

COREQ Checklist – dated May 14, 2021

Item	Guide questions/description	Response and page number referenced
<i>Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity</i>		
1. Interviewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	Page 6: “One research analyst (ER, LJ, MM) per province conducted one-on-one semi-structured, in-depth interviews.”
2. Credentials	What were the researcher’s credentials? e.g. PhD, MD	Research credentials are provided in the author list (page 1).
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	Researchers completing data collection and analysis were employed as research analysts for the study.
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	All three research analysts were female. This detail was not included in the manuscript as the interviewer’s gender did not have a bearing on the content of interviews.
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	Page 6: “Each research analyst was trained in qualitative interviewing.”
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	N/A
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	Page 5: “Participants were provided with information about the study, the study rationale, and the names of research team members.”
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	Page 5: “We provided participants with study information and an honorarium.” Study information included a list of the research team members.
<i>Domain 2: Study design</i>		
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	Page 7: “We used iterative, inductive thematic analysis.[18]” Page 7: “We conducted comparative analysis[21] to compare thematic patterns identified from the early-career FP transcripts with the resident FP dataset.”
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	Page 5: “We used purposeful sampling to maximize variation across self-identified gender, marital status, dependents, training location, years of training, years

		in practice, scope of practice, and practice models in each province.”
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	Page 5: “We recruited resident and early-career FPs for the qualitative arm of this larger mixed methods study through family medicine residency programs, social media, research conferences, and selected provincial medical associations.”
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	Page 5: “We interviewed 31 of 32 resident FPs and 63 of 69 early-career FPs who had been invited to participate in the larger mixed methods study.” Page 6: “The sample used in this paper consists of 22 resident FPs and 38 early-career FPs who: i) self-identified in the screening survey as intending to practice or currently practicing within a focused area, and/or ii) described focused practice elements in their overall practice during the interview.”
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	Page 5: “Reasons for nonparticipation included scheduling conflicts (n=2), no response (n=4), or withdrawal with no reason provided (n=1).”
14. Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	Page 6: “Telephone interviews were conducted using a semi-structured interview guide specific to each subgroup (see Appendix 2).” Given that interviews were conducted via telephone or videoconference, participants were able to participate from a location of their choosing.
15. Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	Page 6: “One research analyst (ER, LJ, MM) per province conducted one-on-one semi-structured, in-depth interviews.”
16. Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	Planned practice characteristics of resident family physicians are presented in Table 1 (page 9). Practice characteristics of early-career family physicians are presented in Table 2 (page 10).

17. Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	Page 5: “Telephone interviews were conducted using a semi-structured interview guide specific to each subgroup (see Appendix 2).”
18. Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	N/A
19. Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	Page 6: “Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim.”
20. Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	Page 6: “Research analysts recorded their reflections and interview summaries after each interview.”
21. Duration	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	Page 5: “Selected individuals were then invited to participate in a 60-minute interview.”
22. Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	Page 6: “Participant recruitment occurred iteratively until no new themes were identified in interviews.”
23. Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	Page 7: “We used accepted strategies for validating qualitative research.[22] The findings were: i) triangulated across a large sample size of participants with diverse experiences from three provinces and two types of participant groups;[23] and ii) presented to FP members of the research team (DS, IS, GM), who were not involved in the analysis process for this paper, to confirm data interpretation.”
<i>Domain 3: Analysis and findings</i>		
24. Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	Page 7: “For the qualitative arm of the larger mixed methods study, three research analysts (ER, LJ, MM) with experience in qualitative analysis generated initial resident and early-career FP codebooks through inductive coding of one resident and one early-career FP interview.[19]”
25. Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	Page 7: “For the qualitative arm of the larger mixed methods study, three research analysts (ER, LJ, MM) with experience in qualitative analysis generated initial resident and early-career FP codebooks through inductive coding of one resident and one early-career FP interview.[19] Codebooks were then refined through application to a subset of transcripts

		with guidance from the senior author (AG).”
26. Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	Page 7: “We used iterative, inductive thematic analysis.[18] For the qualitative arm of the larger mixed methods study, three research analysts (ER, LJ, MM) with experience in qualitative analysis generated initial resident and early-career FP codebooks through inductive coding of one resident and one early-career FP interview.[19]”
27. Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	Page 7: “The research analysts used the final codebook to code transcripts from their respective provinces in NVivo 12[20].”
28. Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	N/A
29. Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes / findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	Page 11: “Themes are described in detail in Table 3 with illustrative quotations.” Quotations in Table 3 are identified by participant number.
30. Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	The findings were derived from the data using an inductive approach (see page 7), and therefore are consistent with the data presented.
31. Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	Page 11: “We identified three key and three minor themes of influential factors that helped explain participants’ decisions to pursue focused practice. ... Themes are described in detail in Table 3 with illustrative quotations.” Themes are clearly presented both in the body of the paper, and in Table 3, where representative participant quotations are provided for each theme.
32. Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	Page 11: “We identified three key and three minor themes of influential factors that helped explain participants’ decisions to pursue focused practice. Key themes were prominent across both resident and early-career FP datasets, while minor themes were less salient in the data.”