Covid spirals: a phase diagram representation of COVID-19 effective reproduction number R_t

K. W. Pesenti*, R. Pesenti†

August 31, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we propose a phase diagram representation of COVID-19 effective reproduction number R_t . Specifically, we express R_t as a function of the estimated infected individuals. This function plots a particular clockwise spiral that allows to easily compare the evolution of the number of new infected individuals at different dates and, possibly, provide some hints on the future progression of the infection.

Keywords: Effective reproduction number, phase space, COVID-19

1 Background

This study is motivated by a collaboration between the Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Italy, with the health authorities of the provinces of Trieste and Gorizia in the North-Eastern Italian region Friuli Venezia-Giulia.

Specifically, our interest is determining whether the current evolution of the infection is similar to the one previously observed in some specific period of the past year, with the aim of supporting decisions, e.g., about the (re)introduction of containment measures.

In this paper, we show that the representation of the effective reproduction number R_t [3] as a function of the estimated infected individuals may provide an, at least partial, answer to our questions. The plot of the function $R_t = R_t(I_t)$, being I_t the number of infectious individuals at time t , in the space $I \times R_t$ describes a clockwise spiral. This spiral allows us to easily compare the evolution of the number of new infected individual at different dates and, possibly, provide also some hints on the future progression of the infection.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows:

- Section 2 presents the methods implemented, also providing the source of the data.
- Section 3 discusses the possible uses of the $R_t(I_t)$ graph and points out the relations between the $R_t(I_t)$ graph and the different phases of the COVID-19 infection evolution.
- Section 4 draws some conclusions.

*K. W. Pesenti is graduate medical student at the University of Trieste, Italy

†R. Pesenti is a professor at the University Ca' Foscari, Venice, Italy, E-Mail: pesenti@unive.it

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

2 Methods

Italy is (roughly) organized in constituent entities of three different levels. Regions are the first-level constituent entities. Each region is subdivided in provinces, i.e., the second-level constituent entities. Finally, the municipalities are the lowest constituent entities.

Each day, the Italian Civil Protection collects the number of new recorded infected individuals from the health authorities of each Italian province. Then, the Civil Protection makes these data openly available at <https://github.com/pcm-dpc/COVID-19>.

In this work, we use the Civil Protection data to estimate both R_t and I_t values. Specifically, we compute these two values using the methodology proposed in [2] for both the regions and the provinces of Italy. Finally, we plot the values assumed by R_t and I_t over time in the space $I \times R_t$ to obtain a spiral graph.

Our estimates and the associated graphs are available at <http://virgo.unive.it/pesenti/tekwp/dashboard.php>. Here, a word of caution must be spent. The data recorded by the health authorities may sometimes present some errors that must be corrected, otherwise a negative number of new infected individuals may be obtained on some days. Our way of correcting these errors may differs from the one by other authors. Then, our estimated values for R_t may present small discrepancies, e.g., from the values estimated by the Italian National Institute for Nuclear Physics at <https://covid19.infn.it/>.

3 Results

In this section, we describe the relations that occur between the graph of the function $R_t(I_t)$ and the number of the new infected individuals as they emerge from empirical data concerning the spread of the COVID-19 infection in the Italian regions and provinces.

3.1 Loops and waves

Figure 1 presents the graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Milan (the second most populated Italian province) between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. The graph includes three main clockwise loops which correspond to the three main pandemic waves occurred in Milan (see Fig. 2). The spread of contagion is measured in terms of the 7-day moving average number of new infected individuals per day. We consider a 7-day moving average as a smaller number of potential infected individuals are tested on the week-ends than in the week-days in Italy.

The loops of the graph in Fig. 1 are represented in different colors. The first and biggest loop is the blue one. It corresponds to the pandemic wave that reached its maximum on November 10th, 2020. The second loop is the gray one. It corresponds to the pandemic wave that reached its maximum on March 14th, 2021. The third wave is the green one. It corresponds to the pandemic wave that reached its maximum on August 2nd, 2021.

Figure 1: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Milan between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 2: 7-day moving average of the number of new infected individuals observed in the province of Milan between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 3 is a zoom in of Fig. 1 for the months of July and August, 2021, and must be confronted with Fig. 4, i.e., the zoom in of Fig. 2. In Fig. 3, we can observe a steep increase of the value of R_t in the very first days of July, 2021, being the number of the estimated infected individuals almost constant. This steep increase corresponds to the very beginning of the pandemic wave represented in Fig. 4. Similar almost vertical increases of the value of R_t can be observed in correspondence of the very beginning of the previous two pandemic waves represented in Fig. 2.

Figure 3: Zoom in of the graph in Fig. 1 where the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function in the months of July and August 2021 are highlighted.

Figure 4: Zoom in of the graph in Fig. 2 for the months of July and August 2021.

Figure 5 and its zoom in (Fig. 6) for the months of July and August, 2021, allow the reader to compare the information that can be deduced from the graph function $R_t(I_t)$ with the one deriving from the following index:

$$RTD = \begin{cases} 100 \frac{R_t}{\text{number of days it took to double the 7-day moving average of the new infected}} & \text{if the new infected are increasing,} \\ -100 \frac{R_t}{\text{number of days it took to halve the 7-day moving average of the new infected}} & \text{if the new infected are decreasing} \end{cases}$$

This index is practically identical to the RIC-index introduced in [1]. In [1], the authors suggest that abrupt increases of the value of the RIC-index may be precursors of new pandemic waves.

Figure 5: Ratio between R_t and the number of days it took to double/halve the 7-day moving average of the number of new infected individuals observed in the province of Milan between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 6: Zoom in of the graph in Fig. 5 for the months of July and August 2021.

3.2 Comparing waves

Figures 7-9 present the graphs of, respectively, the function $R_t(I_t)$, the number of new infected individuals, the RDT-index for the Italian region of Sicily between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. There, four main pandemic waves have occurred in the last year.

Figure 7: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the region of Sicily between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 8: 7-day moving average of the number of new infected individuals observed in the region of Sicily between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 9: Ratio between R_t and the number of days it took to double/halve the 7-day moving average of the number of new infected individuals observed in the region of Sicily between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 10, i.e., the zoom in of Fig. 7, allows us to compare the last pandemic wave that started at the very beginning of July, 2021, with the pandemic wave that started in September-October, 2020. Specifically, both waves are preceded by a parallel steep increase of R_t , followed by a similar slow decline of this index. We remark that the first measures to limit the October 2020 wave in Sicily wave were adopted on October 24th, 2020. On that day, high-schools were closed, the number of passengers allowed to board trains and buses were reduced by 50% and a night curfew was imposed. Differently, no measure is imposed to the date of August 25th, 2021, to limit the effects of the last wave.

Figure 10: Zoom in of the graph in Fig. 7 where the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function in the months of July and August 2021 are highlighted.

3.3 Phases

If we accept a certain level of arbitrariness, we can distinguish four phases, plus possibly an initial one (hereinafter referred as to Phase 0), in the loops of the graph of function $R_t(I_t)$.

3.3.1 Phase 0

Phase 0 is characterized by strong oscillations, if not one or more outbursts, of the R_t value in presence of small number of infected individuals, (see, e.g., Fig. 11 and its zoom in Fig. 12).

Two main reasons justify the above pattern:

- occurrence of some outbreaks, where few infected individuals caused many new infected individuals possibly due to their participation to, e.g., some social events;
- errors in data collection or recording.

Figure 11: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Viterbo between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 0 are highlighted.

Figure 12: Zoom in of the graph in Fig. 11 for low numbers of estimated infected individuals.

77 Periods of strong oscillations in the RIC-index graph (see, e.g., Fig. 13) correspond to Phases 0 in the graph
 78 of function $R_t(I_t)$. The periods of occurrence of these oscillations in the two graphs may coincide (see, e.g.,
 79 Fig. 14) or Phases 0 may appear slightly earlier (see, e.g., Fig. 15).

Figure 13: Ratio between R_t and the number of days it took to double/halve the 7-day moving average of the number of new infected individuals observed in the province of Viterbo between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 14: Zoom in of the graph in Fig. 13 for the months July and August, 2021.

Figure 15: Zoom in of the graph in Fig. 13 for the months September and October, 2020.

Needless to say that the occurrence of a Phase 0 does not necessarily imply a new pandemic wave if immediate measures to isolate the infected individuals involved in the outbreaks are implemented. Apparently, one can deduce from Fig. 12 and Fig. 16 that authorities were not able to contain the relatively small outbreaks in September and October, 2020, whereas so far they succeeded in July and August, 2021.

Figure 16: 7-day moving average of the number of new infected individuals observed in the province of Viterbo between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figures 17-20 presents graphs of function $R_t(I_t)$ with an evident Phase 0 for Italian provinces of characterized by different amount of population:

- Aosta: about 124.000 inhabitants (the second least populated province of Italy)
- Trieste: about 230.000 inhabitants
- Taranto: about 560.000 inhabitants
- Napoli: about 3.017.000 inhabitants (the third most populated province of Italy)

Figure 17: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Aosta between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 18: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Trieste between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 19: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Taranto between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

Figure 20: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Naples between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021.

3.3.2 Phase 1

Phase 1 is characterized by an horizontal trend or a slight decline of the R_t value in presence of an increasing number of infected individuals (see Fig. 21 and Fig. 22), with a possible stabilization of R_t to a value greater than 1.

A Phase 1 corresponds to the growth of a pandemic wave in the new infected individuals graph. The longer (along the abscissa axis) is a Phase 1, the higher is a pandemic wave. The higher is the average value of R_t in a Phase 1, the steeper is a pandemic wave.

Both Fig. 21 and Fig. 22 show a relative rapid decrease of the R_t value during Phases 1 if the R_t has reached a high value. As an example, the province of Milan adopted the first containment measures for the October 2020 wave on October 22nd, when an initial decrease of the R_t value had already occurred (see Fig. 21). The region of Sicily adopted almost no special measures to contrast the July-August 2021 wave, which indeed is greater than in other Italian regions, but the R_t value decreased all the same. Possibly, the population spontaneously adopts a precautionary behavior when a high intensity of risk is perceived. Possibly, a good communication strategy by the authorities may foster this behavior.

Figure 21: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Milan between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 1 are highlighted.

Figure 22: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the region of Sicily between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 1 are highlighted.

3.3.3 Phase 2

Phase 2 is characterized by a steep, some times almost vertical, drop of the R_t value that goes below 1 in presence of a relative stability in the number of infected individuals (see Fig. 23-25),

These phases may be associated to:

- seasonal trend of the pandemic;
- results of the implementation of containment measures;
- special local characteristics.

Consequently, these phases may or may not occur at the same time in the different Italian regions and provinces.

As an example, we can observe a Phase 2 around November 22nd, 2020, in the graphs of all three Fig. 23-25. Differently, only the province of Rome (the largest Italian province) and Milan present a Phase 2 in August, 2021, (see Fig. 23 and Fig. 24) possibly, because the percentage of vaccinated is less in Sicily (see Fig. 25) than in the provinces of Rome and Milan, or because Sicily is a holiday destination in August.

A Phase 2 corresponds to the period that goes from the slowdown of a pandemic wave growth to its initial decline in the new infected individuals graph.

Figure 23: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Milan between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 2 are highlighted.

Figure 24: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Rome between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 2 are highlighted.

Figure 25: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the region of Sicily between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 2 are highlighted.

3.3.4 Phase 3

Phase 3 is characterized by an almost constant R_t value below 1 corresponding to a decrease in the number of new infected individuals.

A Phase 3 corresponds to the decline of a pandemic wave in the new infected individuals graph. The longer (along the abscissa axis) is a Phase 3, the lower level of a pandemic wave is reached. The lower is the average value of R_t in a Phase 3, the steeper is the decline of a pandemic wave.

Theoretically, a Phase 3 could lead to the conclusion of the COVID-19 pandemic. Unfortunately, in Italy, Phases 3 had always followed by either a Phase 0 or 4 so far, i.e., by a new pandemic wave.

Figure 26: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Milan between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 3 are highlighted.

3.3.5 Phase 4

Phase 4 is characterized by a steep, some times almost vertical, increase of the R_t value that goes above 1 in presence of a relative stability in the number of infected individuals (see Fig. 27-29).

A Phase 4 corresponds to a new increase in contagions and, therefore, to the beginning of the rise of a new pandemic wave in the graph of the new infected individuals.

In Fig. 27-29, we can observe that there is not a clear cut that allow us to distinguish a Phase 4 from a Phase 0, when the number of infected individuals is relatively low. It can be also observed that a Phase 4 is always followed, possibly for a very short time, by a Phase 1. Pandemic waves apparently do not switch directly to a Phase 2.

Determining the reasons and the immediate detection of Phases 0 and 4 would allow the rapid implementation of containment measures to reduce the number and the size of future pandemic waves.

Figure 27: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Milan between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 4 are highlighted.

Figure 28: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the province of Rome between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 4 are highlighted.

Figure 29: Graph of the values of the $R_t(I_t)$ function observed in the region of Sicily between August 25th, 2020 and August 24th, 2021. Phases 4 are highlighted.

137 4 Conclusions

138 In this work we presented possible uses of the representation of the effective reproduction number R_t in the
139 $I \times R_t$ space.

140 We showed that empirical data suggest that Phases 0, 2, and 4 have a brief duration and correspond to
141 a clear modifications of the trend of contagions. An in-depth analysis of causes of these phases may allow us
142 to identify which measures have a major effectiveness in containing the pandemic outbreak. Specifically, the
143 study on how the temporal occurrence of the different phases in the various Italian regions and provinces can
144 be traced back to national measures or to the seasonal trend of the pandemic may allow us to analyze which
145 measures may appear more efficient to induce a Phase 2 or which critical issues arose over time that triggered
146 a Phase 0 or 4. In particular, it is of interest to understand why the different phases may have occurred in
147 different time periods in the different regions and provinces.

148 Given the typical trend of the pandemic, it might be worth trying to calculate a window where the ratio
149 between R_t and infected individuals reaches the threshold necessary for a phase change. The knowledge of the
150 values that characterize this window could lead to the immediate implementation of the measures that lead to
151 the entry of Phase 2 of a loop, preventing the beginning of the new pandemic wave.

152 Finally, a word of caution must be spent. The immediate detection of the beginning of a Phase 0 or a Phase
153 4 in the graph of the $R_t(I_t)$ function may be helpful in defeating the pandemic. Unfortunately, this operation
154 is not obvious and false positive detections may occur.

155 References

- 156 [1] Campi, G.; Mazziotti, M. V.; Valletta, A.; Ravagnan, G.; Marcelli, A.; Perali, A. & Bianconi, A. (2021)
157 Metastable states in plateaus and multi-wave epidemic dynamics of Covid-19 spreading in Italy. *Scientific*
158 *Reports*, 11(1):12412
- 159 [2] Cori, A.; Ferguson, N. M.; Fraser, C. & Cauchemez, S. (2013) A New Framework and Software to Estimate
160 Time-Varying Reproduction Numbers During Epidemics. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 178(9):505-1512
- 161 [3] Fraser, C. (2007). Estimating individual and household reproduction numbers in an emerging epidemic.
162 *PloS One*, 2(8):e758.