for Secretaries of the Military Departments, Acting Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, and Chiefs of the Military Services. *Washington, DC: Joint Chiefs of Staff*. 2013.
15. Fraser JJ, Schmied E, Rosenthal MD, Davenport TE. Physical Therapy as a Force Multiplier: Population Health Perspectives to Address Short-Term Readiness and Long-Term Health of Military Service Members. *Cardiopulm Phys Ther J*. 2020;31(1):22-28.
16. Glaviano NR, Boling MC, Fraser JJ. Anterior Knee Pain Risk Differs Between Sex and Occupation in Military Tactical Athletes. *J Athl Train*. 2021.
17. Gornitzky AL, Lott A, Yellin JL, Fabricant PD, Lawrence JT, Ganley TJ. Sport-Specific Yearly Risk and Incidence of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Tears in High School Athletes: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med*. 2016;44(10):2716-2723.

18. Gwinn DE, Wilckens JH, McDevitt ER, Ross G, Kao T-C. The Relative Incidence of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury in Men and Women at the United States Naval Academy. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2000;28(1):5.
19. Jones BH, Canham-Chervak M, Canada S, Mitchener TA, Moore S. Medical surveillance of injuries in the u.s. Military descriptive epidemiology and recommendations for improvement. *Am J Prev Med*. 2010;38(1 Suppl):S42-60.
20. Ladd AL. The sports bra, the ACL, and Title IX--the game in play. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2014;472(6):1681-1684.
21. LaMorte WW. Epidemiology/Biostatistics Tools [Excel Workbook].
In:<http://bit.ly/Lamort3>.
22. Marrone JB. Predicting 36-Month Attrition in the U.S. Military: A Comparison across Service Branches. *Rand Corporation*. 2020.
23. Montalvo AM, Schneider DK, Webster KE, et al. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Risk in Sport: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Injury Incidence by Sex and Sport Classification. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 2019;54(5):11.
24. Moses B, Orchard J, Orchard J. Systematic review: Annual incidence of ACL injury and surgery in various populations. *Res Sports Med*. 2012;20(3-4):157-179.
25. Mountcastle SB, Posner M, Jr JFK, Taylor DC. Gender Differences in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury Vary With Activity: Epidemiology of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries in a Young, Athletic Population. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2007;35(10):8.
26. Neller RB. Changes to the Physical Fitness Test (PFT), Combat Fitness Test (CFT), and Body Composition Program (BCP). US Marine Corps, 2016.

27. Owens BD, Mountcastle SB, Dunn WR, DeBerardino TM, Taylor DC. Incidence of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury among Active Duty U.S. Military Servicemen and Servicewomen. *Mil Med.* 2007;172(90):2.
28. Padua DA. Executing a Collaborative Prospective Risk-Factor Study: Findings, Successes, and Challenges. *Journal of Athletic Training.* 2010;45:519-521.
29. Peebles LA, O'Brien LT, Dekker TJ, Kennedy MI, Akamefula R, Provencher MT. The Warrior Athlete Part 2—Return to Duty in the US Military: Advancing ACL Rehabilitation in the Tactical Athlete. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rev.* 2019;27(3):13.
30. Peterson SN, Call MH, Wood DE, Unger DV, Sekiya JK. Injuries in Naval Special Warfare Sea, Air, and Land Personnel: Epidemiology and Surgical Management. *Oper Tech Sports Med.* 2005;13:5.
31. Sanders TL, Kremers HM, Bryan AJ, et al. Incidence of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Tears and Reconstruction: A 21-Year Population-Based Study. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine.* 2016;44(6):6.
32. Smith L, Westrick R, Sauers S, et al. Underreporting of Musculoskeletal Injuries in the US Army: Findings From an Infantry Brigade Combat Team Survey Study. *Sports Health.* 2016;8(6):507-513.
33. Torreon BS. *U.S. Periods of War and Dates of Recent Conflicts.* 2019.
34. Uhorchak JM, Scoville CR, Williams GN, Arciero RA, Pierre PS, Taylor DC. Risk Factors Associated with Noncontact Injury of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament. *American Journal of Sports Medicine.* 2003;31(6):831-842.