Dance Interventions for Individuals Post-Stroke - A Scoping Review Protocol

Danielle Kipnis, MA¹, Helena Kruusamäe, PhD², Miriam King, MA¹, Abigail Schreier, BA¹,
Lori Quinn, PT, EdD¹³, Hai-Jung Steffi Shih, PT, PhD¹

¹Department of Biobehavioral Sciences, Teacher's College, Columbia University

²Institute of Sport Sciences and Physiotherapy, University of Tartu

³Department of Rehabilitation and Regenerative Medicine (Physical Therapy), Columbia University Irving Medical Center

Corresponding Author:

Hai-Jung Steffi Shih
Neurorehabilitation Research Laboratory
Teachers College, Columbia University
525 West 120th St.
Thorndike 1051
+1(212)678-3916
ss6246@tc.columbia.edu

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abstract word count: 232

Body word count: 1781

Table and figures: 1 table and 1 supplementary table

Abstract

The purpose of this scoping review will be to explore the current literature on dance interventions for individuals post-stroke. Specifically, we will conduct a systematic search of published studies to map the state of the literature on feasibility, intervention procedures, and efficacy of dance to improve health-related outcomes for individuals post-stroke. Stroke is the leading cause of long-term disability frequently causing motor and cognitive impairments that impact functional abilities. Dance inherently encompasses key principles of motor learning including cognitive-motor specific task practice, sensory feedback, and social engagement. Dance and music create an enriched environment, engaging emotion and promoting positive affect.

Electronic databases were searched in February 2021. Original, peer-reviewed studies will be included if they broadly describe the use of a dance intervention for individuals post-stroke. Studies including other neurological populations will be considered only if stroke results can be isolated. We will categorize studies based on dance style, study type, population characteristics, and study setting. We will narratively synthesize results, assessing feasibility, intervention procedures, and efficacy of dance to improve health-related outcomes in stroke survivors, including potential motor, cognitive, psychological, and social benefits.

This scoping review will be the first to broadly describe the existing literature on dance interventions for individuals post-stroke. We hope to identify trends in outcomes measures as well as reveal successes, limitations, and gaps in the literature to inform potential directions for future research in the field.

Introduction

Stroke is the leading cause of long-term disability (Simpson et al., 2011). Stroke can affect multiple brain regions and lead to severe motor impairments, which greatly impact daily function and quality of life (Campbell & Khatri, 2020). Reduced participation in the community after stroke also leads to social isolation, depression, lack of confidence, and decreased autonomy (Carod-Artal et al., 2000; Salter et al., 2008). Furthermore, sedentary lifestyles due to motor impairments can increase the risk of recurrent strokes and cardiovascular disease, two leading causes of mortality among individuals post-stroke (Simpson et al., 2011). Traditional rehabilitation strategies used in physical and occupational therapy can effectively improve functional outcomes in individuals post-stroke (Cickusic et al., 2015; Cook et al., 2005). However, low patient adherence and sustainability remain limitations for these rehabilitative modes due to limited community-based options, patient motivation, and social engagement (Simpson et al., 2011). Therefore, development and testing of exercise-based interventions that are accessible, promote mobility and enjoyment are warranted to improve and sustain health-related outcomes in individuals post-stroke.

Dance as a multi-modal intervention has promising physical, psychological, cognitive, and social benefits that can lead to neuroplastic changes (Teixeira-Machado et al., 2019). A review by Keogh et al. suggests that dance can significantly improve aerobic power, muscle endurance, strength, lower body flexibility, gait speed, and static and dynamic balance for older adults (Keogh et al., 2009a). Individuals who engage in dancing into older age better preserve cognitive and perceptual abilities than those who do not dance or participate in sports (Kattenstroth, 2010). Perhaps most importantly, dance encourages self-expression, promotes social interaction, and facilitates a sense of community. This combination of physical activity

with emotional expression, social interaction, motor skill acquisition, and music creates an enriched environment that may promote neuroplastic changes in the injured brain (Keogh et al., 2009b). In animal studies, exercise in combination with an enriched environment has an additive effect on the brain: physical activity alone can only stimulate precursor cells for adult neurogenesis, whereas the addition of an enriched environment promotes survival of these immature cells (Kempermann et al., 2010).

Research on dance for people with Parkinson's (PwP) and other neurological conditions (Patterson et al., 2018a) suggest the effects of dance for healthy older adults can be generalized to neurological populations. A meta-analysis by Shanahan et al. on dance for PwP favors dance over control interventions to improve balance (Shanahan et al., 2015). Additionally, dance interventions for PwP have resulted in greater improvements in balance than healthy older adults (Earhart, 2009), indicating that dance could have more profound benefits in neurological populations. Dance has similarly improved balance for individuals with spinal cord injury (Sapezinskiene et al., 2009), spatiotemporal gait parameters and motor function for individuals with Huntington's disease (Trinkler et al., 2019) and mobility for individuals with multiple sclerosis (Mandelbaum et al., 2016). Finally, studies on dance for PwP and dementia suggest it enhances social networks, contributes to improved quality of life, and could improve motivation to continue exercise-related activities (Earhart, 2009; Klimova et al., 2017; Shanahan et al., 2015).

Despite evidence in other neurological populations, dance is under-researched as a rehabilitation strategy for individuals post-stroke. This is possibly because individuals post-stroke often have sudden and severe motor impairment rather than progressive degeneration as in Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, Huntington's disease, and dementia. Some preliminary

work has assessed the feasibility and potential benefits of dance for individuals post-stroke. Several forms of dance have been explored, and many classes are adapted to meet post-stroke individuals' abilities. Due to this growing body of evidence, this scoping review will evaluate and summarize the existing literature investigating dance for stroke. We believe a scoping review, rather than a systematic review, is most appropriate because research in the field of dance for stroke survivors is nascent. Particularly, publications are scarce, study design is heterogeneous, and sample sizes are small. A scoping review provides a clear framework to map the broad scope of current literature and the opportunity to include a variety of study designs while maintaining methodological rigor. In 2018, Patterson et al. summarized the evidence for dance in neurological populations other than PD (Patterson et al., 2018b). However, this review focused on studies assessing gait and balance impairments and included only three studies specific to stroke; several additional studies on stroke have since been published.

The purpose of this scoping review will be to explore the current literature on dance interventions for individuals post-stroke. Specifically, we will conduct a search of published studies to map the state of the literature on feasibility, intervention procedures, and efficacy of dance to improve health-related outcomes for individuals post-stroke. This review will identify gaps in the literature to provide recommendations for future research.

Methods

We will follow the Arksey and O'Malley original framework for scoping reviews and present the five recommended steps in the following sections (Arksey & O'Malley, 2005).

Additionally, we will adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-

Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist when reporting our findings (Tricco et al., 2018).

Identify the Research Question

Research indicates dance may be an effective intervention for neurological and healthy populations (Aguilar et al., 2016; Bruyneel, 2019; Rehfeld et al., 2018), with growing evidence on the effects of dance for people post-stroke. Therefore, a scoping review is necessary to map the results from emerging evidence, identify gaps, and suggest future directions for the field. To achieve this goal, we will pose the following research question: “What is known from the existing literature about the feasibility, intervention procedures, and efficacy of dance interventions to improve health-related outcomes for individuals post-stroke?” Health-related outcomes may include, but are not limited to, motor, cognitive, psychological, and social benefits.

Identify Relevant Studies

The eligibility criteria used in this review are as follows: (1) studies broadly describe the use of a dance intervention for individuals post-stroke; (2) studies can include any health-related outcome; (3) studies must be written in English; (4) studies can include other populations, however they are ineligible if the results for adults post-stroke cannot be isolated; and (5) studies must be original research. If a relevant systematic review (such as dance for neurological population) is found, we will only include original studies within the review that meet eligibility criteria. For the purpose of this review, we will include studies where researchers define the intervention as dance. We have specifically excluded other movement modalities such as yoga, Pilates, and Tai Chi. Though these modalities are similar to dance – they incorporate whole body

movements and can sometimes be rhythmic and use music – they are defined styles that are different from dance.

The following search strategy will be used to search for relevant studies that include keywords in the title, abstract, or full-text: dance OR “dance movement therapy” AND stroke OR cerebrovascular disorder/accident. The databases selected for this review are Pubmed, Scopus, Google Scholar, Proquest, MedRxiv and CINHAL (see Supplementary Table for individual search strategies). Since Google Scholar is not a refined index, we will include the first five pages of the search listed by relevance. We chose these databases to include peer-reviewed articles, dissertations, conference proceedings, and pre-print manuscripts to broaden the scope of this search.

Study selection

Studies identified through database search will be imported into the reviewer tool Covidence v.2603 (Covidence.org, Melbourne, Australia) and duplicates will be automatically removed. Covidence is an online tool that helps to sort and share studies to facilitate the screening process. Pairs of independent reviewers will first screen the titles and abstract from a list of articles obtained by using our key search terms. The remaining studies will then be full-text screened by pairs of reviewers. Finally, we will screen reference lists of included studies for additional relevant articles not found in the initial search and possible inclusion in the review. Any conflicts or discrepancies throughout both rounds will be discussed and resolved by the group. A PRISMA flow diagram will be used to report the study selection process (Tricco et al., 2018).

Data charting

Relevant data will be extracted using customized templates in a spreadsheet format. Each article will be read, reviewed, and charted by two independent reviewers. Table 1 summarizes the specific data and details included on the data charting spreadsheet. We will not perform a quality assessment of included studies because it is not required for scoping reviews and we expect included studies will too heterogeneous to do so.

Collating, summarizing, and reporting the results

We will narratively summarize the data as well as provide tables and figures. The extracted data will also be visualized using bubble plots, pie charts, tables, and graphs where appropriate. Concept and themes will be determined through an iterative process. Terms will be defined where needed. Studies will be categorized based on dance intervention style (e.g. dance rehabilitation therapy, dance-based exergaming), study type (e.g. qualitative, quantitative, case description), population characteristics (e.g. acute or chronic stroke) and/or study setting (e.g. in-patient, out-patient, community-based). We will discuss the scope of the current literature and strengths and weaknesses of data extracted to identify gaps in the field of dance for stroke and make recommendations for future research. These steps follow recommendations for scoping reviews by Levac et al., (Levac et al., 2010).

Results

Database search based on title, abstract, keywords, and body text was performed on February 15th and 18th, 2021. Title, abstracts, and full-texts have been screened by at least two reviewers. Data extraction and data charting has begun. Completion is expected in Fall 2021.

Discussion

Evidence supports the importance of aerobic and rehabilitative exercise for individuals post-stroke as a means to promote motor learning, community re-integration, and subsequent stroke prevention (Eng et al., 2008; Saunders et al., 2013). There is a substantial body of evidence demonstrating that dance is uniquely multi-modal and may have additional health-related benefits compared to traditional exercise in healthy older adults and several neurological populations such as Parkinson's disease, multiple sclerosis, Huntington's disease, and dementia. Dance inherently encompasses key principles of motor learning including cognitive-motor specific task practice, sensory feedback, and social engagement (Lewthwaite, 2010; Magill & Anderson, 2016). Despite this evidence, our understanding of the effects of dance for individuals post-stroke is limited.

Evidence in this field has begun to grow in the last several years. This scoping review will be the first to broadly describe the existing literature on dance interventions for individuals post-stroke. We anticipate describing key components of dance interventions, including intervention timing, dance style, and setting. Additionally, we hope to identify trends in outcomes measures related to potential motor, cognitive, psychological, and social benefits of dance. Finally, in synthesizing this data more broadly, we aim to reveal successes, limitations, and gaps in the literature to inform potential directions for future research in the field.

References

- Aguiar, L. P. C., da Rocha, P. A., & Morris, M. (2016). Therapeutic Dancing for Parkinson's Disease. *International Journal of Gerontology*, 10(2), 64–70.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijge.2016.02.002>
- Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(1), 19–32.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616>
- Bruyneel, A.-V. (2019). Effects of dance activities on patients with chronic pathologies: Scoping review. *Heliyon*, 5(7), e02104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02104>
- Campbell, B. C. V., & Khatri, P. (2020). Stroke. *The Lancet*, 396(10244), 129–142.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)31179-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31179-X)
- Carod-Artal, J., Egido, J. A., González, J. L., & Varela de Seijas, E. (2000). Quality of life among stroke survivors evaluated 1 year after stroke: Experience of a stroke unit. *Stroke*, 31(12), 2995–3000. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.str.31.12.2995>
- Cickusic, A., Sinanovic, O., Zonic-Imamovic, M., Hodzic, R., & Delalic, A. (2015). FUNCTIONAL RECOVERY OF PATIENTS WITH ISCHEMIC STROKE AFTER PHYSICAL THERAPY AND REHABILITATION. *Acta Medica Saliniana*, 44(1/2), 14–19. ProQuest Central; Publicly Available Content Database. <https://doi.org/10.5457/365>
- Cook, C., Stickley, L., Ramey, K., & Knotts, V. J. (2005). Variables Associated with Occupational and Physical Therapy Stroke Rehabilitation Utilization and Outcomes. *Journal of Allied Health*, 34(1), 3–10. Agricultural & Environmental Science Collection; ProQuest Central.

- Earhart, G. M. (2009). Dance as therapy for individuals with Parkinson disease. *European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, 45(2), 231–238.
- Eng, J. J., Pang, M. Y. C., & Ashe, M. C. (2008). Balance, falls, and bone health: Role of exercise in reducing fracture risk after stroke. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development*, 45(2), 297–313. <https://doi.org/10.1682/jrrd.2007.01.0014>
- Kempermann, G., Fabel, K., Ehninger, D., Babu, H., Leal-Galicia, P., Garthe, A., & Wolf, S. A. (2010). Why and How Physical Activity Promotes Experience-Induced Brain Plasticity. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2010.00189>
- Keogh, J. W. L., Kilding, A., Pidgeon, P., Ashley, L., & Gillis, D. (2009a). Physical Benefits of Dancing for Healthy Older Adults: A Review. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*, 17(4), 479–500. <https://doi.org/10.1123/japa.17.4.479>
- Keogh, J. W. L., Kilding, A., Pidgeon, P., Ashley, L., & Gillis, D. (2009b). Physical Benefits of Dancing for Healthy Older Adults: A Review. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*, 17(4), 479–500. <https://doi.org/10.1123/japa.17.4.479>
- Klimova, B., Valis, M., & Kuca, K. (2017). Dancing as an Intervention Tool for People with Dementia: A Mini-Review Dancing and Dementia. *Current Alzheimer Research*, 14(12), Article 12. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1567205014666170713161422>
- Levac, D., Colquhoun, H., & O'Brien, K. K. (2010). Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science*, 5(1), 69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-5-69>
- Lewthwaite, R. (2010). Grand challenge for movement science and sport psychology: Embracing the social-cognitive–affective–motor nature of motor behavior. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2010.00042>

Magill, R., & Anderson, D. (2016). *Motor Learning and Control: Concepts and Applications* (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Mandelbaum, R., Triche, E. W., Fasoli, S. E., & Lo, A. C. (2016). A Pilot Study: Examining the effects and tolerability of structured dance intervention for individuals with multiple sclerosis. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 38(3), 218–222.
<https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2015.1035457>

Patterson, K. K., Wong, J. S., Prout, E. C., & Brooks, D. (2018a). Dance for the rehabilitation of balance and gait in adults with neurological conditions other than Parkinson’s disease: A systematic review. *Heliyon*, 4(3), e00584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00584>

Patterson, K. K., Wong, J. S., Prout, E. C., & Brooks, D. (2018b). Dance for the rehabilitation of balance and gait in adults with neurological conditions other than Parkinson’s disease: A systematic review. *Heliyon*, 4(3), e00584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00584>

Rehfeld, K., Lüders, A., Hökelmann, A., Lessmann, V., Kaufmann, J., Brigadski, T., Müller, P., & Müller, N. G. (2018). Dance training is superior to repetitive physical exercise in inducing brain plasticity in the elderly. *PLOS ONE*, 13(7), e0196636.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196636>

Salter, K., Hellings, C., Foley, N., & Teasell, R. (2008). The experience of living with stroke: A qualitative meta-synthesis. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 40(8), 595–602.
<https://doi.org/10.2340/16501977-0238>

Sapezinskiene, L., Soraka, A., & Svediene, L. (2009). Dance Movement Impact on Independence and Balance of People with Spinal Cord Injuries During Rehabilitation. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research*, 32, S100.

- Saunders, D. H., Sanderson, M., Brazzelli, M., Greig, C. A., & Mead, G. E. (2013). Physical fitness training for stroke patients. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 10, CD003316. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003316.pub5>
- Shanahan, J., Morris, M. E., Bhriain, O. N., Saunders, J., & Clifford, A. M. (2015). Dance for People With Parkinson Disease: What Is the Evidence Telling Us? *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 96(1), 141–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.08.017>
- Simpson, L. A., Eng, J. J., & Tawashy, A. E. (2011). Exercise perceptions among people with stroke: Barriers and facilitators to participation. *International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation*, 18(9), 520–530. <https://doi.org/10.12968/ijtr.2011.18.9.520>
- Teixeira-Machado, L., Arida, R. M., & de Jesus Mari, J. (2019). Dance for neuroplasticity: A descriptive systematic review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 96, 232–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.12.010>
- Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., Moher, D., Peters, M. D. J., Horsley, T., Weeks, L., Hempel, S., Akl, E. A., Chang, C., McGowan, J., Stewart, L., Hartling, L., Aldcroft, A., Wilson, M. G., Garritty, C., ... Straus, S. E. (2018). PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 169(7), 467–473. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850>
- Trinkler, I., Chéhère, P., Salgues, J., Monin, M.-L., Tezenas du Montcel, S., Khani, S., Gargiulo, M., & Durr, A. (2019). Contemporary Dance Practice Improves Motor Function and Body Representation in Huntington's Disease: A Pilot Study. *Journal of Huntington's Disease*, 8(1), 97–110. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JHD-180315>

Table 1. Data to be extracted

Data	Details to be extracted (if available)
Publication Summary	Author, title, year of publication, journal, eligibility criteria, type of research (e.g., intervention, qualitative, review, case-study dissertation)
Population	Total sample size, age, gender, race, ethnicity, medication, diagnosis, time since diagnosis and/or cerebrovascular event, caregiver present (yes/no), mobility device
Intervention	Study aims or purpose, intervention and comparator, frequency, duration, intensity, methodology, instructor characteristics (e.g., dance training, experience with stroke), dance style or genre, use of music, individual, group, partner, non-partnered
Setting	Location (e.g., healthcare facility, community center, dance studio, home), method of delivery (e.g., virtual, home-based, exergaming, in-person), cost and equipment, accessibility
Outcomes	Measures and results: physical function, psychosocial, cognitive, quality of life, relationships (e.g., family, caregiver); statistical analysis, limitations or barriers, adverse events
Bias	Each source individually reviewed for potential source of bias and/or methodological weakness
Other	Adherence, feasibility, clinical applicability