Identification of muscle weakness in older adults from normalized lower and upper limbs strength

Pedro Pugliesi Abdalla^{12*}, Lucimere Bohn², Leonardo Santos Lopes da Silva³, André Pereira dos Santos¹, Marcio Fernando Tasinafo Junior³, Ana Claudia Rossini Venturini¹, Anderson dos Santos Carvalho⁴, David Martinez Gomez⁵, Jorge Mota², Dalmo Roberto Lopes Machado¹²³.

¹University of São Paulo, College of Nursing at Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil.

²University of Porto, Faculty of Sports, Porto, Portugal.

³University of São Paulo, School of Physical Education and Sport of Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil.

⁴Paulista University, Physical Education Course, São José do Rio Preto, Brazil.

⁵Autonomous University of Madrid, School of Medicine, Madrid, Spain.

*Corresponding author: Pedro Pugliesi Abdalla (pedroabdalla11@gmail.com)

Avenue of Bandeirantes nº 3900, University Campus - Monte Alegre, Ribeirão Preto-SP, Brazil.

Zip code: 14040-902

Tel: +55 16 3315-3468

Acknowledgements

Nothing to declare.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The Author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) [through PhD scholarship number 142248/2018–5 granted to Pedro Pugliesi Abdalla]; and the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) [finance Code 001, through PhD scholarship number 88887.593242/2020-00 granted to Pedro Pugliesi Abdalla, and through scholarship number 88887.371516/2019-00 granted to Dalmo Roberto Lopes Machado]. The funding body had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Weakness is a natural age-related condition meaning the loss of muscle strength that impairs older adults' mobility and quality of life. Because the relationship between muscle strength and body-size variables is non-linear, weakness is misclassified in older adults with extreme body size (e.g., light, short, heavy, or tall). This misclassification can be overcome using the allometric approach. **Objectives:** To propose cut-off points for older adults' weakness for upper and lower limbs muscle strength normalized by body size with the ratio standard and allometric scaling. **Methods:** Ninety-four community-dwelling older adults (69.1% women) were assessed for 49 body-size variables (anthropometry, body composition and body indexes), handgrip strength (HGS), one maximum repetition measurement for knee extensors ($1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$), isokinetic knee extension peak torque at $60^\circ/\text{s}$ ($\text{knee extension PT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$), and six-minute walk test (6MWT). Ratio standard (muscle strength/body size) and allometric scaling (muscle strength/body size^b; when ^b is the allometric exponent) were applied for body-size variables that significantly were correlated with HGS, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ and $\text{knee extension PT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$. Cut-off points were computed based on ROC curve and Youden index. When there was mobility limitation ($6\text{MWT} < 400\text{m}$) cut-off were computed according to sex. **Results:** Absolute HGS, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ and $\text{knee extension PT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$ cut-off points were not adequate because they were associated with body size ($r > 0.30$). But it was corrected with muscle strength normalization according to body size-variables: HGS ($n=1$); $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ ($n=24$) and $\text{knee extension PT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$ ($n=24$). The best cut-off points, with the highest area under the curve (AUC), were found after normalization for men: HGS/forearm circumference (1.33 kg/cm, AUC=0.74), $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ /triceps skinfold (4.22 kg/mm, AUC=0.81), and $\text{knee extension PT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$ /body mass*height^{0.43} (13.0 Nm/kg*m^{0.43}, AUC=0.94); and for women: HGS/forearm circumference (1.04 kg/cm, AUC=0.70), $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ /body mass (0.54 kg/kg, AUC=0.76); and $\text{knee extension PT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$ /body mass^{0.72} (3.14 Nm/kg^{0.72}; AUC=0.82). **Conclusion:** Normalization removes the effect of extreme body size on muscle strength and improves the accuracy to identify weakness at population level, reducing the risk of false-positive cases.

Keywords: Allometrically scaled, Dynapenia, Elderly, Frailty, Sarcopenia, Scaling.

Highlights

- This study proposed a new approach to identify muscle weakness in older adults based on upper and lower limbs muscle strength normalized by body size (ratio standard and allometry).

- The identification of functional limitation is more precise when procedures of muscle strength normalization is applied.

INTRODUCTION

Muscle weakness is a natural muscle strength loss occurring along aging, and it predicts older adults' increased risk of hospital admissions, depression, fractures and premature mortality [1-3]. Muscle weakness can predict functional disability (i.e., difficulty to perform instrumental and basic activities of daily living-ADL) like as mobility limitation [4], which is even more important than multimorbidity to forecast mortality amongst older adults [5]. As a consequence of its predictive ability, muscle weakness was used to identify geriatric syndromes such as dynapenia [6], frailty [7] and sarcopenia [8].

Muscle weakness is normally measured using muscle strength tests such as handgrip (HGS) or leg extension strength [8]. The current values to identify muscle weakness are based on absolute (non-normalized) muscle strength results [7, 9-17] or dividing absolute results by some (i.e., body mass) body-size variable (ratio standard) [18, 19]. The identification of weakness based on absolute muscle strength cut-off points may be inaccurate for lighter body mass and shorter height older adults [20-22]. In fact, the absolute values characterize lighter and shorter body size older adults as having muscle weakness, even if they sustain their instrumental and basic ADL [23]. This is a false positive muscle weakness diagnostic, that frequently leads to an unnecessarily utilization of public health resources, contributing to health burden [24]. Another topic that merits consideration is the inaccuracy of the ratio standard procedure because it overestimates the real strength of light/short older adults and underestimates it for tall/heavy ones [23]. These limitations are a consequence of the nonlinear relationship between muscle strength and body-size variables [20-22]. To overcome these constraints, the utilization of allometric scaling, that contemplates power and sensitivity in the nonlinear relationship between muscle strength and body size with the allometric exponent (b) might represent an adequate option [20-23].

Previous studies reported already the power function ratio in older adults between HGS and body-size variables as body mass ($b=0.63$ or 0.40 or 0.31) [20-22], height ($b=1.84$) [21] and fat-free mass (FFM) ($b=0.46$) [21] and between leg extension strength and body mass ($b=0.67$ or 0.69 or 0.72 or 0.74 or 0.96) [23, 25]. Indeed, scaling HGS by body size (example: $\text{HGS}/\text{height}^{1.84}$) removes the effect of body size on muscle strength [21], but the scaling muscle strength by body size to determine muscle weakness cut-off points has not been considered from HGS and knee extension in isokinetic dynamometer, excepting the one maximum repetition measurement for

knee extensors ($1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$) scaled to body mass [23]. Besides, important body-size variables related to mobility and ADL (e.g. fat mass [26], FFM [27] and leg length [28]) were not utilized to scaling muscle strength and create muscle weakness cut-off points.

Thus, our objective is to propose cut-off points for older adults' weakness with upper and lower limbs muscle strength normalized by body-size with the ratio standard and allometric scaling. We hypothesize that the normalization of muscle strength by ratio standard and allometry can be a way to approach muscle strength regardless of body size, which should reduce the risk of bias in identifying false-positive cases of vulnerable older people.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Design and Study population

This is a cross-sectional study conducted from October 2016 to May 2017 at the University Hospital of Ribeirao Preto School of Medicine, University of São Paulo, Brazil (HC-FMRP-USP). The study was approved by the HC-FMRP-USP institutional review board. Older adults were voluntarily recruited and assigned an informed consent. This manuscript followed the guidelines from The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) conference list, and the completed checklist is attached.

The sample consisted of 94 community-dwelling older adults (>60 years old, 69.1% women) recruited in projects for older adults of USP and in health community services. Inclusion criteria were walk independently, absent limitation to execute all procedures, acute infections, cancer diagnosis, hip or knee prostheses, unstable cardiovascular condition, stroke sequelae, tumors, and weight loss >3 kg in the last three months. The exclusion criteria were discontinuity in the study and cognition impairment.

A sample size calculation ($n=[ZySD/\epsilon]^2$) with trust level ($Zy=0.95$), greater compatible population variability founded in the literature (SD of $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$: ± 19.96 kg) [29, 30] and maximum desired error ($\epsilon \leq 8.0$ kg) was performed and identified a minimum sample size of $n=24$ for each sex.

Procedures

A multidisciplinary health team (nurses, nutritionists, pharmacists, physical education professors, physicians, and physiotherapists) performed data collection. The appraisers were the same in each test. Data collection occurred on three non-consecutive days: 1st) recruitment: inclusion criteria verification and cognition assessment; by phone calls (inclusion criteria) or face to face (cognition assessment) of older adults who agreed to participate in the study; 2nd)

anthropometrics, body composition, HGS, mobility and physical activity level assessment; and 3rd) lower limbs muscle strength assessment.

Cognition Assessment

The validated Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) was used to assess participants' cognition status [31]. Those who have $MMSE \leq 12$ were excluded [32].

Measure of Body-Size Variables

Forty-nine body-size variables (SUPPLEMENT A) were collected to propose allometric exponents and to normalize performance in muscle strength tests. The selection of these variables were based on those previously used to calculate body indices [33-41], and involved anthropometric measurements [42] and body composition (Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry-DXA and bioelectrical impedance analysis-BIA), as briefly detailed below.

Body Indexes

The body indexes derived from anthropometry were body mass index (BMI, kg/m^2) [33], body mass*height [34], human body surface area (SA, m^2) [35], absolute mid-arm muscle circumference (MAMC, cm) [36], corrected arm muscle area (CAMA, cm^2) [37], arm fat area (AFA, cm^2) [38], FFM [39] and fat mass (obtained by body mass difference). The body indexes derived from body composition were lean soft tissue (LST) of arms and legs, appendicular skeletal muscle mass (ASM), $ASM/height (\text{m})^2$ [40], FFM (estimated from BIA) [41] and DXA, when fat mass were estimated by body mass difference.

Mobility Measurement

The cut-off points for muscle weakness were established based on mobility limitation. Mobility performance was verified based on the six-minute walk test (6MWT) carried out in a corridor 30-meter length. Along this path, at every three meters there was a cone to help researcher to precisely identify the walked distance [28]. Participants were instructed to cover the longest distance walking as faster as they could during the six-minute time. Nevertheless, participants could slow down, interrupt the walking, and resume the test whenever desired, although time was not paused. Total walked distance was recorded and mobility disability was characterized when the $6MWT < 400 \text{ m}$ [43].

Muscle Strength Measurements

Muscle strength was measured using HGS, one maximum repetition measurement for knee extensors ($1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$) and isokinetic knee extension peak torque at a velocity of $60^\circ/\text{s}$ ($_{\text{knee extension}}PT^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$). The maximum HGS was measured with a manual dynamometer (Jamar®, model 5030J1) using a previously published protocol [44]. Three attempts were performed, one minute apart, with the dominant hand and the highest result was recorded in kg as HGS [45, 46]. The $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ was estimated in a leg extension machine (Lion Fitness® model LFS) with a submaximal repetition protocol: $1RM = \text{weight lifted} / (1.0278 - [0.0278 * n^\circ \text{ of reps}])$ [47]. The detailed protocol was published elsewhere [23]. The isokinetic knee extension peak torque at $60^\circ/\text{s}$ ($_{\text{knee extension}}PT^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$) of the right lower limb was recorded with the Biodex (model System 4 Pro) isokinetic dynamometer and results are in newton-meter (Nm) according to standardized protocol [48].

Physical Activity Level Measurement

The International Physical Activity Questionnaire - Short Version was used to get physical activity level [49]. Physical activity level was dichotomized into sedentary (0) and irregularly active, active or very active (1). These two categories were introduced in the models to provide allometric exponents.

Muscle Strength Normalization Procedures (Ratio Standard and Allometric Scaling)

HGS, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ and $_{\text{knee extension}}PT^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$ were considered in three different ways: 1) absolute (non-normalized); 2) ratio standard (muscle strength/body-size variable); and 3) allometrically adjusted (muscle strength/body-size variable^b).

Allometric exponents (^b) were proposed only for body-size variables that showed significant correlation (Pearson's correlation) with muscle strength. To generate the allometric exponents, muscle strength (Y) and body-size variables (X) were converted to natural logarithm (ln) and the slope of regression line is allometric exponent (^b), according to more detail previously published [21]. Therefore, allometric exponents were discarded when the interaction (ln body-size variable*age*sex*physical activity level) was significant or when there was multicollinearity in the linear regression (variance inflation factor [VIF]>10) [50].

We also consider other allometric exponents (^b) of the literature, as described in Table 1.

<insert Table 1>

In order to verify whether normalization removed the influence of body size on muscle strength, the correlation between normalized muscle strength and body-size variables (body mass, height and body-size used) should be negligible ($r \leq 0.30$) [51].

Statistical Analysis

Proposition of Cut-off Points for Muscle Weakness

Absolute muscle strength and normalized by ratio standard or allometric scaling had their area under the curve (AUC) quantified by the ROC curve. The Youden index [52] selected the most appropriate cut-off points with the best relationship between sensitivity and specificity for the primary outcome (functional limitation: 6MWD<400) [43].

The cut-off points were considered adequate when they have $AUC \geq 0.70$ [53] simultaneously for both sexes ($p < 0.05$) and when the correlation between muscle strength and body-size variables (body mass, height and body-size used) were negligible ($r \leq 0.30$) [51].

For each muscle strength test (HGS, 1RM_{knee extensors} and knee extensionPT^{60°/s}), way (non-normalized, ratio standard and allometric scaling) and for each and sex was selected the adequate cut-off point according the superior accuracy. When there was a tie in accuracy, the variable with the greatest sensitivity or specificity was chosen. Finally, the AUC - ROC curves of non-normalized and normalized muscle strength were compared with each other to decide the best cut-off point.

The analyzes were performed using the SPSS 25.0 statistical package, and the ROC curves and Youden index in MedCalc 15.2 with a previously established level of significance ($\alpha = 5\%$).

RESULTS

Sample was encompassed by 100 older adults (69 women) who agreed to participate in the study. From those, 6 were excluded for different reasons, as the stages of the study proceeded, as detailed in Figure 1. Therefore, the final sample comprised 29 older men (31%) and 65 older women (69%).

<insert Figure 1>

Sample characterization according to sex is shown in Table 2. Twenty-five women (38.5%) and seven men (24.1%) had functional limitation (6MWT<400 m).

The correlations between muscle strength and body-size variables are also shown in Table 2. Most of the body-size variables showed a significant correlation with muscle strength ($r=-0.41$ to 0.75 ; $p<0.05$).

<insert Table 2>

Allometric exponents were proposed for those body-size variables that showed a significant relationship with muscle strength (Table 2). Linear regressions to obtain allometric exponents are shown in SUPPLEMENT B. All regressions were significant to explain muscle strength ($p<0.05$), with adjusted R^2 ranging from 0.39 to 0.61. The regression coefficients (β) obtained for each body-size variable represent the allometric exponents obtained. For HGS, the allometric exponents of triceps, pectoral, abdominal and thigh skinfolds were discarded because the interaction terms were statistically significant ($p<0.05$) and have accentuated multicollinearity ($VIF>10$). The remaining allometric exponents were used to perform normalization (for example, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}/\text{body mass}^{0.44}$).

The sex-specific cut-off points proposed for HGS, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ and $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/s}$ (non-normalized, ratio standard and allometric scaling) to identify muscle weakness are presented in the SUPPLEMENT C. In the same supplement there are also presented correlations between muscle strength and body size (body mass, height and body-size variable used in normalization).

Non-normalized HGS, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ and $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/s}$ cut-off points to identify muscle weakness were not adequate for both sexes or because they did not present $AUC\geq 0.70$ ($p<0.05$) or because they had a significant association with body size ($r>0.30$; $p<0.05$) (SUPPLEMENT C).

Table 3 shows the cut-off points based on the ratio standard and allometric scaling classified as adequate.

<insert Table 3>

A comparison of the most accurate ROC curves is presented in Figure 2 to support the decision for the best cut-off point between non-normalized, ratio standard and allometric scaling of HGS and lower limbs strength ($1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ and $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/s}$) for each sex.

<insert Figure 2>

For men, there were no differences in accuracy (AUC) to identify functional limitation between absolute muscle strength, normalized by ratio standard or by allometric scaling ($p>0.05$; Figure 2 a, b, c). However, the absolute muscle strengths (HGS, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ and $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$) previously indicated great dependence ($r>0.30$) on body size (SUPPLEMENT C), suggesting the need for normalization to avoid errors in the classification of weakness. The normalized muscle strength increased the AUC and made it possible to classify muscle weakness of older adults with extreme body sizes, independently.

For women, only after normalizing muscle strength the AUC values perform acceptable to identify functional limitation ($\text{AUC}>0.70$; Figure 2 d, e). The exception was $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$, when the absolute values already had adequate accuracy ($\text{AUC}>0.70$), although without the desirable independence of body size. All the normalizations increased (†) the AUC ($p<0.001$).

DISCUSSION

Cut-off points based on upper and lower limbs muscle strength were proposed to identify muscle weakness in older adults of both sexes. The non-normalized cut-off points for HGS and lower limbs strength were significantly associated with body size, which involves biases to assess older adults with extreme body size (e.g., heavy or short). After normalizing HGS and lower limbs strength by the ratio standard or by the allometry, the association with body size was no longer relevant. In addition, for women, the accuracy to predict mobility limitation/muscle weakness from normalized muscle strength cut-off points become acceptable when compared to non-normalized strategy. In men, muscle strength normalization did not increase accuracy. However, all normalized models of both sexes avoided biases in the assessment of muscle weakness/mobility limitation, to isolate the natural interdependence between muscle strength and body size [21].

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to propose muscle weakness cut-off points for the HGS and $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$ allometrically adjusted in older adults. In a previous study, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ was allometrically adjusted for body mass [23], but not according to all other potential body size variables. Indeed, we expanded the number of variables that can be used to normalize $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ with allometry ($n=8$) in order to augment model's accuracy for identifying muscle weakness regardless of extreme body sizes. Other studies proposed muscle weakness cut-off points with HGS normalized by ratio standard (body mass or BMI) [18, 54, 55] or stratified by BMI quartiles [7]. There are also muscle weakness cut-off points for $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$ normalized by body mass [19]. However, these studies did not compare the

accuracy of normalized with non-normalized muscle strength to identify muscle weakness. Furthermore, they did not explore other body-size variables to normalize muscle strength.

Previous studies have proposed allometric exponents to normalize muscle strength, including HGS [20-22], $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ [23], $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$ [25, 34], and they are comparable with the ones found in the present study. Curvilinear (allometric) relationship variables is confirmed when allometric coefficient (b) is between 0.00 and 0.99 [56], while the linear relationship is characterized when the exponent is ≥ 1.00 [56]. In the literature, body mass generally presents an allometric relationship with muscle strength independently of the test (HGS, $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ or $\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$; Table 1), confirming our findings (SUPPLEMENT B), when b exponents were 0.22 (HGS), 0.44 ($1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$) and 0.37 ($\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$). Contrarily, height tends to have a linear relationship ($b \geq 1.00$) with muscle strength [21], what was also confirmed by our proposed allometric exponents (SUPPLEMENT B), that were between 1.87 and 3.27.

Some strengths of our study are noteworthy. We proposed muscle weakness cut-off points for isokinetic dynamometer, considered as a “gold standard” resource to assess lower limbs strength. The estimated $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ obtained with submaximal repetition protocol and the HGS are valid for older adults, even for those with muscle weakness [48, 57]. An extensive number of body-size variables ($n=49$) were tested in our study, expanding the possibilities to promote the normalization of performance in muscle strength tests. Furthermore, regardless of the chosen muscle strength test to evaluate weakness, our findings can be applied with sufficient accuracy ($AUC > 0.70$) both for scientific research ($\text{knee extensionPT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$) and population-based monitoring (HGS and $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$). Nevertheless, this study is not without limitations. The individual muscle strength decline along aging may have been underestimated with the cross-sectional design. Another limitation is the small and local sample size of our study, requiring caution to extrapolate these findings inferentially to other populations.

We found greater accuracy (AUC) for normalized lower limbs strength (isokinetic dynamometer and leg extension machine) than manual dynamometer (normalized upper extremity strength), usually adopted to predict mobility limitations/muscle weakness [8]. However, the isokinetic dynamometer is expensive and generally more available in terms of research. Even though, our normalized models are also applicable in clinical practice from manual dynamometers (widely available in geriatric environments) and leg extension machines (available in most fitness centers, adequate environment for intervention against aged-related muscle weakness) [30]. The assessment of HGS and $1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$ and proper classification of muscle weakness amongst older adults should be frequent in clinical practice to better target

health expending, avoiding unnecessary expenditures. Future research should observe if proposed allometric exponents can be utilized to normalize muscle strength for different older adults' population, with other ethnicity/race characteristics.

As an applied example to avoid false positive diagnosis for muscle weakness, we hypothesize one older man with extreme lower values of body mass (42 kg), 1.57 m of height, who performed $\text{knee extension PT}^{60/s}$ of 85.2 Nm. If we consider our absolute cut-off point (≤ 85.4 Nm), this older man has muscle weakness confirmed. However, when considered the normalized $\text{knee extension PT}^{60/s}/([\text{body mass} \times \text{height}]^{0.43})$, the adjusted value (14.1 Nm/kg*m) is above of the cut-off point (13.0 Nm/kg*m; Table 3). Normalization would also avoid false negative cases, for large body size of older adults. The mistaken framing of false positive weakness cases could greatly impact the financial resources in the health and older people care systems. Especially in low- and middle-income countries, where these resources are scarcer.

CONCLUSION

Upper and lower limbs muscle weakness cut-off points standardized according to body size were proposed for older adults of both sexes. The normalization has increased accuracy for identify women with muscle weakness; but not in men, whose absolute muscle strength values have an acceptable accuracy. However, normalization made muscle strength independent of body size, confirming our hypothesis and preventing bias in the evaluation of older adults with extreme body size (e.g., very low or very heavy). Forty-nine valid models were proposed for older adults of both sexes, with different possibilities of body's normalization of muscle strength, which broadens the interpretation of muscle strength with less risk of attributing a false-positive diagnosis to muscle weakness.

REFERENCES

1. Bohannon RW. Hand-grip dynamometry predicts future outcomes in aging adults. *Journal of geriatric physical therapy* (2001). 2008;31:3-10. doi:10.1519/00139143-200831010-00002
2. Teng Z, Zhu Y, Yu X, Liu J, Long Q, Zeng Y, et al. An analysis and systematic review of sarcopenia increasing osteopenia risk. *PloS one*. 2021;16:e0250437. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0250437
3. Bohannon RW. Grip Strength: An Indispensable Biomarker For Older Adults. *Clinical interventions in aging*. 2019;14:1681-91. doi:10.2147/cia.s194543
4. Santanasto AJ, Miljkovic I, Cvejkus RK, Wheeler VW, Zmuda JM. Sarcopenia Characteristics Are Associated with Incident Mobility Limitations in African Caribbean Men: The Tobago Longitudinal Study of Aging. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2020;75:1346-52. doi:10.1093/gerona/glz233

5. Landi F, Liperoti R, Russo A, Capoluongo E, Barillaro C, Pahor M, et al. Disability, more than multimorbidity, was predictive of mortality among older persons aged 80 years and older. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2010;63:752-9. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.09.007
6. Clark BC, Manini TM. Sarcopenia $\neq$ Dynapenia. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*. 2008;63:829-34. doi:10.1093/gerona/63.8.829
7. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J, et al. Frailty in older adults evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2001;56:M146-M57.
8. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyère O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing*. 2018;48:16-31. doi:10.1093/ageing/afy169
9. Dodds RM, Syddall HE, Cooper R, Benzeval M, Deary IJ, Dennison EM, et al. Grip strength across the life course: normative data from twelve British studies. *PloS one*. 2014;9:e113637. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113637
10. Lauretani F, Russo CR, Bandinelli S, Bartali B, Cavazzini C, Di Iorio A, et al. Age-associated changes in skeletal muscles and their effect on mobility: an operational diagnosis of sarcopenia. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2003;95:1851-60.
11. Wang YC, Bohannon RW, Li X, Sindhu B, Kapellusch J. Hand-Grip Strength: Normative Reference Values and Equations for Individuals 18 to 85 Years of Age Residing in the United States. *The Journal of orthopaedic and sports physical therapy*. 2018;48:685-93. doi:10.2519/jospt.2018.7851
12. Albrecht BM, Stalling I, Bammann K. Sex- and age-specific normative values for handgrip strength and components of the Senior Fitness Test in community-dwelling older adults aged 65-75 years in Germany: results from the OUTDOOR ACTIVE study. *BMC geriatrics*. 2021;21:273. doi:10.1186/s12877-021-02188-9
13. Hofmann M, Halper B, Oesen S, Franzke B, Stuparits P, Tschan H, et al. Serum concentrations of insulin-like growth factor-1, members of the TGF-beta superfamily and follistatin do not reflect different stages of dynapenia and sarcopenia in elderly women. *Experimental gerontology*. 2015;64:35-45. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2015.02.008
14. Lima RM, de Oliveira RJ, Raposo R, Neri SGR, Gadelha AB. Stages of sarcopenia, bone mineral density, and the prevalence of osteoporosis in older women. *Archives of osteoporosis*. 2019;14:38. doi:10.1007/s11657-019-0591-4
15. Akpinar TS, Tayfur M, Tufan F, Sahinkaya T, Kose M, Ozsenel EB, et al. Uncomplicated diabetes does not accelerate age-related sarcopenia. *The aging male : the official journal of the International Society for the Study of the Aging Male*. 2014;17:205-10. doi:10.3109/13685538.2014.963040
16. Farinatti P, Paes L, Harris EA, Lopes GO, Borges JP. A Simple Model to Identify Risk of Sarcopenia and Physical Disability in HIV-Infected Patients. *Journal of strength and conditioning research*. 2017;31:2542-51. doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000002070
17. Gadelha AB, Vainshelboim B, Ferreira AP, Neri SGR, Bottaro M, Lima RM. Stages of sarcopenia and the incidence of falls in older women: A prospective study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2018;79:151-7. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2018.07.014
18. McGrath R, Hackney KJ, Ratamess NA, Vincent BM, Clark BC, Kraemer WJ. Absolute and Body Mass Index Normalized Handgrip Strength Percentiles by Gender, Ethnicity, and Hand Dominance in Americans. *Advances in geriatric medicine and research*. 2020;2:doi:10.20900/agmr20200005
19. Manini TM, Visser M, Won-Park S, Patel KV, Strotmeyer ES, Chen H, et al. Knee extension strength cutpoints for maintaining mobility. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2007;55:451-7. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01087.x

20. Foley KT, Owings TM, Pavol MJ, Grabiner MD. Maximum grip strength is not related to bone mineral density of the proximal femur in older adults. *Calcified Tissue International*. 1999;64:291-4.
21. Maranhao Neto GA, Oliveira AJ, Pedreiro RC, Pereira-Junior PP, Machado S, Marques Neto S, et al. Normalizing handgrip strength in older adults: An allometric approach. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*. 2017;70:230-4. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2017.02.007
22. Pua Y-H. Allometric analysis of physical performance measures in older adults. *Physical therapy*. 2006;86:1263-70.
23. Abdalla PP, Dos Santos Carvalho A, Dos Santos AP, Venturini ACR, Alves TC, Mota J, et al. Cut-off points of knee extension strength allometrically adjusted to identify sarcopenia risk in older adults: A cross-sectional study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2020;89:104100. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2020.104100
24. Abdalla PP, Venturini ACR, Santos APD, Tasinafo M, Marini JAG, Alves TC, et al. Normalizing calf circumference to identify low skeletal muscle mass in older women: a cross-sectional study. *Nutr Hosp*. 2021;doi:10.20960/nh.03572
25. DAVIES MJ, DALSKY GP. Normalizing strength for body size differences in older adults. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 1997;29:713-7.
26. Bouchard DR, Beliaeff S, Dionne IJ, Brochu M. Fat Mass But Not Fat-Free Mass Is Related to Physical Capacity in Well-Functioning Older Individuals: Nutrition as a Determinant of Successful Aging (NuAge)—The Quebec Longitudinal Study. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*. 2007;62:1382-8. doi:10.1093/gerona/62.12.1382
27. Broadwin J, Goodman-Gruen D, Slymen D. Ability of Fat and Fat-Free Mass Percentages to Predict Functional Disability in Older Men and Women. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2001;49:1641-5. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2001.49273.x
28. Enright PL. The Six-Minute Walk Test. *Respiratory Care*. 2003;48:783-5.
29. Binder EF, Yarasheski KE, Steger-May K, Sinacore DR, Brown M, Schechtman KB, et al. Effects of progressive resistance training on body composition in frail older adults: results of a randomized, controlled trial. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*. 2005;60:1425-31.
30. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Landi F, Schneider SM, Zuniga C, Arai H, Boirie Y, et al. Prevalence of and interventions for sarcopenia in ageing adults: a systematic review. Report of the International Sarcopenia Initiative (EWGSOP and IWGS). *Age and ageing*. 2014;43:748-59. doi:10.1093/ageing/afu115
31. Finney GR, Minagar A, Heilman KM. Assessment of Mental Status. *Neurologic clinics*. 2016;34:1-16. doi:10.1016/j.ncl.2015.08.001
32. Icaza MC, Albala C. Proyecto SABE. Minimental State Examination (MMSE) del estudio de demencia en Chile: análisis estatístico Brasília: OPAS. 1999;1-18.
33. WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet (London, England)*. 2004;363:157-63. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(03)15268-3
34. Segal NA, Torner JC, Yang M, Curtis JR, Felson DT, Nevitt MC. Muscle mass is more strongly related to hip bone mineral density than is quadriceps strength or lower activity level in adults over age 50 year. *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*. 2008;11:503-10. doi:10.1016/j.jocd.2008.03.001
35. Bailey BJ, Briars GL. Estimating the surface area of the human body. *Statistics in medicine*. 1996;15:1325-32.
36. Jelliffe DB, JELLIFFE EP. The arm circumference as a public health index of protein-calorie malnutrition of early childhood. 20. Current conclusions. *Journal of tropical pediatrics*. 1969;15:253-60.

37. Heymsfield SB, McManus C, Smith J, Stevens V, Nixon DW. Anthropometric measurement of muscle mass: revised equations for calculating bone-free arm muscle area. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1982;36:680-90.
38. George LB, Bruce RB, Baltej SM, Haran TS, Michael FS. Nutritional and metabolic assessment of the hospitalized patient. *Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition.* 1977;1:11-21. doi:10.1177/014860717700100101
39. Lean M, Han TS, Deurenberg P. Predicting body composition by densitometry from simple anthropometric measurements. *The American journal of clinical nutrition.* 1996;63:4-14.
40. Baumgartner RN, Koehler KM, Gallagher D, Romero L, Heymsfield SB, Ross RR, et al. Epidemiology of sarcopenia among the elderly in New Mexico. *American journal of epidemiology.* 1998;147:755-63.
41. Baumgartner RN, Heymsfield SB, Lichtman S, Wang J, Pierson RN, Jr. Body composition in elderly people: effect of criterion estimates on predictive equations. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1991;53:1345-53. doi:10.1093/ajcn/53.6.1345
42. LOHMAN TG, ROCHE AF, MARTORELLI R. Anthropometric standardization reference manual. Champaign human kinetics 1988;1:
43. Morley JE, Abbatecola AM, Argiles JM, Baracos V, Bauer J, Bhasin S, et al. Sarcopenia with limited mobility: an international consensus. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2011;12:403-9. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2011.04.014
44. Massy-Westropp NM, Gill TK, Taylor AW, Bohannon RW, Hill CL. Hand Grip Strength: age and gender stratified normative data in a population-based study. *BMC Research Notes.* 2011;4:1-5.
45. Lourenco R, Perez-Zepeda M, Gutierrez-Robledo L, Rodriguez Manas L, Garcia-Garcia F. Performance of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People algorithm in screening older adults for muscle mass assessment. *Age and ageing.* 2014;44:334-8. doi:10.1093/ageing/afu192
46. Alexandre S, Duarte YA, Santos JL, Wong R, Lebrao ML. Prevalence and associated factors of sarcopenia among elderly in Brazil: findings from the SABE study. *The Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging.* 2014;18:284-90. doi:10.1007/s12603-013-0413-0
47. Brzycki M. Strength testing—predicting a one-rep max from reps-to-fatigue. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance.* 1993;64:88-90.
48. Abdalla PP, Carvalho AdS, dos Santos AP, Venturini ACR, Alves TC, Mota J, et al. One-repetition submaximal protocol to measure knee extensor muscle strength among older adults with and without sarcopenia: a validation study. *BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation.* 2020;12:29. doi:10.1186/s13102-020-00178-9
49. Matsudo S, Araújo T, Matsudo V, Andrade D, Andrade E, Oliveira LC, et al. Questionário internacional De atividade física (ipaq): estupo De validade e reprodutibilidade No Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Atividade Física & Saúde.* 2012;6:5-18.
50. Myers R. Classical and modern regression with applications. Boston: PWS and Kent Publishing Company. Inc; 1990.
51. Mukaka MM. Statistics corner: A guide to appropriate use of correlation coefficient in medical research. *Malawi Med J.* 2012;24:69-71.
52. Schisterman EF, Perkins NJ, Liu A, Bondell H. Optimal cut-point and its corresponding Youden Index to discriminate individuals using pooled blood samples. *Epidemiology.* 2005;16:73-81.
53. Hosmer D, Lemeshow S. Applied logistic regression. 2 ed. Nova Jersey, EUA: John Wiley & Sons; 2000.
54. Cawthon PM, Manini T, Patel SM, Newman A, Trivison T, Kiel DP, et al. Putative Cut-Points in Sarcopenia Components and Incident Adverse Health Outcomes: An SDOC

Analysis. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. 2020;68:1429-37.

doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.16517>

55. Alley DE, Shardell MD, Peters KW, McLean RR, Dam T-TL, Kenny AM, et al. Grip Strength Cutpoints for the Identification of Clinically Relevant Weakness. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A. 2014;69:559-66. doi:10.1093/gerona/glu011

56. Owings TM, Pavol MJ, Grabiner MD. Lower extremity muscle strength does not independently predict proximal femur bone mineral density in healthy older adults. Bone. 2002;30:515-20.

57. Ramírez-Vélez R, Sáez de Asteasu ML, Martínez-Velilla N, Zambom-Ferraresi F, García-Hermoso A, Izquierdo M. Handgrip Strength as a Complementary Test for Mobility Limitations Assessment in Acutely Hospitalized Oldest Old. Rejuvenation Res. 2021;doi:10.1089/rej.2020.2344

58. Jaric S. Role of body size in the relation between muscle strength and movement performance. Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews. 2003;31:8-12.

TABELS

Table 1. Allometric exponents (^b) proposed in previous studies.

Authors	Normalized muscle strength for body-size variable
Jaric et al., (2003) [58]	General muscle strength/body mass ^{0.67}
Foley et al., (1999) [20]	HGS/body mass ^{0.40}
Pua (2006) [22]	HGS/body mass ^{0.63}
Maranhão Neto et al., (2017) [21]	HGS/body mass ^{0.31} HGS/height ^{1.84}
Abdalla et al., 2020 [23]	1RM _{knee extensors} /body mass ^{0.69} 1RM _{knee extensors} /body mass ^{0.96}
Davies and Dalsky (1997) [25]	knee extensionPT ^{60°/s} /body mass ^{0.67} knee extensionPT ^{60°/s} /body mass ^{0.72} knee extensionPT ^{60°/s} /body mass ^{0.74}
Segal et al., (2008) [34]	knee extensionPT ^{60°/s} /body mass*height ^{0.97}

HGS=handgrip strength; 1RM_{knee extensors}=one maximum repetition measurement for knee extensors; knee extensionPT^{60°/s}=isokinetic knee extension peak torque at 60°/s

Table 2. Descriptive analysis and significant correlations of muscle strength with body-size variables in older men and women (n=94).

Variables	Older Men (n=29)				Older Women (n=65)				Correlation (r) with Muscle Strength		
	M	95% CI		SD	M	95% CI		SD	HGS (kg)	Knee Extension	
		LL	UL			LL	UL			1RM (kg)	PT ^{60°/s} (Nm)
Age (years)	71.2	68.5	73.9	7.1	69.7	68.2	71.2	6.1			
Mini-Mental State Examination (0-19)	17.6	16.9	18.2	1.8	17.4	16.9	17.8	1.8			
Body-size variables											
Anthropometry											
Body mass (kg)	73.0	67.7	78.3	13.9	66.9	64.0	69.8	11.6	0.37 [†]	0.39 [†]	0.40 [†]
Height (m)	1.7	1.6	1.7	0.1	1.6	1.5	1.6	0.1	0.71 [†]	0.62 [†]	0.68 [†]
Circumferences (cm)											
Forearm	26.0	25.2	26.8	2.0	23.8	23.3	24.4	2.2	0.50 [†]	0.40 [†]	0.46 [†]
Calf	35.8	34.4	37.1	3.5	34.8	34.1	35.5	2.9	0.34 [*]	0.37 [†]	0.37 [†]
Chest	97.8	94.3	101.4	9.4	93.2	91.3	95.1	7.7	0.26 [*]	0.32 [*]	0.36 [†]
Waist	92.1	87.8	96.5	11.4	86.5	84.0	89.0	10.0		0.26 [*]	0.30 [*]
Skinfold thickness (mm)											
Triceps	15.2	12.9	17.5	6.0	25.8	24.1	27.4	6.7	-0.39 [†]	-0.22 [*]	-0.25 [*]
Biceps	8.0	6.7	9.4	3.5	15.4	14.0	16.7	5.4	-0.40 [†]	-0.28 [*]	-0.31 [*]
Midaxillary	18.5	15.5	21.5	7.8	23.9	22.2	25.6	6.9	-0.26 [*]		
Pectoral	16.8	14.7	18.9	5.5	14.6	13.0	16.2	6.4	0.21 [*]		
Suprailiac	19.7	15.8	23.5	10.1	29.7	27.8	31.7	7.8	-0.29 [*]		
Abdominal (vertical)	26.2	23.1	29.3	8.1	33.7	31.5	35.9	8.8	-0.22 [*]		
Thigh (midline)	17.9	15.0	20.8	7.6	32.1	29.5	34.8	10.7	-0.35 [*]	-0.27 [*]	-0.38 [†]
Medial calf	11.8	9.3	14.3	6.6	23.8	21.9	25.7	7.6	-0.41 [†]	-0.28 [*]	-0.33 [*]
Bone breadths (mm)											
Biacromial	39.9	38.9	41.0	2.8	37.1	36.6	37.6	2.1	0.63 [†]	0.58 [†]	0.60 [†]
Bitrochanteric	33.7	33.1	34.3	1.7	33.4	32.8	34.0	2.3		0.20 [*]	0.22 [*]
Ankle (bimalleolar)	7.0	6.8	7.2	0.5	6.3	6.2	6.4	0.4	0.59 [†]	0.47 [†]	0.52 [†]
Elbow	6.7	6.5	6.9	0.5	5.8	5.7	6.0	0.5	0.53 [†]	0.40 [†]	0.44 [†]
Wrist	5.7	5.6	5.9	0.4	5.1	5.0	5.1	0.4	0.52 [†]	0.37 [†]	0.44 [†]
Chest	30.9	29.9	31.9	2.6	27.8	27.4	28.3	1.8	0.58 [†]	0.49 [†]	0.59 [†]
Segment lengths (cm)											
Knee height	53.5	52.4	54.5	2.7	49.5	49.0	50.0	2.1	0.62 [†]	0.55 [†]	0.58 [†]
Half arm span	87.3	85.5	89.2	4.8	80.8	79.8	81.7	3.8	0.71 [†]	0.62 [†]	0.58 [†]
Body indexes											
Derived from anthropometry											
Body mass*height (kg*m)	123.3	112.6	134.1	28.2	104.7	99.8	109.7	20.0	0.49 [†]	0.49 [†]	0.52 [†]
SA (m ²)	1.9	1.8	1.9	0.2	1.7	1.7	1.8	0.2	0.47 [†]	0.48 [†]	0.50 [†]
MAMC (cm)	24.2	23.0	25.3	2.9	21.9	21.3	22.5	2.6	0.45 [†]	0.39 [†]	0.45 [†]
CAMA (cm ²)	37.1	32.7	41.6	11.7	32.2	29.9	34.5	9.2	0.37 [†]	0.33 [†]	0.40 [†]
AFA (cm ²)	16.3	14.0	18.6	6.0	22.9	21.3	24.6	6.6	-0.23 [*]		
FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996) (kg)	52.1	49.6	54.6	6.6	37.1	36.0	38.2	4.6	0.75 [†]	0.66 [†]	0.67 [†]
Fat mass _(LEAN et al., 1996) (kg)	20.9	17.7	24.0	8.2	29.8	27.9	31.7	7.8	-0.22 [*]		
Derived from body composition											
Left arm LST (kg)	2.4	2.1	2.6	0.6	1.5	1.4	1.6	0.3	0.72 [†]	0.62 [†]	0.66 [†]
Right arm LST (kg)	2.8	2.5	3.0	0.6	1.8	1.7	1.9	0.4	0.72 [†]	0.61 [†]	0.60 [†]
Left leg LST (kg)	7.7	7.1	8.3	1.6	5.5	5.3	5.8	1.0	0.67 [†]	0.64 [†]	0.64 [†]
Right leg LST (kg)	8.0	7.4	8.6	1.6	5.7	5.4	5.9	1.0	0.70 [†]	0.64 [†]	0.65 [†]
Arms LST (kg)	5.1	4.7	5.6	1.2	3.3	3.2	3.5	0.7	0.74 [†]	0.63 [†]	0.64 [†]
Legs LST (kg)	15.7	14.6	16.9	3.1	11.2	10.7	11.6	1.9	0.69 [†]	0.65 [†]	0.66 [†]
ASM (kg)	20.9	19.3	22.5	4.2	14.5	13.9	15.1	2.5	0.72 [†]	0.65 [†]	0.66 [†]
ASM/height ² (kg/m ²)	7.3	7.0	7.7	1.0	6.0	5.7	6.2	0.9	0.55 [†]	0.51 [†]	0.48 [†]
FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991) (kg)	54.3	51.3	57.3	7.7	45.5	44.0	47.0	6.1	0.60 [†]	0.55 [†]	0.57 [†]
FFM _(DXA) (kg)	51.5	47.9	55.0	9.4	38.8	37.4	40.3	5.8	0.68 [†]	0.59 [†]	0.61 [†]
Fat mass _(DXA) (kg)	21.5	18.8	24.2	7.1	28.1	26.3	29.9	7.2	-0.20 [*]		
Mobility											
Six-minute walk test (6MWT)	464.7	431.1	498.3	88.3	412.7	389.9	435.5	92.0			
Functional limitation (6MWT<400m); %		24.1%				38.5%					
Muscle strength											
HGS (kg)	36.4	33.1	39.7	8.6	24.1	23.0	25.2	4.5			
1RM _{knee extensors} (kg)	66.8	56.9	76.7	26.0	40.8	36.9	44.8	15.9			
n° of reps to estimate 1RM	7.2	6.3	8.1	2.3	6.6	6.0	7.2	2.4			
knee extension PT ^{60°/s} (Nm)	119.8	102.4	137.2	45.6	73.2	66.8	79.6	25.9			

*p<0.05 and †p<0.001 (statistically significant correlation).

Note: M=mean; CI=confidence interval; LL=lower limit; UL=upper limit; SD=standard deviation; HGS=handgrip strength; 1RM=one maximum repetition; 1RM_{knee extensors}=one maximum repetition measurement for knee extensors; PT^{60°/s}=isokinetic peak torque at 60°/s; knee extension PT^{60°/s}=isokinetic knee extension peak torque at 60°/s; Nm=Newton meter; SA=human body surface area; MAMC=mid-arm muscle circumference; CAMA=corrected arm muscle area; AFA=arm fat area; FFM=fat-free mass; LST=lean soft tissue; ASM=appendicular skeletal muscle mass; DXA=Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.

Table 3. Adequate cut-off points ($AUC \geq 0.70$ simultaneously in both sexes and $r \leq 0.30$ with body size) of handgrip strength (HGS), one maximum repetition measurement for knee extensors ($1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$) and isokinetic knee extension peak torque at $60^\circ/\text{s}$ ($\text{knee extension PT}^{60^\circ/\text{s}}$) to identify muscle weakness.

Variable	Unit	Men (n=29)				Women (n=65)			
		AUC	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	AUC	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)
HGS (kg)									
/forearm circumference	(cm)	0.74 [*]	1.33	86	59	0.70 [*]	1.04	84	58
1RM_{knee extensors} (kg)									
/body mass	(kg)	0.77 [*]	0.85	86	68	0.76 [†]	0.54	68	78
/forearm circumference		0.75 [*]	2.16	86	77	0.70 [*]	1.38	60	75
/calf circumference	(cm)	0.74 [*]	1.65	86	77	0.70 [*]	1.06	72	68
/chest circumference		0.76 [*]	0.64	86	73	0.71 [*]	0.4	72	65
/waist circumference		0.78 [*]	0.73	100	59	0.72 [*]	0.37	60	80
/triceps skinfold		0.81 [†]	4.22	86	68	0.70 [*]	1.40	60	75
/bitrochanteric breadth	(mm)	0.73 [*]	1.72	86	77	0.70 [*]	1.16	76	60
/bimalleolar breadth		0.73 [*]	8.77	86	77	0.70 [*]	5.77	76	65
/elbow breadth		0.73 [*]	9.36	86	73	0.70 [*]	6.57	76	63
/SA	(m ²)	0.75 [*]	31.6	86	77	0.72 [*]	21.2	72	68
/MAMC	(cm)	0.77 [*]	2.42	86	73	0.72 [*]	1.54	64	75
/CAMA	(cm ²)	0.71 [*]	2.03	100	41	0.73 [*]	0.90	52	93
/FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996)	(kg)	0.76 [*]	1.11	86	77	0.72 [*]	1.00	72	68
/FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)	(kg)	0.78 [*]	1.13	83	73	0.75 [†]	0.83	76	64
/body mass ^{0.44}		0.75 [*]	9.04	86	77	0.71 [*]	6.03	76	63
/body mass ^{0.67 (JARIC, 2003)}		0.78 [*]	3.40	86	77	0.73 [†]	2.28	76	65
/body mass ^{0.96 (ABDALLA et al., 2020)}	(kg)	0.77 [*]	1.00	86	68	0.75 [†]	0.45	44	100
/body mass ^{0.69 (ABDALLA et al., 2020)}		0.78 [*]	3.06	86	77	0.73 [*]	1.48	44	98
/calf circumference ^{1.10}	(cm)	0.75 [*]	1.14	86	77	0.71 [*]	0.70	68	73
/bimalleolar breadth ^{1.20}	(mm)	0.71 [*]	6.01	86	68	0.70 [*]	3.93	76	65
/(body mass*height) ^{0.48}	(kg*m)	0.75 [*]	5.83	86	77	0.72 [*]	4.06	76	65
/SA ^{0.93}	(m ²)	0.75 [*]	33	86	77	0.72 [*]	22.7	76	65
/FFM ^{0.88} _(LEAN et al., 1996)	(kg)	0.75 [*]	1.77	86	77	0.71 [*]	1.53	76	65
/FFM ^{0.67} _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)		0.76 [*]	3.94	83	77	0.72 [*]	2.91	76	64
knee extensorsPT^{60°/s} (Nm)									
/height	(m)	0.93 [†]	54.1	86	95	0.74 [†]	44.1	64	75
/knee height	(cm)	0.94 [†]	1.83	100	86	0.75 [†]	1.44	68	73
/SA	(m ²)	0.94 [†]	56.9	100	82	0.81 [†]	36.3	64	88
/FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996)	(kg)	0.93 [†]	1.79	86	95	0.81 [†]	1.84	76	80
/left leg LST		0.82 [†]	0.015	100	55	0.76 [†]	0.012	68	80
/right leg LST	(g)	0.77 [*]	0.015	100	50	0.78 [†]	0.013	76	78
/legs LST		0.81 [*]	0.0063	71	82	0.77 [†]	0.0065	76	78
/ASM		0.81 [*]	4.66	71	86	0.77 [†]	5.01	76	78
/FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)	(kg)	0.93 [†]	1.63	83	95	0.85 [†]	1.60	88	77
/FFM _(DXA)		0.84 [†]	2.08	100	59	0.79 [†]	1.89	76	75
/body mass ^{0.67 (DAVIES; DALSKY, 1997)}		0.93 [†]	5.06	86	95	0.82 [†]	3.71	68	88
/body mass ^{0.72 (DAVIES; DALSKY, 1997)}	(kg)	0.94 [†]	4.1	86	95	0.82 [†]	3.14	72	85
/body mass ^{0.74 (DAVIES; DALSKY, 1997)}		0.93 [†]	3.77	86	95	0.82 [†]	2.87	72	85
/body mass ^{0.67 (JARIC, 2003)}		0.93 [†]	5.06	86	95	0.82 [†]	3.71	68	88
/height ^{3.27}	(m)	0.86 [†]	19.2	100	77	0.74 [†]	17.4	72	65
/knee height ^{1.82}	(cm)	0.90 [†]	0.068	100	86	0.74 [†]	0.053	64	75
/half arm span ^{1.62}		0.88 [†]	0.076	100	73	0.75 [†]	0.066	84	58
/biacromial breadth ^{2.15}	(mm)	0.82 [†]	0.038	86	68	0.77 [†]	0.03	76	73
/bimalleolar breadth ^{1.54}		0.81 [*]	5.04	86	73	0.80 [†]	4.64	92	60
/(body mass*height) ^{0.43}	(kg*m)	0.94 [†]	13	100	82	0.80 [†]	10.5	84	65
/SA ^{0.83}	(m ²)	0.94 [†]	53.8	86	95	0.80 [†]	50	84	65
/left leg LST ^{0.43}		0.92 [†]	2.26	100	77	0.77 [†]	1.83	76	70
/right leg LST ^{0.48}	(g)	0.90 [†]	1.39	100	73	0.78 [†]	1.16	80	70
/legs LST ^{0.47}		0.92 [†]	1.07	100	73	0.77 [†]	0.87	76	70

* $p < 0.05$ and † $p < 0.001$ (statistically significant AUC)

Dependent variable (primary outcome): functional limitation (6MWT < 400 m)

Note: AUC=area under the curve; p =significance; Sens=sensitivity; Spe=specificity; SA= human body surface area; MAMC=mid-arm muscle circumference; CAMA=corrected arm muscle area; FFM=Fat-free mass; LST=lean soft tissue; ASM=appendicular skeletal muscle mass; DXA=Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; 6MWT=six-minute walk test.

FIGURES

Figure 1. Study phases and data from older adults included, excluded, analyzed and procedure flow.

Figure 2. Accuracy comparison between non-normalized, ratio standard and allometric scaling of muscle weakness cut-off points of HGS and lower limbs strength (1RM_{knee extensors} and knee extension PT^{60°/s}) in older men (letters a, b, c) and older women (letters d, e, f).

*p<0.05 and †p<0.001 (statistically significant AUC).

‡p<0.05 (greater than the AUC of non-normalized muscle strength).

§p<0.05 (greater than the AUC of the allometric scaling).

Dependent variable (primary outcome): functional limitation (6MWT<400 m).

Note: HGS=handgrip strength; 1RM_{knee extensors}=one maximum repetition measurement for knee extensors; knee extension PT^{60°/s}=isokinetic knee extension peak torque at 60°/s; 6MWT=six-minute walk test

SUPPLEMENTS

SUPPLEMENT A - Body size variables (n=49) to normalize muscle strength.

Anthropometry		
Body mass (kg)		
Height (m)		
<i>Circumferences (cm)</i>	<i>Skinfold site (mm)</i>	<i>Bone breadths (mm)</i>
Arm	Subscapular	Biacromial
Forearm	Triceps	Biiliac
Midthigh	Biceps	Bitrochanteric
Calf	Midaxillary	Ankle (bimalleolar)
Chest	Pectoral (chest)	Elbow
Waist	Suprailiac	Wrist
Abdomen	Abdominal (vertical)	Knee
Buttocks (hip)	Thigh (midline)	Chest
	Medial calf	
<i>Segment lengths (cm)</i>		
Knee height		
Half arm span		
Body indexes		
<i>Derived from anthropometry</i>	<i>Derived from body composition</i>	
BMI (kg/m ²)	Left arm LST (g)	
Body mass*height (kg*m)	Right arm LST (g)	
SA (m ²)	Left leg LST (g)	
MAMC (cm)	Right leg LST (g)	
CAMA (cm ²)	Arms LST (g)	
AFA (cm ²)	Legs LST (g)	
FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996) (kg)	ASM (kg)	
Fat mass _(LEAN et al., 1996) (kg)	ASM/height ² (kg/m ²)	
	FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991) (kg)	
	FFM _(DXA) (kg)	
	Fat mass _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991) (kg)	
	Fat mass _(DXA) (kg)	

Note: BMI=body mass index; SA=surface area of human body; MAMC=mid-arm muscle circumference; CAMA=corrected arm muscle area; AFA=arm fat area; FFM=fat-free mass; LST=lean soft tissue; ASM=appendicular skeletal muscle mass.

Instruments utilized for measures: body mass (Filizola® digital scale, model Personal, Brazil), height (Sanny® wall-mounted aluminum stadiometer, Professional model ES2020, Brazil), circumferences (Sanny® inelastic and inextensible measuring tape, Brazil), skinfolds (Lange scientific skinfold caliper, Cambridge Scientific Instruments, Cambridge, Maryland), bone breadths (Sanny® anthropometer and small sliding caliper, Brazil), and segment lengths (Sanny® segmometer, Brazil), LST components, ASM and FFM_(DXA) (Dual Energy X-ray Absorptiometry-DXA, Hologic®, model QDR4500W, software version 11.2, Bedford, MA), FFM_(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991) (Bioimpedance Imp DF50 Body Composition Analysis, ImpediMed®, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia).

SUPPLEMENT B - Linear regressions to obtain allometric exponents for handgrip strength (HGS), one maximum repetition measurement for knee extensors ($1RM_{\text{knee extensors}}$) and isokinetic knee extension peak torque at $60^\circ/s$ ($_{\text{knee extension}}PT^{60^\circ/s}$) in older men and women (n=94).

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
Dependent variable: HGS (ln kg)							
1	Constant	3.040 [†]	0.669	1.711	4.368		
	Sex	0.407 [†]	0.046	0.315	0.499	1.129	
	Age	-0.012 [*]	0.003	-0.019	-0.005	1.181	0.53
	Physical activity level	0.061	0.144	-0.225	0.347	1.059	
	ln body mass (kg)	0.219	0.122	-0.024	0.462	1.218	
2	Constant	3.069 [†]	0.380	2.314	3.823		
	Sex	0.282 [†]	0.057	0.169	0.395	1.919	
	Age	-0.010 [*]	0.003	-0.017	-0.004	1.143	0.58
	Physical activity level	-0.009	0.136	-0.279	0.262	1.068	
	ln height (m)	1.875 [†]	0.491	0.899	2.85	1.891	
3	Constant	2.393 [*]	0.877	0.651	4.135		
	Sex	0.381 [†]	0.050	0.281	0.480	1.334	
	Age	-0.012 [†]	0.003	-0.019	-0.006	1.128	0.54
	Physical activity level	0.044	0.143	-0.239	0.328	1.056	
	ln forearm circumference (cm)	0.501 [*]	0.240	0.023	0.978	1.349	
4	Constant	1.992	1.002	<0.001	3.984		
	Sex	0.413 [†]	0.045	0.323	0.502	1.084	
	Age	-0.012 [*]	0.003	-0.018	-0.005	1.186	0.54
	Physical activity level	0.084	0.143	-0.200	0.368	1.071	
	ln calf circumference (cm)	0.536 [*]	0.242	0.056	1.017	1.195	
5	Constant	3.728 [*]	1.227	1.289	6.167		
	Sex	0.424 [†]	0.047	0.329	0.518	1.143	
	Age	-0.014 [†]	0.003	-0.021	-0.007	1.110	0.52
	Physical activity level	0.048	0.146	-0.242	0.338	1.057	
	ln chest circumference (cm)	0.084	0.248	-0.409	0.576	1.162	
6	Constant	-1.116	1.815	-4.722	2.489		
	Sex	0.323 [†]	0.057	0.210	0.435	1.779	
	Age	-0.013 [†]	0.003	-0.019	-0.006	1.061	0.56
	Physical activity level	0.02	0.140	-0.258	0.297	1.061	
	ln knee height (cm)	1.318 [*]	0.451	0.422	2.213	1.708	
7	Constant	-4.217 [*]	1.867	-7.927	-0.507		
	Sex	0.283 [†]	0.052	0.179	0.387	1.705	
	Age	-0.010 [*]	0.003	-0.016	-0.003	1.161	0.61
	Physical activity level	0.086	0.132	-0.176	0.349	1.061	
	ln half arm span (cm)	1.813 [†]	0.402	1.015	2.611	1.752	
8	Constant	2.942 [†]	0.659	1.633	4.251		
	Sex	1.070 [*]	0.298	0.478	1.663	47.067	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.004	-0.018	-0.004	1.279	0.54
	Physical activity level	0.647 [*]	0.308	0.035	1.258	4.896	
	ln triceps skinfold (mm)	0.114	0.079	-0.043	0.270	3.147	
	Interaction	-0.003 [*]	0.001	-0.006	<0.001	36.925	
9	Constant	4.213 [†]	0.343	3.530	4.895		
	Sex	0.413 [†]	0.059	0.296	0.53	1.752	
	Age	-0.015 [†]	0.003	-0.021	-0.008	1.041	0.52
	Physical activity level	0.037	0.148	-0.257	0.331	1.086	
	ln biceps skinfold (mm)	-0.021	0.053	-0.126	0.083	1.706	
10	Constant	4.160 [†]	0.343	3.478	4.843		
	Sex	0.425 [†]	0.049	0.329	0.522	1.197	
	Age	-0.015 [†]	0.003	-0.021	-0.008	1.035	0.52
	Physical activity level	0.044	0.147	-0.247	0.336	1.067	
	ln midaxillary skinfold (mm)	-0.007	0.050	-0.106	0.091	1.158	
11	Constant	2.809 [†]	0.523	1.769	3.848		
	Sex	1.055 [†]	0.256	0.546	1.564	36.193	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.003	-0.018	-0.005	1.154	0.56
	Physical activity level	0.769 [*]	0.307	0.160	1.378	5.064	
	ln pectoral skinfold (mm)	0.141 [*]	0.055	0.032	0.249	1.384	
	Interaction	-0.003 [*]	0.001	-0.006	-0.001	36.287	

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
12	Constant	4.098 [†]	0.370	3.363	4.833		
	Sex	0.431 [†]	0.053	0.327	0.536	1.412	
	Age	-0.014 [†]	0.003	-0.021	-0.008	1.085	0.52
	Physical activity level	0.049	0.147	-0.243	0.341	1.069	
	ln suprailiac skinfold (mm)	0.007	0.050	-0.093	0.106	1.432	
13	Constant	2.458 [*]	0.682	1.101	3.814		
	Sex	1.253 [†]	0.312	0.632	1.874	53.064	
	Age	-0.010 [*]	0.004	-0.017	-0.003	1.302	0.55
	Physical activity level	0.891 [*]	0.346	0.203	1.578	6.352	
	ln abdominal skinfold (mm)	0.148 [*]	0.071	0.008	0.289	1.86	
	Interaction	-0.004 [*]	0.001	-0.006	-0.001	47.986	
14	Constant	2.951 [†]	0.619	1.720	4.181		
	Sex	1.059 [†]	0.284	0.495	1.624	42.851	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.004	-0.018	-0.004	1.246	0.54
	Physical activity level	0.676 [*]	0.314	0.052	1.300	5.107	
	ln thigh skinfold (mm)	0.092	0.064	-0.034	0.219	2.412	
	Interaction	-0.003 [*]	0.001	-0.006	<0.001	35.064	
15	Constant	4.256 [†]	0.335	3.590	4.921		
	Sex	0.403 [†]	0.058	0.287	0.519	1.737	
	Age	-0.015 [†]	0.003	-0.021	-0.008	1.041	0.52
	Physical activity level	0.041	0.146	-0.249	0.331	1.06	
	ln medial calf skinfold (mm)	-0.031	0.046	-0.121	0.060	1.711	
16	Constant	-0.060	1.519	-3.077	2.958		
	Sex	0.348 [†]	0.052	0.244	0.452	1.506	
	Age	-0.010 [*]	0.004	-0.017	-0.003	1.295	0.56
	Physical activity level	0.091	0.141	-0.189	0.371	1.07	
	ln biacromial breadth (mm)	1.059 [*]	0.378	0.308	1.810	1.689	
17	Constant	0.536	1.234	-1.917	2.988		
	Sex	0.414 [†]	0.044	0.327	0.501	1.072	
	Age	-0.012 [†]	0.003	-0.018	-0.005	1.101	0.56
	Physical activity level	0.053	0.139	-0.224	0.329	1.056	
	ln bitrochanteric breadth (mm)	0.971 [*]	0.325	0.324	1.617	1.091	
18	Constant	2.339 [*]	0.669	1.009	3.668		
	Sex	0.337 [†]	0.054	0.231	0.444	1.593	
	Age	-0.013 [†]	0.003	-0.019	-0.007	1.043	0.56
	Physical activity level	0.050	0.139	-0.228	0.327	1.056	
	ln bimalleolar breadth (mm)	0.915 [*]	0.313	0.292	1.537	1.538	
19	Constant	3.443 [†]	0.565	2.32	4.566		
	Sex	0.375 [†]	0.059	0.259	0.492	1.792	
	Age	-0.014 [†]	0.003	-0.020	-0.007	1.037	0.53
	Physical activity level	0.029	0.145	-0.260	0.317	1.065	
	ln elbow breadth (mm)	0.375	0.269	-0.159	0.908	1.704	
20	Constant	3.190 [†]	0.528	2.141	4.239		
	Sex	0.355 [†]	0.057	0.242	0.468	1.715	
	Age	-0.014 [†]	0.003	-0.021	-0.008	1.018	0.54
	Physical activity level	0.036	0.143	-0.248	0.319	1.058	
	ln wrist breadth (mm)	0.576 [*]	0.277	0.026	1.125	1.638	
21	Constant	2.929 [*]	0.855	1.230	4.628		
	Sex	0.384 [†]	0.054	0.278	0.491	1.504	
	Age	-0.013 [†]	0.003	-0.02	-0.007	1.068	0.53
	Physical activity level	0.049	0.144	-0.238	0.336	1.056	
	ln chest breadth (mm)	0.338	0.228	-0.114	0.791	1.468	
22	Constant	2.709 [†]	0.656	1.407	4.012		
	Sex	0.384 [†]	0.048	0.289	0.479	1.243	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.003	-0.018	-0.004	1.207	0.55
	Physical activity level	0.056	0.142	-0.226	0.337	1.057	
	ln body mass*height (kg*m)	0.256 [*]	0.108	0.042	0.470	1.339	
23	Constant	3.641 [†]	0.345	2.955	4.327		
	Sex	0.388 [†]	0.048	0.293	0.483	1.222	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.003	-0.018	-0.005	1.203	0.54
	Physical activity level	0.057	0.142	-0.225	0.339	1.057	
	ln SA (m ²)	0.489 [*]	0.214	0.064	0.915	1.317	
24	Constant	3.417 [†]	0.696	2.035	4.799		
	Sex	0.405 [†]	0.050	0.306	0.504	1.276	0.52
	Age	-0.013 [†]	0.003	-0.020	-0.007	1.129	

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
25	Physical activity level	0.034	0.145	-0.255	0.323	1.062	0.52
	ln MAMC (cm)	0.208	0.186	-0.162	0.579	1.292	
	Constant	3.773 [†]	0.427	2.925	4.622		
	Sex	0.414 [†]	0.047	0.321	0.508	1.141	
	Age	-0.013 [†]	0.003	-0.020	-0.007	1.134	
26	Physical activity level	0.034	0.145	-0.255	0.323	1.063	0.52
	ln CAMA (cm ²)	0.083	0.076	-0.067	0.233	1.180	
	Constant	4.087 [†]	0.375	3.342	4.832		
	Sex	0.432 [†]	0.051	0.331	0.532	1.299	
	Age	-0.014 [†]	0.003	-0.021	-0.008	1.105	
27	Physical activity level	0.047	0.146	-0.243	0.337	1.057	0.56
	ln AFA (cm ²)	0.010	0.058	-0.106	0.126	1.353	
	Constant	1.747	0.897	-0.036	3.530		
	Sex	0.242 [*]	0.080	0.083	0.401	3.528	
	Age	-0.008 [*]	0.004	-0.016	-0.001	1.529	
28	Physical activity level	0.086	0.141	-0.194	0.366	1.067	0.52
	ln FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996) (kg)	0.531 [*]	0.191	0.152	0.910	3.814	
	Constant	3.934 [†]	0.391	3.157	4.711		
	Sex	0.447 [†]	0.053	0.342	0.551	1.414	
	Age	-0.014 [†]	0.003	-0.021	-0.008	1.059	
29	Physical activity level	0.050	0.146	-0.239	0.339	1.057	0.56
	ln fat mass _(LEAN et al., 1996) (kg)	0.048	0.067	-0.085	0.180	1.415	
	Constant	1.774 [*]	0.861	0.063	3.485		
	Sex	0.298 [†]	0.063	0.174	0.423	2.181	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.003	-0.018	-0.004	1.174	
30	Physical activity level	0.036	0.140	-0.241	0.314	1.057	0.55
	ln left arm LST (g)	0.290 [*]	0.101	0.090	0.490	2.182	
	Constant	1.864 [*]	0.917	0.042	3.685		
	Sex	0.310 [†]	0.063	0.184	0.436	2.187	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.003	-0.018	-0.004	1.258	
31	Physical activity level	0.065	0.141	-0.215	0.345	1.059	0.55
	ln right arm LST (g)	0.264 [*]	0.102	0.061	0.467	2.282	
	Constant	1.314	1.213	-1.096	3.723		
	Sex	0.326 [†]	0.062	0.203	0.448	2.049	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.004	-0.018	-0.004	1.275	
32	Physical activity level	0.053	0.142	-0.228	0.334	1.056	0.54
	ln left leg LST (g)	0.296 [*]	0.124	0.049	0.543	2.149	
	Constant	1.202	1.271	-1.324	3.728		
	Sex	0.319 [†]	0.064	0.192	0.446	2.211	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.004	-0.018	-0.004	1.288	
33	Physical activity level	0.077	0.142	-0.205	0.360	1.065	0.56
	ln right leg LST (g)	0.305 [*]	0.129	0.048	0.562	2.351	
	Constant	1.418	0.995	-0.559	3.394		
	Sex	0.295 [†]	0.064	0.167	0.422	2.287	
	Age	-0.010 [*]	0.003	-0.017	-0.004	1.237	
34	Physical activity level	0.053	0.140	-0.225	0.331	1.056	0.55
	ln arms LST (g)	0.299 [*]	0.106	0.089	0.509	2.346	
	Constant	0.879	1.365	-1.835	3.592		
	Sex	0.317 [†]	0.064	0.190	0.443	2.194	
	Age	-0.011 [*]	0.004	-0.018	-0.003	1.298	
35	Physical activity level	0.067	0.142	-0.215	0.348	1.06	0.52
	ln legs LST (g)	0.317 [*]	0.130	0.058	0.576	2.324	
	Constant	2.917 [†]	0.534	1.856	3.979		
	Sex	0.303 [†]	0.065	0.174	0.432	2.299	
	Age	-0.010 [*]	0.004	-0.017	-0.003	1.302	
36	Physical activity level	0.064	0.141	-0.216	0.344	1.058	0.58
	ln ASM (kg)	0.335 [*]	0.127	0.082	0.588	2.422	
	Constant	3.808 [†]	0.463	2.888	4.729		
	Sex	0.400 [†]	0.056	0.288	0.511	1.601	
	Age	-0.013 [†]	0.003	-0.02	-0.007	1.179	
37	Physical activity level	0.061	0.146	-0.23	0.352	1.070	0.52
	ln ASM/height ² (kg/m ²)	0.130	0.149	-0.167	0.426	1.685	
	Constant	2.112 [*]	0.764	0.593	3.631		
37	Sex	0.375 [†]	0.051	0.273	0.477	1.503	0.58
	Age	-0.009 [*]	0.003	-0.016	-0.002	1.235	

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
38	Physical activity level	0.114	0.137	-0.159	0.386	1.074	0.55
	ln FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991) (kg)	0.412*	0.157	0.099	0.724	1.665	
	Constant	2.569†	0.680	1.218	3.920		
	Sex	0.325†	0.060	0.205	0.445	1.979	
	Age	-0.011*	0.003	-0.018	-0.004	1.231	
	Physical activity level	0.059	0.141	-0.222	0.339	1.057	
39	ln FFM _(DXA) (kg)	0.356*	0.142	0.073	0.638	2.054	0.52
	Constant	3.988†	0.408	3.177	4.799		
	Sex	0.438†	0.050	0.338	0.537	1.268	
	Age	-0.014†	0.003	-0.021	-0.008	1.077	
	Physical activity level	0.052	0.146	-0.238	0.343	1.063	
	ln fat mass _(DXA) (kg)	0.034	0.070	-0.105	0.172	1.28	
Dependent variable: IRM _{knee extensors} (ln kg)							
1	Constant	3.703*	1.198	1.322	6.083		0.43
	Sex	0.501†	0.083	0.336	0.666	1.129	
	Age	-0.027†	0.006	-0.039	-0.015	1.181	
	Physical activity level	-0.018	0.257	-0.530	0.493	1.059	
	ln body mass (kg)	0.436	0.219	0.001	0.871	1.218	
2	Constant	4.152†	0.693	2.774	5.530		0.48
	Sex	0.305*	0.104	0.098	0.512	1.919	
	Age	-0.025†	0.006	-0.037	-0.013	1.143	
	Physical activity level	-0.137	0.249	-0.631	0.357	1.068	
	ln height (m)	3.047*	0.897	1.266	4.829	1.891	
3	Constant	4.546*	1.609	1.35	7.743		0.41
	Sex	0.506†	0.092	0.323	0.688	1.334	
	Age	-0.03†	0.006	-0.042	-0.018	1.128	
	Physical activity level	-0.049	0.262	-0.569	0.471	1.056	
	ln forearm circumference (cm)	0.384	0.441	-0.492	1.260	1.349	
4	Constant	1.472	1.788	-2.081	5.025		0.45
	Sex	0.511†	0.080	0.352	0.671	1.084	
	Age	-0.026†	0.006	-0.038	-0.014	1.186	
	Physical activity level	0.03	0.255	-0.477	0.538	1.071	
	ln calf circumference (cm)	1.104*	0.431	0.247	1.962	1.195	
5	Constant	3.186	2.190	-1.166	7.538		0.42
	Sex	0.513†	0.085	0.345	0.681	1.143	
	Age	-0.029†	0.006	-0.041	-0.017	1.110	
	Physical activity level	-0.038	0.261	-0.555	0.48	1.057	
	ln chest circumference (cm)	0.558	0.442	-0.32	1.436	1.162	
6	Constant	4.581*	1.553	1.496	7.667		0.41
	Sex	0.524†	0.084	0.356	0.692	1.128	
	Age	-0.031†	0.006	-0.042	-0.019	1.045	
	Physical activity level	-0.046	0.262	-0.566	0.474	1.056	
	ln waist circumference (cm)	0.277	0.315	-0.347	0.902	1.088	
7	Constant	-3.215	3.276	-9.725	3.295		0.46
	Sex	0.360*	0.102	0.157	0.563	1.779	
	Age	-0.028†	0.006	-0.04	-0.017	1.061	
	Physical activity level	-0.094	0.252	-0.595	0.408	1.061	
	ln knee height (cm)	2.284*	0.814	0.667	3.901	1.708	
8	Constant	-6.682	3.473	-13.584	0.219		0.49
	Sex	0.324*	0.097	0.130	0.517	1.705	
	Age	-0.024†	0.006	-0.036	-0.012	1.161	
	Physical activity level	0.013	0.246	-0.475	0.501	1.061	
	ln half arm span (cm)	2.728†	0.747	1.243	4.213	1.752	
9	Constant	5.136†	0.651	3.842	6.430		0.43
	Sex	0.647†	0.101	0.447	0.847	1.640	
	Age	-0.03†	0.006	-0.041	-0.018	1.058	
	Physical activity level	-0.022	0.259	-0.536	0.492	1.059	
	ln triceps skinfold (mm)	0.179	0.103	-0.025	0.383	1.637	
10	Constant	5.585†	0.616	4.360	6.809		0.41
	Sex	0.596†	0.105	0.387	0.805	1.752	
	Age	-0.031†	0.006	-0.043	-0.019	1.041	
	Physical activity level	-0.011	0.265	-0.538	0.516	1.086	
	ln biceps skinfold (mm)	0.077	0.095	-0.111	0.265	1.706	
11	Constant	5.74†	0.650	4.448	7.033		0.41

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
	Sex	0.563 [†]	0.103	0.358	0.767	1.660	
	Age	-0.031 [†]	0.006	-0.043	-0.02	1.026	
	Physical activity level	-0.031	0.267	-0.561	0.499	1.091	
	ln thigh skinfold (mm)	0.032	0.095	-0.158	0.222	1.595	
	Constant	5.806 [†]	0.604	4.606	7.007		
12	Sex	0.556 [†]	0.105	0.347	0.765	1.737	0.41
	Age	-0.031 [†]	0.006	-0.043	-0.02	1.041	
	Physical activity level	-0.044	0.263	-0.566	0.479	1.06	
	ln medial calf skinfold (mm)	0.018	0.082	-0.146	0.181	1.711	
	Constant	-0.722	2.76	-6.207	4.763		
13	Sex	0.417 [†]	0.095	0.228	0.605	1.506	0.45
	Age	-0.024 [†]	0.006	-0.037	-0.012	1.295	
	Physical activity level	0.023	0.256	-0.486	0.532	1.070	
	ln biacromial breadth (mm)	1.668 [*]	0.687	0.304	3.033	1.689	
	Constant	3.329	2.313	-1.267	7.924		
14	Sex	0.532 [†]	0.082	0.369	0.695	1.072	0.42
	Age	-0.03 [†]	0.006	-0.042	-0.018	1.101	
	Physical activity level	-0.043	0.261	-0.561	0.476	1.056	
	ln bitrochanteric breadth (mm)	0.688	0.610	-0.523	1.900	1.091	
	Constant	3.52 [*]	1.23	1.076	5.965		
15	Sex	0.423 [†]	0.098	0.228	0.619	1.593	0.44
	Age	-0.03 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.018	1.043	
	Physical activity level	-0.043	0.257	-0.553	0.467	1.056	
	ln bimalleolar breadth (mm)	1.204 [*]	0.576	0.059	2.349	1.538	
	Constant	5.702 [†]	1.028	3.660	7.744		
16	Sex	0.529 [†]	0.107	0.316	0.741	1.792	0.41
	Age	-0.031 [†]	0.006	-0.043	-0.02	1.037	
	Physical activity level	-0.052	0.264	-0.576	0.472	1.065	
	ln elbow breadth (mm)	0.096	0.489	-0.874	1.067	1.704	
	Constant	5.159 [†]	0.969	3.234	7.083		
17	Sex	0.486 [†]	0.104	0.279	0.693	1.715	0.41
	Age	-0.031 [†]	0.006	-0.043	-0.02	1.018	
	Physical activity level	-0.056	0.262	-0.576	0.465	1.058	
	ln wrist breadth (mm)	0.440	0.508	-0.568	1.449	1.638	
	Constant	3.383	2.075	-0.74	7.506		
18	Sex	0.469 [†]	0.101	0.269	0.669	1.616	0.42
	Age	-0.029 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.016	1.178	
	Physical activity level	-0.024	0.261	-0.543	0.494	1.061	
	ln chest breadth (mm)	0.684	0.552	-0.413	1.781	1.679	
	Constant	3.212 [*]	1.176	0.875	5.55		
19	Sex	0.460 [†]	0.086	0.289	0.631	1.243	0.45
	Age	-0.025 [†]	0.006	-0.038	-0.013	1.207	
	Physical activity level	-0.030	0.254	-0.535	0.475	1.057	
	ln body mass*height (kg*m)	0.480 [*]	0.193	0.097	0.863	1.339	
	Constant	4.952 [†]	0.619	3.722	6.183		
20	Sex	0.467 [†]	0.086	0.297	0.637	1.222	0.45
	Age	-0.026 [†]	0.006	-0.038	-0.013	1.203	
	Physical activity level	-0.027	0.255	-0.533	0.479	1.057	
	ln SA (m ²)	0.925 [*]	0.384	0.163	1.688	1.317	
	Constant	5.277 [†]	1.258	2.777	7.777		
21	Sex	0.523 [†]	0.090	0.344	0.702	1.276	0.41
	Age	-0.031 [†]	0.006	-0.043	-0.018	1.129	
	Physical activity level	-0.058	0.263	-0.581	0.465	1.062	
	ln MAMC (cm)	0.176	0.337	-0.494	0.846	1.292	
	Constant	5.528 [†]	0.772	3.993	7.062		
22	Sex	0.529 [†]	0.085	0.359	0.698	1.141	0.41
	Age	-0.030 [†]	0.006	-0.043	-0.018	1.134	
	Physical activity level	-0.059	0.263	-0.582	0.463	1.063	
	ln CAMA (cm ²)	0.082	0.137	-0.19	0.353	1.18	
	Constant	1.932	1.625	-1.297	5.161		
23	Sex	0.234	0.145	-0.054	0.522	3.528	0.45
	Age	-0.021 [*]	0.007	-0.035	-0.008	1.529	
	Physical activity level	0.018	0.255	-0.489	0.525	1.067	
	ln FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996) (kg)	0.879 [*]	0.346	0.192	1.566	3.814	
	Constant	3.787 [*]	1.603	0.603	6.972		
24	Constant						0.42

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
	Sex	0.427 [†]	0.117	0.195	0.659	2.181	
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.016	1.174	
	Physical activity level	-0.056	0.260	-0.573	0.460	1.057	
	ln left arm LST (g)	0.257	0.188	-0.115	0.630	2.182	
25	Constant	3.986 [*]	1.698	0.613	7.359		0.42
	Sex	0.444 [†]	0.117	0.211	0.677	2.187	
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.015	1.258	
	Physical activity level	-0.032	0.261	-0.550	0.487	1.059	
26	ln right arm LST (g)	0.22	0.189	-0.155	0.596	2.282	0.46
	Constant	-0.207	2.151	-4.481	4.066		
	Sex	0.322 [*]	0.109	0.105	0.539	2.049	
	Age	-0.023 [†]	0.006	-0.036	-0.011	1.275	
27	Physical activity level	-0.033	0.251	-0.532	0.466	1.056	0.45
	ln left leg LST (g)	0.639 [*]	0.220	0.202	1.077	2.149	
	Constant	0.338	2.280	-4.192	4.868		
	Sex	0.336 [*]	0.115	0.108	0.564	2.211	
28	Age	-0.024 [†]	0.006	-0.037	-0.012	1.288	0.42
	Physical activity level	0.011	0.255	-0.496	0.518	1.065	
	ln right leg LST (g)	0.577 [*]	0.232	0.116	1.037	2.351	
	Constant	3.537	1.851	-0.142	7.215		
29	Sex	0.427 [*]	0.120	0.189	0.665	2.287	0.46
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.015	1.237	
	Physical activity level	-0.042	0.260	-0.559	0.475	1.056	
	ln arms LST (g)	0.258	0.197	-0.132	0.649	2.346	
30	Constant	-0.695	2.435	-5.534	4.144		0.45
	Sex	0.317 [*]	0.114	0.092	0.543	2.194	
	Age	-0.023 [†]	0.006	-0.036	-0.011	1.298	
	Physical activity level	-0.006	0.253	-0.508	0.495	1.060	
31	ln legs LST (g)	0.641 [*]	0.233	0.178	1.103	2.324	0.41
	Constant	3.848 [†]	0.966	1.929	5.768		
	Sex	0.333 [*]	0.117	0.100	0.566	2.299	
	Age	-0.024 [†]	0.006	-0.037	-0.012	1.302	
32	Physical activity level	-0.018	0.255	-0.524	0.488	1.058	0.45
	ln ASM (kg)	0.560 [*]	0.231	0.102	1.018	2.422	
	Constant	5.305 [†]	0.834	3.648	6.961		
	Sex	0.492 [†]	0.101	0.292	0.692	1.601	
33	Age	-0.030 [†]	0.006	-0.042	-0.017	1.179	0.42
	Physical activity level	-0.021	0.263	-0.545	0.502	1.070	
	ln ASM/height ² (kg/m ²)	0.231	0.268	-0.302	0.764	1.685	
	Constant	2.917 [*]	1.443	0.05	5.785		
34	Sex	0.415 [†]	0.097	0.222	0.607	1.503	0.45
	Age	-0.027 [†]	0.006	-0.039	-0.014	1.235	
	Physical activity level	0.012	0.259	-0.502	0.526	1.074	
	ln FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991) (kg)	0.671 [*]	0.297	0.081	1.262	1.665	
35	Constant	4.193 [*]	1.251	1.709	6.678		0.42
	Sex	0.431 [†]	0.111	0.21	0.652	1.979	
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.006	-0.040	-0.015	1.231	
	Physical activity level	-0.034	0.260	-0.550	0.482	1.057	
36	ln FFM _(DXA) (kg)	0.384	0.261	-0.136	0.903	2.054	
	Constant	4.174 [*]	1.230	1.731	6.618		
	Sex	0.520 [†]	0.085	0.351	0.689	1.129	
	Age	-0.025 [†]	0.006	-0.038	-0.013	1.181	
1	Physical activity level	0.259	0.264	-0.267	0.784	1.059	0.40
	ln body mass (kg)	0.367	0.225	-0.08	0.814	1.218	
	Constant	4.153 [†]	0.702	2.759	5.548		
	Sex	0.301 [*]	0.105	0.091	0.510	1.919	
2	Age	-0.022 [†]	0.006	-0.034	-0.010	1.143	0.46
	Physical activity level	0.138	0.252	-0.362	0.638	1.068	
	ln height (m)	3.268 [*]	0.908	1.465	5.071	1.891	
	Constant	3.966 [*]	1.631	0.725	7.206		
3	Sex	0.499 [†]	0.093	0.314	0.684	1.334	0.40
	Age	-0.026 [†]	0.006	-0.039	-0.014	1.128	
	Physical activity level	0.232	0.265	-0.295	0.759	1.056	
	Constant	4.174 [*]	1.230	1.731	6.618		

Dependent variable: knee extension $PT^{60\%}$ (ln Nm)

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
4	In forearm circumference (cm)	0.588	0.447	-0.300	1.476	1.349	0.43
	Constant	1.299	1.816	-2.308	4.907		
	Sex	0.522 [†]	0.082	0.360	0.683	1.084	
	Age	-0.023 [†]	0.006	-0.035	-0.010	1.186	
	Physical activity level	0.317	0.259	-0.198	0.832	1.071	
	In calf circumference (cm)	1.179 [*]	0.438	0.309	2.05	1.195	
5	Constant	2.509	2.220	-1.901	6.920		0.40
	Sex	0.517 [†]	0.086	0.347	0.687	1.143	
	Age	-0.026 [†]	0.006	-0.038	-0.014	1.110	
	Physical activity level	0.247	0.264	-0.278	0.771	1.057	
	In chest circumference (cm)	0.724	0.448	-0.166	1.614	1.162	
	Constant	4.120 [*]	1.576	0.990	7.251		
6	Sex	0.528 [†]	0.086	0.358	0.698	1.128	0.40
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.006	-0.04	-0.016	1.045	
	Physical activity level	0.236	0.265	-0.291	0.763	1.056	
	In waist circumference (cm)	0.403	0.319	-0.231	1.037	1.088	
	Constant	-1.243	3.396	-7.991	5.504		
	Sex	0.409 [†]	0.106	0.199	0.620	1.779	
7	Age	-0.026 [†]	0.006	-0.038	-0.015	1.061	0.42
	Physical activity level	0.197	0.262	-0.322	0.717	1.061	
	In knee height (cm)	1.820 [*]	0.843	0.144	3.496	1.708	
	Constant	-1.433	3.711	-8.807	5.940		
	Sex	0.425 [†]	0.104	0.219	0.632	1.705	
	Age	-0.025 [†]	0.006	-0.037	-0.012	1.161	
8	Physical activity level	0.270	0.262	-0.252	0.791	1.061	0.41
	In half arm span (cm)	1.615 [*]	0.799	0.029	3.202	1.752	
	Constant	5.377 [†]	0.667	4.051	6.702		
	Sex	0.643 [†]	0.103	0.438	0.848	1.640	
	Age	-0.027 [†]	0.006	-0.039	-0.015	1.058	
	Physical activity level	0.256	0.265	-0.271	0.782	1.059	
9	In triceps skinfold (mm)	0.152	0.105	-0.057	0.361	1.637	0.40
	Constant	5.780 [†]	0.629	4.530	7.030		
	Sex	0.596 [†]	0.108	0.382	0.809	1.752	
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.006	-0.04	-0.016	1.041	
	Physical activity level	0.262	0.271	-0.277	0.800	1.086	
	In biceps skinfold (mm)	0.059	0.097	-0.133	0.251	1.706	
10	Constant	6.422 [†]	0.660	5.111	7.733		0.39
	Sex	0.492 [†]	0.104	0.285	0.700	1.660	
	Age	-0.030 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.018	1.026	
	Physical activity level	0.186	0.271	-0.351	0.724	1.091	
	In thigh skinfold (mm)	-0.096	0.097	-0.288	0.096	1.595	
	Constant	5.993 [†]	0.616	4.77	7.217		
11	Sex	0.557 [†]	0.107	0.344	0.770	1.737	0.39
	Age	-0.029 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.017	1.041	
	Physical activity level	0.235	0.268	-0.298	0.768	1.060	
	In medial calf skinfold (mm)	0.003	0.084	-0.164	0.169	1.711	
	Constant	-2.485	2.757	-7.964	2.994		
	Sex	0.393 [†]	0.095	0.205	0.582	1.506	
12	Age	-0.020 [*]	0.006	-0.032	-0.007	1.295	0.45
	Physical activity level	0.325	0.256	-0.183	0.833	1.070	
	In biacromial breadth (mm)	2.146 [*]	0.686	0.783	3.509	1.689	
	Constant	4.151	2.365	-0.548	8.851		
	Sex	0.547 [†]	0.084	0.38	0.714	1.072	
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.006	-0.040	-0.015	1.101	
13	Physical activity level	0.238	0.267	-0.293	0.768	1.056	0.39
	In bitrochanteric breadth (mm)	0.500	0.624	-0.739	1.739	1.091	
	Constant	2.982 [*]	1.236	0.527	5.438		
	Sex	0.402 [†]	0.099	0.206	0.599	1.593	
	Age	-0.026 [†]	0.006	-0.038	-0.015	1.043	
	Physical activity level	0.239	0.258	-0.273	0.751	1.056	
14	In bimalleolar breadth (mm)	1.543 [*]	0.579	0.393	2.693	1.538	0.43
	Constant	5.825 [†]	1.047	3.744	7.906		
	Sex	0.541 [†]	0.109	0.324	0.757	1.792	
	Age	-0.029 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.017	1.037	
	Physical activity level	0.230	0.269	-0.304	0.764	1.065	
	Constant	5.825 [†]	1.047	3.744	7.906		
15	Sex	0.541 [†]	0.109	0.324	0.757	1.792	0.39
	Age	-0.029 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.017	1.037	
	Physical activity level	0.230	0.269	-0.304	0.764	1.065	
	Constant	5.825 [†]	1.047	3.744	7.906		
	Sex	0.541 [†]	0.109	0.324	0.757	1.792	
	Age	-0.029 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.017	1.037	
16	Physical activity level	0.230	0.269	-0.304	0.764	1.065	0.39
	Constant	5.825 [†]	1.047	3.744	7.906		
	Sex	0.541 [†]	0.109	0.324	0.757	1.792	
	Age	-0.029 [†]	0.006	-0.041	-0.017	1.037	
	Physical activity level	0.230	0.269	-0.304	0.764	1.065	
	Constant	5.825 [†]	1.047	3.744	7.906		

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
17	ln elbow breadth (mm)	0.098	0.498	-0.891	1.087	1.704	0.40
	Constant	4.697 [†]	0.978	2.754	6.640		
	Sex	0.453 [†]	0.105	0.244	0.662	1.715	
	Age	-0.029 [†]	0.006	-0.04	-0.017	1.018	
	Physical activity level	0.219	0.264	-0.306	0.744	1.058	
	ln wrist breadth (mm)	0.800	0.513	-0.218	1.819	1.638	
18	Constant	0.890	2.058	-3.200	4.980		0.43
	Sex	0.405 [†]	0.100	0.206	0.603	1.616	
	Age	-0.023 [†]	0.006	-0.035	-0.011	1.178	
	Physical activity level	0.281	0.259	-0.234	0.795	1.061	
	ln chest breadth (mm)	1.402 [*]	0.548	0.314	2.490	1.679	
	Constant	3.591 [*]	1.208	1.191	5.990		
19	Sex	0.480 [†]	0.088	0.304	0.656	1.243	0.42
	Age	-0.023 [†]	0.006	-0.036	-0.011	1.207	
	Physical activity level	0.250	0.261	-0.268	0.769	1.057	
	ln body mass*height (kg*m)	0.435 [*]	0.198	0.041	0.828	1.339	
	Constant	5.174 [†]	0.636	3.911	6.438		
	Sex	0.487 [†]	0.088	0.313	0.662	1.222	
20	Age	-0.024 [†]	0.006	-0.036	-0.011	1.203	0.41
	Physical activity level	0.252	0.261	-0.267	0.772	1.057	
	ln SA (m ²)	0.830 [*]	0.394	0.047	1.613	1.317	
	Constant	5.163 [†]	1.281	2.618	7.708		
	Sex	0.528 [†]	0.092	0.345	0.710	1.276	
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.006	-0.040	-0.015	1.129	
21	Physical activity level	0.219	0.268	-0.313	0.752	1.062	0.39
	ln MAMC (cm)	0.246	0.343	-0.436	0.928	1.292	
	Constant	5.547 [†]	0.786	3.985	7.108		
	Sex	0.537 [†]	0.087	0.365	0.709	1.141	
	Age	-0.027 [†]	0.006	-0.04	-0.015	1.134	
	Physical activity level	0.218	0.268	-0.313	0.750	1.063	
22	ln CAMA (cm ²)	0.107	0.139	-0.17	0.383	1.180	0.39
	Constant	3.606 [*]	1.695	0.239	6.973		
	Sex	0.367 [*]	0.151	0.067	0.667	3.528	
	Age	-0.023 [*]	0.007	-0.037	-0.008	1.529	
	Physical activity level	0.274	0.266	-0.254	0.802	1.067	
	ln FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996) (kg)	0.534	0.36	-0.182	1.250	3.814	
23	Constant	3.378 [*]	1.624	0.151	6.606		0.41
	Sex	0.410 [*]	0.118	0.175	0.645	2.181	
	Age	-0.025 [†]	0.006	-0.038	-0.013	1.174	
	Physical activity level	0.223	0.264	-0.301	0.746	1.057	
	ln left arm LST (g)	0.323	0.19	-0.054	0.701	2.182	
	Constant	5.207 [*]	1.741	1.747	8.666		
24	Sex	0.513 [†]	0.120	0.274	0.752	2.187	0.39
	Age	-0.028 [†]	0.007	-0.041	-0.014	1.258	
	Physical activity level	0.241	0.268	-0.291	0.773	1.059	
	ln right arm LST (g)	0.093	0.194	-0.292	0.478	2.282	
	Constant	1.938	2.25	-2.533	6.409		
	Sex	0.407 [*]	0.114	0.18	0.634	2.049	
25	Age	-0.024 [*]	0.007	-0.037	-0.010	1.275	0.41
	Physical activity level	0.244	0.263	-0.278	0.766	1.056	
	ln left leg LST (g)	0.427	0.23	-0.031	0.885	2.149	
	Constant	1.383	2.35	-3.286	6.052		
	Sex	0.383 [*]	0.118	0.147	0.618	2.211	
	Age	-0.023 [*]	0.007	-0.036	-0.010	1.288	
26	Physical activity level	0.283	0.263	-0.240	0.805	1.065	0.41
	ln right leg LST (g)	0.481 [*]	0.239	0.006	0.956	2.351	
	Constant	4.074 [*]	1.893	0.313	7.835		
	Sex	0.460 [†]	0.122	0.217	0.703	2.287	
	Age	-0.026 [†]	0.007	-0.039	-0.013	1.237	
	Physical activity level	0.239	0.266	-0.29	0.768	1.056	
27	ln arms LST (g)	0.213	0.201	-0.187	0.612	2.346	0.39
	Constant	1.137	2.531	-3.892	6.167		
	Sex	0.388 [*]	0.118	0.154	0.623	2.194	
	Age	-0.023 [*]	0.007	-0.036	-0.010	1.298	
	Physical activity level	0.264	0.263	-0.257	0.786	1.060	
	Constant	1.137	2.531	-3.892	6.167		
28	Sex	0.388 [*]	0.118	0.154	0.623	2.194	0.41
	Age	-0.023 [*]	0.007	-0.036	-0.010	1.298	
	Physical activity level	0.264	0.263	-0.257	0.786	1.060	
	Constant	1.137	2.531	-3.892	6.167		
	Sex	0.388 [*]	0.118	0.154	0.623	2.194	
	Age	-0.023 [*]	0.007	-0.036	-0.010	1.298	
29	Physical activity level	0.264	0.263	-0.257	0.786	1.060	0.41
	Constant	1.137	2.531	-3.892	6.167		
	Sex	0.388 [*]	0.118	0.154	0.623	2.194	
	Age	-0.023 [*]	0.007	-0.036	-0.010	1.298	
	Physical activity level	0.264	0.263	-0.257	0.786	1.060	
	Constant	1.137	2.531	-3.892	6.167		

Linear regression	Independent variables	Regression coefficients		95% CI of β		VIF	Adjusted R ²
		β	Standard error	LL	UL		
30	ln legs LST (g)	0.474	0.242	-0.006	0.955	2.324	0.41
	Constant	4.476 [†]	0.999	2.491	6.462		
	Sex	0.397 [*]	0.121	0.156	0.638	2.299	
	Age	-0.023 [*]	0.007	-0.037	-0.010	1.302	
	Physical activity level	0.257	0.263	-0.267	0.780	1.058	
	ln ASM (kg)	0.422	0.238	-0.052	0.896	2.422	
31	Constant	5.959 [†]	0.853	4.263	7.654		0.39
	Sex	0.551 [†]	0.103	0.346	0.755	1.601	
	Age	-0.029 [†]	0.006	-0.042	-0.016	1.179	
	Physical activity level	0.236	0.269	-0.299	0.772	1.070	
	ln ASM/height ² (kg/m ²)	0.019	0.274	-0.527	0.564	1.685	
	Constant	3.261 [*]	1.486	0.306	6.215		
32	Sex	0.443 [†]	0.100	0.245	0.642	1.503	0.41
	Age	-0.024 [*]	0.007	-0.037	-0.011	1.235	
	Physical activity level	0.294	0.266	-0.236	0.823	1.074	
	ln FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991) (kg)	0.612 [*]	0.306	0.004	1.220	1.665	
	Constant	4.617 [*]	1.280	2.074	7.160		
	Sex	0.463 [†]	0.114	0.237	0.689	1.979	
33	Age	-0.026 [†]	0.007	-0.039	-0.013	1.231	0.40
	Physical activity level	0.245	0.266	-0.283	0.773	1.057	
	ln FFM _(DXA) (kg)	0.316	0.268	-0.216	0.848	2.054	
	Constant						

*p<0,05 and [†]p<0,001 (statistically significant β)

Note: sex=0 for women and 1 for men; age in years; physical activity level=0 for inactive and 1 for active; ln=natural logarithm; β =coefficient of regression; CI=confidence interval; LL=lower limit; UL=upper limit; VIF=variance inflation factor; R²=coefficient of determination; BMI=body mass index; SA=surface area of human body; MAMC=mid-arm muscle circumference; CMA=corrected arm muscle area; AFA=arm fat area; FFM=Fat-free mass; LST=lean soft tissue; ASM=appendicular skeletal muscle mass; DXA=Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.

SUPPLEMENT C – Cut-off points to identify muscle weakness in older adults of the handgrip strength (HGS), one maximum repetition measurement for knee extensors (1RM_{knee extensors}) and isokinetic knee extension peak torque at 60°/s (knee extension PT^{60°/s}) (non-normalized, ratio standard and allometric scaling), and the correlation of muscle strength with body size.

Variable	Unit	Men					Women					Correlation (r) with body size			
		AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	Body mass	Height	Variable for normalization	
HGS (kg)															
Non-normalized		0.74 [*]	0.548 to 0.886	36	86	59	0.61	0.477 to 0.725	22	52	70	0.17	0.39 [§]	-	
/body mass	(kg)	0.66	0.464 to 0.826	0.54	86	45	0.76 [†]	0.634 to 0.854	0.38	88	63	-0.57 [§]	-0.03	-0.57 [§]	
/body mass ^{0.22}		0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	14.4	86	59	0.65 [*]	0.523 to 0.765	9.5	68	65	-0.02	0.29 [‡]	-0.02	
/body mass ^{0.40} (FOLEY et al., 1999)		0.70	0.504 to 0.856	6.7	86	50	0.68 [*]	0.556 to 0.793	4.41	72	68	-0.18	0.22 [‡]	-0.18	
/body mass ^{0.67} (JARIC, 2003)		0.70	0.504 to 0.856	2.15	86	50	0.73 [*]	0.607 to 0.833	1.46	80	65	-0.39 [§]	0.10	-0.39 [§]	
/body mass ^{0.63} (PUA, 2006)		0.70	0.504 to 0.856	2.55	86	50	0.73 [*]	0.600 to 0.829	1.77	84	60	-0.36 [§]	0.11	-0.36 [§]	
/body mass ^{0.31} (MARANHÃO NETO et al., 2017)		0.71 [*]	0.517 to 0.865	10.9	100	41	0.67 [*]	0.540 to 0.780	6.5	68	65	-0.10	0.26 [‡]	-0.10	
/height	(m)	0.70	0.504 to 0.856	23.5	100	45	0.60	0.474 to 0.722	15.8	72	50	0.09	0.17	0.17	
/height ^{1.87}		0.65	0.451 to 0.816	14.8	86	50	0.60	0.466 to 0.715	10.2	60	63	0.01	-0.03	-0.03	
/height ^{1.84} (MARANHÃO NETO et al., 2017)		0.65	0.451 to 0.816	15.1	86	50	0.60	0.467 to 0.716	10.4	60	63	0.01	-0.02	-0.02	
/forearm circumference	(cm)	0.74 [*]	0.548 to 0.886	1.33	86	59	0.70 [*]	0.570 to 0.805	1.04	84	58	-0.17	0.27 [‡]	-0.19	
/forearm circumference ^{0.50}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	6.91	86	68	0.67 [*]	0.537 to 0.777	4.84	68	65	0.01	0.34 [‡]	0.02	
/calf circumference		0.73 [*]	0.531 to 0.875	0.92	57	82	0.67 [*]	0.544 to 0.783	0.69	72	63	-0.14	0.25 [‡]	-0.21	
/calf circumference ^{0.54}		0.73 [*]	0.531 to 0.875	5.35	86	59	0.64 [*]	0.515 to 0.758	3.54	64	60	0.01	0.32 [‡]	0.01	
/chest circumference		0.69	0.490 to 0.846	0.37	86	55	0.68 [*]	0.551 to 0.789	0.28	84	58	-0.20	0.24 [‡]	-0.39 [§]	
/chest circumference ^{0.08}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	24.6	86	68	0.62	0.487 to 0.734	16.4	60	68	0.14	0.37 [§]	-0.02	
/knee height		0.71	0.510 to 0.861	0.72	100	45	0.61	0.478 to 0.726	0.44	44	75	0.05	0.19	0.03	
/knee height ^{1.32}		0.70	0.504 to 0.856	0.21	100	50	0.61	0.482 to 0.729	0.13	44	78	0.01	0.13	-0.05	
/half arm span		0.69	0.490 to 0.846	0.47	100	36	0.59	0.464 to 0.713	0.28	48	75	0.11	0.21	0.19	
/half arm span ^{1.81}		0.65	0.451 to 0.816	0.012	100	32	0.58	0.453 to 0.703	0.0086	72	45	0.04	0.04	-0.03	
/triceps skinfold	(mm)	0.71 [*]	0.514 to 0.863	2.25	86	59	0.65	0.519 to 0.762	0.93	64	68	-0.30 [‡]	0.15	-0.55 [§]	
/biceps skinfold		0.63	0.428 to 0.798	6.67	100	36	0.73 [*]	0.605 to 0.832	1.29	56	85	-0.35 [§]	0.16	-0.53 [§]	
/biceps skinfold ^{-0.02}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	41.6	100	45	0.59	0.459 to 0.709	23.2	48	78	0.21 [‡]	0.38 [§]	-0.05	
/midaxillary skinfold		0.62	0.419 to 0.790	0.86	29	100	0.52	0.395 to 0.648	1.23	80	33	-0.34 [‡]	0.12	-0.65 [§]	
/midaxillary skinfold ^{-0.01}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	40.7	100	45	0.61	0.479 to 0.727	22.5	48	78	0.19	0.38 [§]	-0.07	
/pectoral skinfold		0.58	0.388 to 0.763	1.13	29	100	0.74 [†]	0.618 to 0.843	1.64	76	73	-0.31 [‡]	0.21 [‡]	-0.70 [§]	
/suprailiac skinfold		0.61	0.413 to 0.785	1.88	71	55	0.62	0.488 to 0.735	0.77	64	73	-0.34 [‡]	0.12	-0.60 [§]	
/suprailiac skinfold ^{0.01}		0.74 [*]	0.545 to 0.884	35.3	86	68	0.62	0.486 to 0.733	23.4	64	68	0.17	0.38 [§]	-0.07	
/abdominal skinfold		0.66	0.464 to 0.826	1.13	57	73	0.69 [*]	0.564 to 0.800	0.62	56	85	-0.29 [‡]	0.12	-0.58 [§]	
/thigh skinfold		0.78 [*]	0.584 to 0.909	1.82	71	77	0.81 [†]	0.694 to 0.897	0.67	72	80	-0.16	0.25 [‡]	-0.46 [§]	
/medial calf skinfold		0.80 [†]	0.609 to 0.924	2.91	100	64	0.76 [†]	0.632 to 0.853	0.93	64	78	-0.15	0.16	-0.42 [§]	
/medial calf skinfold ^{-0.03}		0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	39.1	86	59	0.59	0.457 to 0.706	24.5	52	70	0.21	0.38 [§]	0.04	
/biacromial breadth		(mm)	0.68	0.484 to 0.841	1.02	100	36	0.62	0.493 to 0.739	0.67	76	50	0.01	0.26 [‡]	0.01
/biacromial breadth ^{1.06}			0.67	0.470 to 0.831	0.82	100	36	0.63	0.496 to 0.742	0.54	76	50	0.01	0.25 [‡]	-0.01
/bitrochanteric breadth			0.71	0.510 to 0.861	1.06	86	59	0.67 [*]	0.538 to 0.778	0.7	68	60	-0.02	0.29 [‡]	-0.05
/bitrochanteric breadth ^{0.97}			0.71	0.510 to 0.861	1.18	86	59	0.67 [*]	0.537 to 0.777	0.78	68	60	-0.02	0.29 [‡]	-0.04
/bimalleolar breadth	0.65		0.454 to 0.819	6.25	100	32	0.66 [*]	0.529 to 0.770	3.85	68	58	0.04	0.21 [‡]	-0.10	

Variable	Unit	Men					Women					Correlation (r) with body size		
		AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	Body mass	Height	Variable for normalization
/bimalleolar breadth ^{0.91}		0.68	0.480 to 0.839	6.78	86	50	0.65*	0.525 to 0.767	4.51	68	58	0.05	0.23 [‡]	-0.07
/elbow breadth		0.70	0.497 to 0.851	4.86	71	68	0.68*	0.550 to 0.788	4.18	76	58	-0.04	0.31 [‡]	-0.26 [‡]
/elbow breadth ^{0.37}		0.73*	0.531 to 0.875	20.4	100	41	0.64	0.506 to 0.751	12.1	64	65	0.10	0.36 [§]	-0.03
/wrist breadth		0.80*	0.605 to 0.922	7.02	100	50	0.61	0.478 to 0.726	4.71	64	63	0.01	0.31 [‡]	-0.19
/wrist breadth ^{0.58}		0.76*	0.562 to 0.896	14.7	100	45	0.60	0.474 to 0.722	9.5	64	60	0.08	0.35 [§]	-0.04
/chest breadth		0.67	0.470 to 0.831	1.32	100	45	0.60	0.466 to 0.716	0.88	64	63	-0.03	0.28 [‡]	-0.20
/chest breadth ^{0.34}		0.71*	0.517 to 0.865	11.5	86	59	0.61	0.476 to 0.725	7.53	56	70	0.04	0.31 [‡]	-0.08
/body mass*height	(kg*m)	0.60	0.400 to 0.774	0.17	29	100	0.74 [†]	0.616 to 0.841	0.23	76	68	-0.63 [§]	-0.19	-0.60 [§]
/(body mass*height) ^{0.26}		0.70	0.497 to 0.851	12	100	36	0.66*	0.533 to 0.774	7.63	76	55	-0.08	0.23 [‡]	-0.03
/SA	(m ²)	0.69	0.490 to 0.846	20.8	86	50	0.70*	0.574 to 0.807	14.1	80	63	-0.32 [‡]	0.08	-0.28 [‡]
/SA ^{0.49}		0.71	0.510 to 0.861	30.2	100	36	0.66*	0.529 to 0.770	18.3	68	63	-0.07	0.24 [‡]	-0.02
/MAMC	(cm)	0.77*	0.573 to 0.902	1.39	71	77	0.70*	0.568 to 0.803	1.02	64	78	-0.21 [‡]	0.23 [‡]	-0.37 [§]
/MAMC ^{0.21}		0.75*	0.552 to 0.889	18.3	86	68	0.63	0.505 to 0.750	12.3	60	70	0.09	0.36 [§]	0.08
/CAMA	(cm ²)	0.70	0.504 to 0.856	0.83	71	73	0.71*	0.588 to 0.819	0.66	60	80	-0.49 [§]	0.01	-0.74 [§]
/CAMA ^{0.08}		0.75*	0.552 to 0.889	26.3	86	68	0.63	0.504 to 0.749	17.6	60	70	0.10	0.36 [§]	0.10
/AFA		0.71	0.517 to 0.865	2.17	86	55	0.70*	0.572 to 0.806	0.95	60	78	-0.42 [§]	0.08	-0.59 [§]
/AFA ^{0.01}		0.74*	0.545 to 0.884	34.9	86	68	0.63	0.497 to 0.743	23.2	60	70	0.16	0.38 [§]	-0.01
/FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996)	(kg)	0.68	0.477 to 0.836	0.75	86	45	0.70*	0.577 to 0.810	0.68	84	63	-0.32 [‡]	0.06	-0.27 [‡]
/FFM ^{0.53} _(LEAN et al., 1996)		0.71	0.510 to 0.861	4.96	100	36	0.67*	0.544 to 0.783	3.63	76	58	-0.09	0.22 [‡]	0.01
/fat mass _(LEAN et al., 1996)		0.64	0.438 to 0.806	2.69	100	32	0.76 [†]	0.638 to 0.857	0.8	76	78	-0.62 [§]	-0.08	-0.63 [§]
/fat mass ^{0.05} _(LEAN et al., 1996)		0.75*	0.552 to 0.889	31.3	86	68	0.62	0.494 to 0.740	20.3	64	65	0.10	0.35 [§]	0.02
/left arm LST	(g)	0.53	0.333 to 0.713	0.01	29	100	0.69*	0.558 to 0.795	0.016	72	73	-0.42 [§]	0.01	-0.58 [§]
/left arm LST ^{0.29}		0.70	0.497 to 0.851	4.31	100	36	0.66*	0.528 to 0.769	2.93	72	63	-0.02	0.29 [‡]	0.05
/right arm LST		0.56	0.363 to 0.741	0.012	57	73	0.70*	0.576 to 0.809	0.013	68	80	-0.4 [§]	0.06	-0.57 [§]
/right arm LST ^{0.26}		0.70	0.497 to 0.851	4.21	71	68	0.66*	0.531 to 0.772	3.3	68	65	0.01	0.32 [‡]	0.08
/left leg LST		0.57	0.375 to 0.752	0.0027	29	100	0.65*	0.522 to 0.764	0.0041	60	75	-0.41 [§]	-0.09	-0.50 [§]
/left leg LST ^{0.30}		0.70	0.504 to 0.856	2.88	100	45	0.63	0.498 to 0.744	1.9	72	60	-0.02	0.24 [‡]	0.01
/right leg LST		0.53	0.333 to 0.713	0.0026	29	100	0.66*	0.530 to 0.771	0.0044	76	58	-0.37 [§]	-0.01	-0.47 [§]
/right leg LST ^{0.30}		0.68	0.477 to 0.836	2.65	100	36	0.63	0.504 to 0.749	1.72	64	63	0.01	0.27 [‡]	0.05
/arms LST		0.54	0.345 to 0.725	0.0045	29	100	0.70*	0.573 to 0.807	0.0068	68	80	-0.42 [§]	0.04	-0.55 [§]
/arms LST ^{0.30}		0.68	0.484 to 0.841	2.68	71	68	0.66*	0.528 to 0.769	2.09	64	68	-0.03	0.30 [‡]	0.07
/legs LST		0.55	0.357 to 0.736	0.0013	29	100	0.65*	0.518 to 0.761	0.002	60	75	-0.39 [§]	-0.05	-0.46 [§]
/legs LST ^{0.32}		0.68	0.477 to 0.836	1.91	100	36	0.64	0.506 to 0.751	1.25	68	63	-0.02	0.25 [‡]	0.03
/ASM	(kg)	0.54	0.345 to 0.725	1.03	29	100	0.66*	0.535 to 0.776	1.59	64	75	-0.41 [§]	-0.03	-0.46 [§]
/ASM ^{0.33}		0.66	0.464 to 0.826	14.9	100	32	0.65*	0.517 to 0.760	9.87	72	63	-0.04	0.25 [‡]	0.04
/ASM/height ²	(kg/m ²)	0.67	0.467 to 0.829	4.74	71	68	0.67*	0.538 to 0.778	4.17	76	65	-0.23 [‡]	0.34 [‡]	-0.50 [§]
/ASM/height ^{2(0.13)}		0.73*	0.531 to 0.875	31.3	100	41	0.63	0.499 to 0.745	18.9	64	65	0.12	0.38 [§]	0.06
/FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)	(kg)	0.64	0.434 to 0.808	0.71	83	50	0.74 [†]	0.619 to 0.845	0.56	88	59	-0.4 [§]	0.10	-0.39 [§]
/FFM ^{0.41} _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)		0.69	0.488 to 0.849	7.11	83	59	0.68*	0.546 to 0.787	5.29	84	51	-0.07	0.28 [‡]	-0.02
/FFM _(DXA)		0.60	0.406 to 0.779	0.41	29	100	0.73*	0.600 to 0.829	0.65	84	63	-0.43 [§]	-0.04	-0.44 [§]
/FFM ^{0.36} _(DXA)		0.70	0.504 to 0.856	10.1	100	36	0.65*	0.526 to 0.768	6.71	72	60	-0.06	0.24 [‡]	0.02
/fat mass _(DXA)		0.71	0.517 to 0.865	1.01	43	95	0.77 [†]	0.643 to 0.861	0.9	80	68	-0.53 [§]	0.03	-0.66 [§]
/fat mass ^{0.03} _(DXA)		0.74*	0.545 to 0.884	32.3	86	68	0.62	0.490 to 0.737	21.3	60	65	0.13	0.37 [§]	-0.04

Variable	Unit	Men					Women					Correlation (r) with body size		
		AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	Body mass	Height	Variable for normalization
Non-normalized		0.75 [*]	0.555 to 0.891	56.1	86	77	0.67 [*]	0.545 to 0.784	38.1	72	60	0.18	0.33 [‡]	-
/body mass	(kg)	0.77 [*]	0.580 to 0.907	0.85	86	68	0.76 [‡]	0.634 to 0.854	0.54	68	78	-0.28 [‡]	0.13	-0.28 [‡]
/body mass ^{0.44}		0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	9.04	86	77	0.71 [*]	0.586 to 0.818	6.03	76	63	-0.03	0.24 [‡]	-0.03
/body mass ^{0.67} (JARIC, 2003)		0.78 [*]	0.587 to 0.911	3.4	86	77	0.73 [‡]	0.604 to 0.832	2.28	76	65	-0.13	0.20	-0.13
/body mass ^{0.96} (ABDALLA et al., 2020)		0.77 [*]	0.573 to 0.902	1.00	86	68	0.75 [‡]	0.629 to 0.851	0.45	44	100	-0.26 [‡]	0.13	-0.26 [‡]
/body mass ^{0.69} (ABDALLA et al., 2020)		0.78 [*]	0.587 to 0.911	3.06	86	77	0.73 [*]	0.606 to 0.833	1.48	44	98	-0.15	0.19	-0.15
/height		0.73 [*]	0.531 to 0.875	33	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.584 to 0.779	33	88	48	0.12	0.21 [‡]	0.21 [‡]
/height ^{3.05} (m)	(m)	0.68	0.484 to 0.841	12.2	86	64	0.68 [*]	0.557 to 0.794	8.44	60	83	0.02	-0.03	-0.03
/forearm circumference	(cm)	0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	2.16	86	77	0.70 [*]	0.577 to 0.810	1.38	60	75	0.01	0.27 [‡]	-0.16
/forearm circumference ^{0.38}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	16.1	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.560 to 0.796	11.2	76	58	0.11	0.3 [‡]	-0.02
/calf circumference		0.74 [*]	0.545 to 0.884	1.65	86	77	0.70 [*]	0.573 to 0.807	1.06	72	68	0.02	0.26 [‡]	-0.02
/calf circumference ^{1.10}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	1.14	86	77	0.71 [*]	0.580 to 0.813	0.7	68	73	0.01	0.26 [‡]	-0.04
/chest circumference		0.76 [*]	0.566 to 0.898	0.64	86	73	0.71 [*]	0.580 to 0.813	0.4	72	65	-0.03	0.26 [‡]	-0.15
/chest circumference ^{0.56}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	4.62	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.566 to 0.801	3.06	76	60	0.06	0.29 [‡]	-0.05
/waist circumference		0.78 [*]	0.587 to 0.911	0.73	100	59	0.72 [*]	0.591 to 0.821	0.37	60	80	-0.11	0.26 [‡]	-0.24 [‡]
/waist circumference ^{0.28}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	16.5	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.563 to 0.799	11.4	76	58	0.09	0.31 [‡]	0.01
/knee height		0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	1.02	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.554 to 0.792	0.78	76	60	0.10	0.22 [‡]	0.15
/knee height ^{2.28}		0.71	0.510 to 0.861	0.0065	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.554 to 0.792	0.0041	52	83	0.01	0.09	0.24 [‡]
/half arm span		0.72 [*]	0.524 to 0.870	0.65	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.547 to 0.786	0.48	76	60	0.13	0.23 [‡]	0.26 [‡]
/half arm span ^{2.73}		0.64	0.438 to 0.806	0.48	76	60	0.67 [*]	0.545 to 0.784	0.00026	76	58	0.05	0.05	0.14
/triceps skinfold	(mm)	0.81 [‡]	0.624 to 0.932	4.22	86	68	0.70 [*]	0.575 to 0.809	1.4	60	75	-0.24 [‡]	0.26 [‡]	0.07
/triceps skinfold ^{0.18}		0.77 [*]	0.580 to 0.907	36.5	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.564 to 0.799	21.6	76	60	0.09	0.34 [‡]	0.10
/biceps skinfold		0.71	0.507 to 0.858	7.24	71	68	0.76 [‡]	0.637 to 0.856	2.5	80	65	-0.25 [‡]	0.24 [‡]	-0.38 [§]
/biceps skinfold ^{0.08}		0.77 [*]	0.573 to 0.902	48.9	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.566 to 0.801	31.3	76	60	0.12	0.32 [‡]	-0.17
/thigh skinfold		0.81 [*]	0.624 to 0.932	2.95	71	86	0.79 [‡]	0.668 to 0.879	1.18	76	73	-0.09	0.31 [‡]	-0.26 [‡]
/thigh skinfold ^{0.03}		0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	51.1	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.553 to 0.790	34.1	72	63	0.16	0.33 [‡]	-0.04
/medial calf skinfold		0.83 [‡]	0.646 to 0.944	4.61	86	73	0.77 [‡]	0.646 to 0.863	1.6	76	70	-0.09	0.23 [‡]	-0.38 [§]
/medial calf skinfold ^{0.02}		0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	53.6	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.551 to 0.789	37.1	76	60	0.17	0.32 [‡]	-0.02
/biacromial breadth	(mm)	0.73 [*]	0.531 to 0.875	1.42	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.552 to 0.789	1.07	76	58	0.08	0.27 [‡]	0.13
/biacromial breadth ^{1.67}		0.71	0.510 to 0.861	0.12	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.550 to 0.788	0.11	84	50	0.02	0.22 [‡]	0.26 [‡]
/bitrochanteric breadth		0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	1.72	86	77	0.70 [*]	0.572 to 0.806	1.16	76	60	0.08	0.27 [‡]	0.01
/bitrochanteric breadth ^{0.69}		0.74 [*]	0.545 to 0.884	5.1	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.567 to 0.802	3.48	76	60	0.11	0.28 [‡]	-0.04
/bimalleolar breadth		0.73 [*]	0.531 to 0.875	8.77	86	77	0.70 [*]	0.575 to 0.808	5.77	76	65	0.10	0.24 [‡]	0.12
/bimalleolar breadth ^{1.20}		0.71 [*]	0.517 to 0.865	6.01	86	68	0.70 [*]	0.577 to 0.810	3.93	76	65	0.08	0.22 [‡]	0.13
/elbow breadth		0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	9.36	86	73	0.70 [*]	0.569 to 0.804	6.57	76	63	0.05	0.28 [‡]	-0.09
/elbow breadth ^{0.10}		0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	47.2	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.548 to 0.786	32.7	76	60	0.16	0.32 [‡]	-0.10
/wrist breadth		0.79 [*]	0.602 to 0.919	11.5	100	64	0.66 [*]	0.536 to 0.776	7.33	72	58	0.07	0.29 [‡]	-0.05
/wrist breadth ^{0.44}		0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	26.1	86	77	0.67 [*]	0.542 to 0.782	18.4	72	63	0.13	0.31 [‡]	0.03
/chest breadth		0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	1.85	86	77	0.66 [*]	0.532 to 0.773	1.44	76	55	0.05	0.27 [‡]	0.02
/chest breadth ^{0.68}		0.73 [*]	0.531 to 0.875	5.45	86	77	0.66 [*]	0.536 to 0.776	4.06	76	58	0.09	0.29 [‡]	0.06
/body mass*height	(kg*m)	0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	0.52	86	64	0.75 [‡]	0.627 to 0.849	0.33	68	78	-0.33 [‡]	0.02	0.10
/(body mass*height) ^{0.48}		0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	5.83	86	77	0.72 [*]	0.589 to 0.820	4.06	76	65	-0.07	0.18	0.14
/SA	(m ²)	0.75 [*]	0.552 to 0.889	31.6	86	77	0.72 [*]	0.593 to 0.823	21.2	72	68	-0.09	0.18	-0.29 [‡]
/SA ^{0.93}		0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	33	86	77	0.72 [*]	0.589 to 0.820	22.7	76	65	-0.07	0.19	-0.03
/MAMC	(cm)	0.77 [*]	0.573 to 0.902	2.42	86	73	0.72 [*]	0.592 to 0.822	1.54	64	75	-0.03	0.26 [‡]	-0.11

Variable	Unit	Men					Women					Correlation (r) with body size		
		AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	Body mass	Height	Variable for normalization
/MAMC ^{0.18}	(cm ²)	0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	32	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.557 to 0.794	22.5	76	60	0.14	0.31 [‡]	-0.09
/CAMA		0.71 [*]	0.517 to 0.865	2.03	100	41	0.73 [*]	0.602 to 0.830	0.9	52	93	-0.26 [‡]	0.14	-0.24 [‡]
/CAMA ^{0.08}		0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	41.7	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.558 to 0.795	28.5	72	63	0.13	0.31 [‡]	0.04
/FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996)	(kg)	0.76 [*]	0.566 to 0.898	1.11	86	77	0.72 [*]	0.592 to 0.822	1	72	68	-0.09	0.18	-0.18
/FFM ^{0.88} _(LEAN et al., 1996)		0.75 [*]	0.559 to 0.893	1.77	86	77	0.71 [*]	0.583 to 0.815	1.53	76	65	-0.06	0.20	0.20
/left arm LST	(g)	0.64	0.438 to 0.806	0.026	86	59	0.70 [*]	0.568 to 0.803	0.021	52	88	-0.18	0.14	0.05
/left arm LST ^{0.26}		0.72 [*]	0.524 to 0.870	7.77	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.560 to 0.796	5.86	72	63	0.08	0.28 [‡]	0.07
/right arm LST		0.62	0.425 to 0.795	0.026	100	45	0.70 [*]	0.578 to 0.811	0.013	40	98	-0.17	0.18	-0.30 [‡]
/right arm LST ^{0.22}		0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	10.1	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.559 to 0.795	7.44	72	63	0.09	0.3 [‡]	0.07
/left leg LST		0.68	0.477 to 0.836	0.0079	86	64	0.68 [*]	0.553 to 0.790	0.0048	44	93	-0.18	0.08	-0.15
/left leg LST ^{0.64}		0.72 [*]	0.524 to 0.870	0.19	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.560 to 0.796	0.12	44	88	-0.05	0.17	0.19
/right leg LST		0.65	0.451 to 0.816	0.0079	86	55	0.69 [*]	0.561 to 0.797	0.005	44	93	-0.15	0.13	-0.14
/right leg LST ^{0.58}		0.73 [*]	0.531 to 0.875	0.33	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.562 to 0.798	0.21	48	83	-0.01	0.21 [‡]	0.01
/arms LST		0.63	0.432 to 0.801	0.012	86	55	0.70 [*]	0.572 to 0.806	0.009	52	85	-0.18	0.17	-0.18
/arms LST ^{0.26}		0.72 [*]	0.524 to 0.870	6.38	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.561 to 0.797	4.76	72	63	0.08	0.29 [‡]	0.01
/legs LST		0.68	0.477 to 0.836	0.0039	86	59	0.68 [*]	0.556 to 0.793	0.0024	44	93	-0.16	0.10	-0.17
/legs LST ^{0.64}		0.73 [*]	0.531 to 0.875	0.12	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.559 to 0.795	0.08	44	88	-0.04	0.18	0.18
/ASM	(kg)	0.66	0.457 to 0.821	2.99	86	55	0.69 [*]	0.561 to 0.797	1.74	44	93	-0.17	0.12	-0.17
/ASM ^{0.56}		0.72 [*]	0.524 to 0.870	10.7	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.565 to 0.801	5.68	36	98	-0.02	0.21 [‡]	-0.01
/ASM/height ²	(kg/m ²)	0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	8.47	86	73	0.70 [*]	0.568 to 0.803	4.88	48	88	-0.06	0.34 [‡]	-0.28 [‡]
/ASM/height ^{2(0.23)}		0.73 [*]	0.538 to 0.880	36.3	86	77	0.68 [*]	0.554 to 0.791	22.4	60	73	0.12	0.33 [‡]	-0.10
/FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)	(kg)	0.78 [*]	0.584 to 0.914	1.13	83	73	0.75 [†]	0.620 to 0.845	0.83	76	64	-0.14	0.20	-0.03
/FFM ^{0.67} _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)		0.76 [*]	0.559 to 0.898	3.94	83	77	0.72 [*]	0.592 to 0.823	2.91	76	64	-0.03	0.24 [‡]	0.16
/FFM _(DXA)		0.70	0.504 to 0.856	1.16	86	73	0.70 [*]	0.575 to 0.808	0.67	44	93	-0.17	0.11	-0.08
/FFM ^{0.38} _(DXA)		0.72 [*]	0.524 to 0.870	12.7	86	77	0.69 [*]	0.562 to 0.798	9.48	72	60	0.04	0.24 [‡]	0.02
knee extensionPT ^{60°/s} (Nm)														
Non-normalized	(kg)	0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	85.4	86	95	0.74 [†]	0.619 to 0.843	66.6	64	75	0.20	0.42 [§]	-
/body mass		0.90 [†]	0.734 to 0.981	1.26	86	91	0.84 [†]	0.726 to 0.918	0.93	72	88	-0.32 [‡]	0.17	-0.32 [‡]
/body mass ^{0.37}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	18.2	86	95	0.80 [†]	0.677 to 0.885	16.2	80	68	0.01	0.33 [‡]	0.01
/body mass ^{0.67} _(DAVIES; DALSKY, 1997)		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	5.06	86	95	0.82 [†]	0.704 to 0.904	3.71	68	88	-0.15	0.26 [‡]	-0.15
/body mass ^{0.72} _(DAVIES; DALSKY, 1997)		0.94 [†]	0.778 to 0.993	4.1	86	95	0.82 [†]	0.710 to 0.907	3.14	72	85	-0.18	0.24 [‡]	-0.18
/body mass ^{0.74} _(DAVIES; DALSKY, 1997)		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	3.77	86	95	0.82 [†]	0.710 to 0.907	2.87	72	85	-0.19	0.24 [‡]	-0.19
/body mass ^{0.67} _(JARIC, 2003)		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	5.06	86	95	0.82 [†]	0.704 to 0.904	3.71	68	88	-0.15	0.26 [‡]	-0.15
/height	(m)	0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	54.1	86	95	0.74 [†]	0.620 to 0.843	44.1	64	75	0.14	0.30 [‡]	0.30 [‡]
/height ^{3.27}		0.86 [†]	0.677 to 0.958	19.2	100	77	0.74 [†]	0.617 to 0.842	17.4	72	65	0.01	0.01	0.01
/forearm circumference	(cm)	0.94 [†]	0.787 to 0.995	3.88	100	82	0.80 [†]	0.683 to 0.890	2.59	60	88	0.01	0.36 [§]	-0.09
/forearm circumference ^{0.59}		0.95 [†]	0.796 to 0.996	14.9	100	86	0.78 [†]	0.664 to 0.876	12.8	92	55	0.08	0.38 [§]	0.02
/calf circumference		0.90 [†]	0.734 to 0.981	2.46	86	91	0.79 [†]	0.676 to 0.884	2.13	84	65	0.02	0.34 [‡]	-0.01
/calf circumference ^{1.18}		0.90 [†]	0.734 to 0.981	1.31	86	91	0.80 [†]	0.686 to 0.891	1.2	88	63	-0.01	0.32 [‡]	-0.05
/chest circumference		0.90 [†]	0.726 to 0.978	0.9	86	91	0.79 [†]	0.676 to 0.884	0.67	64	83	-0.03	0.34 [‡]	-0.12
/chest circumference ^{0.72}		0.90 [†]	0.734 to 0.981	3.16	86	91	0.78 [†]	0.663 to 0.876	2.27	60	85	0.03	0.36 [§]	-0.04
/waist circumference		0.90 [†]	0.726 to 0.978	0.93	86	91	0.79 [†]	0.670 to 0.880	0.71	68	83	-0.13	0.33 [‡]	-0.23 [‡]
/waist circumference ^{0.40}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	13.8	86	95	0.77 [†]	0.648 to 0.865	11.4	72	73	0.07	0.38 [§]	-0.01
/knee height		0.94 [†]	0.778 to 0.993	1.83	100	86	0.75 [†]	0.624 to 0.847	1.44	68	73	0.12	0.30 [‡]	0.14

Variable	Unit	Men					Women					Correlation (r) with body size		
		AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point ($\leq$)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point ($\leq$)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	Body mass	Height	Variable for normalization
/knee height ^{1.82}		0.90 [†]	0.734 to 0.981	0.068	100	86	0.74 [†]	0.617 to 0.842	0.053	64	75	0.05	0.21 [‡]	0.02
/half arm span		0.91 [†]	0.743 to 0.984	1.04	86	91	0.75 [†]	0.627 to 0.849	0.9	68	70	0.15	0.31 [‡]	0.11
/half arm span ^{1.62}		0.88 [†]	0.709 to 0.972	0.076	100	73	0.75 [†]	0.631 to 0.852	0.066	84	58	0.11	0.24 [‡]	0.01
/triceps skinfold	(mm)	0.86 [†]	0.677 to 0.958	5.26	86	86	0.78 [†]	0.660 to 0.873	2.45	64	85	-0.25 [‡]	0.26 [‡]	-0.57 [§]
/triceps skinfold ^{0.15}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	55.6	86	95	0.76 [†]	0.641 to 0.860	47.6	80	63	0.12	0.42 [§]	-0.12
/biceps skinfold		0.79 [*]	0.602 to 0.919	16.8	100	59	0.82 [†]	0.704 to 0.904	5.3	92	63	-0.27 [‡]	0.25 [‡]	-0.56 [§]
/biceps skinfold ^{0.06}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	76.1	86	95	0.75 [†]	0.631 to 0.852	71.9	88	53	0.16	0.41 [§]	-0.14
/thigh skinfold		0.92 [†]	0.751 to 0.986	5.31	100	86	0.82 [†]	0.708 to 0.907	1.85	72	83	-0.11	0.32 [‡]	-0.57 [§]
/thigh skinfold ^{0.10}		0.90 [†]	0.734 to 0.981	115	86	91	0.73 [†]	0.601 to 0.829	105	76	60	0.23 [‡]	0.39 [§]	-0.06
/medial calf skinfold		0.92 [†]	0.760 to 0.988	7.21	86	95	0.83 [†]	0.720 to 0.914	2.5	72	85	-0.10	0.25 [‡]	-0.51 [§]
/medial calf skinfold ^{0.003}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	84.8	86	95	0.74 [†]	0.620 to 0.843	66	64	75	0.20	0.41 [§]	-0.04
/biacromial breadth		0.90 [†]	0.726 to 0.978	2.56	100	73	0.76 [†]	0.642 to 0.861	2.04	84	60	0.1	0.35 [§]	0.15
/biacromial breadth ^{2.15}		0.82 [†]	0.631 to 0.936	0.038	86	68	0.77 [†]	0.647 to 0.864	0.03	76	73	-0.01	0.27 [‡]	-0.04
/bitrochanteric breadth	(mm)	0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	2.56	86	95	0.79 [†]	0.666 to 0.877	2.13	76	73	0.08	0.35 [§]	-0.08
/bitrochanteric breadth ^{0.50}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	14.8	86	95	0.77 [†]	0.647 to 0.864	14.1	88	58	0.14	0.38 [§]	0.01
/bimalleolar breadth		0.88 [†]	0.701 to 0.969	13.6	86	86	0.79 [†]	0.666 to 0.877	12.0	84	65	0.10	0.31 [‡]	0.03
/bimalleolar breadth ^{1.54}		0.81 [*]	0.616 to 0.928	5.04	86	73	0.80 [†]	0.681 to 0.888	4.64	92	60	0.06	0.26 [‡]	-0.07
/elbow breadth		0.90 [†]	0.726 to 0.978	14	86	86	0.79 [†]	0.674 to 0.884	13.9	88	63	0.07	0.37 [§]	-0.20
/elbow breadth ^{0.10}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	70.6	86	95	0.75 [†]	0.624 to 0.847	56.6	64	75	0.18	0.41 [§]	0.01
/wrist breadth		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	15.9	86	95	0.76 [†]	0.636 to 0.856	14.2	68	73	0.09	0.38 [§]	-0.07
/wrist breadth ^{0.80}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	22.1	86	95	0.76 [†]	0.635 to 0.855	19.7	72	73	0.11	0.38 [§]	-0.03
/chest breadth		0.89 [†]	0.718 to 0.975	3.33	100	73	0.75 [†]	0.625 to 0.848	2.2	56	83	0.07	0.37 [§]	0.05
/chest breadth ^{1.40}		0.88 [†]	0.701 to 0.969	0.85	100	73	0.74 [†]	0.611 to 0.837	0.77	84	55	0.02	0.34 [‡]	-0.04
/body mass*height	(kg*m)	0.84 [†]	0.654 to 0.947	0.8	86	77	0.84 [†]	0.729 to 0.920	0.6	72	88	-0.38 [§]	0.04	-0.32 [‡]
/(body mass*height) ^{0.43}		0.94 [†]	0.787 to 0.995	13	100	82	0.80 [†]	0.681 to 0.888	10.5	84	65	-0.06	0.26 [‡]	0.01
/(body mass*height) ^{0.97} (SEGAL et al., 2008)		0.86 [†]	0.677 to 0.958	0.9	86	77	0.84 [†]	0.729 to 0.920	0.68	72	88	-0.37 [§]	0.05	-0.31 [‡]
/SA	(m ²)	0.94 [†]	0.787 to 0.995	56.9	100	82	0.81 [†]	0.692 to 0.896	36.3	64	88	-0.10	0.24 [‡]	-0.04
/SA ^{0.83}		0.94 [†]	0.778 to 0.993	53.8	86	95	0.80 [†]	0.680 to 0.887	50	84	65	-0.05	0.28 [‡]	0.01
/MAMC	(cm)	0.95 [†]	0.796 to 0.996	4.11	100	86	0.80 [†]	0.677 to 0.885	2.82	68	88	-0.04	0.34 [‡]	-0.21 [‡]
/MAMC ^{0.25}		0.94 [†]	0.778 to 0.993	45.9	100	82	0.77 [†]	0.643 to 0.861	32.9	72	70	0.14	0.40 [§]	0.08
/CAMA	(cm ²)	0.96 [†]	0.806 to 0.998	2.66	100	82	0.80 [†]	0.685 to 0.891	2.49	84	73	-0.31 [‡]	0.18	-0.58 [§]
/CAMA ^{0.11}		0.94 [†]	0.778 to 0.993	68.5	100	82	0.77 [†]	0.643 to 0.861	48.7	72	70	0.14	0.40 [§]	0.10
/FFM _(LEAN et al., 1996)	(kg)	0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	1.79	86	95	0.81 [†]	0.697 to 0.899	1.84	76	80	-0.11	0.23 [‡]	-0.10
/FFM ^{0.53} _(LEAN et al., 1996)		0.94 [†]	0.778 to 0.993	10.7	86	95	0.79 [†]	0.674 to 0.884	11.2	84	65	0.04	0.32 [‡]	0.06
/left arm LST	(g)	0.81 [*]	0.616 to 0.928	0.047	86	68	0.76 [†]	0.641 to 0.860	0.047	76	75	-0.20	0.19	-0.35 [§]
/left arm LST ^{0.32}		0.91 [†]	0.743 to 0.984	7.65	86	91	0.77 [†]	0.646 to 0.863	6.72	72	70	0.07	0.36 [§]	0.09
/right arm LST		0.80 [*]	0.609 to 0.924	0.034	71	86	0.78 [†]	0.662 to 0.875	0.036	68	83	-0.18	0.21 [‡]	-0.44 [§]
/right arm LST ^{0.09}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	41.8	86	95	0.76 [†]	0.635 to 0.855	38.8	80	63	0.16	0.40 [§]	0.10
/left leg LST		0.82 [†]	0.631 to 0.936	0.015	100	55	0.76 [†]	0.636 to 0.856	0.012	68	80	-0.19	0.13	-0.25 [‡]
/left leg LST ^{0.43}		0.92 [†]	0.760 to 0.988	2.26	100	77	0.77 [†]	0.648 to 0.865	1.83	76	70	0.03	0.30 [‡]	0.05
/right leg LST		0.77 [*]	0.580 to 0.907	0.015	100	50	0.78 [†]	0.662 to 0.875	0.013	76	78	-0.16	0.18	-0.21
/right leg LST ^{0.48}		0.90 [†]	0.734 to 0.981	1.39	100	73	0.78 [†]	0.656 to 0.870	1.16	80	70	0.02	0.31 [‡]	0.05
/arms LST		0.81 [*]	0.616 to 0.928	0.018	71	82	0.78 [†]	0.656 to 0.870	0.022	76	78	-0.19	0.21	-0.38 [§]
/arms LST ^{0.21}		0.92 [†]	0.751 to 0.986	14.8	86	95	0.77 [†]	0.651 to 0.867	14.0	80	63	0.11	0.38 [§]	0.10
/legs LST		0.81 [*]	0.616 to 0.928	0.0063	71	82	0.77 [†]	0.651 to 0.867	0.0065	76	78	-0.18	0.15	-0.21 [‡]

Variable	Unit	Men					Women					Correlation (r) with body size		
		AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	AUC	95% CI	Cut-off point (≤)	Sens (%)	Spe (%)	Body mass	Height	Variable for normalization
/legs LST ^{0.47}		0.92 [†]	0.751 to 0.986	1.07	100	73	0.77 [†]	0.652 to 0.868	0.87	76	70	0.02	0.30 [‡]	0.05
/ASM	(kg)	0.81 [*]	0.624 to 0.932	4.66	71	86	0.77 [†]	0.652 to 0.868	5.01	76	78	-0.18	0.17	-0.23 [‡]
/ASM ^{0.42}		0.91 [†]	0.743 to 0.984	27.2	86	86	0.77 [†]	0.646 to 0.863	23.9	76	70	0.03	0.32 [‡]	0.07
/ASM/height ²	(kg/m ²)	0.88 [†]	0.701 to 0.969	11.9	71	95	0.78 [†]	0.656 to 0.870	11.5	72	78	-0.06	0.39 [§]	-0.35 [§]
/ASM/height ^{2(0.02)}		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	82.3	86	95	0.74 [†]	0.620 to 0.843	64.6	64	75	0.19	0.41 [§]	0.06
/FFM _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)	(kg)	0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.992	1.63	83	95	0.85 [†]	0.734 to 0.924	1.6	88	77	-0.16	0.25 [‡]	-0.15
/FFM ^{0.61} _(BAUMGARTNER et al., 1991)		0.92 [†]	0.759 to 0.990	7.54	83	95	0.81 [†]	0.694 to 0.898	7.2	88	67	-0.02	0.32 [‡]	0.01
/FFM _(DXA)		0.84 [†]	0.654 to 0.947	2.08	100	59	0.79 [†]	0.672 to 0.882	1.89	76	75	-0.19	0.17	-0.23 [‡]
/FFM ^{0.32} _(DXA)		0.93 [†]	0.769 to 0.991	26.1	86	95	0.77 [†]	0.652 to 0.868	25.9	88	55	0.07	0.34 [‡]	0.09

*p<0.05 and †p<0.001 (statistically significant AUC)

‡p<0.05 and §p<0.001 (statistically significant correlation)

Dependent variable (primary outcome): functional limitation (6MWT<400 m)

Note: AUC=area under the curve; CI=confidence interval; p=significance; Sens=sensitivity; Spe=specificity; SA=surface area of human body; MAMC=mid-arm muscle circumference; CAMA=corrected arm muscle area; AFA=arm fat area; FFM=Fat-free mass; LST=lean soft tissue; ASM=appendicular skeletal muscle mass; DXA=Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; 6MWT=six-minute walk test.