Persistently high proportions of *Plasmodium*-infected *Anopheles funestus* mosquitoes in two villages in the Kilombero valley, south-eastern Tanzania

Salum A. Mapua^{1,3*}, Emmanuel E. Hape^{1,4}, Japhet Kihonda¹, Hamis Bwanary¹,
Khamis Kifungo¹, Masoud Kilalangongono¹, Emmanuel W. Kaindoa^{1,5}, Halfan S.
Ngowo^{1,4} and Fredros O. Okumu^{1,2,4,5}.

1. Environmental Health and Ecological Sciences Department, Ifakara Health
Institute, P. O. Box 53, Morogoro, Tanzania.

2. School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the
Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.

3. Centre for Applied Entomology and Parasitology, School of Life Sciences,
Keele University, Huxley Building, Keele, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK.

4. Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, University
of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK.

5. School of life science and bioengineering, The Nelson Mandela African
Institution of Science and Technology, P. O. Box 447, Arusha, Tanzania.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Environmental Health and Ecological
Sciences, Ifakara Health Institute.

Email address: smapua@ihi.or.tz

26 **Abstract**

27 **Background:** In south-eastern Tanzania where insecticide-treated nets have been
28 widely used for more than 20 years, malaria transmission has greatly reduced but
29 remains highly heterogenous over small distances. This study investigated the
30 seasonal prevalence of *Plasmodium* sporozoite infections in the two main malaria
31 vector species, *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles arabiensis* for 34 months,
32 starting January 2018 to November 2020.

33

34 **Methods:** Adult mosquitoes were collected using CDC-light traps and Prokopack
35 aspirators inside local houses in Igumbiro and Sululu villages, where earlier surveys
36 had found very high densities of *An. funestus*. Collected females were sorted by
37 taxa, and the samples examined using ELISA assays for detecting *Plasmodium*
38 circumsporozoite protein in their salivary glands.

39

40 **Results:** Of 7,859 *An. funestus* tested, 4.6% (n = 365) were positive for *Pf*
41 sporozoites in the salivary glands. On the contrary, only 0.4% (n = 9) of the 2,382
42 *An. arabiensis* tested were positive. The sporozoite prevalence did not vary
43 significantly between the villages or seasons. Similarly, the proportions of parous
44 females of either species were not significantly different between the two villages (p
45 > 0.05) but was slightly higher in *An. funestus* (0.50) than in *An. arabiensis* (0.42).
46 Analysis of the 2020 data determined that *An. funestus* contributed 97.7% of all
47 malaria transmitted in households in these two villages.

48

49 **Conclusions:** In contexts where individual vector species mediate most of the
50 pathogen transmission, it may be most appropriate to pursue a species-specific

51 approach to better understand the ecology of the dominant vectors and target them
52 with effective interventions to suppress transmission. Despite the widespread use
53 and overall impact of insecticide-treated nets over the past two decades in the two
54 study villages, there is still persistently high *Plasmodium* infection prevalence in local
55 populations of *An. funestus*, which now carry ~97% of all malaria infections and
56 mediates intense year-round transmission. Further reduction in malaria burden in
57 these or other similar settings requires effective targeting of *An. funestus*.

58

59 **Keywords:** *Anopheles funestus*, Kilombero valley, malaria transmission,
60 *Plasmodium*, sporozoite prevalence, Ifakara Health Institute, Tanzania

61

62 1. Introduction

63 Starting two decades ago when more than a million lives were being lost to malaria
64 yearly [1], there have been significant gains, including ~60% reduction in deaths,
65 despite doubling of Africa's population [2]. Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs), indoor
66 residual spraying (IRS) and effective case management have contributed greatly to
67 these gains [3,4]. The persisting malaria transmission in most endemic settings is
68 now thought to be largely due to widespread insecticide resistance among major
69 malaria vectors [5–8], behavioral adaptation of malaria vectors to indoor
70 interventions [9–11], parasite resistance to anti-malarial drugs [12,13], certain human
71 behaviors and activities [14–16] and asymptomatic infections in older age groups
72 such as school-aged children [17]. Other factors such as inadequate environmental
73 sanitation, poor housing conditions and low household incomes may also be
74 perpetuating risk in many endemic villages [18,19], despite more than two decades
75 of widespread ITN use.

76 In rural south-eastern Tanzanian villages, where ITNs have been widely used for
77 more than 20 years, malaria transmission intensities have greatly reduced, in some
78 cases from highs of >300 infectious bites per person per year (ib/p/y) in early 2000s
79 to new averages below 30 ib/p/y [20]. These gains have been mostly attributed to
80 widespread use of ITNs and effective case management [20,21]. Today, malaria
81 transmission remains highly varied over small distances [20,22], and recent parasite
82 surveys have demonstrated ranges of <1% prevalence in urban centers of Ifakara
83 town (<150m above sea level) to highs of >40% in some higher altitude villages
84 (~300m above sea level) just 30km away (Swai *et al.*, unpublished).

85

86 Recent studies in Ulanga and Kilombero districts, have demonstrated that *Anopheles*
87 *funestus* alone now contributes nearly nine in every ten new infections, even in
88 villages where it is outnumbered by *Anopheles arabiensis* [6,23]. The species is also
89 strongly resistant to pyrethroids used in the ITNs [24], survives much longer than its
90 counterparts [6,25] and is strongly adapted to primarily bite humans over other
91 vertebrates [26]. Historically, *Anopheles gambiae* sensu stricto dominated
92 transmission in Ulanga and Kilombero districts, with the *An. funestus* being another
93 important vector during the dry season [27]. However, *An. gambiae* s.s population
94 and contribution to the malaria transmission in these districts started to decline
95 following introduction of the ITNs [22,23], and reached undetectable level by 2012
96 [23]. Recent studies have confirmed absence of the *An. gambiae* s.s in these
97 districts, leaving the sibling species *An. arabiensis* at large [6,18,28,29]. While no
98 detailed analysis has been conducted, it is hypothesized that villages currently
99 having the greatest malaria prevalence are those with highest densities of *An.*
100 *funestus*. Moreover, given its preference for permanent and semi-permanent aquatic

habitats which last far longer than the rainy season [30], this species is thought to be important for year-long transmission of malaria.

This current analysis was conducted in two villages, previously identified as having high densities of *An. funestus* [31], and coincidentally having very high malaria prevalence estimates (Swai *et al.*, unpublished). The aim was to analyze and compare seasonal prevalence of *Plasmodium* sporozoite infections in the main malaria vectors, *An. funestus* and *An. arabiensis* following nearly two decades of widespread ITN use.

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

The study was done in Ulanga and Kilombero districts, in the Kilombero valley in south-eastern Tanzania (Figure 1). Mosquitoes were collected from two villages namely Sululu in Kilombero district (8.00324°S, 36.83118°E) and Igumbiro in Ulanga district (8.35021°S, 36.67299°E). Average annual rainfall was 1200 – 1600 mm and mean annual temperatures were 20 – 32°C [28]. Most residents here are subsistence farmers, cultivating mainly rice but also other crops such as maize, beans and sweet potatoes [32]. According to 2012 national population census, Igumbiro and Sululu villages are within wards with the population of 16,329 and 9,048 respectively [33]. Sululu village sits on higher altitude above sea level compared to Igumbiro village (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Map showing villages in the Kilombero valley, south-eastern Tanzania where adult *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles arabiensis* mosquitoes were collected.

2.2. Mosquito collection and processing

Mosquitoes were routinely collected from January 2018 to December 2020. In each village, sampling was done in 10-20 houses for five nights each week from January 2018 to January 2020, as part of the ongoing project that focused mainly on *An. funestus* mosquitoes. However, from March 2020 only three households were sampled nightly for four nights each week to December 2020, as part of another project focused on both *An. funestus* and *An. arabiensis* mosquitoes. Herein, data from both aforementioned projects were combined and analysed. All collections were done indoors, CDC light traps [34] and Prokopack aspirators [35] were used to sample host-seeking and resting mosquitoes respectively. Female mosquitoes were identified and sorted by taxa and physiological state [36], after which *An. arabiensis* and *An. funestus* were packed in batches of ten mosquitoes in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes for circumsporozoite enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) [37], of which only a pool of heads and thoraces were used. The ELISA lysates were boiled for 10 minutes at 100 °C to eliminate heat-labile non *Plasmodium* protozoan antigens that will render false positivity [38]. A sub-sample of the mosquitoes collected from March 2020 to December 2020 were subjected to dissection to assess parity status as described by Kaindo et al [6]. Recent studies in the area had determined that indoor collections of *An. gambiae* s.l. consisted only of *An. arabiensis* (100%), and those of *An. funestus* group were mainly *An. funestus* s.s [6,28].

2.3. Statistical analysis

R statistical software version 3.6.0 [39] was used to execute a simple generalized linear model (GLM) with binomial distributions to examine odds of sporozoite-infected *Anopheles* and also parous mosquitoes. Generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) were used to model the abundance of different mosquito species. Here the number of mosquitoes caught were modelled as a response variable using a negative binomial variate to account for the over-dispersion in the number of catches. Sampling location and season were included as the fixed terms. To account for the unexplained variation between sampling days, sampling dates nested within a month of the collection was included as random term. Relative risk and their corresponding 95% CI were reported. Entomological inoculation rate (EIR) was estimated as a function of a biting rate and a proportion of mosquitoes tested positive with *Plasmodium* sporozoite. The annual EIR is estimated by multiplying a daily EIR with 365 (Annual EIR = daily biting rate $\times$ sporozoite rate $\times$ 365). The adjusted annual EIR was estimated by the same function except that, the corrected biting rate was used in the place of daily biting rate as described by Kaindoa *et al* [6]. Herein, the dry season comprises of months from January to May, and from June to December for the rainy season.

3. Results

A total of 306,589 mosquitoes were collected with 53.8% (n = 165,058) being *An. funestus* mosquitoes. A sub-sample of the collected mosquitoes (n = 10,241) were analyzed for *Plasmodium* sporozoite infections, of which 23.3% (n = 2,382) were *An. arabiensis* and 76.7% (n = 7859), *An. funestus*. Of 7,859 *An. funestus* tested, 4.6% (n = 365) were positive for *Plasmodium* sporozoites in the salivary glands. On the

contrary, only 0.4% ($n = 9$) of the 2,382 *An. arabiensis* tested were positive. Though the sporozoite prevalence in Sululu appeared slightly higher than in Igumbiro village (Table 1), this analysis showed no statistically significant difference in the sporozoite prevalence for *An. funestus* between villages or seasons ($p > 0.05$). The *An. arabiensis* mosquitoes however had higher sporozoite prevalence in Sululu than in Igumbiro village (Table 1).

The parity dissections determined that 50.3% of the *An. funestus* ($n/N = 80/159$) and 41.8% of the *An. arabiensis* females ($n/N = 66/158$) were parous (Table 2). There was no statistical difference in parity between villages for either species (Table 2). Proportion of *An. funestus* positive for *Pf* sporozoite was high in both seasons (Table 1), and both villages recorded high densities of both vector species throughout the year (Table 3). Analysis of the year-to-year data showed that in 2020, 97.7% of all malaria transmission events were mediated by *An. funestus*, and that *An. arabiensis* played only a minor role (Table 4).

189 **Table 1:** Results of the multivariate analysis of *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoites infectivity in *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles*
190 *arabiensis* mosquitoes by village and season

Species	Variable		Total (N)	Sporozoite prevalence n (%)	OR (95% LC-UC)	p-value
<i>Anopheles funestus</i>	Season	Dry	4425	199 (4.5)	1	0.54
		Rainy	3434	166 (4.8)	1.07 (0.86-1.32)	
	Village	Igumbiro	3914	175 (4.5)	1	0.56
		Sululu	3945	190 (4.8)	1.07 (0.86-1.32)	

<i>Anopheles arabiensis</i>	Season	Dry	2172	8 (0.4)	1	0.95
		Rainy	210	1 (0.5)	0.93 (0.11-7.70)	
	Village	Igumbiro	1851	4 (0.2)	1	0.03
		Sululu	531	5 (0.9)	4.41 (1.17-16.69)	

*Percentage sporozoite-prevalence = Sporozoite positive (n)/Total number of mosquitoes analysed (N)

Table 2: Results of the multivariate analysis of parity in *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles arabiensis* mosquitoes by village

Species	Village	Total (N)	Parous n (%)	OR (95% LC-UC)	p-value
<i>Anopheles funestus</i>	Igumbiro	79	39 (49.4)	1	0.81
	Sululu	80	41 (51.3)	1.08 (0.58-2.01)	
<i>Anopheles arabiensis</i>	Igumbiro	78	35 (44.9)	1	0.44
	Sululu	80	31 (38.8)	0.78 (0.41-1.46)	

*Percentage parous = parous (n)/Total number of mosquitoes examined (N)

Table 3: Results of the multivariate analysis of biting densities of *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles arabiensis* mosquitoes by

village and season

Species	Village/Season		Total	Mean ± 2SE	RR (95% LC-UC)	p-value
<i>Anopheles arabiensis</i>	Village	Igumbiro	88149	18 ± 0.5	1	<0.001
		Sululu	51000	6.9 ± 0.3	0.26 (0.25-0.28)	
	Season	Dry	74819	9.5 ± 0.4	1	<0.001
		Rainy	64330	14.4 ± 0.5	2.54 (1.74-3.72)	
<i>Anopheles funestus</i>	Village	Igumbiro	55617	11.4 ± 0.3	1	0.492
		Sululu	101582	13.7 ± 0.5	1.02 (0.97-1.07)	
	Season	Dry	83475	10.6 ± 0.3	1	0.374
		Rainy	73724	16.5 ± 0.6	1.26 (0.76-2.07)	

199
200

Table 4: Infectious status of *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles arabiensis* mosquitoes collected from 2018 to 2020

	<i>Anopheles funestus</i>			<i>Anopheles arabiensis</i>
	2018	2019	2020	2020
Total number of mosquitoes collected by CDC light trap	73237	72661	9795	12772
Total number of trap nights	6206	4287	476	476
Biting rate per night	11.8	16.95	20.58	3.08
Relative efficiency (CDC-LT) relative to HLC (derived from Okumu <i>et al</i> [40])	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.3
Corrected biting rate	17.35	24.93	30.26	10.27
Total number of mosquitoes analysed for <i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> circumsporozoite protein (CSP) - (S)	3641	1604	1228	1466
Total number of sporozoite positive mosquitoes (s)	178	64	77	6
Sporozoite prevalence (s/S)	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.0041
Annual EIR (adjusted)	316.63	363.98	662.69	15.37
% EIR contribution for the year 2020 (adjusted)	97.7%			2.3%

*Annual EIR (adjusted) = Corrected biting rate × Sporozoite rate × 365

*The data presented here excluded samples collected by Prokopack aspirators

Table 5: *Plasmodium* sporozoite infectivity by village, mosquito species and month.

Month	Sululu		Igumbiro	
	<i>An. funestus</i>	<i>An. arabiensis</i>	<i>An. funestus</i>	<i>An. arabiensis</i>
Jan-18 % _(N)	-	-	0% (1)	-
Feb-18 % _(N)	-	-	0% (15)	-
Mar-18 % _(N)	15.1% (126)	-	6.7% (150)	-
Apr-18 % _(N)	11.1% (144)	-	5.7% (159)	-
May-18 % _(N)	3.5% (666)	-	1.6% (569)	-
Jun-18 % _(N)	5.8% (365)	-	5.2% (250)	-
Jul-18 % _(N)	1.6% (131)	-	0% (16)	-
Aug-18 % _(N)	5.4% (184)	-	4.2% (120)	-
Sep-18 % _(N)	7% (172)	-	4.2% (262)	-
Oct-18 % _(N)	22.2% (9)	-	13% (23)	-
Nov-18 % _(N)	0% (40)	-	3.7% (82)	-
Dec-18 % _(N)	5.7% (88)	-	6.8% (44)	-
Jan-19 % _(N)	6% (332)	-	0% (22)	-
Feb-19 % _(N)	2.3% (129)	-	7.1% (85)	-
Mar-19 % _(N)	3.9% (127)	-	6.7% (15)	-
Apr-19 % _(N)	4% (125)	-	33.3% (6)	-
May-19 % _(N)	2.6% (389)	-	4.7% (106)	-
Jun-19 % _(N)	2.2% (46)	-	10% (10)	-
Jul-19 % _(N)	0% (2)	-	-	-
Aug-19 % _(N)	0% (1)	-	0% (50)	-
Sep-19 % _(N)	0% (3)	-	0% (19)	-
Oct-19 % _(N)	4.9% (61)	-	0% (23)	-
Nov-19 % _(N)	7.7% (26)	-	-	-
Dec-19 % _(N)	4.8% (42)	-	0% (10)	-
Jan-20 % _(N)	-	-	0% (1)	-
Mar-20 % _(N)	8% (50)	0% (83)	8.8% (217)	0.8% (127)
Jun-20 % _(N)	8.8% (170)	0% (11)	8.2% (403)	0.5% (397)
Jul-20 % _(N)	0% (126)	0% (107)	4.5% (313)	0% (315)
Aug-20 % _(N)	6.6% (76)	1.7% (59)	0% (140)	0% (280)
Sep-20 % _(N)	0% (204)	0.9% (106)	0% (413)	0% (281)
Oct-20 % _(N)	5.9% (65)	4.2% (72)	5.9% (153)	0% (276)
Nov-20 % _(N)	0% (25)	0% (88)	8% (237)	0.6% (174)

4. Discussion

Recent evidence has shown that *An. funestus* now mediates most of the ongoing malaria transmission in many countries in East and Southern Africa [6,41,42]. In rural south-eastern Tanzania, this species carries more than 85% of all malaria infections even in villages where it occurs at lower frequencies than *An. arabiensis* [6,21,43]. malaria transmission has declined significantly in the Kilombero valley since 2000, mostly due to the wide coverage of ITNs and effective case management [20,22].

This short report presents an analysis of mosquitoes collected between 2018 and 2020 in two villages previously identified as having very high densities of *An. funestus*. It had been hypothesized that the proven dominance of *An. funestus* in the valley, coupled with the high densities of this vector species, as well as its strong pyrethroid-resistance status and greater survival in nature may lead to high residual transmission burden in the relevant villages, and that this situation may make malaria transmission control much more difficult than elsewhere. This analysis confirms the high parity rates but further presents two surprising findings. First is the extremely high *Plasmodium* infection prevalence in the *An. funestus* mosquitoes over the three years of sampling, averaging 4.6% despite high ITN use in the areas. Given the nightly mosquito catches by CDC light traps, this translates to 447.8 infectious bites/person/year, which is considerably higher than most recent estimates [6,21]. The second finding was that the high infection prevalence rates were consistent throughout dry and rainy season for the entire duration of the study. This suggests that *An. funestus* not only plays an important role in transmission but also that it mediates transmission throughout the whole year. There were months with very high transmission and months with very low transmission, but when data was

aggregated by season, there was no significant difference in transmission intensities. Additional studies will be necessary to understand the dry season ecology of *An. funestus* and the factors driving transmission at different times of the year, so as to design effective tools towards reducing malaria transmission. Additionally, the higher sporozoite prevalence observed in *An. arabiensis* in one of the village (i.e. Sululu) corroborate recent finding (Swai *et al.*, unpublished) that indicate geographical heterogeneity in transmission over small distances. Thus, understanding local vectors' ecology is crucial for control measures. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) may have greater sensitivity in detection of *Plasmodium* sporozoite, however, these improvements are marginal and practically irrelevant in areas with moderate to high transmission such as south-eastern Tanzanian districts of Ulanga and Kilombero.

Kaindoa *et al.* [6] reported *An. funestus* mosquitoes carrying 86% of malaria infections in Kilombero valley. Lwetoijera *et al.* also demonstrated significant role of *An. funestus* following the decline of *An. gambiae*, formerly the most important vector in the valley [23]. Since then, several other studies have confirmed the dominance of *An. funestus* in this area [20]. In addition, reports of higher entomological inoculation rates by *An. funestus* have also been documented elsewhere [44–46], however, the rates were not as high. Other studies have also shown the strong pyrethroid resistance, and that while its aquatic ecology is still poorly understood, it occupies perennial habitats which remain water-filled most of the year [30]. This ecological characteristic may explain its dominance in densities and transmission activity throughout the year. It is clear therefore that efforts to further reduce malaria transmission by this vector must consider specific measures targeting *An. funestus* so as to strongly diminish its potential.

Opportunities for improved control of *An. funestus* in the area may include new generation ITNs with synergists or multiple actives [47–50], or use of larval source management, which would be effective even against the pyrethroid-resistant populations. Fortunately, the current National Malaria Strategic Plan (NMSP) of Tanzania encourage implementation of larviciding in rural settings [51]. Coupled with recent findings of Nambunga *et al.* [30] which indicate that aquatic habitats of *An. funestus* mosquitoes in Kilombero valley falls within few, fixed and findable criterion of World Health Organization [52]. Thus, provide possibilities of a cost-effective and plausible species-specific intervention to significantly reduce malaria transmission in this valley. Additionally, susceptibility of *An. funestus* towards organophosphate notably pirimiphos methyl poses a potential opportunity for control. Pirimiphos methyl is already approved and used for IRS in Tanzania [53,54], thus there is a need to design cost-effective tools that may exploit the possibility of using pirimiphos methyl.

5. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that despite the widespread use and overall impact of ITNs, there is still persistently high *Plasmodium* infection prevalence in the dominant malaria vector, *An. funestus*, causing intense year-round malaria transmission in the study villages. Further reduction in malaria burden in this and similar settings thus requires effective targeting of *An. funestus*. The study also demonstrates that in certain contexts such as these, where one species is mediating most of the pathogen transmission, there could be significant potential in pursuing a species-specific approach for vector control by investigating and targeting the dominant vector species to suppress transmission.

Abbreviations

CDC: Centers for Diseases Control and Prevention; LLINs: Long-Lasting Insecticidal Nets; NMSP: National Malaria Strategic Plan; ITN: Insecticide-Treated Net; IRS: Indoor Residual Spraying; ELISA: Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay; GLM: Generalized Linear Model; GLMM: Generalized Linear Mixed Model; *Pf*-CSP: *Plasmodium falciparum* Circumsporozoite Protein; PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Ethical approvals for this project were obtained from Ifakara Health Institute's Institutional Review Board (Ref. IHI/IRB/No: 007 - 2018) and the Medical Research Coordinating Committee (MRCC) at the National Institute for Medical Research, in Tanzania (Ref: NIMR/HQ/R.8a/Vol. IX/2895). Written consents were sought from all participants of this study, after they had understood the purpose and procedure of the discussions.

Consent for publication

Permission to publish this study was obtained from National institute for Medical Research, in Tanzania (NIMR/HQ/P.12 VOL XXXII/144).

Data availability

Data used to generate our findings can be accessed upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Competing interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding statement

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust International Masters Fellowship in Tropical Medicine & Hygiene (Grant No. 212633/Z/18/Z) awarded to SAM. This work was also supported by Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (Grant Number: OPP1177156) and Howard Hughes Medical institute (Grant number: OPP1099295) both awarded to FOO.

Authors contributions

SAM, FOO, HSN and EEH were involved in study design. SAM, EEH, HSN, JK, HB, KK and MK were involved in data collection. SAM and HSN conducted data analysis. SAM wrote the manuscript. FOO, HSN, EWK and EEH provided thorough review of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank village leaders and community members in Igumbiro and Sululu Villages for allowing their houses and areas to be used during mosquito collection. We also extend our gratitude to Joseph Mgando, Neema Nombo, Dickson Mwasheshi and Godfrey Matanila for their assistance during mosquito samples' processing. We are also grateful to Najat Kahamba, Doreen Siria, Rukiyah Njalambaha, Augusto Mwambaluka, Jonael Msangi, Said Abbasi, Swaleh Masoud and Francis Tumbo for their valuable assistance in making this work feasible.

Reference

- 329 1. World Health Organization. WHO expert committee on malaria [Internet].
330 World Health Organization - Technical Report Series. 2000. Available:
331 <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42247>
- 332 2. World Health Organization. World Malaria Report 2019. Geneva. [Internet].
333 2019. Available: [https://www.who.int/publications-detail/world-malaria-report-](https://www.who.int/publications-detail/world-malaria-report-2019)
334 2019
- 335 3. Bhatt S, Weiss DJ, Cameron E, Bisanzio D, Mappin B, Dalrymple U, et al. The
336 effect of malaria control on *Plasmodium falciparum* in Africa between 2000 and
337 2015. *Nature*. 2015; doi:10.1038/nature15535
- 338 4. Steketee, Richard W. and CCC. Impact of national malaria control scale-up
339 programmes in Africa: magnitude and attribution of effects. *Malar J*. 2010;299.
- 340 5. Matowo NS, Munhenga G, Tanner M, Coetzee M, Feringa WF, Ngowo HS, et
341 al. Fine-scale spatial and temporal heterogeneities in insecticide resistance
342 profiles of the malaria vector, *Anopheles arabiensis* in rural south-eastern
343 Tanzania [version 1; referees: 2 approved]. *Wellcome Open Res*. 2017;
344 doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.12617.1
- 345 6. Kaindoa EW, Matowo NS, Ngowo HS, Mkandawile G, Mmbando A, Finda M,
346 et al. Interventions that effectively target *Anopheles funestus* mosquitoes could
347 significantly improve control of persistent malaria transmission in south-eastern
348 Tanzania. *PLoS One*. 2017;12. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177807
- 349 7. Casimiro S, Coleman M, Mohloai P, Hemingway J, Sharp B. Insecticide
350 resistance in *Anopheles funestus* (Diptera: Culicidae) from Mozambique. *J*
351 *Med Entomol*. 2006; doi:10.1603/0022-2585(2006)043[0267:IRIAFD]2.0.CO;2
- 352 8. Cuamba N, Morgan JC, Irving H, Steven A, Wondji CS. High level of pyrethroid
353 resistance in an *Anopheles funestus* population of the chokwe district in

- 354 mozambique. PLoS One. 2010;5. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011010
- 355 9. Russell TL, Govella NJ, Azizi S, Drakeley CJ, Kachur SP, Killeen GF.
356 Increased proportions of outdoor feeding among residual malaria vector
357 populations following increased use of insecticide-treated nets in rural
358 Tanzania. Malar J. 2011;10. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-10-80
- 359 10. Sougoufara S, Diédhiou SM, Doucouré S, Diagne N, Sembène PM, Harry M,
360 et al. Biting by Anopheles funestus in broad daylight after use of long-lasting
361 insecticidal nets: A new challenge to malaria elimination. Malar J. 2014;
362 doi:10.1186/1475-2875-13-125
- 363 11. Moiroux N, Gomez MB, Pernetier C, Elanga E, Djènontin A, Chandre F, et al.
364 Changes in anopheles funestus biting behavior following universal coverage of
365 long-lasting insecticidal nets in benin. J Infect Dis. 2012;
366 doi:10.1093/infdis/jis565
- 367 12. Ashley EA, Dhorda M, Fairhurst RM, Amaratunga C, Lim P, Suon S, et al.
368 Spread of artemisinin resistance in Plasmodium falciparum malaria. N Engl J
369 Med. 2014; doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1314981
- 370 13. Dondorp AM, Yeung S, White L, Nguon C, Day NPJ, Socheat D, et al.
371 Artemisinin resistance: Current status and scenarios for containment. Nature
372 Reviews Microbiology. 2010. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2331
- 373 14. Matowo NS, Moore J, Mapua S, Madumla EP, Moshi IR, Kaindoa EW, et al.
374 Using a new odour-baited device to explore options for luring and killing
375 outdoor-biting malaria vectors: A report on design and field evaluation of the
376 Mosquito Landing Box. Parasites and Vectors. 2013;6. doi:10.1186/1756-
377 3305-6-137
- 378 15. Finda MF, Moshi IR, Monroe A, Limwagu AJ, Nyoni AP, Swai JK, et al. Linking

- human behaviours and malaria vector biting risk in south-eastern Tanzania.
PLoS One. 2019; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0217414
16. Monroe A, Monroe A, Monroe A, Moore S, Moore S, Moore S, et al. Methods and indicators for measuring patterns of human exposure to malaria vectors. Malar J. 2020; doi:10.1186/s12936-020-03271-z
17. Andolina C, Rek JC, Briggs J, Okoth J, Musiime A, Ramjith J, et al. Sources of persistent malaria transmission in a setting with effective malaria control in eastern Uganda: a longitudinal, observational cohort study. 2021;3099.
18. Kaindoa EW, Finda M, Kiplagat J, Mkandawile G, Nyoni A, Coetzee M, et al. Housing gaps, mosquitoes and public viewpoints: A mixed methods assessment of relationships between house characteristics, malaria vector biting risk and community perspectives in rural Tanzania. Malar J. 2018; doi:10.1186/s12936-018-2450-y
19. Liu JX, Bousema T, Zelman B, Gesase S, Hashim R, Maxwell C, et al. Is housing quality associated with malaria incidence among young children and mosquito vector numbers? Evidence from Korogwe, Tanzania. PLoS One. 2014;9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087358
20. Okumu F, Finda M. Key Characteristics of Residual Malaria Transmission in Two Districts in South-Eastern Tanzania—Implications for Improved Control. J Infect Dis. 2021;223: 15–17. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiaa653
21. Finda MF, Limwagu AJ, Ngowo HS, Matowo NS, Swai JK, Kaindoa E, et al. Dramatic decreases of malaria transmission intensities in Ifakara, south-eastern Tanzania since early 2000s. Malar J. 2018; doi:10.1186/s12936-018-2511-2
22. Russell TL, Lwetoijera DW, Maliti D, Chipwaza B, Kihonda J, Charlwood JD, et

- 404 al. Impact of promoting longer-lasting insecticide treatment of bed nets upon
405 malaria transmission in a rural Tanzanian setting with pre-existing high
406 coverage of untreated nets. *Malar J.* 2010;9. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-9-187
- 407 23. Lwetoijera DW, Harris C, Kiware SS, Dongus S, Devine GJ, McCall PJ, et al.
408 Increasing role of *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles arabiensis* in malaria
409 transmission in the Kilombero Valley, Tanzania. *Malar J.* 2014;13.
410 doi:10.1186/1475-2875-13-331
- 411 24. Pinda PG, Eichenberger C, Ngowo HS, Msaky DS, Abbasi S, Kihonda J, et al.
412 Comparative assessment of insecticide resistance phenotypes in two major
413 malaria vectors, *Anopheles funestus* and *Anopheles arabiensis* in south-
414 eastern Tanzania. *Malar J.* 2020; doi:10.1186/s12936-020-03483-3
- 415 25. Ngowo HS, Hape EE, Matthiopoulos J, Ferguson HM, Okumu FO. Fitness
416 characteristics of the malaria vector *Anopheles funestus* during an attempted
417 laboratory colonization. *Malar J.* 2021;20. doi:10.1186/s12936-021-03677-3
- 418 26. Takken W, Verhulst NO. Host preferences of blood-feeding mosquitoes.
419 *Annual Review of Entomology.* 2013. doi:10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-
420 153618
- 421 27. Charlwood JD, Vij R, Billingsley PF. Dry season refugia of malaria-transmitting
422 mosquitoes in a dry savannah zone of east Africa. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.*
423 2000;62. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2000.62.726
- 424 28. Ngowo, H. S., Kaindoa, E. W., Matthiopoulos, J., Ferguson, H. M., & Okumu
425 FO. Variations in household microclimate affect outdoor-biting behaviour of
426 malaria vectors. *Wellcome open Res.* 2017;2.
- 427 29. Limwagu AJ, Kaindoa EW, Ngowo HS, Hape E, Finda M, Mkandawile G, et al.
428 Using a miniaturized double-net trap (DN-Mini) to assess relationships

- 429 between indoor-outdoor biting preferences and physiological ages of two
- 430 malaria vectors, *Anopheles arabiensis* and *Anopheles funestus*. Malar J. 2019;
- 431 doi:10.1186/s12936-019-2913-9
- 432 30. Nambunga IH, Ngowo HS, Mapua SA, Hape EE, Msugupakulya BJ, Msaky
- 433 DS, et al. Aquatic habitats of the malaria vector *Anopheles funestus* in rural
- 434 south-eastern Tanzania. Malar J. 2020; doi:10.1186/s12936-020-03295-5
- 435 31. Kaindoa EW, Ngowo HS, Limwagu AJ, Tchouakui M, Hape E, Abbasi S, et al.
- 436 Swarms of the malaria vector *Anopheles funestus* in Tanzania. Malar J. 2019;
- 437 doi:10.1186/s12936-019-2660-y
- 438 32. Swai JK, Finda MF, Madumla EP, Lingamba GF, Moshi IR, Rafiq MY, et al.
- 439 Studies on mosquito biting risk among migratory rice farmers in rural south-
- 440 eastern Tanzania and development of a portable mosquito-proof hut. Malar J.
- 441 2016; doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1616-8
- 442 33. National Bureau of Statistics. 2012 population and housing census, population
- 443 distribution by administrative areas. 2013.
- 444 34. Mboera LEG, Kihonda J, Braks MAH, Knols BGJ. Short report: Influence of
- 445 centers for disease control light trap position, relative to a human-baited bed
- 446 net, on catches of *Anopheles gambiae* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* in
- 447 Tanzania. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1998;59: 595–596.
- 448 doi:10.4269/ajtmh.1998.59.595
- 449 35. Maia MF, Robinson A, John A, Mgando J, Simfukwe E, Moore SJ. Comparison
- 450 of the CDC Backpack aspirator and the Prokopack aspirator for sampling
- 451 indoor- and outdoor-resting mosquitoes in southern Tanzania. Parasites and
- 452 Vectors. 2011;4. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-4-124
- 453 36. Gillies MT, De Meillon B. The Anophelinae of Africa south of the Sahara

- 454 (Ethiopian zoogeographical region). Sahara Ethiop Zoogeographical. 1968;
- 455 37. Beier JC, Perkins P V., Koros JK, Onyango FK, Gargan TP, Wirtz RA, et al.
- 456 Malaria sporozoite detection by dissection and ELISA to assess infectivity of
- 457 afrotropical Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 1990;
- 458 doi:10.1093/jmedent/27.3.377
- 459 38. Durnez L, Van Bortel W, Denis L, Roelants P, Veracx A, Trung HD, et al. False
- 460 positive circumsporozoite protein ELISA: A challenge for the estimation of the
- 461 entomological inoculation rate of malaria and for vector incrimination. Malar J.
- 462 2011; doi:10.1186/1475-2875-10-195
- 463 39. R Development Core Team R. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical
- 464 Computing [Internet]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2019. p. 409.
- 465 doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7
- 466 40. Okumu FO, Kotas ME, Kihonda J, Mathenge E, Killeen GF, Moore SJ.
- 467 Comparative Evaluation of Methods Used for Sampling Malaria Vectors in the
- 468 Kilombero Valley, South Eastern Tanzania. Open Trop Med J. 2008;
- 469 doi:10.2174/1874315300801010051
- 470 41. Burke A, Dahan-Moss Y, Duncan F, Qwabe B, Coetzee M, Koekemoer L, et al.
- 471 Anopheles parensis contributes to residual malaria transmission in South
- 472 Africa. Malar J. 2019; doi:10.1186/s12936-019-2889-5
- 473 42. McCann RS, Ochomo E, Bayoh MN, Vulule JM, Hamel MJ, Gimnig JE, et al.
- 474 Reemergence of Anopheles funestus as a vector of Plasmodium falciparum in
- 475 Western Kenya after long-term implementation of insecticide-treated bed nets.
- 476 Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2014; doi:10.4269/ajtmh.13-0614
- 477 43. Swai JK, Mmbando AS, Ngowo HS, Odufuwa OG, Finda MF, Mponzi W, et al.
- 478 Protecting migratory farmers in rural Tanzania using eave ribbons treated with

- 479 the spatial mosquito repellent, transfluthrin. Malar J. 2019;
480 doi:10.1186/s12936-019-3048-8
- 481 44. Cohuet A, Simard F, Wondji CS, Antonio-nkondjio C, Awono-ambene P,
482 Fontenille D. High Malaria Transmission Intensity Due to *Anopheles funestus* (
483 Diptera: Culicidae) in a Village of Savannah – Forest Transition Area in
484 Cameroon. 2004; 901–905.
- 485 45. Trape JF, Tall A, Sokhna C, Ly AB, Diagne N, Ndiath O, et al. The rise and fall
486 of malaria in a west African rural community, Dielmo, Senegal, from 1990 to
487 2012: A 22 year longitudinal study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14.
488 doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70712-1
- 489 46. Antonio-Nkondjio C, Awono-Ambene P, Toto JC, Meunier JY, Zebaze-Kemleu
490 S, Nyambam R, et al. High malaria transmission intensity in a village close to
491 Yaounde, the capital city of Cameroon. J Med Entomol. 2002;39.
492 doi:10.1603/0022-2585-39.2.350
- 493 47. Gleave K, Lissenden N, Richardson M, Choi L, Ranson H. Piperonyl butoxide
494 (PBO) combined with pyrethroids in insecticide-treated nets to prevent malaria
495 in Africa. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2018.
496 doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012776.pub2
- 497 48. Protopopoff N, Mosha JF, Lukole E, Charlwood JD, Wright A, Mwalimu CD, et
498 al. Effectiveness of a long-lasting piperonyl butoxide-treated insecticidal net
499 and indoor residual spray interventions, separately and together, against
500 malaria transmitted by pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes: a cluster, randomised
501 controlled, two-by-two factorial design trial. Lancet. 2018;391.
502 doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30427-6
- 503 49. Pennetier C, Bouraima A, Chandre F, Piamieu M, Etang J, Rossignol M, et al.

- 504 Efficacy of Olyset® Plus, a New Long-Lasting Insecticidal Net Incorporating
- 505 Permethrin and Piperonyl-Butoxide against Multi-Resistant Malaria Vectors.
- 506 PLoS One. 2013;8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075134
- 507 50. Corbel V, Chabi J, Dabiré RK, Etang J, Nwane P, Pigeon O, et al. Field
- 508 efficacy of a new mosaic long-lasting mosquito net (PermaNet® 3.0) against
- 509 pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors: A multi centre study in Western and
- 510 Central Africa. Malar J. 2010;9. doi:10.1186/1475-2875-9-113
- 511 51. Ministry of Health and Social Welfare. Tanzania national Malaria Strategic Plan
- 512 2014-2020 [Internet]. Available: [https://www.out.ac.tz/wp-](https://www.out.ac.tz/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Malaria-Strategic-Plan-2015-2020-1.pdf)
- 513 [content/uploads/2019/10/Malaria-Strategic-Plan-2015-2020-1.pdf](https://www.out.ac.tz/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Malaria-Strategic-Plan-2015-2020-1.pdf)
- 514 52. World Health Organization. Larval source management: a supplementary
- 515 malaria vector control measure [Internet]. 2013. Available:
- 516 <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/85379>
- 517 53. Mashauri FM, Manjurano A, Kinung'hi S, Martine J, Lyimo E, Kishamawe C, et
- 518 al. Indoor residual spraying with microencapsulated pirimiphos-methyl
- 519 (Actellic® 300CS) against malaria vectors in the Lake Victoria basin, Tanzania.
- 520 PLoS One. 2017; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176982
- 521 54. Haji KA, Thawer NG, Khatib BO, Mcha JH, Rashid A, Ali AS, et al. Efficacy,
- 522 persistence and vector susceptibility to pirimiphos-methyl (Actellic®
- 523 300CS) insecticide for indoor residual spraying in Zanzibar. Parasites and
- 524 Vectors. 2015;8. doi:10.1186/s13071-015-1239-x
- 525