The risk of severe COVID-19 and mortality from COVID-19 in people living with HIV compared to individuals without HIV - a systematic review and meta-analysis of 1 268 676 individuals.

Lovemore Mapahla¹, Asmaa Abdelmaksoud², Rida Arif², Nazmul Islam³, Albert Chinhenzva¹, Suhail A. R. Doi², Tawanda Chivese²

1. Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa
2. Department of Population Medicine, College of medicine, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar
3. Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

*Corresponding author

Tawanda Chivese

Department of population medicine, college of medicine, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

Email: tchivese@qu.edu.qa

Tel: +97444037831

Abstract

Background

There is conflicting evidence about the risk of mortality and severe disease due to COVID-19 in people living with HIV (PLHIV).

Objectives

To compare mortality, hospitalization, and the need for intensive care services due to COVID-19 between PLHIV and individuals without HIV based on data from the existing literature.

Methods

A comprehensive search in PubMed, Cochrane Library, Scopus, China Academic Journals Full Text Database, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE) and the medRXIV and bioRxiv databases of preprints was carried out. Each data source was searched from 1 January 2020 to 20th of February 2021. Eligible studies were case control, cross-sectional and cohort studies where participants had confirmed COVID-19. From each study, data on numbers of PLHIV and individuals without HIV for each outcome were extracted. Study quality was assessed using the Methodologic Standard for Epidemiological Research (MASTER) scale. Data synthesis used a bias adjusted model and predefined age and geographical subgroups were analysed.

Results

Of a total of 2757 records identified, 11 studies, from 4 countries, the United Kingdom, Spain, the United States of America and South Africa, were included. The total participants assessed for the outcomes in this meta-analysis were 1 268 676 of which 13 886 were PLHIV. Overall, the estimated effect of HIV on mortality suggested some worsening (OR 1.3, 95% CI: 0.9 – 2.0, $I^2 = 78.6\%$) with very weak evidence against the model hypothesis at this sample size. However, in individuals aged <60 years, the estimated effect on mortality suggested more worsening in PLHIV (OR 2.7, 95% CI: 1.1 - 6.5, $I^2 = 95.7\%$) with strong evidence against the model hypothesis at this sample size. HIV was also associated with an estimated effect on hospitalization for COVID-19 that suggested worsening (OR 1.6, 95% CI: 1.3-2.1, $I^2 = 96.0\%$) also with strong evidence against the model hypothesis at this sample size. A secondary analysis of the included studies suggested no difference, by HIV status, in the prevalence of pre-existing conditions.

Conclusion

People living with HIV have higher risk of death and hospitalisation from COVID-19, compared to individuals without HIV. A secondary analysis suggests this is not due to associated comorbid conditions. The difference in mortality is exaggerated in those younger than 60 years of age.

Registration

PROSPERO: CRD42020221311 (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?RecordID=221311)

Evidence before this study

Findings from existing studies have shown conflicting evidence concerning the risk of severe COVID-19 and death from COVID-19 in people living with HIV (PLHIV) compared to people without HIV. Evidence from three existing systematic reviews suggests that the risk of severe COVID-19 and death from COVID-19 in PLHIV may be similar to that in individuals without HIV. However, findings from three large cohort studies and one meta-analysis of four studies suggest that the risk of death from COVID-19 in PLHIV may be higher than that in individuals without HIV. One of the large cohort studies, which is also included in the previous meta-analysis, consisted of individuals with unknown COVID-19 status, and therefore there is still debate concerning the risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes in PLHIV.

Added value of this study

In this meta-analysis of 11 studies with 1 268 676 individuals with confirmed COVID-19, we found a stronger difference in mortality by HIV status for those individuals below the age of 60 years, and over this age, HIV had an attenuated effect on mortality, suggesting that age-related mortality overshadows PLHIV related mortality. Further, PLHIV had increased odds of being hospitalized and needing intensive cares services, probably related to increased COVID-19 severity in PLHIV. A secondary analysis of the included studies suggested no difference in the prevalence of pre-existing conditions.

Implications of all the available evidence

Our findings suggest that PLHIV are at higher risk than the general population and should be prioritized for vaccine coverage and monitoring if diagnosed with COVID-19. This is especially important for countries in Sub-Saharan Africa that have a high burden of HIV in the younger populations who are more vulnerable.

Strengths

This study was carried out rigorously following the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews and meta-analyses. We used a comprehensive search strategy across most of the main citation databases to ensure that no relevant studies were missed. We included studies where participants had confirmed COVID-19 only and we synthesized the findings from studies using a bias adjustment model that took into consideration the quality of included studies.

Limitations

All studies included in this review are observational studies and conclusions about causality require cautious interpretation. Due to a lack of data from included studies, we were not able to analyse the effect of being on treatment for HIV, and HIV control variables such as viral load and CD4 counts on COVID-19 hospitalization, intensive care services and mortality. Lastly most of the included studies had small samples overall or for PLHIV and this may affect the effect estimates in this analysis. Future research is therefore indicated to confirm these findings.

Key Words

HIV, COVID19, mortality, hospitalization, intensive care services

Introduction

The number of individuals with confirmed COVID-19 continues to increase globally (1). Identifying groups of people who are susceptible to severe COVID-19 and death from COVID-19 is a priority, as these groups may need additional protections and prioritization for vaccination against COVID-19 (2,3). There are indications that people living with HIV (PLHIV) may be one such vulnerable group due to persistent immune-suppression, although data from published studies

remain inconclusive (4). As of 2020 at least 38 million people were living with HIV globally, more than two-thirds of PLHIV being in Sub-Saharan Africa (5). Since December 2020, the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 has increased in Sub-Saharan Africa (1), where health systems are ill equipped to treat surges in the need for hospitalization, mechanical ventilation and intensive care services associated with severe COVID-19. The COVID-19 pandemic has also affected several aspects of the HIV care cascade and may erode some of the gains made in the fight against HIV (6). It is imperative to quantify the risk that COVID-19 poses to PLHIV, as this may help preparedness planning for countries that are disproportionately affected by HIV.

Evidence from several systematic reviews (4,7–10) and individual studies (11–14) suggested that PLHIV does not pose an additional risk of mortality from COVID-19. In fact, it has been hypothesized that most PLHIV who are adherent to antiretroviral therapy (ART) may have some degree of protection against severe COVID-19 because some ART such as nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, like tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and lamivudine, have shown some degree of efficacy against the SARS-CoV-2 virus, *in-vitro*, by inhibiting RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RNAdRNAP) (14,15). However, this has not been proven by findings from clinical research.

Emerging evidence (16–19) suggests that individuals living with HIV may have a higher risk for severe COVID-19 compared to individuals without HIV, partly attributed to a higher prevalence of comorbidities in PLHIV which are associated with severe COVID-19, such as diabetes and hypertension. Several studies (16–18) have been published and their findings suggest that PLHIV may have a higher risk of severe COVID-19 and mortality from COVID-19, compared to individuals without HIV, although estimates of the risk are different. Bhaskaran and colleagues (18) analysed data from 17.3 million individuals in the primary care database, OPENSafely, and reported an adjusted hazard ratio of 2.6 (95%CI 1.7 – 3.8) for mortality in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV in the United Kingdom. A major limitation of this study is that they used population totals to infer risk as they did not have the totals with confirmed COVID-19. In the USA, a population-based study of roughly 20 million individuals (17) reported a modest adjusted standardized rate ratio of 1.2

(95%CI 1.1 – 1.4) for mortality due to COVID-19 in PLHIV compared to individuals without COVID-19. Findings from this study also suggested that PLHIV are more likely to be hospitalized for COVID-19, compared to individuals without HIV, implying a more severe disease course in PLHIV. Another population-based study (16), this time from South Africa, analysed data of 3.5 million public sector patients and reported an adjusted hazard ratio of 2.1 (95%CI 1.7 – 2.7) for mortality in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV. A recent meta-analysis (19) reported a pooled risk ratio for mortality from COVID-19 of 1.8 (95%CI 1.2 – 2.6) although they included only four studies for this outcome and used an effect measure that probably should not be used in meta-analysis (21). Many of the existing reviews have also not investigated the risk of other key clinical severity outcomes for COVID-19, hospitalisation and the need for intensive care services in PLHIV. To date, more than ten studies have been published with data comparing severity and mortality from COVID-19 between PLHIV and individuals without HIV. A meta-analysis of these studies may help update the conclusions reached thus far for the risk of COVID-19 related outcomes in PLHIV. This study therefore updates previous meta-analyses and used more robust methods to define the risk status in PLHIV. In secondary analyses, we compared the prevalence of comorbid conditions in individuals with COVID-19 by HIV status.

Methods

Study Design and protocol registration

The design and conduct of this study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines (20) and the protocol was registered with the international database of prospectively registered protocols of systematic reviews and meta-analyses (CRD42020221311).

Search strategy and data sources

We searched for studies in the following electronic databases; PubMed, Cochrane Library, Scopus, China Academic Journals Full Text Database, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE) and the medRXIV and bioRxiv databases of preprints. We manually screened all references of all included studies for any additional studies which could have been missed. We also carried out a citation search of the top 20 similar articles of all included studies on PubMed to retrieve studies that might have been missed in the original electronic search. Each data source was searched between 1 Jan 2020 and 20th of February 2021. The following search terms for COVID-19 were used: “COVID19” OR “Coronavirus” OR “novel coronavirus” OR “SARS-CoV-2” OR “COVID” OR “COVID-19”. We used the following search terms for HIV “HIV” OR “Hiv” OR “hiv” OR “human immunodeficiency virus” OR “arv” OR “ARV” OR “controlled HIV” OR “uncontrolled HIV” OR PLHIV OR ART. The full electronic search strategy is given in supplementary Table 1.

Screening of studies for inclusion

Study records identified from the searches were exported into Endnote referencing software for removal of duplicates and then exported into the Rayyan platform (22) for selection. Six reviewers blindly screened titles and abstracts of studies uploaded on Rayyan platform Rayyan (<http://rayyan.qcri.org/>) (22) and conflicts were resolved through discussion. The studies included after screening of abstracts and titles were then assessed for eligibility using full text.

Eligibility

The full text of the studies from Rayan were assessed by two reviewers per study using predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Observational studies including case-control, cohort and cross-sectional studies were eligible for inclusion in this systematic review if they compared mortality, hospitalization or the need for intensive care services due to COVID-19 between PLHIV and people without HIV. Case studies, case series, duplicate reports, commentaries and

reviews were excluded. Studies which did not compare the outcomes of interest between PLHIV and individuals without HIV were excluded. Studies which did not report on the outcomes of interest of this review were also excluded.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of interest was mortality in individuals with COVID-19, either measured as all-cause mortality, 28-day mortality, 30-day mortality or COVID-19 specific mortality. Secondary outcomes were hospitalization and the need for intensive care services for COVID-19. The need for intensive care services was defined as any of; transfer to the intensive care unit (ICU) and/or intubation and/or mechanical ventilation. We also extracted data on pre-existing diseases by HIV status, in the included studies where data were available. The comorbidities of interest were diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, obesity (body mass index $\geq 30\text{kg/m}^2$), dyslipidaemia, chronic kidney disease, malignancies, and asthma.

Data extraction

Two reviewers independently extracted data from each included study. The extracted information included study characteristics such as author, year of publication, study design, study outcome; study population: country of study, study setting, sample size; and the number of participants with COVID-19, if the number differed from the sample size. We also extracted the following outcomes, by HIV status; the number of participants hospitalised for COVID-19, the number of participants transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) and/or requiring intubation and/or mechanical ventilation and the number of deaths. We combined data for ICU admission, intubation and mechanical ventilation, and grouped these as intensive care services.

Where studies reported population-based numbers of PLHIV and individuals without HIV for risk estimates, we extracted data for individuals with confirmed COVID-19 only.

To compare the prevalence of chronic comorbidities between PLHIV with COVID-19 and individuals without HIV with COVID-19, we extracted data on the number of individuals with each comorbidity in the two groups. Studies that used matched designs were excluded from this analysis unless unmatched data were available.

Assessment of study quality

Two authors independently assessed the methodological quality of studies, for the main outcome, mortality, across safeguards listed within 7 standards using the Methodologic Standard for Epidemiological Research (MASTER) scale (23). Any disagreements between two reviewers were solved through discussion. The MASTER scale consists of seven safeguards standards, namely, equal recruitment (items 1-4), equal retention (items 5-9), equal ascertainment (items 10-16), equal implementation (items 17-22), equal prognosis (items 23-28), sufficient analysis (items 29-31) and temporal precedence (items 32-36). The tool is more comprehensive than and includes all the items in the Cochrane risk of bias tool. Each study gets a safeguard count out of 36, higher counts indicating higher study quality. In this review, this count was then used in a quality-adjusted meta-analysis after conversion to quality ranks.

Synthesis of findings

The characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1 and described narratively. Tableau software (24) was used to create a map showing the geographic origin of the included studies. We also used tables to summarize findings from studies on each of the outcomes of hospitalization, intensive care services and mortality. For each study we reported the study's adjusted odds ratios in the tables and then summarized the findings from all the studies per outcome in the text.

In pooled analyses, we recalculated unadjusted odds ratios for risk of each outcome of hospitalization, intensive care services and mortality in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV, from each study, because the studies reported different effect measures across all the outcomes. We used the quality effects model to pool the results of studies for each

outcome (25). The assumption of this quality effects model is that there is one true effect size shared by all included studies and any deviations from this due to random and/or systematic error. Results of the meta-analysis were presented in forest plots and pooled odds ratios (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95%) was the effect size reported. We used forest plots without the pooled estimates to present the results from the studies.

We pre-specified subgroup analysis for the region of origin of the studies (sub-Saharan Africa, Europe and North America) and for age groups, using the broad categories of age <60 years and ≥60 years. In the age-group analysis, we also included a study (26) where the authors reported data as <66 years and ≥66 years. To compare the prevalence of chronic comorbidities between PLHIV and individuals without HIV, we also used the quality effects models with weights from the MASTER quality assessment.

The results of a sensitivity analysis by the random effects model were presented in the supplementary material.

Heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochran's Q test (27) and quantified heterogeneity using the I^2 statistic which is the between-study variance as a percentage of total variance (27). Heterogeneity ranges from no heterogeneity when I^2 is 0% to high heterogeneity when I^2 is more than 75%. Values above 50%, but less than or equal to 75% are described as substantial heterogeneity (27).

Doi plots and the LFK index were used for assessing publication bias as they are more easier to interpret (28) than funnel plots and in this case funnel plots could not be used as they are not recommended when there are less than 10 studies per outcome in a meta-analysis (29). An LFK index with a magnitude greater than one reflects increasing asymmetry and a higher likelihood of publication bias (38). Stata version 15.1 (30) *metan* module was used for the meta-analysis.

Ethics

This systematic review and meta-analysis used aggregate data from already published studies therefore no ethical approval was required.

Results

Fig.1: Flow chart for the systematic review and meta-analysis

Search results

A total of 2757 records were identified from searches of both electronic databases and additional citation searches, and 1746 records were assessed for inclusion, using the title and abstract only, after removal of duplicates (Fig. 1). From these 1746 studies, 32 studies were selected for full text screening, and 21 studies were subsequently excluded with reasons after full text screening. Most of the 21 studies were excluded because they had no non-HIV comparison group (n= 16), three were excluded because they did not report on the outcomes of this review (31–33), and one study was a duplicate (34). One study (19) used population-based totals and did not report totals with confirmed COVID-19 infection and was therefore excluded. A total of 11 studies were finally included.

Fig.2. Map showing countries of origin of the included studies

NB – The map shows the total participants with confirmed COVID-19 from each country

Characteristics of included studies

The included studies were from 4 countries and three regions only, namely, Europe (the United Kingdom and Spain), North America (the United States of America) and Sub-Saharan Africa (South Africa) (Fig 2). Six (17,26,35–38) of the

included studies were from the USA, followed by two studies (16,39) from South Africa, one study (40) from the UK, and one study (41) from Spain (Fig 2). One study (42) included participants from both the USA and Spain.

The total participants from all the included studies were 23 787 203, of which 668 797 were PLHIV and the remainder had no HIV. However, the total number of participants who had confirmed COVID-19 and were therefore assessed for the outcomes of this meta-analysis were 1 268 676 of which 13 886 were PLHIV and 1 254 790 were individuals without HIV. At the time of submission of this review, nine of the included studies (16,17,35–41) were published in peer reviewed journals. Of the remaining two studies, one was a letter to the editor (26) and the other a preprint (47). Four studies (16,17,26,42) did not report the mean or median ages of included participant but reported percentages in various age groups. Of the studies which reported the mean or median age of included participants, the smallest reported median age was 41.6 years in PLHIV in one study (31) and the oldest median age was 74 years, reported by Geretti et al. 2020, in individuals without HIV (40).

Of the included studies, there were five studies with small sample sizes which ranged from 63 participants to 493 participants. The remaining seven big studies had sample sizes ranging from 8 912 participants to 19 453 561 participants. The biggest of the included studies were population-based studies which compared mortality between PLHIV and individuals without HIV from the USA, Tesoriero et al. 2021 (19 453 561 participants) (17) and South Africa, Boulle et al (3 460 932 participants) (16). For these population-based studies we analyzed participants with confirmed COVID-19 only; from Tesoriero et al. 2020 (2988 PLHIV and 375 260 without HIV) (17) and from Boulle et al. 2020 (3978 PLHIV and 18330 without HIV) (16).

In terms of HIV-related characteristics, only one study reported data on the duration of HIV in PLHIV (48). Six studies (35,37–41) reported data on the proportion of participants on ART which ranged from 88% to 100%. The proportion of

participants with suppressed HIV viral load (lower than detectable limit (LDL)) ranged from 71% in South Africa (39) to 88% in the USA (17), with data reported from only five studies (18,41–43, 45).

Table 1 – Characteristics of included studies

Study	Study Design	Setting and participants	Country	Sample size	Age	Gender	PLHIV % on ART
Tesoriero 2021 (17)	Retrospective cohort	Population based	USA	108 062 PLHIV, 19 345 499 no-HIV Confirmed COVID-19 2988 PLHIV 375 260 no-HIV	<40 yrs PLIV-16.5%, no-HIV-32.6% 40-60 yrs in PLHIV– 46.9%, no-HIV– 35.6% >60 yrs in PLHIV–36.7%, no-HIV – 31.9%	28% of PLHIV and 52% with no HIV were female	HIV duration not reported, % on ART not reported 88% LDL
Miyashita 2020 (26)	Letter to the editor describing a cohort	Hospital	USA	161 PLHIV 8 751 no-HIV	< 50 yrs in PLIV - 24%, no-HIV - 34% 51-65 yrs in PLIV – 51%, no-HIV – 28% > 66 yrs in PLIV -25%, no-HIV -38%	12% PLHIV and 45% with no HIV were female	HIV duration not reported, % on ART not reported. %viral suppression not reported
Boulle 2020 (16)	Cohort	Population based	South Africa	553 749 PLHIV, 2 907 183 no-HIV Confirmed COVID-19 3 978 PLHIV 18 330 no-HIV	20-39 yrs in PLIV – 55.4%, no-HIV – 51.7% 40-49 yrs in PLIV – 29.3%, no-HIV – 18.6% 50-59 yrs in PLIV – 11.5%, no-HIV – 16% 60-69 yrs in PLIV – 3%, no-HIV – 8.1% > 70 yrs in PLIV –0.8%, no-HIV -5.6%	69% of all confirmed COVID-19 were female Gender distribution by HIV status not reported	HIV duration, % on ART and VL not reported
Cabello 2021 (41)	Retrospective cohort study	Hospital	Spain	31 PLHIV 7030 no-HIV	PLHIV median 46 (IQR 37-56) Age of those without HIV was not given	11% of PLHIV were female Gender distribution of those without HIV not given	Median HIV duration 10.8 years, 96.8% ART, %viral suppression not reported
Geretti 2020 (40)	Cohort	Hospital	UK	122 PLHIV 47 470 no-HIV	PLHIV median 56 (IQR 49-62) No-HIV 74 (IQR 60-84)	34% of PLHIV and 43% were female	HIV duration not reported 92% on ART, VL not reported
Hadi 2020 (36)	Cohort With propensity matching	Hospital	USA	404 PLHIV 49 763 no-HIV	PLHIV 48.2 (SD 14.2) No-HIV 48.8 (SD 19.2)	30% of PLHIV and 55% of those with no HIV were female	HIV duration, % on ART and VL not reported
Kohler 2020 Preprint (42)	Cohort	Hospital	USA/ Spain	6 050 PLHIV 752 012 no-HIV	Overall, 55-65% PLHIV were within 50-69 yrs. Non-HIV were older but data not clear	≤35% of PLHIV and ≥42% of those with no HIV were female	HIV duration, % on ART and VL not reported
Sigel 2020 (35)	Matched cohort	Hospital	USA	88 PLHIV 405 no-HIV	PLHIV median 61 (IQR 54-67) No-HIV 60 (IQR 55-67)	25% of PLHIV and 24% of those with no HIV were female	HIV duration not reported, 100% on ART, 81% LDL (<50copies/uL)
Stoeckle 2020 (37)	Matched cohort	Hospital	USA	30 PLHIV 90 no-HIV	PLHIV median 60.5 (IQR 56.6-70) No-HIV median 60.5 (IQR 56.6-70)	20% of PLHIV and 20% of those with no HIV were female	HIV duration not reported 97% on ART, 90% LDL
Karmen-Tuohy 2020 (38)	Matched cohort	Hospital	USA	21 PLHIV 42 no-HIV	PLHIV mean 60.0 (SD 11.8) No-HIV mean 60.5 (SD 20.1)	9.5% of PLHIV and 9.5% of those with no HIV were female	HIV duration not reported, 100% on ART, 88% LDL
Parker 2020 (39)	Retrospective cohort study	Hospital	South Africa	24 PLHIV 92 no-HIV	PLHIV mean 46.2 (SD not reported) No-HIV mean 49.1 (SD not reported)	75% of PLHIV and 57% of those with no HIV were female	HIV duration not reported, 92% on ART, 71% LDL

Quality assessment of included studies

The MASTER score ranged from 7 to 17 out of a possible 36 (Supplementary Table 2). Due to their observational nature, the studies were mostly deficient in the domains of equal ascertainment, equal implementation, equal prognosis, and temporal precedence (Supplementary Fig 1). All the included studies were observational cohorts and did not blind caregivers, analysts and outcome assessors to participants HIV status or did not explicitly report doing so. Studies did not report whether COVID-19 treatment was delivered equally between PLHIV and individuals without HIV. In all the studies, it was also not clear if co-interventions that could impact the outcome were comparable between PLHIV and participants without HIV. Most of the studies, except the matched studies (14, 41, 42, 43), did not have design features in place to account for confounding.

Mortality in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV

Ten studies (16,17,26,35–41), with a total sample size of 510 614 of which 7 836 were PLHIV and 502 778 were individuals without HIV, reported data on COVID-19 related mortality. Three studies (17, 18, 46), from South Africa, the UK and the USA, which contributed more than three-quarters of the total combined participants in this review, found a higher risk for mortality in PLHIV with strong evidence against the model hypothesis, after adjusting for confounders, with effect sizes ranging from an adjusted standardized rate ratio of 1.2 (95%CI 1.1-1.4) to an adjusted hazard ratio of 2.1 (95%CI 2.0-3.4). All the remaining studies reported no clinically important differences in mortality by HIV status (14, 28, 41, 43, 45, 48). Apart from Hadi et al. 2020 (14), all these remaining studies either had small sample sizes, overall or small numbers of PLHIV (Table 2).

Table 2 – HIV and risk of mortality from COVID-19

Study	Country	Total participants	Effect estimate	Confounders adjusted for
Boulle 2020	South Africa	3 978 PLHIV 18 330 no-HIV	Adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) 2.1 (95%CI 2.0-3.4)	Age, gender and other comorbidities
Geretti 2020	UK	122 PLHIV 47 770 no-HIV	Adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 1.7 (95%CI 1.2-2.5)	Gender, ethnicity, age, hospital acquisition of COVID-19 (definite hospital acquisition excluded), presentation date, ten individual comorbidities, and disease severity at presentation
Tesoriero 2021	USA	2988 PLHIV 375 260 no-HIV	Adjusted standardized rate ratio 1.2 (95%CI 1.1-1.4)	Gender, age, and region
Hadi 2020	USA	404 PLHIV 49 763 no-HIV	Adjusted relative risk (aRR) 1.3 (95%CI 0.7 – 2.6)	Propensity matched using nearest neighbour algorithms with a caliper width of 0.1 pooled standard deviations
Sigel	USA	88 PLHIV 405 no-HIV	aHR 1.1 (95%CI 0.6-2.1),	Matched by age (± 2.5 years), sex, race/ethnicity, and calendar week of infection
Miyashita 2020	USA	161 PLHIV 8 751 no-HIV	Odds ratio (OR) 1.0 (95%CI 0.7-1.5)	None
Parker 2020	South Africa	24 PLHIV 92 no-HIV	OR 1.0 (95%CI 0.4-2.9)	None
Karmen-Tuohy 2020	USA	21 PLHIV 42 no-HIV	Higher mortality in PLHIV 29% vs 24% no-HIV	Nearest-neighbor matching by admission date, age, body mass index, gender, tobacco history, and a history of chronic kidney disease, hypertension, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and heart failure.
Cabello 2021	Spain	30 PLHIV 7 030 no-HIV	Lower mortality in PLHIV 3.2% vs 12.8% in no HIV	None
Stoeckle 2020	USA	30 PLHIV 90 no-HIV	Lower mortality in PLHIV 7% vs 16% no-HIV	Matched on age, sex, and race/ethnicity

In pooled analyses, there were a total of 428 deaths out of 7 836 PLHIV, compared to 33 440 deaths out of 502 778 individuals without HIV. The pooled unadjusted OR for overall mortality in PLHIV, compared to individuals without HIV, was 1.3 (95%CI 0.9-2.0, $p = 0.198$) with high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 78.6\%$, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3). The Doi plot (Supplementary

Fig. 2) showed major asymmetry, indicative of possible publication bias. With the two South African studies removed, subgroup analysis of the European and North American studies did not change the OR for mortality (OR 1.4, 95%CI 0.8 – 2.6, $p = 0.219$), but the heterogeneity remained ($I^2 = 77.1\%$, $p < 0.001$) (Supplementary Fig. 3). Data from the two South African studies showed no clinically important differences in overall mortality between PLHIV and individuals without HIV with unadjusted ORs of 0.9 (95%CI 0.3 – 2.5) in one study (39) and 1.04 (95%CI 0.9 – 1.3) in the other (16) (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3. Odds ratios for overall mortality in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV

NOTE: Weights are from Doi's Quality Effects model

***Columns headed "PLHIV" and "no HIV" depict total numbers of individuals assessed for the outcome

Only three studies (16,17,26) reported data on mortality in age groups, with a total of 7 127 PLHIV and 402 341 individuals without HIV. In people aged <60 years, the pooled odds of mortality in PLHIV were almost three-fold the odds for mortality in individuals without HIV (OR 2.7, 95%CI 1.1-6.5, $I^2 = 95.7\%$, $p < 0.001$). In people aged ≥ 60 years, the odds for mortality in PLHIV were similar to the odds in the overall model (OR 1.3, 95%CI 0.8 – 2.0, $I^2 = 58.2\%$, $p = 0.092$) (Fig. 4).

Fig. 4. Odds ratio for mortality in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV, by age group

NOTE: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from Doi's Quality Effects model

***Columns headed "PLHIV" and "no HIV" depict total numbers of individuals assessed for the outcome

**Data for Miyashita et al. 2021 used the 66 years age group cut-off

Hospitalization for COVID-19 in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV

Five studies (16,17,36,41,42) with a total sample size of 1 215 846 of which 13 451 were PLHIV and 1 202 395 had no HIV, reported data on hospitalization due to COVID-19. Adjusted analyses from two studies (17,36) from the USA,

showed a higher risk of hospitalization in PLHIV with a standardized relative risk (SRR) of 1.4 (95%CI 1.3-1.5) and an adjusted relative risk (aRR) 1.7 (95% 1.2 – 2.4) respectively. Of the remaining three studies with unadjusted effect measures, two studies showed a higher risk of hospitalization in PLHIV while one showed a lower risk of hospitalization in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV (Table 3).

Table 3 – HIV and the risk of hospitalization from COVID-19

Study	Country	Total participants	Effect estimate	Confounders adjusted for
Tesoriero 2021	USA	2988 PLHIV 375 260 no-HIV	Hospitalization – standardized rate ratio (SRR) 1.4 (95%CI 1.3- 1.5),	Gender, age, and region
Hadi 2020	USA	404 PLHIV 49 763 no-HIV	Adjusted relative risk- aRR 1.7 (95% 1.2 – 2.4)	Propensity matched using nearest neighbour algorithms with a caliper width of 0.1 pooled standard deviations
Boulle 2020	South Africa	3 978 PLHIV 18 330 no-HIV	OR 1.2 (95%CI 1.1 – 1.3)	None
Kohler 2020	USA & Spain	6050 PLHIV 752 012 no-HIV	Hospitalization (higher in PLHIV 37.8% vs 27.8%)	None
Cabello 2021	Spain	30 PLHIV 7 030 no-HIV	Hospitalization (lower in PLHIV 48.4% vs 57.7%)	None

In pooled analyses, a total of 3 583 individuals out of 13 451 PLHIV were hospitalized for COVID-19, compared to 233 051 individuals out of 1 202 395 individuals without HIV. The pooled OR for hospitalization in PLHIV, compared to individuals without HIV was 1.7 (95% CI 1.3-2.1,) with high heterogeneity ($I^2 = 96.0\%$, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. 5). The Doi plot

(Supplementary Fig. 4) showed major asymmetry, indicative of possible publication bias. We repeated the analysis with the European and US studies only, but the OR estimates did not change significantly (OR 1.8, 95% 1.5 – 2.2) and the high heterogeneity remained ($I^2 = 87.0\%$, $p < 0.01$) (Supplementary Fig 5).

Fig. 5. Odds ratio for hospitalization for COVID-19 in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV

NOTE: Weights are from Doi's Quality Effects model

***Columns headed "PLHIV" and "no HIV" depict total numbers of individuals assessed for the outcome

Only one study (17) reported data on hospitalization in different age groups, so it was not possible to carry out subgroup meta-analysis. Similar to the pattern observed for mortality, the OR for hospitalization in PLHIV, compared to those without HIV appeared to be highest in people aged <60 years (OR 2.8, 95%CI 2.5-3.2), and lower in people aged ≥60 years (OR 1.7, 95%CI 1.5-1.9) (Supplementary Fig 6).

Intensive care services for COVID-19 in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV

Eight studies (26,35,37–41) with a total of 6 239 PLHIV and 693 066 individuals without HIV, reported data comparing the need for intensive care services. The three matched studies showed differing results, with one showing a higher risk of intensive care services, another showing no differences in proportions requiring mechanical ventilation and the third finding a lower risk of both ICU and mechanical ventilation in PLHIV. Unadjusted analysis of data from Geretti et al. showed an almost three-fold increase in odds of intensive care services in PLHIV compared to those without HIV. The results of the other studies that carried out unadjusted analysis were unequivocal (Table 3).

Table 3 – HIV and the need for intensive care services due to COVID-19

Study	Country	Total participants	Effect estimate	Confounders adjusted for
Karmen-Tuohy 2020	USA	21 PLHIV 42 no-HIV	Higher ICU in PLHIV 29% vs 17% non-HIV	Nearest-neighbor matching by admission date, age, body mass index, gender, tobacco history, and a history of chronic kidney disease, hypertension, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and heart failure.
Sigel	USA	88 PLHIV 405 no-HIV	Mechanical ventilation (PLHIV 21% vs 22%)	Matched by age (± 2.5 years), sex, race/ethnicity, and calendar week of infection
Stoeckle 2020	USA	30 PLHIV 90 no-HIV	Lower ICU in PLHIV 13% vs 23% non-HIV, Lower mechanical ventilation in PLHIV 16% vs 20% non-HIV	Matched on age, sex, and race/ethnicity
Geretti 2020	UK	122 PLHIV 47 770 no-HIV	OR 2.8 (1.9-4.1)	None
Kohler 2020	USA &	6050 PLHIV	ICU services (higher in	None

	Spain	752 012 no-HIV	PLHIV 5.6% vs 3.9%)	
Miyashita 2020	USA	161 PLHIV 8 751 no-HIV	OR 1.0 (95%CI 0.8-1.3), Intubation, OR 1.4 (95%CI 0.9-2.2)	None
Parker 2020	South Africa	24 PLHIV 92 no-HIV	OR 1.0 (95%CI 0.4-2.9)	None
Cabello 2021	Spain	30 PLHIV 7 030 no-HIV	ICU (higher in PLHIV 6.4% vs 4.6%),	None

In pooled analysis, 401 individuals out of 6 239 PLHIV needed intensive care services, compared to 29 006 individuals out of 693 066 individuals without HIV. The pooled OR for the need of intensive care services for PLHIV, compared to individuals without HIV, was 1.4 (95% CI 0.9-2.0) with substantial heterogeneity ($I^2 = 74\%$, $p < 0.001$ (Fig. 6). The Doi plot (Supplementary Fig. 7) showed major asymmetry, suggesting possible publication bias.

Fig. 6. Odds ratio for intensive care services for COVID-19 in PLHIV compared to individuals without HIV

***Columns headed “PLHIV” and “no HIV” depict total numbers of individuals assessed for the outcome

Only one study (26) reported data on the risk for intensive care services by age groups. Similar patterns observed for mortality and hospitalization were observed with intensive care services in this study, with a higher OR for intensive care services for PLHIV in the <66 years age group (OR 1.2, 95%CI 0.9 – 1.6), and a lower OR in those aged ≥66 years (unadjusted OR 0.4, 95%CI 0.2 – 1.0) (Supplementary Fig. 8).

Comparison of the prevalence chronic comorbidities individuals with COVID-19 by HIV status

Six of the included studies (16,17,26,36,39,40) with a total of 132 495 individuals of which 4 909 were PLHIV and 127586 with no HIV, reported data on comorbidities by HIV status in individuals with COVID-19 (Supplementary Fig 9). From four studies, the prevalence of hypertension was higher in PLHIV in two studies from the USA (26,36) with ORs of 2.0 and 2.2, respectively, with strong evidence against the null hypothesis in both studies. However, data from two studies from South Africa (16,39) suggested a lower prevalence of hypertension in PLHIV, with ORs of 0.7 and 0.9, respectively, but with

weak evidence against the model hypothesis at their sample sizes. The pooled odds ratio suggested no difference in the prevalence of hypertension by HIV status (OR 0.9, 95%CI 0.3 – 2.9) with high between-study heterogeneity ($I^2 = 99.5\%$).

Five studies provided data on proportions of cases with diabetes by HIV status. Data from two studies from the USA (26,36) suggested a higher prevalence of diabetes in PLHIV with ORs of 1.7 and 1.6, respectively, and strong evidence against the model hypothesis while data from one study from South Africa (39) also suggested a higher prevalence of diabetes in PLHIV but with weak evidence against the model hypothesis at their sample size. However, data from two studies suggested a lower prevalence of diabetes in PLHIV, one from South Africa (16) with an OR of 0.6 and strong evidence against the model hypothesis, and the other from the UK (40), but with weak evidence against the model hypothesis at their sample size. The pooled OR of 0.8 (95%CI 0.3 – 1.8, $I^2 = 98.5\%$), suggested no difference in the prevalence of diabetes by HIV status in the included studies, again with high heterogeneity.

Four studies provided data on CVD, with a higher prevalence of CVD in PLHIV from two from the USA, one study (36) with an OR of 1.8 and strong evidence against the model hypothesis and another (26) with an OR of 1.3 and weak evidence against the model hypothesis. Data from the remaining two studies suggested a lower prevalence of CVD in PLHIV, with an OR of 0.4 and strong evidence against the model hypothesis from one study from the UK (40) and an OR of 0.8 and weak evidence against the model hypothesis from the other study from South Africa (39). The pooled OR suggested no difference in the prevalence of CVD by HIV status, with high heterogeneity between the studies (OR 1.2, 95%CI 0.4 – 3.1, $I^2 = 96.8\%$).

Four studies provided data on cases with chronic kidney disease by HIV status, with data from two studies, one from South Africa (39) and one from the USA (26) suggesting a higher prevalence of chronic kidney disease in PLHIV with ORs 7.8 and 2.9, respectively, both with strong evidence against the model hypothesis. However, data from the other two studies suggested a lower prevalence of chronic kidney disease in PLHIV with an OR of 0.2 and strong evidence against

the model hypothesis in one study from the UK (40) and an OR of 0.9 and weak evidence against the model hypothesis in the other study from South Africa (16). The pooled odds suggested no clinically important difference in the prevalence of chronic kidney disease by HIV status, with high heterogeneity (OR 0.8, 95%CI 0.8-1.2, $I^2 = 97.6\%$).

Four studies provided data on asthma, with data from two studies, one from the USA (36) and another from South Africa (39) suggesting a higher prevalence of asthma in PLHIV, with ORs of 1.8 and 2.0 and strong evidence against the model hypothesis in both. Data from the remaining two studies suggested a lower prevalence of asthma in PLHIV, with an OR of 0.7 and strong evidence against the model hypothesis from one study from the South Africa (16) and an OR of 0.7 and weak evidence against the model hypothesis from the other study from the UK (40). The pooled OR suggested no difference in the prevalence of asthma by HIV status, with high heterogeneity between the studies (OR 1.0, 95%CI 0.4 – 2.1, $I^2 = 93.8\%$).

Only three studies provided data on the prevalence of obesity with data from two studies (36,40) suggesting a higher prevalence of obesity in PLHIV, with ORs of 1.3 and 1.6, respectively, and strong evidence against the model hypothesis, and data the remaining study from South Africa (39) suggested a lower prevalence but with weak evidence against the model hypothesis at their sample size.

Two studies reported data on the prevalence of malignancies, with a high prevalence in PLHIV from one study from South Africa (39) (OR 1.2, 95%CI 0.0-31.5) and a lower prevalence in PLHIV from the other study from the UK (40) (OR 0.3, 95%CI 0.1 – 0.8) but with weak evidence against the model hypothesis in both studies. Two studies reported data on dyslipidaemia, with a high prevalence of dyslipidaemia and strong evidence against the model hypothesis in PLHIV in one

study from the USA (26) (OR 2.3, 95%CI 1.6 -3.1) and a lower prevalence but weak evidence against the model hypothesis in the other study from South Africa (OR 0.4, 95%CI 0.0 – 3.3) (Supplementary Fig 9).

Discussion

In this systematic review and meta-analysis of 1 273 266 individuals from 11 studies from North America, Europe and sub-Saharan Africa, we compared mortality, hospitalization and intensive care services between individuals with and without HIV. Overall, compared to individuals without HIV, the estimated effect of HIV on either mortality or intensive care services suggested some worsening with very weak evidence against the model hypothesis at this sample size. However, in individuals aged <60 years, the estimated odds of mortality were increased by 2.7-fold in PLHIV with strong evidence against the model hypothesis at this sample size. HIV was also associated with an estimated 1.7-fold increase in odds of hospitalization for COVID-19 for PLHIV that suggested worsening, also with strong evidence against the model hypothesis at this sample size. Lastly, we found no qualitative differences in the proportion of individuals with chronic comorbidities between PLHIV and individuals without HIV.

Findings from several existing systematic reviews and primary studies are conflicting. Three existing systematic review (4,7,8) suggested no difference in COVID-19 severity between PLHIV and individuals without HIV, although their analyses were narrative. Two of the studies that we included, a letter to the editor with unadjusted analysis (26) and a matched cohort study with 63 participants (38) also reported no differences in the risk of death by HIV status. However, other studies have reported a higher risk of mortality from COVID-19 for PLHIV. One recent systematic review reported a higher risk of mortality in PLHIV with a risk ratio 1.8 (95%CI 1.2- 2.6) (19), although they included four studies only, including data from Bhaskaran et al. 2020 (18), where totals with confirmed COVID-19 were not available. The large population-based cohort studies which we included all showed a higher risk of death from COVID-19 in PLHIV after adjusting for

confounders. In adjusted models, the estimated effects of HIV on mortality from the large cohorts ranged from a standardized rate ratio of 1.2 (17) in the USA to a hazard ratio of around two from South Africa and the UK (17, 46). Notably the pooled OR is not adjusted for confounders as the included studies reported different effect measures.

Although in the overall analysis the increase in the risk of mortality was marginal, in subgroup analysis, PLHIV aged <60 years had 2.7 times the odds of mortality from COVID-19 compared to similar aged individuals without HIV. This is a pertinent finding, especially for countries in sub-Saharan African where most PLHIV are resident, as they are in the young adult and middle-aged adult age groups. It is not yet clear why PLHIV may have a higher risk for mortality from COVID-19 as there is currently a lack of consensus about the mechanisms that may increase the risk of mortality in PLHIV. Chronic inflammation and immune deficiency due to the HIV disease process may be key contributors to the higher susceptibility for severe COVID-19. Despite adequate antiretroviral therapy (ART), PLHIV may still have persistent immune dysregulation which might increase the susceptibility and severity of COVID-19 in this cohort (43,44). On the other hand, the same dysfunctional immune responses may offer protection against the cytokine storm triggered in severe COVID-19 (45). A number of antiretroviral drugs have also shown in vitro activity against SARS-CoV-2 (46), but whether this confers protection from severe COVID-19 in individuals on ART remains unclear.

Our pooled OR suggests that PLHIV, compared to individuals without HIV, have a 1.6-fold increase in the odds of being hospitalized and a 1.4-fold increase in odds for intensive care service for COVID, although with weak evidence against the model hypothesis for the later. Our findings are in agreement with most of the studies which we included, except three studies which reported lower hospitalization rates in PLHIV (41), and lower intensive care services in PLHIV (35,37). Three (17,36,42) of the included studies reported higher risk of hospitalization in PLHIV, and another four reported a higher risk of intensive care services in PLHIV (26,38,41,42). Again, these findings suggest a higher risk for severe disease and higher utilization of health resources in PLHIV and have implications for high-burden HIV countries in Sub-

Saharan Africa such as South Africa. If COVID-19 is not brought under control and case counts continue increasing, these countries may experience higher levels of hospitalizations and need for intensive care services. This is likely to be worsened by the high prevalence of undiagnosed chronic comorbidities such as diabetes and hypertension (47).

Data from the included studies that comorbidities were likely to occur equally between the two groups and thus are not a major influence on the association we report. In studies of people without COVID-19, HIV is associated with a higher risk of cardiovascular disease (48) and ART has been associated with metabolic syndrome (49) and high fasting glucose (50). However, the evidence is not conclusive as other meta-analyses have found no associations between ART and diabetes (51) and hypertension (52). Although the influence of comorbid conditions cannot be ruled out, our findings suggest that the mechanism for the more severe COVID19 disease course in PLHIV may lie with the HIV disease process.

Although good progress had been made toward reaching the 90-90-90 (53) targets, however the targets are not yet met globally as only 59% of PLHIV had viral suppression in 2019 (5). The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted the HIV care cascade especially in Sub-Saharan Africa (54), and may result in higher numbers of PLHIV with uncontrolled HIV and more vulnerable to COVID-19. There is need to prioritize prevention, including prioritizing vaccine access, care and treatment of COVID-19 in PLHIV.

Our study has several limitations. The studies included in this synthesis are observational studies and any inferences about the strength of the relationship between HIV and severe COVID-19 need to be made cautiously. Further, confounding variables, such as age and comorbidities, and type of HIV treatment may have affected some of the findings from included studies. It was not possible to do a meta-analysis of adjusted effect sizes as the studies reported different effect measures. We were also not able to carry out subgroup analysis on some of these possible confounders because of lack of data from included studies. Due to a lack of data from included studies, we were not able to analyse the effect of being on treatment for HIV, viral load and CD4 counts on COVID-19 severity and mortality. However, it is worth noting that

one study found that the type of anti-retroviral treatment had no beneficial impact on COVID-19 severity or risk of death (13). A strength of this study is that it was carried out rigorously using the updated PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Further, this meta-analysis included studies where participants had confirmed COVID-19 only and used quality adjusted effects model to take into consideration the study quality of included studies, and therefore the risk estimates we reported are adjusted for the variations in study quality of the included observational studies.

Conclusion

PLHIV may be at a higher risk of death and hospitalisation from COVID-19, compared to individuals without HIV. The differential mortality between individuals with and without HIV is seen more in people aged <60 years and thus PLHIV should be considered at greater risk of severity than those without HIV in the population. The findings of our study have several implications considering the high global burden of HIV, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa (55). Around two-thirds of PLHIV are in the Sub-Saharan Africa region, which has health systems which are already overstretched and fragile. The COVID-19 pandemic worsened in the period December 2020- February 2021 but case counts and mortality have remained lower than in many other regions. South Africa has been the exception, with higher case counts and deaths than other countries in Sub-Saharan. However, the possibility that COVID-19 may worsen in the coming months remains open. Compounding the vulnerable health systems in Sub-Saharan Africa are several issues which include difficulties in carrying out COVID-19 preventive measures (56), lower pandemic preparedness and a lack of safety nets for the vulnerable in a pandemic (57). Further, Sub-Saharan Africa is lagging behind many other countries in COVID-19 vaccinations (58), and this may mean that subsequent COVID-19 infection waves are expected and may have severe effects.

Conflict of interest

All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

No funding to report.

Abbreviations

HIV - Human Immunodeficiency Virus

PLHIV – People living with HIV.

COVID-19 - Coronavirus disease of 2019

SARS-CoV-2 - severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

RNA_{Ad}RNA_p - RNA-dependent RNA polymerase

ICU – Intensive care unit

UK – United Kingdom

USA – United States of America

ART – Antiretroviral therapy

OR – Odds ratio

95%CI – 95% confidence interval

MASTER - MethodologicAI STandard for Epidemiological Research

CRedit Authors' contributions

LM- conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, visualisation, writing – original draft, and writing – review & editing

AA - data curation, visualisation, investigation, writing – review & editing

RA - data curation, visualisation, investigation, writing – review & editing

NI - data curation, investigation, writing – review & editing

AC - data curation, investigation, writing – review & editing

SAR - formal analysis, investigation, methodology, supervision, validation, visualisation, writing – review & editing

TC - conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, software, supervision, validation, visualisation, writing – original draft, and writing – review & editing

References

1. Coronavirus Update (Live): 120,129,600 Cases and 2,661,072 Deaths from COVID-19 Virus Pandemic - Worldometer [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 14]. Available from: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>
2. Chen S, Zhang Z, Yang J, Wang J, Zhai X, Bärnighausen T, Wang C. Fangcang shelter hospitals: a novel concept for responding to public health emergencies. *Lancet*. 2020 Apr 18;395(10232):1305-1314. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30744-3. Epub 2020 Apr 2. Erratum in: *Lancet*. 2020 Apr 17;; PMID: 32247320; PMCID: PMC7270591.
3. The Lancet. Redefining vulnerability in the era of COVID-19. *Lancet*. 2020 Apr 4;395(10230):1089. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30757-1. PMID: 32247378; PMCID: PMC7270489.
4. Cooper TJ, Woodward BL, Alom S, Harky A. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outcomes in HIV/AIDS patients: a systematic review. *HIV Med*. 2020 Oct;21(9):567-577. doi: 10.1111/hiv.12911. Epub 2020 Jul 15. PMID: 32671970; PMCID: PMC7405326.

5. UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic shows that 2020 targets will not be met because of deeply unequal success; COVID-19 risks blowing HIV progress way off course | UNAIDS [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 14]. Available from:
https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/pressreleaseandstatementarchive/2020/july/20200706_global-aids-report
6. Adepoju P. Tuberculosis and HIV responses threatened by COVID-19. *Lancet HIV*. 2020 May;7(5):e319-e320. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(20)30109-0. Epub 2020 Apr 8. PMID: 32277870; PMCID: PMC7195157.
7. Mirzaei H, McFarland W, Karamouzian M, Sharifi H. COVID-19 Among People Living with HIV: A Systematic Review. *AIDS Behav*. 2021 Jan;25(1):85-92. doi: 10.1007/s10461-020-02983-2. PMID: 32734438; PMCID: PMC7391049.
8. Lee KW, Yap SF, Ngeow YF, Lye MS. COVID-19 in People Living with HIV: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021 Mar 30;18(7):3554. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18073554. PMID: 33808066; PMCID: PMC8037457.
9. Patel RH, Acharya A, Chand HS, Mohan M, Byrareddy SN. Human Immunodeficiency Virus and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Coinfection: A Systematic Review of the Literature and Challenges. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2021 Apr;37(4):266-282. doi: 10.1089/AID.2020.0284. Epub 2021 Mar 23. PMID: 33599163; PMCID: PMC8035919.
10. Costenaro P, Minotti C, Barbieri E, Giaquinto C, Donà D. SARS-CoV-2 infection in people living with HIV: a systematic review. *Rev Med Virol*. 2021 Jan;31(1):1-12. doi: 10.1002/rmv.2155. Epub 2020 Sep 1. PMID: 32875716.

11. Gudipati S, Brar I, Murray S, McKinnon JE, Yared N, Markowitz N. Descriptive Analysis of Patients Living With HIV Affected by COVID-19. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2020 Oct 1;85(2):123-126. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000002450. PMID: 32675771; PMCID: PMC7446990.
12. Mondì A, Cimini E, Colavita F, Cicalini S, Pinnetti C, Matusali G, Casetti R, Maeurer M, Vergori A, Mazzotta V, Gagliardini R, De Zottis F, Schinina V, Girardi E, Puro V, Ippolito G, Vaia F, Capobianchi MR, Castillettì C, Agrati C, Antinori A. COVID-19 in people living with HIV: Clinical implications of dynamics of the immune response to SARS-CoV-2. *J Med Virol*. 2021 Mar;93(3):1796-1804. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26556. Epub 2020 Oct 8. PMID: 32975842; PMCID: PMC7537181.
13. Etienne N, Karmochkine M, Slama L, Pavie J, Batisse D, Usubillaga R, Letembet VA, Brazille P, Canouï E, Slama D, Joumaa H, Canoui-Poitaine F, Segaux L, Weiss L, Viard JP, Salmon D; COVID-19 ID Team. HIV infection and COVID-19: risk factors for severe disease. *AIDS*. 2020 Oct 1;34(12):1771-1774. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0000000000002651. PMID: 32773476; PMCID: PMC7493770.
14. Elfiky AA. Anti-HCV, nucleotide inhibitors, repurposing against COVID-19. *Life Sci*. 2020 May 1;248:117477. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117477. Epub 2020 Feb 28. PMID: 32119961; PMCID: PMC7089605.
15. Elfiky AA. Ribavirin, Remdesivir, Sofosbuvir, Galidesivir, and Tenofovir against SARS-CoV-2 RNA dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp): A molecular docking study. *Life Sci*. 2020 Jul 15;253:117592. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117592. Epub 2020 Mar 25. Erratum in: *Life Sci*. 2020 Oct 1;258:118350. PMID: 32222463; PMCID: PMC7102646.
16. Boulle A, Davies MA, Hussey H, Ismail M, Morden E, Vundle Z, Zweigenthal V, Mahomed H, Paleker M, Pienaar D, Tembo Y. Risk factors for COVID-19 death in a population cohort study from the Western Cape Province, South Africa. *Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2020 Aug 29.. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1198. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32860699; PMCID: PMC7499501.

17. Tesoriero JM, Swain CE, Pierce JL, Zamboni L, Wu M, Holtgrave DR, Gonzalez CJ, Udo T, Morne JE, Hart-Malloy R, Rajulu DT, Leung SJ, Rosenberg ES. COVID-19 Outcomes Among Persons Living With or Without Diagnosed HIV Infection in New York State. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021 Feb 1;4(2):e2037069. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.37069. PMID: 33533933; PMCID: PMC7859843.
18. Bhaskaran K, Rentsch CT, MacKenna B, Schultze A, Mehrkar A, Bates CJ. HIV infection and COVID-19 death: a population-based cohort analysis of UK primary care data and linked national death registrations within the OpenSAFELY platform. *Lancet HIV*. 2021 Jan;8(1):e24-e32. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(20)30305-2. Epub 2020 Dec 11. PMID: 33316211; PMCID: PMC7773630.20.
19. Ssentongo P, Heilbrunn ES, Ssentongo AE, Advani S, Chinchilli VM, Nunez JJ, Du P. Epidemiology and outcomes of COVID-19 in HIV-infected individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2021 Mar 18;11(1):6283. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-85359-3. PMID: 33737527; PMCID: PMC7973415.
20. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2021 Mar 29;10(1):89. doi: 10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4. PMID: 33781348; PMCID: PMC8008539.
21. Doi SA, Furuya-Kanamori L, Xu C, Lin L, Chivese T, Thalib L. Questionable utility of the relative risk in clinical research: a call for change to practice. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2020 Nov 7:S0895-4356(20)31171-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.08.019. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33171273.

22. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev*. 2016 Dec 5;5(1):210. doi: 10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4. PMID: 27919275; PMCID: PMC5139140.
23. Stone JC, Glass K, Clark J, Ritskes-Hoitinga M, Munn Z, Tugwell P, Doi SAR. The MethodologicAl STAndards for Epidemiological Research (MASTER) scale demonstrated a unified framework for bias assessment. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2021 Jan 21;134:52-64. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.01.012. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33485928.
24. Sanchez KA, Foster M, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, May AD, Ramani T, Zietsman J, Khreis H. Urban policy interventions to reduce traffic emissions and traffic-related air pollution: Protocol for a systematic evidence map. *Environ Int*. 2020 Sep;142:105826. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2020.105826. Epub 2020 Jun 5. PMID: 32505921.
25. Doi SAR, Barendregt JJ, Khan S, Thalib L, Williams GM. Advances in the meta-analysis of heterogeneous clinical trials I: The inverse variance heterogeneity model. *Contemp Clin Trials* [Internet]. 2015;45:130–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2015.05.009>
26. Miyashita H, Kuno T. Prognosis of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in patients with HIV infection in New York City. *HIV Med*. 2021 Jan;22(1):e1-e2. doi: 10.1111/hiv.12920. Epub 2020 Aug 23. PMID: 32829525; PMCID: PMC7461410.
27. Grant, J., & Hunter, A. (2006). Measuring inconsistency in knowledgebases. *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems*, 27(2), 159–184. doi:10.1007/s10844-006-2974-4
28. Furuya-Kanamori L, Barendregt JJ, Doi SAR. A new improved graphical and quantitative method for detecting bias in meta-analysis. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*. 2018 Dec;16(4):195-203. doi: 10.1097/XEB.000000000000141. PMID: 29621038.
29. Terrin N, Schmid CH, Lau J. In an empirical evaluation of the funnel plot, researchers could not visually identify

publication bias. J Clin Epidemiol. 2005 Sep;58(9):894-901. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2005.01.006. PMID: 16085192.

30. StataCorp. 2017. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC
31. Farina Karim, Inbal Gazy, Sandile Cele, Yenzekile Zungu, Robert Krause, Mallory Bernstein, Yashica Ganga, Hylton Rodel, Ntombifuthi Mthabela, Matilda Mazibuko, Willem Hanekom, Bernadett I. Gosnell, COMMIT-KZN Team, Emily Wong, Tulio de Oliveira, Mahomed-Yunus S.Moosa, Alasdair Leslie, Henrik Kløverpris, Alex Sigal. HIV infection alters SARS-CoV-2 responsive immune parameters but not clinical outcomes in COVID-19 disease. medRxiv 2020.11.23.20236828; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.23.20236828>
32. D'Souza G, Springer G, Gustafson D, Kassaye S, Alcaide ML, Ramirez C, Sharma A, Palella FJ, Tien PC, Detels R, Kempf MC, Lahiri CD, Rinaldo CR, French AL, Margolick JB, Adimora AA. COVID-19 symptoms and SARS-CoV-2 infection among people living with HIV in the US: the MACS/WIHS combined cohort study. HIV Res Clin Pract. 2020 Oct;21(5):130-139. doi: 10.1080/25787489.2020.1844521. Epub 2020 Nov 19. PMID: 33211636; PMCID: PMC7682380.
33. Yang R, Gui X, Zhang Y, Xiong Y, Gao S, Ke H. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 patients with HIV coinfection in Wuhan, China. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2021 Mar;15(3):403-409. doi: 10.1080/17476348.2021.1836965. Epub 2020 Oct 27. PMID: 33074039; PMCID: PMC7605649.
34. Davies M-A. HIV and risk of COVID-19 death□: a population cohort study from the Western Cape Province , South Africa . A1. Davies M-A. HIV and risk of COVID-19 death□: a population cohort study from the Western Cape Province , South Africa . Author□: Western Cape Dep. medRxiv [Internet]. 2020;1–21. Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.07.02.20145185v2>
35. Sigel K, Swartz T, Golden E, Paranjpe I, Somani S, Richter F, De Freitas JK, Miotto R, Zhao S, Polak P, Mutetwa T,

Factor S, Mehandru S, Mullen M, Cossarini F, Bottinger E, Fayad Z, Merad M, Gnjjatic S, Aberg J, Charney A, Nadkarni G, Glicksberg BS. Coronavirus 2019 and People Living With Human Immunodeficiency Virus: Outcomes for Hospitalized Patients in New York City. Clin Infect Dis. 2020 Dec 31;71(11):2933-2938. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa880. PMID: 32594164; PMCID: PMC7337691.

36. Hadi YB, Naqvi SFZ, Kupec JT, Sarwari AR. Characteristics and outcomes of COVID-19 in patients with HIV: a multicentre research network study. AIDS. 2020 Nov 1;34(13):F3-F8. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0000000000002666. PMID: 32796217.
37. Stoeckle K, Johnston CD, Jannat-Khah DP, Williams SC, Ellman TM, Vogler MA, Gulick RM, Glesby MJ, Choi JJ. COVID-19 in Hospitalized Adults With HIV. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2020 Aug 1;7(8):ofaa327. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofaa327. PMID: 32864388; PMCID: PMC7445584.
38. Karmen-Tuohy S, Carlucci PM, Zervou FN, Zacharioudakis IM, Rebick G, Klein E, Reich J, Jones S, Rahimian J. Outcomes Among HIV-Positive Patients Hospitalized With COVID-19. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2020 Sep 1;85(1):6-10. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000002423. PMID: 32568770; PMCID: PMC7446982.
39. Parker A, Koegelenberg CFN, Moolia MS, Louw EH, Mowlana A, Nortjé A, Ahmed R, Brittain N, Lalla U, Allwood BW, Prozesky H, Schrueder N, Taljaard JJ. High HIV prevalence in an early cohort of hospital admissions with COVID-19 in Cape Town, South Africa. S Afr Med J. 2020 Aug 21;110(10):982-987. doi: 10.7196/SAMJ.2020.v110i10.15067. PMID: 33205724.
40. Geretti AM, Stockdale AJ, Kelly SH, Cevik M, Collins S, Waters L, Villa G, Docherty A, Harrison EM, Turtle L, Openshaw PJM, Baillie JK, Sabin CA, Semple MG. Outcomes of COVID-19 related hospitalization among people

with HIV in the ISARIC WHO Clinical Characterization Protocol (UK): a prospective observational study. Clin Infect Dis. 2020 Oct 23;ciaa1605. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1605. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 33095853; PMCID: PMC7665382.

41. Cabello A, Zamarro B, Nistal S, Victor V, Hernández J, Prieto-Pérez L, Carrillo I, Álvarez B, Fernández-Roblas R, Hernández-Segurado M, Becares J, Benito JM, Rallón N, Téllez R, Castaño ÁL, Herrero A, Górgolas M. COVID-19 in people living with HIV: A multicenter case-series study. Int J Infect Dis. 2021 Jan;102:310-315. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.060. Epub 2020 Oct 27. PMID: 33127499; PMCID: PMC7590815.
42. Julianna Kohler, Kristin Kostka, Rupa Makadia, Roger Paredes, Talita Duarte-Salles, Scott Duvall, Alison Cheng, Asieh Golozar, Jennifer C. E. Lane, Anthony G. Sena, Peter R. Rijnbeek, Daniel R. Morales, Patrick B. Ryan, Christian Reich, Michael E. Matheny, Kristine E. Lynch, George K. Siberry, Daniel Prieto-Alhambra. Using Real World Data to Understand HIV and COVID-19 in the U.S.A. and Spain: Characterizing Co-Infected Patients Across the Care Cascade. medRxiv 2020.11.10.20229401; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.11.10.20229401>
43. So-Armah KA, Tate JP, Chang CH, Butt AA, Gerschenson M, Gibert CL, Leaf D, Rimland D, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Budoff MJ, Samet JH, Kuller LH, Deeks SG, Crothers K, Tracy RP, Crane HM, Sajadi MM, Tindle HA, Justice AC, Freiberg MS; VACS Project Team. Do Biomarkers of Inflammation, Monocyte Activation, and Altered Coagulation Explain Excess Mortality Between HIV Infected and Uninfected People? J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2016 Jun 1;72(2):206-213. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000000954. PMID: 27824677; PMCID: PMC4867134.
44. Lederman MM, Funderburg NT, Sekaly RP, Klatt NR, Hunt PW. Residual immune dysregulation syndrome in treated HIV infection. Adv Immunol. 2013;119:51-83. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-407707-2.00002-3. PMID: 23886064; PMCID: PMC4126613.

45. Tay MZ, Poh CM, Rénia L, MacAry PA, Ng LFP. The trinity of COVID-19: immunity, inflammation and intervention. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2020 Jun;20(6):363-374. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-0311-8. Epub 2020 Apr 28. PMID: 32346093; PMCID: PMC7187672.
46. Waters L, Rockstroh JK. COVID-19 research: an opinion piece. *HIV Med*. 2020 Sep;21(8):536-540. doi: 10.1111/hiv.12913. Epub 2020 Jul 21. PMID: 32544304; PMCID: PMC7323295.
47. Dessie G, Mulugeta H, Amare D, Negesse A, Wagnew F, Getaneh T, Endalamew A, Adamu YW, Tadesse G, Workineh A, Lebu S. A systematic analysis on prevalence and sub-regional distribution of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus among adults in African countries. *J Diabetes Metab Disord*. 2020 Sep 22;19(2):1931-1941. doi: 10.1007/s40200-020-00635-9. PMID: 33553047; PMCID: PMC7843872.
48. Eyawo O, Brockman G, Goldsmith CH, Hull MW, Lear SA, Bennett M, Guillemi S, Franco-Villalobos C, Adam A, Mills EJ, Montaner JSG, Hogg RS. Risk of myocardial infarction among people living with HIV: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2019 Sep 24;9(9):e025874. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025874. PMID: 31551371; PMCID: PMC6773316.
49. Todowede OO, Mianda SZ, Sartorius B. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among HIV-positive and HIV-negative populations in sub-Saharan Africa-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev*. 2019 Jan 3;8(1):4. doi: 10.1186/s13643-018-0927-y. PMID: 30606249; PMCID: PMC6317235.
50. Nduka CU, Stranges S, Kimani PK, Sarki AM, Uthman OA. Is there sufficient evidence for a causal association between antiretroviral therapy and diabetes in HIV-infected patients? A meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2017 Sep;33(6). doi: 10.1002/dmrr.2902. Epub 2017 Jun 16. PMID: 28437854.53. Echecopar-Sabogal J, D'Angelo-Piaggio L, Chanamé-Baca DM, Ugarte-Gil C. Association between the use of protease inhibitors in highly active antiretroviral therapy and incidence of diabetes mellitus and/or metabolic syndrome in HIV-infected patients:

A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2018 Apr;29(5):443-452. doi: 10.1177/0956462417732226. Epub 2017 Sep 28. PMID: 28956700.

51. Echeopar-Sabogal J, D'Angelo-Piaggio L, Chanamé-Baca DM, Ugarte-Gil C. Association between the use of protease inhibitors in highly active antiretroviral therapy and incidence of diabetes mellitus and/or metabolic syndrome in HIV-infected patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J STD AIDS*. 2018 Apr;29(5):443-452. doi: 10.1177/0956462417732226. Epub 2017 Sep 28. PMID: 28956700.
52. Dimala CA, Blencowe H, Choukem SP. The association between antiretroviral therapy and selected cardiovascular disease risk factors in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2018 Jul 30;13(7):e0201404. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201404. PMID: 30059546; PMCID: PMC6066235.
53. Bain LE, Nkoke C, Noubiap JJN. UNAIDS 90-90-90 targets to end the AIDS epidemic by 2020 are not realistic: comment on "Can the UNAIDS 90-90-90 target be achieved? A systematic analysis of national HIV treatment cascades". *BMJ Glob Health*. 2017 Mar 7;2(2):e000227. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2016-000227. PMID: 28589026; PMCID: PMC5435269.
54. Dorward J, Khubone T, Gate K, Ngobese H, Sookrajh Y, Mkhize S, Jeewa A, Bottomley C, Lewis L, Baisley K, Butler CC, Gxagxisa N, Garrett N. The impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on HIV care in 65 South African primary care clinics: an interrupted time series analysis. *Lancet HIV*. 2021 Mar;8(3):e158-e165. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(20)30359-3. Epub 2021 Feb 4. PMID: 33549166; PMCID: PMC8011055.
55. Pandey A, Galvani AP. The global burden of HIV and prospects for control. *Lancet HIV*. 2019 Dec;6(12):e809-e811. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(19)30230-9. Epub 2019 Aug 19. PMID: 31439533.55. Ekumah B, Armah FA, Yawson DO, Quansah R, Nyieku FE, Owusu SA, Odoi JO, Afitiri AR. Disparate on-site access to water, sanitation, and food storage heighten the risk of COVID-19 spread in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Environ Res*. 2020 Oct;189:109936. doi:

- 10.1016/j.envres.2020.109936. Epub 2020 Jul 19. PMID: 32980018; PMCID: PMC7368919.
56. Ekumah B, Armah FA, Yawson DO, Quansah R, Nyieku FE, Owusu SA, Odoi JO, Afitiri AR. Disparate on-site access to water, sanitation, and food storage heighten the risk of COVID-19 spread in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Environ Res.* 2020 Oct;189:109936. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2020.109936. Epub 2020 Jul 19. PMID: 32980018; PMCID: PMC7368919.
 57. Senghore M, Savi MK, Gnanngnon B, Hanage WP, Okeke IN. Leveraging Africa's preparedness towards the next phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2020 Jul;8(7):e884-e885. doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30234-5. Epub 2020 May 14. PMID: 32416768; PMCID: PMC7255144.
 58. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Vaccinations - Statistics and Research - Our World in Data [Internet]. [cited 2021 Mar 14]. Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/covid-vaccinations>