Lung function from school age to adulthood in primary ciliary dyskinesia

Target Journal: ERJ

Title: 80/90 characters (incl spaces)

Abstract: 232/**250** words

Manuscript: **3214/3000** words

References: 40/**40**

Display items: 6/**8** (2 Figures and 4 tables)

Take home message (166/256 characters with spaces):

Lung function in children with PCD is reduced by age 6 years and further declines during the growth period. It is essential to develop strategies to improve prognosis.

Title Page

Lung function from school age to adulthood in primary ciliary dyskinesia

Florian S Halbeisen^{1,2}, Myrofora Goutaki^{1,3}, Eva S L Pedersen¹, Ben D Spycher¹ Israel Amirav^{4,5,6}, Mieke Boon⁷, Cohen-Cymerknoh Malena⁸, Suzanne Crowley⁹, Nagehan Emiralioglu¹⁰, Eric G Haarman¹¹, Bulent Karadag¹², Cordula Koerner-Rettberg¹³, Philipp Latzin^{3,14}, Michael R. Loebinger¹⁵, Jane S Lucas¹⁶, Henryk Mazurek¹⁷, Lucy Morgan¹⁸, June Marthin¹⁸, Petr Pohunek²⁰, Francesca Santamaria²¹, Nicolaus Schwerk²², Guillaume Thouvenin^{23,24}, Panayiotis Yiallourous²⁵, Kim G Nielsen^{19,26} and Claudia E Kuehni^{1,3}

Affiliations

- ¹ Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Switzerland
- ² Basel Institute for Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Clinical Research, University Hospital Basel, University of Basel, Switzerland
- ³ Division of Paediatric Respiratory Medicine and Allergy, Department of Paediatrics, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland
- ⁴ The PCD Israeli Consortium.
- ⁵ Dept of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel.
- ⁶ Dept of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada.
- ⁷ Department of Paediatrics, University Hospital Gasthuisberg, Leuven, Belgium
- ⁸ Pediatric Pulmonology Unit and Cystic fibrosis Center, Hadassah Medical Center and Faculty of Medicine, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel
- ⁹ Paediatric Department of Allergy and Lung Diseases, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
- ¹⁰ Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey
- ¹¹ Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Emma Children's Hospital, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ¹² Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Marmara University, School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey
- ¹³ Department of Paediatric Pneumology, University Children's Hospital of Ruhr University Bochum, Germany
- ¹⁴ on behalf of the Swiss PCD Group
- ¹⁵ Host Defence Unit, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK and Imperial College London
- ¹⁶ Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia Centre, NIHR Respiratory Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton UK

- ¹⁷ Department of Pneumonology and Cystic Fibrosis, Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Disorders, ul.Prof.Rudnika 3b, 34-700 Rabka - Zdrój, Poland
- ¹⁸ Department of Respiratory Medicine, Concord Hospital Clinical School, University of Sydney, Australia
- ¹⁹ Danish PCD Centre Copenhagen, Paediatric Pulmonary Service, Copenhagen University Hospital, Denmark
- ²⁰ Paediatric Department, 2nd Faculty of Medicine, Charles University Prague and University Hospital Motol, Prague, Czech Republic
- ²¹ Department of Translational Medical Sciences, Federico II University, Napoli, Italy
- ²² Clinic for paediatric pneumology, allergology and neonatology, Hannover Medical School, Germany
- ²³ Pediatric Pulmonology Department, APHP Hôpital Trousseau, Sorbonne Université, 75012 Paris, France. Sorbonne Université, Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA), Inserm UMR_S938, 75012 Paris, France.
- ²⁴ on behalf of the French Reference Centre for Rare Lung Diseases RespiRare®
- ²⁵ Medical School, University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
- ²⁶ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Correspondence: Claudia E. Kuehni, Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Mittelstrasse 43, 3012 Bern, Switzerland.

claudia.kuehni@ispm.unibe.ch; Tel.: +41 31 684 35 07

Abstract

Primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) presents with symptoms early in life and the disease course may be progressive, but longitudinal data on lung function are scarce. This multinational cohort study describes lung function trajectories in children, adolescents, and young adults with PCD. We analysed data from 486 patients with repeated lung function measurements obtained between the age of 6 and 24 years from the international PCD Cohort (iPCD) and calculated z-scores for forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) using the Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 references. We described baseline lung function and change of lung function over time and described their associations with possible determinants in mixed-effects linear regression models. Overall, FEV₁ and FVC z-scores declined over time (average crude annual FEV₁ decline was -0.07 z-scores) but not at the same rate for all patients. FEV₁ z-scores improved over time in 21% of patients, remained stable in 40% and declined in 39%. Low BMI was associated with poor baseline lung function and with further decline. Results differed by country and ultrastructural defect, but we found no evidence of differences by sex, calendar year of diagnosis, age at diagnosis, diagnostic certainty, or laterality defect. Our study shows that on average lung function in PCD declines throughout the entire period of lung growth, from childhood to young adult age, even among patients treated in specialized centres. It is essential to develop strategies to reverse this tendency and improve prognosis.

Keywords: Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia; lung function; longitudinal; retrospective cohort; epidemiology; orphan diseases

Introduction

Primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) commonly leads to chronic upper and lower airway disease due to impaired mucociliary clearance. Clinical course is variable, but many patients present with neonatal respiratory distress, develop bronchiectasis already in preschool age, and some progress to end stage lung disease with respiratory failure and transplantation in adulthood.[1-6] In a systematic review covering the period 1980 to 2017, we identified 24 small studies on lung function in patients with PCD.[7] Twelve studies had longitudinal lung function data, mostly from adult patients. Among these, four studies reported that lung function remained stable over time and four concluded that it deteriorated. The largest study reported that FEV₁ improved over time in 10% of Danish patients, remained stable in 57%, and declined in 34%.[8] Models of lung function changes over a lifetime distinguish between lung function at birth, lung growth during childhood, a short plateau phase and the long period of lung function decline.[9, 10] The pattern of lung function growth in early years determines lung function later in life, and also life expectancy.[11, 12] Combining patients of different ages in one model does not allow to disentangle these phases and identify possible risk factors. For people with PCD, only two studies focused on lung function growth during childhood.[13, 14] One, with 137 children from specialised PCD clinics in North America, found a variable time course with ultrastructural defects highlighted as the main determinant of heterogeneity.[13] The second, with 158 children from three countries, reported variable lung function trajectories during childhood but no difference between centres.[14]

We have previously presented cross-sectional lung function data from the international PCD cohort study (iPCD) and showed that forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC) were reduced compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 (GLI) reference values in patients of all ages.[15] The design of that study did not permit investigation of lung function changes over time, nor did it allow to identify factors that influence lung function trajectories.

The present study describes lung function trajectories in an international cohort of children and young adults with PCD from age 6 to 24 years. We compared FEV₁ and FVC of study participants with the GLI reference values at baseline and investigated changes in lung function over time, i.e. whether z-scores improved, remained stable, or decreased. Second, we investigated a range of potential determinants for their association with lung function at baseline or with subsequent change of lung function over time.

Methods

Study design and study population

The iPCD Cohort is a large international dataset of patients with PCD set up during the EU FP7 project “Better Experimental Screening and Treatment for Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia” (BESTCILIA) and expanded during the COST-Action BEAT-PCD.[16, 17] The current analysis includes data from patients aged 6 to 24 years with multiple measurements on FEV₁ and FVC that were delivered, cleaned, and standardized by March 2019. Data came from routine follow-up in PCD clinics and were extracted from hospital records or exported from regional or national PCD registries.[17] When necessary, principal investigators obtained ethics approval and informed consent or assent in their countries to contribute observational pseudonymised data.

PCD diagnosis

PCD diagnosis remains challenging and has evolved over the years.[18] Guidelines recommend a combination of tests,[19] but test availability differs between countries and centres and has changed over time.[20, 21] Therefore not all iPCD patients were diagnosed according to current recommendations. We accounted for this by distinguishing three levels of diagnostic certainty: patients with definite PCD according to the ERS guidelines[19] with a hallmark ultrastructural defect identified by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) or pathogenic biallelic PCD genetic mutations; patients with probable PCD who had abnormal high-speed video microscopy findings or low nasal nitric oxide; and patients diagnosed on clinical grounds with an incomplete diagnostic algorithm. All patients had a clinical phenotype consistent with PCD, and other diagnoses had been excluded.

Lung function

We calculated FEV₁ and FVC z-scores adjusted for age, sex, height, and ethnicity using the GLI 2012 reference values.[22] We only included patients with at least three lung function measurements. Baseline FEV₁ and FVC values were defined as the individuals’ first available measurement. Measurements done before the age of 6 years were excluded to improve comparability. We included only measurements from scheduled follow-up visits when patients were in a stable condition. We first computed average yearly individual trajectories of FEV₁ and FVC by fitting a linear regression for each patient separately including the repeated lung function measurements and age. Then we classified individual FEV₁ trajectories based on the natural variability of lung function in healthy children into three groups: those that improved over time (> 0.05 z-scores/year), remained stable (≤ 0.05 & ≥ -0.05 z-scores/year), and those that decreased (< -0.05 z-scores/year).[23]

Potential determinants of lung function and of lung function trajectories

We investigated associations of potential determinants on lung function by differentiating between effects on baseline lung function (the intercept) and effects on change of lung function over time (the slope). We included factors that had been described previously to affect lung function and were available from all centres: country of residence, sex, age at diagnosis of PCD, year of diagnosis, level of diagnostic certainty, organ laterality, age, body mass index (BMI) z-scores based on WHO reference values[24] at first lung function testing, and ultrastructural defect. All determinants except age were time-independent.

Statistical analysis

In case of missing data we contacted PIs. If the missing data could not be retrieved, the record was excluded from analysis. For laterality defects, we coded patients with missing information as “situs not reported”. Because ultrastructural defects had not been assessed in all patients, we investigated these in a subgroup analysis.

We compared z-scores of FEV₁ and FVC with the GLI reference values,[22] and calculated individual and average lung function trajectories over time using linear regression. Then we compared characteristics between patients with different slopes: improving, stable, and decreasing lung function. Last, we investigated associations of lung function with potential determinants in multivariable linear mixed effects regression models with a random intercept at the patient level. We tested for linearity of mean trajectory by including age as a linear and quadratic term and computed the p-value for the coefficient of the quadratic term. We also included a random slope to allow for individual differences in lung function change by age. To assess whether changes in FEV₁ or FVC z-scores over time were modified by certain patient characteristics, we included interaction terms between age at lung function test and the potential determinants considered. We also tested for a possible interaction between age at diagnosis and country. We used likelihood ratio (LR) tests to calculate p-values for single variables and for interaction terms. For categorical variables, the largest group was chosen as reference category. We tested robustness of the parameter estimates across countries by running the regression model for single countries with more than 30 patients.

We investigated the effects of ultrastructural defects on lung function growth in a subgroup of children with available TEM results. Adjusting for the same determinants as before, we further included an interaction term between type of ultrastructural defect and age to assess whether lung function changes over time differed between ultrastructural defects. Ultrastructural defects were grouped as in recent publications[13, 25] into: (1) Normal TEM results; (2) Outer dynein arm defect (ODA); (3) Outer and inner dynein arm defects (IDA); (4) Microtubular disorganization defects, consisting of nexin link defects combined with IDA or tubular disorganization defects combined with IDA; (5) Central complex defects, defined as central pair defects or tubular transposition defects; (6)

Any other defect (i.e. isolated IDA, acilia or nexin link defects and tubular disorganization without IDA). Group 6 (any other defects) was excluded from the analysis. To assess the amount of variance explained by all the predictors in our model we calculated in this subgroup the marginal R^2 , i.e. the proportion of variance explained by the fixed factors, and conditional R^2 , i.e. the proportion of variance explained by both the fixed and random factors, using the method by Nakagawa et al.[26] We then compared R^2 of the models with and without addition of ultrastructural defects. All analyses were performed using R 3.5.1 (www.r-project.org), linear mixed models with the R-package lme4.

Results

Population characteristics

By March 2019, 20 centres had delivered cleaned and standardised longitudinal data for 4470 lung function tests from 486 individuals (Figure S1). In 130 patients, the first lung function test stemmed from the year PCD was diagnosed, in the others it was later, often because PCD had been diagnosed at pre-school age. The largest datasets came from Germany (60 patients), Denmark (59), Turkey (49) and Israel (41) (Table 1). Half of the patients were female (49%), mean age at diagnosis was 8.6 years, 17% had been diagnosed before 1999, 38% between 1999 and 2008 and 45% after 2009. The PCD diagnosis was classified as definite in 65%, probable in 28% and clinical in 7% of patients. Half of the patients (52%) had situs solitus, 37% situs inversus, 3% heterotaxia, and 8% unknown situs. Information on ultrastructural defects was available for 366 (75%). Dynein arm defects were identified in 213 patients, a central complex defect in 40, and microtubular disorganization in 28. Mean age at the first lung function test was 11 years, mean BMI z-score -0.04 (SD =1.27).

Lung function

Median number of lung function tests per participant was 6 (IQR: 4 - 11) and median follow-up time 4.14 years (IQR: 2.3 - 7.6). Lung function was below average already at baseline, with a mean (SD) FEV₁ z-score of -1.22 (1.62) and mean (SD) FVC z-score of -0.74 (1.71) (Table 1, Figure 1 & S2). At the last test, mean (SD) FEV₁ z-score was -1.51 (1.56) and mean (SD) FVC z-score -0.71 (1.58). Average individual annual change in FEV₁ was -0.06 z-scores (95% CI -0.072 to -0.057) and -0.03 z-scores (95% CI -0.040 to -0.023) for FVC. Lung function slopes differed between individuals: average yearly FEV₁ improved by at least 0.05 z-scores in 21% of patients, remained stable in 40% and decreased in 39% (Table 2). Results from the regression analysis comparing FEV₁ and FVC z-scores

with GLI reference scores showed no evidence of a non-linear trend in lung function decline (table 3).

Determinants of lung function

Lung function differed by countries (Table 3 & S1, Figure 2 & S3). FEV₁ was reduced at the age of 6 years in most countries compared to GLI reference values, except the Netherlands. FEV₁ z-scores were lowest in Turkey (-3.02), Cyprus (-2.45) and the UK (-2.35), and highest in the Netherlands (0.37) and Denmark (-1.05). The change in FEV₁ over time was also variable; lung function deteriorated over time in most countries. Yearly decline of FEV₁ z-scores was highest in the Netherlands (-0.14), Israel (-0.05) and France (-0.05). FEV₁ z-scores increased over time in Turkey (0.11), Cyprus (0.07) and Poland (0.04). For FVC (Table S1 and Figure S3), the results were similar to FEV₁. FVC also decreased over time in most countries, except for Turkey (0.08), with the steepest decline in the Netherlands (-0.26).

BMI was positively associated with FEV₁ and FVC. Patients with higher BMI had a better lung function at baseline and improved more over time (Table 3 & S1, Figure 3). Baseline FEV₁ was 0.32 z-scores higher for each unit of higher BMI z-score. Yearly change of FEV₁ increased by 0.02 z-scores for each unit of z-score increase in BMI. Similarly, baseline FVC and increase of FVC over time were higher in individuals with higher BMI. BMI remained a determinant for lung function when running separate regression model for countries with at least 30 patients (table S3).

Ultrastructural defects were also associated with lung function (Table 4). Patients with microtubular disorganization defects had the worst baseline FEV₁ z-scores (-1.75), followed by patients with central complex defects (-1.38) and ODA defects (-1.27). Patients with non-diagnostic TEM (-0.91) or combined ODA & IDA defects (-0.60) had the best lung function at baseline. Yearly changes of FEV₁ also varied between groups, with the steepest decline in patients with ODA & IDA defects (-0.05), and the most favourable course in patients with central complex defects. FVC varied similarly with ultrastructural defects (Table S2), but the evidence was less strong as for FEV₁. The amount of variability explained by the model changed only marginally when we additionally included ultrastructural defects into the model: the marginal R² changed from 0.211 to 0.237 and the conditional R² from 0.817 to 0.819.

We did not find evidence that FEV₁ and FVC z-scores differed by sex, age at diagnosis, year of diagnosis, laterality defects, or level of diagnostic certainty (Table 3 & S1).

Discussion

This large international study of patients with PCD found that lung function, already reduced by the age of 6 years, declined further during childhood, adolescence, and early adulthood with an overall negative trend in z-scores. There was much heterogeneity between countries and individuals. Overall, FEV₁ z-scores decreased over time in 39% of children, remained stable in 40% and improved in 21%. Type of ultrastructural defect was marginally associated and BMI more strongly associated with lung function at baseline and changes in lung function over time.

With 4470 lung function tests from 486 individuals this study is by far the largest showing lung function trajectories in children, adolescents, and young adults with PCD. Most other longitudinal studies combined children and older adults, not allowing to distinguish the growth period in childhood from functional decline in adulthood.[8, 25] The large study population made it possible to compare countries and ultrastructural phenotypes and test for association with possible risk factors. A limitation of the study was that we lacked information on other factors that can influence lung function associated with bronchiectasis from any cause such as frequency of exacerbations, colonizing organisms, and patterns of care. Prospective cohort studies using standardised protocols would help to clarify the relative contribution of these factors to the rate of lung function change for patients with PCD.[27]

The wide variation in lung function between countries confirms previous cross-sectional data which included adults.[15] We observed that countries with poor lung function at baseline, such as Poland and Turkey, tended to have a less steep decline than countries with more favourable baseline values such as the Netherlands, Denmark, or France. Reasons for this are unclear but could include regression to the mean and differences in management of PCD. It is possible that patients who presented with a poor lung function at diagnosis were offered a strict physiotherapy and antibiotics management and were monitored more closely than patients who were better off at diagnosis. Also, length of follow-up time differed between countries, which could have affected the estimation of the lung function trajectories. In the Netherlands and France where the decline in lung function was steep, the mean lung function trajectory was estimated based on short individual follow-ups, while in countries with longer follow-ups, the decline in lung function was less steep such as Denmark or Belgium. We modelled mean trajectories as linear although they may be non-linear. However, in formal testing including a quadratic term, we found no evidence for non-linearity. It is also possible that the GLI reference values might not be applicable to all countries e.g. the Netherlands. However,

if that was true, we would expect regional differences in baseline lung function, but the effect on slopes should be minor. Despite the differences between countries, results from the individual country models (Table S3) highlight the robustness of our findings.

Can early diagnosis prevent disease progression? This has been suggested by previous studies,[14, 28-30] while the Danish study and ours found no association.[8] As we still lack robust evidence for the best treatments for PCD, management is based on experience from other lung diseases such as CF. Therefore, PCD diagnosis might not have led to a change in management, as patients may have been treated for chronic lung disease already before PCD was diagnosed. PCD-specific randomised controlled trials will provide more specific treatment recommendations.[31, 32]

When considering the different phases of lung function over a lifetime,[9, 10] what have we learnt? Our study and the North American one suggest that lung function growth during childhood is impaired.[13] The fact that lung function was already low at age six could be the result of poorer lung growth at preschool age or a reduced lung function at birth, or it could be a consequence of neonatal respiratory problems such as atelectasis or neonatal pneumonia. This can only be investigated using infant lung function testing in children with PCD diagnosed as neonates. Studies based on mainly adult patients reported larger declines (-0.89% /year in Italy)[8, 25] suggesting that also the decline of lung function in adulthood is accelerated. The fact that FEV₁ z-scores decreased over time in all studies reflects long-term irreversible lung damage such as bronchiectasis and lung remodelling after recurrent severe infections.[33] On the positive side, the heterogeneity between patients that we followed suggests that many changes are reversible after initiation of regular physiotherapy and antibiotics, such as mucus plugging, bronchial wall thickening and temporary atelectasis.

Genetic and ultrastructural differences have been offered as an explanation for variable lung function trajectories in smaller studies.[8, 13, 34] Particularly poor lung function was seen in patients with microtubular defects.[6, 13, 29, 35] A UK study in 82 adults reported an FEV₁ decline of 0.75 percent predicted in patients with microtubular defects compared to -0.51 percent predicted in patients with ODA or combined ODA and IDA defects, and -0.13 percent predicted in patients with normal or inconclusive TEM. In the North American study, annual change in FEV₁ percent predicted deviated from normal only in patients with central apparatus and microtubular defects (-1.11 percent predicted) and not in patients with dynein arm defects or normal TEM [13]. Also in Italy, the steepest FEV₁ decline was in patients with central apparatus and microtubular defects.[25] We did not have genetic data available for all patients to examine specific mutations. We found microtubular defects to be associated with the worst baseline lung function, but further course did

not differ. The total variability explained by the regression model (marginal R^2) increased only slightly when we included the ultrastructural defects, suggesting that ultrastructural differences explain only a small part of the heterogeneity.

BMI was associated with baseline lung function and with further change. This confirms previous cross-sectional data.[14, 15, 36] The effect of poor nutrition on lung function is known for patients with other respiratory diseases[37-39] and dietary support should be provided when required. In contrast to our previous cross-sectional analysis where we found that FEV1 and FVC z-scores were lower in females than males, we found no evidence of an association of lung function and sex. However, here we included only children and young adults, while the previous study included older adults. In healthy individuals, female sex hormones might inhibit the function of the mucociliary apparatus.[40] As hormonal differences manifest after puberty, this could explain the discrepant results.

In conclusion, this large international study found considerable heterogeneity in lung function trajectories of children, adolescents, and young adults with PCD and a wide variation between countries. Lung function was low in 6-year-olds and declined further throughout the lung growth period despite treatment. It is essential to develop PCD specific treatment strategies to improve prognosis.

References

1. Kouis P, Goutaki M, Halbeisen FS, et al. Prevalence and course of disease after lung resection in primary ciliary dyskinesia: a cohort & nested case-control study. *Respir Res* 2019; 20(1): 212.
2. Wallmeier J, Nielsen KG, Kuehni CE, et al. Motile ciliopathies. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2020; 6(1): 77.
3. Goutaki M, Halbeisen FS, Barbato A, et al. Late Diagnosis of Infants with PCD and Neonatal Respiratory Distress. *J Clin Med* 2020; 9(9).
4. Behan L, Dimitrov BD, Kuehni CE, et al. PICADAR: a diagnostic predictive tool for primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 47(4): 1103-1112.
5. Noone PG, Leigh MW, Sannuti A, et al. Primary ciliary dyskinesia: diagnostic and phenotypic features. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004; 169(4): 459-467.
6. Shah A, Shoemark A, MacNeill SJ, et al. A longitudinal study characterising a large adult primary ciliary dyskinesia population. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 48(2): 441-450.
7. Halbeisen FS, Jose A, de Jong C, et al. Spirometric indices in primary ciliary dyskinesia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *ERJ Open Res* 2019; 5(2).
8. Marthin JK, Petersen N, Skovgaard LT, et al. Lung function in patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia: a cross-sectional and 3-decade longitudinal study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 181(11): 1262-1268.
9. Speizer FE, Tager IB. Epidemiology of chronic mucus hypersecretion and obstructive airways disease. *Epidemiol Rev* 1979; 1: 124-142.
10. Bui DS, Lodge CJ, Burgess JA, et al. Childhood predictors of lung function trajectories and future COPD risk: a prospective cohort study from the first to the sixth decade of life. *Lancet Respir Med* 2018; 6(7): 535-544.
11. Miller MR, Pedersen OF, Lange P, et al. Improved survival prediction from lung function data in a large population sample. *Respir Med* 2009; 103(3): 442-448.
12. Marott JL, Ingebrigtsen TS, Çolak Y, et al. Lung Function Trajectories Leading to Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease as Predictors of Exacerbations and Mortality. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; 202(2): 210-218.
13. Davis SD, Rosenfeld M, Lee HS, et al. Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia: Longitudinal Study of Lung Disease by Ultrastructure Defect and Genotype. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019; 199(2): 190-198.
14. Maglione M, Bush A, Nielsen KG, et al. Multicenter analysis of body mass index, lung function, and sputum microbiology in primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 2014; 49(12): 1243-1250.
15. Halbeisen FS, Goutaki M, Spycher BD, et al. Lung function in patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia: an iPCD Cohort study. *Eur Respir J* 2018; 52(2).
16. Ardura-Garcia C, Goutaki M, Carr SB, et al. Registries and collaborative studies for primary ciliary dyskinesia in Europe. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; 6(2).
17. Goutaki M, Maurer E, Halbeisen FS, et al. The international primary ciliary dyskinesia cohort (iPCD Cohort): methods and first results. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49(1).
18. Lucas JS, Paff T, Goggin P, et al. Diagnostic Methods in Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2016; 18: 8-17.
19. Lucas JS, Barbato A, Collins SA, et al. European Respiratory Society guidelines for the diagnosis of primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49(1).

20. Strippoli MP, Frischer T, Barbato A, et al. Management of primary ciliary dyskinesia in European children: recommendations and clinical practice. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 39(6): 1482-1491.
21. Halbeisen FS, Shoemark A, Barbato A, et al. Time trends in diagnostic testing for primary ciliary dyskinesia in Europe. *Eur Respir J* 2019; 54(4).
22. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, et al. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3-95-yr age range: the global lung function 2012 equations. *Eur Respir J* 2012; 40(6): 1324-1343.
23. Kirkby J, Bountziouka V, Lum S, et al. Natural variability of lung function in young healthy school children. *Eur Respir J* 2016; 48(2): 411-419.
24. WHO. WHO child growth standards : length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-forheight and body mass index-for-age : methods and development. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2006.
25. Pifferi M, Bush A, Mariani F, et al. Lung Function Longitudinal Study by Phenotype and Genotype in Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. *Chest* 2020; 158(1): 117-120.
26. Nakagawa S, Johnson PCD, Schielzeth H. The coefficient of determination R^2 and intra-class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models revisited and expanded. *J R Soc Interface* 2017; 14(134).
27. Goutaki M, Papon JF, Boon M, et al. Standardised clinical data from patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia: FOLLOW-PCD. *ERJ Open Res* 2020; 6(1).
28. Yiallourous PK, Kouis P, Middleton N, et al. Clinical features of primary ciliary dyskinesia in Cyprus with emphasis on lobectomized patients. *Respir Med* 2015; 109(3): 347-356.
29. Maglione M, Montella S, Mollica C, et al. Lung structure and function similarities between primary ciliary dyskinesia and mild cystic fibrosis: a pilot study. *Ital J Pediatr* 2017; 43(1): 34.
30. Walker W, Harris A, Rubbo B, et al. Lung function and nutritional status in children with cystic fibrosis and primary ciliary dyskinesia. *European Respiratory Journal* 2016; 48.
31. Kuehni CE, Goutaki M, Kobbernagel HE. Hypertonic saline in patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia: on the road to evidence-based treatment for a rare lung disease. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49(2).
32. Kobbernagel HE, Buchvald FF, Haarman EG, et al. Efficacy and safety of azithromycin maintenance therapy in primary ciliary dyskinesia (BESTCILIA): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 8(5): 493-505.
33. Stocks J, Sonnappa S. Early life influences on the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ther Adv Respir Dis* 2013; 7(3): 161-173.
34. Ellerman A, Bisgaard H. Longitudinal study of lung function in a cohort of primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Eur Respir J* 1997; 10(10): 2376-2379.
35. Davis SD, Ferkol TW, Rosenfeld M, et al. Clinical features of childhood primary ciliary dyskinesia by genotype and ultrastructural phenotype. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015; 191(3): 316-324.
36. Goutaki M, Halbeisen FS, Spycher BD, et al. Growth and nutritional status, and their association with lung function: a study from the international Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia Cohort. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 50(6).
37. Bott L, Béghin L, Devos P, et al. Nutritional status at 2 years in former infants with bronchopulmonary dysplasia influences nutrition and pulmonary outcomes during childhood. *Pediatr Res* 2006; 60(3): 340-344.

38. Konstan MW, Butler SM, Wohl ME, et al. Growth and nutritional indexes in early life predict pulmonary function in cystic fibrosis. *J Pediatr* 2003; 142(6): 624-630.
39. Liou TG, Adler FR, Fitzsimmons SC, et al. Predictive 5-year survivorship model of cystic fibrosis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2001; 153(4): 345-352.
40. Jain R, Ray JM, Pan JH, et al. Sex hormone-dependent regulation of cilia beat frequency in airway epithelium. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2012; 46(4): 446-453.

Supplementary display items:

Figure S1. Flow chart showing the patients and respective lung function measurements included for the different analyses. Npat: number of patients; Nmeas: number of measurements; EM: electron microscopy

Figure S2. FVC trajectories during the lung growth period compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values. FVC (Forced vital capacity) is presented as z-score. A loess curve (blue line) was used to display the trajectory over time of all measurements and is plotted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded bands). Grey lines represent the individual linear trajectories of each patient included in the study. The dashed line shows the mean z-score of the normal population

Table S1. FVC of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) from the international PCD cohort, compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values (linear mixed effects regression, adjusting for all covariates).

Figure S3 FVC trajectories of PCD patients in different countries compared to Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) 2012 reference values. Individual trajectories are shown as black lines, marginal effects (estimated regression line for subgroup) as blue lines, 95% confidence intervals as grey shaded areas. The dashed line shows the mean z-score of the normal population (GLI 2012). FVC: Forced vital capacity

Table S2. FEV1 and FVC of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) from the international PCD cohort with available ultrastructural defect information compare to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values (N = 366).

Table S3. FEV1 of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) from the international PCD cohort compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values (linear mixed effects regression, adjusting for all covariates) for single countries with more than 30 patients

Author Contributions: CE Kuehni, FS Halbeisen and M Goutaki developed the concept and designed the study. FS Halbeisen cleaned and standardised the data. FS Halbeisen and E Pedersen performed the statistical analyses. All other authors participated in discussions for the development of the study and contributed data. FS Halbeisen, CE Kuehni and M Goutaki drafted the manuscript. All authors commented and revised the manuscript. CE Kuehni and FS Halbeisen take final responsibility for the contents.

Funding: This study is supported by Swiss National Science Foundation (320030B_192804). The development of the iPCD Cohort has been funded from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme under EG-GA No.35404 BESTCILIA: Better Experimental Screening and Treatment for Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. PCD research at ISPM Bern also receives national funding from the Lung Leagues of Bern, St. Gallen, Vaud, Ticino, and Valais, and the Milena-Carvajal Pro Kartagener Foundation. M Goutaki is supported by a Swiss National Science Foundation fellowship (PZ00P3_185923). Most participating researchers and data contributors participate in the BEAT-PCD clinical research collaboration, supported by the European Respiratory Society and the ERN-LUNG (PCD core). The contribution by the Prague centre was supported by Czech health research council AZV ČR (NV 19-07-00210).

Acknowledgments: We want to thank all the patients in the iPCD cohort and their families, and we are grateful to the PCD support groups that closely collaborate with us. We thank all the researchers in the participating centres who helped collect and enter data and worked closely with us throughout the build-up of the iPCD Cohort.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Table 1. Demographic and baseline characteristics of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) (N=486)

Characteristic	All included patients				Patients with information about ultrastructural defects			
	N = 486				N = 366			
	N	%	Measurements	%	N	%	Measurements	%
Country								
Australia	16	3%	126	3%	15	4%	118	3%
Belgium	14	3%	151	3%	14	4%	151	4%
Cyprus	15	3%	145	3%	15	4%	145	4%
Czech Republic	27	5%	136	3%	27	7%	136	4%
Denmark	59	12%	1459	33%	47	13%	1063	31%
France	38	8%	340	8%	31	8%	297	9%
Germany	60	12%	664	15%	44	12%	503	15%
Greece	3	1%	16	0%	-	-	-	-
Israel	41	8%	239	5%	33	9%	199	6%
Italy	32	7%	163	4%	32	9%	163	5%
Netherlands	38	8%	114	3%	22	6%	66	2%
Norway	13	3%	82	2%	13	4%	82	2%
Poland	21	4%	75	2%	14	4%	49	1%
Switzerland	23	5%	264	6%	20	5%	225	7%
Turkey	49	10%	329	7%	10	3%	95	3%
UK	37	8%	167	4%	29	8%	128	4%
Sex								
Male	247	51%	2192	49%	192	52%	1711	50%
Female	239	49%	2278	51%	174	48%	1709	50%
Age at diagnosis [years] (mean & SD)	8.64	6.1	-	-	8.33	6.2	-	-
Time period of diagnosis								
1978 - 1998	85	17%	1345	30%	75	21%	1139	33%
1999 - 2008	184	38%	1793	40%	150	41%	1372	40%
2009 - 2018	217	45%	1332	30%	141	38%	909	27%
Diagnostic certainty								
Definite PCD diagnosis*	318	65%	2933	66%	317	87%	2924	85%
Probable PCD diagnosis#	137	28%	1309	29%	40	11%	402	12%
Clinical diagnosis only	31	7%	228	5%	9	2%	94	3%
Organ laterality								
Situs solitus	254	52%	2424	54%	191	52%	1861	54%
Situs inversus	182	37%	1613	36%	139	38%	1191	35%
Heterotaxia	12	3%	99	2%	10	3%	93	3%
Situs status not reported	38	8%	334	8%	26	7%	275	8%
Ultrastructural defect								
Normal	48	10%	439	10%	48	13%	439	13%
Central complex	40	8%	452	10%	40	11%	452	13%
ODA	112	23%	1019	23%	112	31%	1019	30%
ODA/IDA	101	21%	859	19%	101	28%	859	25%
Microtubular disorganisation	28	6%	286	6%	28	7%	286	8%
Other	37	7%	365	8%	37	10%	365	11%
No information	120	25%	1050	24%	-	-	-	-
Values at 1st measurement (mean, SD)								
Age at 1 st measurement [years]	10.94	4.35	-	-	10.52	4.28	-	-
BMI z-scores	-0.04	1.27	-	-	-0.01	1.25	-	-
FEV ₁ z-scores	-1.22	1.62	-	-	-1.1	1.61	-	-
FVC z-scores	-0.74	1.71	-	-	-0.6	1.66	-	-
Values at last measurement (mean, SD)								
Age at last measurement [years]	16.29	4.84	-	-	16.39	5.03	-	-
BMI z-scores	0.01	1.21	-	-	0.03	1.21	-	-
FEV ₁ z-scores	-1.51	1.56	-	-	-1.45	1.59	-	-
FVC z-scores	-0.71	1.58	-	-	-0.65	1.61	-	-

Characteristics are presented as N and % of included patients and measurements; SD: standard deviation; ODA: outer dynein arm; IDA: inner dynein arm; BMI: body mass index; FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC: Forced vital capacity

* Defined as hallmark PCD ultrastructural defect identified by electron microscopy findings or biallelic PCD causing gene mutation based on the ERS PCD diagnosis guidelines [14]. # Abnormal light or high frequency video microscopy finding and/or low ($\leq$ 77nl/min) nasal NO value.

Figure 1. FEV₁ trajectories during the lung growth period compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values.

FEV₁ (Forced expiratory volume in 1 second) is presented as z-score. A loess curve (blue line) was used to display the trajectory over time of all measurements and is plotted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded bands). Grey lines represent the individual linear trajectories of each patient included in the study. The dashed line shows the mean z-score of the normal population.

Table 2. Characteristics of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) from the international PCD cohort by FEV₁ trajectories (lung function improving, stable, or decreasing over time) (N=486)

FEV ₁ trajectory*	Improving		Stable		Decreasing	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total	99	21%	196	40%	191	39%
Country						
Australia	3	19%	5	31%	8	50%
Belgium	2	14%	6	43%	6	43%
Cyprus	3	20%	9	60%	3	20%
Czech Republic	6	22%	13	48%	8	30%
Denmark	7	12%	26	44%	26	44%
France	5	13%	9	24%	24	63%
Germany	14	23%	21	35%	25	42%
Greece	2	66%	0	0%	1	33%
Israel	6	15%	18	44%	17	41%
Italy	6	19%	14	44%	12	37%
Netherlands	5	13%	16	42%	17	45%
Norway	2	15%	5	38%	6	46%
Poland	6	29%	9	42%	6	29%
Switzerland	3	13%	11	48%	9	39%
Turkey	21	43%	16	33%	12	24%
UK	10	27%	16	43%	11	30%
Sex						
Female	49	21%	98	41%	92	38%
Male	50	20%	98	40%	99	40%
Age at diagnosis [years] (mean & SD)	9.89	5.74	8.59	6.46	8.06	5.84
Time period of diagnosis						
1978 - 1998	11	13%	33	39%	41	48%
1999 - 2008	35	19%	73	40%	76	41%
2009 - 2018	53	24%	90	41%	74	34%
Diagnostic certainty						
Definite PCD diagnosis	55	17%	137	43%	126	40%
Probable PCD diagnosis	38	28%	49	36%	50	36%
Clinical diagnosis only	6	19%	10	32%	15	48%
Organ laterality						
Situs solitus	65	26%	99	39%	90	35%
Situs inversus	26	14%	79	43%	77	42%
Heterotaxia	2	16%	5	42%	5	42%
Situs status not reported	6	16%	13	34%	19	50%
Ultrastructural defect						
Normal	10	20%	19	40%	19	40%
Central complex	10	25%	17	43%	13	32%
ODA	21	19%	50	45%	41	37%
ODA/IDA	16	16%	38	38%	47	46%
Microtubular disorganisation	3	11%	14	50%	11	39%
Other	5	14%	19	51%	13	35%
No information	34	28%	39	33%	47	39%
BMI z-scores at 1st measurement (mean & SD)	-0.2	1.25	0	1.32	0	1.23
BMI z-scores at last measurement (mean & SD)	0.02	1.2	0.13	1.18	-0.13	1.24
FEV₁ z-scores at 1st measurement (mean & SD)	-1.96	1.47	-1.37	1.59	-0.67	1.52
FEV₁ z-scores at last measurement (mean & SD)	-0.98	1.38	-1.34	1.57	-1.96	1.52
FVC z-scores at 1st measurement (mean & SD)	-1.43	1.72	-0.94	1.69	-0.17	1.53
FVC z-scores at last measurement (mean & SD)	-0.45	1.49	-0.58	1.59	-0.97	1.59

SD: standard deviation; ODA: outer dynein arm; IDA: inner dynein arm; BMI: body mass index; FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC: Forced vital capacity

Yearly lung function change, improving: > 0.05 z-scores; stable: ≤ 0.05 & ≥ -0.05 z-scores; decreasing: < -0.05 z-scores. Data is presented with row percentages.

Table 3. FEV₁ of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) from the international PCD cohort compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values (linear mixed effects regression, adjusting for all covariates).

Variable	Estimate	FEV ₁ 95% CI		p-value [¶]
Intercept (Lung function at age 6 years for “reference patient”) *	-1.26	-2.19	-0.3	
Age at measurement	-0.04	-0.12	0.04	
Country (Ref: Germany)				<0.01
Australia	-0.34	-1.26	0.59	
Belgium	-0.20	-1.03	0.64	
Cyprus	-1.19	-2.14	-0.23	
Czech Republic	-0.95	-1.68	-0.22	
Denmark	0.21	-0.42	0.84	
France	0.14	-0.65	0.93	
Greece	0.92	-2.35	4.19	
Israel	0.11	-0.71	0.93	
Italy	-0.28	-1.02	0.47	
Netherlands	1.63	0.84	2.43	
Norway	-0.31	-1.24	0.63	
Poland	-0.86	-1.70	-0.03	
Switzerland	0.13	-0.63	0.89	
Turkey	-1.76	-2.46	-1.06	
UK	-1.09	-1.90	-0.28	
Sex (Ref: male)				0.37
Female	-0.03	-0.33	0.27	
Age at diagnosis	-0.01	-0.05	0.02	0.21
Diagnostic period	0.02	-0.005	0.05	0.26
Diagnostic certainty (Ref: definite PCD diagnosis)				0.49
Probable PCD diagnosis	-0.04	-0.43	0.35	
Clinical diagnosis only	0.38	-0.27	1.03	
Laterality defects (Ref: situs solitus)				0.59
Situs Ambiguous	0.42	-0.53	1.36	
Situs Inversus	0.33	-0.001	0.66	
Situs Unknown	0.15	-0.54	0.85	
BMI	0.32	0.28	0.37	<0.01
Change of lung function over time[#]				
Country (Ref: Germany)				<0.01
Australia	-0.02	-0.10	0.07	
Belgium	0.02	-0.05	0.10	
Cyprus	0.07	-0.01	0.15	
Czech Republic	0.04	-0.03	0.11	
Denmark	-0.01	-0.07	0.05	
France	-0.05	-0.12	0.03	
Greece	0.01	-0.29	0.32	
Israel	-0.05	-0.13	0.03	
Italy	-0.03	-0.10	0.04	
Netherlands	-0.14	-0.24	-0.04	
Norway	-0.02	-0.12	0.09	
Poland	0.04	-0.05	0.13	
Switzerland	-0.03	-0.10	0.05	
Turkey	0.11	0.04	0.18	
UK	0.03	-0.04	0.11	
Sex (Ref: male)				0.36
Female	-0.01	-0.04	0.02	
Age at diagnosis	0.003	0.00	0.01	0.08
Diagnostic period	-0.001	-0.004	0.001	0.32
Diagnostic certainty (Ref: definite PCD diagnosis)				0.92
Probable PCD diagnosis	-0.01	-0.04	0.03	
Clinical diagnosis only	-0.001	-0.07	0.07	
Laterality defects (Ref: situs solitus)				0.52
Situs Ambiguous	-0.01	-0.11	0.08	
Situs Inversus	-0.02	-0.05	0.01	
Situs Unknown	-0.02	-0.08	0.04	
BMI	0.02	0.01	0.02	<0.01

FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second; BMI: body mass index

¶ Likelihood ratio test p-value indicating whether the characteristic explains differences in FEV₁ within the study population.

*The Intercept describes the FEV₁ of a reference patient at 6 years, who is male, from Germany, with a BMI z-score of 0, diagnosed at birth (age = 0) in 1978, with a definite PCD diagnosis. Categorical variables describe the change from the reference category, while continuous variables describe the change from the reference patient for each unit of increase.

* Defined as hallmark PCD ultrastructural defect identified by electron microscopy findings or biallelic PCD causing gene mutation based on the ERS PCD diagnosis guidelines [14]. # Abnormal light or high frequency video microscopy finding and/or low (≤ 77 nl/min) nasal NO value.

#Change in lung function over time are based on interaction terms between the characteristics (e.g. country, sex) and age. Change of lung function over time thus describes the change in the trajectory of FEV₁ per year increase, based on the reference category for categorical variables and for each unit of increase for continuous variables.

Figure 2. FEV₁ trajectories of PCD patients in different countries compared to Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) 2012 reference values.

Estimated linear individual trajectories are shown as black lines (based on individual linear regressions), estimate linear mean trajectories (based on mixed linear regression model for subgroup) as blue lines, and point-wise 95% confidence intervals as grey shaded areas. The dashed line shows the mean z-score of the normal population (GLI 2012). FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second

Table 4. FEV₁ in patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD), by ultrastructural defect (N = 366).

Characteristic	Estimate	FEV ₁		p-value [¶]
		95% CI		
Intercept (Lung function at age 6 years for “reference patient”) ⁺	-1.27	-2.41	-0.13	
Baseline FEV₁				
ODA (Reference)*				0.01
Central Complex defect	-0.11	-0.67	0.45	
ODA/IDA	0.67	0.19	1.14	
Microtubular disorganisation	-0.49	-1.15	0.17	
Non-diagnostic	0.36	-0.20	0.91	
Change of FEV₁ over time[#] (“reference patient”) [†]	-0.02	-0.12	0.08	
ODA (Reference)*				0.04
Central Complex defect	0.03	-0.02	0.07	
ODA/IDA	-0.05	-0.09	-0.01	
Microtubular disorganisation	0.01	-0.05	0.08	
Non-diagnostic	-0.01	-0.06	0.05	

FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second; ODA: outer dynein arm; IDA: inner dynein arm

[¶] Likelihood ratio test p-value indicating whether the characteristic explains differences in FEV₁ within the study population.

*Data are presented as unstandardized regression coefficient representing difference from reference category.

[†] Adjusted for all variables of the full model, the full summary output is in the online supplement (Table S2)

The Intercept describes the FEV₁ of a reference patient at age 6 years, who is male, from Germany, with a BMI z-score of 0, diagnosed at birth (age = 0) in 1978, with a definite PCD diagnosis. Categorical variables describe the change from the reference category, while continuous variables describe the change from the reference patient for each unit of increase.

[#] Change in lung function over time are based on interaction terms between the characteristics (e.g. country, sex) and age.

The change over time thus describes the change in the trajectory of FEV₁ per year increase, based on the reference category for categorical variables and for each unit of increase for continuous variables.

Figure S1. Flow chart showing the patients and respective lung function measurements included for the main analysis and the subgroup analysis.

N_{pat}: number of patients; N_{meas}: number of measurements; EM: electron microscopy

Figure S2. FVC trajectories during the lung growth period compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values.

FVC (Forced vital capacity) is presented as z-score. A loess curve (blue line) was used to display the trajectory over time of all measurements and is plotted with a 95% confidence interval (shaded bands). Grey lines represent the individual linear trajectories of each patient included in the study. The dashed line shows the mean z-score of the normal population

Table S1 FVC of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) from the international PCD cohort, compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values (linear mixed effects regression, adjusting for all covariates).

Variable	Estimate	FVC		p-value [¶]
		95% CI		
Intercept (Lung function at age 6 years for “reference patient”) +	-1.10	-2.07	-0.13	
Age at measurement	0.02	-0.07	0.11	
Country (Ref: Germany)				<0.01
Australia	0.06	-0.90	1.02	
Belgium	0.76	-0.10	1.62	
Cyprus	-0.82	-1.81	0.17	
Czech Republic	-0.73	-1.49	0.03	
Denmark	1.09	0.43	1.73	
France	0.80	-0.02	1.63	
Greece	1.09	-2.46	4.64	
Israel	0.36	-0.49	1.22	
Italy	0.52	-0.25	1.30	
Netherlands	2.98	2.16	3.81	
Norway	0.38	-0.59	1.36	
Poland	-0.01	-0.88	0.87	
Switzerland	0.52	-0.26	1.31	
Turkey	-1.78	-2.51	-1.05	
UK	-0.20	-1.05	0.65	
Sex (Ref: male)				0.79
Female	-0.11	-0.42	0.20	
Age at diagnosis	-0.02	-0.05	0.01	0.47
Diagnostic period	0.01	-0.02	0.04	0.49
Diagnostic certainty (Ref: definite PCD diagnosis)				0.30
Probable PCD diagnosis	-0.12	-0.52	0.29	
Clinical diagnosis only	0.33	-0.35	1.01	
Laterality defects (Ref: Situs solitus)				0.40
Situs Ambiguous	0.16	-0.83	1.15	
Situs Inversus	0.30	-0.05	0.64	
Situs Unknown	0.00	-0.74	0.72	
BMI	0.40	0.35	0.45	<0.01
Change of lung function over time				
Country (Ref: Germany)				<0.01
Australia	-0.05	-0.13	0.04	
Belgium	-0.10	-0.18	-0.02	
Cyprus	-0.04	-0.12	0.05	
Czech Republic	-0.02	-0.09	0.06	
Denmark	-0.05	-0.12	0.01	
France	-0.10	-0.18	-0.03	
Greece	-0.08	-0.41	0.25	
Israel	-0.09	-0.17	-0.01	
Italy	-0.09	-0.17	-0.02	
Netherlands	-0.26	-0.37	-0.16	
Norway	-0.07	-0.18	0.04	
Poland	-0.04	-0.14	0.06	

Switzerland	-0.06	-0.14	0.02	
Turkey	0.08	0.01	0.16	
UK	-0.05	-0.13	0.04	
Sex (Ref: male)				0.61
Female	0.01	-0.02	0.04	
Age at diagnosis	0.002	-0.001	0.005	0.24
Diagnostic period	-2.12	-0.003	0.003	0.99
Diagnostic certainty (Ref: definite PCD diagnosis)				0.21
Probable PCD diagnosis	-0.01	-0.05	0.03	
Clinical diagnosis only	-0.07	-0.14	0.01	
Laterality defects (Ref: situs solitus)				0.73
Situs Ambiguous	-0.004	-0.10	0.09	
Situs Inversus	-0.01	-0.04	0.02	
Situs Unknown	-0.04	-0.10	0.03	
BMI	0.01	-0.005	0.02	0.01

FVC: Forced vital capacity; BMI: body mass index

¶ Likelihood ratio test p-value indicating whether the characteristic explains differences in FVC within the study population.

*The Intercept describes the FVC of a reference patient at 6 years, who is male, from Germany, with a BMI z-score of 0, diagnosed at age 6 years in 1978, with a definite PCD diagnosis. Categorical variables describe the change from the reference category, while continuous variables describe the change from the reference patient for each unit of increase. * Defined as hallmark PCD

ultrastructural defect identified by electron microscopy findings or biallelic PCD causing gene mutation based on the ERS PCD diagnosis guidelines [14]. # Abnormal light or high frequency video microscopy finding and/or low (≤ 77 nl/min) nasal NO value.

Change of lung function over time describes the change in the trajectory of FEV₁ per year increase, based on the reference category for categorical variables and for each unit of increase for continuous variables.

Figure S3. FVC trajectories of PCD patients in different countries compared to Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) 2012 reference values.

Individual trajectories are shown as black lines, marginal effects (estimated regression line for subgroup) as blue lines, 95% confidence intervals as grey shaded areas. The dashed line shows the mean z-score of the normal population (GLI 2012). FVC: Forced vital capacity

Table S2. FEV₁ and FVC of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) from the international PCD cohort with available ultrastructural defect information compare to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values (N = 366).

Variable	FEV ₁			p-value	FVC		
	Estimate	95% CI			Estimate	95% CI	p-value
Intercept (Lung function at age 6 years for “reference patient”) +	-1.27	-2.41 -0.13			-0.35	-1.54 0.83	
Age at measurement	-0.02	-0.12 0.08			-0.08	-0.18 0.03	
Country (Ref: Germany)				<0.01			<0.01
Australia	-0.29	-1.30 0.71			-0.20	-1.24 0.84	
Belgium	-0.02	-0.92 0.87			0.66	-0.27 1.58	
Cyprus	-1.40	-2.36 -0.44			-1.14	-2.14 -0.14	
Czech Republic	-1.02	-1.80 -0.23			-0.90	-1.71 -0.09	
Denmark	0.53	-0.20 1.26			1.03	0.27 1.78	
France	0.74	-0.22 1.70			1.04	0.04 2.04	
Israel	0.63	-0.32 1.58			0.69	-0.29 1.68	
Italy	-0.43	-1.26 0.40			0.34	-0.52 1.21	
Netherlands	1.71	0.74 2.68			2.78	1.78 3.78	
Norway	-0.20	-1.20 0.81			0.27	-0.77 1.31	
Poland	-1.01	-2.04 0.02			-0.32	-1.40 0.75	
Switzerland	0.07	-0.79 0.93			0.07	-0.82 0.97	
Turkey	-1.04	-2.27 0.19			-1.24	-2.52 0.03	
UK	-1.02	-1.96 -0.08			-0.57	-1.55 0.40	
Sex (Ref: male)				0.08			0.30
Female	-0.05	-0.39 0.30			-0.28	-0.63 0.08	
Age at diagnosis	-0.01	-0.04 0.03		0.15	-0.004	-0.04 0.03	0.53
Diagnostic period	0.02	-0.01 0.05		0.57	-0.01	-0.04 0.03	0.33
Laterality defects (Ref: Situs solitus)				0.81			0.67
Situs Ambiguous	0.25	-0.71 1.20			0.13	-0.86 1.12	
Situs Inversus	0.14	-0.25 0.53			0.04	-0.37 0.45	
Situs Unknown	-0.41	-1.23 0.41			-0.50	-1.35 0.36	
Ultrastructural defects (Ref: ODA)				0.01			0.07
Central Complex defect	-0.11	-0.67 0.45			-0.36	-0.94 0.22	
ODA/IDA	0.67	0.19 1.14			0.41	-0.08 0.90	
Microtubular disorganisation	-0.49	-1.15 0.17			-0.47	-1.16 0.22	
Non-diagnostic	0.36	-0.20 0.91			0.10	-0.48 0.68	
BMI	0.34	0.29 0.39		<0.01	0.43	0.37 0.48	<0.01
Change of lung function over time							
Country (Ref: Germany)				<0.01			0.01
Australia	-0.03	-0.12 0.05			-0.02	-0.11 0.07	
Belgium	-0.01	-0.09 0.07			-0.07	-0.15 0.02	
Cyprus	0.05	-0.03 0.14			-0.02	-0.11 0.07	
Czech Republic	0.02	-0.05 0.10			-0.004	-0.08 0.07	
Denmark	-0.05	-0.12 0.02			-0.05	-0.12 0.02	
France	-0.10	-0.19 -0.02			-0.12	-0.21 -0.03	
Israel	-0.11	-0.20 -0.02			-0.11	-0.20 -0.01	
Italy	-0.06	-0.13 0.02			-0.08	-0.16 -0.004	
Netherlands	-0.17	-0.31 -0.03			-0.24	-0.38 -0.10	

Norway	-0.04	-0.15	0.06		-0.07	-0.19	0.04	
Poland	0.06	-0.06	0.17		-0.04	-0.16	0.09	
Switzerland	-0.02	-0.10	0.07		0.01	-0.07	0.10	
Turkey	0.01	-0.11	0.12		0.01	-0.11	0.13	
UK	0.01	-0.08	0.10		-0.01	-0.10	0.08	
Sex (ref: male)				0.11				0.20
Female	-0.03	-0.06	0.01		0.02	-0.01	0.06	
Age at diagnosis	0.003	-0.001	0.01	0.09	0.002	-0.002	0.005	0.33
Diagnostic period	-0.001	-0.004	0.002	0.50	0.002	-0.001	0.005	0.18
Laterality defects (Ref: Situs solitus)				0.99				0.87
Situs Ambiguous	0.01	-0.08	0.09		0.003	-0.09	0.09	
Situs Inversus	-0.004	-0.04	0.03		0.02	-0.02	0.05	
Situs Unknown	-0.01	-0.08	0.06		0.01	-0.07	0.08	
Ultrastructural defects (Ref: ODA)				0.04				0.18
Central Complex defect	0.03	-0.02	0.07		0.05	-0.001	0.10	
ODA/IDA	-0.05	-0.09	-0.01		-0.01	-0.05	0.04	
Microtubular disorganisation	0.01	-0.05	0.08		0.06	-0.01	0.12	
Non-diagnostic	-0.01	-0.06	0.05		-0.02	-0.08	0.04	
BMI	0.01	0.004	0.03	<0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.04

[¶] Likelihood ratio test p-value indicating whether the characteristic explains differences in FEV₁ or FVC within the study population.

Adjusted for all variables of the full model, the full summary output is in the online supplement (Table S1).

+ The Intercept describes the FEV₁ and FVC of a reference patient 6 years, who is male, from Germany, with a BMI z-score of 0, diagnosed at birth (age = 0) in 1978, with a definite PCD diagnosis. Categorical variables describe the change from the reference category, while continuous variables describe the change from the reference patient for each unit of increase. Change of lung function over time describes the change in the trajectory of FEV₁ and FVC per year increase, based on the reference category for categorical variables and for each unit of increase for continuous variables.

FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC: Forced vital capacity; ODA: outer dynein arm; IDA: inner dynein arm; BMI: body mass index

Table S3. FEV₁ of patients with primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) from the international PCD cohort compared to Global Lung Function Initiative 2012 reference values (linear mixed effects regression, adjusting for all covariates) for single countries with more than 30 patients

N: measurements, individuals	Denmark 1459, 59				France 340, 38				Germany 664, 60				Israel 239, 41			
	Estimate	95% CI	p-val		estimate	95% CI	p-val		Estimate	95% CI	p-val		Estimate	95% CI	p-val	
Intercept	0.26	-1.18	1.71		-0.98	-2.12	0.17		-6.91	-11.43	-	2.39	88.5	-41.6	218	
Sex (Ref: male)				0.28				0.11				0.38				<0.01
Female	-0.28	-1.03	0.48		-0.73	-1.40	-	0.06	0.56	-0.23	1.35		-0.65	-1.94	0.64	
Age at diagnosis	-0.10	-0.19	0.02	0.04	-0.01	-0.10	0.08	0.69	-0.18	-0.30	-	0.06	0.23	0.02	0.44	<0.01
Diagnostic period	0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.85	0.01	-0.05	0.07	0.04	0.023	-0.07	0.39	0.02	-2.67	-6.50	1.16	0.24
Diagnostic certainty (Ref: definite)				0.23				0.70				0.46				0.02
Probable PCD	-0.08	-0.86	0.70		-	-	-		-0.49	-1.40	0.42		1.91	0.29	3.54	
Clinical diagnosis	-0.24	-2.62	2.13		0.29	-0.60	1.17		-1.47	-3.25	0.31		0.63	-0.93	2.19	
Laterality defects (Ref: situs solitus)				0.34				0.11				0.06				0.02
Situs Ambiguous	-	-	-		-	-	-		2.08	-0.99	5.14					
Situs Inversus	-0.80	-1.62	0.02		1.11	0.19	2.04		1.26	0.31	2.21		0.90	-0.59	2.40	
Situs Unknown	-0.09	-2.78	2.60		0.53	-0.21	1.27		-	-	-		-2.93	-5.27	-	0.59
BMI	0.48	0.38	0.57	<0.01	0.15	0.00	0.29	0.02	0.42	0.31	0.53	<0.01	0.42	0.22	0.62	<0.01
Change in FEV1 over time																
Sex (Ref: male)				0.28				0.64				0.54				0.39
Female	-0.3	-0.09	0.03		0.02	-0.07	0.11		-0.03	-0.11	0.06		-0.07	-0.21	0.08	
Age at diagnosis	0.01	0.002	0.01	0.02	-0.003	-0.01	0.01	0.56	0.02	0.002	0.03	0.04	-0.01	-0.03	0.02	0.50
Diagnostic period	-0.001	-0.01	0.004	0.57	0.01	0.001	0.02	0.04	-0.01	-0.03	0.01	0.27	0.17	-0.22	0.56	0.39
Diagnostic certainty (Ref: definite)				0.16				0.89				0.51				0.06
Probable PCD	-0.06	-0.13	0.003		-	-	-		0.06	-0.04	0.16		-0.21	-0.39	-	0.03
Clinical diagnosis	-0.08	-0.31	0.16		0.01	-0.15	0.17		0.05	-0.13	0.22		0.04	-0.17	0.25	
Laterality defects (Ref: situs solitus)				0.58				0.69				0.53				0.09
Situs Ambiguous	-	-	-		-	-	-		-0.13	-0.43	0.17					
Situs Inversus	0.02	-0.05	0.09		0.02	-0.10	0.13		-0.04	-0.13	0.06		-0.12	-0.29	0.04	
Situs Unknown	-0.08	-0.27	0.11		-0.03	-0.12	0.06		-	-	-		0.18	-0.07	0.43	
BMI	0.004	-0.02	0.03	0.72	0.03	0.001	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.002	0.05	0.05	-0.004	-0.04	0.03	0.82

Models adjusted for age at measurement as a linear term and age at measurement as quadratic term

Italy	Netherlands	Turkey	UK
-------	-------------	--------	----

N: measurements, individuals	163, 32				114, 38				329, 49				167, 37			
	Estimate	95% CI		p-val	estimate	95% CI		p-val	Estimate	95% CI		p-val	Estimate	95% CI		p-val
Intercept	0.28	-1.98	2.53		-8.26	-20.7	4.13		-6.13	-13.37	1.11		4.18	-0.52	8.88	
Sex (Ref: male)				0.58				0.04				0.60				0.81
Female	-0.30	-1.56	0.96		2.01	0.57	3.45		0.60	-0.54	1.74		-0.46	-1.94	1.01	
Age at diagnosis	-0.08	-0.20	0.04	0.15	-0.22	-0.70	0.26	0.02	-0.20	-0.41	0.02	<0.01	0.36	0.20	0.52	<0.01
Diagnostic period	-0.06	-0.15	0.02	0.23	0.28	-0.19	0.75	0.05	0.14	-0.10	0.38	0.04	-0.23	-0.38	-0.08	0.01
Diagnostic certainty (Ref: definite PCD)				<0.01				0.53				0.21				0.06
Probable PCD	0.27	-2.38	2.91		0.18	-1.46	1.83		-0.17	-1.71	1.38		0.74	-3.94	5.42	
Clinical diagnosis	-1.85	-4.78	1.09		1.55	-7.47	10.57		1.07	-0.58	2.73		7.64	2.36	12.92	
Laterality defects (Ref: situs solitus)				0.43				0.12				0.62				0.04
Situs Ambiguous	-	-	-		-0.09	-3.49	3.32		-	-	-		4.88	0.05	9.70	
Situs Inversus	0.84	-0.81	2.50		2.75	0.72	4.78		0.37	-0.67	1.41		0.68	-0.72	2.08	
Situs Unknown	-	-	-		6.78	-5.70	19.2		-	-	-		-4.34	-10.16	1.46	
BMI (continuous)	0.33	0.16	0.49	<0.01	0.32	0.01	0.64	0.05	0.28	0.12	0.44	<0.01	0.15	-0.12	0.43	<0.01
Change in FEV1 over time																
Sex (Ref: male)				0.31				0.04				0.40				0.52
Female	0.05	-0.04	0.15		-0.18	-0.34	-0.02		-0.05	-0.17	0.07		0.04	-0.07	0.14	
Age at diagnosis	0.01	0.001	0.02	0.06	0.06	-0.001	0.11	0.05	0.002	-0.03	0.03	0.91	-0.03	-0.05	-0.02	<0.01
Diagnostic period	0.001	-0.01	0.01	0.92	-0.06	-0.13	-0.001	0.05	-0.001	-0.03	0.03	0.99	0.02	0.01	0.04	<0.01
Diagnostic certainty (Ref: definite)				<0.01								0.12				0.03
Probable PCD	0.33	0.10	0.56		0.03	-0.20	0.25	0.97	0.09	-0.07	0.25		-0.03	-0.39	0.34	
Clinical diagnosis	0.66	0.30	1.01		0.03	-0.91	0.98		-0.10	-0.30	0.10		-0.44	-0.78	-0.09	
Laterality defects (Ref: situs solitus)				0.19				0.23				0.83				0.36
Situs Ambiguous	-	-	-		0.03	-0.38	0.44		-	-	-		-0.18	-0.48	0.11	
Situs Inversus	-0.09	-0.22	0.04		-0.23	-0.49	0.04		-0.01	-0.13	0.10		0.04	-0.07	0.14	
Situs Unknown	-	-	-		-0.86	-2.19	0.47		-	-	-		0.24	-0.26	0.74	
BMI	0.04	0.02	0.06	<0.01	0.01	-0.04	0.06	0.69	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.08	<0.01

Models adjusted for age at measurement as a linear term and age at measurement as quadratic term