1 Original Investigation

2 **Title** Re-emergence of respiratory syncytial virus following the COVID-19 pandemic
3 in the United States: a modeling study

4

5 Zhe Zheng, MPhil, MBBS^{1*}; Virginia E. Pitzer, ScD¹; Eugene D. Shapiro, MD²;
6 Louis J. Bont, MD, PhD^{3,4}; Daniel M. Weinberger, PhD¹

7

8 ¹Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases and the Public Health Modeling
9 Unit, Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT, USA.

10 ² Department of Pediatrics, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT,
11 USA.

12 ³ Department of Pediatrics and Department of Immunology, University Medical
13 Center Utrecht, Utrecht University, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the
14 Netherlands.

15 ⁴ ReSViNET Foundation , Zeist, The Netherlands.

16

17 *Corresponding author:

18 Zhe Zheng,
19 Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases,
20 Yale University,
21 60 College Street, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

22 zhe.zheng@yale.edu,

23 Tel: (+1)203-298-2435

24

25 Word count: 3000 [word limit: 3000]

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

26 **Abstract** Word count: 340 [word limit: 350]

27 **Importance:**

28 Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is a leading cause of hospitalizations in young
29 children. RSV largely disappeared in 2020 due to precautions taken because of the
30 COVID-19 pandemic. Projecting the timing and intensity of the re-emergence of RSV
31 and the age groups affected is crucial for planning for the administration of
32 prophylactic antibodies and anticipating hospital capacity.

33 **Objective:**

34 To project the potential timing and intensity of re-emergent RSV epidemics in
35 different age groups.

36 **Design, Setting, Participants:**

37 Mathematical models were used to reproduce the annual RSV epidemics before the
38 COVID-19 pandemic in New York and California. These models were modified to
39 project the trajectory of RSV epidemics in 2020-2025 under different scenarios with
40 varying stringency of mitigation measures for SARS-CoV-2: 1) constant low RSV
41 transmission rate from March 2020 to March 2021; 2) an immediate decrease in RSV
42 transmission in March 2020 followed by a gradual increase in transmission until April
43 2021; 3) a decrease in non-household contacts from April to July 2020. Simulations
44 also evaluated factors likely to impact the re-emergence of RSV epidemics, including
45 introduction of virus from out-of-state sources and decreased transplacentally-
46 acquired immunity in infants.

47 **Main Outcomes and Measures:**

48 The primary outcome of this study was defined as the predicted number of RSV
49 hospitalizations each month in the entire population. Secondary outcomes included

the age distribution of hospitalizations among children <5 years of age, incidence of any RSV infection, and incidence of RSV lower respiratory tract infection (LRI).

Results:

In the 2021-2022 RSV season, we expect that the lifting of mitigation measures and build-up of susceptibility will lead to a larger-than-normal RSV outbreak. We predict an earlier-than-usual onset in the upcoming RSV season if there is substantial external introduction of RSV. Among children 1-4 years of age, the incidence of RSV infections could be twice that of a typical RSV season, with infants <6 months of age having the greatest seasonal increase in the incidence of both severe RSV LRIs and hospitalizations.

Conclusions and Relevance:

Pediatric departments, including pediatric intensive care units, should be alert to large RSV outbreaks. Enhanced surveillance is required for both prophylaxis administration and hospital capacity management.

Introduction

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infection is a leading cause of acute respiratory hospitalizations in infants, young children, and the elderly.¹⁻³ Individuals develop only partial immunity following RSV infections, and this incomplete immunity permits reinfections throughout life.⁴ Although most infants are born with protective immunity against RSV infections due to antibodies from the mother that are acquired transplacentally, this protection wanes quickly with time.⁵⁻⁷

RSV epidemics occur with notable spatiotemporal patterns in the United States, with consistent seasonal timing and duration.^{8,9} However, the incidence of RSV in many regions in the United States has declined since the introduction of mitigation measures for COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020.¹⁰ The low positivity rate continued throughout 2020 without an obvious increase in late fall and winter when seasonal RSV epidemics typically occur. Many other countries reported similarly low frequencies of RSV detection in the 2020 season.¹¹⁻¹³

As mitigation measures have been gradually lifted, different patterns of RSV epidemics emerged in different regions in early 2021.¹⁰⁻¹³ Later-than-usual RSV activity was reported in France, Spain and in some U.S. states.^{10,12,14} Large out-of-season surges of RSV infections were reported in both Australia and South Africa.^{15,16} Nonetheless, even with many restrictions lifted, RSV activity remains low in many other countries.^{17,18} What causes these differences remains unclear.

The potential impacts of mitigation measures on the number, timing, and age of RSV hospitalizations are crucial for planning for the administration of RSV prophylaxis and for hospital utilization, since regular annual RSV epidemics often fully use hospital capacity in pediatric departments. However, many factors may affect when and to what extent RSV epidemics resume. For example, introduction of

RSV from other regions may accelerate the process, and low immunity in the population due to a low incidence of reinfections may produce a large population of susceptible individuals sufficient to trigger an outbreak.

Our study aims to simulate a range of potential scenarios and to inform data collection for better epidemic projections of RSV activity in the U.S. In this study, we used historical RSV inpatient data, combined with validated transmission dynamic models, to evaluate the potential patterns of re-emergence of RSV epidemics with different stringencies of mitigation measures. We also examined how the age distribution of RSV infections and hospitalizations might be expected to change in the coming years following these different scenarios.

Methods

Data

RSV-specific hospitalization data for New York (2005-2014) and California (2003-2011) were obtained from State Inpatient Databases of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project maintained by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.¹⁹ These comprehensive databases contain all hospital discharge records from community hospitals in participating states. Datasets include the month of hospitalization and the age of the patient. A hospitalization was defined as due to RSV if any of the discharge diagnostic codes included 079.6 (RSV), 466.11 (bronchiolitis due to RSV), or 480.1 (pneumonia due to RSV), based on the International Classification of Disease Ninth Revision [ICD-9].²⁰ Information about population size in each age group was obtained from the US Census Bureau's American Community Survey.²¹ Birth rate by year and state was obtained from CDC vital statistics.²² RSV surveillance data for California (2009-2018) were obtained from the Immunization Branch, California Department of Public Health and were

used to validate model prediction.²³ We also performed sensitivity analyses using parameters fitted to a similar inpatient dataset from Colorado to illustrate potential impacts of mitigation measures on biennial RSV epidemics.²⁴

Simulations

To predict RSV transmission dynamics, we extended a previously published RSV transmission model (see Supplement for details, Figures S1-S3).²⁴ We generated forward simulations using varying input parameters (see Supplement for details), assuming the same immigration/emigration, death and birth rate as 2019. We used fixed control periods in our main analysis. We also considered various durations of the control periods and the impacts of mitigation measures on RSV transmission dynamics in states with different epidemic timing, including biennial epidemics, as sensitivity analyses.

We simulated the monthly number of RSV hospitalizations from 2021 to 2025. With many unknowns, our aim was to explore a wide range of possible scenarios (see Box 1) rather than making precise predictions.

Decrease in transmission

We evaluated a range of reductions in the RSV transmission rate, from 10% to 25%, based on the results of a previous study analyzing the impact of mitigation measures on seasonal respiratory viruses.²⁵ We evaluated two kinds of decrease in transmission beginning in March 2020 and lasting until April 2021: 1) a constant decrease, and 2) a large decrease followed by a linear increase in transmission. The rationale for the second type of decrease comes from reports that mitigation measures were strictest at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic and were gradually relaxed with time.²⁶⁻²⁸

Changes in contact patterns

We also explored the impacts of heterogeneous changes in contact patterns on RSV epidemics. Specifically, we examined the effects of a three-month stay-at-home order from April 1 to July 1, 2020.^{26,28} We calculated the percentage of household contacts in detailed age groups and multiplied by the age-specific contact rates of respiratory-spread infectious agents in the corresponding age groups.²⁹⁻³¹ We assumed an 82%³² decrease in non-household contacts and a 10%³³ increase in household contacts.

Impact of virus introduction from external sources

Stay-at-home orders and travel restrictions may also have an effect on the introduction of RSV into a population. Travel restrictions between countries and states may limit the introduction of external RSV infections, while constant virus seeding from other regions may accelerate the re-emergence of RSV epidemics. There is little data on the rate of infections of RSV that are imported from other regions. Therefore, we explored a range of 1 to 10 imported infections per 100,000 population per month. We assumed these external infections are mild and do not lead to LRI or hospitalization. In the main analysis, we assumed the number of external infections decreased to 0 in April 2020 and gradually increased back to 40% of the original level in February 2021 based on changes in weekly total air travel.³⁴ We also explored various other scenarios (see Supplement).³⁵

Impact of decreased duration of transplacentally-acquired immunity in infants

Reduction in transmission results in low virus activity in the community, which may cause fewer exposure opportunities for the general population. Because virus exposure can boost immunity levels,³⁶ pregnant women may have lower concentrations of antibodies because of lack of boosting from a lower rate of

exposure. Correspondingly, the level and duration of the immunity in infants acquired from their mothers may also decrease. Since few previous studies quantified how virus exposure in pregnant women may affect the duration of transplacentally-acquired immunity in infants, we probed the effect of a lack of boosting by proposing a range of possible decreases in the duration of transplacentally-acquired immunity on top of the linear change in RSV transmission. The period of shortened transplacentally-acquired immunity is therefore dependent on the RSV epidemics, from November 2020 to October 2021 based on observations and the results of simulations.

Outcome Measures

The primary clinical outcome of interest is the predicted monthly number of RSV hospitalizations. Secondary outcomes of interest included the age distribution of hospitalizations among children under 5 years of age, incidence of any RSV infection, and incidence of RSV lower respiratory infection. Percentage changes in incidence were calculated by comparing the differences between the predicted incidence in the 2021-2022 RSV season with and without changes to the transmission rate related to mitigation measures (see Supplements for details).

Results

Expected timing of the re-emergence of RSV under different scenarios

Under Scenario 1, we assumed that transmission decreased homogeneously by 20% from March 2020 to March 2021 without any external source of infections. In this case, the model predicted an absence of RSV epidemic in 2021 and an out-of-season outbreak starting in the summer of 2022. Models with constant low transmission took more than a year to resume annual epidemics (Figure 1A).

Compared with the constant low transmission rate scenario, a sudden decrease in RSV transmission in March 2020 followed by a linear increase over a 13-month-period (Scenario 2) allowed for sufficient infections to trigger a large outbreak in the winter of 2021-2022. With this scenario, we would expect to see epidemics return to annual cycles in the winter of 2022-2023 (Figure 1A). Models with smaller decreases in transmission generally generated earlier re-emergence of RSV epidemics (Figure S4).

In the third scenario, a three-month stay-at-home order beginning in April 2020 substantially reduced the number of non-household contacts and resulted in a delayed, small uptick in RSV infections. With these conditions, infections re-emerge around February-March 2021, rather than the expected November 2020. The RSV epidemic in the 2021-22 season is expected to have an earlier onset and peak timing than the usual RSV season under this scenario (Figure 1A).

The scenarios described above assume no external introduction of RSV infections. In contrast, if Scenario 2 (20% linear change in transmission) was modified to allow for the external introduction of infections during the control period (Scenario 4), a small increase in RSV hospitalizations would have been expected in the winter and spring of 2020-2021. This increase is expected to be followed by a small spike corresponding to a return to normal virus importation due to lifted restrictions on inter-state travel. Based on this scenario, we expect an earlier onset, higher peak number and more patients per season in the 2021-2022 than a typical RSV season (Figure 1B).

Based on our simulation (Scenario 5), shorter transplacentally-acquired immunity in infants did not substantially change the timing or the amplitude of RSV epidemics on top of the changes resulting from reduced transmission (Figure S5).

Shifts in the age distribution of infection during the re-emergence of RSV

As reported, mitigation measures were gradually relaxed in most of the states.²⁶⁻²⁸ Therefore, we chose Scenario 2 to illustrate changes in the age distribution of infection during the re-emergence of RSV. The following findings are consistent across scenarios although the exact numbers are different. Under Scenario 2, the average age of hospitalization among children under 5 years is expected to be higher in the 2021-2022 RSV season compared with preceding years. The average age of hospitalization is predicted to gradually return back to the pre-pandemic level in 2023 (Figure 2). Young children are expected to have higher incidence of hospitalizations this upcoming epidemic year (July 2021 to June 2022).

Across all age groups, the model predicts an 87% increase in RSV LRIs and an 83% increase in RSV hospitalizations during the 2021-2022 epidemic year under Scenario 2 compared with a typical RSV season. Looking into the details of the age distribution for different clinical outcomes, children aged 1 to 4 years are expected to have the greatest percentage increase in the incidence of RSV infection, lower respiratory infection (LRI) and hospitalization compared with a typical pre-pandemic RSV season (Figure 3). However, infants age 3 to 5 months old are expected to have the largest incidence of LRI, and infants under 3 months are expected to have the largest incidence of RSV hospitalization.

Discussion

Seasonal RSV epidemics have been interrupted by COVID-19 mitigation measures during 2020-2021. Understanding the potential timing, intensity and age distribution of re-emergent RSV epidemics is crucial for clinical and public health decision-making. Our results suggest that stricter mitigation measures will lead to later and larger epidemic outbreaks and a shift in the age distribution due to an

accumulation of susceptibility within the population. However, the importation of infections into a population may accelerate the re-emergence process and cause an earlier-than-usual outbreak in the upcoming RSV season.

Variation in the patterns of re-emergent RSV epidemics across regions and countries could potentially be explained with our simulated results. (1) In Australia, an island country, closing the borders and implementing a mandatory quarantine were probably sufficient to eliminate imported infections. Australia also implemented a one-month stay-at-home order in the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic and gradually relaxed all other restrictions within three months. These short-term mitigation measures may have temporarily suppressed local RSV transmission, and the accumulation of susceptibility since the end of the previous RSV season in October 2019 may have triggered the large out-of-season outbreak. Our simulated average age of hospitalizations is similar to the reported median patient age in Australia both before the pandemic and during the re-emergent RSV epidemic.³⁷ (2) In New York, which has many and frequent connections to the other parts of the U.S. and the world, the risk of virus importation likely existed during the COVID-19 travel restriction period. The risk of virus importation depends both on the volume of travel and the level of external RSV activity. Therefore, the increase in virus importation during the control period may not be linear as travel volume increased. Before November 2020, most places in the world reported no RSV epidemics. Virus importation from other areas was therefore highly unlikely. This may be the reason that we observed a later and steeper increase in RSV epidemics during the spring of 2021 (see Figure S6). (3) In Argentina and Canada, governments implemented very strict mitigation measures at the start of COVID-19 pandemic.^{38,39} Some restrictions have been extended and remained in place as of April 2021 to protect against new

variants.^{40,41} It is worth noting that these two countries represent both the northern and southern hemisphere and have opposite RSV seasons.⁴² Nonetheless, both countries reported low RSV activity as of April 2021.

In all of our scenarios, the upcoming RSV season is expected to have a higher-than-normal intensity. Our analysis suggests that infants <6 months of age are expected to have about 1.5 times increased risk of LRI and hospitalization as a result of RSV infections during the upcoming RSV season. At the same time, RSV infections in children aged 1 to 4 years are expected to double regardless of severity compared with a typical RSV season. This is alarming because pediatric facilities and intensive care units may be overloaded with patients in the upcoming RSV season.^{43,44} Even worse, the timing of re-emergent RSV epidemics varied among scenarios, making it difficult to predict the timing. Aberrations in timing may decrease the impact of palivizumab, since the administration of antibody prophylaxis for high-risk infants needs to be timed to coincide with the epidemic.⁴⁵ Thus, enhanced, year-round surveillance for RSV infections is needed to inform the use of palivizumab over the 2021-2022 season. It is important for pediatric facilities and intensive health care units to be aware and prepared for these scenarios, especially since future RSV epidemics may occur at an unusual time.

Our study tested additional assumptions made by a previous study of the impact of mitigation measures on RSV dynamics by exploring a variety of scenarios, as well as considering the age distribution of cases.²⁵ Our overall projections for upcoming RSV epidemics are similar: large outbreaks are very likely once mitigation measures are relaxed.

Our research suggests that virus introduction affects the timing and intensity of re-emergent RSV epidemics. Research from the late 1990s in the U.S. suggested

that external sources of RSV infections may exist but are uncommon,^{46,47} in contrast to a recent finding from Kenya suggesting that RSV was reintroduced into communities every year from other parts of the country.⁴⁸ With increased global connectivity, the mixing patterns of RSV transmission between and within communities in the U.S. may have changed. High-density sampling and sequencing of RSV across a region will be critical to understand the current level of importation of RSV infections.

For the re-emergent epidemics (2021-2022), our model (under Scenario 2 assumption) suggests an older average age of hospitalizations. This makes intuitive sense, since many children born in 2020 were spared from RSV infection due to the low virus activity; these children will be older when they get infected for the first time during the re-emergent epidemics. Consequently, the American Academy of Pediatrics should consider modifying prophylaxis guidelines to include high-risk infants <2 years of age for the 2021-2022 season. The average age of hospitalization will gradually decrease in the following RSV seasons because of the depletion of older susceptible individuals during the first re-emergent outbreak and the constant replenishment of susceptible infants through new births and waning transplacentally-acquired immunity.⁴⁹

There are several caveats to our results. First, we did not have explicit data on the level of virus introduction or the effects of lack of boosting on the duration of protection provided to infants by transplacentally-acquired antibodies to RSV. Although we explored a range of values, it is possible that the real values are outside of the range in our models. Our model predictions are most sensitive to the level of RSV introduction. Additional data on these factors will be helpful for future modeling of RSV transmission dynamics. Research on the relationship between virus exposure

and the duration of transplacentally-acquired immunity in infants may also help to explain discrepancies in the efficacy of maternal vaccines across different transmission settings.⁵⁰ Second, we used historical inpatient data to fit our transmission models. However, the intensity and seasonality of RSV epidemics may have changed over the past few years. To address this possibility, we explored a variety of intensity levels and both annual and biennial cycles with data from different states in our sensitivity analysis (see Figure S7-S16). Also, ongoing RSV surveillance data from California,⁵¹ Florida,⁵² Minnesota,⁵³ Oregon,⁵⁴ and Texas⁵⁵ suggests that RSV activity has been very consistent over recent years. Finally, there are other possible factors influencing re-emergent RSV epidemics, such as the impact of introduction of RSV vaccines and monoclonal antibody.⁵⁶ As the extended half-life antibody against RSV, Nirsevimab, has been proved to be efficacious in phase III clinical trials,^{57,58} research on its impact may be important to elucidate future epidemics of RSV infections.

In conclusion, re-emergent RSV epidemics in 2021-2022 are expected to be more intense and to affect patients in a broader age range than in typical RSV seasons. The timing of re-emergent RSV epidemics may be different from the usual RSV season, depending on the duration of mitigation measures and the extent of virus introduction from other regions. Clinicians should be alert to the possibility of out-of-season RSV outbreaks.

Acknowledgments

Competing interest declaration: VEP has received reimbursement from Merck and Pfizer for travel expenses to Scientific Input Engagements on respiratory syncytial virus. DMW has received consulting fees from Pfizer, Merck, GSK, and Affinivax for work unrelated to this manuscript and is Principal Investigator on research grants from Pfizer and Merck on work unrelated to this manuscript. L.J.B. reports grants from AbbVie, MedImmune, Janssen, Pfizer, MeMed, and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Funders had no role in design, interpretation and reporting of this study. All other authors report no relevant conflicts.

Funding Statement

DMW and VEP acknowledge support from grants R01AI137093 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases/National Institutes of Health. EDS was supported, in part, by grants number UL1TR000142 and KL2-TR001862 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS) at the National Institutes of Health and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of NIH.

Other declarations: I confirm all relevant ethical guidelines have been followed, and any necessary IRB and/or ethics committee approvals have been obtained.

References

1. Hall CB, Weinberg GA, Iwane MK, et al. The burden of respiratory syncytial virus infection in young children. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2009;360(6):588-598.
2. Shi T, McAllister DA, O'Brien KL, et al. Global, regional, and national disease burden estimates of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial virus in young children in 2015: a systematic review and modelling study. *Lancet*. 2017;390(10098):946-958.
3. Tin Tin Htar M, Yerramalla MS, Moisi JC, Swerdlow DL. The burden of respiratory syncytial virus in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epidemiology and infection*. 2020;148:e48.
4. Russell CD, Unger SA, Walton M, Schwarze J. The Human Immune Response to Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2017;30(2):481-502.
5. Eick A, Karron R, Shaw J, et al. The role of neutralizing antibodies in protection of American Indian infants against respiratory syncytial virus disease. *The Pediatric infectious disease journal*. 2008;27(3):207-212.
6. Ogilvie MM, Vathenen AS, Radford M, Codd J, Key S. Maternal antibody and respiratory syncytial virus infection in infancy. *Journal of medical virology*. 1981;7(4):263-271.
7. Chu HY, Steinhoff MC, Magaret A, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus transplacental antibody transfer and kinetics in mother-infant pairs in Bangladesh. *The Journal of infectious diseases*. 2014;210(10):1582-1589.
8. Boron ML, Edelman L, Groothuis JR, Malinoski FJ. A novel active respiratory syncytial virus surveillance system in the United States: variability

in the local and regional incidence of infection. *The Pediatric infectious disease journal*. 2008;27(12):1095-1098.

9. Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infection (RSV): Trends and Surveillance. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. December 18, 2020; <https://www.cdc.gov/rsv/research/us-surveillance.html>. Accessed May 30, 2021.

10. RSV National Trends. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. November 25, 2020; <https://www.cdc.gov/surveillance/nrevss/rsv/natl-trend.html>. Accessed January 30, 2021.

11. Yeoh DK, Foley DA, Minney-Smith CA, et al. The impact of COVID-19 public health measures on detections of influenza and respiratory syncytial virus in children during the 2020 Australian winter. *Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2020.

12. Casalegno J-s, Javouhey E, Ploin D, et al. Delayed Start of the Respiratory Syncytial Virus Epidemic at the End of the 20/21 Northern Hemisphere Winter Season, Lyon, France. *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2003.2012.21253446.

13. Kuitunen I, Renko M. Lessons to learn from the current pandemic for future non-pharmaceutical interventions against the respiratory syncytial virus – nationwide register-study in Finland. *Infectious Diseases*. 2021:1-3.

14. Coma E, Vila J, Méndez-Boo L, et al. Reduction of respiratory syncytial virus burden of disease observed in primary care diagnosis of children under 5 years old during the COVID-19 pandemic: a time-series analysis using routinely collected data from primary care electronic health records in Catalonia (Spain). *medRxiv*. 2021:2021.2001.2027.21250063.

- 404 15. Yeoh DK, Foley DA, Minney-Smith CA, et al. The impact of COVID-19
405 public health measures on detections of influenza and respiratory syncytial
406 virus in children during the 2020 Australian winter. *Clinical infectious
407 diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America.*
408 2020.
- 409 16. National Institute for Communicable Diseases SA. *INCREASE IN
410 RESPIRATORY SYNCYTIAL VIRUS (RSV) CASES 2020.*
- 411 17. Ferrero F, Ossorio MF. Is there a place for bronchiolitis in the COVID-19 era?
412 Lack of hospitalizations due to common respiratory viruses during the 2020
413 winter. *Pediatric pulmonology*.n/a(n/a).
- 414 18. Respiratory virus detections in Canada. Government of Canada. 2021;
415 [www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/surveillance/respiratory-virus-](http://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/surveillance/respiratory-virus-detections-canada.html)
416 [detections-canada.html](http://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/surveillance/respiratory-virus-detections-canada.html). Accessed April 16, 2021.
- 417 19. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project State Inpatient Databases. Agency for
418 Healthcare Research and Quality. 2014; [http://www.hcup-](http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/sidoverview.jsp)
419 [us.ahrq.gov/sidoverview.jsp](http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/sidoverview.jsp). Accessed December 2, 2020.
- 420 20. Pisesky A, Benchimol EI, Wong CA, et al. Incidence of Hospitalization for
421 Respiratory Syncytial Virus Infection amongst Children in Ontario, Canada: A
422 Population-Based Study Using Validated Health Administrative Data. *PloS*
423 *one*. 2016;11(3):e0150416.
- 424 21. American Community Survey 1-Year Data (2005-2019). United States Census
425 Bureau. [https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/acs-](https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/acs-1year.2010.html)
426 [1year.2010.html](https://www.census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/acs-1year.2010.html). Accessed April 1, 2021.
- 427 22. Natality Information, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1995-2019;
428 <https://wonder.cdc.gov/natality.html>. Accessed April 5, 2020.

- 429 23. Clinical Sentinel Laboratory Influenza and Other Respiratory Virus
430 Surveillance Data. Immunization Branch, California Department of Public
431 Health. 2009-2018; <https://data.chhs.ca.gov/dataset/influenza-surveillance>.
432 Accessed May 20, 2021.
- 433 24. Pitzer VE, Viboud C, Alonso WJ, et al. Environmental Drivers of the
434 Spatiotemporal Dynamics of Respiratory Syncytial Virus in the United States.
435 *PLOS Pathogens*. 2015;11(1):e1004591.
- 436 25. Baker RE, Park SW, Yang W, Vecchi GA, Metcalf CJE, Grenfell BT. The
437 impact of COVID-19 nonpharmaceutical interventions on the future dynamics
438 of endemic infections. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020;117(48):30547-30553.
- 439 26. Team IC-F. Modeling COVID-19 scenarios for the United States. *Nat Med*.
440 2021;27(1):94-105.
- 441 27. See Reopening Plans and Mask Mandates for All 50 States. The New York
442 Times. [https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/us/states-reopen-map-](https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/us/states-reopen-map-coronavirus.html)
443 [coronavirus.html](https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/us/states-reopen-map-coronavirus.html). Accessed April 15, 2021.
- 444 28. Hallas L, Hatibie A, Majumdar S, Pyarali M, Hale T. Variation in US states'
445 responses to COVID-19_2.0.
446 [https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/publications/variation-us-states-responses-](https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/publications/variation-us-states-responses-covid-19)
447 [covid-19](https://www.bsg.ox.ac.uk/research/publications/variation-us-states-responses-covid-19). Accessed May 20, 2021.
- 448 29. Wallinga J, Teunis P, Kretzschmar M. Using data on social contacts to
449 estimate age-specific transmission parameters for respiratory-spread infectious
450 agents. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2006;164(10):936-944.
- 451 30. van Hoek AJ, Andrews N, Campbell H, Amirthalingam G, Edmunds WJ,
452 Miller E. The social life of infants in the context of infectious disease

- transmission; social contacts and mixing patterns of the very young. *PloS one*.
2013;8(10):e76180.
31. Prem K, Cook AR, Jit M. Projecting social contact matrices in 152 countries
using contact surveys and demographic data. *PLoS Comput Biol*.
2017;13(9):e1005697.
32. Feehan DM, Mahmud AS. Quantifying population contact patterns in the
United States during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nat Commun*. 2021;12(1):893.
33. Yan Y, Malik AA, Bayham J, Fenichel EP, Couzens C, Omer SB. Measuring
voluntary and policy-induced social distancing behavior during the COVID-19
pandemic. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2021;118(16).
34. Air Travel - Total - Seasonally Adjusted. U.S. Department of Transportation.
Bureau of Transportation Statistics. February 2021;
[https://data.bts.gov/Research-and-Statistics/Air-Travel-Total-Seasonally-
Adjusted/gmwv-css9](https://data.bts.gov/Research-and-Statistics/Air-Travel-Total-Seasonally-Adjusted/gmwv-css9). Accessed May 5, 2021.
35. Lasry A, Kidder D, Hast M, et al. Timing of Community Mitigation and
Changes in Reported COVID-19 and Community Mobility - Four U.S.
Metropolitan Areas, February 26-April 1, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly
Rep*. 2020;69(15):451-457.
36. Rouse BT, Sehrawat S. Immunity and immunopathology to viruses: what
decides the outcome? *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2010;10(7):514-526.
37. Foley DA, Yeoh DK, Minney-Smith CA, et al. The Interseasonal Resurgence
of Respiratory Syncytial Virus in Australian Children Following the
Reduction of Coronavirus Disease 2019-Related Public Health Measures.
*Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases
Society of America*. 2021.

- 478 38. Detsky AS, Bogoch, II. COVID-19 in Canada: Experience and Response.
479 *JAMA*. 2020;324(8):743-744.
- 480 39. Rabinovich GA, Geffner J. Facing up to the COVID-19 pandemic in
481 Argentina. *Nat Immunol*. 2021;22(3):264-265.
- 482 40. Individual and community-based measures to mitigate the spread of COVID-
483 19 in Canada. Government of Canada. 2021; [https://www.canada.ca/en/public-](https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/health-professionals/public-health-measures-mitigate-covid-19.html)
484 [health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/health-](https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/health-professionals/public-health-measures-mitigate-covid-19.html)
485 [professionals/public-health-measures-mitigate-covid-19.html](https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/diseases/2019-novel-coronavirus-infection/health-professionals/public-health-measures-mitigate-covid-19.html). Accessed April
486 16, 2021.
- 487 41. Chambers B. Argentina's president announces new COVID restrictions.
488 ANADOLU AGENCY. April 15, 2021;
489 [https://www.aa.com.tr/en/americas/argentina-s-president-announces-new-](https://www.aa.com.tr/en/americas/argentina-s-president-announces-new-covid-restrictions/2209392)
490 [covid-restrictions/2209392](https://www.aa.com.tr/en/americas/argentina-s-president-announces-new-covid-restrictions/2209392). Accessed May 15, 2021.
- 491 42. Obando-Pacheco P, Justicia-Grande AJ, Rivero-Calle I, et al. Respiratory
492 Syncytial Virus Seasonality: A Global Overview. *The Journal of infectious*
493 *diseases*. 2018;217(9):1356-1364.
- 494 43. Pelletier JH, Au AK, Fuhrman D, Clark RSB, Horvat C. Trends in
495 Bronchiolitis ICU Admissions and Ventilation Practices: 2010-2019.
496 *Pediatrics*. 2021.
- 497 44. Alverson B, Ralston S. ICU Use in Bronchiolitis: Why Has It Doubled?
498 *Pediatrics*. 2021:e2020046276.
- 499 45. Weinberger DM, Warren JL, Steiner CA, Charu V, Viboud C, Pitzer VE.
500 Reduced-Dose Schedule of Prophylaxis Based on Local Data Provides Near-
501 Optimal Protection Against Respiratory Syncytial Virus. *Clinical infectious*

502 *diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America.*
503 2015;61(4):506-514.

504 46. Peret TC, Hall CB, Hammond GW, et al. Circulation patterns of group A and
505 B human respiratory syncytial virus genotypes in 5 communities in North
506 America. *The Journal of infectious diseases.* 2000;181(6):1891-1896.

507 47. Anderson LJ, Hendry RM, Pierik LT, Tsou C, McIntosh K. Multicenter study
508 of strains of respiratory syncytial virus. *The Journal of infectious diseases.*
509 1991;163(4):687-692.

510 48. Agoti CN, Otieno JR, Ngama M, et al. Successive Respiratory Syncytial Virus
511 Epidemics in Local Populations Arise from Multiple Variant Introductions,
512 Providing Insights into Virus Persistence. *J Virol.* 2015;89(22):11630-11642.

513 49. Pitzer VE, Lipsitch M. Exploring the relationship between incidence and the
514 average age of infection during seasonal epidemics. *J Theor Biol.*
515 2009;260(2):175-185.

516 50. Madhi SA, Polack FP, Piedra PA, et al. Respiratory Syncytial Virus
517 Vaccination during Pregnancy and Effects in Infants. *The New England*
518 *journal of medicine.* 2020;383(5):426-439.

519 51. Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses Weekly Report. California
520 Department of Public Health. May 9, 2021;
521 [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Lib](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/Immunization/Week2020-2119_FINALReport.pdf)
522 [rary/Immunization/Week2020-2119_FINALReport.pdf](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DCDC/CDPH%20Document%20Library/Immunization/Week2020-2119_FINALReport.pdf). Accessed May 24,
523 2021.

524 52. RSV surveillance activity summary. Florida Department of Health. May 16,
525 2021; [http://www.floridahealth.gov/diseases-and-conditions/respiratory-](http://www.floridahealth.gov/diseases-and-conditions/respiratory-syncytial-virus)
526 [syncytial-virus](http://www.floridahealth.gov/diseases-and-conditions/respiratory-syncytial-virus).

- 527 53. Weekly influenza & respiratory activity: Statistics. Minnesota Department of
528 Health. May 22, 2021;
529 <https://www.health.state.mn.us/diseases/flu/stats/index.html>. Accessed May
530 30, 2021.
- 531 54. Oregon and Southwest Washington RSV Surveillance. Oregon Health
532 Authority. May 26, 2021;
533 [https://www.oregon.gov/oha/ph/DISEASES/CONDITIONS/COMMUNICAB
534 LEDISEASE/DISEASESURVEILLANCEDATA/Pages/RespiratorySyncytial
535 VirusSurveillanceData.aspx](https://www.oregon.gov/oha/ph/DISEASES/CONDITIONS/COMMUNICABLE/DISEASE/SURVEILLANCE/DATA/Pages/RespiratorySyncytialVirusSurveillanceData.aspx). Accessed May 30, 2021.
- 536 55. RSV Data by health service region. Texas Health and Human Services. May
537 25, 2021; <https://www.dshs.texas.gov/IDCU/disease/rsv/Data/>. Accessed May
538 30, 2021.
- 539 56. PATH. RSV Vaccine and mAb Snapshot. April 2021;
540 <https://path.org/resources/rsv-vaccine-and-mab-snapshot/>. Accessed May 28,
541 2021.
- 542 57. AstraZeneca. Nirsevimab MELODY Phase III trial met primary endpoint of
543 reducing RSV lower respiratory tract infections in healthy infants. Accessed
544 26 April 2021.
- 545 58. Griffin MP, Yuan Y, Takas T, et al. Single-Dose Nirsevimab for Prevention of
546 RSV in Preterm Infants. *The New England journal of medicine*.
547 2020;383(5):415-425.
548

Figure legends

Figure 1. Expected RSV hospitalizations under different scenarios, New York,

2019–2025. A) The impacts of mitigation measures on RSV epidemics. The dotted

dark pink line shows the counterfactual scenario that there is no COVID-19 pandemic

and no mitigation measures in place. The solid lines show three scenarios of

stringency of mitigation measures. The green line represents Scenario 1: 20% constant

decrease in transmission from March 2020 to March 2021. The orange line represents

Scenario 2: a sudden 20% decrease in RSV transmission in March 2020 followed by a

linear increase back to normal. The purple line represents Scenario 3: 82% decreased

non-household contacts and 10% increased household contacts between April and

July 2020. The red rectangle on the top, the gradient red rectangle in the middle and

the blue rectangle on the bottom indicate the length and the stringency of Scenarios 1-

3, respectively. B) The impacts of importation of external infections on Scenario 2.

The solid orange line is the same as the orange line in panel A), corresponding to

Scenario 2. The dashed lines represent a range of background importations of external

infections. The grey shaded area indicates a 100% to 60% decrease in external

infections because of the reduced air traffic between March 2020 to March 2021.

Figure 2. The average age of RSV hospitalization among children under 5. The

background color represents the incidence of RSV hospitalization in each age group

in each month. Darker red colors indicate a higher incidence. The black line and

values indicate the average age of hospitalization varies with time.

Figure 3. Age distribution of RSV infections, LRIs and hospitalizations, 2021-

2022 RSV season. Panels A to C correspond to RSV infections, RSV LRIs and RSV

hospitalizations, respectively. The red bars show the counterfactual incidence of RSV

cases during the 2021-2022 RSV season if there was no COVID-19 pandemic and no

574 mitigation measures in place. The blue bars show the expected incidence of RSV
575 cases under Scenario 2 during the 2021-2022 RSV season. The numbers on the top
576 show the percentage difference between the expected incidence and the counterfactual
577 incidence in each age group.
578

579 Tables

580 Box 1 Description of the Five Simulations

Scenarios

Stringency of mitigation measures

1. Constant decrease in transmission	Individuals obey social distancing strictly and have constant low contact rate from March 2020 to March 2021
2. Sudden decrease + gradual increase in transmission	Mitigation measures are most strict at the beginning and are gradually relaxed between March 2020 and March 2021
3. Decrease in non-household contacts	Stay-at-home orders from late March 2020 to late June 2020 reduced contact opportunities in non-household settings by 82% and increased household contacts by 10%.

Factors impacting the reemergence of RSV epidemics

4. Importation of external infections	Introduction of the virus from other regions may ignite RSV epidemics
5. Decrease in the duration of protective maternal immunity	Absence of RSV epidemics leads to lack of boosting of maternal immunity
-

581