Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation implies common neuropathology across major brain disorders

Shahram Bahrami^{1*}, Kaja Nordengen¹, Alexey A. Shadrin¹, Oleksandr Frei¹, Dennis van der Meer^{1,2}, Anders M. Dale^{3,4,5}, Lars T. Westlye^{1,6}, Ole A. Andreassen¹, Tobias Kaufmann^{1,7*}

1 Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders Research (NORMENT), Division of Mental Health and
Addiction, Oslo University Hospital & Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo,
Norway
2 School of Mental Health and Neuroscience, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences,
Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands
3 Department of Radiology, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA
4 Department of Neurosciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA
5 Center for Multimodal Imaging and Genetics, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA,
92037, USA
6 Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
7 Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Tübingen Center for Mental Health (TüCMH),
University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

* Correspondence:

Shahram Bahrami, PhD

Norwegian Centre for Mental
Disorders Research, Oslo
University Hospital, PoBox 4956
Nydalén, Norway

shahram.bahrami@medisin.uio.no

&

Tobias Kaufmann, PhD

Tübingen Center for Mental Health,
University of Tübingen,
Calwerstraße 14, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

Norwegian Centre for Mental Disorders Research
Oslo University Hospital, PoBox 4956 Nydalén, Norway

tobias.kaufmann@med.uni-tuebingen.de

Word count main text: 2575 | Word count abstract: 80

Number of figures: 3 | Number of references: 56

Despite its major role in complex human behaviours across the lifespan, including memory formation and decline, navigation and emotions, much of the genetic architecture of the hippocampal formation is currently unexplored. Here, through multivariate genome-wide association analysis in volumetric data from 35,411 individuals, we revealed 173 unique genetic loci with distributed associations across the hippocampal formation. We identified profound genetic overlap with eight major developmental and degenerative brain disorders, where common genes suggest partly age- and disorder-independent mechanisms underlying hippocampal pathology.

The hippocampal formation on each side of the medial temporal lobes of the brain plays critical roles in spatial and episodic memory^{1,2}, navigation^{3,4}, emotions⁵, and other complex human behaviours. Consequently, impaired or lesion-induced loss of hippocampal functioning has tremendous and diverse impact on cognitive and emotional functions^{6,7}, and the hippocampus has been extensively studied across a wide variety of diseases and traits, including trajectories of development and aging.

While previous volumetric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of the human hippocampus have primarily investigated volume of the structure as a whole, recent advancements into deriving its subdivisions through adaptive segmentation has allowed for a more fine-grained assessment across multiple subregions⁸. The hippocampal formation comprises the histologically distinguishable subfields of the hippocampus proper as well as the dentate gyrus with its own subfields, and the neocortical subiculum, presubiculum and parasubiculum. In all but the latter, the hippocampal formation is also divided into an anterior (head) and a posterior part (body). The various contributions to the function of the hippocampal formation differ along the septo-temporal axis, where for example the anterior part is known to contribute to anxiety-related behaviours and cost-benefit decision making while the posterior part is particularly involved in spatial processing⁵. Such a dichotomy between the hippocampal head and the hippocampal body is also evident from patterns of neurogenesis. For example, although neurogenesis continues throughout life in the dentate gyrus⁹, it is especially the process in its anterior part that has been linked to emotion regulation and appears of relevance for the behavioural effects of antidepressants¹⁰. A total of 19 subregion volumes can currently be segmented with MRI⁸.

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

The rate of hippocampal maturation and change throughout the lifespan may capture information relevant to the study of major psychiatric and neurological disorders, where the age at which individual neurophysiological trajectories diverge from the norm may reflect key characteristics of the underlying pathophysiology¹¹. Indeed, the hippocampal formation is known to be involved in disorders with typical onset during neurodevelopment¹², such as autism spectrum disorders (ASD), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), schizophrenia (SCZ) and bipolar disorder (BIP), through its roles in perception, memory processes, modulation of executive function, emotion regulation, among others¹³⁻¹⁵. Furthermore, through its involvement in stress response, the hippocampal formation is potentially involved in migraine (MIG)¹⁶ and tests of recollection memory indicate hippocampal dysfunction in major depression (MD)¹⁷, both of which are disorders that can appear at any stage from adolescence to old age. Finally, the hippocampal formation is implied in diseases that primarily emerge during senescence such as Parkinson's disease (PD) and Alzheimer's disease (AD). Emerging data suggests a complex hippocampal crosstalk among the dopaminergic and other transmitter systems in PD, involved in adaptive memory and motivated behaviour¹⁸. Loss of hippocampal functions like navigation and episodic memory are core markers of AD and hippocampal atrophy is an established finding^{19,20}. Taken together, the hippocampal formation is a central and interconnected structure that plays important roles in complex human behaviours and is implicated in a range of major psychiatric and neurological disorders across the lifespan.

The recent decade has brought significant progress towards a characterization of the genetic architecture of the hippocampal formation, from experimental manual mapping in mice²¹ to brain imaging based genome-wide-association studies (GWAS) in humans²²⁻²⁴, revealing fifteen independent loci when studying individual hippocampal subfields²⁴. Given the broad functional portfolio of the hippocampal formation, however, it is clear that much of the genetic architecture remains to be explored, calling for further studies and novel analytical approaches²⁵. Recent work revealed a distributed genetic architecture of human brain anatomy^{26,27} and function²⁸ and suggested that capitalizing on this distributed nature in a multivariate GWAS approach can significantly improve the discovery beyond standard GWAS approaches²⁶. We hypothesized that the genetic architecture within the hippocampal

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

formation is distributed across its subregions and thus aimed to gain novel insights into the genetics of the hippocampal formation by deploying such multivariate GWAS approach. Further, given hippocampal involvement in major brain disorders across the lifespan, we used common neurological and psychiatric disorders as proxies for potential age-dependent hippocampal pathology, aiming to reveal gene variants potentially involving the hippocampus at different stages in life.

We accessed raw T1-weighted MRI data from 35,411 genotyped white British individuals (age range: aged 45 – 82 years, mean: 64.4 years, sd: 7.5 years, 51.7% females) from the UK Biobank²⁹ (permission no. 27412) and segmented the hippocampal formation into 19 subregions in addition to total hippocampus volume (sum of all subfields) using FreeSurfer 7.1⁸. For each of these, we calculated the average volume between the left and right hemisphere and residualized for age, age squared, sex, scanning site, a proxy of image quality, intracranial volume and the first 20 genetic principal components. The resulting residuals were used in genetic analyses, feeding the 19 subregions alongside whole hippocampus volume into multivariate GWAS (MOSTest²⁶).

Multivariate approach identifies 173 loci associated with hippocampal formation

In line with our hypothesis, we found strong support of a distributed genetic architecture in the hippocampal formation. Multivariate GWAS revealed 173 unique genetic loci with distributed associations across the hippocampal formation. The upper panel of **Figure 1** depicts the corresponding multivariate statistics, highlighting the polygenic architecture of the hippocampal formation. For each of the 173 loci, the lower panel of **Figure 1** depicts statistics from univariate GWASs of individual hippocampal subregions. The elevated univariate statistics for multiple hippocampal subregions in some of the same loci supports a distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation, which is also supported by genetic correlation analysis of the univariate GWASs of the individual subregions (**Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1**). Whereas the strongest hits among our 173 discovered loci are also implied in univariate analysis, a large share of the 173 loci showed elevated yet not genome-wide significant effects at univariate level. By capitalizing on these distributed effects across subregions, the multivariate approach boosted discovery. **Supplementary Table 2**

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

provides additional details on the 173 discovered loci, most of which were not identified in previous hippocampus GWAS. **Supplementary Figure 2** depicts corresponding quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots, including one from permutation testing that confirms validity of the multivariate test statistic. **Supplementary Figure 3** covers additional validations, including a cross-ethnic replication attempt in an independent set of 5262 individuals with non-white ethnicity.

Functional mapping and annotation identifies 69 genes robustly associated with hippocampal formation

We functionally annotated all candidate SNPs ($n=21430$) that were in linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 \geq 0.6$) with one of the independent significant SNPs using Functional Mapping and Annotation of GWAS (FUMA)³⁰. About 90% of the SNPs had a minimum chromatin state of 1–7, thus suggesting they were in open chromatin regions^{31,32}, and 5.6% were in regulatomeDB category 1 or 2, suggesting potential regulatory function³¹ (**Supplementary Figure 4A-B**). A majority of these SNPs were intronic (50.7%) or intergenic (29.9%) and 1.0% were exonic (**Supplementary Figure 4C**).

We mapped the 173 loci implied for the hippocampal formation to 813 genes based on different mapping strategies (positional, expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL), chromatin state mapping and MAGMA analysis). Out of these, 69 genes were identified by all four mapping strategies (**Figure 2**), supporting robustness of these findings. **Supplementary Tables 3-6** provide additional details. Among the genes mapped from loci with strongest GWAS effects we found *LEMD3* (also known as *MAN1*) and *RNFT2* (also known as *TMEM118*), both important for immune regulation^{33,34}. Another strong hit was *FAM53B*, known for its important roles in neurodevelopment such as control of cell proliferation and maintenance of a pluripotent state³⁵. Rs2151909 on chromosome 6 was mapped to six different genes by all four mapping strategies: *KATNA1*, *LATS1*, *NUP43*, *PCMT1*, *GINM1* and *LRP11* (also known as *SORL1*). The latter is a neuronal apolipoprotein E receptor important in pathogenesis of AD³⁶.

Figure 2. Gene mapping of the 173 loci associated with the hippocampal formation implied 69 genes by all mapping strategies. (A) Venn diagram showing number of genes mapped by the four different strategies. (B) The 69 genes implied by all four strategies with color-coded P-values.

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

Genome-wide gene-based association studies (GWGAS; two-sided $P < 2.752 \times 10^{-6}$) through MAGMA identified 240 unique genes across the hippocampus (**Supplementary Table 5**). Many of the significant gene sets reflected processes related to early development (**Supplementary Table 7**), such as neurogenesis ($P_{\text{Bonf}} = 6.8 \times 10^{-8}$), regulation of anatomical structure morphogenesis ($P_{\text{Bonf}} = 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$) and neuronal differentiation ($P_{\text{Bonf}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$), potentially indicating that individual differences in hippocampal volumes later in life may be largely determined early in development. Also, when focusing on the 69 genes implicated from all four mapping strategies (see above), the gene-sets reflect processes related to early development like regulation of cell morphogenesis ($P = 4.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$) and axogenesis ($P = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$) but also to biological processes on reproduction, like multi organism reproductive process ($P = 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$), sexual reproduction ($P = 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$) and gamete generation ($P = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$), which might potentially represent a later hormonal modulation of hippocampal neurogenesis (**Supplementary Table 8**). Although pathways important for neural differentiation are overrepresented (**Supplementary Table 9**) we also found dominant pathways representing processes prominent during the lifetime, like damage mechanisms (spinal cord injury and DNA damage response) and inflammation (*IL-5* signaling pathway, *IL-2* signaling pathway and putative anti-inflammatory metabolites formation from EPA) in addition to overrepresented pathways on vitamin B, D and E metabolism.

Genetic overlap between hippocampal formation and common brain disorders

Using major neurological and psychiatric disorders as proxies for potential age-dependent hippocampal pathology, we studied the genetic overlap between hippocampal formation and eight disorders - ASD, ADHD, SCZ, BIP, MIG, MD, PD and AD – with typical onset times across the lifespan. The commonly used approach - genetic correlations of the disorders with individual hippocampus subregions - did not show significant associations after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (**Supplementary Table 10**). However, conditional Q-Q plots³⁷ conditioning the multivariate statistic of hippocampal formation on the disorders and vice versa showed a clear pattern of pleiotropic enrichment in both directions (**Supplementary Figure 5**). Conjunctive FDR analysis^{37,38} allowed us to test for shared loci between the hippocampus and each of the disorders. Strikingly, we identified 7 loci significantly

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

(conjFDR<0.05) overlapping with ADHD, 4 loci with ASD, 63 with BIP, 130 with SCZ, 23 with MD, 81 with MIG, 24 with AD and 13 loci significantly overlapping with PD (**Figure 3A**).

Figure 3. Genetic overlap between hippocampal formation and eight disorders with different onset times as proxies of age-dependent hippocampal pathology. (A) For each disorder, a conjunctional FDR Manhattan plot is shown, illustrating the $-\log_{10}$ transformed conjunctional FDR values for each SNP on the y axis and chromosomal positions along the x axis. The dotted horizontal line represents the threshold for significant shared associations (conjFDR < 0.05). Independent lead SNPs are encircled in black. (B) Various genes mapped from the conjunctional FDR analysis were

implied to overlap between hippocampal formation and multiple disorders. The figure illustrates the total number of genes implied in each combination of disorders. For example, 99 of the genes overlapping between hippocampal formation and SCZ were also found to overlap between hippocampal formation and BIP. (C) Panel C complements panel B with a list of genes that were implied for more than 3 disorders. One gene was mapped for five disorders (*AMT*) and 16 genes were mapped for four disorders. ASD autism spectrum disorder, ADHD attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, SCZ schizophrenia, BIP bipolar disorder, MIG migraine, MD, major depression, PD Parkinson's disease, AD Alzheimer's disease and HIP hippocampal formation.

Supplementary Tables 11-18 provide a full list of loci overlapping between hippocampal formation and the disorders. We mapped each of these loci to genes using positional, eQTL and chromatin state mapping (**Supplementary Table 19**) and checked for genes that were implicated for multiple disorders. By far strongest overlap was found between SCZ and BIP, where 99 of the genes overlapping between hippocampal formation and SCZ were also found to overlap between hippocampal formation and BIP (**Figure 3B**). While this overlap may be expected given the relatedness of the disorders, it is particularly noteworthy that we found large overlap between other combinations of disorders as well, some of which pertain very different onset times across the lifespan such as ASD and AD (14 genes), ADHD and PD (11 genes), or ASD and PD (14 genes). Many genes were implied for more than two disorders, and **Figure 3C** depicts the subset implicated as overlapping with hippocampal formation for at least four disorders. Again, it is particular worth noting the co-occurrence for distinct disorders in different phases of life. For example, the most frequently mapped gene was the *AMT* gene involved in glycinergic neurotransmission, found to overlap between hippocampal formation and ADHD, SCZ, MD, MIG and PD, respectively (**Supplementary Table 19**). Other examples are the tau protein associated genes *MAPT* and *STH*, found for ASD, SCZ, AD and PD, or the *GPX1* gene, known to protect cells from oxidative stress and here found for ADHD, SCZ, MD and PD. This may illustrate genetic mechanisms independent of life phases and may suggest that some of the pleiotropy between brain disorders might be explained by shared mechanisms in hippocampal pathology.

Discussion

Taken together, our multivariate GWAS of the volumes of the hippocampal formation revealed a plethora of genomic loci not identified in previous work, implicating a distributed nature of effects on hippocampus. The mapped genes have roles in neurobiological processes across the lifespan. Importantly, the profound overlap between hippocampal formation and common brain disorders and the identification of some of the same genes implied for disorders with onset in different phases of life suggests age-independent neuropathology and pinpoints potential disease-independent drug targets.

The distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation, here revealed through 173 hippocampus-associated loci and 69 genes mapped by four mapping strategies, pointed at pathways with involvement across the lifespan, starting with embryogenic brain development, like axon guidance and neuronal migration, then involving neuronal plasticity processes including angiogenesis, and finally neurodegenerative processes such as DNA repair. We also found overrepresented pathways on vitamin B, D and E metabolism, supporting their role in neuropathology³⁹. Finally, the identified immune-related pathways support that inflammatory responses could be involved in hippocampal pathology mediating brain disease⁴⁰⁻⁴².

Our results also suggest that several genes have a role in hippocampal pathology across multiple brain disorders, with onset times ranging across the lifespan. These findings not only support the notion of pleiotropy across a spectrum of neurological and psychiatric disorders but may also pinpoint to both, age- and disorder-independent drug targets. For example, our discovery of the *AMT* gene overlapping between hippocampal formation and ADHD, SCZ, MD, MIG and PD, respectively, may implicate an age-independent role of glycine in hippocampal pathology. The *AMT* gene codes for aminomethyltransferase (T-protein), an enzyme crucial for the glycine decarboxylase complex (GCS) in mitochondria. Glycine is a primary inhibitory neurotransmitter in the spinal cord and brainstem, but increasing evidence show important glycine involvement also in the hippocampal formation^{43,44}. Glycine exerts a tonic inhibitory role through extrasynaptic glycine receptor chloride channels⁴⁵, in addition to modulation of NMDA receptors in the hippocampal formation^{46,47}. Through regulation of both glycine and serine synthesis and cleavage, aminomethyltransferase as part of the glycine

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

decarboxylase complex, may provide a homeostatic regulation of hippocampal function and plasticity by simultaneous activation of excitatory NMDA receptors and inhibitory glycine receptors^{43,48}. The glycine site on the NMDA receptors is currently under investigation as a promising drug target for several of the disorders that we here associated with the *AMT* gene, including ADHD^{49,50}, PD⁵¹, SCZ⁵² and MD⁵³, either by direct glycine supplementation, other substances working on the same receptor site or by increasing endogenous glycine by inhibiting the glycine transporter. Another example for a potential age- and disorder-independent drug target is the microtubule-associated protein tau (*MAPT*) gene, which was here implicated for ASD, SCZ, AD and PD. Indeed, tau has for long been a marker of AD and PD⁵⁴ yet has recently also gained focus for ASD⁵⁵, with animal models suggesting that tau reduction may prevent behavioural signs of this neurodevelopmental disorder⁵⁶. Taken together, our results therefore add support for disorder-independent gene targets for hippocampal pathology across the lifespan, including *AMT* and *MAPT*, among others, and illustrate how the multivariate GWAS approach can reveal overlapping biochemical mechanisms underlying different disorders and traits.

In conclusion, our results suggest a polygenic architecture of the hippocampal formation, distributed across its subregions. The genetic overlap with various developmental and degenerative brain disorders implicated genes that may be relevant targets for future studies into the mechanisms underlying hippocampal functioning and pathology across the lifespan. With several of the findings fitting currently studied treatment targets (e.g. the glycine site on the NMDA receptor), our results also confirm the utility of the approach and suggest that capitalizing on the distributed nature of genetic effects on the brain will be instrumental in our future endeavours to further understand mechanisms underlying the brain and its disorders.

Acknowledgments

The authors were funded by the Research Council of Norway (TK: 276082, OAA: 213837, 223273, 248778, 273291, 262656, 229129, 283798, 311993, LTW: 204966, 249795, 273345), the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (OAA: 2013-123, 2017-112, 2019-108, LTW: 2014-097, 2015-073, 2016-083), Norwegian Health Association (SB: 22731), Stiftelsen Kristian Gerhard Jebsen, the

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

European Research Council (LTW: ERCStG 802998), and an NVIDIA Corporation GPU Grant (TK). The funding bodies had no role in the analysis or interpretation of the data; the preparation, review or approval of the manuscript; nor in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. This work was performed on the TSD (Tjeneste for Sensitive Data) facilities, owned by the University of Oslo, operated and developed by the TSD service group at the University of Oslo, IT-Department (USIT) and on resources provided by UNINETT Sigma2 - the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway. The research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource (access code 27412) and using summary statistics for various brain disorders that partly included 23andMe data. We would like to thank the research participants and employees of UK Biobank, the 23andMe and the consortia contributing summary statistics for making this work possible.

Author contributions

SB and TK conceived the study and analysed the data. KN and TK interpreted the results and spearheaded the writing. SB and TK drafted the online methods. All authors gave conceptual input on the methods and/or results and all authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

OAA has received speaker's honorarium from Lundbeck and Sunovion, and is a consultant to HealthLytix. A.M.D. is a Founder of and holds equity in CorTechs Labs, Inc, and serves on its Scientific Advisory Board. The terms of this arrangement have been reviewed and approved by UCSD in accordance with its conflict of interest policies. Other authors report no conflicts.

References

- 1 Burgess, N., Maguire, E. A. & O'Keefe, J. The human hippocampus and spatial and episodic memory. *Neuron* **35**, 625-641, doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00830-9 (2002).

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

- 283 2 Eichenbaum, H. A cortical-hippocampal system for declarative memory. *Nat Rev*
284 *Neurosci* **1**, 41-50, doi:10.1038/35036213 (2000).
- 285 3 Epstein, R. A., Patai, E. Z., Julian, J. B. & Spiers, H. J. The cognitive map in humans:
286 spatial navigation and beyond. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 1504-1513, doi:10.1038/nn.4656
287 (2017).
- 288 4 Maguire, E. A. *et al.* Navigation-related structural change in the hippocampi of taxi
289 drivers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 4398-4403, doi:10.1073/pnas.070039597
290 (2000).
- 291 5 Bannerman, D. M. *et al.* Hippocampal synaptic plasticity, spatial memory and
292 anxiety. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **15**, 181-192, doi:10.1038/nrn3677 (2014).
- 293 6 Chudasama, Y., Wright, K. S. & Murray, E. A. Hippocampal lesions in rhesus
294 monkeys disrupt emotional responses but not reinforcer devaluation effects. *Biol*
295 *Psychiatry* **63**, 1084-1091, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.11.012 (2008).
- 296 7 Scoville, W. B. & Milner, B. Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal
297 lesions. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* **20**, 11-21, doi:10.1136/jnnp.20.1.11 (1957).
- 298 8 Iglesias, J. E. *et al.* A computational atlas of the hippocampal formation using ex
299 vivo, ultra-high resolution MRI: Application to adaptive segmentation of in vivo
300 MRI. *Neuroimage* **115**, 117-137, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.042 (2015).
- 301 9 Eriksson, P. S. *et al.* Neurogenesis in the adult human hippocampus. *Nat Med* **4**,
302 1313-1317, doi:10.1038/3305 (1998).
- 303 10 Sahay, A. & Hen, R. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis in depression. *Nat Neurosci* **10**,
304 1110-1115, doi:10.1038/nn1969 (2007).
- 305 11 Kaufmann, T. *et al.* Common brain disorders are associated with heritable patterns of
306 apparent aging of the brain. *Nat Neurosci* **22**, 1617-1623, doi:10.1038/s41593-019-
307 0471-7 (2019).
- 308 12 Marin, O. Developmental timing and critical windows for the treatment of psychiatric
309 disorders. *Nat Med* **22**, 1229-1238, doi:10.1038/nm.4225 (2016).
- 310 13 Godsil, B. P., Kiss, J. P., Spedding, M. & Jay, T. M. The hippocampal-prefrontal
311 pathway: the weak link in psychiatric disorders? *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* **23**,
312 1165-1181, doi:10.1016/j.euroneuro.2012.10.018 (2013).
- 313 14 Heckers, S. *et al.* Impaired recruitment of the hippocampus during conscious
314 recollection in schizophrenia. *Nat Neurosci* **1**, 318-323, doi:10.1038/1137 (1998).
- 315 15 Eastwood, S. L. & Harrison, P. J. Hippocampal synaptic pathology in schizophrenia,
316 bipolar disorder and major depression: a study of complexin mRNAs. *Mol Psychiatry*
317 **5**, 425-432, doi:10.1038/sj.mp.4000741 (2000).
- 318 16 Borsook, D., Maleki, N., Becerra, L. & McEwen, B. Understanding migraine through
319 the lens of maladaptive stress responses: a model disease of allostatic load. *Neuron*
320 **73**, 219-234, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.01.001 (2012).
- 321 17 MacQueen, G. M. *et al.* Course of illness, hippocampal function, and hippocampal
322 volume in major depression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 1387-1392,
323 doi:10.1073/pnas.0337481100 (2003).
- 324 18 Calabresi, P., Castrioto, A., Di Filippo, M. & Picconi, B. New experimental and
325 clinical links between the hippocampus and the dopaminergic system in Parkinson's
326 disease. *Lancet Neurol* **12**, 811-821, doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70118-2 (2013).
- 327 19 Masters, C. L. *et al.* Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* **1**, 15056,
328 doi:10.1038/nrdp.2015.56 (2015).
- 329 20 Barnes, J. *et al.* A meta-analysis of hippocampal atrophy rates in Alzheimer's disease.
330 *Neurobiol Aging* **30**, 1711-1723, doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2008.01.010 (2009).
- 331 21 Thompson, C. L. *et al.* Genomic anatomy of the hippocampus. *Neuron* **60**, 1010-
332 1021, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.008 (2008).

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

- 333 22 Hibar, D. P. *et al.* Novel genetic loci associated with hippocampal volume. *Nat*
334 *Commun* **8**, 13624, doi:10.1038/ncomms13624 (2017).
- 335 23 Stein, J. L. *et al.* Identification of common variants associated with human
336 hippocampal and intracranial volumes. *Nat Genet* **44**, 552-561, doi:10.1038/ng.2250
337 (2012).
- 338 24 van der Meer, D. *et al.* Brain scans from 21,297 individuals reveal the genetic
339 architecture of hippocampal subfield volumes. *Mol Psychiatry* **25**, 3053-3065,
340 doi:10.1038/s41380-018-0262-7 (2020).
- 341 25 Vilor-Tejedor, N. *et al.* Genetic Influences on Hippocampal Subfields: An Emerging
342 Area of Neuroscience Research. *Neurol Genet* **7**, e591,
343 doi:10.1212/NXG.0000000000000591 (2021).
- 344 26 van der Meer, D. *et al.* Understanding the genetic determinants of the brain with
345 MOSTest. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3512, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17368-1 (2020).
- 346 27 van der Meer, D. *et al.* The genetic architecture of human cortical folding. *bioRxiv*,
347 2021.2001.2013.426555, doi:10.1101/2021.01.13.426555 (2021).
- 348 28 Roelfs, D. *et al.* Genetic overlap between multivariate measures of human functional
349 brain connectivity and psychiatric disorders. *medRxiv*, 2021.2006.2015.21258954,
350 doi:10.1101/2021.06.15.21258954 (2021).
- 351 29 Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data.
352 *Nature* **562**, 203-209, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z (2018).
- 353 30 Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping
354 and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1826,
355 doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01261-5 (2017).
- 356 31 Boyle, A. P. *et al.* Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using
357 RegulomeDB. *Genome Res* **22**, 1790-1797, doi:10.1101/gr.137323.112 (2012).
- 358 32 Roadmap Epigenomics, C. *et al.* Integrative analysis of 111 reference human
359 epigenomes. *Nature* **518**, 317-330, doi:10.1038/nature14248 (2015).
- 360 33 Hellemans, J. *et al.* Loss-of-function mutations in LEMD3 result in osteopoikilosis,
361 Buschke-Ollendorff syndrome and melorheostosis. *Nat Genet* **36**, 1213-1218,
362 doi:10.1038/ng1453 (2004).
- 363 34 Tong, Y. *et al.* The RNFT2/IL-3Ralpha axis regulates IL-3 signaling and innate
364 immunity. *JCI Insight* **5**, doi:10.1172/jci.insight.133652 (2020).
- 365 35 Thermes, V. *et al.* Medaka simplot (FAM53B) belongs to a family of novel vertebrate
366 genes controlling cell proliferation. *Development* **133**, 1881-1890,
367 doi:10.1242/dev.02350 (2006).
- 368 36 Cuccaro, M. L. *et al.* SORL1 mutations in early- and late-onset Alzheimer disease.
369 *Neurol Genet* **2**, e116, doi:10.1212/NXG.0000000000000116 (2016).
- 370 37 Andreassen, O. A. *et al.* Improved detection of common variants associated with
371 schizophrenia and bipolar disorder using pleiotropy-informed conditional false
372 discovery rate. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003455, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003455 (2013).
- 373 38 Smeland, O. B. *et al.* Discovery of shared genomic loci using the conditional false
374 discovery rate approach. *Hum Genet* **139**, 85-94, doi:10.1007/s00439-019-02060-2
375 (2020).
- 376 39 Eyles, D. W., Burne, T. H. & McGrath, J. J. Vitamin D, effects on brain development,
377 adult brain function and the links between low levels of vitamin D and
378 neuropsychiatric disease. *Front Neuroendocrinol* **34**, 47-64,
379 doi:10.1016/j.yfrne.2012.07.001 (2013).
- 380 40 Edvinsson, L., Haanes, K. A. & Warfvinge, K. Does inflammation have a role in
381 migraine? *Nat Rev Neurol* **15**, 483-490, doi:10.1038/s41582-019-0216-y (2019).

- 41 Nordengen, K. *et al.* Glial activation and inflammation along the Alzheimer's disease continuum. *J Neuroinflammation* **16**, 46, doi:10.1186/s12974-019-1399-2 (2019).
- 42 Yuan, N., Chen, Y., Xia, Y., Dai, J. & Liu, C. Inflammation-related biomarkers in major psychiatric disorders: a cross-disorder assessment of reproducibility and specificity in 43 meta-analyses. *Transl Psychiatry* **9**, 233, doi:10.1038/s41398-019-0570-y (2019).
- 43 Keck, T., Lillis, K. P., Zhou, Y. D. & White, J. A. Frequency-dependent glycinergic inhibition modulates plasticity in hippocampus. *J Neurosci* **28**, 7359-7369, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5618-07.2008 (2008).
- 44 Keck, T. & White, J. A. Glycinergic inhibition in the hippocampus. *Rev Neurosci* **20**, 13-22, doi:10.1515/revneuro.2009.20.1.13 (2009).
- 45 Zhang, L. H., Gong, N., Fei, D., Xu, L. & Xu, T. L. Glycine uptake regulates hippocampal network activity via glycine receptor-mediated tonic inhibition. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **33**, 701-711, doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301449 (2008).
- 46 Johnson, J. W. & Ascher, P. Glycine potentiates the NMDA response in cultured mouse brain neurons. *Nature* **325**, 529-531, doi:10.1038/325529a0 (1987).
- 47 Nong, Y. *et al.* Glycine binding primes NMDA receptor internalization. *Nature* **422**, 302-307, doi:10.1038/nature01497 (2003).
- 48 Liu, L. *et al.* Role of NMDA receptor subtypes in governing the direction of hippocampal synaptic plasticity. *Science* **304**, 1021-1024, doi:10.1126/science.1096615 (2004).
- 49 Hegvik, T. A. *et al.* Druggable genome in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and its co-morbid conditions. New avenues for treatment. *Mol Psychiatry*, doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0540-z (2019).
- 50 ClinclTrial. *Sublingual Glycine vs. Placebo on Attentional Difficulties and Hyperactivity in Prepuberal Children*, <<https://ClinicalTrials.gov/show/NCT02655276>> (Completed).
- 51 Heresco-Levy, U., Shoham, S. & Javitt, D. C. Glycine site agonists of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor and Parkinson's disease: a hypothesis. *Mov Disord* **28**, 419-424, doi:10.1002/mds.25306 (2013).
- 52 Heresco-Levy, U. *et al.* Efficacy of high-dose glycine in the treatment of enduring negative symptoms of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **56**, 29-36, doi:10.1001/archpsyc.56.1.29 (1999).
- 53 Huang, C. C. *et al.* Inhibition of glycine transporter-I as a novel mechanism for the treatment of depression. *Biol Psychiatry* **74**, 734-741, doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.02.020 (2013).
- 54 Zhang, X. *et al.* Tau Pathology in Parkinson's Disease. *Front Neurol* **9**, 809, doi:10.3389/fneur.2018.00809 (2018).
- 55 Grigg, I. *et al.* Tauopathy in the young autistic brain: novel biomarker and therapeutic target. *Transl Psychiatry* **10**, 228, doi:10.1038/s41398-020-00904-4 (2020).
- 56 Tai, C. *et al.* Tau Reduction Prevents Key Features of Autism in Mouse Models. *Neuron* **106**, 421-437 e411, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.01.038 (2020).

Online Methods

Sample and pre-processing of imaging and genetic data

The UK Biobank was approved by the National Health Service National Research Ethics Service (ref. 11/NW/0382). We accessed raw T1-weighted magnetic resonance brain imaging data from 35,411 genotyped white British from the UK Biobank²⁹ (age range: aged 45 – 82 years, mean: 64.4 years, sd: 7.5 years, 51.7% females) for the main analysis, and of 5262 individuals with non-white ethnicity (age range: 45 - 81, mean: 62.9, sd: 7.6 years, 53.6% females) for the replication in independent data.

We processed T1-weighted images using the standard *recon-all* pipeline in Freesurfer 5.3⁵⁷, and subsequently segmented the hippocampal formation using Freesurfer 7.1⁸. For genetic analyses, we followed the standard quality control procedures to the UK Biobank v3 imputed genetic data and removed SNPs with an imputation quality score < 0.5 , a minor allele frequency < 0.05 , missing in more than 5% of individuals, and failing the Hardy Weinberg equilibrium tests at a $P < 1e-6$.

Multivariate genome-wide association analysis

For each of the 19 regions of the hippocampal formation – parasubiculum, presubiculum head and body, subiculum head and body, CA1/CA3/CA4 head and body, GC-ML-DG head and body, molecular layer head and body, HATA, fimbria, hippocampal tail, hippocampal fissure – as well as for total hippocampus volume we calculated the average volume between the left and right hemisphere and subsequently residualized the volumes for age, age squared, sex, scanning site, Euler number as a proxy of image quality, intracranial volume and the first 20 genetic principal components. The resulting residuals for the 20 regions were jointly fed into MOSTest²⁶ analysis, yielding a multivariate GWAS summary statistic across all 20 features. For comparison to state-of-the art univariate approaches, we also performed univariate GWAS (extracted from the univariate stream of MOSTest²⁶). Supplemental genetic correlation analyses were performed using LD-score regression^{58,59}.

To identify genetic loci we uploaded this summary statistic to the FUMA platform v1.3.6³⁰. Using the 1000GPhase3 EUR as reference panel, we identified independent significant SNPs at the

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

statistical significance threshold $P < 5e-8$. All SNPs at $r^2 < 0.6$ with each other were considered as *independent significant SNPs* and a fraction of the independent significant SNPs in approximate linkage equilibrium with each other at $r^2 < 0.1$ were considered as *lead SNPs*. Post-GWAS eQTL analysis was also performed using FUMA. The full FUMA analysis including the corresponding parameter file will be made available through <https://fuma.ctglab.nl/browse> upon acceptance.

Genetic overlap between hippocampal formation and major brain disorders

We accessed GWAS summary statistics for migraine (MIG) from International headache genetics Consortium⁶⁰ and for Parkinson's disease (PD) from the International Parkinson Disease Genomics Consortium^{61,62}. The latter included 23andMe data. 23andMe participants provided informed consent and participated in the research online, under a protocol approved by the external AAHRPP-accredited IRB, Ethical & Independent Review Services (E&I Review). Furthermore, from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium we accessed summary statistics for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)⁶³, autism spectrum disorder (ASD)⁶⁴, bipolar disorder (BIP)⁶⁵ and major depression (MD)⁶⁶. Finally, we included data from recent studies of schizophrenia (SCZ)⁶⁷ and of Alzheimer's disease (AD)⁶⁸.

Using conjunctive FDR statistics ($FDR < 0.05$)^{37,38}, we identified shared variants associated with hippocampal formation and each of the above-mentioned brain disorders. Two genomic regions, the extended major histocompatibility complex genes region (hg19 location Chr 6: 25119106–33854733) and chromosome 8p23.1 (hg19 location Chr 8: 7242715–12483982) for all cases and *MAPT* region for PD and *APOE* region for AD and ASD, respectively were excluded from the FDR fitting procedures because complex correlations in regions with intricate LD can bias FDR estimation.

We submitted the results from conjunctive FDR to FUMA v1.3.6³⁰ to annotate the genomic loci with conjFDR value < 0.10 having an $r^2 \geq 0.6$ with one of the independent significant SNPs. FUMA annotates associated SNPs based on functional Categories, Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD) scores which predicts the deleteriousness of SNPs on protein structure/function⁶⁹,

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

RegulomeDB scores which predicts regulatory functions³¹; and chromatin states that shows the transcription/regulation effects of chromatin states at the SNP locus⁷⁰. We also conducted Gene Ontology gene-set analyses based on FUMA's gene ontology classification system³⁰ and pathway analyses⁷¹ for the all mapped genes and the 69 common mapped genes of hippocampal formation.

Data availability

In this study we used brain imaging and genetics data from the UK Biobank [<https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>], and GWAS summary statistics obtained from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium [<https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/>], 23andMe [<https://www.23andme.com/>], International headache genetics Consortium (IHGC) [<http://www.headachegenetics.org>], the International Genomics of Alzheimer's Project [http://web.pasteur-lille.fr/en/recherche/u744/igap/igap_download.php], and the International Parkinson Disease Genomics Consortium [<https://pdgenetics.org/>]. The latter included 23andMe data, which was made available through 23andMe under an agreement with 23andMe that protects the privacy of the 23andMe participants [<https://research.23andme.com/collaborate/#dataset-access/>].

The summary statistics for hippocampal formation derived in this study are made available in our github repository [<https://github.com/norment/open-science>] upon acceptance of the manuscript for publication. Likewise, we will publish the FUMA results on the FUMA website [<https://fuma.ctglab.nl/browse/>] upon acceptance.

Code availability

All code and software needed to generate the results is available as part of public resources, specifically MOSTest (<https://github.com/precimed/mostest>), FUMA (<https://fuma.ctglab.nl/>), conjunctural FDR (<https://github.com/precimed/pleiofdr/>) and LD score regression (<https://github.com/bulik/ldsc>).

References of the Online Methods

- 8 Iglesias, J. E. *et al.* A computational atlas of the hippocampal formation using ex vivo, ultra-high resolution MRI: Application to adaptive segmentation of in vivo MRI. *Neuroimage* **115**, 117-137, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.042 (2015).
- 26 van der Meer, D. *et al.* Understanding the genetic determinants of the brain with MOSTest. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3512, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17368-1 (2020).
- 29 Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature* **562**, 203-209, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z (2018).
- 30 Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1826, doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01261-5 (2017).
- 31 Boyle, A. P. *et al.* Annotation of functional variation in personal genomes using RegulomeDB. *Genome Res* **22**, 1790-1797, doi:10.1101/gr.137323.112 (2012).
- 37 Andreassen, O. A. *et al.* Improved detection of common variants associated with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder using pleiotropy-informed conditional false discovery rate. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003455, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003455 (2013).
- 38 Smeland, O. B. *et al.* Discovery of shared genomic loci using the conditional false discovery rate approach. *Hum Genet* **139**, 85-94, doi:10.1007/s00439-019-02060-2 (2020).
- 57 Fischl, B. *et al.* Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron* **33**, 341-355, doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(02)00569-x (2002).
- 58 Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1236-1241, doi:10.1038/ng.3406 (2015).
- 59 Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **47**, 291-295, doi:10.1038/ng.3211 (2015).
- 60 Gormley, P. *et al.* Meta-analysis of 375,000 individuals identifies 38 susceptibility loci for migraine. *Nat Genet* **48**, 856-866, doi:10.1038/ng.3598 (2016).
- 61 Nalls, M. A. *et al.* Large-scale meta-analysis of genome-wide association data identifies six new risk loci for Parkinson's disease. *Nat Genet* **46**, 989-993, doi:10.1038/ng.3043 (2014).
- 62 Chang, D. *et al.* A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies 17 new Parkinson's disease risk loci. *Nat Genet* **49**, 1511-1516, doi:10.1038/ng.3955 (2017).
- 63 Demontis, D. *et al.* Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nat Genet* **51**, 63-75, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7 (2019).
- 64 Grove, J. *et al.* Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. *Nat Genet* **51**, 431-444, doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0344-8 (2019).
- 65 Mullins, N. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of more than 40,000 bipolar disorder cases provides new insights into the underlying biology. *Nat Genet* **53**, 817-829, doi:10.1038/s41588-021-00857-4 (2021).
- 66 Wray, N. R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat Genet* **50**, 668-681, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0090-3 (2018).
- 67 Pardinas, A. F. *et al.* Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nat Genet* **50**, 381-389, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0059-2 (2018).

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

551 68 Wightman, D. P. *et al.* Largest GWAS (N=1,126,563) of Alzheimer's Disease
552 Implicates Microglia and Immune Cells. *medRxiv*, 2020.2011.2020.20235275,
553 doi:10.1101/2020.11.20.20235275 (2020).
554 69 Kircher, M. *et al.* A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of
555 human genetic variants. *Nat Genet* **46**, 310-315, doi:10.1038/ng.2892 (2014).
556 70 Zhu, Z. *et al.* Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts
557 complex trait gene targets. *Nat Genet* **48**, 481-487, doi:10.1038/ng.3538 (2016).
558 71 Kamburov, A., Wierling, C., Lehrach, H. & Herwig, R. ConsensusPathDB--a
559 database for integrating human functional interaction networks. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**,
560 D623-628, doi:10.1093/nar/gkn698 (2009).
561

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1. Genetic correlations between hippocampus volumes. For each pair of regions, the LD-score regression based genetic correlations of the univariate summary statistics are presented.

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

Supplementary Figure 2. Quantile-quantile plots from MOSTest analysis. The left panel depicts signal from MOSTest analysis. The right panel shows test statistics under null (from permutation testing) and confirms validity of the MOSTest test statistics.

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

Supplementary Figure 3. Validation and replication. We performed two analyses to further assess the robustness of our findings. A) We randomly split the sample used in the main analysis in half and used one half as the discovery sample and the other as the replication sample. We performed a MOSTest analysis in both samples (the figure shows the corresponding manhattan plots) and calculated replication rates as an indicator of robustness of the MOSTest approach. From this split half analysis we found that 96.9% of the loci replicated at nominal P and 46.9% replicated at $5e-8$. B) We performed a cross-ethnic replication of the MOSTest analysis described in the main text using independent data from 5262 individuals with non-white ethnicity. 31.9% of the loci found in the full sample of white British individuals replicated at nominal P in the independent replication sample of non-white individuals (indicated with green lines). Given the small sample and differences in ethnicity, replication rates were fairly low yet met our level of expectation, in line with earlier work on other brain structures²⁷.

27 van der Meer, D. *et al.* The genetic architecture of human cortical folding. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2001.2013.426555, doi:10.1101/2021.01.13.426555 (2021).

Supplementary Figure 4. Distribution of the annotation for all SNPs in the significant genetic loci from the hippocampus GWAS including (A) the minimum chromatin state across 127 tissue and cell types for SNPs in the significant genomic loci, with lower states indicating higher accessibility and states 1–7 referring to open chromatin states, (B) the distribution of RegulomeDB scores for SNPs in the significant genomic loci, with a low score indicating a higher likelihood of having a regulatory function and (C) the distribution of functional consequences of SNPs in the significant genomic risk loci.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Distributed genetic architecture across the hippocampal formation | Bahrami et al.

598

Supplementary Figure 5. Conditional Q-Q plots for hippocampus given associations with the disorder (left figures) and vice versa (right figures). ADHD: attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. AD: Alzheimer's disease. MIG: migraine. ASD: autism spectrum disorder. PD: Parkinson's disease. SCZ: schizophrenia. BIP: bipolar disorder. MD: major depression.