Disruption of spatiotemporal dependence in dengue transmission by *wMel Wolbachia* in Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Suzanne M Dufault^{1*,2}, Stephanie K Tanamas², Citra Indriani³, Adi Utarini³, Riris Andono Ahmad³, Nicholas P Jewell^{1,4}, Cameron P Simmons², Katherine L Anders²

*For correspondence:
sdufault@berkeley.edu (SMD)

Present address: 2121 Berkeley Way Room 5302, University of California, Berkeley, USA 94702

¹ Division of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, USA; ² World Mosquito Program, Institute of Vector-borne Disease, Monash University, Clayton, 3800, Australia; ³ World Mosquito Program Yogyakarta, Centre for Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia; ⁴ London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Bloomsbury, London, WC1E 7HT, UK

Abstract

Dengue is known to exhibit focal clustering at the level of the household and neighbourhood, driven by local mosquito population dynamics, human population immunity, and fine scale human and mosquito movement. We tested the hypothesis that spatiotemporal clustering of homotypic dengue cases is disrupted by introduction of the arbovirus-blocking bacterium *Wolbachia* (*wMel*-strain) into the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito population in a randomized controlled trial in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. We analysed 318 serotyped dengue cases and 5,921 test-negative controls with geolocated residence enrolled over 27 months following randomized *wMel* deployments. We find evidence of spatial dependence up to 300m among the 265 dengue cases (3,083 controls) detected in the untreated trial arm. Spatial dependence is strongest within 50m, with a 4.7-fold increase (compared to 95% CI on permutation-based null distribution: 0.1, 1.2) in the odds that a pair of individuals enrolled within 30 days and 50m of each other are homotypic dengue cases compared to pairs occurring at any distance. We find no evidence of spatial dependence among the 53 dengue cases (2,838 controls) detected in the *wMel*-treated arm. This provides compelling evidence that introgression of *wMel Wolbachia* into *Aedes aegypti* mosquito populations interrupts focal dengue virus transmission, leading to reduced case incidence.

Introduction

Dengue places seasonal pressure on healthcare systems and public health resources throughout the tropical and subtropical world, with an estimated 100 million cases globally each year. The disease burden is growing in both case load and countries affected (*Bhatt et al., 2013*). The four serotypes of dengue virus (DENV) are transmitted between humans primarily by the *Aedes aegypti* mosquito, a species that thrives in urban settings where breeding sites and human blood sources co-exist in close proximity. These bionomic factors make households a primary location for DENV transmission risk and this focal nature of DENV transmission has been well observed (*Mammen et al., 2008; Vazquez-Prokopec et al., 2010; Aldstadt et al., 2012; Salje et al., 2012; Hoang Quoc*

et al., 2016).

The temporal and spatial scale at which dengue case clustering occurs is informative about both the underlying transmission dynamics and the opportunity to intervene and interrupt transmission. A prospective index-cluster study in rural Thailand (Mammen et al., 2008) demonstrated highly focal DENV transmission among children residing within 100 meters and 15 days of an index case. A retrospective analysis of serotyped dengue cases in Bangkok (Salje et al., 2012) showed spatial dependence in both homotypic and heterotypic dengue cases at different time scales, reflecting complex interactions between local population immune profiles and dengue transmission. Local mosquito population dynamics, and human population density, immunity and mobility (Salje et al., 2017, 2021; Stoddard et al., 2013) are understood to be key determinants of these patterns. Peridomestic space spraying of insecticide is a mainstay of dengue control efforts in endemic settings, and the observed focal clustering of dengue cases provides a rationale for the common approach of targeted reactive insecticide spraying around the immediate neighbourhood of one or more notified dengue cases. The temporal dimension to focal dengue transmission is important here, however, with some studies finding serological evidence for clustering of recent DENV infections but no excess of acute, prospectively-detected DENV infections (Anders et al., 2015), suggesting limited opportunity for reactive efforts to interrupt chains of transmission after detection of an index case. A lack of evidence for the efficacy and optimal implementation of conventional approaches to Aedes control (Bowman et al., 2016; Wilson et al., 2015) together with the challenge of sustaining these activities at scale and over the long term (Achee et al., 2015), helps explain the ongoing occurrence of dengue outbreaks worldwide in spite of the efforts of vector control programs.

An alternative approach to the control of Aedes-borne diseases uses *Wolbachia*, a naturally occurring bacterium that is common in insect species but absent from *Ae. aegypti*. *Wolbachia* (wMel-strain) infection of *Ae. aegypti* has been shown in the laboratory to reduce their transmission potential for dengue, chikungunya, Zika and Yellow fever viruses (Dutra et al., 2016; Moreira et al., 2009; Pereira et al., 2018; van den Hurk et al., 2012; Walker et al., 2011), and accumulating field evidence from randomized and non-randomized wMel deployments demonstrates a significant reduction in the incidence of dengue and other Aedes-borne diseases in communities where wMel has been established at a high level (Indriani et al., 2020; O'Neill et al., 2018; Pinto et al., 2021; Ryan et al., 2019; Utarini et al., 2021). The wAlbB strain of *Wolbachia* also has transmission-blocking properties in *Ae. aegypti*, and has been successfully introgressed into field populations (Nazni et al., 2019). In a recent randomized trial in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, (the 'Applying *Wolbachia* to Eliminate Dengue' [AWED] trial), the incidence of virologically-confirmed dengue cases was 77% lower in neighbourhoods where wMel was successfully introgressed into local *Ae. aegypti* compared to areas that did not receive wMel deployments (Utarini et al., 2021). The cluster randomized design of the AWED trial, with wMel deployment into 12 of 24 contiguous clusters (average area 1 km²) in a highly urban study setting, means individuals resident in clusters randomized to wMel deployments could spend daytime hours at risk of DENV exposure in untreated areas, and vice versa, thereby diluting the measurement of the true intervention effect (Reiner et al., 2016). In a per-protocol analysis of the AWED trial accounting for participants' individual wMel exposure based on measured wMel prevalence in the cluster of residence and other visited clusters, protective efficacy increased with incremental increases in estimated wMel exposure, but never exceeded the intention-to-treat efficacy based on the treatment assignment of the cluster of residence (Utarini et al., 2021). This supports the home as a primary site of DENV exposure but the per-protocol analysis, as designed *a priori*, could not provide further insights into the extent to which dengue cases detected in residents of wMel-treated clusters represented local transmission versus DENV infections acquired in untreated areas of Yogyakarta city. The extent to which wMel disrupts local DENV transmission has not previously been investigated and provides the motivation for this work.

Here we use the geolocated residences of virologically-confirmed dengue cases and test-negative controls enrolled in the AWED trial to test the hypotheses that dengue cases in Yogyakarta cluster

Figure 1. Time series plot for illness onset among A) test-negative controls and B) virologically-confirmed dengue cases included in the primary analysis of the AWED trial in Yogyakarta, Indonesia from January 2018 until March 2020, by intervention arm. No dengue cases were enrolled in September 2018 and, in accordance with the trial protocol (Anders *et al.*, 2020), the test-negatives enrolled during that month were excluded from the analysis dataset.

in space and time in the absence of *wMel*, and that this clustering is disrupted by *wMel* introgression into the local *Ae. aegypti* populations.

Results

Spatial and temporal distribution of dengue cases and test-negative controls

We examined the spatial and temporal distribution of 385 virologically-confirmed dengue cases and 5,921 participants with dengue test-negative febrile illness (test-negative controls) enrolled in the AWED cluster randomized trial of *wMel* in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Among the enrolled participants, those with test-negative illness were distributed throughout the entire 27-month study period in both intervention and untreated arms, with a peak in the first quarter of 2019 (Figure 1A). The majority of dengue cases in both study arms were enrolled during the wet season (January - May) in 2019 and 2020 (Figure 1B), with very few cases detected in the first year of trial enrolment in either study arm. As previously reported (Utarini *et al.*, 2021), amongst the 385 dengue cases, only 67 (17%) were resident in one of the 12 *wMel*-treated clusters and the remaining 318 (83%) were resident in untreated clusters (Figure 2A). All four dengue virus (DENV) serotypes were detected, with a predominance of DENV2 (40%) and DENV4 (23%) (Supplementary Table 1 and Figure 2A). Fourteen dengue cases in the intervention clusters and 53 in the untreated clusters had indeterminable DENV serotypes and were therefore excluded from the spatiotemporal analysis, as the measure used to infer transmission-relatedness relies on the identification of homotypic dengue

Figure 2. Spatial distribution of A) enrolled dengue cases by serotype across Yogyakarta City, B) the cluster-aggregate test-positive fraction, i.e., the proportion of enrolled dengue cases among the total number of individuals enrolled in each cluster, and C) kernel smoothing estimates of the spatially-varying test-positive fraction. Each map includes participants enrolled from January 2018 through March 2020. The borders in each map represent the cluster boundaries for the AWED trial. Clusters are numbered with their administrative labels. Points represent the geolocated households of virologically confirmed dengue cases. Areas with darker shading are associated with a higher proportion of dengue cases among the AWED participants than areas with lighter shading. Smoothing bandwidth was selected by cross-validation.

case pairs.

Among the 5,921 participants with test-negative illness, 2,838 (48%) were resident in wMel-treated clusters and 3,083 (52%) in untreated clusters. The map in Figure 2B incorporates the test-negative controls to account for the spatial distribution of the underlying healthcare-seeking population that gives rise to the enrolled dengue cases. Each cluster is shaded according to the cumulative test-positive fraction, i.e., the proportion of enrolled individuals who tested positive for virologically confirmed dengue in each cluster over the 27 months of enrolment. The cluster-specific test-positive fractions ranged from 5.9% to 23.8% in the 12 untreated clusters, whereas the test-positive fraction was $\leq 3.7\%$ in 11 of the 12 intervention clusters. The one exception is intervention cluster 10, on the north east boundary of the study site, which had among the lowest overall enrolment rate of all clusters, with 87 test-negative controls and eight dengue cases enrolled. Six of eight cases resided within 50m of the external boundary.

For a more granular view of the spatial distribution of dengue cases and test-negative controls, kernel smoothing was used to estimate the spatially-varying test-positive fraction (Figure 2C). Consistent with the cluster-level representation, the highest proportions of dengue case occurrence (aggregate over the 27-month trial period) fall within untreated clusters, and these areas of heightened dengue enrolment extend across the boundaries between untreated clusters.

Impact of wMel on spatial dependence

Understanding the dynamics of DENV transmission in the absence and presence of wMel is of primary interest, but directly inferring chains of transmission is difficult. We instead assume that pairs of homotypic dengue cases enrolled in the AWED study with illness onset within 30 days of each other are potentially transmission-related, whereas pairs of heterotypic dengue cases or test-negative controls within the same time window are assumed not to be transmission-related. We compare the spatial distributions of these two populations of participant pairs as an indicator of spatiotemporal dependence in DENV transmission. To formally test for small-scale spatiotemporal dependence in dengue cases throughout the trial area, we employ a global measure, τ (Lessler

Figure 3. Estimated odds ratio, τ , comparing the odds of a homotypic dengue case pair within (d_1, d_2) versus the odds of a homotypic dengue case pair at any distance across the entire study area among participant pairs with illness onset occurring within 30 days with A) bootstrap 95% confidence interval and B) against the 95% CI on the permutation-based null rejection region.

et al., 2016). This measure captures the overall tendency of homotypic dengue cases to occur within specified space-time windows *above and beyond* that observed in the enrolled study population due to secular factors such as healthcare-seeking behaviour and environmental conditions (Materials and Methods). The numerator of this ratio-based estimator identifies, among those enrolled within a particular space-time window, the number of homotypic dengue pairs relative to the number of pairs of enrolled individuals who are assumed not to be transmission-related. The latter group includes the test-negative controls as well as any heterotypic dengue pairs. As such, the numerator of the τ -statistic is akin to an estimate of the odds of observing a homotypic dengue pair among all enrolled pairs in a given space-time window. The denominator is constructed the same way, but without restriction on the spatial window. Therefore, $\tau > 1$ indicates that two enrollees are more likely to be homotypic dengue cases if they fall within the specified space-time window than if they fall anywhere across the study area. While we will refer to the τ -statistic as an odds ratio, there are subtle differences in this estimator that do not make it equivalent to the standard epidemiological odds ratio parameter.

The estimator is first applied to the overall study area, naive to local *w*Mel intervention status, with a time window of 30 days. Given that the intervention and untreated clusters are interspersed across the city, this serves as a global test to identify whether there is any evidence of spatial dependency in dengue cases overall before disaggregating by intervention arm. We find evidence of spatial dependence among homotypic cases occurring within 30 days at distances up to 300m, with the greatest relative odds of homotypic cases occurring within 50m of an index case (Figure 3). The odds that an individual enrolled within 30 days and 50m of an index case is a homotypic dengue case is 10.5-fold (95% CI: 5.6, 17.5) higher than for any individual with illness onset occurring within 30 days across the entire study area.

We then employ the τ -statistic to compare whether this spatial dependence in dengue cases differs between the untreated and *w*Mel-treated intervention arms by considering each of the 24 randomized clusters as independent units and estimating the small-scale spatiotemporal dependence of homotypic dengue cases within each cluster. Figure 4 shows the cluster-level estimates of the τ -statistic, together with the arm-level estimates of spatial dependence (calculated via a

Figure 4. Cluster-specific and pooled arm-level estimates of the τ -statistic (points) and 95% CIs on the null distribution (error bar) generated from 1,000 simulations where the location at which a case occurs is randomly permuted within each cluster. Each panel displays the estimated spatial dependence for homotypic case pairs with illness onset occurring within 30 days and resident within a given distance interval (meters) from each other. Statistically significant dependence is present when the point estimate falls outside of the 95% CIs of the null distribution and, for improved visibility, is marked by the light blue points. The overall point estimate for each trial arm is found by taking the geometric mean of the cluster-level estimates and is then compared against the 95% CIs of the null distribution of the permuted geometric mean.

modified geometric mean: Materials and Methods) and 95% CI on the permutation-based null distribution (bars). The cluster-specific results highlight the sparsity of homotypic case pairs in the intervention arm. Six of the twelve clusters randomized to the intervention arm (clusters 2, 9, 16, 19, 21, 24) and only one of the twelve untreated clusters (cluster 17) had no homotypic dengue cases enrolled within 30 days of an index dengue case. As such, the τ -statistic is inestimable within these clusters.

Even when there is data to support estimation, the estimated 95% CIs of the permutation-based null distributions produce expansive limits with no significant results detected in any intervention clusters, except for cluster 10 at a distance of 100 to 200m. It is worth noting that there were only two pairs of homotypic cases (one pair with DENV1 and one pair with DENV3) observed within 30 days of each other in cluster 10. In the intervention arm overall, there is no evidence of significant small-scale spatiotemporal dependence at any distance examined. In contrast, five of 11 untreated clusters showed significant evidence of spatiotemporal dependence within 50m of an index residence. For the untreated arm overall, there is evidence of spatiotemporal dependence up to a distance of 300m, with the greatest relative odds of a homotypic case occurring for enrolled individuals with residences within 50m of an index case ($\tau(0, 50) = 4.7$; 95% CI of the null distribution: 0.1, 1.2).

Figure 5. Residential locations of the 160 enrolled serotyped dengue cases involved in homotypic pairs with residences within 300m and illness onset within 30 days, including pairs that cross cluster boundaries.

Figure 6. Sensitivity analyses and comparison with the primary analysis ('Full data'). Estimated geometric mean odds ratio, τ , comparing the odds of a homotypic dengue case pair within the distance interval (d_1, d_2) versus the odds of a homotypic dengue case pair at any distance across the entire study area for 1) the full dataset, 2) the dataset excluding those within 50m of a cluster border, 3) participant pairs with illness onset occurring within 1 week of each other, and 4) participant pairs with illness onset occurring within 2 weeks of each other. The shaded area is the 95% CI of the permutation-based null distribution.

180 The disruption of spatiotemporal clustering of dengue cases by wMel is underscored in Figure
181 5, which shows the geolocated residences of the 160 dengue cases that form homotypic case pairs
182 with illness onset within 30 days and residences within 300m of each other. In Figure 5, unlike in
183 the cluster-level τ -statistic analysis, cluster boundaries have been ignored so that all homotypic
184 case pairs within the given space-time window are displayed. The vast majority of dengue cases
185 involved in homotypic case pairs fall within the untreated regions. As described previously, only
186 two case pairs fall fully in an untreated cluster, namely cluster 10.

187 Sensitivity analysis

188 The test-negative controls were enrolled after presenting with acute febrile illness and, as such,
189 may exhibit their own spatial structure beyond that of the underlying healthcare-seeking popu-
190 lation. As a sensitivity analysis, we performed the overall τ -statistic estimation excluding the test-
191 negative controls, instead relying on homotypic and heterotypic dengue case pairs occurring within
192 space-time windows as indicators of spatial dependence. There was no meaningful difference ob-
193 served when test-negatives were included or excluded from estimation (Figure S1).

194 We additionally performed sensitivity analyses to account for potential contamination effects
195 for residences near cluster boundaries and to examine the effects of applying differing temporal
196 thresholds. The results are presented in Figure 6 where each panel displays the geometric mean
197 and permutation-based 95% CIs of the null distribution of the geometric mean. The "Full data"
198 panels display the same information as the "Overall" rows of Figure 4 and are included for ease of
199 comparison with the sensitivity results. When individuals residing within 50m of any cluster bound-
200 ary are excluded from the analysis, the magnitude of the estimated τ -statistic in the untreated arm
201 decreases, but remains significant up to 300m, as observed in the primary analysis. When the win-
202 dows of time from illness onset of an index dengue case was decreased from 30 days to seven days,

there is evidence of spatial dependence up to 50m, and potentially from 100-200m, from an index case in the untreated arm. Finally, when an interval of 2 weeks from illness onset of an index dengue case is used, there is evidence of spatial dependence up to 200m in the untreated arm. There remains no evidence of small-scale spatial dependence in the intervention arm under any of these sensitivity settings.

Discussion

We show here that successful introgression of *wMel* into the local *Aedes aegypti* mosquito population disrupts the focal transmission of dengue virus. The intention-to-treat analysis of a cluster randomised controlled trial of *wMel* deployments in Yogyakarta, Indonesia previously reported a 77% reduction in dengue incidence in *wMel*-treated areas (Utarini et al., 2021). The findings reported here from an exploratory secondary analysis of these trial data demonstrate an absence of spatiotemporal clustering among the 53 serotyped dengue cases that did occur in *wMel*-treated areas. These data suggest an even larger *wMel* intervention effect than measured in the primary analysis of the AWED trial, and raise expectations that area-wide coverage of Yogyakarta with *wMel* could result in near elimination of local DENV transmission.

In six of the twelve clusters where *wMel* deployments occurred, no homotypic dengue cases occurred in any 30-day window throughout the 27 months following *wMel* releases. Among the remaining six *wMel*-treated clusters where the spatial dependence of homotypic dengue case pairs was estimable, only one cluster on the northeast border of the trial site had any homotypic dengue case pairs that could plausibly have been transmission-related. In contrast, in untreated areas we found evidence of clustering in dengue incidence within space-time windows of 30 days and 300m, with increasing spatiotemporal dependence within decreasing intervals of space (up to 50m) and time (up to 7 days). The lack of spatiotemporal clustering among the dengue cases resident in *wMel*-treated areas of Yogyakarta does not preclude the possibility that infection occurred in the cluster of residence, and that other transmission-related infections went undetected or were asymptomatic. However, since the sensitivity of dengue case detection can be expected to be equivalent, on average, between treated and untreated arms of the AWED trial, these findings strongly suggest that the dengue cases in the intervention clusters may have acquired their infection outside of their cluster of residence. Information collected in the AWED trial on the travel history of participants during the ten days prior to illness onset, together with planned genomic analysis of DENV detected in trial participants, will support a more direct assessment of the potential transmission-relatedness between dengue cases detected in intervention clusters and those resident elsewhere in the trial area.

The distortion by *wMel* of spatial patterns in dengue case occurrence is evident in the visualizations of the dengue case time series aggregate over 27 months, even before considering the two key components in potential transmission-relatedness: serotype and case onset date. At an aggregate area level, despite being completely intermixed with the untreated clusters, the cluster-specific test-positive fraction estimates for *wMel*-treated clusters are all considerably lower than the test-positive fractions in the untreated clusters, with the exception of intervention cluster 10. The results of the kernel estimator, which does not differentiate between serotype or take artificial study boundaries into consideration, suggest that the areas where dengue test-positive febrile illness is highest are located in untreated clusters and tend to extend across borders with other untreated areas rather than borders with intervention clusters. When serotype and case onset date are accounted for via the spatial-temporal clustering τ -statistic, this observation is strengthened further.

The fine scale spatial clustering of dengue cases in untreated areas of Yogyakarta closely mirrors findings reported by others from Vietnam (Hoang Quoc et al., 2016), Thailand (Aldstadt et al., 2012; Hoang Quoc et al., 2016; Salje et al., 2012), Australia (Vazquez-Prokopec et al., 2010), Peru (Stoddard et al., 2013), and Taiwan (Kan et al., 2008) despite differences in analysis methods, ecological setting and human population characteristics. We observed strongest clustering of dengue

cases within 50m and 7 days, declining with increasing spatial and temporal distance, which is supportive of focal DENV transmission within households and the immediate neighbourhood in the absence of *Wolbachia*. Similar focal clustering has been reported from Thailand, where the authors found strongest evidence of clustering at a 15-17 day interval and distances less than 200m (Aldstadt *et al.*, 2012). These spatiotemporal patterns provide the rationale for the common approach of applying conventional vector control interventions, primarily insecticide spraying, in a reactive manner around the households of notified cases that cluster in space and time. In Yogyakarta, notification of hospitalised dengue cases to the District Health Office with evidence of local transmission (i.e. additional confirmed or suspected dengue cases within 2 weeks and 100m) will usually trigger perifocal insecticide fogging, with malathion most commonly used in recent years, and application of a larvicide such as pyriproxyfen to water containers. Insecticide resistance and insecticide penetration to indoor resting places is a challenge, and the limited ability of these approaches to meaningfully impact dengue virus transmission is evidenced by the high baseline dengue burden and paediatric seroprevalence in Yogyakarta (Indriani *et al.*, 2018), and recurring dengue outbreaks in other locations where large efforts are expended on *Aedes* vector control (Hapuarachchi *et al.*, 2016).

One of the strengths of the wMel method for dengue control is its efficacy against the four DENV serotypes (Utarini *et al.*, 2021). However, this results in very little case data for the estimation of spatiotemporal dependence. Only 67 virologically confirmed dengue cases were enrolled in the intervention clusters throughout the 27-month AWED study, of which, only 53 had identifiable DENV serotypes. When examining the spatiotemporal dynamics of transmission, the lack of potentially transmission-related dengue is itself a critical finding, despite being difficult to represent statistically. The completion of wMel deployments throughout the AWED untreated clusters in January 2021 is expected to result in an even greater impact on dengue in Yogyakarta in coming years, and even raises the prospect of local elimination. Monitoring progress towards dengue elimination in Yogyakarta will require the development of an appropriate surveillance framework and statistical methods for demonstrating absence of disease, which differ from those used when disease is present (Stresman *et al.*, 2017).

A considerable hurdle in spatiotemporal analyses is the identification and geolocation of the underlying population at risk. Cross-sectional surveys are of limited utility for examining spatiotemporal patterns in the occurrence of self-limiting acute infections like dengue, as virological markers of acute infection are short-lived and detection of antibody is not informative about the timing of infection. Prospective cohort studies with clinical or serological endpoints are expensive, time-consuming and logistically complicated to carry out, and their sensitivity for detection of case clustering may be limited unless very large. As such, many studies rely on passively collected case data and compare these counts against “total population” census estimates, introducing room for bias when the total population is not the true population at risk because it includes individuals with immunity as well as those whose healthcare-seeking behaviour may preclude their detection in facility-based data sources. The test-negative design provides a new framework for simultaneously sampling cases and controls from the underlying at-risk, healthcare-seeking population. Methodological research is in progress to further explore the benefits of this design for spatiotemporal analyses of infectious disease.

The use of the small-scale spatial dependence estimator τ in the context of the test-negative design requires further methodological assessment. The authors of the method have pointed out that both the numerator and the denominator are dependent on the spatiotemporal distribution of cases, and importantly, controls (Lessler *et al.*, 2016; Salje *et al.*, 2012). The controls in the AWED study may not be a random sample from the underlying spatial distribution of the population. If there are other processes driving spatial dependence in the test-negatives other than the spatial distribution of the underlying population (e.g., transmission of other pathogens), then this would affect the calculation and interpretation of the τ -statistic. As such, the test-negatives more likely represent a random sample from the care-seeking population. The estimates of the

τ -statistic should then be interpreted as the spatial dependence in homotypic dengue cases *over and above* any spatial patterns in the underlying health-care seeking population that gave rise to the dengue cases. However, as demonstrated in Figure S1, the conclusions of spatial dependence do not change upon the exclusion of test-negatives from the estimation process.

Dengue was a relatively rare outcome in the AWED study sample, present in only 6% of individuals in the analysis set. As such, using bootstrap resampling as the basis for statistical inference resulted in two complications. First, previous work has demonstrated that blocked bootstrap resampling of spatial data is generally a more appropriate approach to inference of spatial estimators as it retains a level of spatial correlation among observed case locations that is lost when resampling individuals (*Loh and Stein, 2004*). The performance of the blocked bootstrap has yet to be explored in the context of the τ -statistic for small-scale spatial dependence. Second, resampling with replacement at the individual-level when there are overwhelmingly more negative controls than cases results in some bootstrap resamples devoid of any cases. Given the manner in which cases and controls are ascertained in the test-negative design, resampling conditional on outcome is a problematic solution to this problem. Instead, we rely on permutation methods for calculating statistical significance, which only requires a reshuffling rather than a resampling of the dataset (*Lessler et al., 2016*). Further methodological development in estimation and inference for the τ -statistic is needed in the rare-disease, test-negative design setting.

In addition, there is scope for further exploration of the transmission dynamics of DENV infection in the presence of *wMel*, using other spatiotemporal modelling approaches. For example, conditional intensity models may provide greater insight into the transmission kernel under both intervention and untreated conditions. Such an approach would allow for transmission occurring across borders of intervention and control areas.

The current work examines DENV transmission under a binary intervention status based on household residence. This serves as only a proxy of an individual's true intervention experience. The presence of *wMel* in the monitored mosquito populations was strikingly homogeneous within the intervention areas, but, by the second year of the trial, was also detected at the edges of the untreated cluster borders (*Utarini et al., 2021*). Additionally, human movement could affect an individual's risk of infection and transmission (*Stoddard et al., 2013*). AWED participants' geolocated movements over the ten days prior to illness onset were recorded at enrolment, providing a unique opportunity to gain further insight into the extent of human mobility and its role in transmission. Incorporating such fine-scale spatial and temporal data will allow for the investigation of DENV transmission beyond the proxies of geolocated residence and intervention assignment.

This work provides the first report of spatiotemporal clustering of dengue in Yogyakarta in the absence of *wMel*, replicating others' reports from multiple endemic settings. Importantly, it shows that in areas randomly allocated to *wMel* deployments, the sustained introgression of *wMel* into the local *Ae. aegypti* population successfully disrupts the focal transmission of dengue virus.

Materials and Methods

Data source

Data was collected during the Applying *Wolbachia* to Eliminate Dengue (AWED) trial – a parallel, two-arm, cluster-randomized test-negative design study carried out in Yogyakarta, Indonesia from January 2018 to March 2020. The trial design and results have been described elsewhere (*Anders et al., 2020; Utarini et al., 2021*). Written informed consent for participation in the clinical component of the trial was obtained from all the participants or from a guardian if the participant was a minor. In addition, participants 13 to 17 years of age gave written informed assent. The trial was conducted in accordance with the International Council for Harmonisation guidelines for Good Clinical Practice and was approved by the human research ethics committees at Universitas Gadjah Mada and Monash University (*Anders et al., 2020*). Briefly, the city was divided into 24 contiguous clusters, twelve of which were randomly assigned to receive *wMel*-infected *Aedes aegypti* releases.

353 Routine vector control activities continued throughout the study area. Individuals 3 - 45 years old
354 presenting to government primary care clinics with acute febrile illness who were resident in the
355 study area, had no localising symptoms suggestive of a non-dengue diagnosis, and had not been
356 enrolled within the previous 4 weeks were invited to enrol and their residence and places visited
357 during 10 days prior to illness onset were geolocated. A positive result in either dengue PCR or
358 NS1 antigen ELISA distinguished virologically-confirmed dengue cases from test-negative controls
359 (negative in DENV PCR, NS1 ELISA and IgM/IgG ELISA) and a subset of participants excluded from
360 analysis (negative in PCR and NS1 but positive in IgM/IgG, or with inconclusive diagnostic results).
361 The infecting serotype was determined for PCR-positive dengue cases.

362 Statistical Methods

363 Global analysis of spatial dependence

364 To characterize the small-scale spatiotemporal dependence of homotypic dengue cases, we em-
365 ploy a global measure, the τ -statistic (Salje et al., 2016). This measure captures the overall ten-
366 dency of homotypic dengue cases to occur within specified space-time windows above and beyond
367 that observed in the enrolled study population due to secular factors (e.g., healthcare-seeking be-
368 haviour and environmental conditions). This method compares the odds that an enrolled pair of
369 individuals within a given time and distance, $\theta(d_1, d_2)$, are homotypic dengue cases against the odds
370 that an enrolled pair of individuals within a given time but at any distance, $\theta(0, \infty)$, are homotypic
371 dengue cases (Equations 1, 2). As such, a pair of enrolled individuals i and j are considered to be
372 potentially transmission-related ($z_{ij} = 1$) if they have the same infecting DENV serotype and have
373 illness onset within a specified time window, and are otherwise assumed to be non-transmission
374 related ($z_{ij} = 0$). Specifically,

$$\tau(d_1, d_2) = \frac{\theta(d_1, d_2)}{\theta(0, \infty)}, \text{ where} \quad (1)$$

$$\theta(d_1, d_2) = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j \mathbb{1}(z_{ij} = 1, d_1 < d_{ij} < d_2)}{\sum_i \sum_j \mathbb{1}(z_{ij} = 0, d_1 < d_{ij} < d_2)}, \quad i \neq j \quad (2)$$

375 For Equation 2, the sums range over all test-positive and test-negative trial participants for the
376 overall estimate of the τ -statistic. For the cluster-specific estimates, the sums range over all cluster-
377 specific test-positive and test-negative trial participants.

378 Based on the observed spatial dependence in dengue cases reported previously in other set-
379 tings, we examine the τ -statistic at 0-50 meters and 50-100 meters, and then 100 meter intervals
380 up to a maximum distance of 1,000m from an index case.

381 Permutation-based null distributions from 1,000 reshuffles of the location data provided the
382 basis of hypothesis testing used to evaluate statistical significance of the estimated τ -statistic. For
383 the overall estimates of τ ignoring intervention assignment, this includes all enrolled test-negative
384 controls and test-positive cases with determinable DENV serotype. For the cluster-specific esti-
385 mates of τ , the location data of the cluster-specific test-negatives and test-positives are permuted
386 within each cluster. Bootstrapped confidence intervals, obtained by 1,000 random resamples of
387 the individual-level data, were obtained for the intervention-naïve τ analysis. Bootstrapped confi-
388 dence intervals for the cluster-specific estimates of τ are not provided, given the sparsity of specific
389 serotype cases at the cluster-level. Arm-level estimates of the τ -statistic are based on a modified
390 geometric mean procedure common in settings with high frequencies of zeroes; the value of one
391 is added to each cluster-level estimate of τ before the estimation of the geometric mean and then
392 subtracted from the exponentiated result (Cruz and Kreft, 2018).

393 Software

394 All analyses were performed using R version 4.0.4 "Lost Library Book". General data cleaning and
395 management relied heavily on the "tidyverse" (Wickham et al., 2019), "here" (Müller, 2017), "rgdal"
396 (Bivand et al., 2020), and "geodist" (Padgham and Sumner, 2020) packages. Map visualizations

were constructed using “tmap” (*Tennekes, 2018*). Kernel smoothing estimation was performed via “spatstat::relrisk” (*Baddeley and Turner, 2005*). The code used for this analysis is available at the GitHub repository (<https://github.com/sddefault15/awed-spatial-temporal>) maintained by the first author.

Acknowledgments

We thank Henrik Salje for his informative discussions around the use and interpretation of the τ estimator. We acknowledge the contribution of all investigators in the AWED Study Group to the implementation of the trial. We thank the leadership and residents of Yogyakarta for their support and participation in the trial. We gratefully acknowledge the Tahija Foundation as funders of the AWED trial, and the Wellcome Trust and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, which provided financial support to the World Mosquito Program.

References

- Achee NL**, Gould F, Perkins TA, Reiner RC, Morrison AC, Ritchie SA, Gubler DJ, Teyssou R, Scott TW. A Critical Assessment of Vector Control for Dengue Prevention. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2015; 9(5):1–19. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0003655](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003655).
- Aldstadt J**, Yoon IK, Tannitisupawong D, Jarman RG, Thomas SJ, Gibbons RV, Uppapong A, Iamsirithaworn S, Rothman AL, Scott TW, Endy T. Space-time analysis of hospitalised dengue patients in rural Thailand reveals important temporal intervals in the pattern of dengue virus transmission. *Tropical Medicine and International Health*. 2012; 17(9):1076–1085. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-3156.2012.03040.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2012.03040.x).
- Anders KL**, Indriani C, Ahmad RA, Tantowijoyo W, Arguni E, Andari B, Jewell NP, Dufault SM, Ryan PA, Tanamas SK, Rancès E, O’Neill SL, Simmons CP, Utarini A. Update to the AWED (Applying Wolbachia to Eliminate Dengue) trial study protocol: A cluster randomised controlled trial in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Trials*. 2020; 21(1):1–5. doi: [10.1186/s13063-020-04367-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-04367-2).
- Anders KL**, Nga LH, Thuy NTV, Ngoc TV, Tam CT, Tai LTH, Truong NT, Duyen HTL, Trung VT, Kien DTH, Wolbers M, Wills B, Chau NVV, Tho ND, Simmons CP. Households as Foci for Dengue Transmission in Highly Urban Vietnam. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2015; 9(2):1–14. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0003528](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003528).
- Baddeley A**, Turner R. spatstat: An R Package for Analyzing Spatial Point Patterns. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2005; 12(6):1–42. <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v12/i06/>.
- Bhatt S**, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM, Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, Sankoh O, Myers MF, George DB, Jaenisch T, Wint GRW, Simmons CP, Scott TW, Farrar JJ, Hay SI. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature*. 2013; <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature12060.pdf>, doi: [10.1038/nature12060](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12060).
- Bivand R**, Keitt T, Rowlingson B. rgdal: Bindings for the ‘Geospatial’ Data Abstraction Library; 2020, <https://cran.r-project.org/package=rgdal>.
- Bowman LR**, Donegan S, McCall PJ. Is Dengue Vector Control Deficient in Effectiveness or Evidence?: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2016; 10(3):1–24. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0004551](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004551).
- Cruz RD**, Kreft JU. Geometric mean extension for data sets with zeros. *arXiv*. 2018; .
- Dutra HLC**, Rocha MN, Dias FBS, Mansur SB, Caragata EP, Moreira LA. Wolbachia Blocks Currently Circulating Zika Virus Isolates in Brazilian Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes. *Cell Host and Microbe*. 2016; 19(6):771–774. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.04.021>, doi: [10.1016/j.chom.2016.04.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.04.021).
- Hapuarachchi HC**, Koo C, Rajarethinam J, Chong CS, Lin C, Yap G, Liu L, Lai YL, Ooi PL, Cutter J, et al. Epidemic resurgence of dengue fever in Singapore in 2013–2014: a virological and entomological perspective. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2016; 16(1):1–13.
- Hoang Quoc C**, Henrik S, Isabel RB, In-Kyu Y, Chau NVV, Hung NT, Tuan HM, Lan PT, Willis B, Nisalak A, Kalayanaroj S, Cummings DAT, Simmons CP. Synchrony of Dengue Incidence in Ho Chi Minh City and Bangkok. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2016; 10(12):1–18. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0005188](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005188).

- 444 **van den Hurk AF**, Hall-Mendelin S, Pyke AT, Frentiu FD, McElroy K, Day A, Higgs S, O'Neill SL. Impact of Wol-
445 bachia on Infection with Chikungunya and Yellow Fever Viruses in the Mosquito Vector *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS*
446 *Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2012; 6(11). doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0001892](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001892).
- 447 **Indriani C**, Ahmad RA, Wiratama BS, Arguni E, Supriyati E, Sasmono RT, Kisworini FY, Ryan PA, O'Neill SL, Sim-
448 mons CP, Utarini A, Anders KL. Baseline characterization of dengue epidemiology in Yogyakarta City, Indone-
449 sia, before a randomized controlled trial of wolbachia for arboviral disease control. *American Journal of*
450 *Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2018; 99(5):1299–1307. doi: [10.4269/ajtmh.18-0315](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.18-0315).
- 451 **Indriani C**, Tantowijoyo W, Rancès E, Andari B, Prabowo E, Yusdi D, Ansari MR, Wardhana DS, Supriyati E,
452 Nurhayati I, Ernesia I, Setyawan S, Fitriana I, Arguni E, Amelia Y, Ahmad RA, Jewell NP, Dufault SM, Ryan
453 PA, Green BR, et al. Reduced dengue incidence following deployments of Wolbachia-infected *Aedes aegypti*
454 in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: A quasi-experimental trial using controlled interrupted time series analysis. *Gates*
455 *Open Research*. 2020; 4. doi: [10.1101/2020.03.15.20036566](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.15.20036566).
- 456 **Kan CC**, Lee PF, Wen TH, Chao DY, Wu MH, Lin NH, Huang SYJ, Shang CS, Fan IC, Shu PY, Huang JH, King CC,
457 Pai L. Two clustering diffusion patterns identified from the 2001–2003 dengue epidemic, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.
458 *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2008; 79(3):344–352. doi: [10.4269/ajtmh.2008.79.344](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2008.79.344).
- 459 **Lessler J**, Salje H, Grabowski MK, Cummings DAT. Measuring spatial dependence for infectious disease epi-
460 demiology. *PLoS ONE*. 2016; 11(5):1–13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155249>, doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0155249](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155249).
- 461 **Loh J**, Stein M. Bootstrapping a spatial point process. *Statistica Sinica*. 2004; p. 69–101.
- 462 **Mammen MP**, Pimgate C, Koenraadt CJM, Rothman AL, Aldstadt J, Nisalak A, Jarman RG, Jones JW, Srikiatkha-
463 chorn A, Ypil-Butac CA, Getis A, Thammaphalo S, Morrison AC, Libraty DH, Green S, Scott TW. Spatial and
464 temporal clustering of dengue virus transmission in Thai villages. *PLoS Medicine*. 2008; 5(11):1605–1616.
465 doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.0050205](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0050205).
- 466 **Moreira LA**, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Jeffery JA, Lu G, Pyke AT, Hedges LM, Rocha BC, Hall-Mendelin S, Day A, Riegler
467 M, Hugo LE, Johnson KN, Kay BH, McGraw EA, van den Hurk AF, Ryan PA, O'Neill SL. A Wolbachia Symbiont
468 in *Aedes aegypti* Limits Infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. *Cell*. 2009; 139(7):1268–1278.
469 doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.042).
- 470 **Müller K**. here: A Simpler Way to Find Your Files; 2017, <https://cran.r-project.org/package=here>.
- 471 **Nazni WA**, Hoffmann AA, NoorAfizah A, Cheong YL, Mancini MV, Golding N, Kamarul GMR, Arif MAK, Thohir H,
472 NurSyamimi H, ZatilAqmar MZ, NurRuqqayah M, NorSyazwani A, Faiz A, Irfan FRMN, Rubaaini S, Nuradila N,
473 Nizam NMN, Irwan SM, Endersby-Harshman NM, et al. Establishment of Wolbachia Strain wALB in Malaysian
474 Populations of *Aedes aegypti* for Dengue Control. *Current Biology*. 2019; 29(24):4241–4248.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.11.007>, doi: [10.1016/j.cub.2019.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.11.007).
- 475 **O'Neill SL**, Ryan PA, Turley AP, Wilson G, Retzki K, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Dong Y, Kenny N, Paton CJ, Ritchie SA,
476 Brown-Kenyon J, Stanford D, Wittmeier N, Jewell NP, Tanamas SK, Anders KL, Simmons CP. Scaled deploy-
477 ment of Wolbachia to protect the community from dengue and other aedes transmitted arboviruses. *Gates*
478 *Open Research*. 2018; 2:1–29. doi: [10.12688/gatesopenres.12844.3](https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12844.3).
- 479 **Padgham M**, Sumner MD. geodist: Fast, Dependency-Free Geodesic Distance Calculations; 2020, <https://cran.r-project.org/package=geodist>.
- 480 **Pereira TN**, Rocha MN, Sucupira PHF, Carvalho FD, Moreira LA. Wolbachia significantly impacts the vector
481 competence of *Aedes aegypti* for Mayaro virus. *Scientific Reports*. 2018; 8(1):1–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25236-8>, doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-25236-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25236-8).
- 482 **Pinto SB**, Riback TIS, Sylvestre G, Costa G, Peixoto J, Dias FBS, Tanamas SK, Simmons CP, Dufault SM, Ryan PA,
483 O'Neill SL, Muzzi FC, Kutcher S, Montgomery J, Green BR, Smithyman R, Eppinghaus A, Saraceni V, Durovni
484 B, Anders KL, et al. Effectiveness of Wolbachia-infected mosquito deployments in reducing the incidence
485 of dengue and other Aedes-borne diseases in Niterói, Brazil: a quasi-experimental study. *PLOS Neglected*
486 *Tropical Diseases*. 2021; (in revisi).
- 487 **Reiner RC**, Achee N, Barrera R, Burkot TR, Chadee DD, Devine GJ, Endy T, Gubler D, Hombach J, Kleinschmidt I,
488 Lenhart A, Lindsay SW, Longini I, Mondy M, Morrison AC, Perkins TA, Vazquez-Prokopec G, Reiter P, Ritchie
489 SA, Smith DL, et al. Quantifying the Epidemiological Impact of Vector Control on Dengue. *PLoS Neglected*
490 *Tropical Diseases*. 2016; 10(5):1–11. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0004588](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004588).

495 **Ryan PA**, Turley AP, Wilson G, Hurst TP, Retzki K, Brown-Kenyon J, Hodgson L, Kenny N, Cook H, Montgomery
496 BL, Paton CJ, Ritchie SA, Hoffmann AA, Jewell NP, Tanamas SK, Anders KL, Simmons CP, O'Neill SL. Estab-
497 lishment of wMel Wolbachia in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes and reduction of local dengue transmission in
498 Cairns and surrounding locations in northern Queensland, Australia. *Gates Open Research*. 2019; 3. doi:
499 [10.12688/gatesopenres.13061.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.13061.1).

500 **Salje H**, Cummings DAT, Lessler J. Estimating infectious disease transmission distances using the overall
501 distribution of cases. *Epidemics*. 2016; 17:10–18. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2016.10.001>, doi:
502 [10.1016/j.epidem.2016.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2016.10.001).

503 **Salje H**, Lessler J, Berry IM, Melendrez MC, Endy T, Kalayanarooj S, A-nuegoonpipat A, Chanama S, Sangkijporn
504 S, Klungthong C, Thaisomboonsuk B, Nisalak A, Gibbons RV, Iamsirithaworn S, Macareo LR, In-Kyu Y, San-
505 garsang A, Jarman RG, Cummings DAT. Dengue diversity across spatial and temporal scales: Local structure
506 and the effect of host population size. *Science*. 2017; 1306(March):1302–1306. doi: [10.1126/science.aaj9384](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaj9384).

507 **Salje H**, Lessler J, Endy TP, Curriero FC, Gibbons RV, Nisalak A, Nimmannitya S, Kalayanarooj S, Jarman RG,
508 Thomas SJ, Burke DS, Cummings DAT. Revealing the microscale spatial signature of dengue transmission
509 and immunity in an urban population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*
510 *of America*. 2012; 109(24):9535–9538. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1120621109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1120621109).

511 **Salje H**, Wesolowski A, Brown TS, Kiang MV, Berry IM, Lefrancq N, Fernandez S, Jarman RG, Ruchusatsawat
512 K, Iamsirithaworn S, Vandepitte WP, Suntarattiwong P, Read JM, Klungthong C, Thaisomboonsuk B, Engø-
513 Monsen K, Buckee C, Cauchemez S, Cummings DAT. Reconstructing unseen transmission events to infer
514 dengue dynamics from viral sequences. *Nature Communications*. 2021; 12(1):1–10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21888-9)
515 [1038/s41467-021-21888-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21888-9), doi: [10.1038/s41467-021-21888-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21888-9).

516 **Stoddard ST**, Forshey BM, Morrison AC, Paz-Soldan VA, Vazquez-Prokopec GM, Astete H, Reiner RC, Vilcar-
517 romero S, Elder JP, Halsey ES, Kochel TJ, Kitron U, Scott TW. House-to-house human movement drives dengue
518 virus transmission. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2013;
519 110(3):994–999. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1213349110](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213349110).

520 **Stresman G**, Cameron A, Drakeley C. Freedom from infection: confirming interruption of malaria transmission.
521 *Trends in parasitology*. 2017; 33(5):345–352.

522 **Tennekes M**. tmap: Thematic Maps in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2018; 84(6):1–39. doi:
523 [10.18637/jss.v084.i06](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v084.i06).

524 **Utarini A**, Indriani C, Ahmad RA, Tantowijoyo W, Arguni E, Ansari MR, Supriyati E, Wardana DS, Meitika Y, Ernesia
525 I, et al. Efficacy of Wolbachia-infected mosquito deployments for the control of dengue. *New England Journal*
526 *of Medicine*. 2021; 384(23):2177–2186.

527 **Vazquez-Prokopec GM**, Kitron U, Montgomery B, Horne P, Ritchie SA. Quantifying the spatial dimension of
528 dengue virus epidemic spread within a tropical urban environment. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*. 2010;
529 4(12):1–14. doi: [10.1371/journal.pntd.0000920](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000920).

530 **Walker T**, Johnson PH, Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Frentiu FD, McMeniman CJ, Leong YS, Dong Y, Axford
531 J, Kriesner P, Lloyd AL, Ritchie SA, O'Neill SL, Hoffmann AA. The wMel Wolbachia strain blocks dengue and
532 invades caged *Aedes aegypti* populations. *Nature*. 2011; 476(7361):450–455. doi: [10.1038/nature10355](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10355).

533 **Wickham H**, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, D'Agostino McGowan L, Francois R, Golemund G, Hayes A, Henry
534 L, Hester J, Kuhn M, Lin Pedersen T, Miller E, Milton Bache S, Muller K, Ooms J, Robinson D, Paige Seidel D,
535 Spinu V, Takahashi K, et al. Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2019; 4(43):1686.
536 doi: [10.21105/joss.01686](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686).

537 **Wilson AL**, Boelaert M, Kleinschmidt I, Pinder M, Scott TW, Tusting LS, Lindsay SW. Evidence-based vector
538 control? Improving the quality of vector control trials. *Trends in Parasitology*. 2015; 31(8):380–390. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2015.04.015>, doi: [10.1016/j.pt.2015.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2015.04.015).