Original Article:

Title of the Manuscription:

Evaluation of the antibody response and adverse reactions of the BNT162b2 vaccine of participants with prior COVID-19 infection in Japan

Names of the Authors:

Toshiya Mitsunaga^{1,2}, Yuhei Ohtaki², Yutaka Seki^{1,3,4}, Masakata Yoshioka⁴, Hiroshi Mori⁵, Midori Suzuka⁶, Syunsuke Mashiko², Satoshi Takeda², Kunihiro Mashiko¹.

Institutional Affiliations of the Authors:

¹ Department of Emergency Medicine, Association of EISEIKAI Medical and Healthcare Corporation Minamitama Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

² Department of Emergency Medicine, Jikei University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

³ Department of Cardiology, Association of EISEIKAI Medical and Healthcare Corporation Minamitama Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Association of EISEIKAI Medical and Healthcare Corporation Minamitama Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

⁵ Department of Medical Engineering, Association of EISEIKAI Medical and Healthcare Corporation Minamitama Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

⁶ Department of Pharmacy, Association of EISEIKAI Medical and Healthcare Corporation Minamitama Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Corresponding Author's Information:

Name: Toshiya Mitsunaga¹⁻³

Address: 3-10-1, Sanda-machi, Hachioji-city, Tokyo, 1930832, Japan

Telephone Number: 042-663-0111

Email Address: toshiya.m@jikei.ac.jp

Keywords: COVID-19, vaccine, antibody, adverse reaction, prior infection.

37 **ABSTRACT:**

38 **Introduction**

39 Vaccination programs are important to preventing COVID-19 infection. BNT162b2 is new type of
40 vaccine, and previous studies have shown that the antibody response was significantly elevated in
41 patients with prior COVID-19 infection after the first vaccination. However, no study has evaluated
42 the efficacy of the vaccination or the adverse reactions of people with prior COVID-19 infection in
43 Japan. The aim of this study is to evaluate the antibody titer and adverse reactions of BNT162b2
44 vaccine among participants with prior COVID-19 infection in Japan.

45 **Methods**

46 The data for this study was collected between April 15, 2021, and June 9, 2021. All of the hospital
47 staff who received the BNT162b2 vaccine were included in this study and were sorted into either the
48 prior infection group or the control group. We collected the data of adverse reactions through
49 self-reporting and calculated the anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibody titer for all participants.

50 **Results**

51 The antibody titer of the prior-infection group in first antibody test was significantly higher than that
52 of the control group in the second antibody test. There was no significant difference in adverse
53 reactions between the prior infection group receiving its first vaccination and the control group
54 receiving its second vaccination.

55 **Conclusion**

56 Our study shows that the antibody response following the first vaccination in the prior COVID-19
57 infection group was found to be comparable to that of the second vaccination in the control group;
58 however, the evaluation of adverse reactions was inadequate and further, large-scale studies are
59 needed.

60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70

Introduction

At the end of 2019, a new pathogen named the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was identified. The coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) has since spread rapidly all over the world, and the number of COVID-19 cases has reached more than 180 million with four million COVID-19 patients dead (mortality rate: 2.16%) [1]. In Japan, The Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare has reported that the number of COVID-19 cases in Japan was 798,159, with 14,740 deaths by 30 June 2021 (mortality rate: 1.85%) [2]. Countries around the world are trying to reduce their rates of COVID-19 infection, but this is difficult to control due to the virus's strong infectivity. Treatment plans such as steroids, antivirals, ventilators, etc. have been put in place, but vaccination is still a crucial strategy for preventing COVID-19 infection. BNT162b2 is a new type of vaccine composed of a nucleoside-modified RNA vaccine that encodes a full-length SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein [3]. A study carried out by Polack et al. showed that the vaccine's efficacy for preventing COVID-19 is about 95% [3], and we are administering two doses of the vaccine to boost immunity. The study carried by Walsh et al. showed that spike-binding IgG titer increased dramatically and reached a plateau after seven days of receiving the second vaccination, whereas the titer was slightly elevated after seven days of receiving the first vaccination [4]. Previous studies carried out by Charlotte et al. and Ebinger et al. showed that the antibody-titer level was significantly elevated in patients with a history of prior COVID-19 infection after receiving the first vaccination [5, 6] but this level was merely maintained after these patients received the second vaccination. Although there are several studies in this field, no study has evaluated the effects of the first and second vaccinations on people who had previously experience a COVID-19 infection in Japan. Furthermore, no study has evaluated the adverse reactions to the BNT162b2 vaccine for people with prior COVID-19 infection. The aim of this study is to evaluate the antibody titer and adverse reactions to the BNT162b2 vaccine among subjects with a history of COVID-19 infection in Japan.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Setting

This prospective study, which builds upon our previous study [7] by adding the data of a prior COVID-19 infection group, was carried out between April 15, 2021, and June 9, 2021, at the Association of EISEIKAI Medical and Healthcare Corporation Minamitama Hospital, an urban hospital with 170 beds. The protocol for this research project was approved by the Ethics Committee of the institution, it conforms to the provision of the Declaration of Helsinki (Committee of the

Association of EISEIKAI Medical and Healthcare Corporation Minamitama Hospital, Approval No. 2020-Ack-19) and written consent was obtained from all the participants.

All our hospital's staff who received the BNT162b2 vaccine as a part of a routine program were included in this study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) cases did not give informed consent, 2) cases that exhibited a new COVID-19 infection following vaccination, 3) cases that did not provide a blood sample within the expected deadline (i.e., twenty days post-vaccination), and 4) cases that did not submit a questionnaire about adverse reactions.

The participants with a history of prior COVID-19 infection or whose antibody titer was positive before the administration of the BNT162b2 vaccine were included in this study as part of the prior COVID-19 infection group, whereas the participants without a history of prior COVID-19 infection or who presented with a negative antibody titer before vaccination were included as the control group.

We obtained 5-ml blood samples from the intermediate cubital vein and calculated the anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibody titer for each participant (Elecsys® Anti-SARS-CoV-2 S RUO, Roche Diagnostics K.K.) 1) before vaccination (baseline), 2) seven to twenty days after the first dose of the vaccination (first antibody test), and 3) seven to twenty days after the second dose of the vaccination (second antibody test).

We also calculated the blood cell count (WBC: White Blood Cells, Hb: Hemoglobin, Ht: Hematocrit, and PLT: Platelets) and conducted biochemical examinations (AST: aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: alanine aminotransferase, γ -GT: γ -glutamyl transpeptidase, Alb: albumin, TG: triglyceride, HDL-C: high density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, Cr: Creatinine, BS: Blood Sugar, HbA1c: Hemoglobin A1c, CRP: c-reactive protein) before vaccination.

The information of age; gender; height; body weight; body mass index (BMI); past medical history (1. Chronic lung disease (Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: COPD), 2. Chronic lung disease (non-COPD), 3. Cardiac disease, 4. Hypertension, 5. Diabetes, 6. Dyslipidemia, 7. Liver disease, 8. Chronic kidney disease, 9. Autoimmune disease, 10. Cancer); medication (1. Antihypertensive drugs, 2. Antidiabetic drugs, 3. Antilipid drugs, 4. Antiplatelet and Anticoagulant drugs, 5. Immunosuppressive drugs, 6. Immunoglobulin); smoking habits (current and previous history of smoking); habits of drinking alcohol; and adverse reactions (1. pain/redness/swelling, 2. fever, 3. fatigue, 4. headache, 5. chills, 6. diarrhea, 7. myalgia, 8. arthralgia, 9. others) were recorded via self reporting. Cases with missing values were not excluded, and the acquired values were used for analysis.

Statistical Analyses

A sample size of 475 participants was determined based upon 70% power, 0.05 significance level, 0.8 effect size, 37 allocation ratio, and 20% attrition. Continuous variables were described as medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) and were compared using a Mann-Whitney *U*-test. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers and percentages and were compared using Fisher's exact test. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Fisher's exact test were used to test differences among the groups. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to ascertain the effects of factors such as the likelihood of local adverse reactions or systemic adverse reactions. Odds ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals were calculated. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. Data were analyzed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 26.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Overall, 501 participants met the inclusion criteria. However, 96 participants were excluded because they did not provide their consent to the study, one participant was excluded because of a new COVID-19 infection after vaccination, and twenty-one participants were excluded because they did not provide a blood sample within the deadline. Finally, 383 (76.4%) participants, who were divided into a nine-person prior COVID-19 infection group and a 374-person control group, were analyzed (Fig 1).

The data of the antibody titer of the second antibody test in the prior COVID-19 infection group was missing for one case, and the data of adverse reactions was missing for two cases in the prior COVID-19 infection group and for fifteen cases in control group.

The baseline characteristics for the prior COVID-19 infection group and control group are demonstrated in Table 1. The median age (interquartile range) of the participants was younger in the prior COVID-19 infection Group than in the Control Group (26 (16.0) vs 36 (16.0): $p = 0.12$), but there were no other significant differences. The proportion of males was slightly smaller in the prior COVID-19 infection group, but the difference was not significant ($p = 0.46$). Moreover, there was no obesity and only a few participants with a previous medical history in the prior COVID-19 infection group.

The comparison of anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibody response between the prior COVID-19 infection group and the control group is demonstrated in Fig 2. The log anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibody titers of the prior COVID-19 infection group were higher than that of the control group in the pre-vaccination, first antibody, and second antibody tests ($p < 0.001$). The log antibody titer of the prior COVID-19 infection group in the first antibody test was significantly higher than that of control group in second antibody test ($p < 0.001$), whereas there was no

significant difference of the log antibody titer of the prior COVID-19 infection group between the first antibody test and the second antibody test ($p = 0.54$).

The number of adverse reactions after the administration of BNT162b2 vaccine is demonstrated in Table 2. In the prior COVID-19 infection group, the proportion of symptoms was not different between the first and second vaccinations. There was no significant difference of adverse reaction between the first vaccination in the prior COVID-19 infection group and in the second vaccination in control group ($p = 0.84$). The proportion of systemic symptoms of second vaccination in each group was larger than that of the first vaccination in the control group ($p < 0.001$).

The proportion of adverse reactions for each day after vaccination is demonstrated in Fig 3. The injection site symptoms and headaches were confirmed in both groups four days after the first and second vaccinations. Fever was confirmed in the early days after the second vaccination in both groups. Fatigue was confirmed after four days of the first and second vaccination doses in the control group, whereas that was confirmed in the early days after both doses in the prior COVID-19 infection group. Myalgia and arthralgia were confirmed after four days of the first and second vaccination in the control group, whereas they were confirmed only within three days after receiving the second dose of the vaccination in the prior COVID-19 infection group.

The total days of adverse reactions is recorded in Fig 4. The length of the days of systemic symptoms was approximately half the length of the local symptoms, and the mean of days was shorter than 1.5 days. The total days of fever and fatigue after the second vaccination was significantly longer than after the first vaccination in both groups ($p < 0.05$, $p < 0.001$). In the control group, the total number of days of myalgia and arthralgia was significantly longer after the second dose of the vaccination than that after the first vaccination ($p < 0.001$), whereas the total number of days of myalgia and arthralgia was longer after the first vaccination than after the second vaccination in the prior COVID-19 infection group.

Table 3 shows the multivariate logistic regression analysis of the factors associated with local adverse reactions or systemic adverse reactions after receiving the first vaccination. There were no factors affecting local adverse reactions, whereas females and younger participants experienced significantly enhanced systemic adverse reactions ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.01$). The history of prior COVID-19 infections was not related to local and systemic adverse reactions ($p = 0.15$, $p = 0.38$).

Discussion

In our study, the antibody titer dramatically increased in the prior COVID-19 infection group after first vaccination among Japanese people. This is the first study that evaluated the antibody response after administration of the BNT162b2 vaccine in the prior COVID-19 infection group in Japan.

206 According to previous studies, the median antibody titers after the first dose of vaccination was
 207 significantly higher in participants who had been previously infected with the COVID-19 virus than
 208 that in uninfected participants [5, 6]. A study carried out by Ebinger et al. shows that the antibody
 209 titer reached a plateau after the first dose of the vaccination and that there was no significant
 210 difference of antibody titers after the first dose of the vaccination and after the second dose of the
 211 vaccination [6]. In our study, the antibody titer of the prior COVID-19 infection group reached a
 212 plateau after receiving first dose of the vaccination, and it was significantly higher than that of the
 213 control group after receiving the second dose of the vaccination as demonstrated in previous studies.
 214 In contrast to the results of previous studies, in our study, the antibody titer of the prior COVID-19
 215 infection group after receiving the first dose of the vaccination was significantly higher than that of
 216 the control group after receiving the second dose of the vaccination.

217 Based on the results of previous studies, age and gender can be considered to be factors that
 218 increased the antibody titer. A study carried by Gustafson et al. showed that the immune response to
 219 vaccination is controlled by a delicate balance of effector T cells and follicular T cells and aging
 220 disturbs this balance. Multiple changes in T cells have been identified as contributing to the
 221 age-related defects of post-transcriptional regulation, metabolic function, and T-cell receptor
 222 signaling [8]. In this study, there was no significant difference in age between the prior COVID-19
 223 infection group and the control group, but the median age was higher in the prior COVID-19
 224 infection group, which may have increased the antibody titer.

225 Differences in sex hormones are associated with gender differences in vaccine-induced immunity.
 226 For example, testosterone levels and the antibody titer of the influenza vaccine have been shown to
 227 be inversely correlated [9–11]. Genetic differences, as well as sex hormone differences, affect
 228 vaccine-induced immunity. The X-chromosome expresses ten times more genes than the
 229 Y-chromosome, and the differences in gene expression between the X- and Y-chromosomes promote
 230 differences in vaccine-induced immunity by gender [12]. In contrast to this widely held belief, in our
 231 previous study, gender was not a significant factor in the differences in antibody titers, and it is
 232 possible that gender differences did not contribute much to the increases in antibody titers in this
 233 study as well [7].

234 The antibody titer level does not necessarily reflect the immune function against the BNT162b2
 235 vaccine as a whole. However, our study showed that prior COVID-19 infection may increase the
 236 immune response after receiving the first dose of the vaccination, and this fact is especially important
 237 for vaccine delivery systems in Japan.

238 There are very few studies that evaluate the adverse reactions of the BNT162b2 vaccine. The
 239 proportion of adverse reactions to the first and second doses of the vaccination in the control group

was similar to previous studies [4, 13]. Few studies have shown the adverse reactions to receiving the first and second doses of the vaccination with and without prior COVID-19 infection [6, 14, 15], and they have described the number of days and cases after vaccination, but the range of days were two days or fewer, two to seven days, and seven days or more. On the other hand, the number of adverse reactions were recorded on a daily basis up to the seventh day after vaccination in our study. The trend of fever and fatigue in our study was similar to that of the previous study, whereas injection-site symptoms after the first and second vaccinations in both groups, headache in the prior COVID-19 infection group after both doses, and myalgia and arthralgia after the first vaccination in the prior COVID-19 infection group lasted longer than those of previous study [6].

There was no significant difference in the proportion of adverse reactions between the second vaccination in the control group and the first vaccination in the prior COVID-19 infection group. This fact may indicate that prior COVID-19 infection enhances the adverse reaction. On the other hand, in multivariate logistical regression analysis, the history of prior COVID-19 infection was not related to local and systemic adverse reactions, which is likely to be influenced by the sample size. Most adverse reactions in the prior COVID-19 infection group increased after the second vaccination as compared to the adverse reactions after receiving the first vaccination. However, myalgia or arthralgia were reduced or had their duration shortened after patients received the second vaccination. In other words, in the prior COVID-19 infection group, there may be symptom specificity not only in the frequency of adverse reactions, but also in their timing and duration.

As mentioned above, our study demonstrates the possibility of enhanced adverse reactions after the first vaccination and a further enhanced adverse reaction to the second vaccination. Judging comprehensively based on the results of antibody titers, it should be recognized that in Japan a single administration of the BNT162b2 vaccine may be sufficient for pre-infected individuals. Further large-scale studies are necessary to evaluate the immune response and the adverse reactions in the prior COVID-19 infection group.

Our study has several limitations. First, we did not calculate the lymphocyte activity of either group, and we could not monitor the whole immune system response. Second, although we established a relatively large effect size and although the difference in antibody titer between the two groups was clear, we may have needed a large sample size to assess adverse reactions. Third, we only included relatively healthy medical workers, not participants with severe complications, and this may have reduced the differences between the prior COVID-19 infection group and the control group.

In conclusion, our study showed that the antibody response to the first vaccination in the prior COVID-19 infection group was found to be comparable to that of the second vaccination in the

273 non-COVID-19 infection group. On the other hand, the evaluation of adverse reactions is inadequate,
274 and further large-scale studies are needed.

275

276 **Declaration of competing interest:** All authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

277 **Source of Funding:** none.

278 **IRB Approval Code and Name of the Institution:** Approval No. 2020-Ack-19 / Committee of
279 Association of EISEIKAI Medical and Healthcare Corporation Minamitama Hospital.

280 **Acknowledgements:** none.

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

References:

- [1] World Health Organization. 2021. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. Available from: <https://covid19.who.int>
- [2] The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) situation within and outside the country. Available from: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/newpage_00032.html
- [3] Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383: 2603-2615.
- [4] Walsh EE, Frenck RW, Falsey AR, Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A et al. Safety and Immunogenicity of Two RNA-Based Covid-19 Vaccine Candidate. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383: 2439-50.
- [5] Manisty C, Otter AD, Treibel TA, McKnight Á, Altmann DM, Brooks T et al. Antibody response to first BNT162b2 dose in previously SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals. *Lancet* 2021;397(10279):1057-1058.
- [6] Ebinger JE, Fert-Bober J, Printsev I, Wu M, Sun N, Prostko JC et al. Antibody responses to the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine in individuals previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Nat Med* 2021;27(6):981-984.
- [7] Mitsunaga T, Ohtaki Y, Seki Y, Yoshioka M, Mori H, Suzuka M et al. The evaluation of factors affecting antibody response after administration of the BNT162b2 vaccine: A prospective study in Japan. *medRxiv* 2021. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.20.21259177>.
- [8] Gustafson CE, Kim C, Weyand CM, Goronzy JJ. Influence of immune aging on vaccine responses. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2020; 145(5): 1309-1321.
- [9] Markle JG, Fish EN. Sex matters in immunity. *Trends Immunol* 2014; 35: 97-104.
- [10] Ruggieri A, Anticoli S, D' Ambrosio A, Giordani L, Viora M. The influence of sex and gender on immunity, infection and vaccination. *Ann Ist Super Sanita* 2016; 52: 198-204.
- [11] Furman D, Hejblum BP, Simon N, Jojic V, Dekker CL, Thiébaud R et al. Systems analysis of sex differences reveals an immunosuppressive role for testosterone in the response to influenza vaccination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014; 111(2): 869-874.
- [12] Fischinger S, Boudreau CM, Butler AL, Streeck H, Alter G. Sex differences in vaccine-induced humoral immunity. *Seminars in immunopathology* 2019; 41: 239-249.
- [13] Lee YW, Lim SY, Lee JH, Lim JS, Kim M, Kwon S et al. Adverse Reactions of the Second Dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine in Healthcare Workers in Korea. *J Korean Med Sci* 2021; 36(21): e153.

- [14] Menni C, Klaser K, May A, Polidori L, Capdevila J, Louca P et al. Vaccine side-effects and SARS-CoV-2 infection after vaccination in users of the COVID Symptom Study app in the UK: a prospective observational study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021; 21:939-49.
- [15] Raw RK, Kelly C, Rees J, Wroe C, Chadwick DR. Previous COVID-19 infection but not Long-COVID is associated with increased adverse events following BNT162b2/Pfizer vaccination. *medRxiv* 2021. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.15.21252192>.

371 Fig.1. Flow chart of participant's selection with inclusion and exclusion data

394 Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population.

	Prior COVID-19 infection Group (n=9) Median (interquartile range)	Control Group (n=374) Median (interquartile range)	p-value
Age, years	26 (16.0)	36 (16.0)	0.12
Sex [n (%)]			0.46
Male	1 (11.1)	110 (29.4)	
Female	8 (88.9)	264 (70.6)	
Body Mass Index (BMI), kg/m ²			0.82
BMI < 18.5	0 (0)	34 (9.1)	
18.5 ≤ BMI <25	7 (77.8)	268 (71.7)	
25 ≤ BMI <30	2 (22.2)	53 (14.2)	
30 ≤ BMI <35	0 (0)	14 (3.7)	
35 ≤ BMI <40	0 (0)	5 (1.3)	
Past Medical Histories [n (%)]			0.56
Chronic lung disease (COPD)	0 (0)	1 (0.3)	
Chronic lung disease (non-COPD)	1 (11.1)	34 (9.1)	
Cardiac disease	0 (0)	6 (1.6)	
Hypertension	0 (0)	30 (8.0)	
Diabetes	0 (0)	6 (1.6)	
Dyslipidemia	0 (0)	16 (4.3)	
Liver disease	0 (0)	6 (1.6)	
Chronic kidney disease	0 (0)	4 (1.1)	
Autoimmune disease	0 (0)	7 (1.9)	
Cancer	1 (11.1)	8 (2.1)	
Medication [n (%)]			1.00
Antihypertensive drug	0 (0)	28 (7.5)	
Antidiabetic drug	0 (0)	5 (1.3)	
Antilipid drug	0 (0)	13 (3.5)	
Anticoagulant / Antiplatelet drug	0 (0)	2 (0.5)	
Immunosuppressive drug	0 (0)	3 (0.8)	
Immunoglobulin	0 (0)	4 (1.1)	1.00
Current smoking [n (%)]	1 (11.1)	70 (18.7)	

Past smoking [n (%)]	3 (33.3)	64 (17.1)	0.20
Drinking Alcohol [n (%)]	6 (66.7)	229 (61.2)	1.00
Laboratory test			
WBC [$\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$]	6.8 (1.5)	6.35 (2.0)	0.71
Hb [g/dL]	13.4 (0.1)	13.9 (1.7)	0.16
Ht [%]	39.2 (1.9)	40.2 (4.5)	0.20
PLT [$\times 10^4/\mu\text{L}$]	26.8 (4.9)	25.2 (7.3)	0.90
Alb [g/dL]	4.6 (0.2)	4.6 (0.4)	0.37
AST [U/L]	18.0 (5.0)	19.0 (6.0)	0.94
ALT [U/L]	15.0 (5.0)	15.0 (12.0)	0.80
γ -GT [U/L]	16.0 (13.0)	17.0 (12.8)	0.76
Cr [mg/dL]	0.7 (0.1)	0.6 (0.2)	0.57
CRP [mg/dL]	0.03 (0.06)	0.03 (0.05)	0.71
BS [mg/dL]	93 (13.0)	90 (13.0)	0.71
HbA1c [%]	5.5 (0.3)	5.5 (0.4)	0.97
HDL-C [mg/dL]	59.0 (16.0)	65.0 (19.0)	0.21
LDL-C [mg/dL]	115 (29.0)	107 (35.0)	0.60
TG [mg/dL]	72.0 (42.0)	74.0 (59.0)	0.57
The length of days for vaccination and antibody test, days			
1st vaccination to 1st antibody test	8.0 (1.0)	8.0 (1.0)	0.68
2nd vaccination to 2nd antibody test	8.0 (1.0)	8.0 (1.0)	0.63
1st vaccination to 2nd vaccination	24.5 (3.0)	22.0 (3.0)	0.40

395 Data are presented as the median (interquartile range) for continuous variables and the number (%)

396 for categorical variables.

397 COPD, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; WBC, White blood cells; Hb, Hemoglobin; Ht,

398 Hematocrit; PLT, Platelets; Alb, Albumin; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine

399 aminotransferase; γ -GT, γ -glutamyl transpeptidase; Cr, Creatinine; CRP, C-reactive protein; BS,

400 Blood sugar; HbA1c, Hemoglobin A1c; HDL-C, High density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, Low

401 density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride.

402

403

404

405

406

Fig. 2. Anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibody response after the administration of the BNT162b2 vaccine to participants with and without prior COVID-19 infection.

430 Table 2. The number of adverse reactions after the administration of the BNT162b2 vaccine between the two groups.

	Prior COVID-19 infection Group (n=7)		Control Group (n=359)		p-value					
	First vaccination (pFV)	Second vaccination (pSV)	First vaccination (cFV)	Second vaccination (cSV)	pFV vs pSV	pFV vs cFV	pFV vs cSV	pSV vs cFV	pSV vs cSV	cFV vs cSV
The number of the cases (%)										
Injection site symptoms	6 (85.7)	6 (85.7)	352 (98.1)	351 (97.8)	0.64	0.24	0.84	$p < 0.001$	0.91	$p < 0.001$
fever	1 (14.3)	5 (71.4)	5 (1.4)	161 (44.8)						
fatigue	2 (28.6)	4 (57.1)	99 (27.6)	249 (69.4)						
headache	2 (28.6)	3 (42.9)	77 (21.4)	215 (59.9)						
chills	0 (0)	3 (42.9)	23 (6.4)	141 (39.3)						
diarrhea	0 (0)	0 (0)	27 (7.5)	50 (13.9)						
myalgia	3 (42.9)	2 (28.6)	106 (29.5)	166 (46.2)						
arthralgia	2 (28.6)	3 (42.9)	27 (7.5)	160 (44.6)						
others	0 (0)	0 (0)	38 (10.6)	71 (19.8)						

431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439

Fig. 3. The proportion of adverse reactions for each day after the administration of the BNT162b2 vaccine.

Fig. 4. The total days of adverse reactions after the administration of the BNT162b2 vaccine.

497 Table 3. The multivariate logistic regression analysis of the factors associated with local and systemic adverse reactions after receiving the first
498 vaccination.

Symptoms/Predictor	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Local reactions		
Female	0.47 (0.05 – 4.19)	0.50
Age	1.04 (0.97 – 1.14)	0.26
Body Mass Index: BMI	1.03 (0.83 – 1.29)	0.77
Prior Covid-19 infection	0.18 (0.02 – 1.82)	0.15
Systemic reactions		
Female	4.12 (2.45 – 6.90)	<i>p</i> < 0.001
Age	0.97 (0.95 – 0.99)	<i>p</i> < 0.01
Body Mass Index: BMI	1.05 (0.99 – 1.12)	0.13
Prior Covid-19 infection	0.50 (0.11 – 2.36)	0.38

499
500
501