Initial SARS-CoV-2 vaccination response can predict booster response for BNT162b2 but not for AZD1222

Thomas Perkmann^a, Nicole Perkmann-Nagele^a, Patrick Mucher^a, Astrid Radakovics^a, Manuela Repl^a, Thomas Koller^a, Galateja Jordakieva^b, Oswald F Wagner^a, Christoph J Binder^a and Helmuth Haslacher^{a*}

1 Department of Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, Austria

2 Department of Physical Medicine, Rehabilitation and Occupational Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, Austria

Correspondence to:

Helmuth Haslacher MD PhD MSc, Medical University of Vienna, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Waehringer Guertel 18-20, A-1090 Vienna, Austria, +43 1 40400 53190, helmuth.haslacher@meduniwien.ac.at

Abstract

Objectives

Our objective was to determine whether SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels after the first dose can predict the final antibody response and whether this is dependent on the vaccine type.

Methods

69 BNT162b2 (Pfizer/BioNTech) and 55 AZD1222 (AstraZeneca) vaccinees without previous infection or immunosuppressive medication were included. Anti-body levels were quantified 3 weeks after dose 1, in case of AZD1222 directly before boosting (11 weeks after dose 1) and 3 weeks after dose 2, with the Roche SARS-CoV-2 S total antibody assay.

Results

Pre-booster (BNT162b2: 80.6 [25.5-167.0] BAU/mL, AZD1222: 56.4 [36.4-104.8] BAU/mL, not significant) and post-booster levels (BNT162b2: 2,092.0 [1,216.3-4,431.8] BAU/mL, AZD1222: 957.0 [684.5-1,684.8] BAU/mL, $p < 0.0001$) correlated well in BNT162b2 ($\rho = 0.53$) but not in AZD1222 recipients. Moreover, antibody levels after the first dose of BNT162b2 correlated inversely with age ($\rho = -0.33$, $P = 0.013$), whereas a positive correlation with age was observed after the second dose in AZD1222 recipients ($\rho = 0.26$, $P = 0.030$).

Conclusions

In conclusion, our data suggest that antibody levels quantified by the Roche Elecsys SARS-CoV-2 S assay before the booster shot could infer post-booster responses to BNT162b2, but not to AZ1222. In addition, we found a vaccine-dependent effect on antibody responses, suggesting a possible link between vaccine response and vector immunity.

Keywords

SARS-CoV-2; serology; BNT162b2; AZD1222; antibody; age;

Background

Vaccines against the novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 have now been available for several months (Zaqout et al., 2021). Determination of spike-protein specific antibodies after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination, although not unrestrictedly recommended (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2021), is commonly performed. The post-vaccination antibody levels, even when measured with standardized commercially available CE-certified quantitative test systems, differ significantly (Kristiansen et al., 2021, Perkmann et al., 2021a). Furthermore, in addition to these analytically related differences, there are significant differences in expected levels depending on the age and serostatus of the vaccinees (Krammer et al., 2021, Perkmann et al., 2021b, Subbarao et al., 2021), the vaccine used (Eyre et al., 2021), and the timing of blood collection (elapsed time interval since first or second dose).

To date, it is not clear to what extent antibody levels after the first dose are suitable to infer the booster response. Similarly, it is unclear whether this response depends on the type of vaccine used. Indeed, this would be likely because vector and mRNA vaccines elicit different immune responses, with vector vaccines also including a non-spike-specific response directed against the vector (Federico, 2021).

We report here differences in the predictability of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine post-booster levels measured with a quantitative antibody assay (Roche Elecsys® SARS-CoV-2 S) that depend on the vaccine used. We also present the differential impact of age on the antibody response to AZD1222 (AZD1222, Astra Zeneca) or BNT162b2 (BNT162b2, Pfizer/BioNTech).

Methods

Of 166 participants recruited within the MedUni Wien Biobank's healthy donors' collection until March 5th 2021, 124 were eligible for inclusion. All subjects were >18 years old and provided written informed consent to participate in the study. Reasons for exclusion were a previous infection with SARS-CoV-2 and ongoing immunosuppressive medication, as these conditions are known to bias the average vaccination response. In addition, dropouts due to a missed blood sampling and the onset of COVID between the first and second doses occurred (see Figure 1). The prime-boost regimen specified an 11-week dosing interval for AZD1222 and a 3-week dosing interval for BNT162b2. The protocol of this performance evaluation study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics committee of the Medical University of Vienna (EK 1066/2021).

Samples were processed and, if applicable, stored before analysis at <-70°C according to standard operating procedures by the MedUni Wien Biobank in an ISO 9001:2015-certified environment

(Haslacher et al., 2018). A previous SARS-CoV-2 infection was ruled out or confirmed by the Roche Elecsys SARS-CoV-2 anti-Nucleocapsid total antibody ECLIA (electrochemiluminescence assay) and assumed in all participants with a PCR-proven SARS-CoV-2 infection. Vaccine-induced anti-spike antibodies were quantified using the Roche Elecsys® SARS-CoV-2 S total antibody ECLIA on Roche cobas® e801 modular analyzers. All analyses were performed at the Department of Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of Vienna, which operates a certified (ISO 9001:2015) and accredited (ISO 15189:2012) quality management system. Performance data of both tests have been published before (Perkmann et al., 2020, Perkmann et al., 2021a).

Continuous data, given as median (interquartile range), were compared by rank sign tests (Mann Whitney U test, Wilcoxon test). Categorical data, presented as counts and percentages, were compared by χ^2 -tests. Rank correlations were computed according to Pearson and presented by Pearson's ρ . P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All calculations were performed with MedCalc 19.7 (MedCalc, Ostend, Belgium), figures were drawn with Mindjet Manager 19 (Corel, Ottawa, Canada) and Prism 9 (GraphPad, La Jolla, USA).

Results

Pre-booster antibody levels predict BNT162b2, but not AZD1222 post-booster levels

The 69 individuals receiving AZD1222 did not significantly differ from the 55 participants vaccinated with BNT162b2 in terms of age (42 [29-50] years vs. 42 [30-53.5] years, $P=0.387$). However, the proportion of females was higher among the AZD1222 recipients (57/69 [83%] vs. 31/55 [56%], $\chi^2=10.1$, $P=0.001$). Pre-booster levels (at 11 weeks and 3 weeks after first dose, respectively) were 56.4 (36.4-104.8) BAU/mL for AZD1222 and 80.6 (25.5-167.0) BAU/mL for BNT162b2 ($P=0.513$). 21 (21-22) days after the booster shot, antibody levels rose to 957.0 (684.5-1,684.8) BAU/mL in AZD1222 and to 2,092.0 (1,216.3-4,431.8) BAU/mL in BNT162b2 recipients ($P<0.0001$).

Correlation of SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels before the booster shot and the antibody response assessed 21 (21-22) days after the booster was calculated. The correlation was significant for Corminaty recipients ($\rho=0.53$, $P<0.0001$), but not for those receiving AZD1222 ($\rho=0.10$, $P=0.393$), see Fig. 2.

Antibody levels increase steadily between 3 and 12 weeks after AZD1222

In the next step, the changes of SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels between 3 and 11 weeks after the first AZD1222 dose were assessed. Levels were significantly higher before the booster shot (11 weeks) compared to three weeks after dose 1 (56.4 [36.4-104.8] BAU/mL vs. 13.4 [5.2-27.8] BAU/mL, $P<0.0001$,

see Figure 3A). Levels of both time points correlated at $p=0.45$ (Figure 3B). As for the pre-booster levels, the levels assessed three weeks after dose 1 did not significantly correlate with antibody responses to the booster shot ($p=0.20$, $P=0.101$).

AZD1222 and BNT162b2 behave in opposite ways regarding the correlation of age and antibody response

Finally, we wanted to determine whether the age of the participants affected the antibody responses to the two vaccines. For AZD1222, age did not correlate with the antibody levels measured three weeks after dose 1 ($p=-0.06$, $P=0.637$) or just before dose 2 ($p=-0.01$, $P=0.909$). However, there was a weak positive association between age and the antibody response to dose 2 ($p=0.26$, $P=0.030$). In contrast, antibody levels assessed three weeks after the first Corminaty dose correlated inversely with age ($p=-0.33$, $P=0.013$); however, this correlation was mitigated after dose 2 ($p=-0.23$, $P=0.093$), see Figure 4.

Discussion

The relationship between the detection of SARS-CoV-2 specific antibodies and immunity is becoming increasingly well-established based on evidence from numerous studies (Hall et al., 2021, Lumley et al., 2021). Thus, identifying neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 as a surrogate marker for established immunity is under discussion (Feng et al., 2021). In this sense, the association with neutralization assays has already been investigated for several SARS-CoV-2 antibody-binding assays (Bal et al., 2021, Perkmann et al.). It has also been shown for the Roche S assay that antibody levels >15 BAU/ml strongly correlate with the presence of neutralizing antibodies (see product manual V2.0). However, the interpretation of antibody levels after vaccination is limited due to the many variables involved. For example, whether initially weak antibody responses also lead to lower levels after the booster and whether this varies with different vaccines has been unclear to date. Therefore, additional data are needed to inform different health policies and clinical decisions (European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2021).

Our data strongly emphasize that the first dose antibody response can predict the final post-booster antibody levels using the mRNA vaccine BNT162b2. However, we were unable to show this relationship for the vector vaccine AZD1222. To the best of our knowledge, this finding was not reported before and might be explained by the specific immune response to vector vaccines. In contrast to mRNA-based preparations, vector vaccines also induce an immune response directed against the viral vector, which in the case of AZD1222 is the chimpanzee adenovirus ChAdOx1 (Kaur and Gupta, 2020). De-novo anti-vector immunity could affect spike-protein induction and, therefore, strongly influence the association

between pre- and post-booster values. Whether this demonstrated difference between BNT162b and AZD1222 is transferable to other mRNA and vector vaccines remains to be investigated by further studies.

Above that, we found significant associations between antibody levels and age. With AZD1222, neither of the two pre-booster samples (3 weeks and 11 weeks after dose 1) correlated with age. However, after the booster shot, we found a significant positive association ($\rho=-0.26$, $P=0.013$), indicating that older age was associated with slightly increasing antibody levels. A possible explanation might be reduced anti-vector-immunity in older individuals (Connors et al., 2021, Dorrington and Bowdish, 2013).

For BNT162b2, in contrast, we found an inverse correlation of antibody levels with age after dose 1 ($\rho=-0.33$, $P=0.013$). However, this association nearly vanished after dose 2 and lost statistical significance ($\rho=-0.23$, $P=0.093$). These findings align with recent articles, which found a gap in antibody levels of younger and older individuals after the dose 1 (Müller et al., 2021, Viana et al., 2021).

In conclusion, our data suggest that antibody levels quantified by the Roche Elecsys SARS-CoV-2 S assay before the booster shot could infer post-booster responses to BNT162b2, but not to AZD1222. In addition, we found a vaccine-dependent effect on antibody responses, suggesting a possible link between vaccine response and vector immunity.

Acknowledgments

We thank all sample donors for their valuable contribution. We further thank Radovanovic-Petrova, Monika Martiny, Jadwiga Konarski, Bernhard Haunold, Maedeh Iravany, and Shohreh Lashgari for perfect technical and administrative assistance.

The Department of Laboratory Medicine received compensations for advertisement on scientific symposia from Roche, and holds a grant for evaluating an in vitro diagnostic device from Roche.

The MedUni Wien Biobank is part of the Austrian biobanking consortium BBMRI.at.

There was no additional funding received for the present work.

References

- Bal A, Pozzetto B, Traubad MA, Escuret V, Rabilloud M, Langlois-Jacques C, et al. Evaluation of high-throughput SARS-CoV-2 serological assays in a longitudinal cohort of patients with mild COVID-19: clinical sensitivity, specificity and association with virus neutralization test. Clin Chem 2021.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Interim Guidelines for COVID-19 Antibody Testing in Clinical and Public Health Settings; 2021. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/resources/antibody-tests-guidelines.html>. [Accessed 2021-06-18].
- Connors J, Bell MR, Marcy J, Kutzler M, Haddad EK. The impact of immuno-aging on SARS-CoV-2 vaccine development. GeroScience 2021;43(1):31-51.
- Dorrington MG, Bowdish DME. Immunosenescence and novel vaccination strategies for the elderly. Front Immunol 2013;4:171-.
- European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. The use of antibody tests for SARS-COV-2 in the context of Digital Green Certificates–20 May 2021. Stockholm: ECDC, 2021.
- Eyre DW, Lumley SF, Wei J, Cox S, James T, Justice A, et al. Quantitative SARS-CoV-2 anti-spike responses to Pfizer-BioNTech and Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccines by previous infection status. Clin Microbiol Infect 2021.
- Federico M. The conundrum of current anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2021;60:46-51.
- Feng S, Phillips DJ, White T, Sayal H, Aley PK, Bibi S, et al. Correlates of protection against symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. medRxiv 2021:2021.06.21.21258528.
- Hall VJ, Foulkes S, Charlett A, Atti A, Monk EJM, Simmons R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection rates of antibody-positive compared with antibody-negative health-care workers in England: a large, multicentre, prospective cohort study (SIREN). The Lancet 2021;397(10283):1459-69.
- Haslacher H, Gerner M, Hofer P, Jurkowsitsch A, Hainfellner J, Kain R, et al. Usage Data and Scientific Impact of the Prospectively Established Fluid Bioresources at the Hospital-Based MedUni Wien Biobank. Biopreserv Biobank 2018;16(6):477-82.

Kaur SP, Gupta V. COVID-19 Vaccine: A comprehensive status report. *Virus Research* 2020;288:198114.

Krammer F, Srivastava K, Alshammary H, Amoako AA, Awawda MH, Beach KF, et al. Antibody Responses in Seropositive Persons after a Single Dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(14):1372-4.

Kristiansen PA, Page M, Bernasconi V, Mattiuzzo G, Dull P, Makar K, et al. WHO International Standard for anti-SARS-CoV-2 immunoglobulin. *The Lancet* 2021;397(10282):1347-8.

Lumley SF, O'Donnell D, Stoesser NE, Matthews PC, Howarth A, Hatch SB, et al. Antibody Status and Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Health Care Workers. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(6):533-40.

Müller L, Andrée M, Moskorz W, Drexler I, Walotka L, Grothmann R, et al. Age-dependent immune response to the Biontech/Pfizer BNT162b2 COVID-19 vaccination. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021.

Perkmann T, Koller T, Perkmann-Nagele N, Klausberger M, Duerkop M, Holzer B, et al. Spike Protein Antibodies Mediate the Apparent Correlation between SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid Antibodies and Neutralization Test Results. *Microbiology Spectrum*;0(0):e00218-21.

Perkmann T, Perkmann-Nagele N, Breyer MK, Breyer-Kohansal R, Burghuber OC, Hartl S, et al. Side-by-Side Comparison of Three Fully Automated SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Assays with a Focus on Specificity. *Clin Chem* 2020;66(11):1405-13.

Perkmann T, Perkmann-Nagele N, Koller T, Mucher P, Radakovics A, Marculescu R, et al. Anti-Spike Protein Assays to Determine SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Levels: a Head-to-Head Comparison of Five Quantitative Assays. *Microbiology Spectrum* 2021a:e0024721.

Perkmann T, Perkmann-Nagele N, Koller T, Mucher P, Radakovics A, Wolzt M, et al. Serum antibody response to BNT162b2 after natural SARS-CoV-2 infection. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation* 2021b;in press.

Subbarao S, Warrener LA, Hoschler K, Perry KR, Shute J, Whitaker H, et al. Robust antibody responses in 70-80-year-olds 3 weeks after the first or second doses of Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine, United Kingdom, January to February 2021. *Euro Surveill* 2021;26(12).

Viana JF, Bergman M-L, Gonçalves LA, Duarte N, Coutinho TP, Borges PC, et al. Population homogeneity for the antibody response to COVID-19 BNT162b2 / Comirnaty vaccine is only reached after the second dose, across all adult age ranges. medRxiv 2021:2021.03.19.21253680.

Zaqout A, Daghfal J, Alaqad I, Hussein SAN, Aldushain A, Almaslamani MA, et al. The initial impact of a national BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine rollout. Int J Infect Dis 2021;108:116-8.

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Study flow chart

Fig. 2: Correlation (according to Spearman) between pre- and post-booster antibody levels (Roche Elecsys S total antibody ECLIA) in AZD1222 (left) and BNT162b2 (right) vaccinees. BAU/mL... binding antibody units per milliliter.

Fig. 3: A) Intraindividual progression (A) and correlation (B) of antibody levels (Roche Elecsys S total antibody ECLIA) after AZD1222, measured 3 weeks after first vaccination and before booster (11 weeks). BAU/mL... binding antibody units per milliliter.

Fig. 4: Correlation (according to Spearman) between antibody levels (Roche Elecsys S total antibody ECLIA) and age for AZD1222 (left column) and BNT162b2 (right column) at different points in time (x... 3 weeks after dose 1 in AZD1222, filled triangles... pre-booster, top row; open circles... post-booster, bottom row) BAU/mL... binding antibody units per milliliter).

AZD1222

BNT162b2

A **B**

AZD1222 (1st dose)

BNT162b2 (1st dose)

AZD1222 (2nd dose)

BNT162b2 (2nd dose)