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ABSTRACT

Introduction: COVID-19 has caused major disruptions to healthcare, with voluntary opportunities offered to medical students to provide clinical support. We used the conceptual framework of prosocial behavior during an emergency – behaviors whose primary focus is benefiting others – to examine volunteering during COVID-19.

Methods: We conducted an in-depth, mixed-methods cross-sectional survey, from 2nd May to 15th June 2020, of medical students studying at UK medical schools. Data analysis was informed by Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency and aimed to understand students’ decision-making processes.

Results: A total of 1145 medical students from 36 medical schools completed the survey. While 947 (82.7%) of students were willing to volunteer, only 391 (34.3%) had volunteered. The majority (92.7%) of students understood that they may be asked to volunteer; however, we found that deciding one’s responsibility to volunteer was mitigated by a complex interaction between the interests of others and self-interest. Further, concerns revolving around professional role boundaries influenced students’ decisions over whether they had the required skills and knowledge to volunteer. Deciding to volunteer depended not only on possession of necessary skills, but also seniority and identification with the nature of volunteering roles offered.

Conclusions: We propose two additional domains to Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency that students consider before making their final decision to volunteer. These are ‘logistics’ – whether it is logistically feasible to volunteer – and ‘safety’ – whether it is safe to volunteer. This study highlights a number of modifiable barriers to prosocial behavior that medical students encounter and provides suggestions regarding how Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior can be operationalized within educational
strategies to address these barriers. Optimizing the process of volunteering can aid healthcare provision and may facilitate a safer volunteering process for all.
INTRODUCTION

On 24th March 2020, the UK Secretary of State for Health and Social Care announced plans for medical students to assist in the COVID-19 pandemic. In response to this, medical schools accelerated the graduation of over 5000 final year medical students to act as interim postgraduate year one doctors, and volunteering opportunities were created for non-final year students. As clinical placements were cancelled and medical school examinations postponed or replaced, there was the potential for many students to volunteer.

Volunteering is a form of prosocial behavior – behavior which provides help to others where a direct personal benefit is not a necessity but self-interest is considered. As such it can have positive benefits for both doctors and patients. Prosocial behavior is an important professional value in medicine. Indeed, the Situational Judgement Test that final year medical students in the UK must pass prior to graduation examines prosocial decision making. McCrea and Murdoch-Eaton highlighted that while medical students recognize the role of prosocial behavior for doctors, they often possess limited awareness of the role of prosocial behavior within their current role as students. This has relevance for student volunteering during COVID-19 – a form of prosocial behavior during an emergency. Latane and Darley proposed that, in prosocial behavior theories, five factors influence an individual’s decision to help during an emergency, which Baron et al. posited to be the same for volunteering. They are: 1. Noticing something is abnormal; 2. Interpreting the situation as an emergency; 3. Deciding you are responsible; 4. Deciding whether you have the skills or knowledge to help; and 5. Making a final decision on providing help. It has yet to be established whether Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior applies to medical student volunteering during COVID-19.
Studies prior to the COVID-19 pandemic have reported the readiness of medical students to volunteer in hypothetical disasters and infectious disease outbreaks, and early studies into COVID-19 indicate that the majority of students appear willing to volunteer. Rasmussen et al. showed that a high percentage of medical students from a single centre in Denmark wanted to volunteer (82.4%). However, other studies have shown that, whilst students are willing to volunteer during COVID-19, fewer students have actually done so. A single centre study of 137 German medical students demonstrated that 70.1% were willing to volunteer, but only 25.0% of students did. Despite this discrepancy between motivation and practice, minimal literature exists on the factors motivating students to volunteer during COVID-19. Understanding the factors that influence prosocial behavior during the current pandemic is essential, as over 40,000 medical students who are studying in the UK could represent a valuable asset if empowered and mobilized as volunteers.

In view of the above, we conducted an in-depth, mixed-methods survey to explore volunteering among UK medical students during COVID-19, using the conceptual framework of prosocial behavior described by Latane and Darley, and Baron et al. Through developing an understanding of students’ motivations to volunteer, we aimed to identify educational strategies which support prosocial behaviors during emergencies to support medical education volunteering pathways.
METHODS

Research approach

This research was conducted within the paradigm of pragmatism. Pragmatism concerns itself
with problem solving, and often utilizes mixed-methods approaches. In line with our
pragmatic orientation, we chose not to forefront considerations of epistemology and ontology
and focused on designing an effective study expeditiously within the new research
landscape mandated by COVID-19. As such, an online survey was selected for data
collection for ease of dissemination and wide reach. Questions were asked with both
quantitative and qualitative outputs to provide broad and rich data reflective of a wide range
of experiences.

Survey

We conducted a cross-sectional survey from 2nd May to 15th June 2020 of students studying
at UK medical schools, following the STROBE guideline for cross-sectional studies. The
survey consisted of 53 questions assessing previous clinical experience, attitudes to
volunteering, motivation and barriers, volunteering role, medical education, issues currently
faced, and safety (Appendix 1). Survey development was informed by a systematic review of
existing literature on volunteering during pandemics and disasters, and previously used scales
27. Questions were then developed by MHVB and JA with expert input and consultation with
medical students, and final questions were reviewed by medical students to establish face
validity. The survey was hosted on Google Forms with no identifiable data collected, and
data were held on a secure server.
Student recruitment

We used a convenience sampling approach to recruit medical students. Medical schools listed on the UK Medical Schools Council website were invited via email to distribute the survey to their students. Messages were posted once a week to Twitter and Facebook asking medical students to complete and share the survey to recruit more participants via a snowball approach.

Ethics

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the University of Cambridge Psychology Research Ethics Committee (PRE.2020.040).

Quantitative analysis

We asked students to indicate their level of agreement using a 5-point Likert scale (1=strongly disagree; 5=strongly agree) on items representing volunteering, role, clinical skills, motivation/barriers to volunteering, issues with volunteering, and risk and safety. Statistical analysis was performed by JW using R (version 4.0.1). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and as percentages in each category of the Likert scale. Correction for multiple testing was performed using a Benjamini-Hochberg correction in R using the rstatix package.

Multiple linear regression of predictors for 'I am willing to volunteer (Likert)' was performed in R using lm(). For this model, the variables used were 'year at medical school' and survey responses around beliefs for the prediction of volunteering status. Data for subgroups were analysed separately and included in the multiple regression model. These groups were chosen to ascertain whether attitudes differed between years at medical school and if any differences existed between those who chose to volunteer and those who did not. Entries with missing
data were excluded from the analysis using the na.omit function in R. Backward stepwise model selection was performed using stepAIC() from the MASS package.

Qualitative analysis

Thematic analysis was used to analyse qualitative responses using the six-step approach described by Braun and Clarke\(^3^0\). Two authors (LA and MHVB) familiarised themselves with the data, and created initial inductive, descriptive codes for all data. To identify themes, a semantic approach was used. Initial codes were analysed for patterns, grouped, summarised, and interpreted. Themes and subthemes were checked against the initial codes and the data set as a whole, and any interpretative discrepancies explored and resolved by consensus. Themes and subthemes were then reviewed, discussed, and agreed by all authors.

Applying Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency as a theoretical lens

To analyse how our data applied to Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency, we used a theory-informing inductive data analysis approach\(^3^1\). We did not impose our definition of prosocial behavior during an emergency upon participants, instead allowing them to express their own views in response to questions. We first performed our quantitative and qualitative analysis, later applying Latane and Darley’s theory to our data as a ‘sensitizing concept’. Though sensitizing concepts originate from the methodology of constructivist grounded theory\(^3^2\), they have since been applied as part of reflexive analysis in a way that aligns with Varpio et al.’s ‘theory informing inductive data analysis’ approach\(^3^3\). This is the way in which we utilized theory within this study.

Data were reviewed using this theoretical framework by MHVB and MELB, and areas of concordance and conflict explored and highlighted, then discussed and agreed by all authors.
Reflexivity statement

The authors of this study comprise a diverse range of doctors in training, medical students, medical education researchers, and consultants across multiple educational institutions. The range of experiences at different stages and institutions permitted a wide scope of viewpoints regarding the data, thus enriching analysis.
RESULTS

Demographics

A total of 1145 students from 36 medical schools were represented in this study (Figure S 3: Roles students are willing to perform while volunteering grouped by year.). The median age of respondents was 22 (interquartile range, IQR, 20-24), 835 (73.0%) were women, 75 (6.6%) were intercalating (taking time out of medical school to complete an additional degree), and 170 (14.8%) were in their fifth or sixth year of medical school (Figure S 1). Of these final-year students, 112 out of 170 (65.9%) had already graduated.

Quantitative analysis

Results are structured within both qualitative and quantitative sections following the domains of Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency.

Noticing something is abnormal and interpreting the situation as an emergency

The majority of students (92.7%) recognized that medical students might be asked to volunteer due to COVID-19. We interpret this as widespread recognition of an abnormal, emergency situation.

Deciding responsibility

Across all year groups, 947 out of 1145 students (82.7%) strongly agreed or agreed with the statement ‘I would be willing to volunteer to work’, which suggests that most students felt responsible for helping (Figure S 2). The reasons for this were complex, with a wide range of motivating and barrier factors for volunteering (Table 1). Factors influencing the responsibility to volunteer can be divided into two groups: in the interest of others and self-interest. The former included altruism, moral obligation, family or social commitments,
others’ safety, societal expectations, medical school expectations, and peer pressure; the latter included professional development, academic and work commitments, personal safety, psychological impact, discrimination, and financial implications.

While most students were willing to volunteer, less than half of the students felt they should be encouraged to volunteer (Figure S 2).

Deciding whether you have the skills or knowledge to help

Eighty percent of students felt they would have a positive impact by volunteering. We assessed students’ preference for specific volunteer roles, and their confidence in the skills required for these roles.

We asked students to indicate the roles they were willing to perform as volunteers. Twenty-six percent of students strongly agreed or agreed that they were willing to perform the full clinical role of a doctor, whereas 857 (75.3%) and 882 (77.7%) were willing to undertake an assistant medical role or provide indirect medical care (such as providing meals or moving patients), respectively. The majority of students were willing to perform the same role on a ward with patients with COVID-19 (n=943, 82.3% strongly agreed or agreed, Figure 1). Senior students were more willing to perform the full clinical role expected of a doctor but were less willing to provide indirect medical care (Figure S 3).

We looked at student confidence in skills required for these roles using a Likert scale (Figure 1). Across all year groups, students were most confident in clerking new admissions (mean=3.4/5, 95% CI=3.3-3.5) and performing venepuncture (mean=3.4/5, 95% CI=3.3-3.5).

Students were least confident in prescribing medication (mean=2.0/5, 95% CI=1.9-2.0) and initiating management plans for patients (mean=2.1, 95% CI=2.0-2.1). For all skills except for donning and doffing PPE, there was a significant positive correlation between the respondents’ year group and their confidence in performing them (Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted P<0.05).
Making a final decision to provide help by volunteering

At the time of the study, 391 of 1145 students (34.3%) had volunteered during the pandemic (Figure S 1). This ranged from 14.6% amongst first-year students to 54.1% in final year (year five or six). A significantly lower proportion of intercalating students were volunteering compared to their clinical counterparts in the same years (20.0% vs. 33.5%, P=0.02, Chi-square test). The median start date of those who had begun volunteering was 16th April 2020, three weeks after the UK was placed into lockdown. Of the 391 students who were volunteering in the pandemic, 77 (22.2%) had taken up roles as interim postgraduate year one doctors (Table S 1).

The strongest predictors of willingness to volunteer using multiple linear regression were the beliefs that volunteering to work would benefit a student’s medical education (estimate=0.35±0.03, adjusted P<0.001, Table S 2) and that the student would make a positive impact (estimate=0.33±0.03, adjusted P<0.001). Students who believed there were ethical issues with asking medical students to volunteer were less likely to volunteer (estimate=-0.08±0.02, adjusted P<0.001), as were those who had begun considering a career outside of medicine because of the pandemic (estimate=-0.08±0.02, adjusted P=0.001). Increasing age was a significant negative predictor of willingness to volunteer, independent of medical school year group, after correction for multiple testing (adjusted P=0.043).

Additional factors which influenced making a final decision to provide help

Across all year groups, the median self-estimated probability of contracting COVID-19 was 50.0% (IQR = 20.0%-65.0%, n = 962), which was not influenced by year or volunteering. 43.5% (n=475) felt there was a lack of information regarding volunteering opportunities available.
Qualitative analysis

Our qualitative analysis focused on the later stages of the decision-making process. We asked students what ethical concerns they had about volunteering and the issues they anticipated they would face while volunteering (Table 2). We defined five themes: Pressure to volunteer; Education; Professional practice; Safety; and Logistics.

Deciding responsibility

Two themes were relevant to deciding responsibility: pressure to volunteer and education. Students expressed feelings such as guilt, obligation and, even a sense of coercion. There were concerns that opportunities may not be promoted in a neutral way and that it should be students’ own choice to volunteer rather than due to pressure from external organizations (Table 2).

The theme of education was also relevant to deciding responsibility. Some students felt that volunteering was an opportunity to replace disrupted teaching opportunities, whereas others found there was a conflict between volunteering and studying for their medical degree, which was compounded by a perceived lack of training for volunteers (Table 2).

Deciding whether you have the skills or knowledge to help

Regarding skills or knowledge required, we identified concerns surrounding the themes of professional practice and safety. Students were concerned about their competency and questioned the usefulness of inexperienced medical students, as they might constitute a burden. These concerns were closely linked to safe practice – that patient care might be affected due to working outside of competency, especially if there was a lack of supervision or clarity regarding the professional role boundaries of new doctors.
Additional factors which influenced making a final decision to provide help

The theme of safety also played a key role in decision making. This included considerations of personal safety, the risk of contracting COVID-19 (particularly with inadequate PPE, or for students with pre-existing health issues), as well as the psychological impact and stress of working during a pandemic. Students were concerned about transmitting COVID-19 to others, the risk posed to vulnerable family members, or disruption to pre-existing caring duties.

Our qualitative analysis revealed a final barrier not accounted for by Latane and Darley’s theory: ‘logistics’. These were concerns of support outside of work, and difficulties with transport, accommodation, and administration.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified that 82.7% of medical student respondents would be willing to volunteer; however, only 34.5% were volunteering at the time of the survey. While other studies have shown a similar association, they have not explored the reasons why this may be the case in depth. Studies in other fields have demonstrated an intention to behavior gap, which may explain part of the discrepancy. However, such a large difference suggests the presence of additional factors influencing students’ decisions to volunteer. Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency outlines a five-step process influencing the decision-making process to help in emergency situations that we have used as a conceptual framework. But how do our findings fit with what is already known?

Although McCrea and Murdoch-Eaton suggest medical students possess little awareness of the applicability of prosocial behavior to their role, our study shows this does not appear to be the case during COVID-19. The majority of students did recognize that COVID-19 was an abnormal situation, that it was an emergency, and that they may be responsible. This may be due, in part, to widespread media coverage, but is also likely a result of clinical placement suspension, and students witnessing the vast number of healthcare staff involved in responding to the pandemic. Time to reflect on a situation, as well as the presence of others providing help, has been shown to influence likelihood of a decision to help.

The gap between an intention to volunteer and volunteering in practice could be explained by the ways in which students decided their responsibility to volunteer during in the pandemic. This decision was complex, and influencing factors can be conceptualized as a balance between the interest of others and self-interest. Although 82.7% of students were willing to volunteer, prosocial behaviors can be influenced by others. This is shown in our cohort by
a ‘pressure to volunteer’. Prosocial peer norms and external influences (such as school and parents) have been shown to motivate prosocial behavior. Individuals are more likely to volunteer with “in-groups” (those close to them) rather than “out-groups” (those distant to them). Thus, increased social distance between helpers and those being helped reduces prosocial behavior, as does social exclusion. For medical students, academic and clinical studies were interrupted – especially for those in later years used to high levels of patient contact – with many medical students returning home because of COVID-19. This may have increased the perceived social distance between patients, as well as between peers, shifting the balance of prosocial behavior towards self-interest.

Latane and Darley suggest that, after having assumed responsibility, people decide whether they have appropriate skills to help during emergencies. Similarly, we found that medical students make a series of ‘competency’ judgements regarding volunteering, which includes considerations as to how their level of ability relates to the proposed volunteering role and level of supervision, and how these factors might influence patient safety. There was an incremental increase in their confidence in the required skills and their willingness to perform more advanced roles as the year of the student increased, such that final year students were less willing to provide indirect care even though they had the skills to do so. Our data suggest that this decision depends not only on the skills required, but also on the roles offered and the year of the student. We posit that this is a domain which could result in a large decrease in prosocial behavior during COVID-19. Our qualitative data showed that students felt uncertain as to the professional role boundaries of volunteers; they perceived a lack of clarity regarding voluntary roles and were worried that they might be asked to perform tasks outside of their competence. Concerns surrounding roles could also reflect the variation of roles across the country. Clarity regarding roles and necessary skills could facilitate prosocial
behavior amongst medical students during emergencies. Presenting students with more options involving a wider range of roles could also help, as having a number of options by which one could help has been shown to facilitate prosocial behavior.\textsuperscript{47}

Finally, in Latane and Darley’s theory, an individual weighs the above considerations and makes a final decision.\textsuperscript{12} We found that significantly fewer students who were intercalating (taking time out of medical school to complete an additional degree) volunteered. This lends weight to our observation about social distance, as intercalating students may be further removed from patients, healthcare professionals, and medical schools. We also showed that far more final-year students had volunteered than first-year students. This supports our arguments about role and skills alignment. Students in higher years are likely to possess a higher level of self-efficacy – the ability to overcome barriers to achieve a goal – which can influence prosocial behavior.\textsuperscript{6,48} Interestingly, in contrast to this finding, Burks and Kobus found that prosocial values decrease as students’ progress through training.\textsuperscript{7} We posit that the main reason for the difference between this study and Burks and Kobus’ research is that final year students had a clear interim postgraduate year one role that they could fulfil, which students in other years lacked. Regarding barriers to volunteering, 43.5\% of respondents felt there was lack of information on volunteering opportunities available. ‘Logistics’ was the first of two areas that had not been explained in Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency. Our data would indicate that – a volunteer must decide whether logistically they can volunteer. Previous literature surrounding the willingness of medical students to volunteer in a disaster relied on the assumption that in a crisis there would be an established framework and infrastructure for mobilizing medical students. However, this was not the case in the UK during the early part of 2020. Early narrative work
from the USA has centered on development of volunteering infrastructure, including the establishment of voluntary task forces\textsuperscript{49}, with similar initiatives occurring in the UK\textsuperscript{50}.

The second key area that had not been adequately encapsulated by Latane and Darley’s theory concerned ‘safety’. Although considerations of safety may take place within decisions of responsibility, or of skills and knowledge, our data demonstrate that concerns regarding safety were an integral part of volunteering decision-making. We posit that medical students must also decide whether it is safe to volunteer – in the interests of themselves and others.

We present our conceptual framework for medical student volunteering during COVID-19 in Figure 2.

Understanding the factors that influence prosocial behavior during COVID-19 can support future decision-making around the infrastructure and processes that must be put in place to effectively facilitate the mobilization of students during the current pandemic and in any future crises. Creating a comprehensive strategy for how to manage and implement volunteers is beyond the scope of this article. We have provided suggestions in Table 3 of how our conceptual framework can be used in educational strategies to facilitate medical student prosocial behavior during pandemics and disasters\textsuperscript{51}. These could be introduced using pre-existing frameworks for innovation, and could be used to develop a flexible structure that is organized at a local level with national oversight, which could allow for a rapid goal-orientated coordinated response\textsuperscript{52,53}. Developing this infrastructure is even more important in view of the ‘second wave’ of COVID-19 cases\textsuperscript{54,55} in Europe\textsuperscript{56}, and high numbers of cases in the USA\textsuperscript{57}. 
Limitations

To expedite survey distribution, we did not perform focus groups and cognitive interviews as part of our survey development, and this may have limited how participants interpreted the questions. However, medical students were involved throughout the survey development process. As we were unable to identify the survey response rate, our data may not be wholly representative of the whole UK cohort. We tried to mitigate this by distributing the survey through multiple channels. There is selection bias, as the types of medical student who opt to fill in the survey may be more willing to volunteer. Further, the transferability of our findings may be limited by the higher number of women who participated. Finally, this study was cross-sectional in nature, and could not determine whether students’ motivation to volunteer evolved as the context of the pandemic changed. Longitudinal research regarding the ways in which prosocial behaviors expression changes as emergency situations develop would be of benefit in future.
CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates that Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency can be applied to medical student volunteering during COVID-19. This study expands on existing theory through addition of the domains of safety and logistics in the decision-making process. We identified a number of modifiable barriers to prosocial behavior encountered by medical students during COVID-19 and provide suggestions of how our conceptual framework can be used within educational strategies to address such barriers.

Optimizing the process of volunteering can aid workforce planning and healthcare provision, and may facilitate a volunteering process that is safer for students, staff, and patients.
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FIGURES

Figure 1: Willingness to perform roles during the pandemic, both (a) on a general ward, and (b) on a coronavirus ward. (c) Confidence in clinical skills by year. Mean values and 95% confidence intervals are shown. The mean confidence score across all students is shown with a dashed line.

Figure 2: Conceptual framework for medical student prosocial behaviour during COVID-19.
SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Figure S 1: Respondent demographics. (a) Number of medical students from each medical school, divided by gender. (b) Total number of students, by gender. (c) Distribution of year groups of medical students divided by gender. (d) Pie chart indicating if students had already started as volunteers during the pandemic. (e) Proportion of students volunteering by year.

The proportion of non-intercalating students volunteering at the time of the survey ranged from 14.6% among first-year students to 62.8% in sixth-year students

Figure S 2: Opinions on volunteering on a Likert scale.

Figure S 3: Roles students are willing to perform while volunteering grouped by year.
### Table 1: Motivating and barrier factors for volunteering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivating factors</th>
<th>n=</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altruism (e.g. Helping those in need)</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>90.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional development and training (e.g. Opportunity to learn new skills and gain experience)</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>79.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moral obligation (e.g. Need to do the ‘right’ thing)</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career (e.g. Opportunity to improve cv make new contacts)</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilt you would feel if not volunteering</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Societal expectations</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical school expectation or directive</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer pressure</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Activity during lockdown</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier factors</th>
<th>n=</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family/social commitments (e.g. Caring for a family member)</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>63.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic commitments</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of personal protective equipment for healthcare staff</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of information on volunteering opportunities available</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal safety (fear of catching coronavirus)</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-existing health conditions</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial implications</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological impact</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work commitments</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Transmission to others; Transport; Unable to secure volunteering opportunity; Competence; Volunteer role; Support</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Concerns about volunteering during a pandemic from medical students. 798 out of 1145 students (69.7%) described issues they believed they would face when volunteering, and 25 students stated they predicted no issues. 365 out of 1145 students (31.9%) described ethical concerns associated with volunteering, and three students stated there were no ethical concerns. From these responses we identified five themes: safety, professional practice, pressure to volunteer, finances and logistics, and education.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Personal safety</td>
<td>Personal risk of coronavirus</td>
<td>“Medical students could be put in harms way if volunteering around COVID+ patients”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Health issues</td>
<td>“Some medical students will have underlying medical conditions that may put them at risk of contracting or having more serious consequences from COVID-19”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PPE</td>
<td>“Lack of PPE leading to medical students being exposed to danger”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychological impact</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Medical students, especially younger years may not have had much clinical experience yet and may experience quite upsetting scenes”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other's safety</td>
<td>Vulnerable family</td>
<td>“Transmitting to vulnerable family members I live with”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Viral transmission to others</td>
<td>“The greater number of medical students that work, the more the virus spreads through them to their homes”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Caring responsibilities</td>
<td>“Extra experience for those able to do unpaid work, while those who are carers … are not able”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe practice</td>
<td>Patient safety</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Is patient safety being put at risk by graduating doctors that haven’t sat finals”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Working outside of competence</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Inability to say no to tasks I am not confident in”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Not having sufficient support due to overworked supervisory staff.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staffing issues</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Low staff numbers”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure to volunteer</td>
<td>General pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Students should not feel pressured when being asked.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coercion</td>
<td></td>
<td>“I would only be concerned if people felt that they had no choice but to volunteer to work”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obliged</td>
<td></td>
<td>“I don’t think asking medical students to volunteer is a problem, but it may cause some students to feel obliged to volunteer”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td></td>
<td>“I think the option should be offered, but in a very neutral manner”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Own choice</td>
<td></td>
<td>“If students come forward, asking if they can help, that’s their decision”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guilt</td>
<td></td>
<td>“It is easy to feel guilty about not working in hospital”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>External pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td>“Universities pressuring students to volunteer”; “Peer pressure”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional practice</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence</td>
<td>Inexperience</td>
<td>“Students may have insufficient experience”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden</td>
<td>“Some students may be beneficial to the NHS while others may need too much support and supervision etc and therefore be a hindrance at this time.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usefulness</td>
<td>“I am currently a junior medical student so I worry I would not be as useful as students who are more senior”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional role boundaries</td>
<td>Unclear role for staff and student</td>
<td>“Blurred lines of responsibility and expectations for medical students”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask outside of competence</td>
<td>“Concerned we will be asked to work beyond our capacity.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination</td>
<td>Discrimination by not volunteering</td>
<td>“Discrimination against Medical Students that did not volunteer.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Career prospects</td>
<td>“Pressure on students who think they need to work in case it negatively affects their career if they don’t”; “expectations for future career interviews if someone did/did not volunteer and that being used against your application”; “need to ensure that there were no penalties for these students who did not volunteer”.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td>“with the recent issue of BAME healthcare workers being disproportionately affected from Covid-19 … I worry that medical students volunteering may be disproportionately affected as well.”; “Patients may be racist, refusing help from Chinese medical students”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>Indemnity</td>
<td>“Lack of a protective union for students in particular”; “Insurance coverage should we make a mistake”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract</td>
<td>“Starting work without a contract”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>Concerns about work</td>
<td>“Job will be boring/unglamorous”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital issues</td>
<td>“Working in stretched system”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td>Accommodation</td>
<td>“Will need new accommodation, won’t be able to stay at home”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>“I don’t have a car and would be unsure whether public transport would be appropriate - I live far out from major hospitals.”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>“Admin issues”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support</td>
<td>Support outside of work</td>
<td>“Not being able to see my friends and family outside of my house will be difficult”; “Lack of peer and colleague support”; “Lack of university support”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td>Lack of pay</td>
<td>“I think if students are asked to join the workforce during a pandemic then they must be paid something.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial difficulties</td>
<td>“Students are considered financially vulnerable for clinical trial purposes, similar ethical concerns exist around paid volunteer opportunities”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University education</td>
<td>Educational disruption</td>
<td>“If we choose not to volunteer, we risk being under skilled compared to our contemporaries who do volunteer”; “Even further loss of study time”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Work-educational balance | “Volunteering can take students away from their focus on the degree”; “we have exams imminently and have been told if we choose to volunteer we are expected to still perform in exams, and the exams will still contribute towards deciles … Many of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professional education</th>
<th>Lack of training</th>
<th>“Inappropriate clinical training”; “Without proper training/preparation, harm may also be done to patients or healthcare staff supervising students”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning how to work</td>
<td>“The ’learning’ time it takes to learn how the job works”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Suggestions to facilitate medical student prosocial behaviour during pandemics and disasters

### Recognising there is an emergency situation

- Transparency around communications with medical students

### Deciding responsibility

- Transparency around communications with medical students
  - Non-biased advertising of roles
  - No mandated recruitment – if required (for example in a catastrophic disaster), this must be a last resort and should not expose vulnerable parties
- Planning should involve medical students and medical educators, and it should have clear triggers for activating medical students into the workforce.
- Distinction between service provision and training should be clear – again there should be clear triggers
  - Remuneration for service provision positions
- Minimise disruption to education where possible
  - Aim to align volunteering opportunities to learning outcomes where possible
  - Hospitals to work with universities to allow education to continue where possible and to minimise conflict with work shifts
  - Consider postponing or cancelling examinations depending on level of disruption
  - Do not disadvantage those who did not volunteer in future interview processes
  - Provide alternative learning arrangements for those who cannot volunteer

### Deciding skills and knowledge

- Clear roles for medical students
  - Personalised holistic volunteering roles:
    - These could be tailored to medical school year or competencies
    - Consider the roles that students who cannot volunteer in clinical settings can do (e.g. vulnerable individuals or family members) – such as contact tracing
  - The limits of each role should be communicated to other staff members within the hospital
  - Aim to reduce exposure to patients affected by the pandemic/disaster for junior students where possible
  - Avoid assigning students to on-call shifts where possible.
  - Ensure all volunteers have a contract and indemnity cover prior to starting
- Provide additional training
  - Specific disaster/pandemic training following our previous suggestions (Ashcroft et al. 57)
  - Tailored induction program for volunteers who have had minimal hospital exposure previously

### Deciding safety

- Ensure patient safety
  - Named educational supervisor for every medical student
  - Explicitly educate students on safety pathways such as exception reporting and clinical incident reporting
- Ensure volunteer safety
  - Adequate PPE and PPE training for volunteers
- Provide wellbeing services
  - Pastoral support for both those who are can and cannot volunteer
  - Sign posting to financial support
  - Support for students with caring responsibilities or health issues of their own
  - Ensure adequate physical and mental health support services

### Deciding Logistics

- Transparency around communications with medical students
  - Clear process of how to sign up as a volunteer and obtaining work
  - Clear description of transport and accommodation amenities
Is the situation abnormal? → Is the situation an emergency? → Am I responsible? → Do I have the skills and knowledge? → Is it safe? → Logistically, can I help? → Final decision