Dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 exposure in Malawian blood donors: a retrospective seroprevalence analysis between January 2020 and February 2021

Mandolo J^{1,†}, Msefula J^{1,†}, Henrion MYR^{1,2}, Brown C¹, Moyo B¹, Samon A³, Moyo-Gwete T^{4,5}, Makhado Z^{4,5}, Ayres F^{4,5}, Motlou T^{4,5}, Mzindle N^{4,5}, Kalata N¹, Muula AS⁶, Kwatra G^{7,8,9}, Msamala N³, Likaka A³, Mfune T³, Moore PL^{4,5}, Mbaya B³, French N¹⁰, Heyderman RS¹¹, Swarthout TD^{1,11¶}, Jambo KC^{1,2¶*}

1. Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research programme, Blantyre, Malawi
2. Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom
3. Malawi Blood Transfusion Services, Blantyre, Malawi
4. National Institute for Communicable Diseases of the National Health Laboratory Services, South Africa
5. MRC Antibody Research Unit, School of Pathology, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa
6. Kamuzu University of Health Sciences, Blantyre, Malawi
7. Respiratory and Meningeal Pathogens Research Unit, South Africa
8. Department of Science/ National Research Foundation: Vaccine Preventable Diseases, University of the Witwatersrand, Faculty of Health Science, Johannesburg, South Africa.
9. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Christian Medical College, Vellore, India.
10. University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
11. NIHR Global Health Research Unit on Mucosal Pathogens, Research Department of Infection, Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, London, UK

***Corresponding author**

Email: Kondwani.Jambo@lstmed.ac.uk or kjambo@mlw.mw (KCJ)

[†]Joint first authors

[¶]Joint senior authors

Main text: 3488 words

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Running title: SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in Malawian blood donors

Abstract

Background: As at end of July 2021, the COVID-19 pandemic has been less severe in sub-Saharan Africa than elsewhere. In Malawi, there have been two subsequent epidemic waves. We therefore aimed to describe the dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 exposure in Malawi.

Methods: We measured the seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among randomly selected blood donor sera in Malawi from January 2020 to February 2021. In a subset, we also assessed *in vitro* neutralisation against the original variant (D614G WT) and the Beta variant.

Findings: A total of 3586 samples were selected from the blood donor database, of which 2685 (74.9%) were male and 3132 (87.3%) were aged 20-49 years. Of the total, 469 (13.1%) were seropositive. Seropositivity was highest in October 2020 (15.7%) and February 2021 (49.7%) reflecting the two epidemic waves. Unlike the first wave, both urban and rural areas had high seropositivity by February 2021, Balaka (rural, 37.5%), Blantyre (urban, 54.8%), Lilongwe (urban, 54.5%) and Mzuzu (urban, 57.5%). First wave sera showed potent *in vitro* neutralisation activity against the original variant (78%[7/9]) but not the Beta variant (22% [2/9]). Second wave sera potentially neutralised the Beta variant (73% [8/11]).

Interpretation: The findings confirm extensive SARS-CoV-2 exposure in Malawi over two epidemic waves with likely poor cross-protection to reinfection from the first on the second wave. Since prior exposure augments COVID-19 vaccine immunity, prioritising administration of the first dose in high SARS-CoV-2 exposure settings could maximise the benefit of the limited available vaccines in Malawi and the region.

Word count: 247 words

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed on August 16, 2021, with no language restrictions, for titles and abstracts published between Jan 1, 2020, and August 16, 2021, using the search terms: “SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Africa”[Title/Abstract]) OR “SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in blood donors” [Title/Abstract] OR “SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in Malawi”, and found 15 records. There are limited SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence studies in sub Saharan Africa, however the few that are available report high seroprevalence than can be deduced from the respective national reported COVID-19 cases and deaths. Only two published SARS-CoV-2 serosurveys were done on blood donors, from Kenya and Madagascar. Blood donor serosurveys have been recommended by the WHO as an important tool for assessing the spread of SARS-CoV-2 and estimating the burden of COVID-19 pandemic.

Added value of this study

Unlike previous SARS-CoV-2 blood donor serosurveys in African populations that were conducted for a maximum period of 9 months, our study covers a full year from January 2020 to February 2021, capturing potential introduction of SARS-CoV-2 into Malawi as well as the two epidemic waves. This study provides evidence against the speculation that SARS-CoV-2 had been circulating more widely in sub-Saharan Africa before the first detected cases. It also provides supporting evidence suggesting that the Beta variant was the likely driver of the second wave that resulted in high SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity in January to February 2021 in Malawi.

Implications of all the available evidence

Our results show extensive community transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Malawi as reflected in the blood donors serosurvey, with almost half the sample population being seropositive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies by February 2021. This has implications for COVID-19 vaccination policy in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), where there are limited available vaccine doses. Considering that prior exposure to SARS-CoV-2 augments COVID-19 vaccine immunity, strategies to maximise administration of the first vaccine dose, while waiting for more vaccines to become available, could maximise the benefits of the limited available vaccines in high SARS-CoV-2 exposure settings in SSA such as Malawi.

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in late 2019 in Hubei province, China as a cause of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)^{1,2}. SARS-CoV-2 infection presents with a range of disease manifestations, from asymptomatic infection to severe acute respiratory distress and death. SARS-CoV-2 has spread globally and the World Health Organisation (WHO) declared COVID-19 a global pandemic on 11th March 2020. As of 12th August 2021, more than 205 million people globally have been infected with more than 4.32 million deaths³. The pandemic has placed substantial pressures on health systems delivering care for patients with COVID-19 and caused disruption of non-COVID-19 health-care provision, in addition to negative effects on the global economy⁴.

The potential risk from SARS-CoV-2 to Africa was identified early in the global pandemic⁵. As the epicentre of transmission moved from East Asia to West Asia, Europe and then to North America, there was speculation as to the likely impact of the pandemic on the African continent with its younger populations, high rates of infectious diseases including HIV, poverty and undernutrition, as well as a fragile health care infrastructure^{5,6}. Evidence has shown that the epidemiology of the COVID-19 pandemic in sub-Saharan Africa has been different from the Americas, China and Europe³. Available seroprevalence data indicate that SARS-CoV-2 has circulated more widely in African populations than can be deduced from the number of reported confirmed cases, hospitalisations and deaths⁷⁻⁹. This has led to speculations that SARS-CoV-2 could have circulated longer in sub-Saharan Africa than the first confirmed cases, but current evidence is limited. Others have speculated that a high prevalence of circulating seasonal coronaviruses could have induced some cross-reactive protective immunity^{10,11}.

SARS-CoV-2 Spike and Nucleocapsid specific antibody responses in blood are detectable in individuals between 5 to 21 days post symptom onset¹². Subsequently, anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM antibody levels drop sharply within the initial 14 days followed by a gradual decline in anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies within the next 6 months¹³, reaching undetectable levels in some individuals¹⁴. Whether these antibodies are likely to be protective against subsequent infections is becoming clearer. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike antibodies generated following exposure to the original viral variant (D614G) exhibit reduced neutralisation potency against

the alpha and beta variants of SARS-CoV-2¹⁵⁻¹⁷. Conversely, antibodies generated following infection with the beta variant are able to potentially neutralise the original variant¹⁸⁻²⁰.

Though there were initial plans made by the Malawi government in April 2020 to implement a national lockdown of social and commercial activities, these were never implemented²¹. As such, unlike other countries in the region, including Kenya²², South Africa²³ and Zimbabwe²⁴, there were no systematic lockdowns and limited curfew restrictions. Schools were officially closed (from 23rd March 2020 to 7th September 2020) and many social gathering settings (including restaurants and places of worship) voluntarily closed or had significantly reduced services^{25,26}. Malawi closed its borders and airports from April 2020 to September 2020, with limited essential traffic allowed in and out of the country²⁷. As of 12th August 2021, 56,952 people were confirmed to have been infected by SARS-CoV-2 in Malawi, resulting in 1,895 deaths and 169,632 people had been fully vaccinated³.

Due to movement restrictions instituted to curb SARS-CoV-2 transmission, conducting large national population-based seroprevalence studies has been a significant challenge. This has led to the use of blood donor and healthcare worker serosurveys to estimate and monitor the extent of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in several countries^{7-9,28}. Here, we report results of a national serosurvey using archived serum samples from blood transfusion donors across Malawi from January 2020 through February 2021, generated using a WHO-recommended anti-SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain total antibody assay with high sensitivity and specificity, supported by SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus neutralisation assays to explore variant specificity.

Methods

Study setting and population

The Malawi Blood Transfusion Service (MBTS) maintains an archive of sera from all blood donations received in their Malawi facilities (average of 70,000 annually before the COVID-19 pandemic). All blood donors undergo routine screening through a self-administered questionnaire, one-on-one assessment and a mini-health screening by MBTS staff. Donors meeting routine donor ineligibility criteria including age below 16 or above 65 years, haemoglobin below 12.5g/dl, past medical history suggestive of HIV, hepatitis or syphilis,

past or present history of renal, cardiovascular, central nervous system and metabolic disorders, are excluded from donating²⁹. All donated samples are screened for Transfusion Transmissible Infections (TTI; including HIV). Sera are archived at -80°C and retained by MBTS for up to 5 years for retrospective analysis purposes. MBTS blood donation services include both static and mobile sites. For our analysis, we have selected samples from all four defined regions within Malawi: Mzuzu is the capital of the Northern Region and the third largest city, by population, in Malawi (population of 221,272). Lilongwe is Malawi's capital city located in the Central Region (population of 989,318). Blantyre is the capital of Malawi's Southern Region (population of 800,264). Balaka is a rural district of 438,379 residents, located in the Eastern Region. All population data are from the 2018 Malawi Population and Housing Census³⁰.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Kamuzu University of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee (P.09/20/3123). At time of donation, blood donors provide consent to draw blood for transfusion and for use in studies of public health importance. COVID-19 research qualifies as an activity of urgent public health importance.

Sample selection and processing

Using the MBTS sample archive database, we randomly selected (using STATA's gsample command) sera collected from HIV-seronegative individuals aged 16-65 years old. Parameters including sex, age, location and time were also extracted from the database for analysis. For Mzuzu, Lilongwe and Blantyre, selected samples were only from static urban donation sites. For Balaka, a largely rural district with smaller total donations, selected samples included donations from both static and mobile sites, including semi-rural and rural.

Measurement of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies by Enzyme Linked-Immunosorbent Assay *SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) Total Antibody ELISA.*

We used the WHO-approved WANTAI SARS-CoV-2 Ab commercial Enzyme Linked-Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) kit to detect total SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, following manufacturer's instructions (Beijing Wantai Biological Pharmacy Enterprise co., Ltd, China; WS-1096) as reported previously³¹. This ELISA was shown to have the best overall

characteristics to detect functional antibodies in different phases and severity of disease³¹. It is a two-step incubation antigen “sandwich” enzyme immunoassay kit which uses polystyrene microwell strips pre-coated with recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Binding Domain (RBD) antigen. The optical density (OD) of each well was read at 450nm in a microplate reader (BioTek ELx808, UK) within 10 minutes of adding Stop Solution. Cut-off values were calculated following manufacturer’s instructions. The ratio between a sample’s absorbance and the cut-off were calculated for each sample. Specimens giving a ratio of <0.9 were reported as negative for this assay, a ratio of >1.1 were reported as positive, and a ratio between 0.9-1.1 were reported as borderline. Samples with borderline results were retested using a confirmatory assay described below. The sensitivity and specificity of the assay as independently validated are 97% [95% CI 83.3 to 99.4] and 98% [91.3 to 99.3]), respectively³². The manufacturer’s sensitivity and specificity estimates for the assay are 94% [90.9 to 96.8] and 100% [98.8 to 100], respectively³³.

Confirmatory SARS-CoV-2 Spike 2 and Nucleoprotein IgG antibody ELISA. The COVID-19 IgG RUO commercial ELISA kit (Omega diagnostics, UK) kit uses 96-well microtiter plates pre-coated with purified SARS-COV-2 Spike (S2) and Nucleoprotein (N) antigens to detect SARS-COV-2 IgG. This was performed following manufacturer’s instructions as reported previously⁷. Results were interpreted as described above for the ELISA assay, with a ratio of <0.9 reported as negative, a ratio of >1.1 reported as positive, and a ratio between 0.9-1.1 reported as borderline.

SARS-CoV-2 Pseudovirus Neutralisation Assay

Samples were pre-screened using an in-house (NICD) spike ELISA¹⁶, and only samples positive for binding antibodies were screened for neutralization. SARS-CoV-2-pseudotyped lentiviruses were prepared by co-transfecting the HEK 293T cell line with either the SARS-CoV-2 original spike (D614G) or the SARS-CoV-2 Beta spike (L18F, D80A, D215G, K417N, E484K, N501Y, D614G, A701V, 242-244 del) plasmids in conjunction with a firefly luciferase encoding pNL4 lentivirus backbone plasmid. The parental plasmids were kindly provided by Drs Elise Landais and Devin Sok (The International AIDS Vaccine Initiative (IAVI), USA). For the neutralization assay, heat-inactivated seropositive serum samples from blood donors were incubated with the SARS- CoV-2 pseudotyped virus for 1 hour at 37°C, 5% CO₂.

Subsequently, 1×10^4 HEK 293T cells engineered to over-express ACE-2, kindly provided by Dr Michael Farzan (Scripps Research), were added and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 72 hours upon which the luminescence of the luciferase gene was measured.

Statistical analysis

We performed statistical analyses and graphical presentation using R statistical package, version 4.1.0 and GraphPad Prism v9.1.0 (GraphPad Software, LLC). The seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies was adjusted for the independently reported assay sensitivity (97% [95% CI 83.3 to 99.4]) and specificity (98% [95% CI 91.3 to 99.3]) using the bootComb (v1.0.1) R package³⁴. Generalised additive models were used to estimate the seroprevalence curves from Figures 1 and 2. To investigate demographic factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 antibody positivity, a multivariable logistic regression model was developed with sex, age group, location and time as predictors. To obtain smooth regression curves, B-splines³⁵ were used to model the effect of time given the non-linear trend in seroprevalence over time. Effects were considered statistically significant when the *p*-value was less than 0.05.

Results

Participant demographics

A total of 3,586 blood donor serum samples were selected from the four regional blood transfusion centres. Samples had been collected between January 2020 through February 2021. These included 823 from Mzuzu (Northern region), 946 from Lilongwe (Central region), 830 from Balaka (Eastern region) and 987 from Blantyre (Southern region) (**Table 1**). Compared with 2018 Malawi Population and Housing Census³⁰, by the nature of the demographic of blood donors in Malawi, participants were more commonly male (75% in our study versus 48.5% in the census) and had more persons aged 20 to 49 years (87.3% versus 35.4%).

Overall SARS-CoV-2 antibody seroprevalence

Of the 3,586 samples, 456 were positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 RBD total antibody and 17 were borderline. After re-running the borderline samples using the separate commercial confirmatory ELISA, 13 of the 17 were positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 S2 and N IgG antibody,

resulting in a total 469 anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibody positive samples (crude seroprevalence of 13.1% [95% CI 12.0% to 14.2%]).

As part of quality control procedures, we randomly selected 76 samples that were positive on the primary SARS-CoV-2 ELISA and retested them using the second ELISA targeting S2 and NP IgG. Seventy-one (71) of the 76 samples were positive on the second ELISA, representing a concordance of 93.4% [95% CI 85.3 to 97.8]. We also used a recently published ELISA from the National Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD, South Africa)¹⁶ that targets binding antibodies against RBD of the original SARS-CoV-2 variant on a subset of 36 serum samples selected from the seroprevalence peaks. The concordance between the Wantai and NICD assays was 100%.

The overall (all months aggregated) seroprevalence adjusted for the reported independent sensitivity and specificity estimates was 11.2% [95% CI from 5.8% to 14.9%]. In a multivariable logistic regression model, seroprevalence did not vary significantly by sex ($p = 0.532$) or age ($p > 0.10$ for all age groups using those 10-19 years old as reference) but did vary geographically, from 5.5% in Balaka (reference) to 14.6% in Blantyre ($p < 0.001$), 9.8% in Lilongwe ($p < 0.001$) and 14.6% in Mzuzu ($p < 0.001$) (**Table 2**).

Temporal trend of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence

The first PCR-confirmed case of COVID-19 identified by Malawi's national surveillance system was on 2nd April 2021, with the first peak of the national COVID-19 cases being July 2020 (2,813 cases) and subsequently a second peak in January 2021 (17,380 cases) (**Figure 1**). In this blood donor SARS-CoV-2 serosurvey, the first seropositive samples were observed in February 2020 in Balaka (1 sample) and March 2020 in Blantyre (2 samples) (**Figure 2, Table 3 and Supplementary Table 1**). Both unadjusted and adjusted seroprevalence estimates increased with time, with two distinct waves that followed the same temporal trend as the reported national COVID-19 cases (**Figure 1 and Supplementary Table 1**). When aggregating serum samples from all locations, the first wave among donors was observed from July to November 2020 with the peak seroprevalence in October 2020 (15.7% adjusted seroprevalence), while the second wave was observed between December 2020 to February 2021 with peak seroprevalence in February 2021 (49.4%) (**Figure 1, Table 3 and**

Supplementary Table 1). However, there were differences in the temporal trend in seroprevalence according to location (**Figure 2, Table 3 and Supplementary Table 1**). Balaka had a 0% adjusted seroprevalence from January to November 2020, subsequently increasing from 1.9% to 37.5% between December 2020 and February 2021. The peak adjusted seroprevalence in the first wave was July 2020 in the Blantyre (15.9%), August 2020 in Lilongwe (13.1%) and October 2020 in Mzuzu (24.8%). Seroprevalence bubble plots at three periods in time demonstrated widespread exposure amongst blood donors across the country in February 2021 compared to the earlier snapshots (**Figure 3**).

Estimating predominant SARS-CoV-2 variants driving seroprevalence

Limited evidence suggests that Malawi's second wave was driven by the Beta variant, with data deposited on GISAID showing that the variant was identified in 96% (152/159) of the samples sequenced from Malawi from January 2021³⁶. As it has been shown that antibodies elicited by the original variant (D614G WT) are less potent against the Beta variant^{16,19}, we reasoned that differential neutralisation potency by SARS-CoV-2 antibodies could be used to estimate the predominance of specific variants driving seroprevalence. We therefore measured neutralisation antibody responses against D614G WT and Beta variant in randomly selected seropositive sera collected at the peak of the first wave (Wave 1) in June to October 2020 compared to those collected in February 2021 (Wave 2). Wave 1 sera was more potent against D614G WT than the Beta variant, while Wave 2 sera was relatively more potent against the Beta variant than D614G WT (**Figure 4a-b**). Only 22% (2/9) of the Wave 1 sera was more potent against the Beta variant than D614G WT, while 47% 8/17 of the Wave 2 sera was more potent against the Beta variant than D614G WT (**Figure 4b-c**). In contrast, 78% (7/9) of the Wave 1 sera was more potent against D614G WT than the Beta variant, while 18% 3/17 of the Wave 2 sera was more potent against D614G WT than the Beta variant (**Figure 4c**). Furthermore, 35% (6/17) of the Wave 2 sera showed no neutralisation against the Beta variant or D614G WT (**Figure 4c**). Collectively, these results support existing sequencing evidence that Malawi's second SARS-CoV-2 epidemic wave was driven by the Beta variant.

Discussion

Our study provides important insights into the dynamics of the COVID-19 epidemic in both urban and rural Malawi, with likely relevance to the southern Africa region. The seroprevalence estimates from this blood donor serosurvey are very high compared to the reported national surveillance figures for confirmed asymptomatic and symptomatic cases, but they do reflect the emergence and magnitude of the first and second COVID-19 epidemic waves in Malawi. They also provide evidence against the speculation that SARS-CoV-2 had been circulating more widely in Malawi before the first detected case in April 2020 or that circulation of endemic coronaviruses^{10,11} had generated cross-reactive antibody responses. Data from pseudovirus neutralisation assays suggests that the second wave was predominantly driven by the Beta variant, as sera from the first wave had poor neutralising activity against the Beta variant of SARS-CoV-2. Collectively, our findings provide further support to the emerging data that in terms of hospitalised disease and death, the COVID-19 pandemic has so far been attenuated in sub-Saharan Africa, despite high population exposure to SARS-CoV-2.

We report a high seroprevalence of 49.7% nationally in February 2021, mirroring the upsurge in confirmed COVID-19 cases, hospitalisations and death in Malawi experienced during this period^{3,6}. This is consistent with a study in South African blood donors that reported seroprevalence rates ranging from 31.8% to 62.5% in January 2021³⁷. So far, the epidemic trajectory in Malawi as determined by the national confirmed COVID-19 cases, deaths and hospitalisations has paralleled the epidemic trajectory in South Africa³. This is likely due to shared borders and the resulting frequent human migration between the two countries, specifically in the last 12 months during which there has been an influx of groups of returning residents from South Africa to Malawi. This movement of people coincided with rapid surges in COVID-19 cases in the first and second epidemic waves in Malawi³⁸. These findings support the need for a consolidated and standardised regional public health effort in effectively addressing the COVID-19 pandemic in southern Africa to reduce the risk of further epidemic waves across the region.

Genomic surveillance data from Malawi and South Africa suggests that this high seroprevalence in the second wave is, at least in part, driven by the emergence of the Beta

variant³⁶. In agreement, our neutralisation data showed that sera collected from the second wave (February 2021) was better at neutralising the Beta variant than that from the first wave (June to October 2020), indicating antibody responses driven by infection with the Beta variant rather than the original variant (D614G WT). This is consistent with previous studies showing that homologous convalescent sera retain higher *in vitro* neutralisation potency than heterologous convalescent sera against the infecting virus¹⁵⁻²⁰. It is noteworthy that 35% of the Wave two sera that were positive for antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 RBD showed no neutralisation against the Beta variant or D614G WT, highlighting a disconnect between qualitative antibody detection and functional activity. This discordance could be due to low anti-RBD antibody titers as they have been shown to be associated with poor *in vitro* neutralisation^{19,20}.

The temporal kinetics of the SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in this blood donor serosurvey suggests that the first epidemic wave was largely confined to urban areas. This differs to the second wave, which was not only more rapid in its growth but also in its geographic spread, as shown by the high seroprevalence even in the rural areas. This is consistent with national surveillance reports showing that confirmed COVID-19 cases in the first wave were primarily from the three major cities, Blantyre, Lilongwe and Mzuzu, but in the second wave there was an increase in reported cases in rural areas^{39,40}. Also noteworthy is the earlier seroprevalence peak in Blantyre and Lilongwe, compared to the northern city of Mzuzu. Blantyre and Lilongwe are the largest commercial centres in Malawi with multiple connection to South Africa through international airports and bus stations. Mzuzu is the hub for inter-country trade and migration between Tanzania and Malawi and it is plausible that some of the late epidemic surge in Mzuzu was due to cross border movement of persons between Tanzania and Malawi.

This study has considerable strengths, including consistent monthly sampling, use of well-validated assays and national geographical representation. There are however some important limitations. First, inherent in using a blood donor sampling frame is that the convenience sampling was not representative of the general population, skewed towards males and individuals aged 18 to 45 years old. In addition, we were unable to evaluate the association between the behaviour of blood donors and risk of acquisition to SARS-CoV-2

infection, as this may bias the measured results away from the true population seropositivity. Though random sampling from the larger population would have been ideal, restrictions on movement and gathering make these study designs extremely challenging to implement. Second, in some individuals, SARS-CoV-2 antibodies wane over time to undetectable levels leading to false negatives, especially in those who had asymptomatic COVID-19¹²⁻¹⁴. Therefore, the seroprevalence estimates are likely an underestimate of the cumulative exposure within this sampled population. However, the selection bias and waning of antibody levels is unlikely to substantially alter the temporal trends reported in this study.

In conclusion, we report a dramatic rise in SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence from 6.2% in July 2020 to 49.7% in February 2021 in healthy blood donors as Malawi experienced the first and second COVID-19 epidemic waves, likely driven initially by the original variant (D614G WT) and then the Beta variant. Based on data showing prior exposure to SARS-CoV-2 augments COVID-19 vaccine responses⁴¹⁻⁴⁴, prioritisation of the first COVID-19 vaccine dose in settings with high seroprevalence could help maximise the benefit of the limited available COVID-19 vaccine doses. The dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 exposure will therefore need to be taken into account in the formulation of COVID-19 vaccination policy in Malawi and across the region.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all blood donors whose samples are used in this study, the staff of the Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme (MLW) and Malawi Blood Transfusion Services (MBTS) for their support and co-operation during the study.

Author Contributions

KCJ, TDS, RSH, NF, BM, TM, AM and NK designed the study. KCJ, TDS, TM, GK, AL, NM and PLM supervised the work. JM, CB, AS, FA, ZM, TM, NM, AS and TMG processed all samples and carried out all laboratory-based assays. MYRH, BM and JM carried out data management and statistical analysis. KCJ, TDS, JM, RSH and NF wrote the initial manuscript draft. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (16/136/46) using UK aid from the UK Government to support global health research. K.C.J. is supported by an MRC African Research Leader award [MR/T008822/1]. RSH is a NIHR Senior Investigator. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the UK government. P.L.M. is supported by the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Innovation and the National Research Foundation (Grant No 98341) and the MRC Strategic Health Innovations Program of the SA MRC. A Wellcome Strategic award number 206545/Z/17/Z supports the Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Programme. The Malawi Blood Transfusion Service is funded by the Government of Malawi and development partners.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Coronaviridae Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of V. The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Microbiol* 2020; **5**(4): 536-44.
2. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *Lancet* 2020; **395**(10223): 470-3.
3. JHU. COVID-19 Case Tracker 2020. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>.
4. Kaye AD, Okeagu CN, Pham AD, et al. Economic impact of COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare facilities and systems: International perspectives. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2020.
5. Walker PGT, Whittaker C, Watson OJ, et al. The impact of COVID-19 and strategies for mitigation and suppression in low- and middle-income countries. *Science* 2020.
6. Morton B, Barnes KG, Anscombe C, et al. Distinct clinical and immunological profiles of patients with evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in sub-Saharan Africa. *Nat Commun* 2021; **12**(1): 3554.

- 445 7. Chibwana M, Jere K, Kamng'ona R, et al. High SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in health
446 care workers but relatively low numbers of deaths in urban Malawi [version 2; peer review:
447 2 approved]. *Wellcome Open Research* 2020; **5**(199).
- 448 8. Olayanju O, Bamidele O, Edem F, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Seropositivity in Asymptomatic
449 Frontline Health Workers in Ibadan, Nigeria. *The American journal of tropical medicine and*
450 *hygiene* 2021; **104**(1): 91-4.
- 451 9. Uyoga S, Adetifa IMO, Karanja HK, et al. Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG
452 antibodies in Kenyan blood donors. *Science* 2021; **371**(6524): 79-82.
- 453 10. Peterson I, Bar-Zeev N, Kennedy N, et al. Respiratory Virus-Associated Severe Acute
454 Respiratory Illness and Viral Clustering in Malawian Children in a Setting With a High
455 Prevalence of HIV Infection, Malaria, and Malnutrition. *J Infect Dis* 2016; **214**(11): 1700-11.
- 456 11. Ho A, Mallewa J, Peterson I, et al. Epidemiology of Severe Acute Respiratory Illness
457 and Risk Factors for Influenza Infection and Clinical Severity among Adults in Malawi, 2011-
458 2013. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene* 2018; **99**(3): 772-9.
- 459 12. Huang AT, Garcia-Carreras B, Hitchings MDT, et al. A systematic review of antibody
460 mediated immunity to coronaviruses: kinetics, correlates of protection, and association with
461 severity. *Nat Commun* 2020; **11**(1): 4704.
- 462 13. Anand SP, Prevost J, Nayrac M, et al. Longitudinal analysis of humoral immunity
463 against SARS-CoV-2 Spike in convalescent individuals up to eight months post-symptom
464 onset. *Cell Rep Med* 2021: 100290.
- 465 14. den Hartog G, Vos ERA, van den Hoogen LL, et al. Persistence of antibodies to SARS-
466 CoV-2 in relation to symptoms in a nationwide prospective study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*
467 2021.
- 468 15. Xie X, Liu Y, Liu J, et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 spike 69/70 deletion, E484K and
469 N501Y variants by BNT162b2 vaccine-elicited sera. *Nature Medicine* 2021; **27**(4): 620-1.
- 470 16. Wibmer CK, Ayres F, Hermanus T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization
471 by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. *Nature Medicine* 2021; **27**(4): 622-5.
- 472 17. Supasa P, Zhou D, Dejnirattisai W, et al. Reduced neutralization of SARS-CoV-2
473 B.1.1.7 variant by convalescent and vaccine sera. *Cell* 2021; **184**(8): 2201-11.e7.
- 474 18. Cele S, Gazy I, Jackson L, et al. Escape of SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 from neutralization by
475 convalescent plasma. *Nature* 2021; **593**(7857): 142-6.

19. Moyo-Gwete T, Madzivhandila M, Makhado Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 (B.1.351) elicits cross-reactive neutralizing antibodies. *bioRxiv* 2021: 2021.03.06.434193.
20. Dupont L, Snell LB, Graham C, et al. Antibody longevity and cross-neutralizing activity following SARS-CoV-2 wave 1 and B.1.1.7 infections. *medRxiv* 2021: 2021.06.07.21258351.
21. Masina L. Judge in Malawi Blocks Government Lockdown, at Least Temporarily. 2020. <https://www.voanews.com/covid-19-pandemic/judge-malawi-blocks-government-lockdown-least-temporarily>.
22. Star R. Uhuru unlikely to lift curfew, lockdown as Covid-19 cases rise. 2020. <https://www.the-star.co.ke/news/2020-06-05-uhuru-unlikely-to-lift-curfew-lockdown-as-covid-19-cases-rise/>.
23. Government SA. President Ramaphosa announces a nationwide lockdown. 2020-03-23 2020. <https://www.sanews.gov.za/south-africa/president-ramaphosa-announces-nationwide-lockdown>.
24. Mavhunga C. Zimbabwe Begins Lockdown to Fight COVID19. 2020. <https://www.voanews.com/science-health/coronavirus-outbreak/zimbabwe-begins-lockdown-fight-covid19>.
25. Kondowe R. Why a country with no coronavirus cases has declared a national disaster and shut schools. 2020. <https://qz.com/africa/1824465/coronavirus-malawi-with-no-cases-declares-national-disaster/>.
26. Masina L. Malawi President Announces Plans to Reopen Schools in September. 2020. <https://www.voanews.com/covid-19-pandemic/malawi-president-announces-plans-reopen-schools-september>.
27. Cocks T. Malawi to lift Covid-19 international air travel restrictions from September. 2020. <https://www.businesslive.co.za/bd/world/africa/2020-08-27-malawi-to-lift-covid-19-international-air-travel-restrictions-from-september/>.
28. Rusakaniko S, Sibanda EN, Mduluza T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Serological testing in frontline health workers in Zimbabwe. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2021; **15**(3): e0009254.
29. M'Baya B, Jumbe V, Samuel V, M'Bwana R, Mangani C. Seroprevalence and trends in transfusion transmissible infections among voluntary non-remunerated blood donors at the Malawi Blood Transfusion Service-a time trend study. *Malawi medical journal : the journal of Medical Association of Malawi* 2019; **31**(2): 118-25.

30. NSO. Malawi Population and Housing Census. Zomba: National Statistical Office, Malawi, 2018.
31. GeurtsvanKessel CH, Okba NMA, Igloi Z, et al. An evaluation of COVID-19 serological assays informs future diagnostics and exposure assessment. *Nature Communications* 2020; **11**(1): 3436.
32. Wantai. WANTAI SARS-CoV-2 Ab ELISA 2021. <https://www.fda.gov/media/140929/download>.
33. Wantai. WANTAI SARS-CoV-2 Ab ELISA. 2021. <https://www.bioscience.co.uk/resources/sars-cov-2-ab-elisa-ifu.pdf>.
34. Henrion MYR. bootComb—an R package to derive confidence intervals for combinations of independent parameter estimates. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2021.
35. Talebitooti R, Shojaeefard MH, Yarmohammadisatri S. Shape design optimization of cylindrical tank using b-spline curves. *Computers & Fluids* 2015; **109**: 100-12.
36. Wilkinson E, Giovanetti M, Tegally H, et al. A year of genomic surveillance reveals how the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic unfolded in Africa. *medRxiv* 2021: 2021.05.12.21257080.
37. Sykes W, Mhlanga L, Swanevelder R, et al. Prevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies among blood donors in Northern Cape, KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape, and Free State provinces of South Africa in January 2021. *Res Sq* 2021: rs.3.rs-233375.
38. Masina L. 2021. <https://www.voanews.com/covid-19-pandemic/malawi-mandates-quarantine-returnees-south-africa>.
39. Government M. COVID-19 - MOH MALAWI. 2021. <https://covid19.health.gov.mw/>.
40. Ngwira A, Kumwenda F, Munthali ECS, Nkolokosa D. Spatial temporal distribution of COVID-19 risk during the early phase of the pandemic in Malawi. *PeerJ* 2021; **9**: e11003.
41. Abu-Raddad LJ, Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, et al. Protection afforded by the BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccines in fully vaccinated cohorts with and without prior infection. *medRxiv* 2021: 2021.07.25.21261093.
42. Havervall S, Marking U, Greilert-Norin N, et al. Antibody Responses After a Single Dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 Vaccine in Healthcare Workers Previously Infected with SARS-CoV-2. *medRxiv* 2021: 2021.05.08.21256866.

43. Wei J, Stoesser N, Matthews PC, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in 45,965 adults from the general population of the United Kingdom. *Nature Microbiology* 2021.
44. Manisty C, Otter AD, Treibel TA, et al. Antibody response to first BNT162b2 dose in previously SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals. *The Lancet* 2021; **397**(10279): 1057-8.

Tables

Table 1. Participant Demographics and site characteristics

	Balaka	Blantyre	Lilongwe	Mzuzu	Total
Region	Eastern	Southern	Central	Northern	--
Rural/urban	Rural	Urban	Urban	Urban	--
Pop density (persons/km²)[†]	205	3334	2455	1516	--
Sampling frame	All locations	Static	Static	Static	--
Gender, % male (N)	74.2% (616)	78.1% (771)	64.1% (606)	84.1% (692)	74.9% (2685)
Age, median (IQR)	20.9 (20.0-25.9)	26.9 (21.9-33.4)	29.8 (22.8-38.2)	25.8 (21.2-33.6)	25.7 (20.8-34.0)
Sample size	830	987	946	823	3586

[†]Source 2018 Malawi Population and Housing Census main report; IQR=interquartile range; Km=kilometre

Table 2. SARS-CoV-2 anti-RBD total antibody seroprevalence in blood donors by participant characteristics and location

This is a multivariable logistic regression model with sex, age group, location and time as predictors. B-splines were used to model the effect of time given the non-linear trend in seroprevalence over time.

Variable	Category	Sample size	Seropositive (frequency)	Unadjusted Seroprevalence (%)	Adjusted Seroprevalence (%)	Estimate	SE	z value	p value
Intercept						-14.731	2.213	-6.658	P<0.001
Gender	Female	901	108	12.0	9.5	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Male	2685	361	13.4	11.0	-0.083	0.133	-0.625	0.532
Age	10 - 19 [§]	420	35	8.3	5.6	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
	20 - 29	1844	246	13.3	10.8	0.198	0.181	1.099	0.272
	30 - 39	798	112	14.0	11.6	0.215	0.201	1.067	0.286
	40 - 49	487	72	14.8	12.4	0.322	0.216	1.490	0.136
	50+	37	4	10.8	8.2	-0.577	1.050	-0.549	0.583
Location	Balaka	830	64	7.7	5.5	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
	Blantyre	987	160	16.2	14.6	1.005	0.177	5.684	<0.001*
	Lilongwe	946	111	11.7	9.8	0.704	0.187	3.765	<0.001*
	Mzuzu	823	134	16.3	14.6	1.187	0.183	6.496	<0.001*

[§] Recruitment limited to blood donors ≥16 years of age

* Significant based on p-value < 0.05

Table 3. Adjusted seroprevalence, stratified by month and site

Yr-month	Balaka % (95% CI)	Blantyre % (95% CI)	Lilongwe % (95% CI)	Mzuzu % (95% CI)	Total % (95% CI)
2020-01	0.0 (0.0, 4.7)	0.0 (0.0, 6.6)	0.0 (0.0, 6.7)	0.0 (0.0, 5.2)	0.0 (0.0, 2.4)
2020-02	0.0 (0.0, 9.5)	0.0 (0.0, 6.6)	0.0 (0.0, 7.9)	0.0 (0.0, 6.4)	0.0 (0.0, 2.8)
2020-03	0.0 (0.0, 6.8)	1.5 (0.0, 11.1)	0.0 (0.0, 6.7)	0.0 (0.0, 7.1)	0.0 (0.0, 2.8)
2020-04	0.0 (0.0, 7.0)	0.0 (0.0, 7.1)	0.0 (0.0, 6.8)	0.0 (0.0, 8.6)	0.0 (0.0, 2.8)
2020-05	0.0 (0.0, 9.5)	0.0 (0.0, 6.1)	0.2 (0.0, 12.5)	1.3 (0.0, 10.4)	0.0 (0.0, 3.9)
2020-06	0.0 (0.0, 6.2)	0.0 (0.0, 9.6)	0.0 (0.0, 5.4)	0.0 (0.0, 8.8)	0.0 (0.0, 2.7)
2020-07	0.0 (0.0, 6.2)	15.9 (2.9, 31.2)	7.3 (0.0, 16.4)	6.6 (0.0, 17.6)	6.2 (0.5, 11.4)
2020-08	0.0 (0.0, 6.7)	14.7 (3.3, 27.6)	13.1 (2.2, 25.6)	13.7 (0.0, 40.9)	8.4 (1.7, 14.4)
2020-09	0.0 (0.0, 4.7)	14.6 (4.8, 25.0)	7.2 (0.1, 14.5)	18.2 (6.6, 31.3)	9.4 (3.0, 14.9)
2020-10	0.0 (0.0, 10.8)	16.7 (7.0, 26.8)	8.1 (0.2, 16.5)	24.8 (14.8, 35.2)	15.7 (8.9, 22.0)
2020-11	0.6 (0.0, 8.7)	15.6 (6.0, 25.9)	13.9 (5.4, 22.6)	6.8 (0.0, 14.7)	10.4 (4.0, 15.8)
2020-12	1.9 (0.0, 12.0)	11.0 (2.5, 19.8)	6.0 (0.0, 16.5)	13.4 (3.1, 25.0)	9.1 (2.7, 14.9)
2021-01	23.9 (9.4, 40.2)	23.2 (13.2, 33.7)	18.8 (8.8, 29.7)	34.7 (19.9, 50.7)	24.0 (16.7, 31.2)
2021-02	37.5 (26.7, 49.0)	54.8 (42.4, 67.5)	54.5 (39.8, 70.4)	57.5 (44.4, 72.1)	49.7 (42.3, 58.8)

Figure 1. Overall SARS-CoV-2 adjusted seroprevalence over time superimposed on Malawi national PCR-confirmed COVID-19 cases. Black dots (together with 95% CI) are estimated seroprevalence at each timepoint (month), adjusted for assay sensitivity and specificity. The histograms represent confirmed national COVID-19 cases per month, including asymptomatic and symptomatic cases. Case frequency reported above histograms (June 2020 and February 2021) indicates reported cases at the peak of 1st and 2nd wave, respectively. Vertical dotted line defines transition from 2020 to 2021. SARS-CoV-2 = Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, COVID-19 = Coronavirus disease 2019, Ab+ = Positive for detection of anti- SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD) antibody.

Figure 2. Flexible seroprevalence models. The model fits provide a smoothed estimate of the seroprevalence over time (January 2020 to February 2021) for each location: Blantyre, Lilongwe, Balaka, and Mzuzu. The orange line is the line of best fit for the empirical data, using a smooth generalised additive model, along with light-orange shading indicating 95% CI. Black dots (together with 95% CI) are estimated seroprevalence at each timepoint (month), adjusted for assay sensitivity and specificity. Grey dots (a top and bottom of figures) show the individual-level data, which are either positive (1) or negative (0) for detection of anti-SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD) antibody.

Figure 3. Seroprevalence bubble plot for overall seroprevalence at three snapshots in time. The size of the bubble is proportional to the prevalence of samples positive for anti-SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding domain (RBD) antibody within each location.

Figure 4. SARS-CoV-2 neutralisation of D614G WT and Beta variant using sera from the first and second epidemic waves. Anti-RBD seropositive sera from the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic Wave 1 and 2 were subjected to a SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus neutralisation assay. a) Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 antibody neutralisation potency against D614G WT and Beta variant. b) Magnitude of neutralisation potency of Wave 1 (n=9) and Wave 2 (n=17) sera against D614G WT and Beta variant. Sera with a ratio of >1 are classified as Beta variant-induced antibodies, <1 as D614G WT-induced antibodies and 1 as undefined. c) Proportion of Wave 1 and Wave 2 sera with relatively higher neutralisation potency against D614G WT and Beta variant. The threshold of detection for the neutralization assay is ID₅₀>20. RBD, Receptor Binding Domain.