Article type: Brief report

Title: Heterogeneity of hypoxemia severity according to oxygenation index in COVID-19 pneumonia

Authors:

Isaac Núñez MD¹

Adrian Soto-Mota MD, PhD²

1. Department of Internal Medicine, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Vasco de Quiroga # 15, Belisario Domínguez Sección XVI, Tlalpan, Mexico City, 14080, Mexico.
2. Metabolic Diseases Research Unit, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Vasco de Quiroga # 15, Belisario Domínguez Sección XVI, Tlalpan, Mexico City, 14080, Mexico.

Corresponding author:

Isaac Núñez MD, Department of Internal Medicine, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán, Mexico City, Mexico. Vasco de Quiroga # 15, Belisario Domínguez Sección XVI, Tlalpan, Mexico City, 14080, Mexico. Email: isaac.nunezs@incmnsz.mx; Phone: 55 5487 0900.

The authors will not ask for reprints.

Conflicts of interest and sources of funding: the authors received no financial support for this study and have no conflicts of interest.

Word count: 990

Figure count: 2

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare hypoxemia severity of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia that arrive at an emergency department as classified by three oxygenation indexes.

Design: Retrospective analysis of pulse oximeter saturation and arterial blood gas analysis obtained at arrival.

Setting: Tertiary referral hospital in Mexico City converted early in the pandemic to a COVID-19 center.

Patients and measurements: A total of 2,960 patients with suspected COVID-19 pneumonia were admitted to the emergency department from April 2020 until March 2021. Pulse oximeter saturation and arterial blood gas analysis was obtained in all of them. Pulse oximeter saturation (SpO₂) to inspired oxygen fraction ratio (FiO₂), oxygen saturation in arterial blood (SatO₂) to FiO₂ ratio, and oxygen pressure in arterial blood to FiO₂ ratio were calculated for every patient.

Interventions: None.

Main Results: A strong correlation was seen between PaO₂/FiO₂ & SpO₂/FiO₂ ($\rho = 0.6$, $p < 0.001$), and SatO₂/FiO₂ & SpO₂/FiO₂ ($\rho = 0.65$, $p < 0.001$), while a very strong correlation was seen between PaO₂/FiO₂ & SatO₂/FiO₂ ($\rho = 0.88$, $p < 0.001$). When classifying severity by quantiles, considerable cross-over was observed when comparing oxygenation indexes, as only 785 (26.5%) patients were in the same quintile across the three indexes.

Conclusions: Hypoxemia severity is heterogeneous according to the oxygenation index utilized. This limits their usefulness as sole markers of severity, as inter-observer

variability, especially on FiO₂ estimation, and different practices limit consistent follow up and treatment decisions.

Keywords: coronavirus disease 2019; hypoxemia; pulse oximetry; blood gas analysis

INTRODUCTION

Pneumonia is the hallmark of severe COVID-19 (1). Strain in healthcare systems across the world has forced countless hospitals to conduct grueling triages to decide who gets to be admitted when healthcare saturation was rampant (2). As these decisions are inherently complex, numerous risk scores and predictor factors have been described to aid the attending medical team (3–5). These often include clinical and laboratory values.

One commonly utilized criteria to determine patient severity is the severity of hypoxia (6). This can be assessed with arterial oxygen pressure (PaO₂), PaO₂ to oxygen inspired fraction (FiO₂) ratio, arterial oxygen saturation (SatO₂), pulse oximeter oxygen saturation (SpO₂), SatO₂ to FiO₂ ratio, SpO₂ to FiO₂ ratio, and the prescribed oxygen device (for example, nasal cannula, non-rebreather mask, Venturi mask, etc) (7).

Recently, the use of these criteria for hypoxia severity in non-intubated patients has been criticized given the expected high inter-patient variability in FiO₂, shunt fraction, and physician's choice of oxygenation device and oxygen flow (7). Therefore, relying on these criteria is suboptimal given the low comparability between different patients.

In this study, we aimed to compare the severity of hypoxemia in COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia arriving at an emergency department.

METHODS

Study site description

We performed a retrospective cohort study collecting information on every patient who arrived at the emergency department (ED) of a large COVID-19 tertiary center in Mexico City between April 1st 2020, and April 30th, 2021. At arrival, every patient had to go through a triage station where vital signs (including SpO₂) were documented before entering the emergency department. Once in the emergency department, all patients who had low SpO₂ (defined as < 92%) received supplemental oxygen. Only nasal cannula and non-rebreathing masks were available at our center. Arterial blood gas analysis was performed in all patients with suspected COVID-19 and low SpO₂. Generally, FiO₂ was estimated heuristically by the treating physician by adding to the baseline FiO₂ (21%) 3% for every extra liter of oxygen per minute (L/min). For example, a patient receiving 2 L/min of supplemental oxygen would have a calculated FiO₂ of 27% (21 + 3*2). SpO₂ was obtained at ambient air, while arterial blood gas was obtained almost universally when patients received supplemental oxygen. Given the closeness between SpO₂ and blood gas analysis, we believe it is reasonable to assume that the clinical status of the patient is comparable between these two circumstances. In case more than one emergency episode was documented for a given individual, only the first one in which an arterial blood gas was obtained was included.

Statistical analysis

We obtained data about PaO₂, SatO₂, SpO₂, and FiO₂, with which we calculated PaO₂/FiO₂ and SatO₂/FiO₂. All SpO₂ were taken at triage, and as such, were ambient-air

(FiO₂ 21%). SpO₂/FiO₂ was included to better compare oxygenation indexes. The oxygen device used at the time of the arterial blood gas analysis could not be confidently determined, so it was not included. Since the low reliability of FiO₂ is the most criticized aspect of using the oxygenation device as a marker of hypoxia severity, it does not affect our analysis.

We categorized a patient's hypoxemia severity by quintiles of SpO₂/FiO₂, PaO₂/FiO₂, and SatO₂/FiO₂ (from now on referred to as “oxygenation indexes”). Lower values indicate a higher hypoxemia severity. We determined the strength of concordance between oxygenation index quintile category with chord diagrams across all three oxygenation index pairs. We calculated Spearman correlation coefficients for the three possible pairs of oxygenation indexes. We built scatterplots and used locally weighted scatterplot smoothing regression to graphically represent the data.

All analyses were conducted with R software version 4.0.0. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán.

RESULTS

A total of 23,049 triage visits occurred during the study period, corresponding to 19,644 individual patients. Of these, 8,123 had a visit due to suspected COVID-19. Among patients that were finally admitted to the ED, necessary data could be obtained for 3,013 patients. Only 53 patients were excluded due to implausibly high PO₂ levels (>100 with FiO₂ of 21%). Thus, 2,960 patients were finally included in the analysis.

Median FiO₂ was 0.34 (inter-quartile range [IQR] 0.25-0.60), PaO₂ 68 mmHg (57-84), SatO₂ 94% (91-97), SpO₂ 83% (73-88), PaO₂/FiO₂ 211 (124-281), SatO₂/FiO₂ 274 (160-364), and SpO₂/FiO₂ 395 (348-419). Correlation among oxygenation indexes is shown in **Figure 1**. A strong correlation was seen between PaO₂/FiO₂ & SpO₂/FiO₂ ($\rho = 0.6$, $p < 0.001$), and SatO₂/FiO₂ & SpO₂/FiO₂ ($\rho = 0.65$, $p < 0.001$), while a very strong correlation was seen between PaO₂/FiO₂ & SatO₂/FiO₂ ($\rho = 0.88$, $p < 0.001$). None of the variable pairs showed a linear relationship. All oxygenation indexes showed a considerable cross-over among quintiles (**Figure 2**), with 785 (26.5%) patients being in the same severity quintile across all indexes.

DISCUSSION

Our study shows there is considerable heterogeneity when classifying hypoxemia severity with different oxygenation indexes. While good correlation was observed among the three evaluated pairs, the lower correlation between SpO₂/FiO₂ and the others could be due to inaccuracy of pulse oximeters when used in patients with low oxygen saturation, physician imprecision when estimating FiO₂, and/or mixed blood in the gas analysis (8). It is likely multiple factors are involved in most cases, which is consistent with the previously

mentioned concerns (7). For example, if solely based on SpO₂ at triage, a patient could be wrongly classified as having a more severe disease, giving preference to a patient in better condition. Also, follow up could be hard if it is done only with oxygenation parameters. The morning medical team could classify the patient with a given severity with blood gas analysis, while the evening group could use a pulse oximeter.

Overall, our study adds to the argument that oxygenation is not a good measure by itself in COVID-19 pneumonia, as considerable variation when classifying disease severity is likely.

Data availability

Code used for the analysis is available at https://github.com/isaac-nunez/Oxygenation_indexes.

REFERENCES

1. Berlin DA, Gulick RM, Martinez FJ. Severe Covid-19. Solomon CG, editor. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383(25):2451-60.
2. The Lancet. Humanitarian crises in a global pandemic. *Lancet* 2020;396(10249):447.
3. Soto-Mota A, Marfil-Garza BA, Martínez Rodríguez E, Barreto Rodríguez JO, López Romo AE, Alberti Minutti P, et al. The low-harm score for predicting mortality in patients diagnosed with COVID-19: A multicentric validation study. *J Am Coll Emerg Physicians Open* 2020;1(6):1436-43.
4. Núñez I, Priego-Ranero ÁA, García-González HB, Jiménez-Franco B, Bonilla-Hernández R, Domínguez-Cherit G, et al. Common hematological values predict unfavorable outcomes in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. *Clin Immunol* 2021;225:108682.
5. Wynants L, Van Calster B, Collins GS, Riley RD, Heinze G, Schuit E, et al. Prediction models for diagnosis and prognosis of covid-19: systematic review and critical appraisal. *BMJ* 2020;m1328.
6. Tobin MJ, Laghi F, Jubran A. Why COVID-19 Silent Hypoxemia Is Baffling to Physicians. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020;202(3):356-60.
7. Tobin MJ, Jubran A, Laghi F. P_{aO_2} / F_{IO_2} ratio: the mismeasure of oxygenation in COVID-19. *Eur Respir J* 2021;57(3):2100274.

8. Chan ED, Chan MM, Chan MM. Pulse oximetry: Understanding its basic principles facilitates appreciation of its limitations. *Respir Med* 2013;107(6):789-99.

Figure 1. Correlation between oxygenation indexes. SpO2: Oxygen saturation with pulse oximeter; PaO2: oxygen pressure in arterial blood; SatO2: oxygen saturation in arterial blood; FiO2: fraction of inspired oxygen.

Figure 2. Strength of relation between oxygenation indexes by quintiles. SpO2: Oxygen saturation with pulse oximeter; PaO2: oxygen pressure in arterial blood; SatO2: oxygen saturation in arterial blood; FiO2: fraction of inspired oxygen. A) Shows relation between PaO2/FiO2 and SatO2/FiO2, B) shows relation between PaO2/FiO2 and SpO2/FiO2, and C) shows relation between SpO2/FiO2 and SatO2/FiO2. Numbers represent the respective quintile (“PaO2/FiO2 1” represents the first quintile of said variable).