Examining Medical Student Volunteering During The COVID-19 Pandemic As A Prosocial Behavior During An Emergency

Matthew H V Byrne MBBS MRes¹, James Ashcroft MB ChB MSc MRes MRCS², Jonathan C M Wan MB PhD³, Laith Alexander MB PhD³, Anna Harvey⁴, Nicholas Schindler MBChB MRCPCH MSt^{5,6}, Megan E L Brown MBBS⁷, Cecilia Brassett MB MChir MA MChir FRCS⁸

¹Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

²University of Cambridge, Department of Surgery. Cambridge University Hospitals Trust, UK

³Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital London, UK

⁴King's College London, UK

⁵Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals Foundation Trust, Paediatric Department, UK

⁶University of Cambridge, Institute of Continuing Education, UK

⁷Hull York Medical School, Health Professions Education Unit, UK

⁸University of Cambridge, Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, UK

Corresponding author

Name: Matthew Byrne

Email address: mhvbyrne@doctors.org.uk

Full Institution address: Oxford University Hospitals Trust, Oxford UK

Institution post code: OX3 7LE

Twitter: @mhvbyrne

Alternative contact:

Name: James Ashcroft

Email address: james.ashcroft@addenbrookes.nhs.uk

Full Institution address: Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Rd, Cambridge, UK

Institution post code: CB2 0QQ

Author descriptions

Dr Matthew H V Byrne is an Academic Clinical Fellow in Urology at Oxford University Hospitals Trust, UK. Dr James Ashcroft is an Academic Clinical Fellow in General Surgery at Cambridge University Hospitals Trust, UK. Dr Laith Alexander is an Academic Foundation Doctor at Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital London, Dr Jonathan Wan is an Academic Foundation Doctor at Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital London, Ms Anna Harvey is a medical student at King's College London, UK. Dr Nicholas Schindler is a tutor at the Institute of Continuing Education at the University of Cambridge and a senior pediatric registrar at Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals Foundation Trust, UK. Dr Cecilia Brassett is a Fellow, Joint Director of Studies in Medical Sciences, College Lecturer, and Deputy Senior Tutor in Medicine at Magdalene College, University of Cambridge, and the University Clinical Anatomist, University of Cambridge.

Sources of support: Nil

Conflicts of interests: None financial or otherwise

Authorship: MHVB, JA, JW, LA were responsible for conceptualization. All authors were responsible for writing the first draft. MHVB, JA, JW, LA were responsible for data

collection. JW was responsible for quantitative data analysis, MHVB and LA were responsible for qualitative analysis. MHVB, JA, JW, NS, CB were responsible for interpretation of data. All authors were responsible for revisions. CB was responsible for supervision.

Data availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Study type: Cross sectional survey

Running Title: Medical student prosocial behavior during COVID-19

Key words (3-5 words): medical education; volunteering; preparedness; COVID-19; service learning

Number of figures: 2 + 3 supplementary

Number of tables: 3 + 2 supplementary

Word count: 3969

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 Introduction: COVID-19 has caused major disruptions to healthcare, with voluntary
3 opportunities offered to medical students to provide clinical support. We used the conceptual
4 framework of prosocial behavior during an emergency – behaviors whose primary focus is
5 benefiting others – to examine volunteering during COVID-19.

6 Methods: We conducted an in-depth, mixed-methods cross-sectional survey, from 2nd May to
7 15th June 2020, of medical students studying at UK medical schools. Data analysis was
8 informed by Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency and
9 aimed to understand students’ decision-making processes.

10 Results: A total of 1145 medical students from 36 medical schools completed the survey.
11 While 947 (82.7%) of students were willing to volunteer, only 391 (34.3%) had volunteered.
12 The majority (92.7%) of students understood that they may be asked to volunteer; however,
13 we found that deciding one’s responsibility to volunteer was mitigated by a complex
14 interaction between the interests of others and self-interest. Further, concerns revolving
15 around professional role boundaries influenced students’ decisions over whether they had the
16 required skills and knowledge to volunteer. Deciding to volunteer depended not only on
17 possession of necessary skills, but also seniority and identification with the nature of
18 volunteering roles offered.

19 Conclusions: We propose two additional domains to Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial
20 behavior during an emergency that students consider before making their final decision to
21 volunteer. These are ‘logistics’ – whether it is logistically feasible to volunteer – and ‘safety’
22 – whether it is safe to volunteer. This study highlights a number of modifiable barriers to
23 prosocial behavior that medical students encounter and provides suggestions regarding how
24 Latane and Darley’s theory of prosocial behavior can be operationalized within educational

- 25 strategies to address these barriers. Optimizing the process of volunteering can aid healthcare
- 26 provision and may facilitate a safer volunteering process for all.

27 INTRODUCTION

28 On 24th March 2020, the UK Secretary of State for Health and Social Care announced plans
 29 for medical students to assist in the COVID-19 pandemic ¹. In response to this, medical
 30 schools accelerated the graduation of over 5000 final year medical students to act as interim
 31 postgraduate year one doctors ², and volunteering opportunities were created for non-final
 32 year students ³. As clinical placements were cancelled and medical school examinations
 33 postponed or replaced, there was the potential for many students to volunteer ^{4,5}.

34

35 Volunteering is a form of prosocial behavior – behavior which provides help to others where
 36 a direct personal benefit is not a necessity but self-interest is considered ^{6,7}. As such it can
 37 have positive benefits for both doctors and patients ⁷. Prosocial behavior is an important
 38 professional value in medicine ^{8,9}. Indeed, the Situational Judgement Test that final year
 39 medical students in the UK must pass prior to graduation examines prosocial decision making
 40 ¹⁰. McCrea and Murdoch-Eaton highlighted that while medical students recognize the role of
 41 prosocial behavior for doctors, they often possess limited awareness of the role of prosocial
 42 behavior within their current role as students ¹¹. This has relevance for student volunteering
 43 during COVID-19 – a form of prosocial behavior during an emergency. Latane and Darley
 44 proposed that, in prosocial behavior theories, five factors influence an individual's decision
 45 to help during an emergency ¹², which Baron et al. posited to be the same for volunteering ⁶.
 46 They are: 1. Noticing something is abnormal; 2. Interpreting the situation as an emergency; 3.
 47 Deciding you are responsible; 4. Deciding whether you have the skills or knowledge to help;
 48 and 5. Making a final decision on providing help ^{6,12}. It has yet to be established whether
 49 Latane and Darley's theory of prosocial behavior applies to medical student volunteering
 50 during COVID-19.

51

52 Studies prior to the COVID-19 pandemic have reported the readiness of medical students to
 53 volunteer in hypothetical disasters and infectious disease outbreaks ^{13,14}, and early studies
 54 into COVID-19 indicate that the majority of students appear willing to volunteer ^{15,16}.
 55 Rasmussen et al. showed that a high percentage of medical students from a single centre in
 56 Denmark wanted to volunteer (82.4%) ¹⁵. However, other studies have shown that, whilst
 57 students are willing to volunteer during COVID-19, fewer students have actually done so ^{17–}
 58 ¹⁹. A single centre study of 137 German medical students demonstrated that 70.1% were
 59 willing to volunteer, but only 25.0% of students did ¹⁸. Despite this discrepancy between
 60 motivation and practice, minimal literature exists on the factors motivating students to
 61 volunteer during COVID-19 ^{18,20,21}. Understanding the factors that influence prosocial
 62 behavior during the current pandemic is essential, as over 40,000 medical students who are
 63 studying in the UK could represent a valuable asset if empowered and mobilized as
 64 volunteers ²².

65

66 In view of the above, we conducted an in-depth, mixed-methods survey to explore
 67 volunteering among UK medical students during COVID-19, using the conceptual
 68 framework of prosocial behavior described by Latane and Darley, and Baron et al. ^{6,12}.
 69 Through developing an understanding of students' motivations to volunteer, we aimed to
 70 identify educational strategies which support prosocial behaviors during emergencies to
 71 support medical education volunteering pathways.

72 **METHODS**

73 **Research approach**

74 This research was conducted within the paradigm of pragmatism. Pragmatism concerns itself
 75 with problem solving, and often utilizes mixed-methods approaches ²³. In line with our
 76 pragmatic orientation, we chose not to forefront considerations of epistemology and ontology
 77 ²⁴, and focused on designing an effective study expeditiously within the new research
 78 landscape mandated by COVID-19. As such, an online survey was selected for data
 79 collection for ease of dissemination and wide reach. Questions were asked with both
 80 quantitative and qualitative outputs to provide broad and rich data reflective of a wide range
 81 of experiences ²⁵.

82 **Survey**

83 We conducted a cross-sectional survey from 2nd May to 15th June 2020 of students studying
 84 at UK medical schools, following the STROBE guideline for cross-sectional studies ²⁶. The
 85 survey consisted of 53 questions assessing previous clinical experience, attitudes to
 86 volunteering, motivation and barriers, volunteering role, medical education, issues currently
 87 faced, and safety (Appendix 1). Survey development was informed by a systematic review of
 88 existing literature on volunteering during pandemics and disasters, and previously used scales
 89 ²⁷. Questions were then developed by MHVB and JA with expert input and consultation with
 90 medical students, and final questions were reviewed by medical students to establish face
 91 validity. The survey was hosted on Google Forms with no identifiable data collected, and
 92 data were held on a secure server.

93 **Student recruitment**

94 We used a convenience sampling approach to recruit medical students. Medical schools listed
95 on the UK Medical Schools Council website were invited via email to distribute the survey to
96 their students²⁸. Messages were posted once a week to Twitter and Facebook asking medical
97 students to complete and share the survey to recruit more participants via a snowball
98 approach.

99 **Ethics**

100 Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the University of Cambridge Psychology
101 Research Ethics Committee (PRE.2020.040).

102 **Quantitative analysis**

103 We asked students to indicate their level of agreement using a 5-point Likert scale
104 (1=strongly disagree; 5=strongly agree) on items representing volunteering, role, clinical
105 skills, motivation/barriers to volunteering, issues with volunteering, and risk and safety.
106 Statistical analysis was performed by JW using R (version 4.0.1)²⁹. Data are presented as
107 mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) and as percentages in each category of the Likert scale.
108 Correction for multiple testing was performed using a Benjamini-Hochberg correction in R
109 using the rstatix package.
110
111 Multiple linear regression of predictors for 'I am willing to volunteer (Likert)' was performed
112 in R using lm(). For this model, the variables used were 'year at medical school' and survey
113 responses around beliefs for the prediction of volunteering status. Data for subgroups were
114 analysed separately and included in the multiple regression model. These groups were chosen
115 to ascertain whether attitudes differed between years at medical school and if any differences
116 existed between those who chose to volunteer and those who did not. Entries with missing

data were excluded from the analysis using the na.omit function in R. Backward stepwise model selection was performed using stepAIC() from the MASS package.

Qualitative analysis

Thematic analysis was used to analyse qualitative responses using the six-step approach described by Braun and Clarke³⁰. Two authors (LA and MHVB) familiarised themselves with the data, and created initial inductive, descriptive codes for all data. To identify themes, a semantic approach was used. Initial codes were analysed for patterns, grouped, summarised, and interpreted. Themes and subthemes were checked against the initial codes and the data set as a whole, and any interpretative discrepancies explored and resolved by consensus. Themes and subthemes were then reviewed, discussed, and agreed by all authors.

Applying Latane and Darley's theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency as a theoretical lens

To analyse how our data applied to Latane and Darley's theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency, we used a theory-informing inductive data analysis approach³¹. We did not impose our definition of prosocial behavior during an emergency upon participants, instead allowing them to express their own views in response to questions. We first performed our quantitative and qualitative analysis, later applying Latane and Darley's theory to our data as a 'sensitizing concept'. Though sensitizing concepts originate from the methodology of constructivist grounded theory³², they have since been applied as part of reflexive analysis in a way that aligns with Varpio et al.'s 'theory informing inductive data analysis' approach³³. This is the way in which we utilized theory within this study.

Data were reviewed using this theoretical framework by MHVB and MELB, and areas of concordance and conflict explored and highlighted, then discussed and agreed by all authors.

140 **Reflexivity statement**

141 The authors of this study comprise a diverse range of doctors in training, medical students,
142 medical education researchers, and consultants across multiple educational institutions. The
143 range of experiences at different stages and institutions permitted a wide scope of viewpoints
144 regarding the data, thus enriching analysis.

RESULTS

Demographics

A total of 1145 students from 36 medical schools were represented in this study (Figure S 3: *Roles students are willing to perform while volunteering grouped by year.*). The median age of respondents was 22 (interquartile range, IQR, 20-24), 835 (73.0%) were women, 75 (6.6%) were intercalating (taking time out of medical school to complete an additional degree), and 170 (14.8%) were in their fifth or sixth year of medical school (Figure S 1). Of these final-year students, 112 out of 170 (65.9%) had already graduated.

Quantitative analysis

Results are structured within both qualitative and quantitative sections following the domains of Latane and Darley's theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency.

Noticing something is abnormal and interpreting the situation as an emergency

The majority of students (92.7%) recognized that medical students might be asked to volunteer due to COVID-19. We interpret this as widespread recognition of an abnormal, emergency situation.

Deciding responsibility

Across all year groups, 947 out of 1145 students (82.7%) strongly agreed or agreed with the statement 'I would be willing to volunteer to work', which suggests that most students felt responsible for helping (Figure S 2). The reasons for this were complex, with a wide range of motivating and barrier factors for volunteering (Table 1). Factors influencing the responsibility to volunteer can be divided into two groups: in the interest of others and self-interest. The former included altruism, moral obligation, family or social commitments,

others' safety, societal expectations, medical school expectations, and peer pressure; the latter included professional development, academic and work commitments, personal safety, psychological impact, discrimination, and financial implications.

While most students were willing to volunteer, less than half of the students felt they should be encouraged to volunteer (Figure S 2).

Deciding whether you have the skills or knowledge to help

Eighty percent of students felt they would have a positive impact by volunteering. We assessed students' preference for specific volunteer roles, and their confidence in the skills required for these roles.

We asked students to indicate the roles they were willing to perform as volunteers. Twenty-six percent of students strongly agreed or agreed that they were willing to perform the full clinical role of a doctor, whereas 857 (75.3%) and 882 (77.7%) were willing to undertake an assistant medical role or provide indirect medical care (such as providing meals or moving patients), respectively. The majority of students were willing to perform the same role on a ward with patients with COVID-19 (n=943, 82.3% strongly agreed or agreed, Figure 1).

Senior students were more willing to perform the full clinical role expected of a doctor but were less willing to provide indirect medical care (Figure S 3).

We looked at student confidence in skills required for these roles using a Likert scale (Figure 1). Across all year groups, students were most confident in clerking new admissions (mean=3.4/5, 95% CI=3.3-3.5) and performing venepuncture (mean=3.4/5, 95% CI=3.3-3.5). Students were least confident in prescribing medication (mean=2.0/5, 95% CI=1.9-2.0) and initiating management plans for patients (mean=2.1, 95% CI=2.0-2.1). For all skills except for donning and doffing PPE, there was a significant positive correlation between the respondents' year group and their confidence in performing them (Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted $P < 0.05$).

Making a final decision to provide help by volunteering

At the time of the study, 391 of 1145 students (34.3%) had volunteered during the pandemic (Figure S 1). This ranged from 14.6% amongst first-year students to 54.1% in final year (year five or six). A significantly lower proportion of intercalating students were volunteering compared to their clinical counterparts in the same years (20.0% vs. 33.5%, $P=0.02$, Chi-square test). The median start date of those who had begun volunteering was 16th April 2020, three weeks after the UK was placed into lockdown. Of the 391 students who were volunteering in the pandemic, 77 (22.2%) had taken up roles as interim postgraduate year one doctors (Table S 1).

The strongest predictors of willingness to volunteer using multiple linear regression were the beliefs that volunteering to work would benefit a student's medical education (estimate= 0.35 ± 0.03 , adjusted $P < 0.001$, Table S 2) and that the student would make a positive impact (estimate= 0.33 ± 0.03 , adjusted $P < 0.001$). Students who believed there were ethical issues with asking medical students to volunteer were less likely to volunteer (estimate= -0.08 ± 0.02 , adjusted $P < 0.001$), as were those who had begun considering a career outside of medicine because of the pandemic (estimate= -0.08 ± 0.02 , adjusted $P = 0.001$). Increasing age was a significant negative predictor of willingness to volunteer, independent of medical school year group, after correction for multiple testing (adjusted $P = 0.043$).

Additional factors which influenced making a final decision to provide help

Across all year groups, the median self-estimated probability of contracting COVID-19 was 50.0% (IQR = 20.0%-65.0%, $n = 962$), which was not influenced by year or volunteering. 43.5% ($n=475$) felt there was a lack of information regarding volunteering opportunities available.

Qualitative analysis

Our qualitative analysis focused on the later stages of the decision-making process. We asked students what ethical concerns they had about volunteering and the issues they anticipated they would face while volunteering (Table 2). We defined five themes: Pressure to volunteer; Education; Professional practice; Safety; and Logistics.

Deciding responsibility

Two themes were relevant to deciding responsibility: pressure to volunteer and education. Students expressed feelings such as guilt, obligation and, even a sense of coercion. There were concerns that opportunities may not be promoted in a neutral way and that it should be students' own choice to volunteer rather than due to pressure from external organizations (Table 2). The theme of education was also relevant to deciding responsibility. Some students felt that volunteering was an opportunity to replace disrupted teaching opportunities, whereas others found there was a conflict between volunteering and studying for their medical degree, which was compounded by a perceived lack of training for volunteers (Table 2).

Deciding whether you have the skills or knowledge to help

Regarding skills or knowledge required, we identified concerns surrounding the themes of professional practice and safety. Students were concerned about their competency and questioned the usefulness of inexperienced medical students, as they might constitute a burden. These concerns were closely linked to safe practice – that patient care might be affected due to working outside of competency, especially if there was a lack of supervision or clarity regarding the professional role boundaries of new doctors.

240 *Additional factors which influenced making a final decision to provide help*

241 The theme of safety also played a key role in decision making. This included considerations
 242 of personal safety, the risk of contracting COVID-19 (particularly with inadequate PPE, or
 243 for students with pre-existing health issues), as well as the psychological impact and stress of
 244 working during a pandemic. Students were concerned about transmitting COVID-19 to
 245 others, the risk posed to vulnerable family members, or disruption to pre-existing caring
 246 duties.

247 Our qualitative analysis revealed a final barrier not accounted for by Latane and Darley's
 248 theory: 'logistics'. These were concerns of support outside of work, and difficulties with
 249 transport, accommodation, and administration.

250

DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified that 82.7% of medical student respondents would be willing to volunteer; however, only 34.5% were volunteering at the time of the survey. While other studies have shown a similar association, they have not explored the reasons *why* this may be the case in depth¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Studies in other fields have demonstrated an intention to behavior gap³⁴, which may explain part of the discrepancy. However, such a large difference suggests the presence of additional factors influencing students' decisions to volunteer. Latane and Darley's theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency outlines a five-step process influencing the decision-making process to help in emergency situations that we have used as a conceptual framework¹²⁶. But how do our findings fit with what is already known?

Although McCrea and Murdoch-Eaton suggest medical students possess little awareness of the applicability of prosocial behavior to their role¹¹, our study shows this does not appear to be the case during COVID-19. The majority of students *did* recognize that COVID-19 was an abnormal situation, that it was an emergency, and that they may be responsible. This may be due, in part, to widespread media coverage, but is also likely a result of clinical placement suspension, and students witnessing the vast number of healthcare staff involved in responding to the pandemic. Time to reflect on a situation³⁵, as well as the presence of others providing help, has been shown to influence likelihood of a decision to help³⁶.

The gap between an intention to volunteer and volunteering in practice could be explained by the ways in which students decided their responsibility to volunteer during in the pandemic. This decision was complex, and influencing factors can be conceptualized as a balance between the interest of others and self-interest. Although 82.7% of students were willing to volunteer, prosocial behaviors can be influenced by others³⁷. This is shown in our cohort by

a ‘pressure to volunteer’. Prosocial peer norms³⁸, and external influences (such as school and parents) have been shown to motivate prosocial behavior³⁷. Individuals are more likely to volunteer with “in-groups” (those close to them) rather than “out-groups” (those distant to them)³⁹. Thus, increased social distance between helpers and those being helped reduces prosocial behavior⁴⁰, as does social exclusion⁴¹. For medical students, academic and clinical studies were interrupted – especially for those in later years used to high levels of patient contact – with many medical students returning home because of COVID-19. This may have increased the perceived social distance between patients, as well as between peers, shifting the balance of prosocial behavior towards self-interest.

Latane and Darley suggest that, after having assumed responsibility, people decide whether they have appropriate skills to help during emergencies¹². Similarly, we found that medical students make a series of ‘competency’ judgements regarding volunteering, which includes considerations as to how their level of ability relates to the proposed volunteering role and level of supervision, and how these factors might influence patient safety. There was an incremental increase in their confidence in the required skills and their willingness to perform more advanced roles as the year of the student increased, such that final year students were less willing to provide indirect care even though they had the skills to do so. Our data suggest that this decision depends not only on the skills required, but also on the *roles* offered and the year of the student. We posit that this is a domain which could result in a large decrease in prosocial behavior during COVID-19. Our qualitative data showed that students felt uncertain as to the professional role boundaries of volunteers; they perceived a lack of clarity regarding voluntary roles and were worried that they might be asked to perform tasks outside of their competence. Concerns surrounding roles could also reflect the variation of roles across the country^{42–46}. Clarity regarding roles and necessary skills could facilitate prosocial

behavior amongst medical students during emergencies. Presenting students with more options involving a wider range of roles could also help, as having a number of options by which one could help has been shown to facilitate prosocial behavior ⁴⁷.

Finally, in Latane and Darley's theory, an individual weighs the above considerations and makes a final decision ¹². We found that significantly fewer students who were intercalating (taking time out of medical school to complete an additional degree) volunteered. This lends weight to our observation about social distance, as intercalating students may be further removed from patients, healthcare professionals, and medical schools. We also showed that far more final-year students had volunteered than first-year students. This supports our arguments about role and skills alignment. Students in higher years are likely to possess a higher level of self-efficacy – the ability to overcome barriers to achieve a goal – which can influence prosocial behavior ^{6,48}. Interestingly, in contrast to this finding, Burks and Kobus found that prosocial values decrease as students' progress through training ⁷. We posit that the main reason for the difference between this study and Burks and Kobus' research is that final year students had a clear interim postgraduate year one role that they could fulfil, which students in other years lacked. Regarding barriers to volunteering, 43.5% of respondents felt there was lack of information on volunteering opportunities available. 'Logistics' was the first of two areas that had not been explained in Latane and Darley's theory of prosocial behavior during an emergency. Our data would indicate that – a volunteer must decide whether *logistically* they can volunteer. Previous literature surrounding the willingness of medical students to volunteer in a disaster relied on the assumption that in a crisis there would be an established framework and infrastructure for mobilizing medical students. However, this was not the case in the UK during the early part of 2020. Early narrative work

from the USA has centered on development of volunteering infrastructure, including the establishment of voluntary task forces ⁴⁹, with similar initiatives occurring in the UK ⁵⁰.

The second key area that had not been adequately encapsulated by Latane and Darley's theory concerned 'safety'. Although considerations of safety may take place within decisions of responsibility, or of skills and knowledge, our data demonstrate that concerns regarding safety were an integral part of volunteering decision-making. We posit that medical students must also decide whether it is safe to volunteer – in the interests of themselves and others. We present our conceptual framework for medical student volunteering during COVID-19 in Figure 2.

Understanding the factors that influence prosocial behavior during COVID-19 can support future decision-making around the infrastructure and processes that must be put in place to effectively facilitate the mobilization of students during the current pandemic and in any future crises. Creating a comprehensive strategy for how to manage and implement volunteers is beyond the scope of this article. We have provided suggestions in Table 3 of how our conceptual framework can be used in educational strategies to facilitate medical student prosocial behavior during pandemics and disasters ⁵¹. These could be introduced using pre-existing frameworks for innovation, and could be used to develop a flexible structure that is organized at a local level with national oversight, which could allow for a rapid goal-orientated coordinated response ^{52,53}. Developing this infrastructure is even more important in view of the 'second wave' of COVID-19 cases ^{54,55} in Europe ⁵⁶, and high numbers of cases in the USA ⁵⁷.

348 **Limitations**

349 To expedite survey distribution, we did not perform focus groups and cognitive interviews as
 350 part of our survey development, and this may have limited how participants interpreted the
 351 questions ⁵⁸. However, medical students were involved throughout the survey development
 352 process. As we were unable to identify the survey response rate, our data may not be wholly
 353 representative of the whole UK cohort. We tried to mitigate this by distributing the survey
 354 through multiple channels. There is selection bias, as the types of medical student who opt to
 355 fill in the survey may be more willing to volunteer. Further, the transferability of our findings
 356 may be limited by the higher number of women who participated. Finally, this study was
 357 cross-sectional in nature, and could not determine whether students' motivation to volunteer
 358 evolved as the context of the pandemic changed. Longitudinal research regarding the ways in
 359 which prosocial behaviors expression changes as emergency situations develop would be of
 360 benefit in future.

361 CONCLUSIONS

362 This study demonstrates that Latane and Darley's theory of prosocial behavior during an
 363 emergency can be applied to medical student volunteering during COVID-19. This study
 364 expands on existing theory through addition of the domains of *safety* and *logistics* in the
 365 decision-making process. We identified a number of modifiable barriers to prosocial behavior
 366 encountered by medical students during COVID-19 and provide suggestions of how our
 367 conceptual framework can be used within educational strategies to address such barriers.
 368 Optimizing the process of volunteering can aid workforce planning and healthcare provision,
 369 and may facilitate a volunteering process that is safer for students, staff, and patients.

REFERENCES

1. Siddique H. Final-year medical students graduate early to fight Covid-19. *The Guardian*. 2020.
2. Iacobucci G. Covid-19: medical schools are urged to fast-track final year students. *BMJ*. 2020;368:m1064. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1064
3. Medical Schools Council. *STATEMENT OF EXPECTATION Medical Student Volunteers in the NHS.*; 2020.
4. O'Byrne L, Gavin B, McNicholas F. Medical students and COVID-19: the need for pandemic preparedness. *J Med Ethics*. 2020:medethics-2020-106353. doi:10.1136/medethics-2020-106353
5. Arora A, Solomou G, Bandyopadhyay S, et al. Adjusting to Disrupted Assessments, Placements and Teaching (ADAPT): a snapshot of the early response by UK medical schools to COVID-19. *medRxiv*. August 2020:2020.07.29.20163907. doi:10.1101/2020.07.29.20163907
6. Baron RA, Byrne D, Branscombe NR. *Mastering Social Psychology*. Boston: Pearson Education, Inc; 2007.
7. Burks DJ, Kobus AM. The legacy of altruism in health care: The promotion of empathy, prosociality and humanism. *Med Educ*. 2012;46(3):317-325. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.04159.x
8. Arnold EL, Blank LL, Race KEH, Cipparrone N. Can professionalism be measured? The development of a scale for use in the medical environment. *Acad Med*. 1998;73(10):1119-1121. doi:10.1097/00001888-199810000-00025
9. Leffel GM, Oakes Mueller RA, Ham SA, Karches KE, Curlin FA, Yoon JD. Project on the Good Physician: Further Evidence for the Validity of a Moral Intuitionist Model of Virtuous Caring. *Teach Learn Med*. 2018;30(3):303-316.

- doi:10.1080/10401334.2017.1414608
10. Patterson F, Zibarras L, Ashworth V. Situational judgement tests in medical education and training: Research, theory and practice: AMEE Guide No. 100. *Med Teach*. 2016;38(1):3-17. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2015.1072619
11. McCrea ML, Murdoch-Eaton D. How do undergraduate medical students perceive social accountability? *Med Teach*. 2014;36(10):867-875. doi:10.3109/0142159X.2014.916784
12. Latané B, Darley JM. *The Unresponsive Bystander: Why Doesn't He Help?* New York: Appleton-Century Crofts; 1970.
13. Gouda P, Kirk A, Sweeney A-M, O'Donovan D. Attitudes of Medical Students Toward Volunteering in Emergency Situations. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep*. September 2019;1-4. doi:10.1017/dmp.2019.81
14. Patel VM, Dahl-Grove D. Disaster Preparedness Medical School Elective: Bridging the Gap Between Volunteer Eagerness and Readiness. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2018;34(7):492-496. doi:10.1097/PEC.0000000000000806
15. Rasmussen S, Sperling P, Poulsen MS, Emmersen J, Andersen S. Medical students for health-care staff shortages during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10234):e79-e80. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30923-5
16. Astorp MS, Sørensen GVB, Rasmussen S, Emmersen J, Erbs AW, Andersen S. Support for mobilising medical students to join the covid-19 pandemic emergency healthcare workforce: A cross-sectional questionnaire survey. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(9). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-039082
17. Yu N-Z, Li Z-J, Chong Y-M, et al. Chinese medical students' interest in COVID-19 pandemic. *World J Virol*. 2020;9(3):38-46. doi:10.5501/wjv.v9.i3.38
18. Drexler R, Hambrecht JM, Oldhafer KJ. Involvement of Medical Students During the

420 Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Survey Study. *Cureus*.
421 2020;12(8). doi:10.7759/cureus.10147

422 19. Pasquier P, Luft A, Gillard J, et al. How do we fight COVID-19? Military medical
423 actions in the war against the COVID-19 pandemic in France. *BMJ Mil Heal*. August
424 2020;bmjmilitary-2020-001569. doi:10.1136/bmj-military-2020-001569

425 20. Patel J, Robbins T, Randeve H, et al. Rising to the challenge: Qualitative assessment
426 of medical student perceptions responding to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Clin Med*
427 (*Northfield Il*). October 2020;clinmed.2020-0219. doi:10.7861/clinmed.2020-0219

428 21. The General Medical Council. *The State of Medical Education and Practice in the UK*
429 2020.; 2020.

430 22. The General Medical Council. *Medical School Annual Return 2017/2018*.; 2018.

431 23. Cresswell J, Plano Clark V. *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*.
432 Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE; 2007.

433 24. Brown MEL, Dueñas AN. A Medical Science Educator's Guide to Selecting a
434 Research Paradigm: Building a Basis for Better Research. *Med Sci Educ*.
435 2020;30(1):545-553. doi:10.1007/s40670-019-00898-9

436 25. Schifferdecker KE, Reed VA. Using mixed methods research in medical education:
437 Basic guidelines for researchers. *Med Educ*. 2009;43(7):637-644. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
438 2923.2009.03386.x

439 26. STROBE Initiative. STROBE Checklist for cross-sectional studies.

440 27. Byrne M, Ashcroft J, Alexander L, Wan J, Harvey A. 986 A Systematic Review of
441 Medical Student Willingness to Volunteer and Preparedness for Pandemics and
442 Disasters. *Br J Surg*. 2021;108(Supplement_2). doi:10.1093/bjs/zna134.185

443 28. Medical Schools Council. Medical schools.

444 29. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2017.

- 445 30. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol*.
446 2006;3(2):77-101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- 447 31. Varpio L, Paradis E, Uijtdehaage S, Young M. The Distinctions between Theory,
448 Theoretical Framework, and Conceptual Framework. *Acad Med*. 2020;95(7):989-994.
449 doi:10.1097/ACM.0000000000003075
- 450 32. Bowen GA. Grounded Theory and Sensitizing Concepts. *Int J Qual Methods*.
451 2006;5(3):12-23. doi:10.1177/160940690600500304
- 452 33. Patel R, Tarrant C, Bonas S, Yates J, Sandars J. The struggling student: a thematic
453 analysis from the self-regulated learning perspective. *Med Educ*. 2015;49(4):417-426.
454 doi:10.1111/medu.12651
- 455 34. Webb TL, Joseph J, Yardley L, Michie S. Using the Internet to Promote Health
456 Behavior Change: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Impact of
457 Theoretical Basis, Use of Behavior Change Techniques, and Mode of Delivery on
458 Efficacy. *J Med Internet Res*. 2010;12(1):e4. doi:10.2196/jmir.1376
- 459 35. Darley JM, Batson CD. "From Jerusalem to Jericho": A study of situational and
460 dispositional variables in helping behavior. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1973;27(1):100-108.
461 doi:10.1037/h0034449
- 462 36. Latané B, Darley JM. Group inhibition of bystander intervention in emergencies. *J*
463 *Pers Soc Psychol*. 1968;10(3):215-221. doi:10.1037/h0026570
- 464 37. Lai FHY, Siu AMH, Shek DTL. Individual and Social Predictors of Prosocial
465 Behavior among Chinese Adolescents in Hong Kong. *Front Pediatr*. 2015;3.
466 doi:10.3389/fped.2015.00039
- 467 38. Choukas-Bradley S, Giletta M, Cohen GL, Prinstein MJ. Peer Influence, Peer Status,
468 and Prosocial Behavior: An Experimental Investigation of Peer Socialization of
469 Adolescents' Intentions to Volunteer. *J Youth Adolesc*. 2015;44(12):2197-2210.

doi:10.1007/s10964-015-0373-2

39. DiDonato TE, Ullrich J, Krueger JI. Social Perception as Induction and Inference: An Integrative Model of Intergroup Differentiation, Ingroup Favoritism, and Differential Accuracy. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 2011;100(1):66-83. doi:10.1037/a0021051

40. Krueger JI, Ullrich J, Chen LJ. Expectations and decisions in the Volunteer's dilemma: Effects of social distance and social projection. *Front Psychol.* 2016;7(DEC). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01909

41. Twenge JM, Ciarocco NJ, Baumeister RF, DeWall CN, Bartels JM. Social exclusion decreases prosocial behavior. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 2007;92(1):56-66. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.92.1.56

42. Youssef S, Zaidi S, Shrestha S, Varghese C, Rajagopalan S. First impressions of the foundation interim year 1 postings: positives, pitfalls, and perils. *Med Educ Online.* 2020;25(1):1785116. doi:10.1080/10872981.2020.1785116

43. UK Foundation Programme Office. Impact of COVID-19 on the UK Foundation Programme 2020. 2020.

44. Lee J. How medical students are responding to Covid-19. *The Independent.*

45. Kinder F, Harvey A. Covid-19: the medical students responding to the pandemic. *BMJ.* 2020;369:m2160. doi:10.1136/bmj.m2160

46. Thomson E, Lovegrove S. 'Let us Help'—Why senior medical students are the next step in battling the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2020;74(8). doi:10.1111/ijcp.13516

47. Wang Z, Jusup M, Shi L, Lee JH, Iwasa Y, Boccaletti S. Exploiting a cognitive bias promotes cooperation in social dilemma experiments. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05259-5

48. Almeida L, Kashdan TB, Coelho R, Albino-Teixeira A, Soares-da-Silva P. Healthy

495 subjects volunteering for phase I studies: Influence of curiosity, exploratory tendencies
496 and perceived self-efficacy. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2008;46(3):109-118.
497 doi:10.5414/CP46109

498 49. Soled D, Goel S, Barry D, et al. Medical Student Mobilization During A Crisis:
499 Lessons From A COVID-19 Medical Student Response Team. *Acad Med*. April 2020.
500 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000003401>

501 50. Medical Schools Council. Student volunteering.

502 51. Ashcroft J, Byrne MHV, Brennan PA, Davies RJ, Davies RJ. Preparing medical
503 students for a pandemic: A systematic review of student disaster training programmes.
504 *Postgrad Med J*. 2020;0:1-12. doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2020-137906

505 52. Sheather J, Jobanputra K, Schopper D, et al. A Médecins Sans Frontières Ethics
506 Framework for Humanitarian Innovation. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13(9).
507 doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002111

508 53. Whittaker J, McLennan B, Handmer J. A review of informal volunteerism in
509 emergencies and disasters: Definition, opportunities and challenges. *Int J Disaster Risk*
510 *Reduct*. 2015;13:358-368. doi:10.1016/j.ijdr.2015.07.010

511 54. Wise J. Covid-19: Risk of second wave is very real, say researchers. *BMJ*.
512 2020;369:m2294. doi:10.1136/bmj.m2294

513 55. Xu S, Li Y. Beware of the second wave of COVID-19. *Lancet*.
514 2020;395(10233):1321-1322. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30845-X

515 56. Willsher K, Henley J. Covid in Europe: second wave gathers pace across continent.
516 The Guardian.

517 57. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Cases in the US.

518 58. Artino AR, La Rochelle JS, Dezee KJ, Gehlbach H. Developing questionnaires for
519 educational research: AMEE Guide No. 87. *Med Teach*. 2014;36(6):463-474.

520 doi:10.3109/0142159X.2014.889814

521

AUTHOR DESCRIPTIONS

Matthew H V Byrne is an Academic Clinical Fellow in Urology at Oxford. He has a PGCert in medical education and has previously been awarded a MRes in Transplantation. He founded a national charity that facilitates medical student volunteers delivering talks at secondary schools.

James Ashcroft is an Academic Clinical Fellow in General Surgery in the East of England Deanery with an interest in early academic education and technical performance training. His educational work has explored cognitive interventions in technical skill (awarded MRes) and early surgical learning (awarded MSc).

Dr Laith Alexander is currently an Academic Foundation Doctor in Psychological Medicine & Psychiatry at Guy's and St Thomas' Foundation Trust with King's College London. His interests lie in psychiatry and neuroscience. His PhD in neuroscience explored the role of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex in the regulation of emotion and cardiovascular function.

Dr Jonathan Wan is an Academic Foundation Doctor in Oncology at Guy's and St Thomas'. He carried out his PhD in computational cancer diagnostics and is continuing his research as a Bioinformatics Engineer at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. He is interested in medical and surgical oncology research and medical education.

Anna Harvey is a final year medical student at King's College London and former Editorial Scholar at The BMJ.

Dr Nicholas Schindler is a pediatric registrar at Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, and a Tutor in Medical Education the University of Cambridge Institute of Continuing Education. His research interest is in methods of workplace-based training and assessment in pediatric education (awarded MSt). As Royal College of Pediatrics and Child Health trainee representative for Assessment and Examinations he is involved in the adaptation of assessments and postgraduate examinations for pediatricians during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Dr Megan Brown is a PhD student in medical education within the Health Professions Education Unit, Hull York Medical School. Her research interests include professional identity development, longitudinal integrated clerkships, empathy and the hidden curriculum.

Dr Cecilia Brassett is a Fellow at Magdalene College, Cambridge as well as their Joint Director of Studies in Medical Sciences, College Lecturer in Medicine, and Deputy Senior Tutor. She is also the University Clinical Anatomist. She is directly involved in the changes being made to medical student teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic.

562 **FIGURES**

563 *Figure 1: Willingness to perform roles during the pandemic, both (a) on a general*
564 *ward, and (b) on a coronavirus ward. (c) Confidence in clinical skills by year. Mean values*
565 *and 95% confidence intervals are shown. The mean confidence score across all students is*
566 *shown with a dashed line.*
567 *Figure 2: Conceptual framework for medical student prosocial behaviour during COVID-19.*

568 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES**

569 *Figure S 1: Respondent demographics. (a) Number of medical students from each medical*
570 *school, divided by gender. (b) Total number of students, by gender. (c) Distribution of year*
571 *groups of medical students divided by gender. (d) Pie chart indicating if students had already*
572 *started as volunteers during the pandemic. (e) Proportion of students volunteering by year.*
573 *The proportion of non-intercalating students volunteering at the time of the survey ranged*
574 *from 14.6% among first-year students to 62.8% in sixth-year students*
575 *Figure S 2: Opinions on volunteering on a Likert scale.*
576 *Figure S 3: Roles students are willing to perform while volunteering grouped by year.*

1 *Table 1: Motivating and barrier factors for volunteering*

Motivating factors	n=	%
Altruism (e.g. Helping those in need)	1030	90.7
Professional development and training (e.g. Opportunity to learn new skills and gain experience)	906	79.8
Moral obligation (e.g. Need to do the 'right' thing)	880	77.5
Pay	641	56.5
Career (e.g. Opportunity to improve cv make new contacts)	631	55.6
Guilt you would feel if not volunteering	525	46.3
Societal expectations	250	22.0
Medical school expectation or directive	194	17.1
Peer pressure	142	12.5
Other: Activity during lockdown	26	2.3
	26	2.3
Barrier factors	n=	%
Family/social commitments (e.g. Caring for a family member)	690	63.2
Academic commitments	618	56.6
Lack of personal protective equipment for healthcare staff	576	52.7
Lack of information on volunteering opportunities available	475	43.5
Personal safety (fear of catching coronavirus)	424	38.8
Pre-existing health conditions	318	29.1
Financial implications	240	22.0
Psychological impact	203	18.6
Work commitments	182	16.7
Other: Transmission to others; Transport; Unable to secure volunteering opportunity; Competence; Volunteer role; Support	75	6.9

1 Table 2: Concerns about volunteering during a pandemic from medical students. 798 out of 1145 students (69.7%) described issues they
2 believed they would face when volunteering, and 25 students stated they predicted no issues. 365 out of 1145 students (31.9%) described ethical
3 concerns associated with volunteering, and three students stated there were no ethical concerns. From these responses we identified five themes:
4 safety, professional practice, pressure to volunteer, finances and logistics, and education.

Theme	Group	Subgroup	Example
Safety	Personal safety	Personal risk of coronavirus	“Medical students could be put in harms way if volunteering around COVID+ patients”
		Health issues	“Some medical students will have underlying medical conditions that may put them at risk of contracting or having more serious consequences from COVID-19”
		PPE	“Lack of PPE leading to medical students being exposed to danger”
		Psychological impact	“Medical students, especially younger years may not have had much clinical experience yet and may experience quite upsetting scenes”
	Other’s safety	Vulnerable family	“Transmitting to vulnerable family members I live with”
		Viral transmission to others	“The greater number of medical students that work, the more the virus spreads through them to their homes”
		Caring responsibilities	“Extra experience for those able to do unpaid work, while those who are carers ... are not able”
	Safe practice	Patient safety	“Is patient safety being put at risk by graduating doctors that haven’t sat finals”
		Working outside of competence	“Inability to say no to tasks I am not confident in”
	Support	Supervision	“Not having sufficient support due to overworked supervisory staff.”
		Staffing issues	“Low staff numbers”
Pressure to volunteer	Pressure to volunteer	General pressure	“Students should not feel pressured when being asked.”
		Coercion	“I would only be concerned if people felt that they had no choice but to volunteer to work”
		Obligated	“I don’t think asking medical students to volunteer is a problem, but it may cause some students to feel obliged to volunteer”
		Promotion	“I think the option should be offered, but in a very neutral manner”
		Own choice	“If students come forward, asking if they can help, that’s their decision”
		Guilt	“It is easy to feel guilty about not working in hospital”
		External pressure	“Universities pressuring students to volunteer”; “Peer pressure”

Professional practice	Competence	Inexperience	“Students may have insufficient experience”
		Burden	“Some students may be beneficial to the NHS while others may need too much support and supervision etc and therefore be a hindrance at this time.”
		Usefulness	“I am currently a junior medical student so I worry I would not be as useful as students who are more senior”
	Professional role boundaries	Unclear role for staff and student	“Blurred lines of responsibility and expectations for medical students”
		Ask outside of competence	“Concerned we will be asked to work beyond our capacity.”
	Discrimination	Discrimination by not volunteering	“Discrimination against Medical Students that did not volunteer.”
		Career prospects	“Pressure on students who think they need to work in case it negatively affects their career if they don’t.”; “expectations for future career interviews if someone did/did not volunteer and that being used against your application”; “need to ensure that there were no penalties for these students who did not volunteer”.
		Ethnicity	“with the recent issue of BAME healthcare workers being disproportionately affected from Covid-19 ... I worry that medical students volunteering may be disproportionately affected as well.”; “Patients may be racist, refusing help from Chinese medical students”
	Legal	Indemnity	“Lack of a protective union for students in particular”; “Insurance coverage should we make a mistake”
		Contract	“Starting work without a contract”
	Work	Concerns about work	“Job will be boring/unglamorous”
		Hospital issues	“Working in stretched system”
Logistics	Logistics	Accommodation	“Will need new accommodation, won’t be able to stay at home”
		Transport	“I don’t have a car and would be unsure whether public transport would be appropriate - I live far out from major hospitals.”
		Administrative	“Admin issues”
	Support	Support outside of work	“Not being able to see my friends and family outside of my house will be difficult”; “Lack of peer and colleague support”; “Lack of university support”
	Financial	Lack of pay	“I think if students are asked to join the workforce during a pandemic then they must be paid something.”
		Financial difficulties	“Students are considered financially vulnerable for clinical trial purposes, similar ethical concerns exist around paid volunteer opportunities”
Education	University education	Educational disruption	“If we choose not to volunteer, we risk being under skilled compared to our contemporaries who do volunteer”; “Even further loss of study time”
		Work-educational balance	“Volunteering can take students away from their focus on the degree”; “we have exams imminently and have been told if we choose to volunteer we are expected to still perform in exams, and the exams will still contribute towards deciles ... Many of

			us have had to choose between doing what we can to help and risking our education”
	Professional education	Lack of training	“Inappropriate clinical training”; “Without proper training/preparation, harm may also be done to patients or healthcare staff supervising students”
		Learning how to work	“The 'learning' time it takes to learn how the job works”

5

6

7

1 Table 3: Suggestions to facilitate medical student prosocial behaviour during pandemics and

2 disasters

Recognising there is an emergency situation

- Transparency around communications with medical students

Deciding responsibility

- Transparency around communications with medical students
 - o Non-biased advertising of roles
 - o No mandated recruitment – if required (for example in a catastrophic disaster), this must be a last resort and should not expose vulnerable parties
- Planning should involve medical students and medical educators, and it should have clear triggers for activating medical students into the workforce.
- Distinction between service provision and training should be clear – again there should be clear triggers
 - o Remuneration for service provision positions
- Minimise disruption to education where possible
 - o Aim to align volunteering opportunities to learning outcomes where possible
 - o Hospitals to work with universities to allow education to continue where possible and to minimise conflict with work shifts
 - o Consider postponing or cancelling examinations depending on level of disruption
 - o Do not disadvantage those who did not volunteer in future interview processes
 - o Provide alternative learning arrangements for those who cannot volunteer

Deciding skills and knowledge

- Clear roles for medical students
 - o Personalised holistic volunteering roles:
 - These could be tailored to medical school year or competencies
 - Consider the roles that students who cannot volunteer in clinical settings can do (e.g. vulnerable individuals or family members) –such as contact tracing
 - o The limits of each role should be communicated to other staff members within the hospital
 - o Aim to reduce exposure to patients affected by the pandemic/disaster for junior students where possible
 - o Avoid assigning students to on-call shifts where possible.
 - o Ensure all volunteers have a contract and indemnity cover prior to starting
- Provide additional training
 - o Specific disaster/pandemic training following our previous suggestions (Ashcroft et al. ⁵⁷)
 - o Tailored induction program for volunteers who have had minimal hospital exposure previously

Deciding safety

- Ensure patient safety
 - o Named educational supervisor for every medical student
 - o Explicitly educate students on safety pathways such as exception reporting and clinical incident reporting
- Ensure volunteer safety
 - o Adequate PPE and PPE training for volunteers
- Provide wellbeing services
 - o Pastoral support for both those who are can and cannot volunteer
 - o Sign posting to financial support
 - o Support for students with caring responsibilities or health issues of their own
 - o Ensure adequate physical and mental health support services

Deciding Logistics

- Transparency around communications with medical students
 - o Clear process of how to sign up as a volunteer and obtaining work
 - o Clear description of transport and accommodation amenities

a

If I volunteer, I would be willing to carry out/perform...

b

If I volunteer, I would be willing to carry out/perform the following on a COVID-19 ward...

c