Three-step rhythmic breathing exercise and COVID-19: A cross-sectional study

Authors:

- Dr. Apurvakumar Pandya, Ph.D., MA (Clinical Psychology)
Economic Evaluation Specialist, Indian Institute of Public Health Gandhinagar
- Dr. Dileep Mavalankar, DrPH, MPH, MD, MBBS
Director, Indian Institute of Public Health Gandhinagar
- Mr. Pravin Maithia, Volunteer, Soliji Yog Foundation, Gandhinagar

Corresponding author: Dr. Apurvakumar Pandya, apandya@iiphg.org

Abstract

Introduction: The present study assessed the prevalence of COVID-19 among people practicing three-step rhythmic breathing (3SRB) exercise and those who were not practicing any breathing exercises, including 3SRB exercise.

Methods: A community-based cross-sectional observational study was conducted. Data was collected using a self-constructed online google survey tool from July 2020 to August 2020.

Results: Out of a total 1083 sample, a higher proportion of the participants (41.3%) belonged to the 34-49 years age group, followed by the age group of 50-65 (32.5%). The sample was almost equally distributed; about 51.9% of the population was male, and 48.4% were female. The COVID-19 positivity was recorded almost double (3.1%) in groups not practicing 3SRB exercises compared to a group (1.3%) practicing 3SRB exercises. Furthermore, the practice of 3SRB was significantly associated with a lower percentage of COVID-19 infection ($p=0.046$).

Conclusions: Practice of 3SRB is significantly associated with a lower percentage of COVID-19 infection. A future study with a robust methodology is warranted to validate the findings of this study and determine the effects of 3SRB on physiological and biological markers.

Keywords: COVID-19, three-step rhythmic breathing, 3SRB, refining exercise, India

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has become a significant cause of physical & psychological distress and deaths worldwide. Many European and Asian countries have faced a second wave of the pandemic while India is experiencing the second wave at present. The COVID-19 damages the respiratory tract, obstructing airflow.¹ It triggers asthma attacks and causes acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).² Deep breathing exercises that clear the lungs and strengthen lung function may be especially beneficial for people with these conditions.^{3,4} Evidence indicates that breathing exercises improve lung functions,^{5,6} boost immunity,⁷ and manages stress.⁸ However, the effect of breathing exercise on COVID-19 infection is not yet established.

Three-Step Breathing exercise is a breathing pattern that aids an individual 'breathe in rhythm with nature. It is a slow and deep breathing technique founded by Yogi Sri Soli Tavaria. It is also known as refining exercises and is believed to cleanse impurities from the mind and the body. Studies on the effect of Three Step Rhythmic Breathing (3SRB) on COVID-19 remain unknown. Anecdotal experience that breathing exercises can strengthen the lungs and may be beneficial for reducing the impact of COVID-19 before, during, and after it strikes. The present study attempted to determine the prevalence of COVID-19 among people practicing 3SRB exercise and those who are not practicing 3SRB exercise in India.

Methods

A community-based cross-sectional observational study was conducted. Data was collected using a self-constructed online google survey tool from July 2020 to August 2020. The data entry was done using Microsoft Office Excel 2019. Descriptive statistics of frequency, percentages, mean and standard deviation (SD) were used to summarize the data. Categorical variables are represented as frequencies and percentages and analyzed using the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 23.0 software (SPSS, Inc). A 2-sided α (Alpha) level at less than 0.05 or 0.01 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 shows the socio-demographic characteristics of the study respondents. A higher proportion (40.3% for group A and 42.4% in group B, respectively) of the participants belonged to the 34-49 years age group, followed by the age group of 50-65 years (31.4% and 32.5% respectively). The mean

age in group A was 45.5 ± 13.6 and 47.5 ± 14.5 in group B. About 51.9% of the population was male, and 48.4% were female.

About 32.1% of participants were homemakers or retired, 28.1% were doing service, and 20.6% were engaged in business. In the study, the majority of respondents (93.1%) were from Gujarat, followed by Maharashtra (4.4%), and the rest were from other States (2.5%). Current comorbidities included diabetes (7%), hypertension (5.1%), followed by the highest prevalence of a comorbid condition of diabetes, hypertension, or heart disease (8%). Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of study participants.

Characteristics of persons practicing 3SRB

Total 598 participants were practicing 3SRB. About 47.9% were practicing for less than a year, and 79.8% were practicing daily. Table 2 presents the characteristics of 3SRB practice. Out of the total, 11.7% of 3SRB practitioners were reported treating other chronic diseases. These diseases were diabetes (2.3%), Arthritis /Spondylitis (2%), Blood pressure (1.8%), Psychological distress such as depression, anxiety and stress (1.8 %), Asthma (1.2%), hypertension & thyroid (1% respectively), thyroid (1%), and cardiovascular disease (0.2%). Many participants (1.7%) reported treating Parkinson's, Psoriasis, etc.

Prevalence of COVID-19 in the study population

Overall prevalence was 2.1% in the study population; 1.3% in group A followed by 3.1% in group B, as shown in Table 3. The association between practice and non-practitioners of 3SRB and COVID-19 was significant ($p=0.046$).

Table 4 presents data on COVID-19 positive cases in the family and the residential areas among both groups. In the total sample, 4.1% of participants' family members were tested positive for COVID-19 and was a non-significant association for COVID-19 infection across two groups ($p=0.827$). Nearly half of participants (48.6%) had COVID-19 cases in their residential area, whereas 33.7% reported COVID-19 cases in their wards. COVID-19 cases in their resident area and ward were not statistically significant with COVID-19 ($p=0.076$).

Association between independent variables and COVID-19 positivity across Two Groups

Table 5 presents an association between independent variables with COVID-19 in both groups. More than half (65.2%) positive cases belonged to the 34-49 years of age group, and most men (87%) were affected. In terms of occupation, persons from the business (56.5%) and service (34.8) category were primarily affected with COVID-19.

As shown in Table 6, symptoms of fever and cough were present in 47.8% of positive cases, which means the presence of both symptomatic and asymptomatic cases. Symptoms were more frequent in positive individuals (47.8%) than those not diagnosed with COVID-19 (6.1%).

About 43.5% of COVID-19 positive cases were from Surat, followed by 26.1% from Ahmedabad. Both of these cities were marked with a high case of COVID-19 in the State during the study period. Table 7 presents the distribution of COVID-19 positive cases across cities.

As presented in Table 8, about 56.6% of the study participants infected with COVID-19 were practicing yoga, meditation, exercise, or any combination of these, and more than half of them (65.2%) have reported using herbs for recovery.

Discussion

The study disclosed the prevalence of COVID-19 as 2.1% in the entire study population, corresponding to 23 individuals infected with the novel coronavirus. About 1.3% positivity was observed in group A who were practicing 3SRB or refining exercise, and 3.1% prevalence in group B, who were not practicing refining exercise. The prevalence is higher than the recently conducted study among Healthcare workers (1.8%)¹¹ and the study conducted in Brazil, which reported a prevalence of 2.1% COVID-19 infection.¹² Further, an association between practice and non-practitioners of 3SRB and COVID-19 was significant ($p=0.046$).

The majority of study participants infected with COVID-19 were engaged in business or service, suggesting occupation as a critical determinant of COVID-19. As such, physical activity and or exercise were beneficial in ameliorating some of the respiratory difficulties and psychological distress,^{13,14} which is endorsed by the present study's findings. However, due to small numbers of positive cases, a significant effect of exercise could not be determined.

Positivity in a family, ward, or residential area was associated with COVID-19 infection; however, the association was not significant. In the total sample, 4.1% of respondents' family members were tested positive for COVID-19. A positive case in the family is an expected result in a fast-evolving epidemic like COVID-19.^{15, 16} Nevertheless, at this point, it is possible to observe a higher probability of positive contacts when the family member is detected COVID-19 positive. Recent systematic reviews conducted by Shah et al.¹⁷ indicated that the secondary attack rate in household contacts was found to be ranging between 4.6 and 49.56%. The study did not evaluate contributing factors of COVID-19 positivity and factors such as age, duration of the positive case in the family, house dimensions, and

the number of rooms in the family. Recent studies indicate that spouses and the elderly are more prone to secondary infection than the younger population (supported through reported odds). Minors (<18/<20 years) are at lower risk of SAR.^{18,19}

Among all positive individuals, 52.2% were asymptomatic, and the proportion was lower than other studies that reveal the frequency of symptoms as high as 81%.¹⁶ Moreover, symptoms were more frequent in positive individuals (47.8% compared to 6.1% in negative individuals), indicating validity for the test and is in concordance with results from other studies.^{17, 18} The information about symptoms was referent to their occurrence in the last three months in this study. The longer lag time between symptoms occurrence and reporting could increase their frequencies, but it increases the possibility of memory bias.

Strength and limitations of the study

To the best of our knowledge, the study is the first to assess the prevalence of COVID-19 infection among people practicing 3SRB and those who were not practicing. Our study has some notable limitations. First, we compared individuals practicing the 3SRB exercise with non-practicing individuals. The data collection was facilitated through volunteers of 3SRB exercise groups, which may introduce participant selection bias. Therefore, our results should be interpreted carefully. Second, we have used self-reported COVID-19 positivity, and not performed COVID-19 tests may present self-reporting bias. This may have generated a socially desirable response, and many might have intentionally hidden their status of COVID-19 infection due to prevalence stigma. Third, the 3SRB exercise emerged as beneficial in protecting them from COVID-19; however, the findings can not be easily attributable only to 3SRB as confounding variables such as yoga, meditation, pranayama, and herbs might have influenced the outcomes. Finally, a cross-sectional study design cannot establish causality between various socio-demographic factors and COVID-19.

Conclusion

The current study indicated that the prevalence of COVID-19 is lower among people practicing 3SRB compared to those who are not practicing 3SRB and the difference was statistically significant. The 3SRB exercise can be a promising practice for the prevention and may promote better recovery from the infection. Prospective large-scale studies should consider controlling confounding variables. A future study using a robust methodology such as a randomized control trial or case-control trial should be conducted to validate the findings of this study and determine the effects of 3SRB on COVID-19 infection, disease progression, and management using biological markers.

Declaration

Funding: No funding was received to assist with the preparation of this manuscript.

Financial interests: The authors declare they have no financial interests.

Non-financial interests: None

Declaration of competing interest: None

Acknowledgment: Authors appreciate participants for their active participation in the study.

Ethics approval: This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

1. Sadhukhan P, Ugurlu MT, Hoque MO. Effect of COVID-19 on lungs: Focusing on prospective malignant phenotypes. *Cancers*, 2020; 12(12), 3822. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12123822>
2. Tse GM, To KF, Chan PK, Lo AW, Ng KC, Wu A, Lee N, Wong HC, Mak SM, Chan KF, Hui DS, Sung JJ, Ng HK. Pulmonary pathological features in coronavirus associated severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). *J Clin Pathol*. 2004 Mar;57(3):260-5. doi: 10.1136/jcp.2003.013276.
3. Singh S, Sharma BB. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 and novel coronavirus disease 2019: An extraordinary pandemic. *Lung India* [serial online] 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 30]; 37:268-71. Available from: <http://www.lungindia.com/text.asp?2020/37/3/268/282236>
4. Hamzelou J. Can breathing exercises help protect you from covid-19? *New Sci*. 2020 Apr 25;246(3279):10-11. doi: 10.1016/S0262-4079(20)30789-2.
5. Karthik PS, Chandrasekhar M, Ambareesha K, Nikhil C. Effect of pranayama and suryanamaskar on pulmonary functions in medical students. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 2014 Dec;8(12): BC04-6. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2014/10281.5344.
6. Kochupillai V, Kumar P, Singh D, Aggarwal D, Bhardwaj N, Bhutani M, Das SN. Effect of rhythmic breathing (Sudarshan Kriya and Pranayam) on immune functions and tobacco addiction. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2005 Nov;1056:242-52. doi: 10.1196/annals.1352.039.
7. Hakkeed CS, Balakrishnan R, Krishnamurthy MN. Yogic breathing practices improve lung functions of competitive young swimmers. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2017 Apr-Jun;8(2):99-104. doi: 10.1016/j.jaim.2016.12.005.
8. Saoji AA, Raghavendra BR, Manjunath NK. Effects of yogic breath regulation: A narrative review of scientific evidence. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2019 Jan-Mar;10(1):50-58. doi: 10.1016/j.jaim.2017.07.008.

9. Sharma P, Thapliyal A, Chandra T, Singh S, Baduni H, Waheed SM. Rhythmic breathing: immunological, biochemical, and physiological effects on health. *Adv Mind Body Med*. 2015 Winter;29(1):18-25.
10. Naik G S, Gaur G S, Pal G K. Effect of modified slow breathing exercise on perceived stress and basal cardiovascular parameters. *Int J Yoga [serial online]* 2018 [cited 2020 Aug 30];11:53-8. Available from: <http://www.ijoy.org.in/text.asp?2018/11/1/53/222096>
11. Jha S, Soni A, Siddiqui S, Batra N, Goel N, Dey S, Budhiraja S, Naithani R. Prevalence of Flu-like Symptoms and COVID-19 in Healthcare Workers From India. *The Journal of the Association of Physicians of India*. 2020 Jul 1;68(7):27-9.
12. Gomes CC, Cerutti C, Zandonade E, Maciel EL, de Alencar FE, Almada GL, Cardoso OA, Jabor PM, Zanotti RL, Reuter TQ, de Andrade VL. A population-based study of the prevalence of COVID-19 infection in Espirito Santo, Brazil: methodology and results of the first stage. *medRxiv*. 2020 Jan 1.
13. Onagbiye SO, Mchiza ZJR, Bassett SH, Travill A, Eijnde BO. Novel coronavirus and regular physical activity involvement: Opinion. *Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med*. 2020 May 21;12(1):e1-e3. doi: 10.4102/phcfm.v12i1.2453.
14. Kharya C, Gupta V, Deepak KK, Sagar R, Upadhyay A, Kochupillai V, Anand S. Effect of controlled breathing exercises on the psychological status and the cardiac autonomic tone: Sudarshan Kriya and Prana-Yoga. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol*. 2014 Jul-Sep;58(3):211-21. PMID: 25906603.
15. Silveira M, Barros A, Horta B, Pellanda L, Victora G, Dellagostin O et al. Repeated population-based surveys of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in Southern Brazil. *medRxiv* 2020.05.01.20087205 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.01.20087205>
16. Wang Z, Ma W, Zheng X, Wu G, Zhang R. Household transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *J Infect* 2020;81:179-182
17. Shah K, Saxena D, Mavalankar D. Secondary Attack Rate of COVID-19 in household contacts: Systematic review. *QJM: An International Journal of Medicine*. 2020 Jul 29.
18. Cheng HY, Jian SW, Liu DP, Ng TC, Huang WT, Lin HH. Contact tracing assessment of COVID-19 transmission dynamics in Taiwan and risk at different exposure periods before and after symptom onset. *JAMA Intern Med* 2020; doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2020.
19. Li W., Zhang B, Lu J, Liu S, Chang Z, Cao P, et al. The characteristics of household transmission of COVID-19. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; ciaa450.
20. Ing AJ, Cocks C, Green JP. COVID-19: in the footsteps of Ernest Shackleton. *Thorax Epub ahead of print*: May-12-2020;0:1–2. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl2020-215091.

21. Xiang F, Wang X, He X, Peng Z, Yang B, Zhang J et al. Antibody Detection and Dynamic Characteristics in Patients with COVID19. Clin Infect Dis, ciaa461, <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa461>
22. Wang Y, Tong J, Qin Y, Xie T, Li J, Li J et al. Characterization of an asymptomatic cohort of SARS-COV-2 infected individuals outside Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis, ciaa629, <https://doi.org/10.1093>

Table 1. Demographic profile of study participants

Parameters	Group A (3SRB exercise group)	Group B (Non-3SRB group)	Total
Participants	598(55.2)	485(44.8)	1083
Gender			
Men	307(51.3)	252(52)	559(51.9)
Women	291(48.7)	233(48)	524(48.4)
Total	598	485	1083
Age			
18-33	126(21.1)	69(14.2)	195(18)
34-49	236(40.3)	205(42.4)	441(41.3)
50-65	184(31.4)	163(33.7)	347(32.5)
66 and above	52(8.9)	48(9.9)	100(9.4)
Total	598	485	1083
Mean Age	45.5	47.5	46.4
Occupation			
Service	156(26.1)	148(30.5)	304(28.1)
Business	121(20.2)	102(21)	223(20.6)
Frontline worker (Police /Health professional)	34(5.7)	12(2.5)	46(4.2)
Home-maker/retired	208(34.8)	140(28.9)	348(32.1)
Any other	79(13.2)	83(17.1)	162(15)
Total	598	485	1083
Regions			
Gujarat	543(90.8)	465(95.9)	1008(93.1)
Maharashtra	36(6)	12(2.5)	48(4.4)
Others	19(3.2)	8(1.6)	27(2.5)
Total	598	485	1083
Comorbidities			
Diabetes	42(7)	34(7)	76(7)
Hypertension	24(4)	31(6.4)	55(5.1)
Chronic lung disease	11(1.8)	3(0.6)	14(1.3)
Heart disease	4(0.7)	2(0.4)	6 (0.6)
Combination of these	67(11)	45(9.3)	87(8)
Disease but not specified	450 (75.3))	370 (76.3)	820(75.7)
Total	598	485	1083
Developed fever and cough in last 4 months			
Yes	36(6)	36(7.4)	72(6.6)
No	562(94)	449(92.6)	1011(93.4)
Total	598	485	1083

Table 2. Characteristics of Refining Exercise Group

Experience of Refining exercise	<i>f</i>	%
<1 year	244	47.9
1 to 5 yrs	176	29.4
6 to 10 yrs	57	9.5
>10 yrs	121	20.2
Total	598	100
Frequency (days in week)		
1 to 2	12	2.0
3 to 4	34	5.7
5 to 6	93	15.6
Everyday	425	76.8
Total	598	100
Duration of refining exercise		
5 minutes	37	6.2
6 to 15 minutes	135	22.6
16-30 minutes	246	41.1
More than 30 minutes	180	30.1
Total	598	100
Treating chronic diseases		
Yes	70	11.7
No	528	88.3
Total	598	100

Table 3. COVID-19 positive cases in group A & B

Parameters	Group A (3SRB exercise group) (N= 598)	Group B (Control group) (N=485)	Total	X^2 Value	<i>P</i> value
COVID-19 positive cases	8(1.3)	15(3.1)	23(2.1)	3.968	0.046
Non-positive cases	590(98.7)	470(96.9)	1060(97.9)		
Total	598	485	1083		

Table 4. COVID-19 positive cases in the family and in the residential areas

Parameters	Group A	Group B	Total	χ^2 value	<i>P</i> value
Family members tested COVID-19 positive	25(4.2)	19(3.9)	44(4.1)	0.0476	0.827
Family members not COVID-19 positive	573(95.8)	466(96.1)	1039(95.9)		
Total	598	485	1083		
COVID cases in ward	189(31.6)	176(36.5)	365(33.7)	5.153	0.076
COVID cases in resident area	309(51.7)	217(44.7)	526(48.6)		
No COVID cases in our ward/area	100(16.7)	92(19)	192(17.7)		
Total	598	485	1083		

Table 5. Association between independent variables and COVID-19 positivity across groups.

Parameters	Group A (n=8)	Group B (n=15)	Total (N=23)
Age			
18-33	1(12.5)	2(13.3)	3(13)
34-49	6(75)	9(60)	15(65.2)
50-65	1(12.5)	4(26.7)	5(21.7)
Total	8	15	23
Mean age			
Gender			
Male	7(87.5)	13(86.7)	20(87)
Female	1(12.5)	2(13.3)	3(13)
Total	8	15	23
Occupation			
Service	4(50)	4(26.7)	8(34.8)
Business	4(50)	9(60)	13(56.5)
Frontline workers/COVID-19 Warriors	0	1(6.7)	1(4.3)
Home-maker/retired	0	1(6.7)	1(4.3)
Total	8	15	23
Comorbidities among COVID positive			
Hypertension	2(25)	7(46.7)	9(39.1)
Combination of diabetes/hypertension	6(75)	8(53.3)	14(60.9)
Total	8	15	23
Treatment			
Hospitalization	3(37.5)	5(33.3)	8(34.8)
Home treatment	5(62.5)	10(66.7)	15(65.2)
Total	8	15	23
Family members tested COVID positive			
Yes	1(12.5)	6(40)	7(30.4)
No	7(87.5)	9(60)	16(69.6)
Total	8	15	23
COVID-19 cases in residential areas			
COVID cases in resident area	7(87.5)	14(93.3)	21(91.3)
COVID cases in the ward	1(12.5)	1(6.7)	2(8.7)
Total	8	15	23

Table 6. Symptoms of fever and cough in last 3 months

Parameters	Group A (3SRB exercise group) (N= 598)	Group B (Control group) (N=485)	Total
COVID-19 positive cases*	4 (50)	7 (46.7)	11 (47.8)
Non-positive cases**	35(5.9)	30 (6.4)	65 (6.1)
Total	39 (6.5)	37 (7.6)	67 (7)

*(n=8 for Group A; n=15 for Group B) **(n=590 for Group A; n=470 for Group B)

Table 7. Distribution of COVID-19 prevalence across cities

Cities	Group A (3SRB exercise group)	Group B (Non-3SRB group)	Total
Ahmedabad	1 (12.5)	5 (33.3)	6(26.1)
Gandhinagar	1(12.5)	0	1 (4.3)
Anand	0	1 (6.7)	1 (4.3)
Surat	6 (75.0)	4 (26.7)	10 (43.5)
Others*	0	5 (33.3)	5 (21.7)
Total	8	15	23

*Jamnagar (1), Nadiyad (1), Thane-Mumbai (3)

Table 8. Association between other exercises, use of herbs and COVID-19

Parameters	Group A (3SRB exercise group)	Group B (Non-3SRB group)	Total (N=23)
Other exercises			
Yoga/meditation or exercise	5 (62.5)	8 (53.3)	13 (56.5)
None	3(37.5)	7(46.7)	10 (43.5)
Total	8	15	23 (100)
Use of herbs			
Yes	4 (50.0)	11 (73.3)	15 (65.2)
No	4 (50.0)	4 (26.7)	8 (34.8)
Total	8	15	23