The COVID in the Context of Pregnancy, Infancy and Parenting (CoCoPIP)

Study: protocol for a longitudinal study of parental mental health, social interactions, physical growth, and cognitive development of infants during the pandemic.

Ezra Aydin, PhD^{1*}, Staci M. Weiss, PhD^{1*}, Kevin A. Glasgow, MPhil², Jane Barlow, PhD³, Topun Austin, MD^{4,5}, Mark H. Johnson, PhD¹ and Sarah Lloyd-Fox, PhD¹

¹Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, UK.

²Department of Education, University of Cambridge, UK.

³Centre for Evidence-Based Intervention, Department of Social Policy and Intervention, University of Oxford, UK.

⁴The Rosie Hospital, Cambridge University Hospitals Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK.

⁵NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, Cambridge, UK.

*Joint first author.

Correspondence to: Ezra Aydin, Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EB, UK. Email: ea420@cam.ac.uk Telephone: +44 (0) 1223 465 222

Submission to: BMJ Open

Word limit: 4000

Current word count: 3650

Table count: 2

Figure count: 3

ABSTRACT

Introduction While the secondary impact of the COVID pandemic on the psychological wellbeing of pregnant women and parents has become apparent over the past year, the impact of these changes on early social interactions, physical growth and cognitive development of their infants is unknown, as is the way in which a range of COVID related changes have mediated this impact. This study (CoCoPIP) will investigate: i) how parent's experiences of the social, medical, and financial changes during the pandemic have impacted pre and postnatal parental mental health and parent-infant social interaction; and (ii) the extent to which these COVID-related changes in parental pre and postnatal mental health and social interaction are associated with fetal and infant development.

Methods and analysis The CoCoPIP study is a national online survey initiated in July 2020. This ongoing study (n = 1700 families currently enrolled as of 6th May 2021) involves both quantitative and qualitative data being collected across pregnancy and infancy. It is designed to identify the longitudinal impact of the pandemic from pregnancy to two years of age, with the aim of identifying if stress-associated moderators (i.e., loss of income, COVID-19 illness, access to ante/postnatal support) impact parental mental health, and in turn, infant development. In addition, we aim to document individual differences in social and cognitive development in toddlers who were born during restrictions intended to mitigate COVID-19 spread (e.g., social distancing, national lockdowns).

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval was given by the University of Cambridge, Psychology Research Ethics Committee (PREC) (PRE.2020.077). Findings will be made available via community engagement, public forums (e.g., social media,) and to national (e.g., NHS England) and local (Cambridge Universities Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust) healthcare partners. Results will be submitted for publication in peer-reviewed journals.

Strengths and Limitations of this study

- This is a new cohort of families being followed from prenatal to postnatal (up to 18 months) during the COVID-19 pandemic.
- The study involves the collection of quantifiable data to identify the short- and long-term influences of the pandemic on key aspects of infant development.
- The study also has a range of open-ended questions for qualitative analysis aimed at exploring familial experiences in more detail.
- The data is being collected online and is therefore limited to self- and parent-report measures, with no direct assessment of child development and parental mental health.
- Although the sample of families being recruited are diverse in their indices of multiple deprivation (IMD) and geographic location, they may not be fully representative of the wider population.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in an unprecedented challenge to existing medical, social, and economic institutions, akin to prior natural disasters, war or other periods of hardship, with potentially similar long-term implications for the early development and lifelong health of children whose mothers were pregnant or newly delivered during these periods of significant social and economic upheaval [1]. This is due to the fact that the fetal physiological environment and infant caregiving and social environment are now recognised to play a key role in influencing later biological, physical and neurodevelopmental outcomes [2,3]. With regard to the COVID-19 pandemic, the social distancing restrictions and national lockdowns that were put in place to mitigate its transmission, have had a range of secondary consequences impacting the psychological wellbeing of pregnant women and new parents and the postnatal environment that the infant is born into [4–6]. The shifts in socialization, stress and socio-economic position associated with COVID-19 public health guidance may have exacerbated the feelings of vulnerability, health vigilance and isolation associated with the adjustment to parenting. Heightened anxiety and depression were reported during the national lockdown in the United Kingdom [7], with expectant and new mothers experiencing unique physical and psychological stressors [4] as well as constrained access to resources, especially with regard to family and caregiving support. However, little is currently known about the impact of these COVID-related changes on the development of the infant. The current study aims to address this evidence gap by exploring the relationship regarding the family’s reported experiences of these changes in terms of their impact on their pre and postnatal mental health, and interaction with their infant, and the potential subsequent impact of these changes on the infant’s physical, sensory, affective and cognitive development.

The secondary impact of COVID-19 on pregnant women

The COVID-19 pandemic has been the biggest public health emergency for over a century, necessitating extreme measures at a societal level to mitigate against death and prevent acute health services from being overwhelmed. However, resulting from this have been a number of secondary consequences (i.e., increased caregiving demands for children and family members; isolation from family and community due to social distancing; job loss; financial hardship and increased interpersonal stressors or relationship violence [8]), having a disproportionate and significant impact on women of childbearing age [4]. In the UK, the impact on this group of women has also been exacerbated by National Health Service (NHS) guidance that was produced in response to the national lockdown restrictions [9–11], in which hospital-based midwifery services placed limitations on partners being present during ultrasound visits and birth. In addition, most community-based services were discontinued, other than antenatal contact and new baby visits, all of which were required to be

provided virtually unless otherwise indicated, with all other contacts being assessed and stratified according to vulnerability or clinical need (e.g., maternal mental health).

These changes not only affected the capacity of practitioners to support women during the perinatal period at a time of significantly heightened stress/distress [6] but also resulted in significant regional variations in access to healthcare and advice for expectant mothers across the UK. The changes have been associated with (i) a fourfold increase in stillbirths attributed to lack of preventive antenatal care [12], (ii) birthing partners denied access to the hospital for the birth or asked to leave immediately following the birth, and (iii) limited access to babies admitted to neonatal intensive care. The NHS has also reported a reluctance on the part of parents to attend postnatal GP checks, due to parental attitudes related to COVID-19 infection (Institute of Health Visiting).

The impact of COVID-19 on parental mental health and parent-infant interaction

Several online surveys conducted during the first national lockdown indicated that there was a significant increase in antenatal anxiety both in terms of pandemic-related pregnancy stress associated with feeling unprepared for birth due to the pandemic, and stress related to fears of perinatal COVID-19 infection, with one large US survey (n = 4451) showing that around 30% of pregnant women experienced both types of stress [13]. Another US survey (n = 2740) that examined wider sources of stress showed that more than half of women reported increased stress about food running out (59.2%, n = 1622), losing a job or household income (63.7%, n = 1745), or loss of childcare (56.3%, n = 1543). More than a third reported increased stress about conflict between household members (37.5%, n = 1028); and 93% (n = 2556) reported increased stress about getting infected with COVID-19 [14].

A number of online cross-sectional surveys found significantly increased rates of anxiety and depression, based on the use of self-report standardised measures (e.g., Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS); Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)). For example, a cross-sectional survey of 1,987 pregnant women in Canada in April 2020, found substantially elevated anxiety and depression symptoms, compared to similar pre-pandemic pregnancy cohorts; 37% reported clinically relevant symptoms of depression, and 57% reported clinically relevant symptoms of anxiety [15]. A second Canadian study found that a cohort of pregnant women, who were recruited during the COVID-19 pandemic, were twice as likely to present clinically significant levels of depressive and anxiety symptoms compared with a cohort of pregnant women recruited prior to the pandemic [16]. Early evidence in the UK similarly suggests that the impact on the mental health of pregnant women has been significant with heightened anxiety and depression being reported during the national lockdown (levels of mental distress rising from 18.9% (2018-19) to 27.3% in April 2020, one month into the national lockdown) [4].

This is of concern because there is consistent evidence to suggest that anxiety and depression in pregnancy can have a long-term impact on child development. For example, recent systematic reviews found that antenatal anxiety is associated with a range of adverse perinatal outcomes, including for example, premature delivery and low birthweight [17], in addition to a range of negative child outcomes that can persist into late adolescence, including an increased risk of child behaviour problems [18].

Early pre- and postnatal experiences in the context of COVID-19 and associated societal restrictions

The limited evidence on the impact of the pandemic and lockdowns on postnatal depression, suggest a similar picture, with around half of mothers caring for babies born during 2020 in the UK, reporting feeling down, lonely and worried, with mental health symptoms exacerbated in mothers who travel to work, had a baby born prematurely or were from a lower-income household [19]. One Australian study that examined all online perinatal support forum posts related to COVID-19, from women between January 27 to May 12, 2020, showed that the content was predominantly negative, with around 63% being very or moderately negative. Negative words that were frequently used in the 831 posts included: "worried" (n=165, 19.9%), "risk" (n = 143, 17.2%), "anxiety" (n = 98, 11.8%), "concerns" (n = 74, 8.8%), and "stress" (n = 69, 8.3%) [20].

Anxiety and depression in the postnatal period have been shown to affect the development of the infant because of the impact on the mother's interactions with her baby. For example, depressed mothers have been shown to be less sensitively attuned to infants, and less affirming and more negating of their experiences with their infant [21]. Babies of depressed mothers can exhibit deficits in their interpersonal functioning, such as less affective sharing, lower rates of interactive behaviour, poorer concentration, increased negative responses with strangers, and reduced secure attachment at 12 and 18 months [22,23]. Children of these women are also 42% more likely to experience depression by age 16 [24].

There is now increasing evidence regarding the impact of the mother's bond with her unborn baby, including her mental representations of the baby in pregnancy, in terms of an association with their interactions postnatally [25] including the infant's attachment status [26]. However, there is currently limited evidence regarding the impact of pandemic-related social restrictions on this prenatal relationship. While a number of studies have found that the pandemic has had an impact on bonding with the baby in the postnatal period [27] and on breastfeeding [28], no studies to date have explicitly examined the impact on the parent-child interaction. Furthermore, concerns have been raised regarding

infants limited exposure to infant peers or to diverse social partners other than household members or members of the public wearing masks, in terms of the impact on infant looking and communicative bids during social interactions [29]. Whilst ongoing research examines how the pandemic and the above-mentioned points are affecting children aged 8-36 months, there remains a critical lack of study of development during the period of development referred to as the “baby blind-spot” (from pregnancy to 2 years old age) [29,30].

There remain more questions empirical answers at the present time regarding how ‘stay at home’ orders, lack of access to social support from family members and pandemic-specific stressors might have affected expectant parents and those caring for babies during the national lockdowns. Concerns have been raised about women at risk for domestic violence, without suitable shelter and higher incidence of unemployment due to their role in the retail, caregiving and hospitality workforce [31,32]. It is also important to take into consideration other mediating influences on infant development including poverty [33], maternal education [34], marital discord [35], single parenthood and ethnicity [36].

Overall, little is currently known about the impact of COVID-19 guidance and restrictions on the long-term development of the child. To address this gap in our knowledge, this study has two main aims: i) to examine how parent’s experiences of the social, medical, and financial changes during the pandemic have impacted pre and postnatal parental mental health and parent-infant social interaction; and (ii) to investigate the extent to which these COVID related changes in parental pre and postnatal mental health and social interaction are associated with fetal and infant development.

STUDY DESIGN

The Covid in the Context of Pregnancy, Infancy and Parenting (CoCoPIP) study, is a national online survey being carried out in the UK, which was widely advertised from July 2020, that continues to actively recruit families for participation. The research comprises a mixed-methods study collecting data inclusive of both; a) validated physical and psychological assessments, and b) open-ended questions to allow the participant to elaborate on their experience in their own words. The CoCoPIP Study addresses four key hypotheses (H1-4) (see *Figure 1*).

H1	Access to social, medical and financial support amidst the COVID-19 pandemic will be associated with parental stress, anxiety and depression
H2	COVID-19-related restrictions will moderate the depth and breadth of infant's social experiences in the first six months of life
H3	Fetal growth and maternal mental health will influence infant social experiences and contribute additively or interactively to variability in later sensory, affective and cognitive development
H4	Parental pandemic experience, including mental health, support, and adherence to lockdown, will influence infant social experiences and contribute to variability in later sensory, affective and cognitive development

Figure 1: Covid in the Context of Pregnancy, Infancy and Parenting (CoCoPIP) study four key hypotheses.

Eligibility criteria and recruitment strategies

Eligibility criteria for the study is expectant parents (at any stage of pregnancy) or parents of an infant between the ages of 0-6 months. Either parent can take part, with questionnaires being adapted to the parents' status as mother or father. The study is open to parents who had a baby shortly before and during the first period of lockdown in the UK (23rd March 2020) as well as continuing to collect information from parents during the current, and future changes in COVID-related health and societal restrictions.

For optimal national representation across the UK, recruitment strategies include (i) targeting NHS antenatal classes and National Childbirth Trust (NCT) groups identified nationally, with an emphasis on areas of low socio-economic status (SES) using the government indices of multiple deprivation (IMD) and rural areas without access to NCT groups, (ii) partnering with NHS/National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) collaborative sites and charity and policy group partners (e.g., The Brazelton Centre UK, Centre for Health and the Public Interest) to widen knowledge of the survey, (iii) posting online via social media platforms (e.g., Twitter) and public sharing to facilitate snowball sampling and (iv) targeting populations experiencing increased local lockdown measures as, and when, COVID-19 rates and related policy change across the UK. Whilst recruitment efforts have been focused on the UK, the survey is currently open to all expectant and new families worldwide. Participation in the survey is incentivized using the offer of a chance to win a £100 digital gift card (on receipt participants are able to select from either an Amazon[®] or one4all[®] gift card). A prize is drawn for every 100

participants who complete the survey, giving a 1/100 chance of winning at each time point that they complete.

Power Calculation

To ensure sufficient power for the study, statistical power calculations (G*Power adapted for regression) based on three outcomes, up to three predictors and four co-variables, estimated a minimum sample size for Hypothesis 1 of $n = 400$ (small effect, $f^2 = 0.02$). Statistical power calculations were based on a study of sociodemographic control variables, traumatic event impact scale and pregnancy-specific anxiety [37]. In the same manner, for Hypothesis 2, a minimum sample size of $n = 800$ (small effect, $n = 400$ infants $\times$ 2 postnatal timepoints) is required, based on ongoing analyses by our group on parent-infant social interaction data [38]. For Hypothesis 3 and 4, we will minimise data loss using post-hoc assignment of families to an accelerated longitudinal design - this requires a minimum sample size of $n = 500$ (small effect) using a study on acute disasters, parental mental health and infant development [39]. With timing and cross lag accounted for, and attrition rate of 30% - assuming current pattern of 80% of parents' consenting to be contacted again (as indicated by our pilot survey) – a minimum cohort sample of $n = 1500$ is required.

Study Measures

The online survey is logic-dependent and adaptive, only showing questions relevant to the parent's current situation (e.g., antenatal or with an infant of 2 months of age) in relation to the following six time points: the second and third trimester of pregnancy; infant aged 0-3 months, infant aged 3-6 months; and toddler aged 12- and 18-months. The following data is collected: (i) parental mental health and attitudes, (ii) healthcare access and support during pregnancy and birth, (iii), fetal physical development and infant social and cognitive development, (iv) direct impact of COVID-19 on daily lives and lastly (v) developmental outcomes in infants born during the pandemic. *Table 1* provides an overview of the measures used timepoints and *Table 2* provides a detailed summary of the measures used within the survey.

A participant can join the study at any of the above 3 underlined timepoints

*Participants are only followed up at these two timepoints if they have participated in at least two previous timepoints.

** Projected participant follow-up completion dates.

Figure 2: Flow diagram of Covid in the Context of Pregnancy, Infancy and Parenting (CoCoPIP) study follow up participation.

Follow-ups and reminders

Participants are invited to take part in a follow-up survey at the end of the initial survey. Where they consent to this, they are contacted via email containing a link to the separate online survey. The follow-up survey has been condensed to include follow-up questionnaires only (see Table 1 and Figure 2 for participant follow-up flowchart). The appropriate time for follow-up is calculated based on the ages (infant or fetal gestation) provided by the participant at initial recruitment (see Figure 2 for project timeline).

	Timepoint					
	Pregnancy		Infant		Toddler	
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Section A: Consent and participant background information						
Consent ^a	X	X	X	X		
Demographics ^b	X	X	X	X		
Income and employment status	X	X	X	X		
Section B: Pregnancy measures						
Fetal growth measures and pregnancy	X ^c	X ^d				
Health care support and access	X	X	X	X		
Antenatal Emotional Attachment Scale (AEAS)	X	X				
Pregnancy Related Anxiety Questionnaire Revised (PRAQ-R)	X	X				
Section C: Infant birth and development measures						
Birth information ^e			X	X		
Infant Behaviour Questionnaire (IBQ)				X	X	
Infant Toddler Sensory Profile (ITSP)			X	X	X	
Infant related anxiety			X	X		
Face-to-face interaction index			X	X		
Section D: Toddler Development measures						
Ages and Stages					X	
Oxford CDI					X	
Q-CHAT						X
Vineland parent and caregiver form						X
Section E: Parental mental health and support measures						
State Trait Anxiety Index – State (STAI-S)	X	X	X	X	X	X
Caregiving, social interaction and support questionnaire			X	X		
Stressful life events questionnaire					X	X
Section F: Parenting and family measures						
Parenting reflective functioning questionnaire (PRFQ)					X	
Comprehensive early childhood parenting questionnaire (CECPAQ)					X	
Section G: COVID Impact						
COVID situational influences	X	X	X	X		
COVID health report	X	X	X	X		
COVID concern and event impact scale	X	X	X	X		
Social distance impacts	X	X	X	X		
Vaccine	X	X	X	X		
Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS)					X	

^{a-b} Participants will only be asked to complete this section once, when they initially join the study. The study can be joined at any timepoint. Those eligible will be asked if they wish to participate longitudinally.

^c only physical questions in relation to 2nd trimester scan.

^d only physical questions in relation to 3rd trimester scan.

^e will only be asked to complete this section once

Table 1: A summary of assessments and questionnaires used separated by time point.

Measure (items)	Description	Scale	Example item
COVID-19 items			
Situational influences	Assessing adjustment and adherence to government guidelines.		'When did you modify your routine to adhere to the social distancing guidance?'
Health report	Assessing risk for COVID exposure and symptoms of parents, partner and/or social network. As well as self-isolation behaviours and support (if applicable)		'Have you been diagnosed with COVID?' 'How supported did you feel during self-isolation?'
COVID Impact (7 items)	How COVID-19 has impacted themselves and their household (e.g., physical health, disruption to routine).	Five-point scale from 'not hard at all, copying well' to 'unbearable, not coping well'	
COVID Concern (10 items)		Six-point scale from 'not at all' to 'all the time'	'I am not worried about COVID-19'
Event Impact Scale-Revised [40] (20 items)	Assessing the distress experience as a result of traumatic events	Five-point scale from 'extremely' to 'not at all'	'I felt irritable and angry'
Social distance impacts	Assessing participants attitudes and experience during COVID-19.	Five-point scale from 'not at all' to 'very true'	'I spend my leisure time more intentionally'
Vaccines	Assessing access to and attitude towards a vaccine and potential changes in social behaviour in relation to a vaccine.		'Are you currently eligible for the COVID-19 vaccine?' / 'Could you tell us how and/or why getting vaccinated has changed the way you socialise?'
Parental information			
State-Trait Anxiety state (STAI-S) [41] (20 items)	Assessing the presence and severity of an individual's state-based anxiety (i.e., anxiety in response to what is happening right now).	Four-point scale from 'not at all' to 'very much so'	'I feel strained'
Caregiving support	Questions assessing remote and in person availability of household members, partners, and social network of families		
Home schooling	Exploring the additional demands on parents in the home and support available for home schooling.		'Could you describe your experience of home schooling during your pregnancy or as a new parent?'
Stress Life Inventory [42] (43 items)	Assessing the number of stressful life events experienced by the family over a 12-month period	Items rates 'yes' or 'no'	'Being fired at work'
Pregnancy related anxiety	Assessing pregnancy-specific anxiety in women during	Five-point scale from 'not at all'	'I think that after birth my child will be in

questionnaire (PRAQ) [43] (20 items)*	the antenatal period.	to ‘very much’	poor health’ / ‘I think about creating a virus-free home for my child’
Pregnancy information			
Healthcare support and access	Exploring parental experiences of their healthcare support and care, and access to antenatal routine care during the pandemic		‘Do you feel comfortable attending your pregnancy appointments?’ / ‘Could you tell us about the support from your healthcare provides during your pregnancy’
Antenatal Emotional Attachment Scale (AEAS) - Maternal [44] (19 items)	Questions asking expectant parents about their thoughts and feelings over the last two-week period about their baby	Responses on a varying five-point scale (e.g., ‘almost all the time’ to ‘not at all’ and ‘very positive’ to ‘very negative’)	‘I think of the developing baby as mostly as;’
Antenatal Emotional Attachment Scale (AEAS) – Paternal [44] (16 items)			‘I have found myself touching, or rubbing with my hand, the outside of my partners stomach where the baby is:’
Toddler outcomes			
Infant Behaviour Questionnaire (IBQ) – short form [45] (36 items)	Measures the dimensions of temperament in children younger than 12 months of age	Eight-point scale from ‘never’ to ‘always’ (including option for ‘does not apply’)	‘When tired, how often did your baby show distress?’
Infant/Toddler Sensory Profile (ITSP) [46] (36 items)	A caregiver report on how responsive, sensitive, avoidant and alert their babies are when presented with stimuli visual, auditory, tactile and vestibular modalities	Six-point scale from ‘almost always’ to ‘almost never’ (including an option for ‘does not apply’)	‘My child is active throughout the day’
Ages and Stages (ASQ-3) [47] (39 items)	A developmental screening tool assessing a child’s developmental progress in six domains (i.e., communication, gross motor, fine motor, problem solving and personal-social development).	Three-point scale from ‘yes’ to ‘not yet’	‘When your baby wants something, does he tell you by pointing to it?’
Oxford Communication Development Inventory – 100 words (CDI) [48]	A UK-adapted measure of toddler language development	Two-point scale; ‘understands’ and ‘understands and says’	‘car’
Quantitative Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (Q-CHAT) [49] (25 items)	A quantitative measure of early autistic traits in toddlers	Responses given on a varying five-point scale (e.g., ‘many times a day to never’ and ‘always’ to ‘never’)	‘How easy is it for your child to adapt when his/her routine changes or when things are out of their usual place?’
Vineland parent and caregiver form [50]	A number of questions about the home environment and family-life behaviour	Three-point scale from “usually or often” to ‘never’	‘When you look or point at something, looks in that direction.’

Parenting, family and home environment			
Household space and environment	Assessing access to outdoor/indoor space and technology		'Do you currently have access to outdoor space you feel safe spending time in?' / 'In your home, how many mobile phones are presently in use?'
Parent-infant attachment	Parental description of relationship with infant (imagined and actual)		'Could you tell us a little about what you image your baby will be like and how the two of you will get along?'
Face-to-face interaction index	Exploring the frequency of face-to-face and distant interaction the infant has had since birth		'How often did you with/without your baby video chat with others?'/ 'How responsive is your baby to others during virtual interactions?'
Parenting reflective functioning questionnaire (PRFQ) [51] (18 item)	A short measure assessing parental reflective functioning or mentalising.	Responses are rated on a seven-point scale from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree'	'I always know why my child acts the way he or she does'
Comprehensive early childhood parenting questionnaire (CECPAQ) [52] (54 items)	A parent report measure assessing five domains of parenting (i.e., support, stimulation, structure, harsh discipline and positive measure).	Responses are rated on a six-point scale from 'never' to 'always'	'when my child is having a hard time, I am able to help him/her.
Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS) [53] (19 items)	A self-report measure of subjective emotion ability	Responses are rated on a five-point scale from 'almost never' to 'almost always'	'When I'm upset, I can still get things done'
Family demographics and socioeconomic questions	Parent and infant age or gestation of pregnancy; sex; ethnicity; location; parent and partner highest level of qualification; employment status and household income.		'what is your highest level of education?'
Postcode	used to derived indices of multiple deprivation.		

*several questions have been adapted for use during COVID-19

Table 2: A detailed summary of measures used within the study.

DATA ANALYSIS PLAN

Quality Control

Ongoing quality control is evaluated bi-weekly. All data is checked for accuracy and invalid data is removed (e.g., one parent responded to the question “what is the gender of your child” as “clownfish” and completed no other question within the survey - this participant was removed from the dataset). Study data is collected and managed using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap[®]) tools hosted at the University of Cambridge [54]. REDCap[®] is a secure, web-based software platform designed to support data capture for research studies, providing 1) an intuitive interface for validated data capture; 2) audit trails for tracking data manipulation and export procedures; 3) automated export procedures for seamless data downloads to common statistical packages; and 4) procedures for data integration and interoperability with external sources. Personal data (e.g., caregiver DOB, email address) is stored securely within a password encrypted electronic databased isolated from the research data. Access to the data is fully audited to ensure data security is governed by a management team and in compliance with ethical guidelines.

Analysis plan

Overall, we aim to identify which stress-associated moderators (i.e., loss of income, COVID-19 illness, local access to ante/postnatal support) impact significantly on parental mental health, and in turn, infant development.

To address Hypothesis 1 (*see Figure 1*), a combination of quantitative and qualitative analyses will be undertaken. Structural equation modelling (SEM) and hypothesis-driven regressions will explore how multiple aspects of pre- and post-natal family support (social, financial and health) are associated with stress (maternal and paternal) and wider mental health. An inductive approach to data analysis will be undertaken [55] for the open-ended qualitative data, and we will use NVivo (QSR International Pty Ltd) to code the data. Following this, several approaches including thematic [56], sentiment and context content analysis will be undertaken using a natural language processing (NLP) approach [57] (machine learning) to identify forms of social, medical and financial support in relation to the valence of parental attitudes. Regression analyses will then be conducted to understand the directional relationship between resulting latent factors (qualitative responses and quantitative data) and parental mental health.

To address Hypothesis 2, regressions will be used to explore how COVID-19 related restrictions interact with the frequency and forms of social interaction (i.e., face-to-face, digital, at distance) that the infant has, and whether this varies across the first six months of life.

To address Hypothesis 3, regressions will be used to explore the influence of maternal mental health longitudinally on the developing offspring across pre- to post-natal life: from fetal (12-weeks/20 weeks gestational age) to 18 months of age. Standardised z-scores will be created from the collected fetal growth measurements (i.e., head circumference, femur length and abdominal circumference), which will then be transformed into a composite score accounting for gestational age and/or estimated fetal weight at time of scan to be used for analysis. Z-scores will also be computed and used where appropriate within the analysis (e.g., infant-toddler sensory profile).

To address Hypothesis 4, outputs from Hypothesis 1 and 2 (impact of COVID-19 on parental mental health and infant social interactions) will be explored in relation to longitudinal social and cognitive development (e.g., language, motor sensory and the early emergence of developmental conditions) of the infant/toddler across the 0-18 months of life using SEM, full information maximum likelihood to account for missingness, and regression modelling.

CURRENT COHORT DESCRIPTION AND DEMOGRAPHICS

Initiated in July 2020, this study is ongoing with $n = 1700$ families currently enrolled (6th May 2021). Parents can consent to complete the questionnaire up to six times during pregnancy/parenting until their infants are 18 months of age. For those participants who contribute more than one time point (between antenatal and postnatal timepoints ≤ 6 months) an invitation is issued for a follow up to assess their toddler's development when aged 12 and 18 months (see *Figure 2* for study flow chart).

To date 1700 of families have participated in at least one time-point of the study, with 641 families joining at time points 1-2, 372 families at time-point 3, and 687 families at time-point 4 (see *Figure 2 and Table 1* for time-points). 61% of these families have consented to completing the subsequent follow-up sections of the study. To date 97.4% of respondents identify as mothers, 2.3% as fathers and 0.3% as another parent or caregiver, with the majority of participating families disclosing their ethnicity as white (89.2%). Those participating families who are from the UK have their household information (i.e., household income, location of participating families, index of multiple deprivation and respondent's education level) described in *Figure 3*.

Figure 3: Bubble map depicting spread of participations location in the UK (if postcode was provided) with respondent's education level, Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) and household income breakdown reported on the right.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethics approval for the survey was given by the University of Cambridge, Psychology Research Ethics Committee (PREC) (PRE.2020.077). All respondents are required to be over the age of 18 years and give electronic informed consent. Caregivers agreeing to be followed-up longitudinally give consent at each timepoint and are made aware that their participation can be stopped at any time within the study. Permissions have been obtained from participants to ensure anonymised data can be made available on open-source platforms.

A proactive dissemination pathway has been established from the outset. We will engage with policy stakeholders (health practitioners/Department of Health) and social media platforms to create discussion around this topic. Dissemination of findings will be via public forums (i.e., social media, media, collaborators family dissemination pathways) and at the national (i.e., NHS England/NHS Improvement, Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health, Centre for Health and the Public Interest) and local (Cambridge Universities Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust) level. Data will continue to be disseminated throughout the period of the study to promote discussion and raise the profile of the population identified as being one of the most vulnerable and neglected during the pandemic.

To date, qualitative responses from the first five months of data collection have been analysed to explore parents experiences of being pregnant in relation to healthcare access during the pandemic [5]. This was conducted using thematic and sentiment analysis. The initial findings suggest that a range of adverse effects have been experienced by expectant parents in the UK relating to changes in antenatal support and healthcare appointments in response to governmental guidance with regard to social distancing. These findings point to an urgent need to better address the unique health care needs of each pregnant woman going forward.

Data Sharing Plan

Questionnaires and study goals were made available upon request using the Open Science Foundation platform in July 2020 and made public at <https://osf.io/m7zuw/> in August 2020. Study protocol, follow-up questionnaires and statistical analysis code will be uploaded and shared to facilitate data sharing and collaboration, in accordance with Research, Innovation and Science Policy Experts (RISE) EU principles [58]. Data collected will be uploaded to open-source platforms, for utilisation by other researchers and policy stakeholders. In addition, quarterly layman summaries of findings will be reported for parents and the general public on our website and social media platforms. Qualitative data generated and analysed during the study will not be made publicly available due to ethical and privacy restrictions, however researchers can submit a research proposal to the Data Sharing Management Committee to request access and collaboration. We will either make our datafile associated with each publication available on an opensource platform, following peer review and publication or 12 months after the completion of a follow-up timepoint.

REFERENCES

- 1 Laplante DP, Brunet A, Schmitz N, *et al.* Project Ice Storm: Prenatal Maternal Stress Affects Cognitive and Linguistic Functioning in 5½-Year-Old Children. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2008;**47**:1063–72. doi:10.1097/CHI.0b013e31817eec80
- 2 King S, Dancause K, Turcotte-Tremblay A-M, *et al.* Using Natural Disasters to Study the Effects of Prenatal Maternal Stress on Child Health and Development: Natural Disasters and Prenatal Maternal Stress. *Birth Defects Res Part C Embryo Today Rev* 2012;**96**:273–88. doi:10.1002/bdrc.21026
- 3 Nelson III CA, Gabard-Durnam LJ. Early adversity and critical periods: neurodevelopmental consequences of violating the expectable environment. *Trends Neurosci* 2020;**43**:133–43.
- 4 Davenport MH, Meyer S, Meah VL, *et al.* Moms are not ok: COVID-19 and maternal mental health. *Front Glob Womens Health* 2020;**1**:1.
- 5 Aydin E, Glasgow KA, Weiss S, *et al.* Expectant parents' perceptions of healthcare and support during COVID-19 in the UK: A thematic analysis. *Public and Global Health* 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.04.14.21255490
- 6 Barlow J, Bach-Mortensen A, Homonchuk O, *et al.* The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on services from pregnancy through age 5 years for families who are high risk of poor outcomes or who have complex social needs. 2020.
- 7 Pierce M, Hope H, Ford T, *et al.* Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020;**7**:883–92. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30308-4
- 8 Shah SMA, Mohammad D, Qureshi MFH, *et al.* Prevalence, Psychological Responses and Associated Correlates of Depression, Anxiety and Stress in a Global Population, During the Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Pandemic. *Community Ment Health J* 2021;**57**:101–10. doi:10.1007/s10597-020-00728-y
- 9 Davis N. NHS trusts begin suspending home births due to coronavirus. 2020.
- 10 NHS. Supporting Pregnant women using maternity service during the coronavirus pandemic: Actions for NHS providers. 2020.
- 11 Sherwood H. Midwife shortage doubles as NHS staff diverted to tend Covid-19 patients. 2020.
- 12 Khalil A, Von Dadelzen P, Draycott T, *et al.* Change in the incidence of stillbirth and preterm delivery during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Jama* 2020;**324**:705–6.
- 13 Preis H, Mahaffey B, Heiselman C, *et al.* Vulnerability and resilience to pandemic-related stress among U.S. women pregnant at the start of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Soc Sci Med* 2020;**266**:113348. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.113348
- 14 Moyer CA, Compton SD, Kaselitz E, *et al.* Pregnancy-related anxiety during COVID-19: a nationwide survey of 2740 pregnant women. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2020;**23**:757–65. doi:10.1007/s00737-020-01073-5
- 15 Lebel C, MacKinnon A, Bagshawe M, *et al.* Elevated depression and anxiety symptoms among pregnant individuals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Affect Disord* 2020;**277**:5–13. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.07.126

- 16 Berthelot N, Lemieux R, Garon-Bissonnette J, *et al.* Uptrend in distress and psychiatric symptomatology in pregnant women during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2020;**99**:848–55. doi:10.1111/aogs.13925
- 17 Grigoriadis S, Graves L, Peer M, *et al.* Maternal Anxiety During Pregnancy and the Association With Adverse Perinatal Outcomes: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2018;**79**. doi:10.4088/JCP.17r12011
- 18 Stein A, Pearson RM, Goodman SH, *et al.* Effects of perinatal mental disorders on the fetus and child. *The Lancet* 2014;**384**:1800–19. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61277-0
- 19 Dib S, Rougeaux E, Vázquez-Vázquez A, *et al.* Maternal mental health and coping during the COVID-19 lockdown in the UK: Data from the COVID-19 New Mum Study. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2020;**151**:407–14. doi:10.1002/ijgo.13397
- 20 Chivers BR, Garad RM, Boyle JA, *et al.* Perinatal Distress During COVID-19: Thematic Analysis of an Online Parenting Forum. *J Med Internet Res* 2020;**22**:e22002. doi:10.2196/22002
- 21 Murray L, Fiori-Cowley A, Hooper R, *et al.* The Impact of Postnatal Depression and Associated Adversity on Early Mother-Infant Interactions and Later Infant Outcome. *Child Dev* 1996;**67**:2512. doi:10.2307/1131637
- 22 Murray L. The Impact of Postnatal Depression on Infant Development. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 1992;**33**:543–61. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.1992.tb00890.x
- 23 Stein A, Gath DH, Bucher J, *et al.* The Relationship between Post-natal Depression and Mother-Child Interaction. *Br J Psychiatry* 1991;**158**:46–52. doi:10.1192/bjp.158.1.46
- 24 Murray L, Arteche A, Fearon P, *et al.* Maternal Postnatal Depression and the Development of Depression in Offspring Up to 16 Years of Age. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2011;**50**:460–70. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2011.02.001
- 25 Foley S, Hughes C. Great expectations? Do mothers' and fathers' prenatal thoughts and feelings about the infant predict parent-infant interaction quality? A meta-analytic review. *Dev Rev* 2018;**48**:40–54. doi:10.1016/j.dr.2018.03.007
- 26 Barlow J, Underdown A. Child maltreatment during infancy: atypical parent-infant relationships. *Paediatr Child Health* 2018;**28**:114–9. doi:10.1016/j.paed.2017.11.001
- 27 Mayopoulos GA, Ein-Dor T, Dishy GA, *et al.* COVID-19 is associated with traumatic childbirth and subsequent mother-infant bonding problems. *J Affect Disord* 2021;**282**:122–5. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.12.101
- 28 Brown A, Shenker N. Experiences of breastfeeding during COVID-19: Lessons for future practical and emotional support. *Matern Child Nutr* 2021;**17**. doi:10.1111/mcn.13088
- 29 Bhopal S, Fearon P. Pandemic babies: how COVID-19 has affected child development. 2021.<https://theconversation.com/pandemic-babies-how-covid-19-has-affected-child-development-155903>
- 30 Reed J, Parish N. Working for babies: Lockdown lessons from local systems. 2021. <https://parentinfantfoundation.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/210115-F1001D-Working-for-Babies-Report-FINAL-v1.0-compressed.pdf>

- 31 European Parliament. Directorate General for Internal Policies of the Union. *The gendered impact of the Covid-19 crisis and post-crisis period*. LU: : Publications Office 2020. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2861/393602> (accessed 15 Mar 2021).
- 32 Cook R, Grimshaw D. A gendered lens on COVID-19 employment and social policies in Europe. *Eur Soc* 2021;**23**:S215–27. doi:10.1080/14616696.2020.1822538
- 33 Petterson SM, Albers AB. Effects of Poverty and Maternal Depression on Early Child Development. *Child Dev* 2001;**72**:1794–813. doi:10.1111/1467-8624.00379
- 34 Pearson RM, Evans J, Kounali D, *et al*. Maternal Depression During Pregnancy and the Postnatal Period: Risks and Possible Mechanisms for Offspring Depression at Age 18 Years. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013;**70**:1312. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.2163
- 35 Dawson G, Ashman SB, Panagiotides H, *et al*. Preschool Outcomes of Children of Depressed Mothers: Role of Maternal Behavior, Contextual Risk, and Children’s Brain Activity. *Child Dev* 2003;**74**:1158–75. doi:10.1111/1467-8624.00599
- 36 Goodman SH, Rouse MH, Connell AM, *et al*. Maternal Depression and Child Psychopathology: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev* 2011;**14**:1–27. doi:10.1007/s10567-010-0080-1
- 37 Buss C, Davis EP, Hobel CJ, *et al*. Maternal pregnancy-specific anxiety is associated with child executive function at 6–9 years age. *Stress* 2011;**14**:665–76. doi:10.3109/10253890.2011.623250
- 38 Thomas JC, Letourneau N, Campbell TS, *et al*. Developmental origins of infant emotion regulation: Mediation by temperamental negativity and moderation by maternal sensitivity. *Dev Psychol* 2017;**53**:611–28. doi:10.1037/dev0000279
- 39 Letcher P, Greenwood CJ, Romaniuk H, *et al*. Adolescent and young adult mental health problems and infant offspring behavior: Findings from a prospective intergenerational cohort study. *J Affect Disord* 2020;**272**:521–8. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.03.101
- 40 Weiss DS, Marmar C, Wilson JP, *et al*. Assessing psychological trauma and PTSD. *Impact Events Scale—Revised* 1997;**19**:399–411.
- 41 Spielberger CD. State-Trait anxiety inventory. *Corsini Encycl Psychol* 2010;;1–1.
- 42 Noone PA. The Holmes–Rahe Stress Inventory. *Occup Med* 2017;**67**:581–2. doi:10.1093/occmed/kqx099
- 43 Brunton RJ, Dryer R, Saliba A, *et al*. The initial development of the Pregnancy-related Anxiety Scale. *Women Birth* 2019;**32**:e118–30. doi:10.1016/j.wombi.2018.05.004
- 44 Condon JT. The assessment of antenatal emotional attachment: development of a questionnaire instrument. *Br J Med Psychol* 1993;**66** (Pt 2):167–83. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8341.1993.tb01739.x
- 45 Peterson ER, Waldie KE, Mohal J, *et al*. Infant Behavior Questionnaire-Revised Very Short Form: A New Factor Structure’s Associations With Parenting Perceptions and Child Language Outcomes. *J Pers Assess* 2017;**99**:561–73. doi:10.1080/00223891.2017.1287709
- 46 Daniels DB, Dunn WW. Initial Development of the Infant/Toddler Sensory Profile. *J Early Interv* 2002;**25**:27–41. doi:10.1177/105381510202500104
- 47 Houser J. Ages And Stages. *Child Fam Serv Update* 2004;;3–3. doi:10.1037/e597192011-002

- 48 Hamilton a, Plunkett K, Schafer G. Infant vocabulary development assessed with a British communicative development inventory. *J Child Lang* 2000;**27**:689–705. doi:10.1017/S0305000900004414
- 49 Allison C, Baron-Cohen S, Wheelwright S, *et al.* The Q-CHAT (Quantitative CHECKlist for Autism in Toddlers): A normally distributed quantitative measure of autistic traits at 18-24 months of age: Preliminary report. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2008;**38**:1414–25. doi:10.1007/s10803-007-0509-7
- 50 Burger-Caplan R, Saulnier CA, Sparrow SS. Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales. In: Kreutzer JS, DeLuca J, Caplan B, eds. *Encyclopedia of Clinical Neuropsychology*. Cham: : Springer International Publishing 2018. 3597–601. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-57111-9_1602
- 51 Luyten P, Mayes LC, Nijssens L, *et al.* The parental reflective functioning questionnaire: Development and preliminary validation. *PLOS ONE* 2017;**12**:e0176218. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176218
- 52 Verhoeven M, Deković M, Bodden D, *et al.* Development and initial validation of the comprehensive early childhood parenting questionnaire (CECPAQ) for parents of 1–4 year-olds. *Eur J Dev Psychol* 2017;**14**:233–47. doi:10.1080/17405629.2016.1182017
- 53 Bjureberg J, Ljótsson B, Tull MT, *et al.* Development and Validation of a Brief Version of the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale: The DERS-16. *J Psychopathol Behav Assess* 2016;**38**:284–96. doi:10.1007/s10862-015-9514-x
- 54 Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, *et al.* Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;**42**:377–81. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
- 55 Gerrish K, Lathlean J, editors. *The research process in nursing*. Seventh edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK; Malden, MA: : John Wiley & Sons Inc 2015.
- 56 Pope C, Ziebland S, Mays N. Qualitative research in health care. Analysing qualitative data. *BMJ* 2000;**320**:114–6. doi:10.1136/bmj.320.7227.114
- 57 Leeson W, Resnick A, Alexander D, *et al.* Natural Language Processing (NLP) in Qualitative Public Health Research: A Proof of Concept Study. *Int J Qual Methods* 2019;**18**:160940691988702. doi:10.1177/1609406919887021
- 58 European Commission. Directorate General for Research and Innovation. *Europe's future: open innovation, open science, open to the world*; reflections of the Research, Innovation and Science Policy Experts (RISE) High Level Group. LU: : Publications Office 2017. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/348700> (accessed 20 May 2021).

Acknowledgements: We are extremely grateful to all those families who gave their time to participate and to Esther Adememo, Maddie Walton and Zahra Khan who worked on the CoCoPIP study during their undergraduate and master's studies at Cambridge.

Author statement: **Ezra Aydin:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft. **Staci M. Weiss:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft. **Kevin A. Glasgow:** Methodology, Visualisation, Writing - Review & Editing **Topun Austin:** Methodology, Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing. **Mark H. Johnson:** Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing - Review & Editing. **Jane Barlow:** Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing. **Sarah Lloyd-Fox:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Writing - Review & Editing.

Funding source: This research was funded by a Medical Research Council Programme Grant MR/T003057/1 to MJ, and an UKRI Future Leaders fellowship (MRC grant MR/S018425/1) to SLF.

The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the MRC or the UKRI.

The NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) is a partnership between Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Cambridge, funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). T.A. is supported by the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). TA is also supported by the NIHR Brain Injury MedTech Co-operative. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Disclosure Statement: The authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.