Running title: The p -factor in childhood

The General Psychopathology Factor from Early to Middle Childhood: Longitudinal Genetic and Risk Analyses

Reut Avinun, Ariel Knafo-Noam, and Salomon Israel

Department of Psychology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

Abstract

Accumulating research suggests the structure of psychopathology is best represented by continuous higher-order dimensions, including a general dimension, *p*-factor, and more specific dimensions, e.g., residualized externalizing and internalizing factors. Here, we aimed to 1) replicate *p* in early childhood; 2) externally validate the factors with key constructs of psychological functioning; 3) examine stability and change of genetic and environmental influences on the psychopathology factors from early- to mid-childhood; 4) examine the factors' predictive utility; and 5) test whether the factors can be predicted by early life measures (e.g., neonatal complications). The Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins from age 3 to 9 was used for the analyses. Mothers reported on developmental problems, pregnancy and neonatal conditions, and filled in questionnaires on each twin's externalizing and internalizing symptoms. Cognitive ability was assessed in the lab at age 6.5 and personality traits, self-esteem, and life satisfaction were self-reported by the twins at ages 11-13. A bifactor model that included *p* and externalizing and internalizing factors fit the data best and associations between *p*, cognitive ability, and personality were replicated. Longitudinal twin analyses indicated that *p* is highly heritable (64-73%) with a substantial proportion of the genetic influences stable from age 3. The residualized internalizing and externalizing factors were also highly heritable. Higher *p* predicted developmental problems at age 8-9 and lower self-esteem at age 11. Early life measures were not strongly associated with psychopathology. Our results show that *p* is discernible in early childhood, highly heritable, and prospectively associated with negative outcomes.

25 **Keywords:** Psychopathology; childhood; general psychopathology factor; *p*-factor;
 26 heritability; longitudinal.

27

28 **General Scientific Summary**

29 The general psychopathology factor is discernible in early childhood, highly heritable,
 30 with genetic influences contributing to both stability and change, and prospectively
 31 associated with developmental problems and lower self-esteem. Early life measures,
 32 such as birth complications or hospitalizations during the first year of life, were not
 33 strong predictors of the general psychopathology factor or the residualized
 34 externalizing and internalizing factors.

35

36

37 Comorbidity of psychopathology is prevalent. About 40% of individuals with one
38 class of disorders (e.g., mood, anxiety, substance abuse) are likely to be diagnosed
39 with another (Merikangas et al., 2010; Newman, Moffitt, Caspi, & Silva, 1998). As a
40 result of this co-occurrence, instead of discrete mental disorders, researchers have
41 begun to conceptualize the structure of psychopathology as consisting of broad
42 transdiagnostic dimensions, including externalizing (e.g., hyperactivity and antisocial
43 behavior), internalizing (e.g., depression and anxiety), and thought disorders
44 (schizophrenia and obsessive compulsive disorder). Accumulating evidence has
45 suggested that in addition to specific factors, such as externalizing and internalizing, a
46 general psychopathology factor, often called *p* (Caspi et al., 2014), is also needed to
47 represent the structure of psychopathology (Lahey et al., 2012). The *p*-factor captures
48 shared variation across most, if not all, types of psychopathology and is thought to
49 represent a general liability for mental disorders, as well as their persistence and
50 severity (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018).

51 The *p*-factor has been identified in various age groups, from preschoolers to
52 adolescents and adults; in clinical and general population samples; in different
53 countries; and using different methods and measures (e.g., Caspi et al., 2014; Gomez,
54 Stavropoulos, Vance, & Griffiths, 2019; Hyland et al., 2018; Laceulle, Chung,
55 Vollebergh, & Ormel, 2020; Olino, Dougherty, Bufferd, Carlson, & Klein, 2014;
56 Patalay et al., 2015; Snyder, Young, & Hankin, 2017). To characterize and validate
57 the *p*-factor, researchers have examined its association with broadly informative
58 indicators of psychological functioning such as personality traits and cognitive ability.
59 In early and middle adulthood, the *p*-factor has been found to correlate positively with
60 neuroticism and negatively with agreeableness and conscientiousness (e.g., Avinun,
61 Romer, & Israel, 2020; Caspi et al., 2014; Etkin, Mezquita, López-Fernández, Ortet,

62 & Ibáñez, 2020). Correlations between the *p*-factor and measures relating to cognitive
63 ability and executive function have been found to be negative in middle childhood
64 (Martel et al., 2017), early-adolescence (Patalay et al., 2015), and adulthood (Caspi et
65 al., 2014).

66 Further supporting the *p*-factor's reliability are genetic studies showing that the
67 *p*-factor is genetically influenced, i.e., not only explained by noise and measurement
68 error, and that these genetic influences are relatively stable across time (Allegrini et
69 al., 2020; Riglin et al., 2019; Selzam, Coleman, Caspi, Moffitt, & Plomin, 2018). A
70 longitudinal twin analysis from childhood (age 7 years) to adolescence (age 16 years),
71 has shown that the *p*-factor is substantially heritable (50-60%) across ages and that the
72 genetic component is relatively stable, so that the genetic influence at age 7 accounts
73 for most of the genetic variance in later ages (Allegrini et al., 2020). Notably, to our
74 knowledge, the latter study is the only study to date that employed a longitudinal twin
75 design to examine change and stability in the genetic and environmental effects on the
76 *p*-factor, and no study has examined the specific/residualized factors (e.g.,
77 externalizing and internalizing). Additional developmental research is therefore
78 warranted, especially during early life when executive functions, which are
79 significantly linked to externalizing and internalizing symptoms (Hughes & Ensor,
80 2011), rapidly develop.

81 The *p*-factor has also been shown to predict negative outcomes (e.g., Blanco et
82 al., 2019; Lahey et al., 2015). For example, estimates of the *p*-factor in middle
83 childhood and early adolescence predict psychiatric diagnoses (anxiety, depression,
84 and alcohol and drug use disorders), use of anxiolytics and antidepressants, school
85 failure, and court convictions in adolescence (Pettersson, Lahey, Larsson, &
86 Lichtenstein, 2018). Taken together, the above findings support the reliability and

87 validity of the *p*-factor as a common variance that captures an inherent and general
88 risk for psychopathology.

89 Although the shared variance across mental disorders is a robust and replicable
90 finding, the mechanisms that underlie this general risk are less clear and longitudinal
91 studies are scarce. While various candidates likely play a role in the etiology of the *p*-
92 factor, of special interest perhaps, are those that are present at or around birth, as
93 previous studies suggest that the *p*-factor can be found early in development, in
94 children as young as 4 years old (Morales et al., 2021). For example, the link between
95 the *p*-factor in adulthood and childhood socioeconomic status (SES) has been
96 examined, as studies have shown that children raised in families with low SES show
97 increased risk for psychopathology (Peverill et al., 2020), particularly externalizing
98 behaviors. Interestingly, at least one study has found the correlation between the *p*-
99 factor and childhood SES to be weak (Caspi et al., 2014).

100 Pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal complications have previously been
101 identified as increasing risk for poorer mental health and/or poorer cognitive function
102 (De Mola, De França, de Avila Quevedo, & Horta, 2014; Ehrenstein et al., 2009;
103 Hamlyn, Duhig, McGrath, & Scott, 2013; Van Lieshout & Voruganti, 2008). For
104 example, Chiorean and colleagues (2020) found that children admitted to
105 neonatal/special care units at birth were more likely to develop a psychiatric disorder
106 in adolescence. Similarly, preterm birth has been associated with increased risk of
107 psychiatric hospitalizations in young adulthood (Nosarti et al., 2012), and
108 pregnancy/birth complications (e.g., prenatal bleeding, hypertension and diabetes,
109 breech birth, and instrument delivery) have been associated with externalizing
110 problems at age 11 (Liu, Raine, Wuerker, Venables, & Mednick, 2009). Because

these factors are not necessarily specific to any particular psychopathology, they may serve as transdiagnostic risk factors.

In the current study, we test the replicability of the general psychopathology factor finding from early to middle childhood (e.g., Hankin et al., 2017; Olino et al., 2014), on a longitudinal sample of twins followed from age 3 to age 9. Next, we evaluate the external validity of p and the specific/residualized externalizing and internalizing factors by testing for associations with personality traits and cognitive ability, and examine if/how these associations change during development. Following these analyses, we conduct a genetically informed longitudinal analysis from early to middle childhood to examine stability and change of the genetic and environmental influences on the psychopathology factors. This analysis can provide further support for the reliability of the factors by showing their stability across time. We next tested the predictive value of the three factors in the context of developmental problems at age 8-9 (whether the child suffers from e.g., stammering, speech delay, and emotional, social, or communication problems), self-esteem at age 11, and life satisfaction at age 13. Finally, we conducted exploratory analyses to examine whether measures that can be assessed early in the child's life, such as SES at age 3 and pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal complications, can predict the psychopathology factors. We hypothesized that risk measures, such as low SES, low birth weight and complications of pregnancy (e.g., rubella, RH mismatch, bleeding during pregnancy or miscarriage risk, and preeclampsia), will be associated with higher scores of the psychopathology factors.

Methods

Participants

Families in this study were participants in the Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins (LIST), a study of social development, in which parents of all Hebrew-speaking families of twins born in Israel during 2004-2005 were invited to participate (Avinun & Knafo, 2013; Vertsberger, Abramson, & Knafo-Noam, 2019). At each wave the experimental protocol was approved by the ethics committee of either the university or the local hospital, and informed consent was obtained from all participating parents. Mothers were asked to complete questionnaires regarding their pregnancy (only age 3 and 5), demographic details, and children's development, when the twins were 3, 5, 6.5, and 8-9 years old. Data for this study was available for 2,770 children at age 3 ($M=3.16$ years, $SD=.26$; 50.3% males; 582 MZ [monozygotic], 2,164 DZ [dizygotic], and 24 of unknown zygosity), 1,908 at age 5 ($M=5.13$ years, $SD=.14$; 51% males; 370 MZ, 1,518 DZ, and 20 of unknown zygosity), 1,020 at age 6.5 ($M=6.57$ years, $SD=.30$; 50% males; 285 MZ, 729 DZ, and 6 of unknown zygosity), and 973 at age 8-9 ($M=9.01$ years, $SD=.52$; 48.7% males; 260 MZ, 699 DZ, and 14 of unknown zygosity). Due to design and budgetary constraints, at ages 6.5 and 8-9 only a small number of opposite-sex DZ twins and only families with which it was possible to schedule an in-person experiment were recruited. Twins' zygosity was determined based on either a DNA analysis or a parental questionnaire of physical similarity, which has been shown to be in 95% agreement with DNA information (Goldsmith, 1991).

About 25% of the age 3 families did not participate in later waves, a comparison of this group of families to families that did participate in at least one more wave is shown in Supporting Table 1. There were no significant differences in the means of SES or mother reports of children's psychopathology (the assessment of these measures is detailed below. Comparisons were made in Mplus version 7, using the

type=complex with the 'cluster' option, to account for the dependent structure of the data).

Measures

Psychopathology

Similar to a previous study in childhood that relied on symptom-level, instead of disorder-level, scores (Patalay et al., 2015), items from 2 different questionnaires were used for the assessment of psychopathology. Internalizing symptoms were assessed in the four waves by mothers' ratings of 5 items from the emotional symptoms subscale of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; Goodman, 1997) and 5 items from the negative emotionality subscale of the Emotionality, Activity and Sociability Temperament Survey (Buss & Plomin, 1984). Ratings were given on a 3-point scale ranging from 0 (not true/rare) to 2 (very true/often) or on a 5-point scale ranging from 1 (does not characterize at all) to 5 (highly characterizes), respectively. Externalizing symptoms were assessed in the four waves by mothers' ratings of 10 items from the SDQ (Goodman, 1997). The 10 items were taken from the hyperactivity subscale (5 items) and the conduct problems subscale (5 items).

Socioeconomic status

SES was calculated from mother reports when children were 3 based on the number of rooms/number of residents' ratio, income (reported on a scale from 1-considerably below average to 5-considerably above average, with 3 representing the national average), and mother's years of education. These variables were standardized and then averaged (e.g., Avinun & Knafo-Noam, 2017).

Pregnancy and delivery information

When the twins were 3 and 5, mothers provided details regarding complications during pregnancy and/or delivery (e.g., rubella, RH mismatch, bleeding during pregnancy or miscarriage risk, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, high risk pregnancy/bed rest, hospitalizations, umbilical cord prolapse, breech birth, assisted delivery, and placental abruption) and neonatal problems of each twin (neonatal hepatitis, neonatal diabetes, and being in an incubator). Complications during pregnancy/delivery and neonatal problems were summary scores of all relevant yes/no questions. Additional information that we included in our analyses: total weeks of pregnancy, Apgar scores of each twin 1- and 5-minutes after birth, whether each twin was hospitalized in the first year of life or afterwards, and breastfeeding (whether they breastfed each twin). Notably, maternal recall 4 to 6 years later regarding perinatal events has been found to be 89% accurate in comparison with hospital records (Githens, Glass, Sloan, & Entman, 1993).

Developmental problems

At all ages (i.e., 3, 5, 6.5, and 8-9) mothers were asked whether either twin suffered from developmental problems (e.g., stammering, speech delay, emotional, social, or communication problems, hypotonia or hypertonia, difficulty with gross/fine motor skills, behavior problems, hearing/vision impairment, attention/hyperactivity problems, eating problems, and wetting/toilet training problems). This was coded as 0 or 1.

Cognitive ability

The Block Design (visual processing) and the Vocabulary (crystallized intelligence) subsets of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-IV (WISC-IV; Lerner & Miller, 1978) were used to assess cognitive ability. The Block design subset includes 14 items and participants are asked to assemble blocks under a time limit, based on a pattern that is shown by the examiner. The Block design is scored based on accuracy and time. The vocabulary subset includes 37 items and participants are asked to explain a word using their own words. Scores reflect the accuracy of their definition. A mean of the two subsets' scores was used as a cognitive ability score. Both subsets were administered at the lab or at the children's homes when the twins were 6.5 years old.

Personality

At age 11 the twins were asked to fill in the Big Five Inventory (BFI) questionnaire (John, Donahue, & Kentle, 1991) either online or by hand. The BFI includes 44 items (e.g., "I am sometimes rude to others") used to assess individual differences along the five-factor model of personality: neuroticism, extraversion, openness, conscientiousness, and agreeableness. Each scale was measured using 8-9 items, and an average requiring at least 5 non-missing items was calculated for each trait. Data was available for 1,349 children.

Self-Esteem

At age 11 the twins were asked to fill in the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (Rosenberg, 1965) either online or by hand. The 10 items of the questionnaire (e.g., "I wish I could have more respect for myself") were summed to create a self-esteem score. Data was available for 1,106 children.

235

236 *Life satisfaction*

237 At age 13 the twins were asked to fill in 4 items ("my life is going well", "my life is
238 just right", "I have a good life", and " I have what I want in life") taken from the
239 Student's Life Satisfaction Scale (Huebner, 1991). A mean of the 4 items (with a
240 minimum of 3 items) was used as a life satisfaction score. Data was available for 703
241 children.

242

243 **Statistical analyses**

244 *Replication of the p-factor/Verifying the bifactor model*

245 The same 20 items representing internalizing and externalizing symptoms were used
246 for the factor analyses at each age, to allow developmental analyses and unambiguous
247 comparisons across waves (as previously done, e.g., Murray, Eisner, & Ribeaud,
248 2016). The items were defined as categorical, which is needed in Mplus to indicate
249 that they should be treated as ordinal variables in the model. As previously done
250 (Avinun et al., 2020; Caspi et al., 2014; Romer et al., 2018), we used confirmatory
251 factor analysis to fit three structural models: 1) A bifactor/hierarchical model, which
252 consists of a *p*-factor that loads on all items, and two additional factors that are
253 allowed to correlate, each loading separately on either internalizing or externalizing
254 symptom items; 2) A one factor model, which consists of a factor that loads on all
255 items; and 3) A correlated factor model, which consists of only two factors, each
256 loading separately on either internalizing or externalizing symptom items. All
257 confirmatory factor analyses were performed in Mplus version 7 (Muthén & Muthén,
258 2007) using the weighted least squares means and variance adjusted (WLSMV)
259 algorithm, which is appropriate for ordinal data, and type=complex with the 'cluster'

option, to account for the structure of the data (i.e., twins nested within families). This was done for each age (i.e., 3, 5, 6.5, and 8-9) separately.

We assessed how well each of the three models (one factor, correlated factors, and bifactor model) fit the data using the comparative fit index (CFI), the Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), and the root-mean square error of approximation (RMSEA). CFI and TLI closer to 1 and RMSEA closer to 0 indicate good fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999).

Externally validating the psychopathology factors

To test the external validity of the psychopathology factors, we examined the association between the three factor scores and previously identified correlates of psychological functioning: sex, personality traits and cognitive ability. All analyses were done in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020). The package 'geepack' (Halekoh, Højsgaard, & Yan, 2006) was used to conduct linear regression analyses with generalized estimating equations (GEE) with an "exchangeable" correlation structure, due to the cluster structure of the data (i.e., families). The factors were entered as independent variables in separate analyses, and either personality traits (age 11) or cognitive ability (age 6.5) were entered as the dependent variables. In the analyses of sex, sex was entered as the independent variable. All models were tested separately.

Longitudinal twin analysis/Cholesky decomposition model

To test stability and change of the genetic and environmental influences on the psychopathology factors from age 3 to age 8-9 a longitudinal twin analysis/Cholesky decomposition model was conducted. Analyses were done in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020) with the "openMx" (Neale et al., 2016) and "umx" (Bates, Neale, & Maes, 2016) packages and in the model-fitting program Mx version 1.70a (Neale,

Boker, Xie, & Maes, 2003). Twin studies take advantage of the genetic difference between MZ twins, who share 100% of their genes, and DZ twins, who share on average 50% of their genes. The twin design assumes that if MZ twins are more similar than DZ twins then the individual differences in the examined phenotype are genetically influenced. These genetic influences can be represented by additive (A) and non-additive/dominant (D) genetic effects. Similarity beyond these genetic influences is attributed to the environment the twins share (shared or common environmental effects, C), and any differences between the twins are ascribed to the non-shared environment, which also includes measurement error (E). In a model that only includes reared-together twins, it is not possible to estimate D and C simultaneously. Therefore, when the presence of the D component is suggested (i.e., the MZ correlation is more than twice as large than the DZ correlation), an ADE model needs to be estimated instead of an ACE model. When DZ correlations are negative, a model that also includes a contrast effect has been suggested (Saudino, Cherny, & Plomin, 2000), as will be explained below.

In a longitudinal genetic model, a heritability component named A1 is created that estimates the variance explained by genetic influences on the first *p*-factor (age 3). The contribution of A1 to the *p*-factors at later ages (i.e., 5, 6.5, and 8-9) is also estimated, providing an indication of the stability of genetic influences across early development. Similarly, a heritability component named A2 is created that assesses the variance explained by genetic influences that are not accounted for by A1 and that affect the second (i.e., age 5 *p*-factor) and successive waves (i.e., ages 6.5 and 8-9). This is done for each age and for each of the model's components (e.g., A, C, and E). Notably, when certain components are estimated to be very low, constrained models, in which these components are equated to zero, are fitted (e.g., an AE or a DE model).

310 A best fitting model can be chosen based on the lowest Akaike information criterion
311 (AIC).

312

313 *The psychopathology factors as predictors and Predicting the psychopathology*
314 *factors*

315 Associations between the psychopathology factors and related measures were also
316 performed in R in GEE models as explained above in the *Validating the p-factor*
317 section (when developmental problems at age 8-9 were tested as the dependent
318 variable, a logistic regression with GEE was performed). Here, sex (coded as
319 1=males, 2=female), age, and SES at age 3 were used as covariates.

320

321 **Results**

322 Descriptive statistics are available in Supporting Table 2.

323

324 *Replication of the p-factor/Verifying the bifactor model*

325 At all four ages, the structural model that fit the data best and showed adequate to
326 good fit was the bifactor model; this was evidenced by the highest CFI and TLI scores
327 and the lowest RMSEA value among the three models (Supporting Table 3). Factor
328 scores from the bifactor model at each age were extracted and used in following
329 analyses. The items and their standardized loadings on each factor are presented in
330 Supporting Table 3. The strength of the loading on each item was relatively consistent
331 between early and middle childhood, so that items with higher loadings on the *p-*
332 factor at age 3 had higher loadings in all 4 waves (the correlations between the factor
333 loadings at each age ranged between .97 and .98).

We examined longitudinal invariance of the p -factor by constraining the p -factor loadings to equal across ages and comparing this nested model to the unconstrained model. As in the original models, all means were fixed at 0 and the variances were fixed at 1, for model identification and standardization of the factors. To compare the models, we employed the DIFFTEST option in Mplus, which enables a chi-square difference test analysis when the WLSMV estimators is used. The difference test was significant (χ^2 diff (60)=138.66, $p<.0001$), however, the RMSEA (.018), CFI (.914), and TLI (.909) in the unconstrained model were similar to the RMSEA (.017), CFI (.919), and TLI (.915) of the nested model. Therefore, and taking into consideration that chi-square tests are considered overly conservative in large sample sizes, it can be concluded that the p -factor is relatively stable during childhood. This is also supported by the correlations between the p , externalizing, and internalizing factor scores across ages (shown in Figure 1A. For purposes of the figure, we limited the sample to one random twin in each family to avoid dependency and allow a simple presentation). The correlations between the p -factor at different ages were consistently moderate to high ($r=.45-.68$). Correlations between the internalizing factors ($r=.37-.58$) and between the externalizing factors ($r=.34-.67$) were of similar magnitude.

Externally validating the psychopathology factors

Patterns of association were examined across childhood between the psychopathology factors (p , externalizing, and internalizing), the big five personality traits (self-reports), cognitive ability (lab assessment), and sex. The psychopathology factors at each age were analyzed separately. Results are presented in Table 1 and Supporting Table 4. As expected, children with higher p -factor scores, tended to rate themselves

as less agreeable, less conscientious, and more neurotic. Children with higher internalizing factor scores rated themselves as less extraverted and more neurotic, while children with higher externalizing factor scores rated themselves as more extraverted and less conscientious.

Across ages 3 to 8-9, boys had higher p -factor scores than girls. Boys were also characterized by higher externalizing factor scores than girls, while girls tended to score higher on the internalizing factor, although this latter association was weak and inconsistent across ages. Cognitive ability, as assessed at age 6.5, showed the strongest negative association with the externalizing factor (R^2 between .007 and .058), and only weak and inconsistent associations with p and the internalizing factor (R^2 between 0 and .005).

Longitudinal twin analysis/Cholesky decomposition model

Twin correlations for the psychopathology factors from early to middle childhood are presented in Figure 1B. MZ twin correlations were consistently higher than DZ twin correlations. Notably, in the case of the specific/residualized externalizing factor, DZ correlations were negative and weak, whereas MZ correlations were consistently moderate and ranged between .43 to .49. Sensitivity analyses showed that regressing the psychopathology factors on sex or excluding the DZ-opposite sex twins did not lead to meaningful changes in the correlations between MZ and DZ twins (Supporting Table 5).

For the p -factor the AE model fit the data best (Supporting Table 6) and is presented in Figure 2A (estimates with 95% confidence intervals are presented in Supporting Table 7A; because the difference in fit was small, an ADE model is also presented in Supporting Table 7B). The analysis indicated that the genetic influences

on the p -factor are substantial and relatively stable in size (total heritability at each age ranged from .64 to .73), and that although new genetic influences arise at each age, a major proportion of the genetic influence is already present at age 3, and persists throughout childhood.

As mentioned above, for the externalizing factor, DZ correlations were negative. Negative DZ twin correlations have been observed in previous studies (e.g., Goodman & Stevenson, 1989; Plomin et al., 1993), and specifically for hyperactivity (Thapar, Holmes, Poulton, & Harrington, 1999). Such correlations are thought to arise due to a contrast effect, which, in the case of negative DZ correlations, likely represents a bias in parent ratings (Saudino et al., 2000). In genetically influenced traits, DZ twins are expected to be more different than MZ twins. A contrast effect describes a situation in which parents exaggerate the existing difference between DZ twins. In addition to negative DZ correlations, a contrast effect is suggested when the DZs variance is greater than the MZs variance (Saudino et al., 2000). In our sample, the externalizing factor variance was consistently greater in DZs compared to MZs, although the differences were only significant in ages 3 and 5 (Supporting Table 8).

Based on the above, we opted to test a model for the externalizing factor that also accounts for a contrast effect (i.e., a path at each age from each twin's phenotype to the phenotype of their co-twin. This path indicates that each twin's phenotype is also a function of their co-twin's phenotype) as shown in Saudino et al., (2000). Indeed, an ACE model with a contrast effect showed the best fit (Supporting Table 6). This model (without the contrast effect for simplicity of presentation) is shown in Figure 2B (estimates and contrast effects with 95% confidence intervals are presented in Supporting Table 9; the second best fitting model, the ADE model, is presented in Supporting Table 9B). The contrast effect at each age ranged between -.26 and -.32,

suggesting the presence of parental bias. After accounting for this bias, the main portion of the variance across childhood was accounted for by genetic influences. The remaining variance tended to divide relatively evenly between the shared and non-shared environment. The genetic influences at age 3 continued to contribute to the variance across childhood. Notably, the shared environment confidence intervals were very large, suggesting the need to investigate these effects in a sample with greater statistical power.

For the internalizing factor, the DE model fit the data best (Supporting Table 6). However, a model with a dominant genetic effect (i.e., interaction effects), without an additive effect (i.e., main effect), is considered unlikely (Evans, 2020), and we therefore show the second best fitting model in Figure 2C, which is the ADE model (estimates with 95% confidence intervals are presented in Supporting Table 10A, and the DE model is presented in Supporting Table 10B). The ADE model for the internalizing factor suggested that about half (.41-.60) of the individual differences in internalizing symptoms from early to middle childhood are affected by genetic influences (whether additive or dominant). Most of these genetic effects were already present at age 3 or 5, suggesting that a large proportion of the genetic influences on internalizing symptoms is stable from early to middle childhood. The rest of the variance was accounted for by non-shared environmental effects and measurement error.

The psychopathology factors as predictors

The *p*-factor was the most consistent and reliable of the three psychopathology factors in predicting developmental problems at age 8-9 (odds ratios ranging between 1.42 and 1.84; see Figure 3 and additional statistics in Supporting Table 11A).

Interestingly, the p -factor retained its value as a predictor, as early as age 3, even when developmental problems from the same age as the p -factor were included in the model (odds ratios ranging between 1.36 and 1.60; Figure 3 and Supporting Table 11B).

The p -factor was also the best predictor of self-esteem at age 11 (R^2 ranging from .015 to .04), indicating that, on average, children with higher p -factor scores reported lower self-esteem at age 11. Interestingly, the externalizing factor was the best predictor of life satisfaction at age 13 (R^2 ranging from .007 to .014); children with higher externalizing symptoms tended to report poorer life satisfaction (Figure 3 and Supporting Table 11C).

Predicting the psychopathology factors

Exploratory analyses, examining possible early-life predictors of the psychopathology factors, are presented in Table 2 and Supporting Table 12, which includes additional information such as p -values corrected for multiple comparisons. Most predictors explained about 1% or less of the variance in the psychopathology factors. On average across waves, the summary score of neonatal problems, which consisted of neonatal hepatitis, neonatal diabetes, and having been in an incubator, explained the most p -factor variance at each age (R^2 from .004 to .013), such that greater neonatal problems were associated with higher p -factor scores across childhood.

For the internalizing factor, family SES at age 3 appeared to be the strongest predictor, explaining the most variance on average across waves (R^2 ranging from .005 to .017); children raised in families with higher SES tended to have fewer internalizing symptoms. For the externalizing factor, developmental problems at age 3 explained the most variance on average across waves (R^2 ranging from .006 to .01);

459 children with more developmental problems at age 3 tended to have more
460 externalizing symptoms across childhood.

461

462 Discussion

463 In the current study, we investigated the *p*-factor and the more specific/residualized
464 internalizing and externalizing factors from early to middle childhood. In general, we
465 found that 1) the *p*-factor is present in children as young as 3 years old; 2) there is
466 little to no effect of the shared-environment for *p* and the specific/residualized
467 internalizing factor, and all factors show strong and relatively stable genetic
468 influences; 3) early life measure, such as childhood SES and neonatal and pregnancy
469 risk, while at times significantly associated with the *p*-factor, do not explain more than
470 1% of the variance in any of the three psychopathology factors; and 4) the *p*-factor in
471 early childhood can help to predict developmental problems and self-esteem in ages
472 8-9 and 11, respectively.

473 Our analyses indicated that a bifactor model which includes a general
474 psychopathology factor, i.e., the *p*-factor, and two specific/residualized factors
475 (internalizing and externalizing), fit the data best across all four waves from early to
476 middle childhood. This supports and replicates previous findings of a transdiagnostic
477 factor in childhood (e.g., McElroy, Belsky, Carragher, Fearon, & Patalay, 2018;
478 Morales et al., 2021). However, the use of fit indices for determining the model that
479 best represents the structure of psychopathology has been criticized, as simulations
480 have shown that the bifactor model is found as the best fitting model even when
481 simulated data is created based on a correlated factors model (Greene et al., 2019).
482 We were therefore interested in externally validating the *p*-factor, by examining its
483 association with known correlates.

Indeed, as previous studies on different samples have indicated (Avinun et al., 2020; Caspi et al., 2014; Etkin et al., 2020), the p -factor is negatively associated with agreeableness and conscientiousness, and positively associated with neuroticism. It is noteworthy that in our study the p -factor was estimated based on mother reports, while personality traits were assessed by self-reports of the children, thus ruling out shared method (source) variance as accounting for this association. The externalizing factor (net of p) was negatively associated with conscientiousness and positively associated with extraversion. In contrast, the internalizing factor (net of p) was positively associated with neuroticism and negatively associated with extraversion. This association between the three psychopathology factors and profiles of Big Five traits has now been demonstrated across cohorts varying in age and culture, and further supports the notion of overlapping taxonomies between the basic structure of personality and psychopathology (Brandes, Herzhoff, Smack, & Tackett, 2019).

We found that the externalizing factor (net of p) was most strongly associated with cognitive ability, a finding that is inconsistent with previous studies in which the p -factor was more strongly associated with measures of executive functioning (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Martel et al., 2017). It is possible that the use of different measurements or the reliance on symptom-level (our study) rather than diagnosis-level measures for the factor analysis (symptom counts or diagnostic probabilistic bands; Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Martel et al., 2017) account for this inconsistency. Alternatively, if psychopathology is causal to cognitive impairment, it may be that disruptions to cognitive ability have yet to emerge in our young sample. Lastly, the items with the highest loadings on the externalizing factor related to inattention (e.g., easily distracted and cannot concentrate or finish tasks) which likely affected the children's performance during the cognitive ability tasks.

The predictive utility of the p -factor was demonstrated by its positive association with developmental problems at age 8-9 and negative association with self-esteem, as measured in age 11 and self-reported by the children. While the specific developmental pathways leading to the latter association remain to be elucidated, one possibility may relate to previous findings showing that neuroticism, depression, and self-esteem are affected by shared genetic influences (Neiss, Stevenson, Legrand, Iacono, & Sedikides, 2009), suggesting that they have a shared etiology. Alternatively, psychopathology may increase the risk of exposure to stressful experiences, such as being bullied (Arseneault, Bowes, & Shakoor, 2010), leading to poorer self-esteem. Future research aimed at identifying these pathways has implications for how to most effectively target interventions.

Our finding of model invariance, as suggested by fit statistics, together with moderate to high correlations between the p -factor across waves, and relatively consistent factor loadings, which showed little change during development from early to middle childhood, indicated that p is reliable and stable across childhood. Additional support for the reliability of the p -factor was obtained from our longitudinal genetic analysis, which indicated that the p -factor was substantially heritable and that the genetic effects on the p -factor at age 3 meaningfully contribute to the genetic influences on the p -factor in all ages. The only previous longitudinal genetic study of the p -factor included twins from age 7 to 16 and has also found that the p -factor is highly heritable and that genetic factors contribute to stability (Allegrini et al., 2020).

Our longitudinal genetic analyses showed that genetic effects accounted for a large portion of the variance in the internalizing (.41-.60) and externalizing (.51-.72) factors. For the internalizing factor, both additive and dominant genetic influences

(i.e., both main effects and interactions between genetic variants) were indicated, and there was no evidence for shared environment influences. The remaining variance was accounted for by the non-shared environment. For the externalizing factor a contrast effect was found, suggesting that parents tend to exaggerate the differences in externalizing symptoms between DZ twins. Thus, future studies should use observational methods or interviews to study the longitudinal genetic and environmental effects on the specific/residualized factors. Variance in the externalizing factor (net of p) not accounted for by genetic influences was evenly divided between the shared and non-shared environment. Importantly, the broad confidence intervals of the models for both specific/residualized factors, suggested that a larger sample with more statistical power may be needed.

Although the p -factor appears to represent a real shared general risk for psychopathology, its origins remain unclear. Here, we examined whether measures that can be assessed in early life, such as early childhood SES and pregnancy or neonatal complications, can predict the p -factor in childhood. This was guided by previous research suggesting that early childhood SES and fetal and early life programming may be linked to mental health (Lewis, Galbally, Gannon, & Symeonides, 2014; O'Donnell & Meaney, 2017; Peverill et al., 2020). All measures showed only weak associations, if at all, with the p -factor, explaining 1%, and usually less, of the factor variance. This was also true for the specific/residualized externalizing and internalizing factors. Similarly, developmental problems at age 3 did not explain more than 1% of the variance in the psychopathology factors. Taken together, these suggest that a cumulative risk factor score composed of many fetal and early life stressors may be a better approach for the prediction of the latent factors of psychopathology (Meehan et al., 2020).

559

560 *Strengths and Limitations*

561 To our knowledge, the current study is the first to provide an in-depth developmental
 562 and longitudinal genetic investigation of the specific/residualized externalizing and
 563 internalizing factors from the bifactor model and the first to examine the links
 564 between the general and specific/residualized factors of psychopathology and
 565 pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal measures. However, this study also has several
 566 limitations. First, the assessment of psychopathology was restricted to maternal
 567 reports and relied on a relatively limited number of items. While this is not
 568 exceptional (e.g., McElroy et al., 2018; Patalay et al., 2015), further research is
 569 needed to understand how these and the inclusion of only identical items across ages,
 570 affected the findings. Second, our sample consisted only of twins and therefore the
 571 generalizability of the associations between the psychopathology factors and other
 572 measures need to be replicated. Notably however, in the context of the associations
 573 with the pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal measures, the reliance on twins was
 574 advantageous, as twin pregnancies are associated with higher complications compared
 575 to singletons (Obiechina, Okolie, Eleje, Okechukwu, & Anemeje, 2011), allowing for
 576 greater variance. Third, our study was restricted to the developmental period of early
 577 to mid-childhood; research encompassing longer developmental periods is needed.

578

579 *Conclusions*

580 Our study supports accumulating research indicating a general factor of
 581 psychopathology, which represents transdiagnostic risk across mental disorders (Caspi
 582 & Moffitt, 2018), and shows that this general factor is highly heritable, discernible in
 583 early childhood, and stable from early to mid-childhood. Results also suggest that the

p-factor is not meaningfully predicted by pregnancy, obstetric, and neonatal events. Lastly, to our knowledge, this is the first in-depth genetically informed investigation of the specific/residualized factors of psychopathology in childhood. Findings indicated moderate to large genetic influences on both specific/residualized factors with a meaningful portion of these influences already present at age 3.

Acknowledgements

We thank the participating families for their cooperation and all the lab members of the Social Development lab throughout the years for data collection and coding. We would also like to thank Prof. Kimberly Saudino for her help with the contrast effect analyses. The Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins (LIST) was founded by grant No. 31/06 from the Israel Science Foundation. The work was further supported by grant No. 1670/13 from the Israel Science Foundation, starting grant No. 240994 from the European Research Council, and by a grant from The Science of Generosity Initiative, funded by the John Templeton Foundation to Ariel Knafo-Noam. RA is supported by a Lady Davis fellowship. The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest.

Correspondence

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Reut Avinun, PhD, Department of Psychology, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Mount Scopus, Jerusalem, 91905 Israel. E-mail: reut.avinun@mail.huji.ac.il

References

- Allegrini, A. G., Cheesman, R., Rimfeld, K., Selzam, S., Pingault, J. B., Eley, T. C., & Plomin, R. (2020). The p factor: genetic analyses support a general dimension of psychopathology in childhood and adolescence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 61(1), 30-39.
- Arseneault, L., Bowes, L., & Shakoor, S. (2010). Bullying victimization in youths and mental health problems:'much ado about nothing'? *Psychological Medicine*, 40(5), 717.
- Avinun, R., & Knafo-Noam, A. (2017). Parental brain-derived neurotrophic factor genotype, child prosociality, and their interaction as predictors of parents' warmth. *Brain and Behavior*, 7(5).
- Avinun, R., & Knafo, A. (2013). The Longitudinal Israeli Study of Twins (LIST)—An Integrative View of Social Development. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 16(1), 197-201. doi: 10.1017/thg.2012.73
- Avinun, R., Romer, A. L., & Israel, S. (2020). Vitamin D polygenic score is associated with neuroticism and the general psychopathology factor. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 109912.
- Bates, T., Neale, M., & Maes, H. (2016). umx: A library for Structural Equation and Twin Modelling in R. *Journal of statistical software*.
- Blanco, C., Wall, M. M., Hoertel, N., Krueger, R. F., Liu, S.-M., Grant, B. F., & Olfson, M. (2019). Psychiatric disorders and risk for multiple adverse outcomes: a national prospective study. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 1-10.
- Brandes, C. M., Herzhoff, K., Smack, A. J., & Tackett, J. L. (2019). The p factor and the n factor: Associations between the general factors of psychopathology and neuroticism in children. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 7(6), 1266-1284.
- Buss, A. H., & Plomin, R. (1984). *Temperament: early developing personality traits*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Caspi, A., Houts, R. M., Belsky, D. W., Goldman-Mellor, S. J., Harrington, H., Israel, S., . . . Moffitt, T. E. (2014). The p factor: one general psychopathology factor in the structure of psychiatric disorders? *Clinical Psychological Science*, 2(2), 119-137.
- Caspi, A., & Moffitt, T. E. (2018). All for one and one for all: Mental disorders in one dimension. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 175(9), 831-844.

- 640 Chiorean, A., Savoy, C., Beattie, K., el Helou, S., Silmi, M., & Van Lieshout, R. J.
641 (2020). Childhood and adolescent mental health of NICU graduates: an
642 observational study. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 105, 684-689. doi:
643 10.1136/archdischild-2019-318284
- 644 De Mola, C. L., De França, G. V. A., de Avila Quevedo, L., & Horta, B. L. (2014).
645 Low birth weight, preterm birth and small for gestational age association with
646 adult depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. *The British Journal of*
647 *Psychiatry*, 205(5), 340-347.
- 648 Ehrenstein, V., Pedersen, L., Grijota, M., Nielsen, G. L., Rothman, K. J., & Sørensen,
649 H. T. (2009). Association of Apgar score at five minutes with long-term
650 neurologic disability and cognitive function in a prevalence study of Danish
651 conscripts. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, 9(1), 1-7.
- 652 Etkin, P., Mezquita, L., López-Fernández, F. J., Ortet, G., & Ibáñez, M. I. (2020).
653 Five Factor model of personality and structure of psychopathological
654 symptoms in adolescents. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 163,
655 110063.
- 656 Evans, D. M. (2020). The Boulder Workshop Question Box. *Behavior Genetics*, 1-10.
- 657 Githens, P. B., Glass, C. A., Sloan, F. A., & Entman, S. S. (1993). Maternal recall and
658 medical records: an examination of events during pregnancy, childbirth, and
659 early infancy. *Birth*, 20(3), 136-141.
- 660 Goldsmith, H. (1991). A zygosity questionnaire for young twins: A research note.
661 *Behavior Genetics*, 21(3), 257-269.
- 662 Gomez, R., Stavropoulos, V., Vance, A., & Griffiths, M. D. (2019). Re-evaluation of
663 the latent structure of common childhood disorders: Is there a general
664 psychopathology factor (p-factor)? *International Journal of Mental Health*
665 *and Addiction*, 17(2), 258-278.
- 666 Goodman, R. (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note.
667 *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines*, 38(5), 581.
- 668 Goodman, R., & Stevenson, J. (1989). A twin study of hyperactivity—II. The
669 aetiological role of genes, family relationships and perinatal adversity. *Journal*
670 *of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 30(5), 691-709.
- 671 Greene, A. L., Eaton, N. R., Li, K., Forbes, M. K., Krueger, R. F., Markon, K. E., . . .
672 Docherty, A. R. (2019). Are fit indices used to test psychopathology structure
673 biased? A simulation study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 128(7), 740.

- 674 Halekoh, U., Højsgaard, S., & Yan, J. (2006). The R package geepack for generalized
675 estimating equations. *Journal of statistical software*, 15(2), 1-11.
- 676 Hamlyn, J., Duhig, M., McGrath, J., & Scott, J. (2013). Modifiable risk factors for
677 schizophrenia and autism—shared risk factors impacting on brain
678 development. *Neurobiology of Disease*, 53, 3-9.
- 679 Hankin, B. L., Davis, E. P., Snyder, H., Young, J. F., Glynn, L. M., & Sandman, C. A.
680 (2017). Temperament factors and dimensional, latent bifactor models of child
681 psychopathology: Transdiagnostic and specific associations in two youth
682 samples. *Psychiatry Research*, 252, 139-146.
- 683 Hu, L. t., & Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance
684 structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural
685 equation modeling: a multidisciplinary journal*, 6(1), 1-55.
- 686 Huebner, E. S. (1991). Initial development of the student's life satisfaction scale.
687 *School Psychology International*, 12(3), 231-240.
- 688 Hughes, C., & Ensor, R. (2011). Individual differences in growth in executive
689 function across the transition to school predict externalizing and internalizing
690 behaviors and self-perceived academic success at 6 years of age. *Journal of
691 Experimental Child Psychology*, 108(3), 663-676.
- 692 Hyland, P., Murphy, J., Shevlin, M., Carey, S., Vallières, F., Murphy, D., & Elklit, A.
693 (2018). Correlates of a general psychopathology factor in a clinical sample of
694 childhood sexual abuse survivors. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 232, 109-
695 115.
- 696 John, O. P., Donahue, E., & Kentle, R. (1991). *The big five inventory: Versions 4a
697 and 54 (Technical report)*. Berkeley: University of California, Institute of
698 Personality and Social Research.
- 699 Laceulle, O. M., Chung, J. M., Vollebergh, W. A., & Ormel, J. (2020). The
700 wide-ranging life outcome correlates of a general psychopathology factor in
701 adolescent psychopathology. *Personality and mental health*, 14(1), 9-29.
- 702 Lahey, B. B., Applegate, B., Hakes, J. K., Zald, D. H., Hariri, A. R., & Rathouz, P. J.
703 (2012). Is there a general factor of prevalent psychopathology during
704 adulthood? *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 121(4), 971.
- 705 Lahey, B. B., Rathouz, P. J., Keenan, K., Stepp, S. D., Loeber, R., & Hipwell, A. E.
706 (2015). Criterion validity of the general factor of psychopathology in a

- 707 prospective study of girls. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 56(4),
708 415-422.
- 709 Lerner, M. J., & Miller, D. T. (1978). Just world research and the attribution process:
710 looking back and ahead. *Psychological bulletin*, 85(5), 1030.
- 711 Lewis, A. J., Galbally, M., Gannon, T., & Symeonides, C. (2014). Early life
712 programming as a target for prevention of child and adolescent mental
713 disorders. *BMC Medicine*, 12(1), 1-15.
- 714 Liu, J., Raine, A., Wuerker, A., Venables, P. H., & Mednick, S. (2009). The
715 association of birth complications and externalizing behavior in early
716 adolescents: direct and mediating effects. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*,
717 19(1), 93-111.
- 718 Martel, M. M., Pan, P. M., Hoffmann, M. S., Gadelha, A., do Rosário, M. C., Mari, J.
719 J., . . . Bressan, R. A. (2017). A general psychopathology factor (P factor) in
720 children: structural model analysis and external validation through familial
721 risk and child global executive function. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*,
722 126(1), 137.
- 723 McElroy, E., Belsky, J., Carragher, N., Fearon, P., & Patalay, P. (2018).
724 Developmental stability of general and specific factors of psychopathology
725 from early childhood to adolescence: dynamic mutualism or p-differentiation?
726 *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 59(6), 667-675.
- 727 Meehan, A. J., Latham, R. M., Arseneault, L., Stahl, D., Fisher, H. L., & Danese, A.
728 (2020). Developing an individualized risk calculator for psychopathology
729 among young people victimized during childhood: A population-
730 representative cohort study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 262, 90-98.
- 731 Merikangas, K. R., He, J.-p., Burstein, M., Swanson, S. A., Avenevoli, S., Cui, L., . . .
732 Swendsen, J. (2010). Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in US
733 adolescents: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication–
734 Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *Journal of the American Academy of Child
735 and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49(10), 980-989.
- 736 Morales, S., Tang, A., Bowers, M. E., Miller, N. V., Buzzell, G. A., Smith, E., . . .
737 Fox, N. A. (2021). Infant temperament prospectively predicts general
738 psychopathology in childhood. *Development and Psychopathology*, 1-10. doi:
739 10.1017/s0954579420001996

- 740 Murray, A. L., Eisner, M., & Ribeaud, D. (2016). The development of the general
741 factor of psychopathology ‘p factor’ through childhood and adolescence.
742 *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 44(8), 1573-1586.
- 743 Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2007). Mplus User’s Guide. *Los Angeles, CA:*
744 *Muthén & Muthén.*
- 745 Neale, M., Boker, S., Xie, G., & Maes, H. (2003). *Mx: Statistical Modeling.*
746 Richmond, VA: Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Institute for Psychiatric
747 and Behavior Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University.
- 748 Neale, M. C., Hunter, M. D., Pritikin, J. N., Zahery, M., Brick, T. R., Kirkpatrick, R.
749 M., . . . Boker, S. M. (2016). OpenMx 2.0: Extended structural equation and
750 statistical modeling. *Psychometrika*, 81(2), 535-549.
- 751 Neiss, M. B., Stevenson, J., Legrand, L. N., Iacono, W. G., & Sedikides, C. (2009).
752 Self-esteem, negative emotionality, and depression as a common
753 temperamental core: A study of mid-adolescent twin girls. *Journal of*
754 *Personality*, 77(2), 327-346.
- 755 Newman, D. L., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., & Silva, P. A. (1998). Comorbid mental
756 disorders: implications for treatment and sample selection. *Journal of*
757 *Abnormal Psychology*, 107(2), 305.
- 758 Nosarti, C., Reichenberg, A., Murray, R. M., Cnattingius, S., Lambe, M. P., Yin, L., .
759 . . Hultman, C. M. (2012). Preterm birth and psychiatric disorders in young
760 adult life. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 69(6), 610-617.
- 761 O’Donnell, K. J., & Meaney, M. J. (2017). Fetal origins of mental health: the
762 developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis. *American Journal of*
763 *Psychiatry*, 174(4), 319-328.
- 764 Obiechina, N., Okolie, V., Eleje, G., Okechukwu, Z., & Anemeje, O. (2011). Twin
765 versus singleton pregnancies: the incidence, pregnancy complications, and
766 obstetric outcomes in a Nigerian tertiary hospital. *International journal of*
767 *women's health*, 3, 227.
- 768 Olino, T. M., Dougherty, L. R., Bufferd, S. J., Carlson, G. A., & Klein, D. N. (2014).
769 Testing models of psychopathology in preschool-aged children using a
770 structured interview-based assessment. *Journal of Abnormal Child*
771 *Psychology*, 42(7), 1201-1211.

772 Patalay, P., Fonagy, P., Deighton, J., Belsky, J., Vostanis, P., & Wolpert, M. (2015).
773 A general psychopathology factor in early adolescence. *The British Journal of*
774 *Psychiatry*, 207(1), 15-22.

775 Pettersson, E., Lahey, B. B., Larsson, H., & Lichtenstein, P. (2018). Criterion Validity
776 and Utility of the General Factor of Psychopathology in Childhood: Predictive
777 Associations With Independently Measured Severe Adverse Mental Health
778 Outcomes in Adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and*
779 *Adolescent Psychiatry*, 57(6), 372-383.

780 Peverill, M., Dirks, M. A., Narvaja, T., Herts, K. L., Comer, J. S., & McLaughlin, K.
781 A. (2020). Socioeconomic status and child psychopathology in the United
782 States: A meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Clinical Psychology*
783 *Review*, 101933.

784 Plomin, R., Kagan, J., Emde, R. N., Reznick, J. S., Braungart, J. M., Robinson, J., . . .
785 Fulker, D. W. (1993). Genetic change and continuity from fourteen to twenty
786 months: The MacArthur Longitudinal Twin Study. *Child Development*, 64(5),
787 1354-1376.

788 R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
789 Vienna, Austria: R foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
790 <http://www.R-project.org/>

791 Riglin, L., Thapar, A. K., Leppert, B., Martin, J., Richards, A., Anney, R., . . . Lahey,
792 B. B. (2019). Using genetics to examine a general liability to childhood
793 psychopathology. *Behavior Genetics*, 1-8.

794 Romer, A. L., Knodt, A. R., Houts, R., Brigidi, B. D., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., &
795 Hariri, A. R. (2018). Structural alterations within cerebellar circuitry are
796 associated with general liability for common mental disorders. *Molecular*
797 *Psychiatry*, 23(4), 1084.

798 Rosenberg, M. (1965). *Society and the adolescent self-image*. Princeton, NJ:
799 Princeton University Press.

800 Saudino, K. J., Cherny, S. S., & Plomin, R. (2000). Parent ratings of temperament in
801 twins: explaining the 'too low' DZ correlations. *Twin Research and Human*
802 *Genetics*, 3(4), 224-233.

803 Selzam, S., Coleman, J. R., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T. E., & Plomin, R. (2018). A
804 polygenic p factor for major psychiatric disorders. *Translational Psychiatry*,
805 8(1), 205.

806 Snyder, H. R., Young, J. F., & Hankin, B. L. (2017). Strong homotypic continuity in
807 common psychopathology-, internalizing-, and externalizing-specific factors
808 over time in adolescents. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 5(1), 98-110.

809 Thapar, A., Holmes, J., Poulton, K., & Harrington, R. (1999). Genetic basis of
810 attention deficit and hyperactivity. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 174(2),
811 105-111.

812 Van Lieshout, R. J., & Voruganti, L. P. (2008). Diabetes mellitus during pregnancy
813 and increased risk of schizophrenia in offspring: a review of the evidence and
814 putative mechanisms. *Journal of psychiatry & neuroscience: JPN*, 33(5), 395.

815 Vertsberger, D., Abramson, L., & Knafo-Noam, A. (2019). The Longitudinal Israeli
816 Study of Twins (LIST) Reaches Adolescence: Genetic and Environmental
817 Pathways to Social, Personality and Moral Development. *Twin Research and*
818 *Human Genetics*, 22(6), 567-571.

Table 1. Associations between the three psychopathology factors, personality traits, cognitive ability, and sex from early to middle childhood. Standardized estimates and standard errors from generalized estimating equation models are presented.

	p factor				Internalizing factor			
	Age 3	Age 5	Age 6.5	Age 8-9	Age 3	Age 5	Age 6.5	Age 8-9
Sex[^]	-0.11 (0.02)	-0.13 (0.03)	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.15 (0.04)	0.04 (0.02)	0.03 (0.03)	0.1 (0.04)	0.05 (0.04)
Cognitive ability	-0.07 (0.03)	-0.06 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)	0.03 (0.03)	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.05)
Extraversion	0.04 (0.04)	0.09 (0.04)	0.09 (0.05)	0.12 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.03)	-0.08 (0.04)	-0.1 (0.05)	-0.13 (0.05)
Agreeableness	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.17 (0.05)	-0.17 (0.05)	-0.03 (0.03)	0.04 (0.04)	-0.01 (0.04)	0.02 (0.05)
Conscientiousness	0.01 (0.03)	-0.02 (0.04)	-0.09 (0.05)	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.01 (0.03)	0.03 (0.04)	0.02 (0.04)	0.02 (0.04)
Neuroticism	0.05 (0.04)	0.1 (0.04)	0.1 (0.05)	0.1 (0.05)	0.07 (0.03)	0.09 (0.04)	0.08 (0.05)	0.13 (0.05)
Openness	0.03 (0.03)	0.07 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.05)	0.02 (0.05)	-0.05 (0.03)	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.05)	0.06 (0.05)

Table 1. Continued.

	Externalizing factor			
	Age 3	Age 5	Age 6.5	Age 8-9
Sex[^]	-0.13 (0.02)	-0.12 (0.03)	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.09 (0.04)
Cognitive ability	-0.08 (0.03)	-0.15 (0.04)	-0.17 (0.04)	-0.24 (0.05)
Extraversion	0.09 (0.03)	0.03 (0.04)	0.09 (0.05)	0.04 (0.05)
Agreeableness	-0.06 (0.03)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)
Conscientiousness	-0.04 (0.03)	-0.06 (0.04)	-0.14 (0.05)	-0.16 (0.04)
Neuroticism	0.02 (0.03)	0.01 (0.04)	0.01 (0.04)	0.03 (0.04)
Openness	0.02 (0.03)	0.05 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.04)

Note. Cognitive ability, as assessed at age 6.5, and personality traits as assessed at age 11 as outcomes in separate generalized estimating equations models for each of the factors and waves. [^]In the models testing the association with sex (coded as 1=males, 2=female), the factors were the outcomes. Standardized estimates and standard errors are presented. GEE results with $p < .01$ are presented in bold font.

828 **Table 2.** Possible predictors of the psychopathology factors from early to middle childhood.

	p factor				Internalizing factor			
	Age 3	Age 5	Age 6.5	Age 8-9	Age 3	Age 5	Age 6.5	Age 8-9
Age 3 developmental problems	0.08 (0.03)	0.06 (0.03)	0.07 (0.04)	0.07 (0.04)	0.09 (0.02)	0.08 (0.03)	0.07 (0.04)	0.1 (0.04)
Hospitalization before age 3	0.04 (0.03)	0.07 (0.03)	0.04 (0.04)	0.05 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.03)	0.03 (0.03)	0.08 (0.04)	0.01 (0.04)
Apgar 1 minute after birth	0.04 (0.03)	-0.05 (0.05)	0.02 (0.06)	0.02 (0.05)	0.08 (0.04)	0.02 (0.05)	-0.06 (0.07)	-0.05 (0.06)
Apgar 5 minute after birth	0.02 (0.03)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.07 (0.05)	0.03 (0.05)	0.03 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.05)	-0.09 (0.06)	-0.03 (0.05)
Birth weight	-0.06 (0.03)	-0.08 (0.03)	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)	0.01 (0.03)	-0.09 (0.03)	-0.1 (0.05)	-0.06 (0.05)
Breast feeding	-0.05 (0.03)	0.01 (0.03)	0.05 (0.05)	-0.09 (0.05)	-0.01 (0.03)	-0.04 (0.03)	0.01 (0.05)	0.04 (0.05)
Complications of pregnancy	0.08 (0.03)	0.08 (0.03)	0.05 (0.05)	0.05 (0.04)	0.03 (0.03)	0.08 (0.03)	0.09 (0.04)	0.11 (0.04)
Hospitalization first year of life	0.03 (0.03)	0.06 (0.03)	0.07 (0.04)	0.11 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.03)	0.06 (0.04)	0.08 (0.04)	0.07 (0.04)
Mother's Age at birth	-0.02 (0.03)	0.01 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.05)	0.05 (0.05)	-0.03 (0.03)	-0.04 (0.03)	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)
Neonatal problems	0.06 (0.03)	0.11 (0.03)	0.08 (0.04)	0.09 (0.04)	0.01 (0.03)	0.07 (0.03)	0.08 (0.04)	0.04 (0.04)
SES at age 3	-0.07 (0.03)	-0.11 (0.03)	-0.09 (0.04)	0.01 (0.05)	-0.1 (0.03)	-0.14 (0.03)	-0.07 (0.04)	-0.11 (0.04)
Weeks of pregnancy	-0.04 (0.03)	-0.05 (0.03)	0.01 (0.05)	0.02 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.03)	-0.09 (0.03)	-0.11 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.05)

829

830

831 **Table 2.** Continued.

	Externalizing factor			
	Age 3	Age 5	Age 6.5	Age 8-9
Age 3 developmental problems	0.1 (0.03)	0.09 (0.03)	0.11 (0.04)	0.11 (0.04)
Hospitalization before age 3	0.06 (0.03)	0.08 (0.03)	0.12 (0.04)	0.1 (0.04)
Apgar 1 minute after birth	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.06 (0.06)	0.05 (0.05)
Apgar 5 minute after birth	0.06 (0.03)	0.01 (0.05)	0.01 (0.05)	0.1 (0.04)
Birth weight	-0.09 (0.03)	-0.08 (0.03)	-0.1 (0.04)	-0.08 (0.05)
Breast feeding	-0.03 (0.03)	0.02 (0.03)	0.03 (0.05)	0.01 (0.04)
Complications of pregnancy	0.06 (0.02)	0.05 (0.03)	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)
Hospitalization first year of life	0.03 (0.03)	0.04 (0.03)	0.07 (0.04)	0.11 (0.04)
Mother's Age at birth	-0.06 (0.03)	-0.08 (0.03)	-0.03 (0.05)	0.01 (0.04)
Neonatal problems	0.07 (0.03)	0.02 (0.03)	0.09 (0.04)	0.06 (0.04)
SES at age 3	0.02 (0.02)	-0.04 (0.03)	-0.03 (0.04)	0.01 (0.04)
Weeks of pregnancy	-0.05 (0.03)	-0.05 (0.03)	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.05)

832 *Note.* The factors as outcomes in separate generalized estimating equation models for each of the predictors and waves. Sex (coded as 1=males, 2=female), age, and SES
833 from age 3 were used as covariates in all analyses (in the SES as variable of interest models sex and age were entered as covariates). Coefficients significant at $p < .01$ are in
834 bold font.

835

836 **Figure 1.** Psychopathology factors correlations.

837 **1A.** Correlations between the *p*, internalizing (INT), and
838 externalizing (EXT) factors from early- to mid- childhood (for one twin
839 per family).

1B. Twin correlations and 95% confidence intervals for psychopathology factors
from early- to mid- childhood.

841

842 **Figure 2.** A longitudinal twin model. Genetic and environmental influences on psychopathology factors, from early to middle childhood.

843 A. The p factor, AE model.

844

845

846

847 B. The specific/residualized externalizing factor, ACE model with contrast effect (not shown).

848

849

850

851 B. continued. The externalizing factor.

852

853

854

855 C. The specific/residualized internalizing factor, ADE model.

856

857

858

859 C. continued. The specific/residualized internalizing factor.

860

861

862

863 **Figure 3.** The psychopathology factors as predictors of developmental problems, self-esteem, and well-being, including error bars.

865 *Note.* In all models age, sex (coded as 1=males, 2=female), and socioeconomic status as assessed at age 3 were entered as covariates. Results of
866 generalized estimating equation models are presented. All models are independent (i.e., each factor was examined as a predictor in an independent
867 statistical model). The model "with control" also included a developmental problems (yes/no) measure, assessed at the same age as the tested factor, as a
868 covariate. Error bars are based on the standard errors.