Supplemental data





Figure. S 1: **Example of montage.** The tiles cut from each magnification were converted into feature vectors by ElEx and clustered based on the similarity. In order to demonstrate the overview of each cluster, 120 tiles were randomly selected from each cluster and a montage was created. These examples are all tiles extracted at 5x magnification from different cases; A is classified as acellular fibrosis and B as complete normal.






Figure. S 2: Example of tiles for each class.




Figure. S 3: Additional examples of feature extraction. A: A case of interstitial pneumonia related to systemic sclerosis with a histological diagnosis of "probable UIP". B, C: Preview of 20x feature extractor with high magnification




Figure. S 4: Score of random forest regressor and the actual histopathological diagnosis. The x-axis shows the actual pathological diagnosis for each case, and the y-axis shows the predicted UIP score by the random forest 5x model. Cases regarded as UIP from its pathology report are shown in orange, and not UIPs are shown in blue.




Figure. S 5: Quantitative correlation of extracted findings. Because similar findings were extracted for each magnification, pairs of findings with correlated occurrences were found in the same case. There is a positive correlation between acellular fibrosis extracted at 2.5x and acellular fibrosis extracted at 5x. Similarly, there is a very strong positive correlation between lymphatic follicles extracted at 5x and lymphocytes extracted at 20x.

Table. S 1: Feature importance of 20x model		
Findings	Importance	
Dense fibrosis, 20x	3.540	
Fibroblastic foci, 20x	2.875	
Elastosis, 20x	3.685	
Fat, 20x	6.099	
Lymphocyte aggregation, 20x	2.610	
Mucin, 20x	2.765	
Bronchiolar epithelium, 20x	5.218	

Table. S 2: Feature importance of 2.5x+5x model		
Findings	Importance	
Acellular fibrosis, 2.5x	1.802	
Cellular fibrosis, 2.5x	2.112	
Near Normal, 2.5x	1.902	
Acellular fibrosis, 5x	3.473	
Cellular and fibrotic IP, 5x	2.724	
Cellular IP/ cNSIP, 5x	7.102	
Lymphoid follicle, 5x	2.234	
Edge, 5x	3.598	
Pale, 5x 2.335		
IP: interstitial pneumonia, cNSIP: cellular		
non-specific interstitial pneumonia		

Table. S 3: Feature importance of 5x+20x model		
Findings	Importance	
Acellular fibrosis, 5x	2.223	
Cellular fibrotic IP, 5x	2.183	
Cellular IP/ NSIP, 5x	5.175	
Lymphoid follicle, 5x	1.336	
Edge, 5x	2.124	
Pale, 5x	1.644	
Dense fibrosis, 20x	1.492	
Fibroblastic foci, 20x	1.200	
Elastosis, 20x	1.326	
Fat, 20x	3.357	
Lymphocyte aggregation, 20x	1.003	
Mucin, 20x	1.518	
Bronchiolar epithelium, 20x	3.067	

IP: interstitial pneumonia, cNSIP: cellular non-specific interstitial pneumonia

Table. S 4: Feature importance of 2.5x+5x+20x model.		
Findings	Importance	
Acellular fibrosis, 2.5x	0.751	
Cellular fibrosis, 2.5x	1.412	
Near normal, 2.5x	0.767	
Acellular fibrosis, 5x	2.030	
Cellular fibrotic IP, 5x	1.850	
Cellular IP/ NSIP, 5x	4.690	
Lymphoid follicle, 5x	0.994	
Edge, 5x	1.834	
Pale, 5x	1.362	
Dense fibrosis, 20x	1.356	
Fibroblastic foci, 20x	0.959	
Elastosis, 20x	1.282	
Fat, 20x	3.415	
Lymphocyte aggregation, 20x	0.899	
Mucous, 20x	1.247	
Bronchiolar epithelium, 20x	2.929	
IP: interstitial pneumonia, NSIP: non-		
specific interstitial pneumonia		

5.5. On prediction by	y support v	rector machine algorith
	AUC	95% CI
Proposed model		
2.5x	0.87	0.77 - 0.97
5x	0.89	0.79 - 0.97
20x	0.9	0.81 - 0.98
2.5x + 5x	0.86	0.76 - 0.97
5x + 20x	0.88	0.79 - 0.97
2.5x + 20x	0.89	0.80 - 0.98
2.5x + 5x + 20x	0.86	0.77 - 0.96
Non-integrated m	odel	
k=4	0.47	0.31 - 0.63
k=8	0.55	0.39 - 0.71
k=10	0.56	0.40 - 0.72
k=20	0.51	0.35 - 0.67
k=30	0.47	0.31 - 0.63
k=50	0.61	0.46 - 0.77
k=80	0.61	0.46 - 0.76

Table. S 5: UIP prediction by support vector machine algorithm

AUC: area under the receiver operator characteristic curve, CI: confidence interval

	HR	95% CI	p value
Cellular fibrosis	1.13	0.69 – 1.84	n.s.
Cellular IP/ NSIP	0.81	0.33 - 1.97	n.s.
Edge	1.22	0.76 - 1.98	n.s.
Dense fibrosis	1.30	0.80 - 2.12	n.s.
Fibroblastic focus	1.67	1.13 - 2.46	0.0010
Elastosis	1.09	0.65 - 1.81	n.s.
Fat	1.06	0.75 - 1.50	n.s.
Lymphocyte aggregation	1.27	0.60 - 2.71	n.s.
Mucin	1.19	0.80 - 1.76	n.s.
Bronchiolar epithelium	0.59	0.37 - 0.94	0.0268

 Table. S 6: Subgroup analysis consisting of cases diagnosed as UIP by pathologists. In the Cox proportional hazards model, the amount of fibroblastic foci was confirmed to be a risk factor.

IP: interstitial pneumonia, NSIP: non-specific interstitial pneumonia, HR: hazard ratio, CI: confidence interval, n.s.: non-significant

 Table.
 S 7: Subgroup analysis consisting of cases diagnosed as not UIP by pathologists. In the Cox proportional hazards model, the aggregated lymphocytes were identified as a risk factor.

	HR	95% CI	p value
Cellular fibrosis	0.19	0.028 - 1.26	0.0855
Cellular IP/ NSIP	0.83	0.25 - 2.76	n.s.
Edge	1.52	0.68 - 3.97	n.s.
Dense fibrosis	1.59	0.44 - 5.67	n.s.
Fibroblastic focus	1.87	0.69 - 5.06	n.s.
Elastosis	2.16	0.57 - 8.17	n.s.
Fat	0.56	0.29 - 10.77	n.s.
Lymphocyte aggregation	2.87	1.03 - 7.97	0.0426
Mucin	1.24	2.30 - 5.17	n.s.
Bronchiolar epithelium	1.02	0.32 - 3.25	n.s.

IP: interstitial pneumonia, NSIP: non-specific interstitial pneumonia, HR: hazard ratio, CI: confidence interval, , n.s.: nonsignificant