Associations of socioeconomic position and adverse childhood experiences with health-related behaviour changes and changes to employment during the first COVID-19 lockdown in the UK

Madeleine L Smith, BSc^{1,2}, Annie Herbert, PhD^{1,2}, Amanda Hughes, PhD^{1,2}, Kate Northstone, PhD², Laura D Howe, PhD^{1,2}

¹ Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, BS8 2BN, United Kingdom.

² Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 2BN, United Kingdom.

Corresponding author:

Madeleine L Smith

Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Clifton, Bristol, BS8 2BN, UK

Email: madeleine.smith@bristol.ac.uk

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0215-9691>

Word count: 3380

Number of tables/figures: 5

ABSTRACT

Background

Non-pharmaceutical interventions to reduce the spread of COVID-19 may have disproportionately affected already disadvantaged populations.

Methods

We analysed data from 2710 young adult participants of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. We assessed the associations of socioeconomic position (SEP) and Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs, e.g. abuse, neglect, measures of family dysfunction) with changes to health-related behaviours (meals, snacks, exercise, sleep, alcohol and smoking/vaping), and to financial and employment status during the first UK lockdown between March-June 2020.

Results

Experiencing 4 or more ACEs was associated with reporting decreased sleep quantity during lockdown (OR 1.53, 95% CI: 1.07-2.18) and increased smoking and/or vaping (OR 1.85, 95% CI: 0.99-3.43); no other associations were seen between ACEs or SEP and health-related behaviour changes. Adverse financial and employment changes were more likely for people with low SEP and for people who had experienced multiple ACEs; e.g. people who had been in the 'never worked or long-term unemployed' or 'routine and manual occupation' categories pre-lockdown were almost 3 times more likely to have stopped working during lockdown compared with people who were in a higher managerial, administrative or professional occupation pre-lockdown (OR 2.83, 95% CI: 1.45-5.50 and OR 2.68, 95% CI: 1.63-4.42 respectively).

Conclusion

Adverse financial and employment consequences of lockdown were more likely to be experienced by people who have already experienced socioeconomic deprivation or childhood adversity, thereby widening social inequalities. Despite this, in this sample of young adults, there was little evidence that lockdown worsened inequalities in health-related behaviours.

BOX

What is already known on this subject?

- Non-pharmaceutical interventions implemented to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 (e.g. nationwide lockdown) have affected the lifestyles and livelihoods of many, with potential consequences for physical health and financial wellbeing.
- Existing evidence suggests sociodemographic inequalities in the effects of lockdown, but details of the factors influencing these inequalities remain unclear.

What this study adds?

- A history of adverse childhood experiences may be associated with decreased sleep and increased smoking/vaping during lockdown. SEP and ACEs were not associated with changes in other health-related behaviours in this cohort of young adults.
- Adverse financial and employment consequences of lockdown were more likely to be experienced by people who have already experienced socioeconomic deprivation or childhood adversity, thereby widening social inequalities. Our findings highlight a need for continued support of people who experience ACEs into adulthood, and demonstrate that this need may have increased during the COVID-19 pandemic.

INTRODUCTION

Measures taken to reduce the spread of COVID-19 in the United Kingdom (UK), including a national ‘lockdown’ implemented on 23rd March 2020,[1] have had profound effects on everyday life. Concerns that lockdown measures could worsen existing socioeconomic and health inequalities by disproportionately affecting disadvantaged populations were expressed early on in the pandemic.[2–5] Since then, evidence suggests these concerns were well founded.[6] Determining who is more likely to have suffered adverse effects will be critical in guiding comprehensive and targeted support for those most in need.

Several studies have highlighted consequences of lockdown on physical and mental health.[7–15] During lockdown, health behaviours such as diet, alcohol consumption, smoking, sleep and physical activity were subject to rapid change, with both increases and decreases observed. Analysis of five British cohort studies suggested lockdown widened socioeconomic inequalities in sleep but did not affect inequalities in other health behaviours.[7] Financial and employment changes were also prevalent during lockdown, due in part to the effective shutdown of a number of sectors, including hospitality and travel.[16,17] Initial UK evidence suggested younger people were more affected by loss of employment and income during lockdown.[18] There was a clear social gradient for loss of income and employment, and difficulties accessing food and medicines, with individuals of lower socioeconomic position (SEP) more negatively affected.[19,20]

Impact on individuals of the UK lockdown may also differ by other factors, including a history of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), that is, stressful events in childhood such as abuse, neglect, and family dysfunction. Exposure to ACEs has been shown to be associated with worse health and health-related behaviours across adolescence and adulthood.[21–23] Therefore, individuals with a history of ACEs may be less resilient to the damaging effects of periods of adversity such as lockdown. Whether ACEs predict increased hardship during lockdown remains to be determined.[24]

Using data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), a UK prospective cohort study collected during the COVID-19 pandemic,[25] we investigated associations of SEP and ACEs with health and financial consequences of the first UK lockdown (March-June 2020).

METHODS

Study population

The study used data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), an ongoing birth cohort study that recruited 14,541 pregnant women in Avon, UK with expected delivery dates between 1st April 1991 and 31st December 1992.[26–28] Mothers, children, and mother’s partners have been followed up using clinics, questionnaires, and linkage to routine data. Additional eligible cases were recruited to the study when the oldest participants were approximately 7 years old. Including these, the offspring cohort consists of 14,901 participants that were alive at one year of age. The website contains details of available data through a fully searchable data dictionary: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/>. Ethical approval was obtained from

the ALSPAC Law and Ethics Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Participants provided written informed consent.

This study is based on 2710 offspring participants who responded between 26th May and 5th July 2020 to a questionnaire rapidly deployed early on during the COVID-19 pandemic.[25] The questionnaire was developed and deployed using REDCap (Research Electronic Data CAPture tools), a secure web application for online data collection hosted at the University of Bristol.[29]

ACEs

ACE measures were derived from questions relating to multiple forms of ACEs reported by participants and their mothers at multiple timepoints from birth to 23 years of age. Full details are described elsewhere.[30] Briefly, dichotomous indicators of exposure between 0 and 16 years were created for the ten ACEs included in the World Health Organisation ACE international questionnaire.[31] The ten ACEs we considered were:

1. ever sexually abused or forced to perform sexual acts or touch someone in a sexual way (sexual abuse)
2. adult in family was ever physically cruel towards or hurt the child (physical abuse)
3. parent was ever emotionally cruel towards the child or often said hurtful/insulting things to the child (emotional abuse)
4. child always felt excluded, misunderstood, or never important to family, parents never asked or never listened when child talked about their free time (emotional neglect)
5. parent was a daily cannabis or any hard drug user or had an alcohol problem (parental substance abuse)
6. parent was ever diagnosed with schizophrenia or hospitalised for a psychiatric problem or, during the first 18 years of the child's life, parent had an eating disorder (bulimia or anorexia), used medication for depression or anxiety, attempted suicide, or scored above previously established cut-offs for depression (Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) >12–13) (parental mental illness or suicide)
7. parents were ever affected by physically cruel behaviour by partner or ever violent towards each other, including hitting, choking, strangling, beating, and shoving (violence between parents)
8. parents separated or divorced (parental separation)
9. child was a victim of bullying on a weekly basis (bullying)
10. parent was convicted of a crime (parental criminal conviction)

Based on the sum of the dichotomous ACE constructs, each participant was given an ACE score (0-10 ACEs), which was categorised as 0, 1, 2-3, or 4+ ACEs for comparability with previous studies.

SEP

Socioeconomic position was indicated by occupational social class at age 23 (~4 years prior to the start of the pandemic), using the 3-class National Statistics Socio-economic classification (NS-SEC)[32]:

1. Higher managerial, administrative, and professional occupations (NS-SEC group 1)
2. Intermediate occupations (NS-SEC group 2)
3. Routine and manual occupations (NS-SEC group 3)
4. Never worked and long-term unemployed (LTU)

This was derived from participant self-reports of occupation, business/industry and job responsibilities from a questionnaire administered at mean age 23 years. Responses were coded into the first three NS-SEC categories. Participants were instructed to skip certain questions if they were “not engaged in any form of work”, so participants who skipped these questions only created the fourth category. Participants who indicated they were full-time students were excluded from analysis of SEP (Supplementary Figure 1).

Outcomes

The term lockdown refers to the stay-at-home order made by the UK government on Monday 23rd March 2020. A questionnaire deployed between 26th May and 5th July 2020 asked participants (mean age 27.8 years) to report whether each of the following activities had decreased a lot, decreased a little, stayed the same, increased a little or increased a lot since lockdown: number of home-cooked meals eaten, number of meals eaten in a day, number of snacks eaten in a day, amount of physical activity/exercise, amount of sleep, alcohol and smoking/vaping. We combined the responses to give three levels: “decreased”, “stayed the same” and “increased”.

Participants could select “not applicable” if they didn’t do the activity before lockdown and weren’t doing it at the time of questionnaire completion. For the first five variables (number of home-cooked meals, number of meals, number of snacks, amount of physical activity, amount of sleep), the number of participants selecting “not applicable” was negligible (n=6, 4, 8, 2, 4, respectively) so these responses were coded as missing. For alcohol and smoking, “not applicable” was coded as a fourth category, representing non-drinkers and non-smokers/vapers.

In the same questionnaire, participants reported their employment situation before lockdown. We categorised responses into working and not working pre-lockdown, and combined these with employment status at questionnaire completion to derive change in employment status during lockdown. This had five categories: employed with no change, employed with reduced hours, employed and on furlough or paid or unpaid leave, no longer working, and not working pre-lockdown.

Participants also reported how their financial situation compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic. Possible responses were: “much worse off”, “a little worse off”, “about the same”, “a little better off”, or “much better off”; we grouped the first two into ‘worse off’ and the last two into ‘better off’. Participants reported whether they or their partner had made any new claims for benefits since the pandemic, or had used rent or mortgage or other debt holidays since the pandemic.

Missing data

ACE measures were derived from >500 questions answered between birth and 23 years of age, and no participant had data on all these questions. We therefore used multivariate

multiple imputation to estimate missing values. This avoids exclusion of participants whilst reducing selection bias. Participants were only excluded from analyses if they responded to <10% of ACE questions (Supplementary Figure 1). For participants who responded to ≥50% of questions for an ACE, these questions were used to create a binary indicator of presence/absence of that ACE. For participants who responded to <50% of questions for an ACE, presence/absence of the ACE was set to missing and was imputed. The ACE score was derived after imputing presence/absence of individual ACEs. Given known sex differences in ACE prevalence, missing data for males and females were imputed separately, and the datasets re-combined before analysis. The imputation model included all outcomes, exposures and covariates included in analysis, and 24 auxiliary variables likely to predict missingness or ACE exposure (details in Supplementary File). Some participants had missing SEP data, so we included in the imputation model 8-class NS-SEC[32], from which the 4-group version described above was derived. Since only participants who completed the COVID-19 questionnaire were included in analysis, most of the analytic sample had complete outcome data. For those that had not responded to certain sections or questions, these were imputed in the same model. Using the mice package[33] in R version 4.0.2, we created 50 imputed datasets for both males and females, with 10 iterations per dataset. Imputed values were combined using Rubin's rules[34] and trace plots used to check convergence of estimates.

Statistical analyses

All analysis was conducted in R version 4.0.2. To explore how participants in our analysis differed from the full cohort, we compared the distribution of maternal education between included participants and those excluded due to missing data. We used multinomial logistic regression to examine associations of ACE score, individual ACEs, and SEP with health behaviour and employment outcomes during lockdown. We assessed unadjusted associations, and associations adjusted for covariates. These included participant's ethnicity, age in years at questionnaire completion, and home ownership. We also adjusted for their mother's marital status, parity, and age at the participant's birth, and educational qualifications of the mother and their partner. We tested for an interaction between each exposure and sex on the outcome.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics

For associations of ACEs with outcomes, 2707 participants were included in analyses. For associations of SEP with outcomes, 2557 participants were included in analyses (Supplementary Figure 1). Between 0-16 years, 81.4% of participants were exposed to at least one ACE, and 20.1% to 4 or more ACEs (Table 1). Prevalence of individual ACEs ranged from 6% for sexual abuse to 43.7% for parental mental illness or suicide (Table 1). Of participants included in the SEP analyses, 44% were employed in higher managerial, administrative, and professional occupations, and 9.2% were long-term unemployed at age 23 (Supplementary Table 1). Participants included in analysis had more highly educated mothers than participants excluded due to missing data (Supplementary Table 2).

Changes to health-related behaviours during lockdown varied in direction (Table 1; Supplementary Table 1). For example, 40.2% of participants reported a decrease in exercise

quantity and 40.6% an increase, while 23.3% reported a decrease in sleep quantity and 34.6% an increase.

A large proportion of participants (58.6%) reported no change in employment during lockdown, 9.6% were working reduced hours, 18.3% were on furlough or unpaid leave and 6.1% had stopped working during lockdown (Table 1). 25.3% of participants reported feeling financially worse off compared to pre-lockdown, whereas 37.3% felt financially better off (Table 1).

There was no evidence for interactions between each exposure and sex on any of the outcomes.

Associations of SEP and ACEs with health-related behaviours during lockdown

Participants who were in NS-SEC group 3 or LTU at age 23 had higher odds of both decreased and increased number of meals, and higher odds of decreased sleep quantity, compared with participants in NS-SEC group 1 (Table 2; Supplementary Table 3). LTU participants were less likely to have either increased or decreased their physical activity compared to NS-SEC 1 participants. However, odds ratios were imprecisely estimated, and confidence intervals spanned the null. For other health-related behaviours, there was little evidence of socioeconomic differences.

In the unadjusted model, exposure to 4+ ACEs was associated with reporting decreased sleep quantity during lockdown (odds ratio (OR) 1.53, 95% CI: 1.07-2.18) which attenuated slightly after adjustment for confounders (OR 1.39, 95% CI: 0.94-2.06) (Table 3; Supplementary Table 4). Experience of ACEs was related to change in both directions to number of meals per day. For example, people who had experienced 4+ ACEs were more than twice as likely to decrease (OR 2.34, 95% CI: 1.43-3.82) or increase (OR 2.18, 95% CI: 1.49-3.18) the number of meals compared with people reporting no ACEs. Experiencing 4+ ACEs was also associated with higher odds of increasing smoking and/or vaping (OR 1.85, 95% CI: 0.99-3.43). For other health behaviours, there was little evidence of associations with the ACE score.

For individual ACEs, there was some evidence of associations with health behaviour changes (Supplementary Tables 5 & 6). Decreased sleep quantity during lockdown was associated with emotional abuse (OR 1.44, 95% CI: 1.09-1.90; unadjusted), physical abuse (OR 1.38, 95% CI: 1.07-1.78; unadjusted) and violence between parents (OR 1.38, 95% CI: 1.04-1.84; unadjusted). Participants with a history of violence between parents were twice as likely to increase smoking/vaping quantity during lockdown. For other individual ACEs, there was little evidence of associations with changes in health-related behaviours.

Associations of SEP and ACEs with employment and financial situation during lockdown

People who were in NS-SEC group 3 or LTU at age 23 were more likely than those in NS-SEC group 1 at that age to experience the most adverse financial and employment outcomes (Table 4; Supplementary Table 7). For example, they were almost 3 times more likely to stop working during lockdown (OR 2.83, 95% CI: 1.45-5.50 for NS-SEC 3, OR 2.68, 95% CI: 1.63-4.42 for LTU). Both groups were also more than twice as likely to be on furlough or other leave, and about 50% more likely to have reduced employment hours.

In the unadjusted model, an ACE score of 2-3 and 4+ was associated with being furloughed or on paid or unpaid leave during lockdown (OR 1.45, 95% CI: 1.03-2.03 and OR 1.92, 95% CI: 1.35-2.74, respectively) (Table 5; Supplementary Table 8). Experiencing 4+ ACEs was also associated with a higher chance of stopping working during lockdown, but the confidence interval for this estimate was wide (OR=1.39, 95% CI 0.73-2.65). Experiencing 4+ ACEs was associated with feeling worse off financially during the pandemic, claiming new benefits, and using rent or mortgage holidays since the start of the pandemic. However estimates were imprecise, reflecting low prevalence of these outcomes.

Some individual ACEs were associated with employment status change (Supplementary Table 9; Supplementary Table 10). For example, those with a history of physical abuse were more than twice as likely to have stopped working during lockdown than other participants (OR 2.15, 95% CI: 1.41-3.27). This was robust to adjustment for confounders (OR 2.32, 95% CI: 1.49-3.6). Participants with a history of parental substance abuse were almost twice as likely to have stopped working during lockdown compared to those without (OR 1.87, 95% CI: 1.05-3.33). Participants with a history of emotional neglect or parental separation were around 50% more likely to be furloughed or on other leave during lockdown than those without. A history of physical abuse or parental substance abuse was associated with being worse off financially during the pandemic. For other individual ACEs, there was little evidence of associations with changes in employment status or financial situation during lockdown.

DISCUSSION

In a population-based longitudinal cohort study we identified changes in multiple health-related behaviours in both directions during lockdown, as well as changes to employment status and financial situation. The evidence of associations between SEP and health-related behaviour changes during lockdown was limited, but a higher overall ACE score and various individual ACEs were found to be associated with some behaviours e.g., decreased sleep and increased smoking. There was, however, clear evidence that adverse employment and financial changes were more likely to be experienced by people with low SEP or a history of ACE exposure. In this cohort of young adults, people in routine or manual occupations or long-term unemployment four years prior to the pandemic were more likely to experience adverse changes to their employment status during lockdown compared to participants in higher managerial, administrative, or professional occupations four years previous. Those with a higher ACE score were more likely to be put on furlough or other leave or stop working entirely during lockdown. In addition, we found some evidence that the group with the greatest ACE exposure were more likely to experience adverse financial outcomes during lockdown.

There is a growing body of evidence of the indirect effects of lockdown on health-related behaviours. A study analysing five British cohorts of different ages investigated whether behaviour change during lockdown differed by SEP and, like the present study, found that adverse effects on sleep during lockdown were more frequent amongst socioeconomically disadvantaged groups.[7] The same study, which had a large combined sample size, found that lower SEP was associated with lower exercise quantity during lockdown, something

that wasn't detected in our sample. Analysis of a large UK cohort found that overall smoking declined during lockdown and that there was no interaction of smoking behaviour with education level.[11] There is evidence of socioeconomic disparities in drinking behaviours during lockdown,[13,14] which we did not detect in our sample.

In keeping with our findings, adverse effects on employment seem to be more common for younger people and those in lower paid occupations.^{6,18,19} One study exploring adversities during the first 3 weeks of lockdown by SEP found that people of low SEP were more likely to lose work, experience a cut in household income and be unable to pay bills during lockdown than people of higher SEP.¹⁹ Similarly, a Welsh study found that unemployment and furlough during lockdown disproportionately affected those experiencing financial difficulties and living in deprived communities.²⁰ To our knowledge, ours is the first study to assess ACEs as a risk factor for adverse employment and financial changes during lockdown.

This study has limitations. The sample size and thus statistical power was restricted as the questionnaire was online only - invites were only sent to those participants for whom the study had a valid email address, impacting participants who prefer to complete questionnaires on paper. Self-reported measures were used, so associations may have been biased by measurement error and reporting biases. ALSPAC participants are more socioeconomically advantaged and less ethnically diverse than the national average, and mothers of participants included in this study had higher educational attainment compared to the rest of the ALSPAC cohort. Results therefore may not be generalisable to the UK population. Although ALSPAC participants are in the age group most likely to be affected by lockdown in terms of employment¹⁸, their similar ages meant we could not study the effect of age on the outcomes. Finally, our SEP measure was solely based on participants' occupations, but individual's income, education, or residential area may also determine negative impacts of lockdown.

Conclusion

The results of this study support findings from routine data that the financial and employment situation of adults with lower occupational social class are more likely to have been adversely affected by the COVID-19 non-pharmaceutical interventions.[35] However, importantly, our findings also highlight the economic adversity experienced by adults who have been exposed to ACEs. Despite the long-reaching consequences of ACEs persisting into adulthood, there are few interventions and services targeted at this group (with most services for adults targeting adult risk factors rather than previous childhood risk factors), and they are largely hidden from view in routine data. Our findings highlight a need for continued support of people who experience ACEs into adulthood, and demonstrate that this need may have increased during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Our results also suggest that exposure to multiple ACEs was associated with decreased sleep quantity and increased smoking/vaping, but we found little evidence that SEP or ACEs influenced changes in other health-related behaviours during the pandemic in this study of young adults, providing some reassurance that, at least in the short-term, the non-pharmaceutical interventions used against COVID-19 have not led to increased inequalities in health-related behaviours in this age group.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses.

CONTRIBUTORS

LDH and A Hughes conceived the idea for the study. MLS and A Herbert conducted the analysis. MLS drafted the manuscript. MLS, LDH, A Hughes, A Herbert and KN contributed to the study design, interpretation of the findings and critically revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the paper.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust through a PhD studentship to MLS [218495/Z/19/Z]. For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission. The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the authors and MLS and LDH will serve as guarantors for the contents of this paper. A comprehensive list of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website (<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf>). This research was specifically funded by Wellcome Trust and MRC UoB Faculty Research Director's Discretionary Fund (Grant ref: 102215/2/13/2).

COMPETING INTERESTS

None to declare.

REFERENCES

- 1 UK Government Department of Health and Social Care. Coronavirus : action plan. 2020. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-action-plan/coronavirus-action-plan-a-guide-to-what-you-can-expect-across-the-uk>
- 2 Power M, Doherty B, Pybus K, *et al*. How COVID-19 has exposed inequalities in the UK food system: The case of UK food and poverty. *Emerald Open Res* 2020;**2**:11. doi:10.35241/emeraldopenres.13539.2
- 3 Douglas M, Katikireddi SV, Taulbut M, *et al*. Mitigating the wider health effects of covid-19 pandemic response. *BMJ* 2020;**369**:1–6. doi:10.1136/bmj.m1557
- 4 Redefining vulnerability in the era of COVID-19. *Lancet* 2020;**395**:1089. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30757-1
- 5 Bambra C, Riordan R, Ford J, *et al*. The COVID-19 pandemic and health inequalities. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2020;;jech-2020-21440. doi:10.1136/jech-2020-214401
- 6 Witteveen D. Sociodemographic inequality in exposure to COVID-19-induced economic hardship in the United Kingdom. *Res Soc Stratif Mobil* 2020;**69**:100551. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rssm.2020.100551>
- 7 Bann D, Villadsen A, Maddock J, *et al*. Changes in the behavioural determinants of health during the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic: gender, socioeconomic and ethnic inequalities in 5 British cohort studies. *medRxiv* 2020;;2020.07.29.20164244. doi:10.1101/2020.07.29.20164244
- 8 Kwong ASF, Pearson RM, Smith D, *et al*. Longitudinal evidence for persistent anxiety

- in young adults through COVID-19 restrictions. *Wellcome Open Res* 2020;**5**:195. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16206.1
- 9 Fancourt D, Steptoe A, Bu F. Trajectories of depression and anxiety during enforced isolation due to COVID-19: longitudinal analyses of 59,318 adults in the UK with and without diagnosed mental illness. *medRxiv* 2020;:2020.06.03.20120923. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.06.03.20120923v1>
- 10 Pierce M, Hope H, Ford T, *et al.* Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2020;**7**:883–92. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30308-4
- 11 Niedzwiedz CL, Green MJ, Benzeval M, *et al.* Mental health and health behaviours before and during the initial phase of the COVID-19 lockdown: longitudinal analyses of the UK Household Longitudinal Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2020;:jech-2020-21506. doi:10.1136/jech-2020-215060
- 12 Groarke JM, Berry E, Graham-Wisener L, *et al.* Loneliness in the UK during the COVID-19 pandemic: Cross-sectional results from the COVID-19 Psychological Wellbeing Study. *PLoS One* 2020;**15**:e0239698. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0239698
- 13 Garnett C, Jackson S, Oldham M, *et al.* Factors associated with drinking behaviour during COVID-19 social distancing and lockdown among adults in the UK. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2021;**219**:108461. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2020.108461
- 14 Jackson SE, Beard E, Angus C, *et al.* Moderators of changes in smoking, drinking, and quitting behaviour associated with the first Covid-19 lockdown in England. *medRxiv* Published Online First: 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.02.15.21251766
- 15 Williams L, Rollins L, Young D, *et al.* What have we learned about positive changes experienced during COVID-19 lockdown? Evidence of the social patterning of change. *PLoS One* 2021;**16**:e0244873. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0244873
- 16 Xu X, Joyce R. Sector shutdowns during the coronavirus crisis: which workers are most exposed? Institute for Fiscal Studies 2020. doi:10.1920/bn.ifs.2020.bn0278
- 17 Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, *et al.* The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. *Int J Surg* 2020;**78**:185–93. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2020.04.018>
- 18 Gustafsson M, Foundation R. Young workers in the coronavirus crisis. 2020;:1–6.
- 19 Wright L, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Are we all in this together? Longitudinal assessment of cumulative adversities by socioeconomic position in the first 3 weeks of lockdown in the UK. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2020;:jech-2020-21447. doi:10.1136/jech-2020-214475
- 20 Gray BJ, Kyle RG, Song J, *et al.* Characteristics of those most vulnerable to employment changes during the Covid-19 pandemic: a nationally representative cross-sectional study in Wales. *medRxiv* Published Online First: 2020. doi:10.1101/2020.11.03.20225144
- 21 Houtepen LC, Heron J, Suderman MJ, *et al.* Associations of adverse childhood experiences with educational attainment and adolescent health and the role of family and socioeconomic factors: A prospective cohort study in the UK. *PLoS Med* 2020;**17**:e1003031. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1003031
- 22 Bellis MA, Hughes K, Ford K, *et al.* Life course health consequences and associated annual costs of adverse childhood experiences across Europe and North America: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Heal* 2019;**4**:e517–28.

- doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30145-8
- 23 Hughes K, Ford K, Kadel R, *et al.* Health and financial burden of adverse childhood experiences in England and Wales: a combined primary data study of five surveys. *BMJ Open* 2020;**10**:e036374. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-036374
- 24 Prout TA, Zilcha-Mano S, Aafjes-Van Doorn K, *et al.* Identifying Predictors of Psychological Distress During COVID-19: A Machine Learning Approach. *Front Psychol* 2020;**11**. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.586202
- 25 Northstone K, Smith D, Bowring C, *et al.* The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children - A resource for COVID-19 research: Questionnaire data capture May-July 2020. *Wellcome Open Res* 2020;**5**:210. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16225.2
- 26 Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, *et al.* Cohort Profile: the 'children of the 90s'--the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;**42**:111–27. doi:10.1093/ije/dys064
- 27 Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, *et al.* Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;**42**:97–110. doi:10.1093/ije/dys066
- 28 Northstone K, Lewcock M, Groom A, *et al.* The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC): an update on the enrolled sample of index children in 2019. *Wellcome Open Res* 2019;**4**:51. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15132.1
- 29 Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, *et al.* Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;**42**:377–81. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
- 30 Houtepen LC, Heron J, Suderman MJ, *et al.* Adverse childhood experiences in the children of the avon longitudinal study of parents and children (ALSPAC) [version 1; referees: 3 approved]. *Wellcome Open Res* 2018;**3**. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.14716.1
- 31 World Health Organization (WHO). World Health Organization. Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ). Geneva WHO. 2016;;4–8.https://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/activities/adverse_childhood_experiences/questionnaire
- 32 Office for National Statistics. The National Statistics Socio-economic classification (NS-SEC). <https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/classificationsandstandards/otherclassifications/thenationalstatistics socioeconomicclassificationnssecbasedonsoc2010> (accessed 10 May 2021).
- 33 van Buuren S, Groothuis-Oudshoorn K. mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *J Stat Softw* 2011;**45**:1–67. doi:10.18637/jss.v045.i03
- 34 Marshall A, Altman DG, Holder RL, *et al.* Combining estimates of interest in prognostic modelling studies after multiple imputation: current practice and guidelines. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2009;**9**:57. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-9-57
- 35 Office for National Statistics. Personal and economic well-being in Great Britain: June 2020. <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/wellbeing/bulletins/personalandeconomicwellbeingintheuk/june2020> (accessed 10 May 2021).

Table 1. Participant characteristics. Characteristics of the participants included in ACE analyses using data from multi-variate multiple imputation. N=2707.

		n (%)
ACE score	0	504 (18.6)
	1	679 (25.1)
	2-3 ACEs	980 (36.2)
	4+	544 (20.1)
physical abuse		622 (23.0)
sexual abuse		163 (6.0)
emotional abuse		659 (24.3)
emotional neglect		529 (19.5)
bullying		646 (23.9)
violence between parents		604 (22.3)
parental substance abuse		330 (12.2)
parental mental illness or suicide		1183 (43.7)
parental criminal conviction		280 (10.3)
parental separation		780 (28.8)
number of homecooked meals	decreased	104 (3.8)
	stayed the same	909 (33.6)
	increased	1694 (62.6)
number of meals	decreased	280 (10.3)
	stayed the same	1942 (71.7)
	increased	485 (17.9)
number of snacks	decreased	358 (13.2)
	stayed the same	1023 (37.8)
	increased	1326 (49.0)
exercise quantity	decreased	1087 (40.2)
	stayed the same	520 (19.2)
	increased	1100 (40.6)
sleep quantity	decreased	630 (23.3)
	stayed the same	1141 (42.1)
	increased	936 (34.6)
alcohol quantity	decreased	462 (17.1)
	stayed the same	828 (30.6)
	increased	1047 (38.7)
smoking/vaping quantity	non-drinker	370 (13.7)
	decreased	103 (3.8)
	stayed the same	287 (10.6)
	increased	299 (11.0)
change in employment status	non-smoker/vaper	2018 (74.5)
	employed no change	1587 (58.6)
	employed reduced hours	261 (9.6)
	furlough/paid leave/unpaid leave	496 (18.3)
	stopped working during pandemic	165 (6.1)
	not working pre-pandemic	198 (7.3)
financial situation since pandemic	worse off	685 (25.3)
	stayed the same	1012 (37.4)
	better off	1010 (37.3)
claimed benefits since pandemic		336 (12.4)
mortgage/rent holiday since pandemic		181 (6.7)

Table 2: Association between social class and changes in health-related behaviour during the March-July 2020 lockdown (unadjusted). N=2557

	NS-SEC social class at age 23 years			
	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	Intermediate occupations	Routine and manual occupations	Never worked and long-term unemployed
	N=1126	N=616	N=579	N=236
Number of home-cooked meals				
Decreased	ref	0.79(0.43-1.45)	0.64(0.33-1.26)	0.63(0.24-1.66)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.95(0.74-1.21)	0.74(0.58-0.94)	0.7(0.50-0.99)
Number of meals				
Decreased	ref	1.04(0.70-1.55)	1.42(0.97-2.08)	1.29(0.73-2.28)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.94(0.70-1.27)	1.23(0.91-1.66)	1.38(0.91-2.10)
Number of snacks				
Decreased	ref	1.21(0.85-1.71)	1.07(0.73-1.57)	0.85(0.46-1.57)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.05(0.82-1.33)	1.09(0.86-1.39)	1.05(0.74-1.50)
Exercise quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.10(0.79-1.51)	1.03(0.75-1.41)	0.84(0.54-1.32)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.11(0.82-1.51)	0.98(0.73-1.33)	0.78(0.51-1.19)
Sleep quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.17(0.87-1.56)	1.31(0.97-1.75)	1.49(0.99-2.25)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.11(0.86-1.42)	0.93(0.71-1.20)	1.02(0.69-1.50)
Alcohol quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.07(0.77-1.49)	0.96(0.67-1.39)	1.03(0.62-1.71)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.09(0.84-1.43)	1.03(0.78-1.36)	0.75(0.49-1.15)
Non-drinker	ref	1.14(0.79-1.66)	1.51(1.04-2.20)	1.66(0.99-2.78)
Smoking/vaping quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.37(0.68-2.76)	1.01(0.49-2.06)	1.60(0.69-3.70)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.01(0.60-1.70)	0.88(0.55-1.42)	1.01(0.54-1.91)
Non-smoker	ref	0.87(0.58-1.30)	0.54(0.37-0.78)	0.45(0.28-0.74)

Table 3: Association between Adverse Childhood Experiences score and changes in health-related behaviour during the March-July 2020 lockdown (unadjusted). N=2707

	Number of Adverse Childhood Experiences between 0-16 years			
	0	1	2-3	4 or more
	N=504	N=679	N=980	N=544
Number of home-cooked meals				
Decreased	ref	1.12(0.54-2.32)	1.23(0.63-2.41)	1.38(0.65-2.92)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.98(0.74-1.29)	1.09(0.84-1.42)	0.81(0.61-1.07)
Number of meals				
Decreased	ref	1.22(0.73-2.05)	1.73(1.11-2.69)	2.34(1.43-3.82)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.42(0.98-2.05)	1.55(1.10-2.18)	2.18(1.49-3.18)
Number of snacks				
Decreased	ref	1.07(0.72-1.60)	1.14(0.78-1.65)	1.25(0.82-1.89)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.06(0.80-1.40)	1.17(0.91-1.50)	1.24(0.92-1.67)
Exercise quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.00(0.70-1.43)	0.95(0.69-1.33)	1.08(0.74-1.57)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.95(0.66-1.36)	0.93(0.68-1.28)	0.98(0.66-1.44)
Sleep quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.00(0.70-1.42)	1.13(0.82-1.56)	1.53(1.07-2.18)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.94(0.70-1.27)	1.00(0.76-1.32)	0.97(0.71-1.34)
Alcohol quantity				
Decreased	ref	0.98(0.66-1.43)	0.88(0.61-1.25)	1.33(0.88-2.00)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.96(0.70-1.32)	1.05(0.79-1.41)	1.25(0.88-1.77)
Non-drinker	ref	0.85(0.55-1.30)	1.01(0.68-1.49)	1.92(1.24-2.96)
Smoking/vaping quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.08(0.47-2.51)	0.99(0.48-2.07)	0.92(0.41-2.09)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.35(0.68-2.65)	1.21(0.68-2.16)	1.85(0.99-3.43)
Non-smoker	ref	0.97(0.61-1.56)	0.63(0.42-0.94)	0.54(0.34-0.85)

Table 4. Association between social class and changes in financial situation during the March-July 2020 lockdown (unadjusted). N=2557

	NS-SEC social class at age 23 years			
	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	Intermediate occupations	Routine and manual occupations	Never worked and long-term unemployed
	N=1126	N=616	N=579	N=236
Change in employment				
Employed with the same or more hours	ref	ref	ref	ref
Reduced hours	ref	0.89(0.59-1.36)	1.51(1.01-2.24)	1.65(0.95-2.87)
Furlough/paid or unpaid leave	ref	1.41(1.04-1.92)	2.53(1.86-3.43)	2.20(1.40-3.47)
Stopped working during pandemic	ref	1.00(0.59-1.67)	2.54(1.58-4.08)	2.57(1.35-4.87)
Not working pre-pandemic	ref	1.28(0.77-2.13)	2.34(1.45-3.79)	5.40(3.20-9.12)
Financial situation				
Worse off	ref	0.97(0.73-1.29)	1.39(1.05-1.84)	1.36(0.89-2.06)
No change	ref	ref	ref	ref
Better off	ref	0.95(0.74-1.22)	0.73(0.56-0.97)	0.73(0.49-1.10)
Claimed benefits since pandemic	ref	0.96(0.66-1.40)	1.39(0.97-1.98)	1.96(1.22-3.16)
Used rent/mortgage holidays since pandemic	ref	1.33(0.88-2.03)	1.21(0.77-1.90)	0.77(0.33-1.81)

Table 5. Association between Adverse Childhood Experiences score and changes in financial situation during the March-July 2020 lockdown (unadjusted). N=2707

	Number of Adverse Childhood Experiences between 0-16 years			
	0	1	2-3	4 or more
	N=504	N=679	N=980	N=544
Change in employment				
Employed with the same or more hours	ref	ref	ref	ref
Reduced hours	ref	0.82(0.53-1.29)	1.03(0.69-1.55)	0.99(0.62-1.57)
Furlough/paid or unpaid leave	ref	1.01(0.70-1.46)	1.45(1.03-2.03)	1.92(1.35-2.74)
Stopped working during pandemic	ref	1.03(0.58-1.80)	1.31(0.78-2.21)	1.39(0.73-2.65)
Not working pre-pandemic	ref	1.11(0.65-1.91)	1.31(0.78-2.19)	2.11(1.22-3.66)
Financial situation				
Worse off	ref	0.97(0.68-1.38)	1.26(0.92-1.71)	1.42(1.00-2.02)
No change	ref	ref	ref	ref
Better off	ref	0.86(0.65-1.14)	0.87(0.66-1.14)	0.83(0.60-1.15)
Claimed benefits since pandemic	ref	1.36(0.90-2.06)	1.14(0.77-1.68)	1.36(0.87-2.12)
Used rent/mortgage holidays since pandemic	ref	0.74(0.42-1.30)	1.17(0.72-1.91)	1.31(0.74-2.32)

Supplementary Figure 1: Flow chart of inclusion and exclusion of participants

Supplementary Table 1. Participant characteristics. Characteristics of the participants included in SEP analyses using data from multi-variate multiple imputation. N=2557.

		n (%)
socioeconomic status (NS-SEC)	1. Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	1126 (44.0)
	2. Intermediate occupations	616 (24.1)
	3. Routine and manual occupations	579 (22.6)
	never-worked and long-term unemployed	236 (9.2)
number of homecooked meals	decreased	92 (3.4)
	stayed the same	860 (31.8)
	increased	1605 (59.3)
number of meals	decreased	262 (9.7)
	stayed the same	1827 (67.5)
	increased	468 (17.3)
number of snacks	decreased	347 (12.8)
	stayed the same	948 (35.0)
	increased	1262 (46.6)
exercise quantity	decreased	1030 (38.0)
	stayed the same	491 (18.1)
	increased	1036 (38.3)
sleep quantity	decreased	608 (22.5)
	stayed the same	1073 (39.6)
	increased	876 (32.4)
alcohol quantity	decreased	428 (15.8)
	stayed the same	789 (29.1)
	increased	987 (36.5)
smoking/vaping quantity	non-drinker	353 (13.0)
	decreased	99 (3.7)
	stayed the same	273 (10.1)
	increased	289 (10.7)
change in employment status	non-smoker/vaper	1896 (70.0)
	employed no change	1473 (54.4)
	employed reduced hours	252 (9.3)
	furlough/paid leave/unpaid leave	481 (17.8)
	stopped working during pandemic	166 (6.1)
financial situation since pandemic	not working pre-pandemic	185 (6.8)
	worse off	664 (24.5)
	stayed the same	954 (35.2)
claimed benefits since pandemic	better off	939 (34.7)
	mortgage/rent holiday since pandemic	340 (12.6)
		178 (6.6)

Supplementary Table 2. Maternal education for excluded participants and participants included in analyses.

	Participants (%)	
	Excluded	Included
	N=12911	N=2710
Mother's highest education qualification		
CSE	13.6	8.2
Vocational	7.0	6.2
O level	24.3	30.3
A level	14.9	25.1
Degree	7.6	20.1
Not available	32.6	10.1

Supplementary Table 3: Association between social class and changes in health-related behaviour during the March-July 2020 lockdown (adjusted for ethnicity, age at time of questionnaire, home ownership, maternal and partner education, parity, maternal age and maternal marital status).
N=2557

	NS-SEC social class at age 23 years			
	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	Intermediate occupations	Routine and manual occupations	Never worked and long-term unemployed
	N=1126	N=616	N=579	N=236
Number of home-cooked meals				
Decreased	ref	0.79(0.42-1.47)	0.63(0.31-1.28)	0.58(0.21-1.57)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.97(0.76-1.25)	0.77(0.59-1.00)	0.72(0.51-1.04)
Number of meals				
Decreased	ref	1.03(0.69-1.54)	1.41(0.95-2.09)	1.22(0.67-2.23)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.91(0.67-1.24)	1.13(0.83-1.55)	1.26(0.81-1.97)
Number of snacks				
Decreased	ref	1.23(0.86-1.76)	1.09(0.73-1.62)	0.85(0.45-1.59)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.02(0.80-1.31)	1.02(0.80-1.31)	0.99(0.69-1.43)
Exercise quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.08(0.77-1.50)	1.02(0.73-1.42)	0.83(0.52-1.33)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.11(0.81-1.52)	0.99(0.72-1.37)	0.78(0.50-1.23)
Sleep quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.16(0.86-1.55)	1.26(0.93-1.70)	1.41(0.92-2.16)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.12(0.87-1.44)	0.99(0.75-1.30)	1.11(0.73-1.67)
Alcohol quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.09(0.78-1.52)	1.00(0.68-1.47)	1.02(0.61-1.72)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.08(0.82-1.42)	1.08(0.82-1.42)	0.74(0.48-1.16)
Non-drinker	ref	1.08(0.74-1.59)	1.36(0.92-2.02)	1.42(0.83-2.44)
Smoking/vaping quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.39(0.68-2.84)	1.11(0.53-2.35)	1.68(0.7-4.04)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.97(0.57-1.65)	0.86(0.53-1.41)	0.93(0.47-1.82)
Non-smoker	ref	0.89(0.59-1.34)	0.58(0.40-0.86)	0.48(0.29-0.79)

Supplementary Table 4: Association between Adverse Childhood Experiences score and changes in health-related behaviour during the March-July 2020 lockdown (adjusted for ethnicity, age at time of questionnaire, home ownership, maternal and partner education, parity, maternal age and maternal marital status). N=2707

	Number of Adverse Childhood Experiences between 0-16 years			
	0	1	2-3	4 or more
	N=504	N=679	N=980	N=544
Number of home-cooked meals				
Decreased	ref	1.15(0.55-2.41)	1.25(0.63-2.50)	1.44(0.64-3.24)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.99(0.74-1.31)	1.16(0.89-1.51)	0.87(0.64-1.19)
Number of meals				
Decreased	ref	1.21(0.72-2.04)	1.59(1.01-2.51)	1.90(1.12-3.23)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.34(0.92-1.95)	1.35(0.95-1.91)	1.61(1.07-2.41)
Number of snacks				
Decreased	ref	1.10(0.73-1.64)	1.17(0.80-1.72)	1.21(0.76-1.91)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.02(0.77-1.36)	1.08(0.84-1.40)	1.06(0.76-1.47)
Exercise quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.02(0.71-1.48)	0.99(0.70-1.40)	1.08(0.71-1.65)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.96(0.67-1.39)	0.98(0.71-1.36)	1.06(0.70-1.63)
Sleep quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.00(0.70-1.43)	1.08(0.77-1.50)	1.39(0.94-2.06)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.97(0.72-1.32)	1.06(0.80-1.41)	1.06(0.75-1.52)
Alcohol quantity				
Decreased	ref	0.98(0.66-1.45)	0.90(0.62-1.30)	1.39(0.89-2.16)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	0.98(0.71-1.34)	1.08(0.80-1.46)	1.34(0.92-1.96)
Non-drinker	ref	0.81(0.52-1.24)	0.88(0.59-1.32)	1.55(0.95-2.53)
Smoking/vaping quantity				
Decreased	ref	1.05(0.45-2.48)	0.99(0.47-2.10)	1.00(0.41-2.45)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	ref	1.35(0.68-2.68)	1.18(0.65-2.14)	1.82(0.94-3.51)
Non-smoker	ref	0.99(0.61-1.60)	0.67(0.44-1.00)	0.63(0.38-1.04)

Supplementary Table 5: Association between individual Adverse Childhood Experiences and changes in health-related behaviour during the March-July 2020 lockdown (unadjusted). N=2707

	Adverse Childhood Experience									
	Physical abuse	Sexual abuse	Emotional abuse	Emotional neglect	Bullying	Violence between parents	Parental substance abuse	Parental mental illness or suicide	Parental criminal conviction	Parental separation
	N=622	N=163	N=659	N=529	N=646	N=604	N=330	N=1183	N=280	N=780
Number of home-cooked meals										
Decreased	1.12(0.63-1.98)	1.45(0.61-3.45)	1.22(0.71-2.08)	1.61(0.93-2.77)	1.31(0.80-2.16)	1.57(0.91-2.71)	1.23(0.57-2.66)	1.03(0.65-1.63)	1.36(0.62-2.99)	0.70(0.40-1.24)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	0.97(0.78-1.20)	0.95(0.63-1.42)	0.93(0.75-1.14)	0.76(0.60-0.98)	0.95(0.77-1.17)	0.95(0.75-1.19)	1.09(0.80-1.47)	0.97(0.81-1.15)	0.96(0.68-1.36)	0.84(0.68-1.03)
Number of meals										
Decreased	1.57(1.12-2.21)	1.69(0.97-2.92)	1.13(0.79-1.63)	1.64(1.17-2.31)	1.44(1.05-1.97)	1.42(0.99-2.05)	1.47(0.95-2.30)	1.41(1.05-1.89)	1.40(0.85-2.3)	1.24(0.89-1.73)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	1.37(1.05-1.81)	1.43(0.91-2.25)	1.43(1.11-1.84)	1.18(0.88-1.58)	1.31(1.02-1.68)	1.47(1.10-1.95)	1.21(0.84-1.76)	1.14(0.91-1.43)	0.96(0.61-1.51)	1.31(1.00-1.71)
Number of snacks										
Decreased	0.98(0.71-1.34)	1.48(0.88-2.50)	1.03(0.73-1.44)	1.01(0.71-1.44)	0.96(0.70-1.32)	1.10(0.76-1.58)	1.57(1.02-2.42)	1.19(0.90-1.56)	1.51(0.95-2.39)	0.98(0.70-1.36)

Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	0.94(0.74-1.20)	1.04(0.70-1.54)	1.01(0.81-1.26)	1.02(0.81-1.29)	1.11(0.90-1.38)	1.22(0.96-1.56)	1.29(0.95-1.74)	1.11(0.91-1.34)	0.96(0.66-1.40)	1.27(1.02-1.58)
Exercise quantity										
Decreased	0.91(0.69-1.22)	1.17(0.68-2.01)	1.16(0.88-1.54)	1.02(0.76-1.36)	1.07(0.80-1.41)	1.35(0.98-1.86)	1.30(0.86-1.96)	0.96(0.76-1.22)	0.80(0.51-1.25)	0.98(0.73-1.30)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	0.82(0.62-1.09)	1.28(0.76-2.16)	1.05(0.79-1.39)	0.76(0.56-1.04)	0.98(0.74-1.30)	1.28(0.93-1.76)	1.25(0.84-1.85)	1.09(0.86-1.37)	1.11(0.73-1.68)	0.89(0.68-1.18)
Sleep quantity										
Decreased	1.38(1.07-1.78)	1.48(0.94-2.32)	1.44(1.09-1.90)	1.00(0.77-1.32)	1.24(0.96-1.59)	1.38(1.04-1.84)	1.19(0.79-1.78)	1.24(0.99-1.54)	1.16(0.77-1.75)	1.03(0.79-1.35)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	1.03(0.81-1.32)	1.30(0.84-2.02)	1.12(0.88-1.41)	0.83(0.64-1.06)	0.96(0.76-1.20)	1.08(0.83-1.39)	1.18(0.86-1.62)	1.07(0.88-1.30)	1.06(0.72-1.55)	0.79(0.63-1.00)
Alcohol quantity										
Decreased	1.41(1.02-1.95)	0.99(0.56-1.75)	1.08(0.79-1.49)	1.11(0.79-1.57)	0.95(0.71-1.27)	1.65(1.19-2.28)	1.36(0.89-2.09)	0.96(0.74-1.23)	1.18(0.74-1.90)	0.96(0.70-1.31)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	1.37(1.06-1.78)	0.99(0.63-1.55)	1.22(0.95-1.58)	1.00(0.76-1.31)	0.87(0.68-1.10)	1.36(1.04-1.80)	1.26(0.88-1.81)	1.02(0.83-1.26)	0.97(0.65-1.44)	0.99(0.79-1.26)
Non-drinker	2.13(1.53-2.97)	1.71(1.02-2.88)	1.70(1.21-2.40)	1.59(1.10-2.30)	1.03(0.75-1.43)	1.41(0.96-2.06)	1.32(0.81-2.16)	0.97(0.72-1.31)	1.11(0.65-1.89)	1.37(0.98-1.90)

Smoking/vaping quantity										
Decreased	0.50(0.25-1.01)	0.50(0.13-1.86)	0.81(0.44-1.48)	1.23(0.69-2.21)	1.40(0.83-2.35)	1.35(0.72-2.54)	1.00(0.49-2.01)	0.98(0.59-1.61)	0.84(0.38-1.90)	0.72(0.40-1.30)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	1.10(0.72-1.68)	1.05(0.52-2.12)	1.17(0.78-1.76)	0.97(0.62-1.53)	1.16(0.78-1.74)	1.97(1.25-3.11)	1.36(0.82-2.26)	1.15(0.78-1.69)	0.85(0.45-1.59)	1.28(0.85-1.92)
Non-smoker	0.66(0.48-0.91)	0.72(0.42-1.25)	0.76(0.54-1.06)	0.75(0.53-1.06)	0.79(0.58-1.09)	1.04(0.72-1.51)	0.67(0.44-1.03)	0.81(0.60-1.09)	0.58(0.36-0.91)	0.65(0.47-0.90)

Decreased	0.93(0.67-1.29)	1.48(0.85-2.56)	0.99(0.69-1.41)	1.02(0.70-1.48)	0.95(0.68-1.31)	1.06(0.72-1.57)	1.47(0.90-2.39)	1.20(0.90-1.59)	1.46(0.89-2.38)	0.97(0.68-1.40)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	0.88(0.69-1.12)	0.95(0.63-1.44)	0.94(0.75-1.20)	0.96(0.75-1.23)	1.10(0.88-1.38)	1.13(0.87-1.46)	1.15(0.83-1.59)	1.06(0.87-1.29)	0.88(0.59-1.31)	1.14(0.90-1.45)
Exercise quantity										
Decreased	0.90(0.66-1.22)	1.06(0.60-1.88)	1.14(0.84-1.54)	1.01(0.74-1.37)	1.07(0.80-1.43)	1.41(1.00-2.00)	1.36(0.85-2.17)	0.94(0.73-1.21)	0.75(0.46-1.21)	0.97(0.70-1.33)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	0.83(0.62-1.12)	1.28(0.75-2.21)	1.06(0.79-1.42)	0.76(0.55-1.04)	0.97(0.73-1.30)	1.40(1.00-1.97)	1.43(0.91-2.23)	1.11(0.87-1.42)	1.15(0.73-1.79)	0.96(0.71-1.30)
Sleep quantity										
Decreased	1.28(0.99-1.67)	1.33(0.83-2.12)	1.39(1.05-1.86)	0.95(0.71-1.27)	1.21(0.94-1.56)	1.35(0.99-1.83)	1.09(0.68-1.75)	1.16(0.92-1.46)	1.05(0.67-1.63)	0.94(0.71-1.26)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	1.07(0.83-1.38)	1.40(0.89-2.22)	1.17(0.91-1.50)	0.83(0.64-1.08)	0.95(0.75-1.20)	1.12(0.85-1.47)	1.24(0.87-1.77)	1.12(0.92-1.38)	1.07(0.72-1.59)	0.84(0.65-1.09)
Alcohol quantity										
Decreased	1.42(1.02-1.98)	0.96(0.53-1.73)	1.04(0.75-1.46)	1.13(0.79-1.62)	0.92(0.68-1.25)	1.73(1.22-2.44)	1.34(0.83-2.18)	0.96(0.74-1.25)	1.15(0.70-1.88)	1.05(0.74-1.47)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	1.40(1.07-1.84)	1.01(0.63-1.62)	1.29(0.99-1.68)	1.01(0.76-1.33)	0.87(0.68-1.11)	1.39(1.04-1.87)	1.36(0.92-2.02)	1.02(0.82-1.26)	0.99(0.65-1.50)	1.01(0.78-1.32)

Non-drinker	1.95(1.38-2.77)	1.48(0.85-2.57)	1.59(1.10-2.3)	1.38(0.94-2.03)	0.98(0.70-1.37)	1.28(0.85-1.93)	1.06(0.60-1.89)	0.84(0.61-1.14)	0.96(0.53-1.71)	1.20(0.83-1.73)
Smoking/vaping quantity										
Decreased	0.52(0.25-1.09)	0.52(0.14-1.97)	0.77(0.40-1.47)	1.43(0.76-2.67)	1.40(0.81-2.41)	1.45(0.74-2.84)	1.06(0.47-2.36)	0.99(0.59-1.67)	0.97(0.41-2.31)	0.76(0.39-1.46)
Stayed the same	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Increased	1.04(0.67-1.62)	0.97(0.46-2.03)	1.13(0.73-1.73)	1.00(0.62-1.61)	1.20(0.79-1.82)	2.04(1.25-3.31)	1.33(0.74-2.39)	1.08(0.72-1.61)	0.85(0.44-1.65)	1.26(0.80-1.99)
Non-smoker	0.70(0.50-0.99)	0.76(0.43-1.35)	0.79(0.55-1.12)	0.84(0.58-1.23)	0.81(0.58-1.13)	1.19(0.80-1.77)	0.76(0.47-1.25)	0.86(0.63-1.18)	0.67(0.40-1.11)	0.71(0.50-1.03)

Supplementary Table 7. Association between social class and changes in financial situation during the March-July 2020 lockdown (adjusted for ethnicity, age at time of questionnaire, home ownership, maternal and partner education, parity, maternal age and maternal marital status).
N=2557

	NS-SEC social class at age 23 years			
	Higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations	Intermediate occupations	Routine and manual occupations	Never worked and long-term unemployed
	N=1126	N=616	N=579	N=236
Change in employment				
Employed with the same or more hours	ref	ref	ref	ref
Reduced hours	ref	0.87(0.57-1.34)	1.45(0.96-2.18)	1.54(0.87-2.75)
Furlough/paid or unpaid leave	ref	1.39(1.02-1.91)	2.38(1.73-3.26)	2.10(1.30-3.38)
Stopped working during pandemic	ref	0.98(0.58-1.67)	2.68(1.63-4.42)	2.83(1.45-5.50)
Not working pre-pandemic	ref	1.21(0.72-2.05)	2.11(1.27-3.51)	5.38(3.05-9.49)
Financial situation				
Worse off	ref	0.98(0.73-1.31)	1.45(1.08-1.96)	1.45(0.95-2.23)
No change	ref	ref	ref	ref
Better off	ref	0.99(0.76-1.29)	0.81(0.61-1.08)	0.81(0.53-1.23)
Claimed benefits since pandemic	ref	0.95(0.65-1.41)	1.45(0.99-2.10)	2.06(1.24-3.43)
Used rent/mortgage holidays since pandemic	ref	1.28(0.83-1.97)	1.15(0.72-1.85)	0.73(0.30-1.79)

Supplementary Table 8. Association between Adverse Childhood Experiences score and changes in financial situation during the March-July 2020 lockdown (adjusted for ethnicity, age at time of questionnaire, home ownership, maternal and partner education, parity, maternal age and maternal marital status). N=2707

	Number of Adverse Childhood Experiences between 0-16 years			
	0	1	2-3	4 or more
	N=504	N=679	N=980	N=544
Change in employment				
Employed with the same or more hours	ref	ref	ref	ref
Reduced hours	ref	0.80(0.50-1.27)	0.95(0.62-1.45)	0.83(0.49-1.38)
Furlough/paid or unpaid leave	ref	0.96(0.66-1.41)	1.28(0.90-1.81)	1.51(1.02-2.24)
Stopped working during pandemic	ref	1.00(0.57-1.77)	1.28(0.75-2.18)	1.37(0.68-2.78)
Not working pre-pandemic	ref	1.06(0.61-1.84)	1.16(0.68-1.98)	1.66(0.91-3.01)
Financial situation				
Worse off	ref	0.98(0.69-1.39)	1.26(0.92-1.72)	1.45(0.98-2.14)
No change	ref	ref	ref	ref
Better off	ref	0.89(0.67-1.19)	0.95(0.71-1.26)	0.93(0.66-1.31)
Claimed benefits since pandemic	ref	1.37(0.90-2.08)	1.13(0.75-1.69)	1.32(0.81-2.15)
Used rent/mortgage holidays since pandemic	ref	0.72(0.41-1.28)	1.10(0.67-1.82)	1.19(0.64-2.22)

Supplementary Table 9. Association between individual Adverse Childhood Experiences and changes in financial situation during the March-July 2020 lockdown (unadjusted). N=2707

	Adverse Childhood Experience									
	Physical abuse	Sexual abuse	Emotional abuse	Emotional neglect	Bullying	Violence between parents	Parental substance abuse	Parental mental illness or suicide	Parental criminal conviction	Parental separation
	N=622	N=163	N=659	N=529	N=646	N=604	N=330	N=1183	N=280	N=780
Change in employment										
Employed with the same or more hours	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Reduced hours	1.02(0.70-1.48)	1.27(0.69-2.32)	1.03(0.71-1.50)	1.41(0.98-2.03)	1.15(0.79-1.67)	0.74(0.47-1.15)	1.52(0.95-2.42)	0.87(0.64-1.18)	0.77(0.41-1.46)	1.08(0.75-1.54)
Furlough/ paid or unpaid leave	1.30(0.99-1.72)	1.61(1.02-2.55)	1.29(0.99-1.69)	1.44(1.08-1.92)	1.29(0.99-1.68)	1.41(1.06-1.89)	1.39(0.95-2.04)	1.09(0.87-1.37)	1.20(0.78-1.83)	1.48(1.16-1.90)
Stopped working during pandemic	2.15(1.41-3.27)	0.97(0.41-2.32)	1.13(0.70-1.81)	0.95(0.55-1.63)	1.19(0.79-1.81)	0.84(0.47-1.51)	1.87(1.05-3.33)	1.02(0.70-1.46)	1.01(0.50-2.02)	0.84(0.52-1.37)
Not working pre-pandemic	1.43(0.96-2.13)	1.23(0.61-2.48)	1.19(0.78-1.82)	1.75(1.16-2.66)	1.31(0.90-1.92)	1.27(0.81-1.99)	1.15(0.62-2.13)	1.28(0.92-1.80)	0.85(0.42-1.72)	1.53(1.05-2.23)

Financial situation										
Worse off	1.35(1.03-1.77)	1.41(0.88-2.25)	1.05(0.79-1.38)	0.97(0.74-1.28)	1.12(0.86-1.46)	1.04(0.78-1.37)	1.45(1.02-2.08)	1.20(0.96-1.51)	1.32(0.88-1.99)	1.18(0.91-1.52)
No change	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Better off	0.91(0.71-1.16)	1.20(0.77-1.85)	1.08(0.84-1.38)	0.81(0.62-1.05)	0.93(0.74-1.18)	0.86(0.67-1.10)	1.14(0.81-1.62)	1.01(0.82-1.24)	1.28(0.86-1.92)	0.89(0.70-1.13)
Claimed benefits since pandemic	1.15(0.85-1.56)	1.21(0.70-2.08)	1.09(0.79-1.50)	0.95(0.67-1.35)	1.11(0.80-1.52)	0.93(0.65-1.35)	1.35(0.89-2.05)	0.98(0.75-1.28)	1.10(0.67-1.81)	0.99(0.73-1.33)
Used rent/mortgage holidays since pandemic	1.16(0.77-1.75)	1.13(0.52-2.45)	0.90(0.56-1.44)	1.02(0.62-1.70)	1.23(0.83-1.81)	1.47(0.96-2.24)	1.16(0.65-2.08)	1.23(0.86-1.76)	1.23(0.66-2.29)	1.43(0.96-2.13)

Supplementary Table 10. Association between individual Adverse Childhood Experiences and changes in financial situation during the March-July 2020 lockdown (adjusted for ethnicity, age at time of questionnaire, home ownership, maternal and partner education, parity, maternal age and maternal marital status). N=2707

	Adverse Childhood Experience									
	Physical abuse	Sexual abuse	Emotional abuse	Emotional neglect	Bullying	Violence between parents	Parental substance abuse	Parental mental illness or suicide	Parental criminal conviction	Parental separation
	N=622	N=163	N=659	N=529	N=646	N=604	N=330	N=1183	N=280	N=780
Change in employment										
Employed with the same or more hours	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Reduced hours	0.99(0.67-1.45)	1.12(0.6-2.10)	0.96(0.65-1.42)	1.36(0.93-1.99)	1.11(0.76-1.63)	0.68(0.42-1.09)	1.42(0.84-2.39)	0.81(0.59-1.11)	0.71(0.37-1.37)	0.96(0.65-1.42)
Furlough/ paid or unpaid leave	1.19(0.89-1.60)	1.43(0.89-2.32)	1.21(0.91-1.60)	1.34(0.99-1.80)	1.24(0.94-1.62)	1.25(0.91-1.72)	1.04(0.67-1.60)	0.97(0.77-1.23)	1.01(0.64-1.61)	1.19(0.90-1.58)
Stopped working during pandemic	2.32(1.49-3.60)	0.90(0.36-2.22)	1.08(0.66-1.76)	0.98(0.56-1.73)	1.21(0.79-1.87)	0.82(0.44-1.54)	1.82(0.94-3.50)	1.00(0.69-1.46)	1.03(0.48-2.20)	0.78(0.45-1.33)
Not working	1.28(0.83-1.96)	1.03(0.49-2.17)	1.06(0.68-1.66)	1.67(1.08-2.57)	1.19(0.79-1.80)	1.18(0.72-1.91)	0.87(0.43-1.79)	1.14(0.80-1.62)	0.66(0.30-1.43)	1.29(0.85-1.96)

pre-pandemic										
Financial situation										
Worse off	1.35(1.01-1.79)	1.46(0.91-2.36)	1.04(0.78-1.40)	0.98(0.74-1.30)	1.13(0.86-1.48)	1.03(0.77-1.39)	1.39(0.94-2.07)	1.19(0.94-1.49)	1.35(0.88-2.06)	1.18(0.89-1.57)
No change	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref	ref
Better off	0.93(0.72-1.21)	1.27(0.80-2.02)	1.12(0.86-1.45)	0.88(0.66-1.15)	0.96(0.76-1.23)	0.87(0.67-1.14)	1.18(0.80-1.73)	1.06(0.86-1.31)	1.33(0.87-2.03)	0.99(0.76-1.28)
Claimed benefits since pandemic	1.11(0.81-1.53)	1.17(0.67-2.05)	1.05(0.75-1.47)	0.94(0.66-1.35)	1.10(0.79-1.53)	0.89(0.61-1.31)	1.32(0.82-2.13)	0.98(0.74-1.29)	1.09(0.64-1.83)	0.97(0.70-1.35)
Used rent/mortgage holidays since pandemic	1.18(0.77-1.81)	1.06(0.46-2.43)	0.86(0.54-1.39)	0.94(0.55-1.62)	1.17(0.78-1.76)	1.42(0.90-2.24)	1.04(0.53-2.06)	1.19(0.81-1.74)	1.18(0.60-2.34)	1.28(0.82-2.01)