TITLE: Role of physiotherapy team in critically ill COVID-19 patients pronation: can a multidisciplinary management reduce the complications rate?

AUTHORS: Andrea Glotta^a, Nicola Faldarini^b, Maira Biggiogero^c PhD, Andrea Saporito, PD^d, Diana Olivieri^b, Claudia Molteni^b, Stefano Petazzi^b, Romano Mauri^a MD, Xavier Capdevila, Prof^e, Samuele Ceruti^a MD

- a) Clinica Luganese Moncucco, Critical Care Department, Lugano, Switzerland
 - andrea.glotta@moncucco.ch
 - samuele.ceruti@moncucco.ch
 - romano.mauri@moncucco.ch
- b) Clinica Luganese Moncucco, Physiotherapy Service, Lugano, Switzerland
 - nicola.faldarini@moncucco.ch
 - diana.olivieri@moncucco.ch
 - claudia.molteni@moncucco.ch
 - stefano.petazzi@moncucco.ch
- c) Clinica Luganese Moncucco, Clinical Research Unit, Lugano, Switzerland
 - maira.biggiogero@moncucco.ch
- d) Ente Ospedaliero Cantonale, Bellinzona Regional Hospital, Service of Anaesthesia, Switzerland
 - andrea.saporito@eoc.ch
- e) Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montpellier, Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, 191 Av. du Doyen Gaston Giraud - 34295 Montpellier, France
 - x-capdevila@chu-montpellier.fr

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Samuele Ceruti, MD, Department of Intensive Care Unit, Clinica Luganese Moncucco – Via Moncucco 10, 6900 Lugano, Switzerland, Phone: 0041 91 960 8108, E-mail: samuele.ceruti@moncucco.ch

ROLE OF THE FUNDING SOURCE

The authors declare that they have funding source for this article.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest for this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Carlo Duca, for all the precious good advices.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Andrea Glotta: Formal analysis and Data curation; Nicola Faldarini: Conceptualization and Data curation; Maira Biggiogero: Roles/writing - original draft and Writing - review & editing; Diana Olivieri: Conceptualization and Data curation; Claudia Molteni: Conceptualization and Data curation; Stefano Petazzi: Conceptualization and Data curation; Romano Mauri: Writing - review & editing; Xavier Capdevila: Writing - review & editing; Samuele Ceruti: Conceptualization, Methodology, Roles/writing - original draft and Writing - review & editing.

ABSTRACT

Objectives: During the pandemic, critically ill COVID-19 patients' management presented an increased workload for Intensive Care Unit (ICU) nursing staff, particularly during pronation maneuvers, with high risk of complications. In this scenario, a support during pronation by the *ICU Physiotherapy Team* was introduced.

Research methodology: Retrospective analysis. Consecutive critically ill COVID-19 patients.

Setting: A COVID-19 Center in southern Switzerland, between March 16th and April 30th, 2020.

Main Outcome Measures: Rates and characteristics of pronation-related complications.

Results: Forty-two patients on mechanical ventilation (MV) were treated; 296 standard prone/supine positioning were performed, with 3.52 cycles/patient. One (0.3%) major complication was observed, while fourteen (33.3%) patients developed minor complications, e.g. pressure injuries. The incidence of pressure sores was related to ICU length-of-stay (LOS) ($p = 0.029$) and MV days ($p = 0.015$), while their number ($n = 27$) further correlated with ICU LOS ($p = 0.001$) and MV days ($p = 0.001$). The propensity matching score analysis did not show any protective factor of pronation regarding pressure injuries ($p = 0.448$). No other significant correlation was found.

Conclusion: The specific pronation team determined a low rate of major complications in critically ill COVID19 patients. The high rate of minor complications appeared to be related to disease severity, rather than from pronation.

KEYWORDS: Coronavirus; COVID-19; Critical Care Outcome; Intensive Care Unit; Physiotherapy; Physical Therapy Modalities; SARS-COV-2;

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 pneumonia is a viral disease caused by SARS-CoV-2, affecting over 100,000,000 patients with 2,000,000 deaths worldwide (John Hopkins University and Medicine, 2020). One main aspect in critically ill COVID-19 patients concerns acute respiratory failure due to severe interstitial bilateral pneumonia, often requiring mechanical ventilation (MV) through oro-tracheal intubation (OTI) or tracheostomy if MV is prolonged (Alhazzani et al., 2020; Miles et al., 2020; Ranieri et al., 2012). In this scenario, the burden of COVID-19 patient management for the ICU nursing staff is greatly increased (Lucchini et al., 2020b). Pronation maneuvers are part of these patients management, especially in patients requiring mechanical ventilation for respiratory failure and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) [REF], in order to improve tissue oxygenation through an improvement in ventilation/perfusion mismatch (Apte et al., 2021; Jahani et al., 2018) this maneuver requires a degree of physical effort and the presence of multiple nurses for a correct execution of the technical gesture (Lucchini et al., 2018; Qadri et al., 2020).

Conflicting data regarding pronation-related complications in ARDS patients have been reported. Some authors described an increase in complications incidence after pronation (Kopterides et al., 2009; Sud et al., 2014, 2010; Taccone et al., 2009), while other groups did not find an increased risk due to pronation (Abroug et al., 2011; Guérin et al., 2018, 2013), perhaps because of the presence of highly experienced and qualified personnel (Abroug et al., 2011; Guérin et al., 2013; Lucchini et al., 2020a; Simonelli et al., 2020) . In critically ill COVID-19 patients, some groups addressed the problem of high risk of complication related to this increased amount of pronation (Cotton et al., 2020; Kimmoun et al., 2020). In this scenario, B. Short et al (Short et al., 2020) reported a clinical benefits in having a specific “pronation team” dedicated to patients’ pronation during the pandemic wave (Doussot et al., 2020), even though they focused mainly over clinical patients evolution, rather than over the rate of complications related to this delicate procedure.

During the first weeks of the pandemic, a high ICU workload was immediately registered (Lucchini et al., 2020b), including an increased number of pronations, with consequent increase in related complications incidence. In this emergency scenario, our Clinic, having been identified as a COVID-19 Center by the Swiss Health Department [2], decided to introduce a dedicated *ICU Physiotherapy Team* (IPT) (Simonelli et al., 2020) to support nursing staff in the implementation of a correctly executed pronation maneuvers. The aim of this approach was to reduce the risk of complications through the use of qualified personnel dedicated to patient mobilization; the IPT was provided therefore a daily pronation treatment for critically ill patients with COVID-19 (Vitacca et al., 2020).

METHODS

We performed a retrospective analysis on consecutive critically ill COVID-19 patients; those untreated by the IPT were excluded. The objective of this study was to retrospectively analyze complications rates related to pronation in this ongoing process of collaboration between physiotherapists and nurses in critically ill COVID-19 patients, evaluating their interaction with outcomes on duration of MV and ICU length-of-stay (LOS). Demographic data such as age and Body-Mass Index (BMI), comorbidities such as chronic-obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS), diabetes, hypertension and ischemic heart disease (IHD) were registered and reported. Evaluation of ICU severity scores at admission (NEMS - Nine equivalents of nursing manpower use score -, SAPS - Simplified Acute Physiology Score - and SOFA - Sequential Organ Failure Assessment -), and MV features such as OTI, need for tracheostomy, days of MV, ICU LOS and number of pronation maneuvers were also reported. Ventilated patients were further stratified according to the MV modality, subdividing invasive MV (OTI and tracheostomy) and non-invasive MV (C-PAP, High-Flow or nasal cannula oxygen-therapy). Data regarding actual/past ICU workload (NEMS, SAPS and SOFA) were extrapolated from a public national dataset ("Registro dei dati - SGI-SSMI-SSMI Società svizzera di medicina intensiva," n.d.) through queries concerning *critical patients [admitted in ICU] AND first pandemic wave [from March 16th 2019 to April 16th 2019] AND [primary diagnosis of pulmonary infection]*; all data were collected and reported in the electronic medical record.

Indication to pronation

Criteria for pronation included critically ill COVID19 patients on invasive MV with ARDS criteria (Ranieri et al., 2012) and a P/F-ratio less than 150 despite standard-of-care management, associated with a protective MV (low-volume, low-pressure ventilation and adequate ventilator synchrony) (Brower et al., 2004) with FiO₂ more than 60% and PEEP titrated according to ARDS network (Brower et al., 2004). Pronation cycles were continued until the P/F-ratio remained less than 150 when supine, with FiO₂ greater than 60% and PEEP greater than 10 cmH₂O.

ICU Physiotherapy Team

The IPT consisted of a nurse and a minimum of 4 physiotherapists, of which one was specialized physiotherapist in cardiorespiratory and critical care rehabilitation. Activities in the ICU were organized with a daily employment of 4/5 physiotherapists for 7 days per week. Based on the patient clinical situation and evolution, after a short assessment with the Intensivist in charge, pronations took place every day at 4.00 pm; the IPT provided assistance to the nursing staff for

critically ill COVID-19 patients' systematic pronation. The supinations occurred at 8.00 am, if clinicians did not provide a different indication. Average length of pronation was 16 hours a day.

The pronation gesture was executed according to international standard (Doussot et al., 2020; Wiggermann et al., 2020). During the pronation maneuvers, the nurse in charge managed the head and the main devices (endotracheal tube, central venous catheter, dialysis catheter); the nurse in charge was also responsible for the IPT coordination during the pronation and ensured maneuver correct execution times. Physiotherapists were positioned in pairs on both sides of the patient, to perform pronation according to the nurse's indications. Two physiotherapists managed pronation of the thoraco-abdominal area, while other two managed the legs and the urinary catheter. Data concerning total number of patient pronation cycles and all pronations-related data were recorded in the electronic medical database.

Complications

According to other groups (Kopterides et al., 2009; McCormick and Blackwood, 2001; Park et al., 2015; Sud et al., 2014), adverse events pronation-related were stratified into major and minor complications. *Major complications* were intended as accidental endotracheal tube displacement, displacement of other devices (central venous catheter, thoracic or abdominal drainage), loss of peripheral vascular access, bone dislocations. *Minor complications* were especially intended as the presence of pressure ulcers, reported according to severity stage, number of sores and location (Kopterides et al., 2009; McCormick and Blackwood, 2001; Park et al., 2015; Sud et al., 2014). All information reported in the electronic medical record has been collected.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was to retrospectively analyze and report major complications rates in critically ill COVID-19 patients managed by the specialized team with standard cycles of pronation. Secondary outcomes were to analyze all correlations between the incidence of minor complications, like pressure injuries, and clinical data, so as to determine any potential protective factor.

Statistical analysis

A descriptive statistic was conducted; data were reported as number (percentage). Data distribution was reported as mean (SD) or as median (IQR) according to the statistical distribution, verified by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences between patient outcomes were studied by t-test for independent groups or by Wilcoxon test if non-parametric analysis was required. Study of differences between groups of categorical data was carried out by Chi-square statistics. To assess the impact of treatment (pronation/non-pronation) on complications incidence and to control for

confounding factors, a propensity score-adjusted multivariable analysis through available data was performed. Quantitative variables like age, BMI, MV days, ICU LOS, and the scores at admission SAPS, NEMS, SOFA were used; qualitative variables like sex, presence of tracheostomy or IOT, presence of comorbidities like hypertension, OSAS, COPD, diabetes, ischemic heart disease and presence of complications like VAP, need of CVVHDF during recovery, other types of infection and pulmonary thromboembolism were determined. The aim was to identify if the treatment “pronation” could act as a protective factor in the avoidance of complications. The level of significance was established to be $p < 0.05$. Statistical data analysis was performed using SPSS (version 25.0; IBM Corp, Armonk, New York, USA).

Ethical consideration

This study has been notified to the Ethics Committees (Comitato Etico Cantonale, Chairman Prof. Zanini CE_TI 3763), and it has been approved in agreement to the local Federal rules. Informed consent was obtained from patients involved in the analysis.

RESULTS

From March 16th to April 30th 2020, 43 consecutive critically ill COVID-19 patients were admitted to ICU; one was excluded from the study because he was not treated by physiotherapists. Forty-two patients were instead treated by the IPT. The median age was 67.5 years (56.7 – 73 yrs.), 35 (83.3%) were male, with a mean BMI of 28.3 Kg/m² (18.6 – 41.1, SD 5.1). Thirty-six patients (86%) were on MV, 66% ventilated by OTI and 19% by tracheostomy. The median ICU LOS for all patients was 11 days (8 – 18); median length of MV days was 8 days (5 – 13). At admission, mean SAPS score was 46.1 (13 – 94, SD 18), median SOFA score 7 (4 – 8.25) and median NEMS score was 34.5 (18 - 39) (Table 1). The actual mean NEMS value in patients admitted to ICU for COVID-19 pulmonary complication resulted 30.5 (9 – 42, SD 10.1); this was compared to the mean NEMS (22.6 (9 – 48, SD 8.2) of patients with similar clinic characteristic admitted to the ICU during the previous year, resulting in a significant augmentation of workload ($p < 0.001$).

Primary outcome

During the study period, 296 technical gestures of pronation and supination (148 pronation cycles) were performed by the IPT on MV patients (Table 2); 36 patients (86%) of the forty-two included in the study were on MV and underwent pronation and supination maneuvers, with an average of 3.52 cycles per patient (1 - 8, SD 2.47). During pronation, a

major complication was observed in one patient (0.3%), consisting in the accidental dislocation of the endotracheal tube. The tube dislocation was confirmed by emergency bronchoscopy and was associated with sudden peripheral desaturation, without any subsequent clinical consequence after rapid management. No other major complications were registered (Table 2).

Secondary outcomes:

Despite the prone position, 14 (33.3%) patients presented minor complications consisting in pressure injuries; 8 (19%) patients showed a single pressure sore, 2 (4.8%) patients presented 2 lesions, 3 (7.1%) patients had 3 lesions and 1 (2.4%) patient presented 5 different pressure injuries. Data regarding lesion distribution and staging are reported in Table 3. The pressure injury distribution however did not involve areas with major pressure, with sacral decubitus occurring in 33.3% of patients, even if they remained pronated for 16 hours/day. The *number* of pressure injuries presented significant correlations with MV days ($r = 0.475$, $p = 0.001$) (Figure 1), and ICU LOS ($r = 0.467$, $p = 0.001$) (Figure 2); similarly, the *presence* of pressure injuries also correlated with ICU LOS ($p = 0.029$) and MV days ($p = 0.015$) (Figure 2). No significant correlation was found with other variables (Table 4).

Because of the retrospective design of the study and the imbalance between treatment, especially regarding pronation/non pronation and the total number of pronations, propensity score was applied with the aim to better estimate the effect of observed data. The propensity matching score showed no protective factor of pronation regarding the incidence of pressure sores ($p = 0.448$).

DISCUSSION

To date, several groups have approached the problem regarding the creation of a dedicated team in order to better manage the high number of pronations in critically ill COVID-19 patients (Cotton et al., 2020; Doussot et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2021; Ng et al., 2020; Short et al., 2020). However, no studies reported data concerning the impact of a physiotherapy team on the incidence of pronation-induced complications. According to Lucchini et al [5], current data confirmed evidence that the nursing workload for critically ill COVID-19 patients was more increased compared to previous years. In this scenario, better procedural management require a multidisciplinary approach, with the aim to reduce pronation complication rates.

In the current study cohort, only one case of major complication induced by pronation was registered, and the presence of a specific IPT as a strategic aspect in the management of critically ill COVID-19 patients appeared to be a protective factor against the incidence of major complications. In accordance with Guérin et al. and Abroug et al. (Abroug et al., 2011; Guérin et al., 2013), we hypothesize therefore that a trained specialized team is essential to reduce major complications during pronation.

Different outcome resulted regarding minor complications. Current data induced to consider COVID-19 as a strongly debilitating disease in terms of catabolic energy balance (Romano et al., 2020). This hypothesis is supported by the identification of a direct correlation between MV days and ICU LOS with the number and the incidence of pressure sores, respectively. As already demonstrated by other group (Labeau et al., 2020; Strazzieri-Pulido et al., 2019), the greater the severity of the disease - also in catabolic terms - the greater the risk of pressure ulcers. Intriguingly, the extensive number of pressure injuries, even in anatomical district protected by pronation such as the sacral region, and their strong correlation with ICU LOS and MV days (intended as epiphenomena of disease severity), suggested that pronation did not play a protective effect on minor complications' incidence. The pathophysiological mechanism responsible for sore pressures incidence did not seem to be simply linked to mechanical factors, such as pronation; it appeared instead to be related to an imbalance of multiple mechanisms (Coleman et al., 2013). The performed propensity score analysis tends to confirm this assumption, showing no protective factor of pronation in relation to the incidence of pressure injury.

Our study presented some limitations. Firstly, it was a monocentric observational retrospective study, with a relatively small series of patients. Secondly, due to the emergency situation caused by the pandemic, it was not possible to obtain a control group; nevertheless, the evidence that major complications directly related to pronation had almost disappeared, while minor complications (not directly related to pronation) incidence persisted, can be intended as "indirect control", suggesting that the presence of a specific team for pronation can actually reduce the rate of major complications related to this gesture. Thirdly, due to the retrospective nature of the study, only pressure injuries were considered among the minor complications investigated; however, among minor complications, pressure ulcers were the most important and the most represented. It can be speculated that pronation played a protective role only in complications related to a direct gesture.

CONCLUSION

Compared to the current literature, the presence of the IPT seems to ameliorate the pronation gesture in ICU critical patients affected by COVID-19, resulting in a very low rate of major complications directly related to this technical gesture. The persistent high incidence of minor complications appeared to be a consequence of disease severity, independently from pronation itself, supporting the hypothesis that pressure injuries are induced by complex pathogenetic mechanism, probably related to multiple mechanisms and not frankly influenced by pronation.

REFERENCES

- Abroug, F., Ouanes-Besbes, L., Dachraoui, F., Ouanes, I., Brochard, L., 2011. An updated study-level meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials on proning in ARDS and acute lung injury. *Crit. Care* 15, R6.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/cc9403>
- Alhazzani, W., Møller, M.H., Arabi, Y.M., Loeb, M., Gong, M.N., Fan, E., Oczkowski, S., Levy, M.M., Derde, L., Dzierba, A., Du, B., Aboodi, M., Wunsch, H., Cecconi, M., Koh, Y., Chertow, D.S., Maitland, K., Alshamsi, F., Belle-Cote, E., Greco, M., Laundy, M., Morgan, J.S., Kesecioglu, J., McGeer, A., Mermel, L., Mammen, M.J., Alexander, P.E., Arrington, A., Centofanti, J.E., Citerio, G., Baw, B., Memish, Z.A., Hammond, N., Hayden, F.G., Evans, L., Rhodes, A., 2020. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: guidelines on the management of critically ill adults with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Intensive Care Med.* 2019, 854–887.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06022-5>
- Apte, Y., Jacobs, K., Shewdin, S., Murray, A., Tung, L., Ramanan, M., Massey, D., 2021. Prone positioning in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome, translating research and implementing practice change from bench to bedside in the era of coronavirus disease 2019. *Aust. Crit. Care.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aucc.2020.08.002>
- Brower, R.G., Hopkins University, J., Lanken, P.N., MacIntyre, N., Matthay, M.A., Morris, A., Hospi-tal, L., Lake City, S., Ancukiewicz, M., Schoenfeld, D., Tay-lor Thompson, B., 2004. Higher versus Lower Positive End-Expiratory Pressures in Patients with the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 351, 327–336.
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa032193>
- Coleman, S., Gorecki, C., Nelson, E.A., Closs, S.J., Defloor, T., Halfens, R., Farrin, A., Brown, J., Schoonhoven, L., Nixon, J., 2013. Patient risk factors for pressure ulcer development: Systematic review. *Int. J. Nurs. Stud.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.11.019>
- Cotton, S., Zawaydeh, Q., LeBlanc, S., Husain, A., Malhotra, A., 2020. Proning during covid-19: Challenges and solutions. *Hear. Lung* 49, 686–687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2020.08.006>
- Doussot, A., Ciceron, F., Cerutti, E., Salomon du Mont, L., Thines, L., Capellier, G., Pretalli, J.B., Evrard, P., Vettoretti, L., Garbuio, P., Brunel, A.S., Pili-Floury, S., Lakkis, Z., 2020. Prone Positioning for Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in COVID-19 Patients by a Dedicated Team: A Safe and Pragmatic Reallocation of Medical and Surgical Work Force in Response to the Outbreak. *Ann. Surg.* 272, e311–e315.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000004265>
- Guérin, C., Beuret, P., Constantin, J.M., Bellani, G., Garcia-Olivares, P., Roca, O., Meertens, J., Maia, P.A., Becher, T., Peterson, J., Larsson, A., Gurjar, M., Hajje, Z., Kovari, F., Assiri, A.H., Mainas, E., Hasan, M.S., Morocho-Tuttillo, D.R., Baboi, L., Chrétien, J.M., François, G., Ayzac, L., Chen, L., Brochard, L., Mercat, A., Sellami, W., Ferjani, M., Assiri, A., Al Bshabshe, A., Almekhlafi, G., Mandourah, Y., Rai, V., Marzida, M., Corcoles Gonzalez, V., Sanchez Iniesta, R., Garcia, P., Garcia-Montesinos De La Peña, M., Garcia Herrera, A., Garcia-de-Acila, M., Masclans Enviz, J.R., Mancebo, J., Heili, S., Artigas Raventos, A., Blanch Torra, L., Roche-Campo, F., Rialp, G., Forteza, C., Berrazueta, A., Martinez, E., Penuelas, O., Jara-Rubio, R., Mallat, J., Thevenin, D., Zogheib, E., Levrat, A., Porot, V., Bedock, B., Grech, L., Plantefevre, G., Badie, J., Besch, G., Pili-Floury, S., Guisset, O., Robine, A., Prat, G., Doise, J.M., Badet, M., Thouret, J.M., Just, B., Perbet, S., Lautrette, A.,

- Souweine, B., Chabanne, R., Danguy Des Déserts, M., Rigaud, J.P., Marchalot, A., Bele, N., Beague, S., Hours, S., Marque, S., Durand, M., Payen, J.F., Stoclin, A., Gaffinel, A., Winer, A., Chudeau, N., Tirot, P., Thyrault, M., Paulet, R., Aubrun, F., Guerin, C., Floccard, B., Rimmel, T., Argaud, L., Hernu, R., Crozon Clauzel, J., Wey, P.F., Bourdin, G., Pommier, C., Cueuille, N., De Varax, Marchi, E., Papazian, L., Jochmans, S., Monchi, M., Jaber, S., De Jong, A., Moulaire, V., Capron, M., Jarrige, L., Barberet, G., Lakhal, K., Rozec, B., Dellamonica, J., Robert, A., Bernardin, G., Danin, P.E., Raucoules, M., Runge, I., Foucrier, A., Hamada, S., Tesniere, A., Fromentin, M., Samama, C.M., Mira, J.P., Diehl, J.L., Mekontso Dessap, A., Arbelot, C., Demoule, A., Roche, A., Similowski, T., Ricard, J.D., Gaudry, S., Dreyfuss, D., de Montmolin, E., Da Silva, D., Verdier, B., Ardisson, F., Lemiale, V., Azoulay, E., Bruel, C., Tiercelet, K., Fartoukh, M., Voiriot, G., Hoffmann, C., Leclerc, T., Thille, A., Robert, R., Beduneau, G., Beuzelin, M., Tamion, F., Morel, J., Tremblay, A., Molliex, S., Amal, J.M., Meaudre, E., Goutorbe, P., Laffon, M., Gros, A., Nica, A., Barjon, G., Dahyot-Fizelier, C., Imzi, N., Gally, J., Real, Sauneuf, B., Souloy, X., Girbes, A., Tuinman, P.R., Schultz, M., Winters, T., Mijzen, L., Roekaerts, P.M.H.J., Vermeijden, W., Beishuizen, A., Trof, R., Corsten, S., Kesecioglu, J., Dieperink, W., Pickers, P., Roovers, N., Maia, P., Duque, M., Rua, F., Pereira De Figueiredo, A.M., Ramos, A., Fragoso, E., Azevedo, P., Gouveia, J., Costa e Silva, Z., Silva, G., Chaves, S., Nobrega, J.J., Lopes, L., Valerio, B., Araujo, A.C., de Freitas, P.T., Bouw, M.J., Melao, M., Granja, C., Marcal, P., Fernandes, A., Joao, G.P., Maia, D.F., Spadaro, S., Volta, C.A., Citerio, G., Mauri, T., Alban, L., Pesenti, A., Mistracchi, G., Formenti, P., Tommasino, C., Tardini, F., Fumagalli, R., Colombo, R., Fossali, T., Catena, E., Todeschini, M., Gnesin, P., Cracchiolo, A.N., Palma, D., Tetamo, R., Albiero, D., Costantini, E., Raimondi, F., Coppadoro, A., Vascotto, E., Lusenti, F., Schädler, D., Weiler, N., Karagiannidis, C., Petersson, J., Konrad, D., Kawati, R., Wessbergh, J., Valtysson, J., Rockstroh, M., Borgstrom, S., Larsson, N., Thunberg, J., Camsooksai, J., Briggs, Cuesta, J., Anwar, S., O'Brien, B., Barberis, L., Sturman, J., Karatzas, S., Piza, P., Sottiaux, T., Adam, J.F., Gawda, R., Gawor, M., Alqadah, M., Cohen, D., Baker, A., Namendys-Silva, S.A., Garcia-Guillen, F.J., Morocho Tuttillo, D.R., Jibaja Vega, M., Zakalik, G., Pagella, G., Marengo, J., 2018. A prospective international observational prevalence study on prone positioning of ARDS patients: the APRONET (ARDS Prone Position Network) study. *Intensive Care Med.* 44, 22–37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-017-4996-5>
- Guérin, C., Reignier, J., Richard, J.C., Beuret, P., Gacouin, A., Boulain, T., Mercier, E., Badet, M., Mercat, A., Baudin, O., Clavel, M., Chatellier, D., Jaber, S., Rosselli, S., Mancebo, J., Sirodot, M., Hilbert, G., Bengler, C., Richecoeur, J., Gainnier, M., Bayle, F., Bourdin, G., Leray, V., Girard, R., Baboi, L., Ayzac, L., 2013. Prone positioning in severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 368, 2159–2168. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1214103>
- Jahani, S., Hajivand Soleymani, Z., Asadizaker, M., Soltani, F., Cheraghian, B., 2018. Determination of the Effects of Prone Position on Oxygenation in Patients with Acute Respiratory Failure Under Mechanical Ventilation in ICU. *J. Med. Life* 11, 274–280. <https://doi.org/10.25122/jml-2018-0028>
- John Hopkins University and Medicine, 2020. COVID-19 Map - Johns Hopkins Coronavirus Resource Center [WWW Document]. John Hopkins Coronavirus Resour. Cent. URL <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html> (accessed 5.14.20).
- Johnson, J.K., Lapin, B., Green, K., Stilphen, M., 2021. Frequency of Physical Therapist Intervention Is Associated With Mobility Status and Disposition at Hospital Discharge for Patients With COVID-19. *Phys. Ther.* 101, 19.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzaa181>

- Kimmoun, A., Levy, B., Chenuel, Bruno, Barde, S., Didelot, A., Chenuel, B., Zieminski, P., Lorcin, M., Schweitzer, C., Karunna, R., Moulin, V., Huet, M., Lacour, F., Rodriguez, P., Grandmougin, D., Liu, Y., Metzdorf, P.A., Costa, M., Fouquet, T., Germain, A., Chanty, H., Levy, J., Didier, A., Lawton, J., Parietti-Winkler, C., Manuguerra, A., Mazeaud, C., Gaulier, C., Rumeau, C., Bourson, L., Cholley-Roulleau, M., Ionescu, A., Gatin, A., Perez, M., Schwanké, A., Lauria, G., Freysz, L., Cuinet, T., Chauvelot, J., Mottola, C., Toussaint, T., Dechambenoit, L., Lagrange, F., Mathieu, C., Clément, C., Benamron, H., Dubouis, L., Kremer, H., Cabanel, L., Falcetta, M., Gorzkowski, V., Campoli, P., Cavailhes, J., Zavoli, J., Nominé-Criqui, C., Felloni, J., Cloché-Fouquet, V., Midon, F.G., Vaz, G., Valentin, D., Perkovic, V., Courandon, A., Briot, Y., Epin, A., Dreller, K., Florion, L., Larose, C., Barron, M., Sadoul, C., Gimbert, M., Fernandez, M., Thomas, T., Bichet, P., Petkunaite, N., Brahami, S., Nguyen, D., Vaz, G., Schaefer, A., Fabbri, C., Ferri, C., Gegout, A., Poncy, A., Delaplace, R., Ammisaid, M., Rebois, J., Vendeville, B., Dubroux, C., Raynaud, R., Moog, S., Cottez, C., Woirhaye, L., Menet, J., Madkaud, A.C., Naisseline, L., Mathieu, C., Raze, T., Violon, F., Meiers, M., Albanesi, D., Durand, O., Textoris, L., Dubost, T., 2020. Usefulness and safety of a dedicated team to prone patients with severe ARDS due to COVID-19. *Crit. Care*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-03128-6>
- Kopterides, P., Siempos, I.I., Armaganidis, A., 2009. Prone positioning in hypoxemic respiratory failure: Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J. Crit. Care* 24, 89–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2007.12.014>
- Labeau, S.O., Afonso, E., Benbenishty, J., Blackwood, B., Boulanger, C., Brett, S.J., Calvino-Gunther, S., Chaboyer, W., Coyer, F., Deschepper, M., François, G., Honore, P.M., Jankovic, R., Khanna, A.K., Llauro-Serra, M., Lin, F., Rose, L., Rubulotta, F., Saager, L., Williams, G., Blot, S.I., 2020. Prevalence, associated factors and outcomes of pressure injuries in adult intensive care unit patients: the DecubICUs study. *Intensive Care Med.* 15, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06234-9>
- Lucchini, A., Bambi, S., Galazzi, A., Elli, S., Negrini, C., Vaccino, S., Triantafillidis, S., Biancardi, A., Cozzari, M., Fumagalli, R., Foti, G., 2018. Unplanned extubations in general intensive care unit: A nine-year retrospective analysis. *Acta Biomed.* 89, 25–31. <https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v89i7-S.7815>
- Lucchini, A., Bambi, S., Mattiussi, E., Elli, S., Villa, L., Bondi, H., Rona, R., Fumagalli, R., Foti, G., 2020a. Prone Position in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Patients: A Retrospective Analysis of Complications. *Dimens. Crit. Care Nurs.* 39, 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1097/DCC.0000000000000393>
- Lucchini, A., Giani, M., Elli, S., Villa, S., Rona, R., Foti, G., 2020b. Nursing Activities Score is increased in COVID-19 patients. *Intensive Crit. care Nurs.* 59, 102876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2020.102876>
- McCormick, J., Blackwood, B., 2001. Nursing the ARDS patient in the prone position: The experience of qualified ICU nurses. *Intensive Crit. Care Nurs.* <https://doi.org/10.1054/iccn.2001.1611>
- Miles, B.A., Schiff, B., Ganly, I., Ow, T., Cohen, E., Genden, E., Culliney, B., Mehrotra, B., Savona, S., Wong, R.J., Haigentz, M., Caruana, S., Givi, B., Patel, K., Hu, K., 2020. Tracheostomy during SARS-CoV-2 pandemic: Recommendations from the New York Head and Neck Society, in: *Head and Neck*. John Wiley and Sons Inc., pp. 1282–1290. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26166>
- Ng, J.A., Miccile, L.A., Iracheta, C., Berndt, C., Detwiller, M., Yuse, C., Tolland, J., 2020. Prone positioning of patients

- with acute respiratory distress syndrome related to COVID-19: A rehabilitation-based prone team. *Phys. Ther.* 100, 1737–1745. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/pzaa124>
- Park, S.Y.S.S., Kim, H.J., Yoo, K.H., Park, Y.B., Kim, S.W., Lee, S.W.S.J., Kim, E.K., Kim, J.H., Kim, Y.H., Moon, J. yong, Min, K.H., Park, S.Y.S.S., Lee, J., Lee, C.H., Park, J., Byun, M.K., Lee, S.W.S.J., Rlee, C.K., Jung, J.Y., Sim, Y.S., 2015. The efficacy and safety of prone positioning in adults patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J. Thorac. Dis.* 7, 356–367. <https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2014.12.49>
- Qadri, S.K., Ng, P., Toh, T.S.W., Loh, S.W., Tan, H.L., Lin, C. Bin, Fan, E., Lee, J.H., 2020. Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19: A Narrative Review on Prone Position. *Pulm. Ther.* 6, 233–246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41030-020-00135-4>
- Ranieri, V.M., Rubenfeld, G.D., Thompson, B.T., Ferguson, N.D., Caldwell, E., Fan, E., Camporota, L., Slutsky, A.S., 2012. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: The Berlin definition. *JAMA - J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 307, 2526–2533. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.5669>
- Registro dei dati - SGI-SSMI-SSMI Società svizzera di medicina intensiva [WWW Document], n.d. URL <https://www.sgi-ssmi.ch/it/datensatz.html> (accessed 7.17.20).
- Romano, L., Bilotta, F., Dauri, M., Macheda, S., Pujia, A., de Santis, G.L., Tarsitano, M.G., Merra, G., Renzo, L.D.I., Esposito, E., de Lorenzo, A., 2020. Short Report – Medical nutrition therapy for critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* https://doi.org/10.26355/EURREV_202004_20874
- Short, B., Parekh, M., Ryan, P., Chiu, M., Fine, C., Scala, P., Moses, S., Jackson, E., Brodie, D., Yip, N.H., 2020. Rapid implementation of a mobile prone team during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J. Crit. Care* 60, 230–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2020.08.020>
- Simonelli, C., Paneroni, M., Fokom, A.G., Saleri, M., Speltoni, I., Favero, I., Garofali, F., Scalvini, S., Vitacca, M., 2020. How the COVID-19 infection tsunami revolutionized the work of respiratory physiotherapists: An experience from Northern Italy. *Monaldi Arch. Chest Dis.* 90, 292–298. <https://doi.org/10.4081/monaldi.2020.1085>
- Strazzeri-Pulido, K.C., Carol, C.V., Nogueira, P.C., Padilha, K.G., Vera, V.L.C., 2019. Pressure injuries in critical patients: Incidence, patient-associated factors, and nursing workload. *J. Nurs. Manag.* 27, 301–310. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.12671>
- Sud, S., Friedrich, J.O., Adhikari, N.K.J., Taccone, P., Mancebo, J., Polli, F., Latini, R., Pesenti, A., Curley, M.A.Q., Fernandez, R., Chan, M.C., Beuret, P., Voggenreiter, G., Sud, M., Tognoni, G., Gattinoni, L., Guérin, C., 2014. Effect of prone positioning during mechanical ventilation on mortality among patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ* 186. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.140081>
- Sud, S., Friedrich, J.O., Taccone, P., Polli, F., Adhikari, N.K.J., Latini, R., Pesenti, A., Guérin, C., Mancebo, J., Curley, M.A.Q., Fernandez, R., Chan, M.C., Beuret, P., Voggenreiter, G., Sud, M., Tognoni, G., Gattinoni, L., 2010. Prone ventilation reduces mortality in patients with acute respiratory failure and severe hypoxemia: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-009-1748-1>

- Taccone, P., Pesenti, A., Latini, R., Polli, F., Vagginelli, F., Mietto, C., Caspani, L., Raimondi, F., Bordone, G., Iapichino, G., Mancebo, J., Guérin, C., Ayzac, L., Blanch, L., Fumagalli, R., Tognoni, G., Gattinoni, L., 2009. Prone positioning in patients with moderate and severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA - J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 302, 1977–1984. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.1614>
- Vitacca, M., Carone, M., Clini, E.M., Paneroni, M., Lazzeri, M., Lanza, A., Privitera, E., Pasqua, F., Gigliotti, F., Castellana, G., Banfi, P., Guffanti, E., Santus, P., Ambrosino, N., 2020. Joint Statement on the Role of Respiratory Rehabilitation in the COVID-19 Crisis: The Italian Position Paper. *Respiration* 99, 493–499. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000508399>
- Wiggermann, N., Zhou, J., Kumpar, D., 2020. Proning Patients With COVID-19: A Review of Equipment and Methods. *Hum. Factors* 62, 1069–1076. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720820950532>

TABLES

TABLE 1 Demographics

	Characteristics	Enrolled (n = 42)
Demographics	Age	67.5 (56.75 – 73)
	Male	35 (83.3)
	BMI	28 (18.6 – 41.1, SD 5.1)
Comorbidities	Hypertension	20 (47.6%)
	Diabetes	14 (33.3%)
	OSAS	6 (14.3%)
	COPD	5 (11.9%)
	Heart Ischemic Disease	9 (21.4%)
	VTE	7 (16.7%)
Severity score at ICU admission	NEMS	34.5 (18 – 39)
	SAPS II	46.1 (13 – 94, SD 18.22)
	SOFA	7 (4 – 8.25)
MV parameters	Patients on MV	36 (86%)
	• Endotracheal tube	28 (66%)
	• Tracheostomy	8 (19%)
	Pronation maneuvers	3.52 (0 – 8, SD 2.48)
	ICU LOS (n = 42)	11 (6 – 18)
	MV Days (n = 36)	8 (5 – 13)

Demographic characteristics at ICU admission. Data are presented as means (min-max, SD) or medians (IQR) for non-normally distributed variables.

TABLE 2 Major Complications pronation-related

Number of pronation	296
Endotracheal tube removal	0
Endotracheal tube dislocation	1 (0.3)
Displacement of devices	0
Loss of peripheral vascular access	0
Bone dislocations	0

Major complications in ICU directly related to the technical gesture of pronation. Data are presented as numbers and percentual.

TABLE 3 Stratification of minor complications pronation-related (pressures injuries) according degree and site

	Degree I	Degree II	Degree III	Degree IV	Total
Chest	1 (3.7)	5 (18.5)	0	0	6 (22.2)
Sacred	2 (7.4)	3 (11.1)	4 (14.8)	0	9 (33.3)
Chin	0	2 (7.4)	1 (3.7)	0	3 (11.1)
Lip rhyme	0	1 (3.7)	0	0	1 (6.25)
Knee	2 (7.4)	1 (3.7)	0	0	3 (11.1)
Cheek	0	2 (7.4)	1 (3.7)	0	3 (11.1)
Hip	0	1 (3.7)	0	0	1 (6.25)
Gluteus	0	1 (3.7)	0	0	1 (6.25)
Total	5 (18.5)	16 (59.2)	6 (22.2)	0	27 (100)

Pressure injuries degrees and sites, intended as “minor complications” of pronation. The greatest prevalence of lesions occurs at sacral level, an anatomical area that mechanically should be preserved from compression with pronation. Data are presented as numbers and percentual.

TABLE 4: Correlation analysis between main variables

	N sores	ICU LOS	Age	BMI	SAPS	SOFA	NEMS	MV days	Number of pronation
N sores	-	0.467 <i>0.001</i>	0.177 <i>0.131</i>	0.123 <i>0.220</i>	0.015 <i>0.463</i>	0.221 <i>0.079</i>	0.072 <i>0.326</i>	0.475 <i>0.001</i>	0.161 <i>0.154</i>
ICU LOS	0.467 <i>0.001</i>	-	0.236 <i>0.066</i>	0.057 <i>0.359</i>	0.032 <i>0.420</i>	0.013 <i>0.469</i>	0.040 <i>0.401</i>	0.987 <i>0.000</i>	0.251 <i>0.055</i>
Age	0.177 <i>0.131</i>	0.236 <i>0.066</i>	-	0.309 <i>0.023</i>	0.339 <i>0.014</i>	0.344 <i>0.013</i>	0.025 <i>0.438</i>	0.276 <i>0.039</i>	-0.201 <i>0.101</i>
BMI	0.123 <i>0.220</i>	0.057 <i>0.359</i>	0.309 <i>0.023</i>	-	0.190 <i>0.114</i>	0.132 <i>0.202</i>	0.074 <i>0.322</i>	0.052 <i>0.372</i>	0.186 <i>0.119</i>
SAPS	0.015 <i>0.463</i>	0.032 <i>0.420</i>	0.339 <i>0.014</i>	0.190 <i>0.114</i>	-	0.319 <i>0.020</i>	0.161 <i>0.154</i>	0.107 <i>0.249</i>	-0.008 <i>0.481</i>
SOFA	0.221 <i>0.079</i>	0.013 <i>0.469</i>	0.344 <i>0.013</i>	0.132 <i>0.202</i>	0.319 <i>0.020</i>	-	0.536 <i>0.000</i>	0.068 <i>0.334</i>	0.036 <i>0.412</i>
NEMS	0.072 <i>0.326</i>	0.040 <i>0.401</i>	0.025 <i>0.438</i>	0.074 <i>0.322</i>	0.161 <i>0.154</i>	0.536 <i>0.000</i>	-	0.055 <i>0.365</i>	0.341 <i>0.013</i>
MV days	0.475 <i>0.001</i>	0.987 <i>0.000</i>	0.276 <i>0.039</i>	0.052 <i>0.372</i>	0.107 <i>0.249</i>	0.036 <i>0.334</i>	0.341 <i>0.365</i>	-	0.264 <i>0.046</i>
Number of pronation	0.161 <i>0.154</i>	0.251 <i>0.055</i>	0.201 <i>0.101</i>	0.186 <i>0.119</i>	0.008 <i>0.481</i>	0.036 <i>0.412</i>	0.341 <i>0.013</i>	0.264 <i>0.046</i>	-

Data are presented as Pearson Correlation and p value (italic).

FIGURE 1:

Patients' distribution comparing number of MV days and pressure injuries ($r = 0.475$, $p = 0.001$).

FIGURE 2:

Distribution of ICU LOS correlated to the presence/absence of pressure injuries ($p=0.029$).