Wastewater-Based Epidemiology and Whole-Genome Sequencing for Community-Level Surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in Selected Urban Communities of Davao City, Philippines: A Pilot Study

Maria Catherine B. Otero^{1,2}, Lyre Anni E. Murao^{3,4}, Mary Antoinette G. Limen⁵, Paul Lorenzo A. Gaite⁴, Michael G. Bacus⁴, Joan T. Acaso^{3,4}, Kahlil Corazo⁶, Ineke E. Knot^{6,7}, Homer Sajonia II⁶, Francis L. de los Reyes III⁸, Caroline Marie B. Jaraula⁵, Emmanuel S. Baja^{1,9}, and Dann Marie N. Del Mundo^{10*}

1 Department of Clinical Epidemiology, College of Medicine, University of the Philippines Manila, Ermita, Manila 1000 Philippines

2 College of Medicine Research Center, Davao Medical School Foundation, Inc., Bajada, Davao City 8000 Philippines

3 Department of Biological Sciences and Environmental Studies, University of the Philippines-Mindanao, Mintal, Davao City 8022 Philippines

4 Philippine Genome Center Mindanao, University of the Philippines-Mindanao, Mintal, Davao City 8022 Philippines

5 Marine Science Institute, University of the Philippines-Diliman, Diliman, Quezon City 1101 Philippines

6 Project Accessible Genomics, accessiblegenomics.org

7 Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

8 Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina, United States of America

23 9 Exposure Assessment, Epidemiology, and Risk Lab, Institute of Clinical Epidemiology,
 24 National Institutes of Health, University of the Philippines-Manila, Ermita, Manila 1000
 25 Philippines

26 10 Department of Food Science and Chemistry, University of the Philippines-Mindanao,
 27 Mintal, Davao City 8022 Philippines

28

29 *Corresponding Author:

30 Dann Marie N. Del Mundo

31 Department of Food Science and Chemistry, University of the Philippines-Mindanao,
 32 Mintal, Davao City 8022 Philippines

33 dndelmundo1@up.edu.ph

34
 35
 36
 37
 38

ABSTRACT AND KEYWORDS

Background: Over 50 countries have used Wastewater-Based Epidemiology (WBE) and Whole-Genome Sequencing (WGS) of SARS-CoV-2 for monitoring COVID-19 cases. COVID-19 surveillance in the Philippines relies on clinical monitoring and contact tracing, with both having limited use in early detection or prediction of community outbreaks. Thus, complementary public health surveillance methods that can provide community-level infection data faster and using lesser resources must be explored.

Objectives: This study piloted and assessed WBE and WGS as approaches for COVID-19 surveillance in low-resource and low-sanitation communities in Davao City, Philippines.

Methods: Weekly wastewater samples were collected from six barangay community sewer pipes or creeks from November to December 2020. Samples were concentrated using a PEG-NaCl precipitation method and analyzed by RT-PCR to detect the SARS-CoV-2 N, RdRP, and E genes. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 RNA-positive samples were subjected to WGS for genomic mutation surveillance. Public data from clinical surveillance were also reviewed to interpret WBE data.

Results: Twenty-two of the 24 samples (91.7%) obtained from the six barangays tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA. The cycle threshold (Ct) values were correlated with RNA concentration and attack rate. Thirty-two SARS-CoV-2 mutations were detected in WGS, including novel non-synonymous mutations or indels in seven SARS-CoV-2 genes and ten mutations previously reported in the Philippines.

Discussion: SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in community wastewater from the six barangays of Davao City, even when the barangays were classified as having a low risk of COVID-19 transmission and no new cases were reported. Despite the fragmented

64 genome sequences analyzed, our genomic surveillance in wastewater confirmed the
 65 presence of previously reported mutations while identifying mutations not yet registered in
 66 clinical surveillance. The local context of a community must be considered when planning
 67 to adopt WBE and WGS as complementary COVID-19 surveillance methodologies,
 68 especially in low-sanitation and low-resource settings.

69

70 Keywords: COVID-19, Philippines, SARS-CoV-2, Wastewater-Based Epidemiology,
 71 Whole Genome Sequencing.

72

73

74

75

76

77 INTRODUCTION

78 Following the World Health Organization declaration of a global pandemic in March 2020,
 79 public health surveillance systems at the national and local levels were immediately
 80 instituted to reduce the transmission of COVID-19. Surveillance aims to rapidly detect,
 81 isolate, and manage cases and their contacts, guide control measures, and monitor
 82 epidemiologic trends and evolution of SARS-CoV-2 (World Health Organization, 2020). In
 83 the Philippines, COVID-19 surveillance relies heavily on RT-PCR-based clinical
 84 diagnostics in symptomatic individuals and their contacts. However, clinical monitoring
 85 and contact tracing have limited use in the early detection or prediction of community
 86 outbreaks and can be logistically demanding when applied to a large population (Sims
 87 and Kasprzyk-Horden, 2020; Nsubuga et al., 2006). When interventions aim to curb
 88 transmission from patients with no symptoms or with a mild infection, complementary
 89 public health surveillance methods that can provide faster results of community-level
 90 infection data using lesser resources must be explored.

91 SARS-CoV-2 is shed in the feces of symptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19 cases
 92 (Chen et al., 2020; Park et al., 2020; Schmitz et al., 2021 PREPRINT). Over 50 countries
 93 have utilized sewage wastewater monitoring for COVID-19, whether in national or
 94 regional scale, or in localized sites (Naughton et al., 2021 PREPRINT). Studies from the
 95 Netherlands and the USA showed that wastewater-based epidemiology (WBE), or
 96 wastewater-based surveillance, can detect and predict hotspots of COVID-19 infections
 97 earlier than clinical surveillance (Wu et al., 2020; Wurtzer et al., 2020). Larsen and
 98 Wigginton (2020) further projected that WBE could give a 7-day lead over COVID-19 case
 99 reporting in symptomatic or clinical surveillance. Thus, WBE can serve as an early
 100 warning tool that can help control the spread of COVID-19, especially in areas where

diagnostic resources are limited (Bivins et al., 2020). WBE can also be utilized in assessing control strategies against COVID-19 at the community level. SARS-CoV-2 viral titers in WBE declined in response to social isolation and lockdowns in Montana, USA (Nemudryi et al., 2020), Paris, France (Wurtzer et al., 2020 PREPRINT), and Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (Prado et al., 2021). In Brazil (Prado et al., 2021) and Singapore (Wong et al., 2021), WBE data from samples from sewer pipes were used to determine where public health interventions should be intensified. Circulating variants and strains of SARS-CoV-2 were identified in wastewater using whole-genome sequencing (WGS), supporting the use of wastewater surveillance for tracing variant importations and circulation (Martin et al., 2020; Crits-Christoph et al., 2021; Napit et al., 2021 PREPRINT).

Davao City is the third most populous Highly Urbanized City (HUC) in the Philippines, with 1.8 million inhabitants. It is considered as the gateway for trade in the Southern Philippines (PSA, 2019). There were 8,808 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in Davao City at the end of 2020, coming from 178 of its 182 *barangays* (or smallest administrative division). Like many cities in the Philippines, Davao City does not have a centralized wastewater management system. As a result, inadequately treated domestic wastewater and sewage from private and communal septic tanks may be released to rivers and creeks along with surface run-off (Guerrero-Latorre et al., 2020; JICA, 2018). COVID-19 WBE in low-sanitation settings in Ecuador (Guerrero-Latorre et al., 2020) and portions of Brazil (Prado et al. 2020) demonstrated how data from WBE could be used in designing public health interventions and monitoring COVID-19 transmission.

This study piloted and assessed WBE and WGS as methodologies for COVID-19 surveillance in low-resource and low-sanitation communities in Davao City, Philippines. It

also identified the challenges in conducting wastewater-based surveillance in low-resource and low-sanitation communities.

METHODS

Study Site

This descriptive pilot study was implemented in Davao City, a chartered city in the Davao Region, the southern portion of the Philippines (Figure 1). The City Government of Davao City releases weekly COVID-19 transmission risk categories (CRITICAL, HIGH, MODERATE, or LOW) for its 182 *barangays*. These weekly characterizations are based on new and active cases normalized against Weekly or Average Daily Attack Rate (ADAR) and the 2-week Growth Rate (2WGR) for COVID-19 (City Government of Davao, 2021).

Guided by this weekly COVID-19 Risk Assessment *Barangay* Classification and the expert advice of the City Health Office, six communities with HIGH to MODERATE risk of COVID-19 transmission were identified for sampling (Figure 1). One wastewater sampling site within each *barangay* was determined with the help of the *barangay* personnel. Accessibility and presence of a continuous flow of wastewater were considered in choosing the site. In addition, two tertiary-level hospitals were also included as reference sites. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by an independent Research Ethics Board (BMH-REC Code # 2020-08-02).

Review of Secondary Data

The communities under each *barangay*, the estimated population as of 2020, and their land area were obtained from the involved *barangays* and the City Planning and

Development Office (CPDO) of the City Government of Davao. In addition, clinical surveillance data for COVID-19 was constructed from the publicly available daily list of new cases released by the Department of Health Davao Region (2021). Moreover, new and active cases (defined as new cases in the preceding two weeks) and cumulative incidence per 1,000 persons were computed based on the constructed database.

Sample collection and processing

Wastewater was collected from the sewer pipes in [1] 23-C, [2] 76-A Bucana, [3] Matina Crossing, and [4] Leon Garcia Sr., and from the creeks in [5] Mintal and [6] Tomas Monteverde between 8 AM to 3 PM once weekly for four weeks from November 8 to December 12, 2020. Weekly sampling was divided into two days, and *barangay* wastewater target sites in close proximity were sampled on the same day. Two hundred fifty milliliters of grab wastewater samples from each site were placed in sterile high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles, transported on ice to the College of Science and Mathematics, University of the Philippines Mindanao, and processed in a Biosafety Level 2 laboratory within four hours of collection.

The methods for processing wastewater samples were modified from Wu et al. (2020). Briefly, wastewater samples were sonicated at 20kHz without pulse for two mins. Samples were centrifuged at 4,700xg for 30 mins without brake to remove large particles. The supernatant was decanted and then passed through a 0.22 μ m polyethersulfone (PES) syringe filter. Polyethylene glycol (PEG 8000) and NaCl were then added to the filtrate to a final concentration of 8% w/v PEG and 0.3M NaCl. After vigorous agitation, the tubes were kept at 4°C for 20 hours and then centrifuged at 10,000xg for 90 mins until a visible pellet formed. The viral pellet was then reconstituted with 100 μ L DNA/RNA Shield (Zymo Research, USA) and stored at -20°C until RNA extraction.

172

173 **RNA Extraction and RT-PCR analysis**

174 Viral RNA was extracted from 100µL resuspended viral pellets using the MagMax
 175 Viral/Pathogen Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA) in a
 176 Kingfisher Duo Prime Purification System (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). RT-PCR
 177 detection of SARS-CoV-2 was performed using the Allplex™ 2019-nCoV Assay (Seegene
 178 Inc., South Korea), which targets the RdRP, N, and E genes. Five microliters each of the
 179 2019-nCoV MOM, RNase-free water, and 5x real-time one-step buffer, 2µL of real-time
 180 one-step enzyme, and 8µL of RNA sample or controls (non-template control and positive
 181 control) were added for a total qPCR reaction of 25uL. Thermal cycling was set at 50°C
 182 for 20 min for reverse transcription, 95°C for 15 min, and then 45 cycles of 94°C for 15s,
 183 and 58°C for 30s using the Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 5 real-time PCR machine
 184 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). The cut-off cycle threshold (Ct) for Allplex™ 2019-
 185 nCoV Assay was set at 40 cycles. Samples were considered POSITIVE for SARS-CoV-2
 186 RNA when all three targets were amplified, OR when either N or RdRP genes, OR both N
 187 and RdRP genes were amplified with Ct below or equal to 40, as recommended by the
 188 manufacturers in the analysis and interpretation of the Allplex™ 2019-nCoV Assay.
 189 However, if only the E gene was amplified, the sample was considered NEGATIVE for
 190 SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Linear regression analysis using the IBM SPSS Software (version 21)
 191 was used to assess if the Ct of the E, N, and RdRP genes were correlated with the RNA
 192 concentration and the computed weekly Attack Rate.

193 ***Whole Genome Sequencing***

194 Eleven (11) wastewater samples that were positive for all targets (RdRP, N, and E genes)
 195 and with Ct values ranging from 29 to 39 were chosen for WGS (Supplemental Material,

Sample details). SARS-CoV2 amplicon enrichment was performed using the NEBNext SARS-CoV2 companion kit (New England BioLabs, USA) using the IDT 2019-nCoV v3 panel. PCR products for each sample, including a negative control, were diluted to 50µL using 9.5µL of cDNA from each of the two separate primer pool reactions and 31µL nuclease-free water. A total of 5µL PCR dilution per sample was subjected to downstream processing consisting of a DNA end-repair step, barcode ligation, adapter ligation, and library clean-up following the published ARTIC nCoV-2019 sequencing protocol (LoCost) v3 (Quick, 2020). Sequencing using a FLO-MIN106 flow cell on the MinION Mk1B device (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, United Kingdom) was allowed to run for approximately 24 hours.

Bioinformatic Analysis

Bioinformatic analysis was performed following the nCoV-2019 novel coronavirus bioinformatics protocol by the ARTIC Network (Loman et al., 2020), with several modifications made according to the actual experimental conditions. Briefly, MinKNOW software was used to generate raw sequencing read files in FAST5 file format. The generated FAST5 files were subsequently base called and converted to FASTQ files using the guppy_basecaller software (v.9.4.1) set to high-accuracy mode basecalling. The basecalled reads were then demultiplexed into their corresponding barcodes with the guppy_barcode software. All barcoded reads were subjected to read filtering through the ARTIC guppyplex tool, retaining reads that are 400 (approximate amplicon size) to 600 bases in length. The remaining reads were then subjected to the medaka pipeline (set to v.9.4.1 as this is the version of the guppy_basecaller used) set to use the ARTIC Protocol V3 primer set, which generated the assembled consensus sequences and performed variant calling. All consensus sequences were submitted to the CoV-GLUE online server

bioinformatics tool for corresponding lineage assignment and identification of viral mutations using the SARS-CoV-2 isolate (Wuhan-Hu-1) complete genome (GenBank Accession ID: MN908947.3) as the reference sequence (Singer *et al.*, 2020). As the genome assemblies in this study were incomplete and highly fragmented due to the presence of many N base calls and hence sequence gaps, the threshold for variant calling was performed with a total of 30x coverage (Izquierdo-Lara *et al.*, 2021) on a specific base across all assembled consensus sequences to ensure high-quality variant calls.

RESULTS

Site characteristics

The largest *barangay* by land area is Mintal (7.68 sq. km), and the smallest is 23-C (0.2 sq. km). However, the population density was highest in 23-C with 94,111 persons/sq km, and lowest in Mintal with 1,929 persons/sq km (Table 1). Community wastewaters included in this study converged in *barangay* sewer lines that drained either to a river (Talomo River, Matina River, and Davao River) or to the Davao Gulf (Figure 1).

Except for Leon Garcia Sr., all *barangays* were at a high-risk level of COVID-19 transmission at least once during the study period (Figure 2). In addition, the following *barangay*, 23-C, Leon Garcia Sr., Tomas Monteverde, and Mintal were categorized as low-risk *barangays* during one of the four weeks of wastewater testing (Figure 2A, C, E, and F). None of the *barangays* were categorized as very high or critical risk during the entire wastewater sampling. Moreover, no risk assessment was declared for the week of Nov 15 to 21, 2020.

Four hundred fifty new and 599 active cases were reported in the six *barangays* during the wastewater testing period (Table 1). These cases cover only 14% of the new COVID-19 cases and 14% of the active COVID-19 cases reported in the entire Davao City for the same period. Over 44% of the new cases in the six *barangays* occurred in 76-A Bucana. In addition, the weekly number of new and active cases was consistently higher for 76-A Bucana and Matina Crossing relative to the other *barangays* (Table 1 and Figure 2). The weekly attack rates ranged from 5 to 127 new cases per 100,000 persons, with the highest attack rate recorded in Tomas Monteverde on November 22 to 28 (Figure 2).

SARS-CoV-2 Detection in Wastewater

Twenty-two out of the 24 (91.7%) samples obtained from the six *barangays* over the four-week course of the study tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, with RNA concentrations ranging from 7.8 to 40.2 ng/uL (Supplemental Material, Sample details) and Ct values ranging from 29.41 to 39.73 (Table 2). Moreover, the detection rate for the individual genes was highest for RdRP at 87.5%, followed by N at 83.3% and E at 62.5%. However, no SARS-CoV-2 genes were detected in 23-C and Mintal samples with low recovered RNA levels of 7.8 ng/uL RNA and 10.6 ng/uL RNA, respectively, during the week of November 22 to 28, 2020. In addition, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was also detected in all untreated wastewater samples from the two reference tertiary level hospitals in Davao City, with Ct values ranging from 30.95 to 39.01 (Table 2).

Epidemiologic Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 Wastewater Surveillance

SARS- COV-2 RNA was detected in all sites in at least three out of four sampling points between November and December 2020, regardless of the *Barangay* Risk Category and even for *barangays* with a weekly attack rate as low as one new case per 10,000 population (Figure 2). Notably, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in 23-C and Monteverde

for the week of December 5-12, even when no new cases were reported for the corresponding week (Figure 2A and E). However, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was not detected even if new cases were reported in 23-C for November 22-28 with a weekly attack rate of 22 per 100,000 persons and in Mintal for November 15-21 with a weekly attack rate of 47 per 100,000 persons (Figure 2A and F). Furthermore, the Ct for the E and N genes was negatively correlated with RNA concentration and attack rate (p-values < 0.05). However, the Ct value RdRP gene was only associated with the changes in the attack rate (p-value 0.04, Supplemental Material, regression analyses per gene target).

SARS-CoV-2 Sequencing in Wastewater

Whole-genome sequence data from SARS-CoV-2-positive wastewater samples showed that genome coverage varied widely among samples. The November 9 sample from Matina Crossing had ~50% genome fraction coverage. All other samples had a range of 1.16% - 20.37% genome fraction coverage, indicating incomplete and highly fragmented assembled genomes. CoV-GLUE analyses of the assembled consensus genome sequences assigned these sequences to differing lineages, such as B.1, B.1.1, and B.6 (Supplemental Material, genome assembly and analyses). However, the likelihood weight ratio values for these lineage assignments ranged from 59.375 - 100, with five samples having values below 75, most likely due to the highly fragmented nature of the assembled genomes.

CoV-GLUE detected a total of 32 mutations among all assembled consensus sequences, nine of which were synonymous, 17 were non-synonymous, five were indels, and one was located in a non-coding region (Table 3). Most of the mutations were detected in only one sample, a few in two samples, and one in six samples. Ten of these mutations have already been previously reported, but novel non-synonymous mutations or indels in the

coding regions of nsp3, nsp6, RdRp, ORF3, ORF6, ORF7A, and ORF8 were also noted. Among the known mutations in the spike gene for SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern (VOCs) and the Philippine P3 variant, only D614G was detected (Table 3 and Supplemental Material, gene mutations). The S94F, 141-143del, P681H/R, K417N/T, E1092K, and H1101Y mutations were absent among samples whose sequence data covered these regions. The other mutations were not covered in the sequence data.

DISCUSSION

Wastewater-based surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 has been proven to be a useful surveillance tool for COVID-19 (Daughton, 2020). In addition, WBE can support clinical surveillance by detecting pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19 cases (Wannigama et al., 2021). It is also an additional indicator for COVID-19 prevalence trends in the sampled neighborhood or community (Peccia et al., 2020).

In this study, SARS-CoV-2 was detected in the wastewaters of *barangays* from Davao City, Philippines, regardless of the COVID-19 transmission risk category of the *barangays* or presence or absence of reported cases. The weekly *barangay-level* COVID-19 transmission risk classification released by the City Government of Davao City is based on ADAR and 2WGR. Weekly attack rates from October to December 2020 in the involved *barangays* in this study correlated with SARS-CoV-2 Ct values. However, in our study, no striking temporal pattern was observed. Furthermore, WBE detected SARS-CoV-2 RNA in *barangays* even when the attack rate was low (one new case per 10,000 persons). SARS-CoV-2 RNA was also detected in two of the most densely populated *barangays* included in this study, even when no new cases were reported for the corresponding week. Wannigama et al. (2021) reported a similar result in Thailand, where

318 SARS-CoV-2 genome copies were detected in wastewater from Bangkok's City Center
 319 and neighboring suburbs even when cumulative cases were zero from July 2020 to
 320 November 2020. Trottier et al. (2020) also did not observe a temporal correlation between
 321 the SARS-CoV-2 genome copies in wastewater and the COVID-19 incidence in
 322 Montpellier, France, as high levels of average SARS-CoV-2 genome copies were
 323 detected weeks before the COVID-19 cases increased in July 2020. This finding is
 324 possible because of fecal shedding in asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic COVID-19
 325 cases, the variable amount of shed SARS-CoV-2 among infected persons (Trottier et al.
 326 2020; Wannigama et al. 2021), or differences in testing coverage in the community. Since
 327 all active cases of COVID-19 in Davao City are immediately taken to one of the eleven
 328 Temporary Treatment and Monitoring Facilities (TTMFs) shortly after testing positive via
 329 RT-PCR (City Government of Davao, 2020), we hypothesize that the SARS-CoV-2 RNA
 330 detected in the community wastewater may come from either pre-symptomatic, or
 331 asymptomatic cases, or symptomatic individuals who did not self-report to their local
 332 health monitoring unit.

333 Over 70% of confirmed COVID-19 active cases in Davao City by the end of 2020 were
 334 asymptomatic cases (DOH Davao Region, 2021). This can be attributable to aggressive
 335 active-case finding, intensified contact tracing, and free community testing via RT-PCR in
 336 the City, whereby even asymptomatic contacts of confirmed COVID-19 cases are tested
 337 and required to self-isolate (City Government of Davao, 2021). In cities or municipalities
 338 where clinical testing capacity and healthcare resources are low, both symptomatic and
 339 asymptomatic COVID-19 infections may be missed by clinical diagnostics (testing via RT-
 340 PCR). Even without testing resource limitations, COVID-19 infections in patients who
 341 purposely do not report having symptoms, and hence, do not get tested for COVID-19

342 because of fear of social stigma (Michael-Kordatou et al., 2020), or isolation and
 343 quarantine (Larsen and Wigginton, 2020) will not be captured by clinical surveillance and
 344 contact tracing. Wastewater-based epidemiology offers a complementary public health
 345 surveillance method that provides community infection data faster using less resources
 346 than individual RT-PCR testing (Daughton, 2020). With long-term periodic community
 347 wastewater testing for SARS-CoV-2, WBE can present an unbiased snapshot of COVID-
 348 19 cases at the community level, whether asymptomatic, pre-symptomatic, or
 349 symptomatic (Wu et al., 2020). WBE can also be useful in suburban and rural
 350 communities, especially in low-resource settings, where people may have limited access
 351 to healthcare (Larsen and Wigginton, 2020).

352 Genomic surveillance in wastewater was attempted in this pilot work, and an average
 353 read depth of genome coverage was calculated to be ~20x, which indicates that SARS-
 354 CoV-2 genomes were indeed sequenced reasonably and accurately (Goldman and
 355 Domschke, 2014). However, fragmented genomes were generated probably due to 1) the
 356 problematic nature of the sample, which may have been composed of degraded viral
 357 genetic material, and 2) the high Ct values (above 30) of the samples, which is known to
 358 be technically challenging for whole genome sequencing (Crits-Christoph et al., 2020).
 359 Nevertheless, we analyzed the sequence data as an exploratory frame of reference for
 360 future investigations. Furthermore, to ensure high-quality analysis despite the fragmented
 361 sequences, the threshold for variant calling was performed with a total of 30x coverage
 362 (Izquierdo-Lara et al., 2021).

363 Our wastewater surveillance in Davao City detected SARS-CoV-2 non-synonymous
 364 mutations which have been previously reported in the Philippines: [1] the nsp3 T2016K,
 365 RdRp A97V, and nucleoprotein P13L, which were first detected in March 2020 (Tablizo et

366 *al.*, 2020); [2] the nucleoprotein R203K and G204R, which were first detected in January
367 2021 (Tablizo *et al.*, 2021); and [3] the spike D614G which has become globally dominant
368 early in the pandemic (Korber *et al.*, 2020). In addition, other mutations reported in other
369 countries (nsp2 P129S, RdRp A449V, and 120/121FI→ LFS) (Sengupta *et al.*, 2020,
370 Wang *et al.*, 2020, Zinzula *et al.*, 2021) were also detected in this study.

371 We also detected mutations that were not yet reported by clinical surveillance. One of
372 these mutations is the V117I non-synonymous mutation at ORF8 represented in two
373 samples (total of 476 observations). ORF8 is an accessory protein for viral capsid
374 formation and is also implicated in the host immune response towards SARS-CoV-2
375 (Santerre *et al.*, 2020; Zinzula, 2021). Another mutation of interest is the deletion at
376 position 11083 located in the nsp6 gene region which is represented in two samples (total
377 of 605 observations). Previous publications in the Philippines and elsewhere (Tablizo *et*
378 *al.*, 2020; Aiewsakun *et al.*, 2020; Chaw *et al.*, 2020) have reported a non-synonymous
379 mutation at this position, but not the observed deletion in this study. The viral protein
380 encoded by nsp6 assists in the formation of vesicles for viral replication (Santerre *et al.*,
381 2020). Other previously unreported non-synonymous mutations and indels were detected
382 in only one site, such as for the vesicle-forming nsp3 and nsp6, the polymerase RdRp,
383 and the accessory proteins ORF3, ORF6, and ORF7a.

384 Except for the D614G mutation, there was a notable absence of mutations within the
385 spike gene, previously noted for VOCs and the Philippine P3 variant. Unfortunately, most
386 of the regions covered by these mutations were not successfully sequenced, thereby
387 preventing any conclusion from being made on these mutations. On the other hand, the

388 sub-regions for S94F, 141-143del, P681H/R, K417N/T, E1092K, and H1101Y were
389 covered in the sequence data, and the corresponding mutations were absent.

390 Altogether, our genomic surveillance in wastewater confirmed the presence of previously
391 reported mutations while identifying mutations not yet registered in clinical surveillance,
392 albeit the current strategy has large room for improvement considering the fragmented
393 nature of the sequence data. Although the Philippines has a national genomic
394 biosurveillance program, only representative clinical samples are included (DOH, 2021),
395 thereby introducing the possibility of excluding other relevant circulating SARS-CoV-2
396 variants. Wastewater genomic surveillance can complement clinical surveillance by
397 providing additional genomic information about circulating and emerging mutations using
398 lesser resources, given that pools of individuals are represented in every wastewater
399 sample used for WGS.

400 This pilot application identified a unique set of challenges for wastewater surveillance in
401 the Philippines. COVID-19 WBE has been reported in over 50 countries worldwide, and
402 94% of these countries belong to the high and upper-middle income countries that have
403 centralized wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) (Naughton et al., 2021 PREPRINT).
404 With WWTPs, accurate data about the population served by the specific treatment plant is
405 available, and biological, physicochemical, and hydrologic data are regularly monitored,
406 permitting near-real-time spatio-temporal trend analysis on COVID-19 transmission in the
407 served population (Michael-Kordatou, Karaolia, and Fatta-Kassinos, 2020). Unlike high
408 and upper-middle income countries, most cities in the Philippines, including Davao City,
409 do not have centralized wastewater treatment facilities. Over 90% of households in Davao
410 City are connected to private or communal septic systems (JICA, 2018), and sewer pipes
411 carry primary-treated wastewaters along with surface runoff onto the draining natural

412 bodies of water. One of the challenges in this study was obtaining reliable estimates of
 413 the contributing population to the wastewaters collected since data on sewer pipe
 414 networks in the *barangays* were fragmented, and only personal knowledge of barangay
 415 personnel about sewer networks was available as of writing. Cities without centralized
 416 WWTP that plan to implement WBE, whether for COVID-19 or other water-related
 417 infectious diseases, must ensure that a comprehensive map of sewer pipelines is first
 418 generated to identify the population contributing to the wastewater accurately. However,
 419 WBE can also be used for non-intrusive targeted site testing for COVID-19 infections. For
 420 example, wastewater samples from residential buildings were tested for SARS-CoV-2 in
 421 Singapore (Wong et al., 2021) and Hong Kong (Sharon, 2021). Positive test results
 422 prompted their respective health agencies to implement compulsory testing of residents
 423 and isolation of infected persons, thereby limiting the spread of COVID-19 in the tested
 424 community.

425 The location of wastewater sampling was also crucial in this study. Sample collection at
 426 sites close to the Davao Gulf (i.e., 76-A Bucana, Leon Garcia, Monteverde, and 23-C)
 427 was greatly influenced by tidal changes. During high tide, the sewer pipes become
 428 partially submerged in seawater (or riverine water at 76-A Bucana), and the direction of
 429 wastewater flow is inward, which reverses during low tide. Besides dilution, saltwater
 430 intrusion to wastewater may hasten the decay of enveloped viruses like SARS-CoV-2
 431 (Pinon and Vialette, 2018) and may affect the levels of detectable viral RNA in the
 432 samples. Hence, sample collection was done only during low tide to reduce wastewater
 433 dilution with seawater. Therefore, when planning WBE using sewer lines that open to a
 434 river or the sea, sewer pipelines that are consistently above the level of a river or
 435 seawater are ideal.

436 Furthermore, anthropogenic factors such as industrial discharge and livestock wastes
437 have been shown to alter the water quality and may influence nutrient loads, coliforms,
438 and chemical composition (Khatri and Tyagi, 2015). O'Brien and colleagues (2017) also
439 found that the abundance and diversity of viruses in wastewater varied with their
440 environment. We are currently evaluating physico-chemical, hydrologic, and
441 anthropogenic factors' contributions to recovering SARS-CoV-2 RNA from community
442 wastewater in Davao City.

443 Another factor to consider in WBE is the sampling strategy. In most cities, the sewage
444 flow rate is greatest during the morning and the evening, and a 24-hour composite
445 sampling can catch these peak flows with the most reliable mean viral levels in the
446 wastewater (Michael-Kordatou et al., 2020). However, composite samples may also result
447 in reduced viral titers compared to grab sampling (Michael-Kordatou et al., 2020,
448 Nemudryi et al., 2020 PREPRINT) because of the dilution of viruses (Napit et al., 2021
449 PREPRINT). In addition, composite sampling is also logistically challenging, especially
450 without expensive automated wastewater collectors. In this study, grab samples were
451 collected between 8 AM to 3 PM, depending on the site's distance from the laboratory
452 and the changing of tides (for sites near the Davao Gulf). For future studies, the collection
453 of grab samples during peak hours of human toilet use (e.g., 7 AM to 9 AM) is an
454 alternative method that can improve the chances of detecting fecally shed SARS-CoV-2,
455 especially in low-incidence and low-resource areas (Napit et al., 2021 PREPRINT).

456 Another critical step in WBE is choosing a concentration method for viruses (Michael-
457 Kordatou et al., 2020). Wastewater is an environmental matrix with high chemical and
458 biological complexity that may affect viral recovery (Shi, Pasco, and Tarabara, 2017).
459 Since most fecally-shed SARS-CoV-2 viruses are unprotected or non-intact forms

(Wurtzer et al., 2020), they are more susceptible to degradation. The method for the concentration of viruses from wastewater used in this study is a combination of PES filtration and PEG-NaCl precipitation, modified from Wu et al. (2020). However, RNA recovery was not computed in this study; RNA levels of wastewater-concentrated viruses correlated with the SARS-CoV-2 Ct values. Ahmed et al. (2020) reported that using PEG precipitation can yield RNA recoveries ranging from 26.7 to 65.7% using a SARS-CoV-2 surrogate virus (murine hepatitis virus). RNA recovery is an essential measure of how much RNA is available for downstream SARS-CoV-2 detection (CDC, 2020), and this may depend on several factors, such as the volume of wastewater samples collected, sample processing (including viral concentration), and RNA extraction method chosen (Kantor et al., 2021). Michael-Kordatou et al. (2020) further recommended processing higher volumes of wastewater in low COVID-19 incidence areas to increase the chances of SARS-CoV-2 detection.

The method used for detection has to be considered. The standard markers used for SARS-CoV-2 detection in wastewater are the N1, N2, and N3 genes and E Sarbecovirus gene (Kitajima et al. 2020). Our pilot investigation suggests using either the N or RdRp gene, as they had consistently higher rates of detection (75-100%) relative to E (62.5%) both in the community and hospital wastewaters. Ct values in this study were also within the previously reported range of 27 to almost 40 (Ahmed et al., 2020a and 2020b, Bar-Or et al., 2020, Kocamemi et al., 2020, Kumar et al., 2020, Napit et al., 2021, Wu et al., 2020). Because of the absence of costly quantification standards for RT-PCR, quantification of viral genome copies per volume of wastewater and, consequently, the determination of analytical specificity (i.e., limit of detection, LOD) were not performed in this pilot study. Although the AllPlexTM 2019-nCOV assay (Seegene Inc., 2020) was

developed for clinical applications using respiratory samples, we demonstrate its applicability for SARS-CoV-2 detection in feces-contaminated environmental samples, as has been done in Nepal (Napit et al. 2021 PREPRINT). Subsequent WBE studies must adhere to the Environmental Microbiology Minimum Information (EMMI) for publication of Quantitative Real-time PCR Experiments which describes essential and desired qPCR information, such as RNA yield, contamination assessment, inhibition testing, LOD determination, and repeatability, that researchers must report to ensure the validity and reliability of qPCR studies (Borchardt et al., 2021).

It is unclear if the wastewater samples that were negative for SARS-CoV-2 genes in this study collected on weeks when both new and active cases were reported were indeed true negatives. In addition, more robust WBE data would have been reported if the determination of RNA recovery and LOD and PCR inhibition studies were performed and correlated with the detection of SARS-CoV-2 target genes. Nonetheless, to our knowledge, this is the first paper to report COVID-19 wastewater surveillance in the Philippines and the Western Pacific region.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In summary, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in community-level wastewater from the six barangays of Davao City, even when the barangays were classified as having a Low Risk of COVID-19 transmission and no new cases were reported. In addition, previously reported mutations and novel non-synonymous mutations were also detected from whole-genome sequencing of wastewater. These results highlight the potential of systematic wastewater-based epidemiology for SARS-CoV-2 as a complementary approach to clinical surveillance even in a low-sanitation metropolitan like Davao City, Philippines.

508 Viral load per community must be estimated to generate COVID-19 transmission trends
 509 and assist the local government in planning and evaluating the appropriate public health
 510 interventions. Future studies must also explore the effect of different physico-chemical,
 511 biological, hydrologic, and anthropogenic parameters on viral detection and quantification
 512 following the EMMI guidelines. When planning to adopt WBE for COVID-19 in areas
 513 without WWTPs and with low testing resources, cities and/or research groups must
 514 consider: 1) knowledge or a map of sewer lines and available population data contributing
 515 to the wastewater to be monitored, 2) proper site selection and appropriate sampling
 516 design considering the local conditions, 3) the impact of onsite anthropogenic and
 517 environmental factors on detection, 4) optimized methods for virus concentration and
 518 detection, and 5) adequate resources for field and laboratory work.

519 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

520
 521 This project was supported by the University of the Philippines Mindanao In-House
 522 Research Grant, the DOST - Philippine Council for Health Research and Development
 523 through the Philippine Genome Center Mindanao, the Just One Giant Lab through the
 524 Project Accessible Genomics, New England BioLabs Inc., and USAID PEER subaward
 525 number (2000009924).

526 The authors would also like to thank the Davao City Health Office, the local government
 527 units under the City Government of Davao, and partner hospitals for their support and
 528 assistance, and Dr. Diana Aga for discussions on sample collection and analyses.

529 The authors would also like to acknowledge the following for their expert advice on
 530 nanopore and wastewater sequencing: Ralf Dagdag and Matthew Redlinger from the
 531 Bortz Virology Laboratory at the University of Alaska Anchorage; Dr. Amanda Warr from
 532 the Roslin Institute of the University of Edinburgh; Dr. Nicole Wheeler from the University

533 of Birmingham; Dr. Lara Urban, co-founder of *PuntSeq*; and Dr. Joe Russell from
534 MRIGlobal.
535

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, W., Angel, N., Edson, J., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., O'Brien, J. W., Choi, P. M., Kitajima, M., Simpson, S. L., Li, J., Tschärke, B., Verhagen, R., Smith, W. J. M., Zaugg, J., Dierens, L., Hugenholtz, P., Thomas, K. V., & Mueller, J. F. (2020). First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the community. *Science of the Total Environment*, 728, 138764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138764>.
- Ahmed, W., Bertsch, P. M., Angel, N., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., Dierens, L., Edson, J., Ehret, J., Gyawali, P., Hamilton, K. A., Hosegood, I., Hugenholtz, P., Jiang, G., Kitajima, M., Sichani, H. T., Shi, J., Shimko, K. M., Simpson, S. L., Smith, W. J. M., Symonds, E., Thomas, K., Verhagen, R., Zaugg, J., & Mueller, J. F. (2020). Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in commercial passenger aircraft and cruise ship wastewater: A surveillance tool for assessing the presence of COVID-19 infected travellers. *Journal of Travel Medicine*, 27(5), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1093/JTM/TAAA116>.
- Aiewsakun, P., Wongtrakongate, P., Thawornwattana, Y., Hongeng, S., & Thitithanyanont, A. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 genetic variations associated with COVID-19 severity. *MedRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.27.20114546>.
- Bar-Or, I., Yaniv, K., Shagan, M., Ozer, E., Erster, O., Mendelson, E., Mannasse, B., Shirazi, R., Kramarsky-Winter, E., Nir, O., Abu-Ali, H., Ronen, Z., Rinott, E., Lewis, Y., Friedler, E., Bitkover, E., Paitan, Y., Berchenko, Y., & Kushmaro, A. (2020). Regressing SARS-CoV-2 sewage measurements onto COVID-19 burden in the population: a proof-of-concept for quantitative environmental surveillance Regressing SARS-CoV-2 sewage measurements onto COVID-19 burden in the population: a proof-of-concept for quanti. *MedRxiv*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.20073569>.
- Bascos, N. A. D., Mirano-Bascos, D., & Saloma, C. P. (2021). Structural Analysis of Spike Protein Mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 P. 3 Variant. *bioRxiv*. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.06.434059>.
- Bivins, A., North, D., Ahmad, A., Ahmed, W., Alm, E., Been, F., Bhattacharya, P., Bijlsma, L., Boehm, A. B., Brown, J., Buttiglieri, G., Calabro, V., Carducci, A., Castiglioni, S., Cetecioglu Gurol, Z., Chakraborty, S., Costa, F., Curcio, S., De Los Reyes, F. L., Vela, J., Farkas, K., Fernandez-Casi, X., Gerba, C., Gerrity, D., Girones, R., Gonzalez, R., Haramoto, E., Harris, A., Holden, P. A., Islam, Md. T., Jones, D. L., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Kitajima, M., Kotlarz, N., Kumar, M., Kuroda, K., La Rosa, G., Malpei, F., Mautus, M., McLellan, S. L., Medema, G., Meschke, J. S., Mueller, J., Newton, R. J., Nilsson, D., Noble, R. T., van Nuijs, A., Peccia, J., Perkins, T. A., Pickering, A. J., Rose, J., Sanchez, G., Smith, A., Stadler, L., Stauber, C., Thomas, K., van der Voorn, T., Wigginton, K., Zhu, K., & Bibby, K. (2020). Wastewater-Based Epidemiology: Global Collaborative to Maximize Contributions in the Fight against COVID-19. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 54(13), 7754–7757. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c02388>.
- Borchardt, M. A., Boehm, A. B., Salit, M., Spencer, S. K., Wigginton, K. R., & Noble, R. T. (2021). The Environmental Microbiology Minimum Information (EMMI) Guidelines: qPCR and dPCR Quality and Reporting for Environmental Microbiology. *Environmental Science & Technology* 55, 10210–10223.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). *Wastewater Surveillance Testing Methods*. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/surveillance/wastewater-surveillance/testing-methods.html>.
- Chaw, S.-M., Tai, J.-H., Chen, S.-L., Hsieh, C.-H., Chang, S.-Y., Yeh, S.-H., Yang, W.-S., Chen, P.-J., & Wang, H.-Y. (2020). The origin and underlying driving forces of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. *Journal of Biomedical Science*, 27(1), 73. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.12.038554>.
- Chen, Y., Chen, L., Deng, Q., Zhang, G., Wu, K., Ni, L., Yang, Y., Liu, B., Wang, W., Wei, C., Yang, J., Ye, G., & Cheng, Z. (2020). The presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the feces of COVID-19 patients. *Journal of Medical Virology*, 92(7), 833–840. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25825>.
- City Government of Davao. (2020, October). *Davao City sets up 5 Covid-19 facilities as SPMC reaches capacity*. <https://www.davaocity.gov.ph/covid-19/davao-city-sets-up-5-covid-19-facilities-as-spmc-reaches-capacity/>.

- City Government of Davao. (2021a). *December 27 to January 2 2021 Barangay Classifications [Facebook Update]*. <https://m.facebook.com/davaocitygov/>.
- City Government of Davao. (2021b, April). *Davao City targets 1K swab tests per day*. <https://www.davaocity.gov.ph/covid-19/davao-city-targets-1k-swab-tests-per-day/>.
- Crits-Christoph, A., Kantor, R. S., Olm, M. R., Whitney, O. N., Al-Shayeb, B., Lou, Y. C., Flamholz, A., Kennedy, L. C., Greenwald, H., Hinkle, A., Hetzel, J., Spitzer, S., Koble, J., Tan, A., Hyde, F., Schroth, G., Kuersten, S., Banfield, J. F., & Nelson, K. L. (2021). Genome sequencing of sewage detects regionally prevalent SARS-CoV-2 variants. *MBio*, 12(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02703-20>
- Daughton, C. G. (2020). Wastewater surveillance for population-wide Covid-19: The present and future. In *Science of the Total Environment* (Vol. 736, p. 139631). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139631>.
- Department of Health Davao Region. (2021). *DOH Davao COVID-19 Regional Daily Case Bulletin #294 [Facebook Update]*. <https://www.facebook.com/DOHdavao>.
- Department of Health Philippines. (2021, January). *PH Genomic Biosurveillance detects SARS-CoV-2 UK variant*. <https://doh.gov.ph/doh-press-release/PH-GENOMIC-BIOSURVEILLANCE-DETECTS-SARS-COV-2-UK-VARIANT>.
- Farkas, C., Mella, A., & Haigh, J. J. (2020). Large-scale population analysis of SARS-CoV2 whole genome sequences reveals host-mediated viral evolution with emergence of mutations in the viral Spike protein associated with elevated mortality rates. *medRxiv*. t doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.23.20218511>.
- Gámbaro, F., Behillil, S., Baidaliuk, A., Donati, F., Albert, M., Alexandru, A., Vanpeene, M., Bizard, M., Brisebarre, A., Barbet, M., Derrar, F., van der Werf, S., Enouf, V., & Simon-Lorieri, E. (2020). Introductions and early spread of SARS-CoV-2 in France, 24 January to 23 March 2020. *Eurosurveillance*, 25(26), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.26.2001200>.
- Goldman, D., & Domschke, K. (2014). Making sense of deep sequencing. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology*, 17(10), 1717–1725. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145714000789>.
- Guerrero-Latorre, L., Ballesteros, I., Villacrés-Granda, I., Granda, M. G., Freire-Paspuel, B., & Ríos-Touma, B. (2020). *SARS-CoV-2 in river water: Implications in low sanitation countries*. 743, 140832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140832>
- Izquierdo-Lara, R., Elsinga, G., Heijnen, L., Munnink, B., Schapendonk, C., Nieuwenhuijse, D., Kon, M., Lu, L., Aarestrup, F.M., Lycett, S., Medema, G., Koopmans, M.F.G., de Graaf, M. (2021). Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 Circulation and Diversity through Community Wastewater Sequencing, the Netherlands and Belgium. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 27(5), 1405–1415. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2705.204410>
- Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA). (2018). *Davao City Infrastructure Development Plan and Capacity Building Project, Final Report Summary*. <https://openjicareport.jica.go.jp/pdf/12308706.pdf>.
- Kantor, R. S., Nelson, K. L., Greenwald, H. D., & Kennedy, L. C. (2021). Challenges in Measuring the Recovery of SARS-CoV-2 from Wastewater. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 55(6), 3514–3519. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c08210>
- Khatri, N., & Tyagi, S. (2015). Influences of natural and anthropogenic factors on surface and groundwater quality in rural and urban areas. *Frontiers in Life Science*, 8(1), 23–39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21553769.2014.933716>
- Kitajima, M., Ahmed, W., Bibby, K., Carducci, A., Gerba, C. P., Hamilton, K. A., Haramoto, E., & Rose, J. B. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 in wastewater: State of the knowledge and research needs. *Science of the Total Environment*, 739, 139076. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139076>
- Kocamemi, B. A., Kurt, H., Hacıoglu, S., Yaralı, C., Saatci, A. M., & Pakdemirli, B. (2020). First Data-Set on SARS-CoV-2 Detection for Istanbul Wastewaters in Turkey Authors Marmara University , Department of Environmental Engineering , Istanbul , Turkey Saglik Bilimleri University , Faculty of Medicine ,

Department of Medical Biology , Istanbul. *MedRxiv*, April, 2–11.
<https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.03.20089417>.

Korber, B., Fischer, W. M., Gnanakaran, S., Yoon, H., Theiler, J., Abfalterer, W., Hengartner, N., Giorgi, E. E.,
 Bhattacharya, T., Foley, B., Hastie, K. M., Parker, M. D., Partridge, D. G., Evans, C. M., Freeman, T. M., de
 Silva, T. I., Angyal, A., Brown, R. L., Carrilero, L., Green, R., Groves, D., Johnson, K., Keeley, A., Lindsey, B.,
 Parsons, P., Raza, M., Rowland-Jones, S., Smith, N., Tucker, R., Wang, D., Wyles, M., McDanal, C., Perez,
 L., Tang, H., Moon-Walker, A., Whelan, S., LaBranche, C., Saphire, E., & Montefiori, D. C. (2020). Tracking
 Changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike: Evidence that D614G Increases Infectivity of the COVID-19 Virus. *Cell*,
 182(4), 812–827.e19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.043>.

Kumar, M., Kumar, A., Shah, A. V., Raval, J., & Rajpara, N. (2020). First proof of the capability of wastewater
 surveillance for COVID-19 in India through detection of genetic material of SARS-CoV-2. *Science of the
 Total Environment*, 746(January), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141326>.

Larsen, D. A., & Wigginton, K. R. (2020). Tracking COVID-19 with wastewater Wastewater testing captures the
 rise and fall of novel coronavirus cases in a mid-sized metropolitan region. *Nature Biotechnology*, 38,
 1151–1153. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0690-1>.

Loman, N., Rowe, W., Rambaut, A. (2020). *nCoV-2019 novel coronavirus bioinformatics protocol*.
<https://artic.network/ncov-2019/ncov2019-bioinformatics-sop.html>.

Martin, J., Klapsa, D., Wilton, T., Zambon, M., Bentley, E., Bujaki, E., Fritzsche, M., Mate, R., & Majumdar, M.
 (2020). Tracking SARS-CoV-2 in Sewage: Evidence of. *Viruses*, 12(10), 1144.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/v12101144>.

Michael-Kordatou, I., Karaolia, P., & Fatta-kassinos, D. (2020). Sewage analysis as a tool for the COVID-19
 pandemic response and management: the urgent need for optimised protocols for SARS-CoV-2 detection
 and quantification. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*, 8, 1–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2020.104306>.

Napit, R., Manandhar, P., Chaudhary, A., Shrestha, B., Poudel, A., Raut, R., Pradhan, S., Raut, S., Mathema, S.,
 Rajbhandari, R., Dixit, S., Schwind, J. S., Johnson, C. K., Mazet, J. K., & Karmacharya, D. (2021). Rapid
 genomic surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in a dense urban community using environmental (sewage) samples.
MedRxiv, 2021.03.29.21254053. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.29.21254053>.

National Economic and Development Authority Region XI (NEDA Region XI). (n.d.). *Davao Region*.
<https://nro11.neda.gov.ph/>.

Naughton, C. C., Roman, F. A., Grace, A., Alvarado, F., Tariqi, A. Q., Deeming, M. A., Bibby, K., Bivins, A., Rose, J.
 B., Medema, G., Ahmed, W., Katsivelis, P., Allan, V., Sinclair, R., Zhang, Y., & Kinyua, M. N. (2021). Show
 us the Data: Global COVID-19 Wastewater Monitoring Efforts, Equity, and Gaps. *MedRxiv*,
 2021.03.14.21253564. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.14.21253564>.

Nemudryi, A., Nemudraia, A., Wiegand, T., Surya, K., Buyukyoruk, M., Cicha, C., Vanderwood, K., Wilkinson, R.,
 & Wiedenheft, B. (2020). Temporal detection and phylogenetic assessment of SARS-CoV-2 in municipal
 wastewater. *Cell Reports Medicine*, 1(6), 100098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100098>.

Nsubuga, P., White, M.E., Thacker, S.B., Anderson, M.A., Blount, S.B., Broome, C. V., Chiller, T.M., Espitia, V.,
 Imtiaz, R., Sosin, D., Stroup, D.F., Tauxe, R. V., Vijayaraghavan, M., Trostle, M. (2006). Public Health
 Surveillance: A Tool for Targeting and Monitoring Interventions, Disease Control Priorities in Developing
 Countries. In *Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries* (2nd Edition). The International Bank for
 Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK11770/?report=reader>.

O'Brien, E., Nakyazze, J., Wu, H., Kiwanuka, N., Cunningham, W., Kaneene, J. B., & Xagorarakis, I. (2017). Viral
 diversity and abundance in polluted waters in Kampala, Uganda. *Water Research*, 127, 41–49.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.09.063>.

Oxford Nanopore Technologies. (n.d.). *MinION*. <https://nanoporetech.com/products/minion>.

Park, S. kyung, Lee, C. W., Park, D. Il, Woo, H. Y., Cheong, H. S., Shin, H. C., Ahn, K., Kwon, M. J., & Joo, E. J. (2021). Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Fecal Samples From Patients With Asymptomatic and Mild COVID-19 in Korea. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, 19(7), 1387-1394.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2020.06.005>.

Peccia, J., Zulli, A., Brackney, D. E., Grubaugh, N. D., Kaplan, E. H., Casanovas-Massana, A., Ko, A. I., Malik, A. A., Wang, D., Wang, M., Warren, J. L., Weinberger, D. M., Arnold, W., & Omer, S. B. (2020). Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater tracks community infection dynamics. *Nature Biotechnology*, 38(10), 1164–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0684-z>.

Philippine Statistics Authority. (2019). *Gross Regional Domestic Product by Year Published*. <https://psa.gov.ph/grdp/tables>.

Pinon, A., & Vialette, M. (2018). Survival of viruses in water. *Intervirology*, 61(5), 214–222. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000484899>.

Prado, T., Machado, T., Ferreira, C., Cristina, P., Couto, F., Lucia, A., Eppinghaus, F., Hugo, V., Marinho, R., Braz, S., Ribeiro, S., Gonçalves, A., & Pereira, M. (2021). Wastewater-based epidemiology as a useful tool to track SARS-CoV-2 and support public health policies at municipal level in Brazil. *Water Research*, 191(January), 116810. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.116810>.

Santerre, M., Arjona, S. P., Allen, C. N., Shcherbik, N., & Sawaya, B. E. (2020). Why do SARS-CoV-2 NSPs rush to the ER? *Journal of Neurology*, 268(6), 2013–2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-10197-8>.

Schmitz, B. W., Innes, G. K., Prasek, S. M., Betancourt, W. Q., Stark, E. R., Foster, A. R., Abraham, A. G., Gerba, C. P., & Pepper, I. L. (2021). Enumerating asymptomatic COVID-19 cases and estimating SARS-CoV-2 fecal shedding rates via wastewater-based epidemiology. *MedRxiv*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.16.21255638>.

Seegene Inc. (2020). *Allplex™ 2019-nCoV Assay Instructions for Use*. <https://www.fda.gov/media/137178/download>.

Sengupta, A., Hassan, S. S., & Choudhury, P. P. (2021). Clade GR and clade GH isolates of SARS-CoV-2 in Asia show highest amount of SNPs. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, 89, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2021.104724>.

Sharon, A. (2021, March 21). *HKU Engineering-led team co-develops COVID-19 sewage monitoring system*. <https://opengovasia.com/hku-engineering-led-team-co-develops-covid-19-sewage-monitoring-system/>.

Shi, H., Pasco, E. V., & Tarabara, V. V. (2017). Membrane-based methods of virus concentration from water: A review of process parameters and their effects on virus recovery. *Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology*, 3(5), 778–792. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ew00016b>.

Sims, N., & Kasprzyk-Hordern, B. (2020). Future perspectives of wastewater-based epidemiology: Monitoring infectious disease spread and resistance to the community level. *Environment International*, 139(February), 105689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105689>.

Singer, J., Gifford, R., Cotten, M., & Robertson, D. (2020). CoV-GLUE: A Web Application for Tracking SARS-CoV-2 Genomic Variation. *Preprints*, 2020060225. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202006.0225.v1>.

Tablizo, F. A., Kim, K. M., Lapid, C. M., Castro, M. J. R., Yangzon, M. S. L., Maralit, B. A., Ayes, M. E. C., Paz, E. M. C. la, Guzman, A. R. De, Yap, J. M. C., Llamas, J.-H. S., Araiza, S. M. M., Punayan, K. P., Asin, I. C. A., Tambaoan, C. F. B., Chong, A. L. U., Padilla, K. S. A. R., Cruz, R. P. S., Morado, E. K. D., Dizon, J. G. A., Hao, R. N. M., Zamora, A. A., Pacial, D. R., Magalang, J. A. R., Alejandria, M., Carlos, C., Ong-Lim, A., Salvaña, E. M., Wong, J. Q., Montoya, J. C., Singh-Vergeire, M. R., & Saloma, C. P. (2021). Genome sequencing and analysis of an emergent SARS-CoV-2 variant characterized by multiple spike protein mutations detected from the Central Visayas Region of the Philippines. *MedRxiv*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.03.21252812>.

Tablizo, F. A., Lapid, C. M., Maralit, B. A., Yap, J. M. C., Destura, R. V., Alejandria, M. A., Petronio-Santos, J. A., Morado, E. K. D., Dizon, J. G. A., Llamas, J.-H. S., Araiza, S. M. M., Punayan, K. P., Velasco, J. M. S., Mejia, J. A., Dollete, M., Salamat, S., Tan, C., Gabriel, K. A. D., Fabilloren, S. R. D., Demot, B., Genavia, S. F., Nipales,

J.E., Sanchez, A.C., Gaza, H.L., Arevalo, G.M., Pangilinan, C.M., Acosta, S.A., Salinas, M.V., Schwem, B.E., Dela Tonga, A.D., Galarion, M.J.H., Dungca, N.T.P., Geganzo, S.G., Bascos, N.A.D., Cutiongco-de la Paz, E.M., & Saloma, C. P. (2020). Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 Genome Sequences From the Philippines: Genetic Surveillance and Transmission Dynamics. *MedRxiv*, 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.22.20180034>.

Trottier, J., Darques, R., Mouheb, N. A., Partiot, E., Bakhache, W., Deffieu, M. S., & Gaudin, R. (2020). Post-lockdown detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the wastewater of Montpellier, France. *One Health*, 10, 100157.

Wang, R., Hozumi, Y., Yin, C., & Wei, G. W. (2020). Decoding SARS-CoV-2 Transmission and Evolution and Ramifications for COVID-19 Diagnosis, Vaccine, and Medicine. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 60(12), 5853–5865. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.0c00501>.

Wannigama, D. L., Amarasiri, M., Hurst, C., Phattharapornjaroen, P., Abe, S., Hongsing, P., Rad, S. M. A. H., Pearson, L., Saethang, T., Luk-in, S., Kueakulpattana, N., Storer, R. J., Ounjai, P., Jacquet, A., Leelahavanichkul, A., & Chatsuan, T. (2021). Tracking COVID-19 with wastewater to understand asymptomatic transmission. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 108, 296–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.05.005>.

Winger, A., & Caspari, T. (2021). The Spike of Concern—The Novel Variants of SARS-CoV-2. *Viruses*, 13(6), 1002.

Wong, J. C. C., Tan, J., Lim, Y. X., Arivalan, S., Hapuarachchi, H. C., Mailepessov, D., Griffiths, J., Jayarajah, P., Setoh, Y. X., Tien, W. P., Low, S. L., Koo, C., Yenamandra, S. P., Kong, M., Lee, V. J. M., & Ng, L. C. (2021). Non-intrusive wastewater surveillance for monitoring of a residential building for COVID-19 cases. *Science of the Total Environment*, 786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147419>.

World Health Organization. (2020). *Non-intrusive wastewater surveillance for monitoring of a residential building for COVID-19 cases*. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/337897/WHO-2019-nCoV-SurveillanceGuidance-2020.8-eng.pdf>.

Wu, F., Zhang, J., Xiao, A., Gu, X., Lee, W. L., Armas, F., Kauffman, K. Hange, W., Mats, M. Ghaeli, N., Endo, N., Duvallet, C., Poyet, Moniz, K., Washburne, A.D., Erickson, T.B. Chai, P.R., Thompson, J., & Alm, E. J. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 titers in wastewater are higher than expected from clinically confirmed cases. *Msystems*, 5(4), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00614-20>.

Wurtzer, S., Waldman, P., Ferrier-Rembert, A., Frenois-Veyrat, G., Mouchel, J. M., Boni, M., Maday, Y., Marechal, V., & Moulin, L. (2021). Several forms of SARS-CoV-2 RNA can be detected in wastewaters: Implication for wastewater-based epidemiology and risk assessment. *Water Research*, 198, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117183>.

Zinzula, L. (2021). Lost in deletion: The enigmatic ORF8 protein of SARS-CoV-2. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 538, 116–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.10.045>.

TABLES

Table 1. Barangay and sampling site characteristics.

Barangay	2020 Est. Population	Land Area (sq. km.)	Population Density (persons/sq. km.)	Source of wastewater	Water body receiving wastewater	New COVID-19 cases ¹	Active COVID-19 cases ¹
23-C	18,474	0.20	94,111.05	Sewer pipe	Davao Gulf	17	23
Leon Garcia	15,296	0.22	68,224.80	Sewer pipe	Davao Gulf	44	59
Monteverde	6,404	0.21	31,042.17	Creek	Davao Gulf	20	26
76-A Bucana	94,074	4.02	23,409.06	Sewer pipe	Davao River	198	264
Matina Crossing	36,342	5.29	6,866.57	Sewer pipe	Matina River	149	196
Mintal	14,820	7.68	1,929.16	Creek	Talomo River	22	31
Entire Davao City	1,816,987	2,440.00	744.67	-	-	3,120	4,379

¹ From Nov 8 to Dec 12, 2020

Table 2. SARS-CoV-2 detection in six barangays of Davao City, Philippines on November 8- Dec 12, 2020.

Gene Marker	Communities ¹		Hospitals ²	
	Positive (%)	Ct range ³	Positive (%)	Ct range ³
E	15/24 (62.5%)	29.95 - 39.73	5/8 (62.5%)	33.03 - 37.64
N	20/24 (83.3%)	29.41 - 38.74	8/8 (100%)	30.95 - 37.48
RdRP	21/24 (87.5%)	31.26 - 38.89	6/8 (75%)	31.67 - 39.01
Overall⁴	22/24 (91.7%)	29.41 - 39.73	8/8 (100%)	30.95 - 39.01

¹ Total of 24 samplings

² Total of eight samplings

³ Ct values of positive samples

⁴ Based on the criteria of Allplex SARS-CoV-2 Assay

Table 3. Information on variant calling and corresponding mutations of SARS-CoV-2 detected from wastewater in Davao Clty, Philippines on Nov- Dec 2020.

Base Position in Reference Sequence	Reference Base	Alternate Base	Type of Mutation	Resulting Mutation	Affected Gene/ Protein/ Region	Number of Sample(s) Represented	Total Number of Observations	Previously Reported?
1190	C	T	Non-synonymous	P129S (P309S)	nsp2 (ORF1a)	1	155	Yes, Sengupta <i>et al.</i> (2020)
5469	T	C	Non-synonymous	L917S (L1735S)	nsp3 (ORF1a)	1	31	No
6312	C	A	Non-synonymous	T1198K (T2016K)	nsp3 (ORF1a)	1	84	Yes, Tablizo <i>et al.</i> (2020)
8299	C	T	Synonymous	N1860 (N2678)	nsp3 (ORF1a)	1	155	No
11082	TG	T	Deletion	Frameshift	nsp6 (ORF1a)	2	605	No
11781	A	G	Non-synonymous	K270R (K3839R)	nsp6 (ORF1a)	1	72	No
13730	C	T	Non-synonymous	A97V	RdRP	2	40	Yes, Tablizo <i>et al.</i> (2020)
14168	C	T	Non-synonymous	P243L	RdRP	1	81	No
14188	G	T	Non-synonymous	A250S	RdRP	1	67	No
14786	C	T	Non-synonymous	A449V	RdRP	1	134	Yes, Wang <i>et al.</i> (2020)
14853	TGTA	T	Deletion	V472 deletion	RdRP	1	141	No
14877	C	T	Synonymous	Y479	RdRP	1	124	Yes, Gámbaro <i>et al.</i> (2020)
14889	C	T	Synonymous	Y483	RdRP	1	117	No
15738	C	T	Synonymous	F766	RdRP	1	354	No
16716	C	T	Synonymous	D160	helicase	1	93	No
23403	A	G	Non-synonymous	D614G	spike (S)	6	541	Yes, Numerous
25397	AT	A	Deletion	Frameshift	ORF3	1	243	No
26753	C	T	Synonymous	T77	membrane (M)	1	43	No
27190	A	G	Synonymous	Silent, at stop codon	membrane (M)	1	96	No
27317	A	G	Non-synonymous	N39S	ORF6	1	101	No
27345	A	G	Synonymous	K48	ORF6	1	101	No
27392	A	G	NONE	NONE	non-coding region between	1	100	No

					ORF6 and ORF7a			
27394	A	G	Synonymous?	Alternative start codon? (AUG --> GUG)	ORF7a	1	94	No
27398	A	G	Non-synonymous	K2R	ORF7a	1	99	No
27400	A	G	Non-synonymous	I3V	ORF7a	1	96	No
27487	A	G	Non-synonymous	K32E	ORF7a	1	95	No
28242	G	A	Non-synonymous	V117I	ORF8	2	476	No
28250	T	TCTG	Insertion	120/121 FI --> LFS + loss of stop codon	ORF8	1	143	Might be similar to reported mutations by Zinzula (2021)
28253	CA	C	Deletion			1	139	
28311	C	T	Non-synonymous	P13L	nucleocapsid (N)	2	450	Yes, Tablizo <i>et al.</i> (2020)
28881	GGG	AAC	Non-synonymous	203/204 RG --> KR	nucleocapsid (N)	2	88	Yes, Tablizo <i>et al.</i> (2021)
29421	C	T	Non-synonymous	P383L	nucleocapsid (N)	1	51	Yes, several

FIGURES

Figure 1. Wastewater outfall and sampling sites in Davao City. a) Location of the six *barangays* and sampling sites with respect to the major draining natural bodies of water. b-d) Different site conditions showing the access points to sewer pipes.

Figure 2. COVID-19 status and SARS-CoV-2 wastewater surveillance in six *barangays* of Davao City, Philippines.

76-A Bucana

Matina Crossing

Leon Garcia Sr.