The RECORD statement – checklist of items, extended from the STROBE statement, that should be reported in observational studies using routinely collected health data.

	Item No.	STROBE items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	RECORD items	Location in manuscript where items are reported	
Title and abstrac	t					
	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract (b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	(a) Page 1: Title & abstract(b) Page 2: Abstract	RECORD 1.1: The type of data used should be specified in the title or abstract. When possible, the name of the databases used should be included. RECORD 1.2: If applicable, the geographic region and timeframe within which the study took place should be reported in the title or abstract. RECORD 1.3: If linkage between databases was conducted for the study, this should be clearly stated in the title or abstract.	1.1: Page 1 Title & abstract 1.1: Page 5 Introduction 1.2: Page 2 Title & abstract 1.3: Page 1 Title & abstract	
Introduction						
Background rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page 3-5: Introduction			
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page 5: end of Introduction			
Methods						
Study Design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page 5: Methods – study design			
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Page 6-8: Figure 1 - Cohort flow diagram			

Participants	6	(a) Cohort study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study - Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) Cohort study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study - For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case		RECORD 6.1: The methods of study population selection (such as codes or algorithms used to identify subjects) should be listed in detail. If this is not possible, an explanation should be provided. RECORD 6.2: Any validation studies of the codes or algorithms used to select the population should be referenced. If validation was conducted for this study and not published elsewhere, detailed methods and results should be provided. RECORD 6.3: If the study involved linkage of databases, consider use of a flow diagram or other graphical display to demonstrate the data linkage process, including the number of individuals with linked data at each stage.	6.1: Page 11 Figure 1 - Cohort flow diagram 6.3: Page 11 Figure 1 - Cohort flow diagram
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable.	Page 6-7: Methods - school staff survey and linked data Page 8: Methods - Quantitative analysis Additional File 2 - Staff survey variable codebook	RECORD 7.1: A complete list of codes and algorithms used to classify exposures, outcomes, confounders, and effect modifiers should be provided. If these cannot be reported, an explanation should be provided.	7.1: Additional File 2 - Staff survey variable codebook
Data sources/ measurement	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement).	Page 5: Methods – Study design Page 8: Methods – Quantitative analysis		

		Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Page 11: Figure 1 - Cohort flow diagram		
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Page 8: Quantitative analysis		
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Page 11: Figure 1 - Cohort flow diagram		
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen, and why	Additional File 2 - Staff survey variable codebook Page 8: Quantitative analysis		
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) Cohort study - If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study - If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study - If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	(a) Page 8: Quantitative analysis Page 10: Results (b) Additional File 3 - Sensitivity analysis (c) Page 10: Results Additional File 3 (Sensitivity analysis) (3) Additional File 3 - Sensitivity analysis		
Data access and cleaning methods				RECORD 12.1: Authors should describe the extent to which the investigators had access to the database	12.1: Page 8 – School staff survey and linked data

Linkage				population used to create the study population. RECORD 12.2: Authors should provide information on the data cleaning methods used in the study. RECORD 12.3: State whether the study included person-level, institutional-level, or other data linkage across two or more databases. The methods of linkage and methods of linkage quality evaluation should be	12.2: Figure 1 – Cohort flow diagram 12.3: Page 5 – Study design Page 6 – School staff survey and linked data
Results				provided.	
Participants	13	(a) Report the numbers of individuals at each stage of the study (<i>e.g.</i> , numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed) (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage. (c) Consider use of a flow diagram	(a-c) Figure 1 – Cohort flow diagram	RECORD 13.1: Describe in detail the selection of the persons included in the study (<i>i.e.</i> , study population selection) including filtering based on data quality, data availability and linkage. The selection of included persons can be described in the text and/or by means of the study flow diagram.	13.1: Page 5 – Study design Figure 1 – Cohort flow diagram
Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (<i>e.g.</i> , demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate the number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) <i>Cohort study</i> - summarise follow-up time (<i>e.g.</i> , average and total amount)	(a-b) Page 12 – Table 1		

Outcome data	15	Cohort study - Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time Case-control study - Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure Cross-sectional study - Report numbers of outcome events or	(a-b) Page 12 – Table 1 Page 14 – Table 2		
Main results	16	summary measures (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounderadjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	(a) Pages 16-24 – Quantitative results (Tables 3-6)		
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done— e.g., analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Additional File 3 – Sensitivity analysis		
Discussion Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 14-16 – Quantitative results Page 25-28 – Qualitative results		
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.	Page 32 – Strengths and limitations	RECORD 19.1: Discuss the implications of using data that were not created or collected to answer the	Page 32 – Strengths and limitations

		Discuss both direction and		specific research question(s). Include	
		magnitude of any potential bias		discussion of misclassification bias,	
				unmeasured confounding, missing	
				data, and changing eligibility over	
				time, as they pertain to the study being reported.	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall	Page 28-32 -	reported.	
merpretation	20	interpretation of results	Discussion		
		considering objectives,	Discussion		
		limitations, multiplicity of			
		analyses, results from similar			
		studies, and other relevant			
		evidence			
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability	Page 28-32 –		
		(external validity) of the study	Discussion		
		results			
			Page 32 - Strengths		
			and limitations		
Other Informatio	1		D 05.06		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and	Page 35-36 –		
		the role of the funders for the	Funding		
		present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which			
		the present article is based			
Accessibility of				RECORD 22.1: Authors should	Page 35:
protocol, raw				provide information on how to access	Availability for
data, and				any supplemental information such as	data and materials
programming				the study protocol, raw data, or	
code				programming code.	

^{*}Reference: Benchimol EI, Smeeth L, Guttmann A, Harron K, Moher D, Petersen I, Sørensen HT, von Elm E, Langan SM, the RECORD Working Committee. The REporting of studies Conducted using Observational Routinely-collected health Data (RECORD) Statement. *PLoS Medicine* 2015; in press.

^{*}Checklist is protected under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.