Will a natural collective immunity of Ukrainians restrain new COVID-19 waves?

Igor Nesteruk

Institute of Hydromechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine

Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute, Kyiv, Ukraine.

inesteruk@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The visible and real sizes the COVID-19 epidemic in Ukraine were estimated with the use of the number of laboratory-confirmed cases (accumulated in May and June 2021), the generalized SIR-model and the parameter identification procedure taking into account the difference between registered and real number of cases. The calculated optimal value of the visibility coefficient shows that most Ukrainians have already been infected with the coronavirus, and some more than once, i.e., Ukrainians have probably achieved a natural collective immunity. Nevertheless, a large number of new strains and short-lived antibodies can cause new pandemic waves. In particular, the beginning of such a wave, we probably see in Ukraine in mid-July 2021. The further dynamics of the epidemic and its comparison with the results of mathematical modeling will be able to answer many important questions about the natural immunity and effectiveness of vaccines.

Keywords: COVID-19 pandemic, epidemic dynamics in Ukraine, mathematical modeling of infection diseases, SIR model, parameter identification, statistical methods.

Introduction

The early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic outbreak in Ukraine were discussed in [1, 2]. Further pandemic dynamics in this country was investigated with the use of the classical SIR model [3-5] and the statistics-based method of its parameter identification proposed in [6]. The results presented in [7-10] showed that this approach is able to predict only the first epidemic waves and only in the cases when the number of registered cases reflects the real one (first predictions based on the initial datasets were two optimistic). Nevertheless, fairly accurate predictions about the first epidemic waves have been done for Italy, Austria, Germany, France, Spain, China, and South Korea [11].

The weakening of quarantine restrictions, changes in the social behavior and the coronavirus mutations caused changes in the epidemic dynamics and corresponding parameters of models. To detect these new epidemic waves, a simple method of numerical differentiations of the smoothed number of cases was proposed in [12, 13]. Predictions based on [3-6] for Ukraine, USA, UK, and the Republic of Moldova were not very reliable, since the second waves in these countries started before the finishing the first one [11]. To simulate different epidemic waves, the generalized SIR-model [14] and corresponding parameter identification procedure [15] were proposed. In particular, nine epidemic waves in Ukraine were calculated [11, 16, 17].

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Due to the large number of asymptomatic COVID-19 patients, the actual number of infected far exceeds the number of laboratory-confirmed cases, [18-23]. In order to assess the extent of data

incompleteness, the identification algorithm for SIR-parameters was modified in [24, 25]. It allowed us to determine the true sizes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Ukraine [24-26] and in Qatar [27, 28]. In this paper we present the results of SIR simulations of the new pandemic wave in Ukraine based on the dataset for the number of cases registered in the period May 25 – June 7, 2021. The visible and real dimensions of the 11-th pandemic wave in Ukraine will be estimated and discussed.

Data

Day in corresponding month of 2021	Number of cases in March, V_j	Number of cases in April, V_j	Number of cases in May, V_j	Number of cases in June, V_j	Number of cases in July, V_j
1	1357470	1711630	2083180	2206836	2236497
2	1364705	1731971	2085938	2209417	2237202
3	1374762	1745709	2088410	2211683	2237579
4	1384917	1755888	2090986	2213580	2237823
5	1394061	1769164	2097024	2214517	2238364
6	1401228	1784579	2105428	2215052	2238974
7	1406800	1803998	2114138	2216654	2239591
8	1410061	1823674	2119510	2218039	2240246
9	1416438	1841137	2122327	2219824	2240753
10	1425522	1853249	2124535	2221427	2241043
11	1438468	1861105	2129073	2222701	2241217
12	1451744	1872785	2135886	2223558	2241698
13	1460756	1887338	2143448	2223978	2242245
14	1467548	1903765	2150244	2224992	2242868
15	1477190	1921244	2153864	2226037	2243605
16	1489023	1936228	2156000	2227225	2244196
17	1504076	1946510	2160095	2228192	2244495
18	1519926	1953016	2165233	2229044	2244677
19	1535218	1961956	2170398	2229523	2245275
20	1546363	1974118	2175382	2229846	-
21	1554256	1990353	2179988	2230142	-
22	1565732	2004630	2182521	2230977	-
23	1579906	2017341	2183855	2231914	-
24	1596575	2025271	2186463	2232790	-
25	1614707	2030333	2189858	2233546	-
26	1632131	2038248	2193367	2233996	-
27	1644063	2047838	2196673	2234281	-
28	1652409	2059465	2199769	2234463	-
29	1662942	2069537	2201472	2235096	-
30	1674168	2078086	2202494	2235801	-
31	1691737	-	2204631	-	-

Table 1. Cumulative numbers of laboratory-confirmed Covid-19 cases in Ukraine V_j in the spring and summer of 2021 according to the national statistics, [29, 30].

We will use the data set regarding the accumulated numbers of laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases in Ukraine from national sources [29, 30]. The corresponding numbers V_j and moments of time t_j (measured in days) are shown in Table 1. The values for the period T_{c10} : March 11-24, 2021 have been used in [24, 25] for SIR simulations of the tenth epidemic wave in Ukraine. Here we use the fresher dataset, corresponding to the period T_{c11} : May 23 – June 5, 2021 to simulate the 11-th wave. Other V_j and t_j values will be used to control the accuracy of predictions and pandemic dynamics.

Generalized SIR model and parameter identification procedure

The description of the generalized SIR-model and the exact solution of the set of non-linear differential equations relating the number of susceptible S , infectious I and removed persons R can be found in [14]. This solution uses the function

$$V(t) = I(t) + R(t), \quad (1)$$

corresponding to the number of victims or the cumulative confirmed number of cases. Its derivative:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \alpha_i SI \quad (2)$$

yields the estimation of the average daily number of new cases. When the registered number of victims V_j is a random realization of its theoretical dependence (1), the exact solution presented in [14] depends on five parameters (α_i is one of them). The details of the optimization procedure for their identification can be found in [15].

If we assume, that data set V_j is incomplete and there is a constant coefficient $\beta_i \geq 1$, relating the registered and real number of cases during the i -th epidemic wave:

$$V(t_j) \cong \beta_i V_j, \quad (3)$$

the number of unknown parameters increases by one. The procedure of their identification was presented in [24,25]. The values V_j , corresponding to the moments of time t_j from the period March 11-24, 2021 have been used in [24, 25] to find the optimal values of these parameters corresponding to the tenth epidemic wave in Ukraine. In particular, the optimal value of the visibility coefficient $\beta_{10}=3.7$ was calculated.

Monitoring changes in epidemic parameters and selection of epidemic waves

Changes in the epidemic conditions (in particular, the peculiarities of quarantine and its violation, situations with testing and isolation of patients, emergence of new strains of the pathogen) cause the changes in its dynamics, i.e. so known epidemic waves. To control these changes we can use daily or weekly numbers of new cases and their derivatives (see, e.g., [10, 12, 13]). Since these values are random, we need some smoothing (especially for daily amounts, which are also characterized by some weekly periodicity). For example, we can use the smoothed daily number of accumulated cases:

$$\bar{V}_i = \frac{1}{7} \sum_{j=i-3}^{j=i+3} V_j, \quad (4)$$

The first and second derivatives can be estimated with the use of following formulas:

$$\left. \frac{d \bar{V}}{dt} \right|_{t=t_i} \approx \frac{1}{2} (\bar{V}_{i+1} - \bar{V}_{i-1}) \quad (5)$$

$$\left. \frac{d^2 \bar{V}}{dt^2} \right|_{t=t_i} \approx \bar{V}_{i+1} - 2\bar{V}_i + \bar{V}_{i-1} \quad (6)$$

Results and Discussion

First we have used the number of laboratory-confirmed cases (accumulated in the period the period T_{c11} : May 23 – June 5, 2021 and presented in Table 1) for SIR simulations of the 11-th pandemic wave in Ukraine, i.e. we supposed that $\beta_i=1$ for $i=11$. The optimal values of parameters and other characteristics of this wave are calculated and listed in Table 2 (middle column). Comparison with the corresponding values for the tenth epidemic wave in Ukraine (see Table 2 in [25]) shows, that the optimal values of SIR parameters are very different for 11-th and 10-th pandemic waves. In particular, the estimations for the average time of spreading the infection $1/\rho_{11}=4.1$ days (in comparison with $1/\rho_{10}=22.3$ days for the tenth wave). The assessment of the 11-th epidemic wave duration (final day - August 25, 2021 corresponding the moment when the number of infectious persons becomes less that unit) is very optimistic (compare to March 29, 2022 for tenth wave).

The difference in saturation levels (final sizes) are not so large ($V_{11\infty}=2,226,797$ and $V_{10\infty}=1,783,175$). As of July 18, 2021 the registered number of COVID-19 cases in Ukraine (2,244,677) has already exceeded the saturation level of the eleventh epidemic wave. Since the difference recorded on day 43 after the last day of period used for the calculations T_{c11} is only 0.8% ,

we have another confirmation of the suitability of the generalized SIR-model for forecasting pandemic wave dynamics. The corresponding SIR curves (black lines), registered number of cases and the derivatives (5) and (6) (red markers) are shown in Fig. 1.

Characteristics	11 th epidemic wave, $i=11$, $\beta_{11}=1$	11 th epidemic wave, $i=11$, $\beta_{11}=20.376$
Time period taken for calculations T_{ci}	May 23 – June 5, 2021	May 23 – June 5, 2021
I_i	10,190.8327995721	207,648.409124103
R_i	2,180,167.16720043	44,423,086.1988759
N_i	2,258,464	46018462.464
ν_i	60,891.3982283695	1,240,723.13030139
α_i	3.98540619731056e-06	1.95593158486001e-07
ρ_i	0.242676955862249	0.242676955862287
$1/\rho_i$	4.12070440082342	4.12070440082277
r_i	0.996838194153353	0.996838194153390
$S_{i\infty}$	31,667	645,251
$V_{i\infty}$	2,226,797	45,373,211
Final day of the epidemic wave	August 25, 2021	September 20, 2021

Table 2. Visible and real characteristics of the eleventh COVID-19 pandemic wave in Ukraine. Results of calculations for optimal values of SIR parameters and other characteristics

The jumps in values of the second derivative (6) shown in Fig. 1 by red “dots” illustrate the changes in the epidemic dynamics in the first half of May 2021 (probably connected with holidays). The values of the first derivative (5) shown by red “crosses” are in good agreement with the theoretical estimation (2) (see black dotted line) for the period T_{c11} but deviate for the previous moments of time indicating significant changes in the epidemic dynamics. We can see also higher values of the first derivative (5) in comparison with estimation (2) (see black dotted line) after the period T_{c11} . In particular, as of July 15, 2021 the estimation (5) yields value 13, but the average registered daily number of new cases (2) was 503. This fact and close to zero values of the second derivative (eq. (6), red “dots”) probably indicate the beginning of a new epidemic wave.

Fig. 1. Visible COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in Ukraine in the spring and summer of 2021.

The results of SIR simulations of the eleventh wave at $\beta_{11}=1$ are shown by black lines. Numbers of victims $V(t)=I(t)+R(t)$ – solid lines; numbers of infected and spreading $I(t)$ multiplied by 100 – dashed; derivatives dV/dt (eq. (2)) multiplied by 100 – dotted. Red “circles” and “stars” correspond to the accumulated numbers of cases registered during the period of time taken for SIR simulations (May 23 – June 5, 2021, Table 1) and beyond this time period, respectively. The red “crosses” and “dots” show the first derivative (5) multiplied by 100 and the second derivative (6) multiplied by 1000, respectively.

Last column of Table 2 illustrates the results of SIR simulations with the non-prescribed value of β_i . The maximum of the correlation coefficient r_{11} was achieved at $\beta_{11}=20.376$. Thus, the vast majority of COVID-19 cases in Ukraine have become invisible (the real accumulated number cases is probably approximately 20 times higher than registered one). The real final size of the eleventh epidemic wave $V_{11\infty}$ is expected to be around 45.4 million and exceeds the population of Ukraine. If we multiply the number of registered cases (2,244,677 as of July 18, 2021) by the visibility coefficient $\beta_{11}=20.376$, the result 45.7 million is even higher. Probably, millions in Ukraine have already become re-infected and the nation achieved natural collective immunity (as of July 18, 2021 the percentage of fully vaccinated population was only 3.5%).

If the calculated value of the visibility coefficient β_{11} is correct, the mortality rate in Ukraine is not high. To estimate its value we can use the registered number of deaths (52,726 as of July 17, 2021). Probably, not all deaths caused by coronavirus have been reported, but in any case the visibility rate for them is lower than 20.376 due to the fact that critically ill patients usually go to hospitals and the corresponding deaths are properly recorded. Thus, the most optimistic estimation of the mortality rate is $52726 \cdot 100\% / (2244495 \cdot 20.376) = 0.12\%$.

As in the case of $\beta_i = 1$, the optimal values of SIR model parameters are very different for tenth and eleventh epidemic waves (compare last columns in Table 2 and Table 3 from [25]). In particular, the differences are connected with the much higher value of the visibility coefficient ($\beta_{11}=20.376$ in comparison with $\beta_{10}=3.7$). The optimistic prediction for the 11-th epidemic wave ending (September 20, 2021) probably is not reliable since the beginning of a new epidemic wave is already visible in Fig.1). Ukrainians are also not guaranteed against the emergence or import of new coronavirus strains, which could cause new epidemic waves.

Optimal values of parameters presented in the last column of Table 2 allow us to calculate SIR curves corresponding to the real epidemic dynamics with the use of the exact solution presented in [14, 25]. The results are shown in Fig.2 by blue lines. The solid line represents complete accumulated number of cases (visible and invisible); the dashed line represents the complete number of infectious persons multiplied by 100, i.e. $I(t) \times 100$; dotted black lines represent the derivative dV/dt (which is an estimation of the real daily number of new cases) calculated with the use of (2) and multiplied by 100.

Fig. 2. Real COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in Ukraine in the spring and summer of 2021.

The results of SIR simulations of the eleventh wave at the optimal value $\beta_{11}=20.376$ are shown by blue lines. Numbers of victims $V(t)=I(t)+R(t)$ – solid lines; numbers of infected and spreading $I(t)$ multiplied by 100 – dashed; derivatives dV/dt (eq. (2)) multiplied by 100 – dotted. The red solid line shows smoothed accumulated number of laboratory-confirmed cases (eq. (4)) multiplied by the optimal value $\beta_{11}=20.376$. The red “crosses” show the first derivative (5) multiplied by $100\beta_{11}$.

Red “crosses” in Fig. 2 illustrate the estimation of the real average number of new daily cases for the eleventh wave, since the corresponding values were calculated by multiplying the derivative (5) by $100\beta_{11}$. These values are in good agreement with the theoretical estimation (2) for the period T_{c11} (May

23 – June 5, 2021) but deviate for the moments of time before and after this period (similar to the visible dynamics shown in Fig.1). The red line in Fig.2 represent the smoothed accumulated number of laboratory-confirmed cases (eq. (4)) multiplied by the optimal value of the visibility coefficient $\beta_{11}=20.376$ and is in very good agreement with the theoretical blue solid line.

The knowing of real sizes of the COVID-19 pandemic is very important to compare the effectiveness of vaccinations and a natural immunity. We can also estimate the probability of meeting an infected person with the use of simple formula, [10, 11]:

$$p(t) = \frac{I(t)}{N_{pop}}$$

where N_{pop} is the volume of population. As of July 19, 2021 the theoretical estimations (with the use of parameters presented in the last column of Table 2) yield the value $I=1656$ (see the blue dashed line in Fig. 2). Then the probability p can be estimated as 0.00004. This value is much lower than corresponding estimation 0.015 for the end of March 2021, [25]. If the situation does not worsen, Ukrainians will be welcome guests in many countries even without vaccination.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Oleksii Rodionov for his help in collecting and processing data.

References

1. Nesteruk, I. "Comparison of the coronavirus pandemic dynamics in Ukraine and neighboring countries" [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 Mar. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.31170.53448
2. Nesteruk, I., Kydybyn, I., Demelmair, G. GLOBAL STABILIZATION TRENDS OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC. KPI Science News, 2020, No. 2, pp. 55-62. DOI: 10.20535/kpi-sn.2020.2.205124
3. Kermack WO, McKendrick AG. A Contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. J Royal Stat Soc Ser A. 1927;115:700-21.
4. Murray JD. Mathematical Biology I/II. New York: Springer; 2002.
5. Langemann D, Nesteruk I, Prestin J. Comparison of mathematical models for the dynamics of the Chernivtsi children disease. Mathematics in Computers and Simulation. 2016;123:68-79. DOI: 10.1016/j.matcom.2016.01.003
6. Nesteruk I. Statistics based models for the dynamics of Chernivtsi children disease. Naukovi Visti NTUU KPI. 2017;5:26-34. DOI: 10.20535/1810-0546.2017.5.108577
7. Nesteruk, I. "Long-term predictions for COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Ukraine, Austria and Italy" [Preprint.] MEDRXIV, 2020 Apr. doi: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.04.08.20058123v1>
8. Nesteruk, I. "Як довго українці сидітимуть на карантині? How long will the Ukrainians stay in quarantine?" (in Ukrainian) [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 April. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.15732.71046
9. Nesteruk I. Динаміка COVID-19 епідемії в Україні та Києві після покращання тестування. COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in Ukraine and Kyiv after testing has improved (In Ukrainian). [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 Apr. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36705.86885

10. Nesteruk I. Simulations and predictions of COVID-19 pandemic with the use of SIR model. *Innov Biosyst Bioeng*, 2020, vol. 4, no. 2, 110–121, doi: 10.20535/ibb.2020.4.2.204274. <http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/204274>
11. Nesteruk I. *COVID-19 pandemic dynamics*. Springer Nature, 2021, DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5. <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5>
12. Nesteruk I. Coronasummer in Ukraine and Austria. [Preprint.] ResearchGate. 2020 June. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.32738.56002
13. Nesteruk I. Identification of the New Waves of the COVID-19 Pandemic. In book: *COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics*, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_8. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_8
14. Nesteruk I. General SIR Model and Its Exact Solution. In book: *COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics*, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_9. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007%2F978-981-33-6416-5_9.pdf
15. Nesteruk I. Procedures of Parameter Identification for the Waves of Epidemics. In book: *COVID-19 Pandemic Dynamics*, Springer Nature, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-33-6416-5_10. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-981-33-6416-5_10
16. Nesteruk I., Benlagha N. PREDICTIONS OF COVID-19 PANDEMIC DYNAMICS IN UKRAINE AND QATAR BASED ON GENERALIZED SIR MODEL. *Innov Biosyst Bioeng*, 2021, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 37–46. DOI: 10.20535/ibb.2021.5.2.230487 <http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/230487>
17. Nesteruk I. Detections and SIR simulations of the COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine. *Comput. Math. Biophys.* 2021;9:46–65. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cmb-2020-0117>
18. <https://www.scmp.com/news/china/society/article/3076334/coronavirus-strange-pneumonia-seen-lombardy-november-leading>
19. Frankfurter Allgemeine. <https://m.faz.net/aktuell/sport/mehr-sport/militaerweltspiele-2019-in-wuhan-damals-schon-corona-faelle-16758894.html>
20. Weinberger DM et al. Estimating the early death toll of COVID-19 in the United States. [Preprint.] MEDRXIV, 2020 Apr. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.15.2006643>
21. <https://edition.cnn.com/2020/11/02/europe/slovakia-mass-coronavirus-test-intl/index.html>
22. <https://www.voanews.com/covid-19-pandemic/slovakias-second-round-coronavirus-tests-draws-large-crowds>
23. <https://podillyanews.com/2020/12/17/u-shkolah-hmelnyskogo-provely-eksperyment-z-testuvannyam-na-covid-19/>
24. Nesteruk I. Visible and real sizes of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ukraine.[Preprint] Research Gate, March 2021. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.12124.72322 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/350157247_Visible_and_real_sizes_of_the_COVID-19_pandemic_in_Ukraine
25. Nesteruk I. Visible and real sizes of new COVID-19 pandemic waves in Ukraine *Innov Biosyst Bioeng*, 2021, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 85–96. DOI: 10.20535/ibb.2021.5.2.230487 <http://ibb.kpi.ua/article/view/230487>
26. Nesteruk I. Visible and real dynamics of the COVID-19 pandemic in Ukraine in the spring of 2021. [Preprint] MedRxiv, June 2021. DOI:10.1101/2021.06.13.21258838
27. Nesteruk I. Impact of vaccination and undetected cases on the COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Qatar in 2021. [Preprint] MedRxiv, May 2021. DOI: 10.1101/2021.05.27.21257929
28. Nesteruk I. Forecast verifications for the real COVID-19 pandemic dynamics in Qatar. [Preprint] MedRxiv, June 2021. DOI:10.1101/2021.06.16.21259018
29. <https://index.minfin.com.ua/ua/reference/coronavirus/ukraine/>
30. <https://www.kmu.gov.ua/>