Preterm birth, stillbirth, and early neonatal mortality during the Danish COVID-19 lockdown

Paula L Hedley, PhD ^{1#}; Gitte Hedermann, MD ^{1,2#}; Christian M Hagen, MSc ^{1#}; Marie Bækvad-Hansen, PhD ^{1,3}; Henrik Hjalgrim, MD, PhD ^{4,5}; Klaus Rostgaard, MSc ⁴; Anna D Laksafoss, MSc ⁴; Steen Hoffmann, MD ⁶; Jørgen Skov Jensen, MD, DMSc ⁶; Morten Breindahl, MD, PhD ⁷; Mads Melbye, MD, DMSc ^{4,8,9}; Anders Hviid, DMSc ^{4,10}; David M Hougaard, MD, DMSc ^{1,3}; Lone Krebs, MD, DMSc ^{11,12}; Ulrik Lausten-Thomsen, MD, PhD ^{*6}; Michael Christiansen, MD ^{*1,13}

¹ Department for Congenital Disorders, Danish National Biobank and Biomarkers, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

³ Danish Center for Neonatal Screening, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁴ Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁵ Department of Haematology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁶ Department of Bacteria, Parasites & Fungi, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁷ Department of Neonatology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁸ Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁹ Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

¹⁰ Pharmacovigilance Research Center, Department of Drug Development and Clinical Pharmacology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹¹ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Amager and Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹² Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹³ Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

#Contributed equally *Contributed equally.

Keywords (MeSH): Gestational Age; Stillbirth; Perinatal Death; COVID-19; Epidemics, Infant, Premature; Infant, Extremely Premature

Running title: Perinatal health during COVID-19 lockdown

Corresponding authors*: Michael Christiansen: mic@ssi.dk, tel +45 32 68 36 57: Department for Congenital Disorders, Danish National Biobank and Biomarkers, Statens Serum Institut, Artillerivej 5, Copenhagen-2300, Denmark

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Ulrik Lausten-Thomsen: ulrik.lausten-thomsen@regionh.dk, tel +45 31 35 24 87:
Department of Neonatology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet,
Blegdamsvej 9, Copenhagen-2100, Denmark

Contributors:

- 1) Paula L Hedley [#] (shared first author with Gitte Hedermann): Conceptualisation; Formal analysis, Methodology; Project administration; Visualisation; Writing-original draft; Writing-review & editing
- 2) Gitte Hedermann [#] (shared first author with Paula L Hedley): Conceptualisation; Methodology; Project administration; Writing-review & editing
- 3) Christian M Hagen: Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology, Visualisation; Writing-review & editing
- 4) Marie Bækvad-Hansen: Data curation; Resources; Writing-review & editing
- 5) Henrik Hjalgrim: Methodology; Writing-review & editing
- 6) Klaus Rostgaard: Methodology; Writing-review & editing
- 7) Anna D Laksafoss: Methodology; Writing-review & editing
- 8) Steen Hoffmann: Data curation; Writing-review & editing
- 9) Jørgen Skov Jensen: Data curation; Writing-review & editing
- 10) Morten Breindahl: Project administration ; Writing-review & editing
- 11) Mads Melbye: Writing-review & editing
- 12) Anders Hviid: Methodology; Writing-review & editing
- 13) David M Hougaard: Conceptualisation; Project administration; Resources; Writing-review & editing
- 14) Lone Krebs: Project administration; Writing-review & editing
- 15) Ulrik Lausten-Thomsen ^{*} Conceptualisation; Methodology, Project administration; Writing-review & editing
- 16) Michael Christiansen ^{*} Conceptualisation; Methodology, Project administration, Writing-original draft; Writing-review & editing

All authors contributed to the final version and critically reviewed the manuscript.

[#] contributed equally as first authors

^{*} contributed equally as corresponding authors

Declaration of interests:

Dr Breindahl has a patent (NeoHelp) with royalties paid. Dr Breindahl has nothing else to disclose

All other authors reported to have nothing to disclose.

1 **Key points**

2 **Question:** Can changes in stillbirth and early neonatal mortality rates during the COVID-19

3 lockdown explain the reduction in extremely preterm birth seen in Denmark?

4 **Findings:** In this nationwide register-based study that included data pertaining to 245,999

5 pregnancies, the statistically significant reduction in extremely preterm birth during the COVID-19

6 lockdown was confirmed. The stillbirth and early neonatal mortality rates were unchanged during

7 lockdown, while the stillbirth rate was reduced over the extended period of COVID-19 restrictions.

8 **Meaning:** The reduction in extremely preterm births seen during the COVID-19 lockdown in

9 Denmark is not a result of increased stillbirth or early neonatal death.

10 **Abstract**

11 **Importance:** Using provisional or opportunistic data, three nationwide studies (The Netherlands,
12 USA, and Denmark) have identified a reduction in preterm or extremely preterm births during
13 periods of COVID-19 restrictions. However, these findings have been challenged as none of the
14 studies accounted for perinatal deaths.

15 **Objective:** To determine whether the reduction in extremely preterm births, observed in Denmark
16 during the COVID-19 lockdown, could be a result of an increase in number of perinatal deaths; and
17 to assess the impact of extended COVID-19 restrictions on the prevalence of preterm birth and
18 perinatal death.

19 **Design:** The study is a nationwide Danish register-based prevalence proportion study using
20 detailed data to capture all births (induced abortions were excluded) throughout Denmark. We
21 assessed the prevalence of stillbirth, preterm birth, and early neonatal death.

22 **Setting:** Population-based study

23 **Participants:** All singleton pregnancies delivered in Denmark, between February 27, and
24 September 30, 2015-2020. COVID-19 lockdown was studied in 31,164 births and the extended
25 period of COVID-19 restrictions in 214,862 births.

26 **Exposure:** COVID-19 restrictions broadly (February 27, – September 30, 2020) or COVID-19
27 lockdown specifically (March 12, – April 14, 2020).

28 **Main Outcome:** Prevalence of preterm births, stillbirths and early neonatal deaths across the
29 periods under study.

30 **Results:** The extremely preterm birth rate was reduced (OR 0.27, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.86) during the
31 strict lockdown period, while perinatal mortality was not significantly different. During the
32 extended period of restrictions, the extremely preterm birth rate was marginally reduced, and a
33 significant reduction in the stillbirth rate (OR 0.69, 0.50 to 0.95) was observed. No changes in early
34 neonatal mortality rates were found.

35 **Conclusion and Relevance:** Stillbirth and extremely preterm birth rates were reduced in Denmark
36 during the period of COVID-19 restrictions and lockdown, respectively, suggesting that aspects of
37 these containment and control measures confer an element of protection. The present
38 observational study does not allow for causal inference; however, the results support design of
39 studies to ascertain whether behavioural or social changes for pregnant women may improve
40 pregnancy outcomes.

41 **Funding:** None

42 Introduction

43 COVID-19 containment and control policies were implemented worldwide in response to the
44 SARS-Cov-2 pandemic. The preventative and protective measures placed on communities in order
45 to reduce viral transmission created an opportunistic experiment¹ which may add to our future
46 understanding of what causes preterm birth (PTB).² A Danish nationwide study first described a
47 dramatic reduction in extremely PTBs (xPTB) during the strict lockdown period.³ Following this
48 finding, similar reductions in PTBs were reported from the Netherlands,¹ Japan,⁴ Italy,⁵
49 Tennessee,⁶ New York,⁷ Israel,⁸ and the USA⁹ and a report from Ireland described a reduced
50 proportion of very low birth weight babies.¹⁰ However, smaller studies from California,¹¹
51 Philadelphia,¹² Israel,¹³ Spain,¹⁴ and London,¹⁵ as well as a recent nationwide Swedish study¹⁶
52 could not confirm these findings.

53 A recent meta-analysis indicated that during the COVID-19 pandemic high-income countries
54 generally saw a reduction in PTBs and stillbirths, while low- to -middle-income countries (LMIC)
55 saw increases.¹⁷ Notably there are very few reports from LMIC. The conflicting findings can in part
56 be explained by health system inefficiencies and/or an inability to deal adequately with the
57 pandemic.¹⁷ In Nepal, for instance, the number of women giving birth in institutions dropped
58 precipitously.¹⁸ These regional differences are further supported by a prepublication reporting
59 data from 17 countries,¹⁹ where the xPTB rate was reduced between 11 % and 22 % in the
60 European region, and increased by 48 % in China and India. Furthermore, some studies have
61 reported elevated stillbirth rates^{5, 13, 15} and a study from Nepal reported an increase in both PTBs
62 and stillbirths during their lockdown periods.¹⁸

63 Stillbirths and early neonatal mortality rates were not assessed in the three nationwide studies
64 from The Netherlands, USA, and Denmark^{1, 3, 9}. Since PTB rates could be reduced in response to
65 increasing perinatal mortality rates²⁰ there is a need to assess live birth rates alongside perinatal
66 mortality rates (stillbirth and early neonatal death) during the different periods studied.
67 Accordingly, this study aimed to use data pertaining to all Danish pregnancies and births, captured
68 in the extensive nationwide electronic registers to study the prevalence of PTB, stillbirth, and early
69 neonatal mortality from singleton pregnancies during the first strict lockdown period in Denmark
70 and during the continuous period of COVID-19 restrictions. Furthermore, we describe the
71 stringency of Danish COVID-19 policies and the timeline of their implementation in order to
72 contextualise our findings.

73 **Methods**

74 **Study design and participants**

75 The study is a retrospective register-based, nationwide study comparing the prevalence's of PTB
76 and perinatal death (stillbirth, and early neonatal death defined as death within the first seven
77 days of life) in the Danish COVID-19 lockdown period with the same calendar periods in the
78 preceding five years. All singleton births, born with a gestational age $\geq 22+0$ weeks, registered in
79 these periods, were included and the outcome, i.e., stillbirth, live birth at specific gestational ages,
80 as well as death within the early neonatal period (first seven days of life) – were registered.
81 Induced abortions were excluded.

82 **Data sources**

83 Participants were identified using the extensive population-based registers available in Denmark,²¹
84 specifically The Danish Civil Registration System,²² the Medical Birth Registry,²³ and The Danish
85 National Patient Registry (LPR)²⁴ (LPR2 and, from February 2019, LPR3). Country specific
86 information about stringency and temporality of COVID-19 lockdown measures was obtained from
87 the Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker²⁵. Mobility information from cell phone
88 registrations were obtained from Google COVID-19 Community Mobility Reports.²⁶

89 **Study period and outcomes**

90 Births taking place between March 12, and April 14, 2020 constituted the group exposed to strict
91 lockdown measures, and births between February 27, and September 30, 2020 constituted the
92 broader group exposed to COVID-19 restrictions. The unexposed, control group, was the
93 aggregated deliveries in the calendar periods February 27, – September 30, and March 12, – April
94 14, 2015-2019, respectively.

95 **Statistical analysis**

96 Prevalences were compared using Fisher's exact test and proportionality test. Data analysis was
97 performed using R version 4.0.3.

98 **Ethics approval**

99 The study was conducted according to Danish legislation and guidelines for register research and
100 was approved by the Data Protection Agency officer at Statens Serum Institut (No: 20/04753)

101 **Results**

102 **The Danish lockdown**

103 A strict lockdown was in effect from March 12 to April 14, 2020, followed by varying COVID-19
104 restrictions from April 15 to the end of this study, September 30, 2020. The chronological
105 development of the official Danish response to the COVID-19 pandemic is summarised in Figure 1,
106 and the instituted measures, as well as behavioural effects, are described in detail in the
107 eAppendix and eFigures 1A and 1B in the Supplement.

108 **Birth and Mortality Rates During The Strict lockdown**

109 A total of 31,164 pregnancies with gestational age $\geq 22+0$ weeks were registered in Denmark
110 during the period March 12, – April 14, in 2015 – 2020. No differences in birth rates, or perinatal
111 mortality rates were found between the year of 2020 and preceding years 2015 – 2019 (Table 1).
112 However, the xPTB rate was significantly reduced (OR 0.27, 95% CI 0.07 – 0.86) while the other
113 PTB groups were not changed (Table 2). There were no differences in gestational age dependent
114 stillbirth rates, perinatal mortality and neonatal mortality rates (Table 2).

115 **Birth and Mortality Rates During The Extended period of COVID-19 restrictions**

116 A total of 214,862 births with gestational age $\geq 22+0$ weeks were registered in Denmark during the
117 period February 27 – September 30, 2015-2020. The number of live births in 2020 was similar to
118 the mean number of live birth per years during 2015 – 2019 (Figure 2A), but the number of
119 stillbirths in 2020 was reduced in all months, except April (Figure 2B). The number of extremely
120 preterm live births was reduced, albeit insignificantly, in all months, except May (OR 2.72, 1.40 –
121 5.09) and June (OR 2.33, 1.05 – 4.83) where they were significantly increased (Figure 2C). The
122 number of extremely preterm stillbirths was uniformly reduced in all months (Figure 2D). The live

123 birth rate, for all PTB groups, was not different in 2020 (Table 2). Furthermore, the proportion of
124 term pregnancies was increased (OR 1.38, 1.29 – 1.46), whereas the proportion of late term
125 pregnancies was reduced (OR 0.85, 0.71 – 1.01) (Table 2). Importantly, the total stillbirth rate was
126 reduced (OR 0.69, 0.50 – 0.95), resulting in a corresponding reduction in perinatal mortality (OR
127 0.76, 0.20 – 0.98) (Table 1). The very early and early neonatal mortality rates were not different
128 between 2020 and 2015 – 2019 (Table 1).

129 **Combined Extremely Preterm Live and Still birth Rates**

130 The monthly combined stillbirth and live birth rates for infants delivered with gestational age
131 between 22+0 and 28+0 weeks (extremely preterm), are illustrated in Figure 3. Despite a non-
132 significant increase in May and June, the combined xPTB rate (live and still) during the COVID-19
133 restriction period was significantly reduced (2.8 per 1000 births compared to 3.8 per 1000 births in
134 2015-2019) (OR 0.73, 0.59 – 0.96). The increase in May and June is underpinned by an increase in
135 xPTBs (live births) (OR 2.72, 1.40 – 5.09, and OR 2.33, 1.05 – 4.83), respectively) (Figure 2D). May
136 and June are months where restrictions were eased (eFigure 1A) resulting in a marked increase in
137 workplace attendance, public transport use, and visits to retail spaces alongside a reduction in
138 time spent in places of residence (eFigures 1C & 2).

139 Discussion

140 Prematurity is a complex and challenging pathophysiological condition²⁷ which is the leading cause
141 of death in children under five years old.^{28, 29} The complex and multifaceted aetiology of PTB is
142 linked to a wide range of psychosocial, medical, and environmental factors, but remains only
143 partly understood.³⁰ Emerging data suggest that the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent
144 mitigation measures have provided a natural experiment, through which we can compare PTB and
145 perinatal mortality rates against the human psychosocial and environmental characteristics of pre-
146 COVID-19 and COVID-19 restriction periods.

147 Using population-based Danish register data, we have documented that the strict lockdown period
148 is associated with a ~70 % reduction in singleton xPTBs, while no difference was noted for the
149 other PTB groups (Table 2). This result is compatible with the previously reported ~90 % reduction
150 in xPTBs assessed from the Danish Neonatal Screening Biobank (DNSB),³ taking into account that
151 three out of four extremely preterm infants died during the first day of life (very early neonatal
152 death) – precluding their appearance in the DNSB (Table 1). The proportion of very PTBs was
153 slightly, ~20 %, reduced, but there is no evidence for a protective effect of the lockdown in this
154 group. It is important to note that the reduction in xPTBs during the lockdown period does not
155 appear to be mirrored by an increase in stillbirths (Table 1 & 2).

156 A reduction in PTB or xPTBs has been observed in three nationwide studies from high income
157 countries (The Netherlands, USA, and Denmark^{1, 3, 9}). The stringency of lockdown in all three
158 countries was greater than 70 %, (eFigures 1, 3, and 5 in the Supplement), with clear effects on
159 location behaviour, e.g., workplace attendance reduced around 50 %, (eFigures 1, - 6 in the
160 Supplement). A nationwide study conducted in Sweden found no effect on the rate of PTB,¹⁶

161 however, the Swedish COVID-19 response was characterized by comparatively lenient lockdown
162 measures, with a stringency of approximately 65 % (eFigure 7 in the Supplement). Mobility data
163 from Sweden reflects these measures with an average reduction of workplace attendance of
164 approximately 30 % (eFigures 7 & 8 in the Supplement). These data suggest that the reduction in
165 xPTBs correlates with the nature and extent of the lockdown, and, in consequence, the
166 behavioural and psychosocial changes associated with an effective lockdown.

167 The data presented in the current study, covers a broader period of the Danish COVID-19
168 restrictions, and demonstrates the temporal variation in xPTB rates after the easing of the
169 lockdown (Apr 15 – Sep 30) as illustrated by a marked increase in xPTB rates in May and June
170 (Figure 2C) followed by reduced levels in July, August and September. The net result is that for the
171 whole period with COVID-19 restrictions, the odds ratio of xPTB was reduced, albeit not
172 significantly (Table 2). The months May and June coincide with a reopening of society and relative
173 return to normal activities, (eFigures 1C & 2). For example, the lockdown is characterized by a
174 stable ~50 % reduction in workplace attendance, during May and June workplace attendance
175 climbs steadily to ~20 % reduction where, with the exception of vacation period in July, it remains
176 relatively stable. Thus, the periods characterized by high stringency and considerable mobility
177 reduction (lockdown) correlates with a significant reduction in xPTB, whereas the lockdown easing
178 phase (May and June) coincides with a significant increase in xPTB rate.

179 The stillbirth rate for the whole COVID-19 restriction period (February 27 – September 30) was
180 reduced by ~30 % (Table 1). The monthly number of total stillbirths (Figure 2B) and stillbirths
181 among extremely preterm pregnancies (Figure 2D) does indicate a uniform reduction in the
182 number of stillbirths in each month during the COVID-19 restriction period. Consequently, the

183 stillbirth rate does not account for the reduction in xPTBs during the Danish lockdown and is itself
184 reduced as a possibly unintended beneficial consequence of the COVID-19 restrictions generally.

185 The reduction in combined extremely preterm stillbirth and live birth rates is statistically
186 significant in February and March, while the increase in May and June is not (Figure 3). This seems
187 to reflect that the improvement in perinatal health started prior to the lockdown, during which
188 period the Danish government communicated frequently with the public regarding the risk of
189 COVID-19 in Denmark and societal preparedness (Figure 1).³¹

190 Perinatal mortality was moderately reduced during the lockdown period, and was, as a result of a
191 considerably reduced stillbirth rate, significantly reduced over the extended COVID-19 restriction
192 period (Table 1). Early, and very early, neonatal mortality was not changed during these periods
193 (Table 1). Consequently, despite the strain COVID-19 is expected to place on health care
194 resources,³² the observed reduction of xPTB is unlikely to be driven by a change in obstetric
195 policies, formal or otherwise.

196 One may speculate on the causes of the reduction in xPTBs and stillbirths – one suggestion is a
197 reduction in exposure to harmful pathogens³³ or changes in genital tract microbiota due to
198 hygiene precautions; massive reductions in the transmission of meningitis³⁴ and pertussis,³⁵ and
199 an absent influenza season 2020-2021³⁶ are consistent with this argument. Furthermore, the
200 number of laboratory confirmed chlamydia cases dropped ~25 % during the lockdown compared
201 to the 2015-2019 average, followed by an increase in June and July 2020, and a return to the 2015-
202 2019 level in August.³⁷ However, the number of people tested for chlamydia during this time is
203 unknown. As a surrogate marker of ascending urogenital infections and microbiota changes, this
204 moderate reduction during the lockdown suggests that a reduction in ascending infections is

205 unlikely to be responsible for the reduction of xPTBs. Exposure to environmental pollutants,
206 particularly air pollution, has been associated with PTB.³⁸ However, the Danish lockdown was not
207 associated with major changes in the level of air pollution.³⁹

208 Reduced anxiety and stress may also play a role by influencing the expression of the chaperone
209 protein FKBP51; which increases in response to stress and alters the oestrogen/progesterone ratio
210 thus overcoming the progesterone effect which inhibits parturition.^{40, 41} Two, albeit nearly 30 year
211 old, Danish studies showed an association between anxiety in gestational week 30, but not in
212 week 16, and PTB,⁴² and an association between very early PTB (< 34 weeks) and severe stressful
213 events in mid-pregnancy,⁴³ respectively. Furthermore, leisure-time activity during pregnancy has
214 been associated with reduced risk of PTB,⁴⁴ while hard work and hard physical activity during
215 pregnancy could be increase the risk of PTB.^{45, 46} An Irish questionnaire-based COVID-19 study
216 among 71 pregnant women⁴⁷ revealed an increased worry for elderly relatives and children rather
217 than for their pregnancy. Attitudes to the COVID-19 lockdown is being systematically studied as
218 part of the HOPE project⁴⁸ in Denmark, and the feeling of being efficacious, i.e., being able to act
219 on information given, seems to be a very significant predictor of protective behaviour⁴⁹ in western
220 democracies. Surprisingly, the lockdown-associated suspension of daily duties have been shown to
221 be of value for people with vulnerable psyches.⁵⁰ Thus, in Denmark the reduced workplace and
222 social stress may outweigh the negative effects of worries associated with the pandemic. While
223 the end of the lockdown period was associated with improved psychological well-being,⁵¹ the
224 practicalities of easing lockdown restrictions and returning to work, returning children to school,
225 etc. could increase stress and anxiety. This is particularly true among women with depression
226 (prevalence of 14.2 % among Danish women) or anxiety (prevalence of 6.8 % among Danish
227 women),⁵² both of which have been associated with PTB.⁵³ We cannot exclude that the

228 psychosocial and behavioural changes during lockdown, i.e., more people working from home,
229 could have affected the risk of xPTB in specific groups of pregnant women.

230 The observational nature of this study precludes causal inference, but the sizeable effect on the
231 xPTB rate indicates that it will be worthwhile to identify the elements of the lockdown that have
232 conferred this unintended protective effect regarding xPTB. One might speculate that it will be
233 possible to identify a specific psychosocial phenotype in mid-pregnancy that may benefit from
234 specialised care. However, the complex causality of PTB³⁰ suggests that defining controllable
235 protective factors will require a cross-disciplinary effort.

236 The use of national registers allowed us to examine all Danish pregnancies, thus avoiding selection
237 bias and allowing us to provide a complete assessment of birth and mortality rates.

238 **Conclusion**

239 The period of COVID-19 restrictions was characterised by a reduction in the extremely preterm
240 live birth rate, in the strict lockdown period, and a reduction in the stillbirth rate throughout the
241 extended period of restrictions. Furthermore, there was a tendency for pregnancies to run to term
242 during this period.

243 **Acknowledgements**

244 This research was conducted using data obtained from the Danish National registers. The Danish
245 Health Data Authority are acknowledged for their support with preparation and linkage of the
246 data.

247 **References**

- 248 1. Been JV, Burgos Ochoa L, Bertens LCM, Schoenmakers S, Steegers EAP, Reiss IKM. Impact of COVID-
249 19 mitigation measures on the incidence of preterm birth: a national quasi-experimental study. *Lancet*
250 *Public Health*. Nov 2020;5(11):e604-e611. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30223-1
- 251 2. Goldenberg RL, McClure EM. Have Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Community Lockdowns
252 Reduced Preterm Birth Rates? *Obstet Gynecol*. Mar 1 2021;137(3):399-402.
253 doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000004302
- 254 3. Hedermann G, Hedley PL, Baekvad-Hansen M, et al. Danish premature birth rates during the COVID-
255 19 lockdown. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. Jan 2021;106(1):93-95. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2020-
256 319990
- 257 4. Maeda Y, Nakamura M, Ninomiya H, Ogawa K, Sago H, Miyawaki A. Trends in intensive neonatal care
258 during the COVID-19 outbreak in Japan. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. May 2021;106(3):327-329.
259 doi:10.1136/archdischild-2020-320521
- 260 5. De Curtis M, Villani L, Polo A. Increase of stillbirth and decrease of late preterm infants during the
261 COVID-19 pandemic lockdown. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. Oct 30 2020;doi:10.1136/archdischild-
262 2020-320682
- 263 6. Harvey EM, McNeer E, McDonald MF, et al. Association of Preterm Birth Rate With COVID-19
264 Statewide Stay-at-Home Orders in Tennessee. *JAMA Pediatr*. Jun 1 2021;175(6):635-637.
265 doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.6512
- 266 7. Richter F, Strasser AS, Suarez-Farinas M, et al. Neonatal outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic in
267 New York City. *Pediatr Res*. Apr 7 2021;doi:10.1038/s41390-021-01513-7
- 268 8. Meyer R, Bart Y, Tsur A, et al. A marked decrease in preterm deliveries during the coronavirus disease
269 2019 pandemic. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Feb 2021;224(2):234-237. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2020.10.017

- 270 9. Gemmill A, Casey JA, Catalano R, Karasek D, Bruckner T. Changes in live births, preterm birth, low
271 birth weight, and cesarean deliveries in the United States during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. *medRxiv*.
272 2021;doi:10.1101/2021.03.20.21253990
- 273 10. Philip RK, Purtill H, Reidy E, et al. Unprecedented reduction in births of very low birthweight (VLBW)
274 and extremely low birthweight (ELBW) infants during the COVID-19 lockdown in Ireland: a 'natural
275 experiment' allowing analysis of data from the prior two decades. *BMJ Glob Health*. Sep
276 2020;5(9)doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003075
- 277 11. Main EK, Chang SC, Carpenter AM, et al. Singleton preterm birth rates for racial and ethnic groups
278 during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic in California. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Feb 2021;224(2):239-241.
279 doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2020.10.033
- 280 12. Handley SC, Mullin AM, Elovitz MA, et al. Changes in Preterm Birth Phenotypes and Stillbirth at 2
281 Philadelphia Hospitals During the SARS-CoV-2 Pandemic, March-June 2020. *JAMA*. Jan 5 2021;325(1):87-89.
282 doi:10.1001/jama.2020.20991
- 283 13. Mor M, Kugler N, Jauniaux E, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Excess Perinatal Mortality
284 and Morbidity in Israel. *Am J Perinatol*. Mar 2021;38(4):398-403. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1721515
- 285 14. Arnaez J, Ochoa-Sangrador C, Caserio S, et al. Lack of changes in preterm delivery and stillbirths
286 during COVID-19 lockdown in a European region. *Eur J Pediatr*. Jun 2021;180(6):1997-2002.
287 doi:10.1007/s00431-021-03984-6
- 288 15. Khalil A, von Dadelszen P, Draycott T, Ugwumadu A, O'Brien P, Magee L. Change in the Incidence of
289 Stillbirth and Preterm Delivery During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA*. Jul 10
290 2020;doi:10.1001/jama.2020.12746
- 291 16. Pasternak B, Neovius M, Soderling J, et al. Preterm Birth and Stillbirth During the COVID-19 Pandemic
292 in Sweden: A Nationwide Cohort Study. *Ann Intern Med*. Jan 12 2021;doi:10.7326/M20-6367

- 293 17. Chmielewska B, Barratt I, Townsend R, et al. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and
294 perinatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. Jun 2021;9(6):e759-e772.
295 doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00079-6
- 296 18. Kc A, Gurung R, Kinney MV, et al. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic response on intrapartum care,
297 stillbirth, and neonatal mortality outcomes in Nepal: a prospective observational study. *Lancet Glob Health*.
298 Oct 2020;8(10):e1273-e1281. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30345-4
- 299 19. Rasmussen MI. Extremely preterm infant admissions within the SafeBoosC-III consortium during the
300 COVID-19 lockdown. *medrxiv*. 2020;
- 301 20. Homer CSE, Leisher SH, Aggarwal N, et al. Counting stillbirths and COVID 19-there has never been a
302 more urgent time. *Lancet Glob Health*. Jan 2021;9(1):e10-e11. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30456-3
- 303 21. Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Adelborg K, et al. The Danish health care system and epidemiological
304 research: from health care contacts to database records. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2019;11:563-591.
305 doi:10.2147/CLEP.S179083
- 306 22. Schmidt M, Pedersen L, Sorensen HT. The Danish Civil Registration System as a tool in epidemiology.
307 *Eur J Epidemiol*. Aug 2014;29(8):541-9. doi:10.1007/s10654-014-9930-3
- 308 23. The Danish Medical Birth Registry. [https://www.danishhealthdata.com/find-health-data/Det-](https://www.danishhealthdata.com/find-health-data/Det-medicinske-foedselsregister)
309 [medicinske-foedselsregister](https://www.danishhealthdata.com/find-health-data/Det-medicinske-foedselsregister)
- 310 24. Schmidt M, Schmidt SA, Sandegaard JL, Ehrenstein V, Pedersen L, Sorensen HT. The Danish National
311 Patient Registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2015;7:449-90.
312 doi:10.2147/CLEP.S91125
- 313 25. Hale T, Angrist N, Goldszmidt R, et al. A global panel database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19
314 Government Response Tracker). *Nat Hum Behav*. Apr 2021;5(4):529-538. doi:10.1038/s41562-021-01079-8
- 315 26. Google. Google COVID-19 Community Mobility Reports. Google. Accessed 04/03/, 2021.
316 <https://www.google.com/covid19/mobility/>

- 317 27. Saigal S, Doyle LW. An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to
318 adulthood. *Lancet*. Jan 19 2008;371(9608):261-9. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60136-1
- 319 28. Chawanpaiboon S, Vogel JP, Moller AB, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of levels of
320 preterm birth in 2014: a systematic review and modelling analysis. *Lancet Glob Health*. Jan 2019;7(1):e37-
321 e46. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30451-0
- 322 29. Harrison MS, Goldenberg RL. Global burden of prematurity. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med*. Apr
323 2016;21(2):74-9. doi:10.1016/j.siny.2015.12.007
- 324 30. Romero R, Dey SK, Fisher SJ. Preterm labor: one syndrome, many causes. *Science*. Aug 15
325 2014;345(6198):760-5. doi:10.1126/science.1251816
- 326 31. [The handling of covid-19 in the spring of 2020 - report submitted by the investigation group set up
327 by the Danish Government's Committee on the Rules of Procedure regarding the handling of covid-19.]
328 (Folketinget) (2021).
- 329 32. Sinard JH. An Analysis of the Effect of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Case Volumes in an Academic
330 Subspecialty-Based Anatomic Pathology Practice. *Acad Pathol*. Jan-Dec 2020;7:2374289520959788.
331 doi:10.1177/2374289520959788
- 332 33. Cunningham M, Kortsalioudaki C, Heath P. Genitourinary pathogens and preterm birth. *Curr Opin*
333 *Infect Dis*. Jun 2013;26(3):219-30. doi:10.1097/QCO.0b013e328360dc31
- 334 34. SSI. No 3/5 - 2021: Pneumococcal vaccine for vaccination of risk group patients now again open for
335 orders; Considerable drop in invasive meningococcal disease in connection with the 2020 lock down.
336 Statens Serum Institut. Accessed 21.05.2021, <https://en.ssi.dk/news/epi-news/2021/no-3-5---2021>
- 337 35. SSI. No 27/33 - 2020: Laboratory-confirmed whooping cough 2019. Statens Serum Institut. Accessed
338 21.05.2021, <https://en.ssi.dk/news/epi-news/2020/no-27-33---2020>
- 339 36. SSI. No 2 - 2021: [This year's flu is still waiting under coronavirus restrictions]. Statens Serum Institut.
340 Accessed 21.05.2021, <https://www.ssi.dk/aktuelt/nyhedsbreve/influenza-nyt/2020-2021/2-2021>

- 341 37. Hedley PL, Hoffmann S, Lausten-Thomsen U, Krebs L, Jensen JS, Christiansen M. A nationwide
342 examination of Chlamydia trachomatis infections in Denmark throughout the COVID-19 pandemic.
343 *medRxiv*. 2021;
- 344 38. Bekkar B, Pacheco S, Basu R, DeNicola N. Association of Air Pollution and Heat Exposure With
345 Preterm Birth, Low Birth Weight, and Stillbirth in the US: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Netw Open*. Jun 1
346 2020;3(6):e208243. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.8243
- 347 39. European Environment Agency. Air quality and COVID-19. European Environment Agency. Accessed
348 15/04/, 2021. <https://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/air/air-quality-and-covid19/air-quality-and-covid19>
- 349 40. Guzeloglu-Kayisli O, Semerci N, Guo X, et al. Decidual cell FKBP51-progesterone receptor binding
350 mediates maternal stress-induced preterm birth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Mar 16
351 2021;118(11)doi:10.1073/pnas.2010282118
- 352 41. Li H, Su P, Lai TK, et al. The glucocorticoid receptor-FKBP51 complex contributes to fear conditioning
353 and posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Clin Invest*. Feb 3 2020;130(2):877-889. doi:10.1172/JCI130363
- 354 42. Hedegaard M, Henriksen TB, Sabroe S, Secher NJ. Psychological distress in pregnancy and preterm
355 delivery. *BMJ*. Jul 24 1993;307(6898):234-9. doi:10.1136/bmj.307.6898.234
- 356 43. Lou HC, Nordentoft M, Jensen F, Pryds O, Nim J, Hemmingsen R. Psychosocial stress and severe
357 prematurity. *Lancet*. Jul 4 1992;340(8810):54. doi:10.1016/0140-6736(92)92468-u
- 358 44. Aune D, Schlesinger S, Henriksen T, Saugstad OD, Tonstad S. Physical activity and the risk of preterm
359 birth: a systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. *BJOG*. Nov 2017;124(12):1816-
360 1826. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.14672
- 361 45. Saurel-Cubizolles MJ, Kaminski M, Llado-Arhipoff J, et al. Pregnancy and its outcome among hospital
362 personnel according to occupation and working conditions. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. Jun
363 1985;39(2):129-34. doi:10.1136/jech.39.2.129

- 364 46. Teitelman AM, Welch LS, Hellenbrand KG, Bracken MB. Effect of maternal work activity on preterm
365 birth and low birth weight. *Am J Epidemiol.* Jan 1990;131(1):104-13.
366 doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115463
- 367 47. Corbett GA, Milne SJ, Hehir MP, Lindow SW, O'Connell M P. Health anxiety and behavioural changes
368 of pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* Jun 2020;249:96-97.
369 doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.04.022
- 370 48. HOPE - How democracies Cope with Covid19. Århus University. <https://hope-project.dk/#/>
- 371 49. Jorgensen F, Bor A, Petersen MB. Compliance without fear: Individual-level protective behaviour
372 during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Br J Health Psychol.* May 2021;26(2):679-696.
373 doi:10.1111/bjhp.12519
- 374 50. Rasmussen GV, Garbers, C., D. Psykisk sårbarhed og kalenderens opløsning under Corona. *Jordens*
375 *Folk.* 2020;56(2):55-67.
- 376 51. Sonderskov KM, Dinesen PT, Santini ZI, Ostergaard SD. Increased psychological well-being after the
377 apex of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Acta Neuropsychiatr.* Oct 2020;32(5):277-279. doi:10.1017/neu.2020.26
- 378 52. Munk-Jorgensen P, Allgulander C, Dahl AA, et al. Prevalence of generalized anxiety disorder in
379 general practice in Denmark, Finland, Norway, and Sweden. *Psychiatr Serv.* Dec 2006;57(12):1738-44.
380 doi:10.1176/ps.2006.57.12.1738
- 381 53. Staneva A, Bogossian F, Pritchard M, Wittkowski A. The effects of maternal depression, anxiety, and
382 perceived stress during pregnancy on preterm birth: A systematic review. *Women Birth.* Sep
383 2015;28(3):179-93. doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2015.02.003
- 384 54. Danish Health Authority. [Guidelines for handling covid-19]. Danish Health Authority. Accessed
385 15.05.2021, <https://www.sst.dk/da/udgivelser/2021/retningslinjer-for-haandtering-af-covid-19>
- 386 55. Danish Health Authority. [COVID-19 Risk assessment, strategy and measures in the event of an
387 epidemic in Denmark]. Sundhedsstyrelsen; 2020.

388

389 **Table 1.** Number of births, stillbirths, and mortality rates in the lockdown (March 12 – April 14) and extended period of COVID-19 restrictions
390 (February 27 – September 30).

	2020	2015 - 2019	2020 vs 2015-2019
Strict lockdown (March 12 – April 14)			
Births	N	Mean (SD)	
Total births	5,013	5,230.2 (207.4)	
Total live births	4,999	5,215.0 (205.4)	
% male	51.8	51.3 (0.62)	
Mortality and mortality rates	N (%)	Mean (SD)	OR (95% CI)
Perinatal mortality	19 (0.38)	23.2 (3.4)	0.86 (0.44, 1.66)
Stillbirths	14 (0.28)	15.4 (6.1)	0.97 (0.43, 2.17)
Early Neonatal mortality (≤ 7 days)	5 (0.10)	7.8 (2.9)	0.65 (0.17, 2.26)
Very Early mortality (< 24 hrs)	3 (0.10)	5.6 (1.5)	0.52 (0.08, 2.44)
Period of COVID-19 restrictions (February 27 – September 30)			
Births	N	Mean (SD)	
Total births	35,394	35,893.6 (1,053.9)	
Total live births	35,326	35,793.4 (1,048.3)	
% male	51.4	51.5 (0.21)	
Mortality and mortality rates	N (%)	Mean (SD)	OR (95% CI)
Perinatal mortality	111 (0.31)	148.2 (25.8)	0.76 (0.29, 0.98)
Stillbirths	68 (0.19)	100.2 (14.9)	0.69 (0.50, 0.95)
Early Neonatal mortality (≤ 7 days)	43 (0.12)	48.0 (11.8)	0.91 (0.59, 1.40)
Very Early mortality (< 24 hrs)	22 (0.06)	28.0 (8.7)	0.80 (0.43, 1.44)

391

392 **Table 2.** Live births and stillbirths as a function of gestational age at birth in the lockdown (March 12 – April 14) and extended period of COVID-19
393 restrictions (February 27 – September 30).

	GA	2020	2015-2019	2020 vs 2015 - 2019
Lockdown (March 12 – April 14)				
	Weeks +days	N (%)	Mean (SD)	OR (95% CI)
Live births				
Extremely preterm	≤27+6	4 (0.08)	15.0 (3.2)	0.27 (0.07, 0.86)
Very preterm	28+0-31+6	18 (0.36)	23.4 (1.6)	0.80 (0.41, 1.56)
Moderate preterm	32+0-36+6	202 (4.03)	217.4 (7.3)	0.96 (0.78, 1.17)
Term	37+0-41+6	4,731 (94.37)	4,835.0 (206.1)	1.13 (0.95, 1.34)
Late term	≥42+0	33 (0.66)	40.6 (3.8)	0.83 (0.51, 1.34)
Missing GA		11 (0.22)	84.0 (39.5)	
Stillbirths				
Extremely preterm	≤27+6	4 (0.08)	6.2 (3.0)	0.55 (0.08, 3.28)
Very preterm	28+0-31+6	4 (0.08)	2.6 (1.10)	1.57 (0.21, 13.43)
Moderate preterm	32+0-36+6	2 (0.04)	2.4 (1.1)	1.00 (0.06, 15.94)
Term	37+0-41+6	4 (0.08)	3.2 (1.5)	1.45 (0.19, 12.44)
Late term	≥42+0	0 (0.00)	0.0 (0.0)	0.00 (0.00, inf)
Missing GA		0 (0.00)	0.0 (0.0)	
Period of COVID-19 restrictions (February 27 – September 30)				
	Weeks +days	N (%)	Mean (SD)	OR (95% CI)
Live births				
Extremely preterm	≤27+6	58 (0.16)	74.6 (4.9)	0.79 (0.55, 1.12)
Very preterm	28+0-31+6	150 (0.43)	165.8 (6.4)	0.92 (0.73, 1.15)
Moderate preterm	32+0-36+6	1,410 (3.98)	1,468.6 (45.8)	0.98 (0.90, 1.05)
Term	37+0-41+6	33,338 (94.19)	33,242 (903.4)	1.38 (1.29, 1.46)
Late term	≥42+0	241 (0.68)	289.4 (23.4)	0.85 (0.71, 1.01)
Missing GA		129 (0.36)	550.8 (249.0)	
Stillbirths				
Extremely preterm	≤27+6	22 (0.06)	33.4 (6.4)	0.97 (0.47, 1.97)
Very preterm	28+0-31+6	9 (0.03)	11.6 (3.6)	1.13 (0.39, 3.13)
Moderate preterm	32+0-36+6	16 (0.05)	20.0 (6.3)	1.23 (0.54, 2.76)
Term	37+0-41+6	21 (0.06)	32.4 (6.1)	0.95 (0.46, 1.94)
Late term	≥42+0	0 (0.00)	0.2 (na)	0.00 (0.00, inf)
Missing GA		0 (0.00)	2.6 (1.7)	

Figure legends

Figure 1. The timeline of COVID-19 events in Denmark leading up to the lockdown. Different categories of events (orienting the public = Orientation, published guidelines = Guidelines, local cases and local transmission = Cases/transmission, policy response = Policy response, the WHO declaration of a pandemic = WHO, the declaration of the lockdown = Lockdown declaration) are indicated by different colours. Data summarised from.^{31, 54, 55}

Figure 2. Mean number of live births and stillbirths per month, from January to September. 2015-2019 (blue; error bars represent 1SD) and 2020 (red). **A:** live births (all gestational ages), **B:** stillbirths (all gestational ages, **C:** livebirths (extremely preterm, gestational age 22-28 weeks), and **D:** stillbirths (gestational age 22-28 weeks). Statistically significant differences are indicated by asterisks. * represents $p \leq 0.05$, and ** represents $p < 0.01$.

Figure 3. The effect of COVID-19 restrictions on combined extremely preterm stillbirth and live birth rates. Combined rates for 2020 (red columns) are compared to the aggregate rates from the same months in 2015 – 2019 (blue columns; error bars represent 1SD). The sum of the rates is significantly decreased in February and March. Furthermore, the combined rate is decreased in all months except May and June 2020.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.