Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

Acute nasal dryness in COVID-19

Jordi Navarra (PhD)^{1*}, Alba Ruiz-Ceamanos (MA)^{1,2}, Juan José Moreno (PhD)^{3,4}, José María García-Basterrechea (MD)⁵, Josep de Haro-Licer (MD)⁶, Scott Sinnott (PhD)⁷, Charles Spence (PhD)⁸, & David Gallardo-Pujol (PhD)^{9,10}

1. Department of Cognition, Development and Educational Psychology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
2. CETT-UB. Barcelona School of Tourism, Hospitality and Gastronomy, Barcelona, Spain
3. Department Nutrition, Food Science and Gastronomy, Institute of Nutrition and Food Safety, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
4. CIBEROBN Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain
5. Department of Internal Medicine, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain
6. Ear, Nose and Throat Department, Hospital Municipal de Badalona - Badalona Serveis Assistencials (HMB-BSA), Barcelona, Spain
7. Department of Psychology, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Hawai'i, USA
8. Department of Experimental Psychology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
9. Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychobiology, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
10. Institute of Neurosciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

Corresponding author:

Jordi Navarra
 Department of Cognition, Development and Educational Psychology
 University of Barcelona, Spain
 Faculty of Psychology, Passeig de la Vall d'Hebron, 171, 08035, Barcelona, Spain.

Phone: +34 933125140
 E-mail: jordi.navarra@ub.edu

Keywords: COVID-19, nasal dryness, olfaction, goblet cells, ACE-2 receptors.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Abstract

One of the entry routes of SARS-CoV-2 is the nasal epithelium. Although mounting evidence suggests the presence of olfactory dysfunction, and even anosmia, in patients with COVID-19, it is not clear whether these patients also suffer from other “nasal” symptoms that may influence their olfaction. A group of 35 patients with COVID-19 (and a control group matched in gender and age) were surveyed about the presence of a variety of nasal symptoms that may be associated to drastic perturbations experienced in the nasal cavity (e.g., “excessive dryness” and/or a continual sensation of having had a “nasal douche”). We used a cross-sectional, retrospective survey, targeted at the general population by means of non-quoted, non-random, snowball sampling. Symptoms were assessed with absence/presence responses. The possible association between two continuously distributed latent variables from categorical variables was estimated by means of polychoric correlations. More than 68% of the patients reported at least one “nasal” symptom. The clinical group also experienced “a strange sensation in the nose” and having excessive nasal dryness significantly more often than the control group. Fifty-two percent of the patients (but only 3% of the control group) reported a constant sensation of having had a strong nasal douche. Nasal symptoms predominantly co-occurred with anosmia/hyposmia, and ageusia/hypogeusia, appeared principally before or during the other symptoms of COVID-19, and lasted for twelve days, in average. The presence of these nasal symptoms, and their early occurrence, could potentially facilitate early diagnosis of COVID-19 and initial social distancing efforts.

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

25

26 **Introduction**

27 In 2020, a new disease, known as COVID-19, caused by an infection of SARS-CoV-2,
 28 has spread globally. Given the high percentage of patients with COVID-19 who are
 29 asymptomatic or experience only mild symptoms (Kim et al., 2020), the need to identify
 30 (and isolate if necessary) possible carriers of the SARS-CoV-2 virus quickly and
 31 inexpensively is crucial to helping reduce its spread. Recognizing and understanding all
 32 of the possible symptomatic manifestations of COVID-19, including those that seem to
 33 be less life-threatening, can be relevant for diagnostic, treatment, and mitigation efforts
 34 (e.g., social distancing), especially in situations where RT-PCR tests cannot be
 35 administered to all non-severe cases. Along these lines, we now know, for example, that
 36 approximately 80% of COVID-19 patients in Europe report some loss of smell and taste
 37 (Lechien, Chiesa-Estomba, De Siati, 2020; see also Moein, Hashemian, Mansourafshar,
 38 Khorram-Tousi, Tabarsi, and Doty, 2020).

39 Evidence suggests that the virus adheres to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE-2)
 40 receptors in epithelial cells (Wan, Shang, Graham, Baric, and Li, 2020). Clear
 41 symptomatic manifestations (e.g., loss of smell; see Brann, Tsukahara, and Weinreb,
 42 2020; Lechien et al., 2020; Moein et al., 2020; Spinato, Fabbris, and Polesel, 2020)
 43 associated with damage in nasal (Sungnak et al., 2020; Ziegler, Allon, and Nyquist,
 44 2020), and/or brain cells (Baig, Khaleeq, Ali, and Syeda, 2020; Mao et al., 2020)
 45 expressing ACE-2 have been identified. Goblet cells have recently been shown to
 46 harbor ACE-2 proteins (e.g., Ziegler et al., 2020). These epithelial cells are responsible
 47 for the production of the mucus in respiratory, reproductive, and intestinal tracts. Recent
 48 evidence also points to the presence of goblet secretory cells with ACE-2 membrane
 49 proteins in the respiratory nasal epithelium (e.g., Sungnak et al., 2020). A possible

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

disruption in the mucus production by the action of SARS-CoV-2 on these cells may imply a drastic change of the mucosa environment. As sudden changes in mucus density could compromise the final adherence of the volatile chemical compounds to their corresponding odorant receptors, olfaction may possibly be compromised in the process (Hummel, Whitcroft, and Andrews, 2016).

Most important for our purpose, an ostensible reduction of mucus could certainly lead to strange sensations in the nasal cavity (Hildenbrand, Weber, Brehmer, 2011). If patients with COVID-19 do experience odd, and even unprecedented sensations in their nasal cavity, we might be observing yet another warning sign of COVID-19 that has been ignored so far, probably due to its being overshadowed by more severe symptoms needing urgent intervention.

The goal of the present study was to obtain diagnostic-valuable data regarding the presence of these non-olfactory nasal symptoms in patients with COVID-19. The study was conceived as a cross-sectional, retrospective survey targeted to the general population by means of non-quoted, non-random, snowball sampling. A brief online survey gauged whether participants had noticed any strange sensations in their nose/nasal cavity or not. More specifically, questions addressed if they felt dryness in their nasal cavity or had perceived the continuous feeling of having had a nasal douche. Information regarding the time of appearance of these symptoms relative to other COVID-19 symptoms was also gathered.

Materials and Methods

The study was approved by the University of Barcelona's Bioethics Commission (CBUB) and followed the Declaration of Helsinki ethical standards and the Spanish and

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

74 EU data protection regulations. Written informed consent was obtained prior to
75 participation in the survey.

76 Data Collection

77 Information regarding the presence/absence of non-olfactory nasal symptoms, as well as
78 regarding the presence/absence of other symptoms previously described in the literature
79 (e.g., fever, cough, smell and taste loss, etc.), was obtained from a group of patients
80 with COVID-19 and a control group.

81 Data were collected by means of an online (Qualtrics) survey, which was designed to:
82 1) capture the main demographics of respondents, 2) ask whether they were COVID-
83 tested or COVID-suspected (as suspicion without a PCR test result was considered an
84 exclusion criterion), and 3) collect information about COVID-19 symptoms.

85 The survey questionnaire comprised a total of 69 items in four different languages
86 (Catalan, Spanish, Italian, and French) and were administered depending on the
87 participants' responses (i.e., some questions appeared only in cases where a specific
88 response to a particular item was provided by the participant). Snowball sampling was
89 used to recruit the sample of participants (Goodman, 1961).

90 Participants

91 Only those participants who provided a copy of their positive result for SARS-CoV-2 in
92 a PCR test were included in the patient group. The survey was conducted from
93 03/30/2020 to 04/06/2020 and a total of 414 people took part.

94 The vast majority of respondents were from Spain (86%), followed by Italy (9%) and
95 France (1.5%). Responses from the survey were used to classify respondents as either
96 patients (with demonstrable positive results in a PCR test), controls, or those with an
97 uncertain status. Data from the latter were removed from the analyses.

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

98 The clinical group of patients with confirmed positive RT-PCR test results included 35
99 participants (24 female; range=21-65 years; median age=47 years; interquartile range
100 (IQR)= 38.5-53.5 years), and the control group included 156 participants (110 female;
101 range=16-76 years; median age= 31 years; IQR=19-50 years). Because these initial
102 groups differed in terms of age ($p<.001$) and smoking habits ($p<.01$), only responses
103 from a sub-sample of 35 healthy volunteers (based on the following formula:
104 *Group~Gender+Age+Smoking*) were used for statistical analyses, ensuring that
105 participants were matched in terms of age (clinical group's median age=47 years,
106 IQR=38.5-53.5; control group's median age=46 years, IQR=38.5-53.5), and gender (24
107 vs. 19 female, respectively; see Table 1 for basic demographic information). However,
108 the groups were not completely matched in terms of smoking habits ($p=.004$), given that
109 no patients (but 8 participants from the control group) reported smoking. The
110 distribution of overall symptoms for both groups can be found in Table 2.
111

Table 1. Basic demographics and characteristics of the clinical and control groups.

	Clinical (N=35)	SD (or %)	Control (N=35)	SD (or %)
Age (yrs)	46	11	45.9	11
Females	24	68.6	19	54.3
Smoking prevalence				
No	35	100	27	77.1
< 10 cigarettes/day	0	0	6	17.1
>10 cigarettes/day	0	0	2	5.8

112

113 Statistical analyses

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

114 The statistical software R 4.0 (package e1071) was used to match cases and controls and
 115 to analyze the data. Values are reported as median (IQR). Comparisons of proportions
 116 were assessed using a Fisher's exact 2-tailed test. Differences in the duration of the
 117 symptoms were tested with an unpaired 2-tailed Welch's t test, and 95% CIs are
 118 reported. The possible co-occurrence of symptoms was calculated on the basis of
 119 correlations for categorical variables. These correlations were computed via the
 120 "polychoric" function of the psych package using frequencies as input data. The
 121 significance level was set at $p < .05$, and uncertainty estimates are given as confidence
 122 intervals.
 123

Table 2. Distribution of symptoms in clinical and control participants.

	Clinical (N=35)	%	Control (N=35)	%
Low-grade fever	28	82.4	2	6.06
Fever	19	55.9	0	0.00
Dry cough	25	73.5	8	24.24
Respiratory difficulty	20	58.8	1	3.03
Sore throat	12	35.3	9	27.27
Nasal congestion	19	55.9	10	30.30
Headache	30	88.2	11	33.33
Fatigue	33	97.1	2	6.06
Diarrhea	20	58.8	5	15.15
Anosmia	29	85.3	1	3.03
Ageusia	27	79.4	3	9.09
Some nasal disturbances	19	55.9	4	12.12
Nasal dryness	21	61.8	5	15.15

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

	Clinical (N=35)	%	Control (N=35)	%
Nasal douche	17	51.5	1	3.03

124

125 Results

126 The participants' responses revealed that 68.6% of the patients experienced at least one
 127 of these "nasal" symptoms (Figure 1A). Further analyses showed that the clinical group
 128 reported having experienced excessive nasal dryness significantly more often than the
 129 control group (21 (61.8%) vs. 5 (15%); odds ratio, 8.7; 95% CI, 2.5-36.5 $p < .001$; see
 130 Figure 1B). Similarly, the clinical group also differed statistically from the control
 131 group in terms of having "strange nasal sensations" (19 (55.9%) vs. 4 (12%); odds ratio,
 132 37.2, 95% CI, 5-1,666.4; $p < .001$; see Figure 1B). Further, while nearly half of the
 133 patients (17) reported a sensation similar to that of having had a strong nasal douche,
 134 only a single control participant reported such a sensation (1 (3%); odds ratio, 32.3;
 135 95% CI, 4.3-32.3; $p < .001$; see Figure 1B).

136

137

138

Figure 1

139

140

141 **Figure 1 (legend). Distribution of subjective "nasal" symptoms in each group, and**
 142 **correlations between nasal and other symptoms.** The clinical and control groups
 143 differed statistically in (A) the presence of nasal symptoms in general. (B) The 2 groups
 144 also differed in their subjective perception of three different "nasal" symptoms: Some
 145 nasal disturbances, nose dryness, and nasal douche sensation. (C) Co-occurrence
 146 matrices of symptoms for the clinical group (COVID-19). LowFev=Low grade fever;
 147 DryCgh=Dry cough; RespDf=Respiratory difficulties; SrThrt=Sore throat;

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

148 NslCng=Nasal congestion; HedAch=Headache; Fatigu=Fatigue; Diarrh=Diarrhea;
149 Anosmi=Anosmia; Ageusi=Ageusia; Smdstr=Some disturbances; NasDry=Nasal
150 Dryness; NasFl=Nasal Flush.

151

152 The nasal symptoms reported by the patients tended to co-occur mostly with
153 anosmia/hyposmia, and ageusia/hypogeusia (see Figure 1C), appeared before or during
154 (but not after) other symptoms of COVID-19 ($\chi^2=15.55$, $df=3$, $p<.01$), and lasted for
155 about 12.05 days in patients versus 5 days in controls ($p=.04$), on average (95% CI of
156 the difference=3.9-10.18). It is worth highlighting that 85.3% and 79.4% of the patients
157 with COVID-19 reported smell and taste loss, respectively, to some extent, in line with
158 recent literature (see Brann et al., 2020; Lechien et al., 2020; Moein et al., 2020; Spinato
159 et al., 2020).

160

161 Discussion

162 The pattern of results reveals an abnormal presence of subjective nasal symptoms in a
163 group of patients who tested positive for COVID-19. While the presence of these
164 sensations are likely irrelevant with respect to patient outcome (though some patients
165 described them as extremely annoying), the early presence of these symptoms and the
166 fact that they are clearly distinguishable from the loss of smell and taste previously
167 reported in the literature (e.g., Spinato et al., 2020) highlight the potential importance of
168 their use when exploring protocols for COVID-19. It is, however, plausible that all
169 nasal and olfactory manifestations of the illness have related etiologies (i.e., impairment
170 of epithelial cells that express ACE-2), as sudden changes in mucus density (due to the
171 impairment of nasal goblet cells) not only induce nasal dryness (Hildenbrand et al.,
172 2011), but also compromise the final adherence of the volatile chemical compounds to
173 their corresponding odorant receptors (see Hummel, 2016). The presence of these nasal

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

174 sensations could be taken into account for both diagnostic and social distancing
175 purposes, especially in those situations in which RT-PCR tests cannot be administered
176 to non-severe cases.

177 A possible limitation of the present study is the possibility of having false COVID-19
178 negative participants in the control group. It is worth mentioning that any study that
179 tests only for patients might result in a false-negative proportion, but given the
180 seroprevalence in the Spanish population (5%) at the time of this study, this might
181 imply the addition of roughly 8-9 more participants with positive result in PCR test in
182 our full sample, or 1-2 in the subsample, which would not alter the results significantly.

183 Considering that nasal dryness could easily be related to some initial damage caused by
184 SARS-CoV-2, future studies might determine the point in time where patients
185 experiencing abnormal nasal dryness begin to develop other COVID-19 symptoms.

186

187

188 **Acknowledgments**

189 We would like to thank all of the participants that volunteered to answer the survey used
190 to obtain the data reported in the present study.

191

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

192 **References**

- 193 Baig AM, Khaleeq A, Ali U, Syeda H. Evidence of the COVID-19 virus targeting the
194 CNS: Tissue distribution, host–virus interaction, and proposed neurotropic
195 mechanisms. *ACS Chem Neurosci*. 2020;11(7):995-998. doi:
196 10.1021/acschemneuro.0c00122.
- 197 Brann DH, Tsukahara T, Weinreb C, et al. Non-neuronal expression of SARS-CoV-2
198 entry genes in the olfactory system suggests mechanisms underlying COVID-19-
199 associated anosmia. *BioRxiv*; 2020. DOI: 10.1101/2020.03.25.009084.
- 200 Goodman LA. Snowball Sampling. *Ann Math Statist*. 1961;32(1):148–170.
201 doi:10.1214/aoms/1177705148
- 202 Hildenbrand T1, Weber RK, Brehmer D. Rhinitis sicca, dry nose and atrophic rhinitis: a
203 review of the literature. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2011; **268**: 17-26.
204 doi:10.1007/s00405-010-1391-z
- 205 Hummel T, Whitcroft KL, Andrews P et al. Causes and classification of olfactory
206 loss. Position paper on olfactory dysfunction. *Rhinology* 2016; **54**: 6-10.
- 207 Kim GU, Kim MJ, Ra SH, Lee J, Bae S, Jung J, Kim SH. Clinical characteristics of
208 asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with mild COVID-19. *Clin Microbiol*
209 *Infect*. 2020;26(7):948.e1-948.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.04.040
- 210 Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, De Siati DR, et al. Olfactory and gustatory
211 dysfunctions as a clinical presentation of mild to moderate forms of the
212 coronavirus disease (COVID-19): A multicenter European study. *Eur Arch*
213 *Otorhinolaryngol*. 2020. doi: 10.1007/s00405-020-05965-1
- 214 Mao L, Jin H, Wang M, et al. Neurologic manifestations of hospitalized patients with
215 coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Neurol*. 2020;e201127.
216 doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1127

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

- 217 Moein ST, Hashemian SMR, Mansourafshar B, Khorram-Tousi A, Tabarsi P, Doty RL.
- 218 Smell dysfunction: a biomarker for COVID-19. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*.
- 219 2020;10.1002/alr.22587. doi:10.1002/alr.22587
- 220 Spinato G, Fabbri C, Polesel J, et al. Alterations in smell or taste in mildly
- 221 symptomatic outpatients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *JAMA*. 2020;323(20):2089–
- 222 2090. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.6771
- 223 Sungnak W, Huang N, Bécavin C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 entry factors are highly
- 224 expressed in nasal epithelial cells together with innate immune genes. *Nat Med*.
- 225 2020;26(5):681–687. doi:10.1038/s41591-020-0868-6
- 226 Wan Y, Shang J, Graham R, Baric RS, Li F. Receptor recognition by the novel
- 227 coronavirus from Wuhan: an analysis based on decade-long structural studies of
- 228 SARS coronavirus. *J Virol*. 2020;94(7):e00127–20. doi:10.1128/JVI.00127-20
- 229 Ziegler CGK, Allon SJ, Nyquist SK, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 is an
- 230 interferon-stimulated gene in human airway epithelial cells and is detected in
- 231 specific cell subsets across tissues. *Cell*. 2020;181(5):1016–1035.e19.
- 232 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.035

Running head: ACUTE NASAL DRYNESS IN COVID-19

233 **Figure 1**

234

235