Thromboembolism risk among patients with diabetes/stress hyperglycemia and COVID-19

Stefania L Calvisi^{1§}; Giuseppe A Ramirez^{2,3§}; Marina Scavini⁴; Valentina Da Prat¹; Giuseppe Di Lucca¹; Andrea Laurenzi^{4,5}; Gabriele Gallina^{1,3}; Ludovica Cavallo^{1,3}; Giorgia Borio^{1,3}; Federica Farolfi^{1,3}; Maria Pascali^{1,3}; Jacopo Castellani^{1,3}; Vito Lampasona⁴; Armando D'Angelo^{3,6}; Giovanni Landoni^{3,7}; Fabio Ciceri^{3,7}; Patrizia Rovere Querini^{3,5}; Moreno Tresoldi^{1*}; Lorenzo Piemonti^{3,4*}

¹ Unit of General Medicine and Advanced Care, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy

² Unit of Immunology, Rheumatology, Allergy and Rare Diseases, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

³ Università Vita-Salute San Raffaele, Milan, Italy

⁴ Diabetes Research Institute, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy.

⁵ Unit of Internal Medicine and Endocrinology, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy

⁶ Coagulation service and Thrombosis Research Unit, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy

⁷ Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy

⁸ Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation Unit, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy.

§ SLC and GAR equally contributed to this work ; * MT and LP equally contributed to this work

ORCID

0000-0002-9685-4582: SL Calvisi; 0000-0002-2889-366X: GA Ramirez; 0000-0002-7983-6905: M Scavini; 0000-0001-8783-1904: V Da Prat; 0000-0001-5162-8445: V Lampasona; 0000-0002-9857-4509: A D'Angelo; 0000-0002-8594-5980: G Landoni; 0000-0003-2615-3649: P Rovere-Querini; 0000-0003-0873-0123: F Ciceri; 0000-0003-1541-6650: M Tresoldi

Short title: Thromboembolism, diabetes and COVID-19

Keywords: COVID-19, diabetes, clinical outcome, humoral response, SARS-CoV-2, Thromboembolism.

Correspondence to: Lorenzo Piemonti, Diabetes Research Institute, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Via Olgettina 60, 20132 Milan, Italy. Tel: 39 02 26432706, Fax: 39 02 26432871, E-mail: piemonti.lorenzo@hsr.it

Word count: abstract: 245; text: 3,196;

Table: 2

Figure: 2

43 ABSTRACT

44 **Purpose.** Individuals with diabetes/stress hyperglycemia carry an increased risk for adverse
45 clinical outcome in case of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The purpose of this study was to evaluate
46 whether this risk is, at least in part, modulated by an increase of thromboembolic
47 complications.

48 **Methods.** We prospectively followed 180 hospitalized patients with confirmed COVID-19
49 pneumonia admitted to the Internal Medicine Units of San Raffaele Hospital. Data from 11
50 out of 180 patients were considered incomplete and excluded from the analysis. We analysed
51 inflammation, tissue damage biomarkers, hemostatic parameters, thrombotic events (TEs) and
52 clinical outcome according to the presence of diabetes/stress hyperglycemia.

53 **Results.** Among 169 patients, 51 (30.2%) had diabetes/stress hyperglycemia. Diabetes/stress
54 hyperglycemia and fasting blood glucose (FBG) were associated with increased inflammation
55 and tissue damage circulating markers, higher D-dimer levels, increased prothrombin time
56 and lower antithrombin III activity. Forty-eight venous and 10 arterial TEs were identified in
57 49 (29%) patients. Diabetes/stress hyperglycemia (HR 2.71, $p=0.001$), fasting blood glucose
58 (HR 4.32, $p<0.001$) and glucose variability (HR 1.6, $p < 0.009$) were all associated with an
59 increased risk of thromboembolic complication. TEs significantly increased the risk for an
60 adverse clinical outcome only in the presence of diabetes/stress hyperglycemia (HR 3.05,
61 $p=0.01$) or fasting blood glucose ≥ 7 mmol/l (HR 3.07, $p=0.015$).

62 **Conclusions.** Thromboembolism risk is higher among patients with diabetes/stress
63 hyperglycemia and COVID-19 pneumonia and is associated to poor clinical outcome. In case
64 of SARS-Cov-2 infection patients with diabetes/stress hyperglycemia could be considered for
65 a more intensive prophylactic anticoagulation regimen.

66 INTRODUCTION

67 Diabetes has been confirmed as one of the most consistent risk factors for severe disease in
68 case of SARS-CoV-2 infection^{1, 2}. In fact, the risk of admission to an Intensive Care Unit
69 (ICU) and of in-hospital mortality are increased two to three fold by the presence of diabetes
70 in patients with COVID 19 pneumonia³. Different pathophysiological mechanisms were
71 suggested to explain the worse clinical outcome, including hyperglycemia, older age and the
72 presence of comorbidities (i.e., hypertension, obesity, and cardiovascular disease)⁴. However,
73 because of the syndromic nature of diabetes, additional potential causative factors should be
74 considered, such as the increased susceptibility to hyperinflammation⁵, the diminished
75 immunological function⁶ and the prothrombotic state⁷ associated with hyperglycemia. We
76 recently investigated whether diabetes or hyperglycemia are linked to a defect in the humoral
77 immune response against SARS-CoV-2^{8, 9}. Our data showed that the antibody response
78 against multiple SARS-CoV-2 antigens in patients with diabetes is superimposable in terms of
79 timing, persistence, classes, titers, and neutralizing activity to that of non-diabetic patients^{9, 10}.
80 However, in our cohort of patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia, we also observed a
81 significant correlation between serum D-dimer levels and diabetes/hyperglycaemia, a finding
82 confirmed also by others¹¹⁻¹³. Elevated D-dimer levels are a direct consequence of increased
83 fibrin formation and lysis and thus an indicator of increased thrombotic activity, such as
84 disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) and thromboembolism¹⁴. COVID-19 is
85 associated with an increased risk of arterial and venous thrombosis¹⁵⁻¹⁷ because of a
86 multitude of factors, including systemic inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, platelet
87 activation, immobilization, mechanical ventilation and the use of central venous catheters¹⁸⁻²⁰.
88 Since diabetes is associated with a pro-thrombotic status⁷ and elevated D-dimer levels¹², we
89 hypothesized that diabetes is associated with an increased risk of thrombotic events (TEs) in
90 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. To prove this hypothesis we designed a prospective
91 observational study in a cohort of 180 consecutively hospitalized patients with COVID-19

pneumonia, focusing on TEs which occurred during hospitalization and risk factors associated with these events.

94

95 MATERIAL AND METHODS

96 **Study population and data sources.** The study population consisted of 180 adult patients
97 (≥ 18 years) with confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia admitted to the Internal Medicine Units of
98 San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy from April to May 2020. Patients were included if they
99 were diagnosed with COVID-19 as per the Chinese management guidelines and the World
100 Health Organization interim guidance ^{21, 22}. There was no exclusion criterion. A confirmed
101 infection case was defined as a SARS-CoV-2-positive RT-PCR test from a nasal/throat swab,
102 and/or signs, symptoms and radiological findings suggestive of COVID-19 pneumonia.
103 Within 48 hours from admission, we recorded demographic information, clinical features and
104 laboratory exams on the day of admission on a dedicated data collection form. Data were
105 recorded until hospital discharge or death, whichever occurred first. Data were cross-checked
106 in blind and verified by data managers and clinicians for accuracy. We also recorded mortality
107 beyond hospital discharge clinic: for patients non attending our dedicated outpatient follow-
108 up clinic, we checked patient's vital status with either family members or family physician.
109 The study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (protocol n 34/int/2020;
110 NCT04318366). A standard written informed consent was requested to all patients for their
111 data use.

112 **Thrombotic complications.** The occurrence of any thrombotic event (TE) throughout the
113 hospitalization was the primary outcome of the study. Thrombotic complications included
114 deep vein thrombosis (DVT), pulmonary embolism (PE), and lower and upper limb ischemia,
115 catheter-related thrombosis with deep vein involvement, mesenteric ischemia, stroke and
116 myocardial infarction. A standard protocol to assess patients for thrombotic complications
117 was implemented based on the position paper from the Italian Society on Thrombosis and

Haemostasis (SISET) ²³ and to the interim guidance to recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19 from the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis (ISTH) ²⁴. A close control of hemostasis parameters and clinical signs and symptoms was methodically pursued. Additional investigations, including CT scan and/or ultrasound, were performed on the basis of clinical suspicion of thromboembolic events: (i) elevated D-dimer levels and/or (ii) presence of respiratory failure and/or (iii) presence of symptoms suggestive of TEs. All patients received thromboprophylaxis with enoxaparin 4,000 IU/day [adjusted to 6,000 IU/day or 3,000 IU/day in overweight (>100 kg) or underweight (<50kg) subjects, respectively] or, alternatively, with mechanical compression of the lower limbs in case of anticoagulant contraindications (active bleeding and platelet count less than $25 \times 10^9/l$). If chronic oral anticoagulant therapy with direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) or warfarin/acenocoumarol (OAT) was prescribed prior to admission, it was changed to LMWH anticoagulant treatment. Thromboprophylaxis was administered on admission and during the entire duration of the hospital stay. Anti-Xa measurement was used to monitor anticoagulant treatment. There were no cases of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. No major haemorrhagic event occurred in patients with thromboprophylaxis. The Padua Prediction Score and the IMPROVE Bleeding Risk Assessment Score were used at hospital admission for stratification of the venous thromboembolism and bleeding risks, respectively. A Padua score ≥ 4 identified patients at high risk for venous thromboembolism, an IMPROVE Bleeding Risk Assessment Score ≥ 7 identified patients at increased risk of bleeding. Overt DIC was defined when the ISTH diagnostic score was ≥ 5 ²⁵.

Definition of diabetes/stress hyperglycemia. Study participants were defined as having diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia if they had a documented diagnosis before the hospital admission for COVID-19 pneumonia [Comorbid diabetes: fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ≥ 7.0 mmol/l or HbA_{1c} $\geq 6.5\%$ (48 mmol/mol), or prescription for diabetes medications] or if patients without a previous diagnosis of diabetes had a mean FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol/l. during the

hospitalization for COVID-19 pneumonia (stress hyperglycaemia). We computed mean FPG and glucose variability (standard deviation) from all fasting laboratory glucose values measured during hospitalisation.

Laboratory variables Routine blood tests encompassed serum biochemistry [including renal and liver function, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and electrolytes], complete blood count with differential, markers of myocardial damage [troponin T and pro-brain natriuretic peptide (proBNP)], inflammation markers [C-reactive protein (CRP), ferritin, interleukin-6 (IL-6)] and coagulation profile assessment (D-dimer, PT, and PTT). Specific antibodies to different SARS-CoV-2 antigens were tested in a subset of patients by a luciferase immunoprecipitation system (LIPS) assay, as previously described (11). Fibrinogen, antithrombin activity, vWF, homocysteine, protein C and S. D-dimer levels were measured in a subset of patients through a STA-R® automatic coagulation analyser. Age-specific high D-dimer (aD-dimer) was defined as D-dimer levels above 0.5 µg/dl for patients with less than 50 years of age and above their age divided by 100 in patients older than 50 years²⁶.

Statistical analysis. Continuous variables were presented as median with inter-quartile range (IQR) in parenthesis. Categorical variables were reported as frequency or percent. Continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum or Kruskal-Wallis test. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square or Fischer's exact test, as appropriate. Imputation for missing data was not performed. Associations between baseline variables and diabetes was assessed by logistic regression. The effect estimates were reported as Odd Ratios (ORs). Survival was estimated according to Kaplan–Meier. The time-to-event was calculated from the date of symptom onset to the date of the event, or of last follow-up visit, whichever occurred first. We calculated univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models to study the association between patient characteristics with time to thrombotic complication or time to adverse outcome (as defined by composite endpoint of transfer to ICU or death, whichever occurred first). In Cox proportional hazards models, the onset of a thrombotic

complication was considered a time-varying covariate. The effect estimates were reported as Hazard Ratios (HRs) with the corresponding 95% CI, estimated according to the Wald approximation. Multivariate analyses were performed including variables significant at the level of <0.1 in the univariate analysis. Two-tailed P values are reported, with P value <0.05 indicating statistical significance. All confidence intervals are two-sided and not adjusted for multiple testing. Statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS 24 (SPSS Inc. /IBM) and the R software version 3.4.0 (R Core Team (2020)).

RESULTS

Study participants. A total of 180 consecutive patients with confirmed COVID-19 were prospectively enrolled. Data from 11 out of 180 (6.11%) patients were considered incomplete and excluded from the analysis. Among the 169 cases included in our study [median hospital stay 17 (8-31) days], 61 patients (36.1%) were treated with non-invasive ventilation and 23 (13.6%) accessed an ICU over the hospitalization period. As of January 25, 2021 the median follow-up time after symptoms onset was 222 (95% CI: 211-232) days. Thirty five patients died during follow-up (20.7%). Fifty patients (29.6%) had an adverse in-hospital outcome, according to the composite endpoint of transfer to ICU or death, whichever occurred first.

Baseline characteristics of study population. The characteristics of study participants, according to diabetes status or glucose levels, are reported in Supplementary Table 1 and Table 2. Stress hyperglycaemia and comorbid diabetes accounted for 11.2% ($n=19$) and 18.9% ($n=32$) of the patients, respectively. Higher BMI [OR 1.112 (95% IC 1.03-1.2); $p=0.007$], older age [OR 1.029 x year (95% IC 1.01-1.05); $p=0.013$], and hypertension [OR 4.036 (1.04-3.98); $p=0.037$] were all associated with diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia. As for diabetes treatment, 3.9% of subject with comorbid diabetes were being treated with lifestyle modifications, 11.8% with insulin, 39.2% with non-insulin oral or injectable anti-diabetes medications, 7.8% with insulin and oral diabetes medications, while patients with stress

hyperglycaemia (37.3%) were untreated. The median time from symptoms onset to hospital admission was 7 (1-12.5) and 5 (1-8) days for patients without and with diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia, respectively ($p=0.33$). On admission, 18.3% ($n=31$) of the patients were taking ACE-inhibitors (25.5% vs 15.3%, $p=0.132$ diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia vs no diabetes), 14.2% ($n=24$) chronic antiplatelet therapy (21.6% vs 11%, $p=0.092$ diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia vs no diabetes) and 18.3% ($n=31$) anticoagulant treatments (23.5% vs 16.1%, $p=0.28$ diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia vs no diabetes).

Hospital admission. On admission signs of respiratory insufficiency were evident in most patients [$\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio 280 (200-368)] and a $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratio <200 was present in 20% and 29.4% of patients with or without diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia, respectively ($p=0.31$). Diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia was associated with worse kidney function [serum creatinine: 96.4 (65.4-152) vs 82.2 (65.4-106) $\mu\text{mol/L}$, $p=0.039$; urea nitrogen 18.6 (10.5-33.8) vs 11.9 (8.66-19.6) mmol/L , $p=0.004$], increased inflammation [CRP 87.5 (35.7-184) vs 53.5 (17.9-112) mg/L ; $p=0.009$] and tissue damage markers [LDH 6.65 (4.33-8.8) vs 5.04 (3.82-8.8) $\mu\text{kat/L}$, $p=0.006$; AST 0.82 (0.64-1.35) vs 0.6 (0.43-0.91) $\mu\text{kat/L}$, $p=0.006$; ALT 0.73 (0.45-0.97) vs 0.57 (0.33-0.83) $\mu\text{kat/L}$, $p=0.077$; pro-BNP 738 (193-2238) vs 193 (59-910) ng/L , $p=0.011$; troponin T 19.5 (11.4-61.55) vs 12.7 (6-42.6) $\mu\text{g/L}$, $p=0.078$]. The same changes were associated with progressively higher blood glucose levels (Supplementary Table 2). Data regarding the IgG, IgM and IgA responses to the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (RBD or S1+S2) and IgG to NP (Table 1) were available for a subgroup of patients, as they were part of a previous cohort evaluated for the humoral response in the presence of diabetes (12). Marginal differences between patients with and without diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia were evident. Over the hospitalization period antibiotic (80.4% vs 61.9%, $p=0.02$) and oxygen (84.3% vs 61%, $p=0.004$) treatments were more frequently used in patients with

diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia while antiviral, immunomodulatory and biologic therapies were equally prescribed.

Hemostatic parameters. Upon admission patients with diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia exhibited significantly higher D-dimer levels [11.8 (5.5-12.4) vs 4.2 (2.3-9.3) $\mu\text{g/ml}$, $p<0.001$] and increased prothrombin time (PT-INR 1.16 (1.02-1.25 vs 1.04 (0.98-1.15), $p=0.001$) compared with patients without diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia (Table 1). Concordantly, the percentage of subject with elevated age-specific D-dimer was significantly higher in the presence of diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia (76.5% vs 56.8%, $p=0.016$). Partial thromboplastin time, platelet count and fibrinogen were not affected by diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia. Advanced markers of thrombophilia were available for a subgroup of patients (Table 1). Exploratory analysis did not show significant changes in coagulation factors levels or activity in subjects with diabetes, except for a lower antithrombin III activity [91% (80-120) vs 100% (92-106)]. The presence of diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia did not significantly increase the proportion of patients who at baseline had a higher risk for venous thromboembolism based on the Padua score (Padua score ≥ 4 : 43.1% vs 31.4%, $p=0.16$), even if a marginal, although significant, difference in the Padua score was evident [3 (3-5) vs 3 (1-5), $p=0.006$] (Table 1). Similarly, the proportion of patients at high baseline bleeding risk based on the IMPROVE score was not affected by the presence of diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia (IMPROVE ≥ 7 : 9.8% vs 5.9%; $p=0.35$), even if a marginal, although significant, difference in the IMPROVE score was evident [2.2 (1.2-4.1) vs 1.6 (0.97-2.9), $p=0.024$] (Table 1).

Thromboembolic complications. Forty eight venous and 10 arterial TE were identified in 49 (29%) patients (Table 2). The median time from the onset of symptoms of COVID-19 pneumonia to the TE was 17 (10-24) days. Patients with diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia developed more frequently a thromboembolic complication (47.1% vs 21.2%, $p=0.001$) than patients without diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia. Comorbid diabetes and stress

hyperglycaemia were both associated with a higher prevalence of thromboembolic complication (52% vs 42.1% respectively, $p=0.77$). Concordantly, the higher prevalence of thromboembolic complication was associated with higher fasting blood glucose levels during the hospitalization (Table 1). Regarding the thrombosis site, venous events (in particular deep vein thrombosis) contributed more significantly than arterial ones in determining the higher prevalence of thromboembolic complications in patients with diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia compared to those without diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia. In patients with and without diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia, 3 and 1 (5.9% vs 0.8%, $p=0.083$) events were classified as overt DIC (≥ 5 points, according to the ISTH diagnostic criteria), respectively. The results of a Cox regression analysis for TE is presented in Fig. 1. The Cox regression analysis adjusted for age and sex indicates that diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia (HR 2.71, CI 1.53-4.8; $p=0.001$), fasting plasma glucose [FPG mean (log1p) HR 4.32, CI 1.86-10, $p=0.001$] and glucose variability [FPG standard deviation (log1p) HR 1.6, CI 1.13-2.28, $p=0.009$] were associated with a higher risk of thromboembolic complications. Differences in smoke habit, BMI, other comorbidities and preadmission antiplatelet or steroid were all statistically not significant. A trend towards a protective effect of preadmission anticoagulant therapy was evident (HR 0.45, CI 0.18-1.08; $p=0.075$). In a multivariate model, diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia and preadmission anticoagulant therapy confirmed their association with the thromboembolic complication.

TEs and adverse clinical outcome in patients with and without diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia. To assess whether the presence of TEs had an impact on patient outcome according to diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia or fasting glucose levels, we conducted a Kaplan-Meier estimator log-rank test and a Cox proportional hazards model for adverse clinical outcome (as defined by composite endpoint of transfer to ICU or death, whichever occurred first) (Figure 2). The Cox regression analysis adjusted for age and sex indicated that diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia (HR 2.99, CI 1.7-5.03; $p<0.001$), fasting plasma glucose [FPG

mean (log1p) HR 9.6, CI 4.59-20; $p < 0.001$] and glucose variability [FPG standard deviation (log1p) HR 2.02, CI 1.43-2.9; $p < 0.001$] were strongly associated with a higher risk of adverse clinical outcome (see also Supplementary Table 2). TEs were not associated with an adverse clinical outcome in the absence of diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia (HR 0.29, CI 0.04-2.16; $p = 0.225$) or in the presence of FBG < 7 mmol/l (HR 0.54, CI 0.07-4.35; $p = 0.56$), while they significantly increased the risk in the presence of diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia (HR 3.05, CI 1.31-7.09; $p = 0.01$) or FBG ≥ 7 mmol/l (HR 3.07, CI 1.24-7.6; $p = 0.015$).

DISCUSSION

There are few reports on the relationship between hyperglycemia and the rate of TEs in COVID-19 pneumonia and it is still unknown whether thrombosis affects the prognosis of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in the presence of diabetes. Under the hypothesis of a relevant role for diabetes, we designed a prospective observational study focusing on TEs occurring during hospitalization and risk factors associated with thromboembolic complications in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Our study generated several interesting findings in those patients. First, diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia, high fasting glycaemia and glycemic variability were strong risk factors for the development of thromboembolic complications. Second, the rate of venous thrombosis events (in particular deep vein thrombosis) was the most affected by the presence of diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia. Third, thromboembolic complications had an adverse impact on clinical outcome exclusively in the presence of diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia. While reasonable, these results could not have been taken for granted²⁷. TEs have a higher incidence among patients with COVID-19²⁸⁻³⁰ and diabetes is *per se* characterized by a pro-thrombotic status⁷. We and others have previously reported an increase of D-dimer in patients with diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia and COVID-19 pneumonia compared to those without¹¹⁻¹³. However the clinical implications in term of thromboembolic risk of those findings were yet unclear. Moreover, data on the

correlation of thromboembolic complications with clinical outcome were limited and contradictory, with some studies finding a higher risk of adverse outcome associated with TEs in hospitalized patients with COVID-19³¹, while others did not find any association³². The pathophysiological mechanisms related to the increased risk of TEs in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and diabetes are still incompletely understood. In our study, diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia was associated with both inflammation and coagulopathy (elevated C reactive protein and D-dimer levels, mild prolongation of the prothrombin time and decreased antithrombin III), suggesting that an hyperglycaemia-related amplification of the pathobiological mechanisms of immunothrombosis³³ could be responsible of the increased thrombotic risk. The reduced activity of antithrombin III is of particular interest in this context³⁴. In fact, antithrombin III is a powerful natural anticoagulant which is regulated by inflammation³⁵. Therefore, it can be speculated that hyperinflammation might have been triggering a decrease in antithrombin III levels and its physiological anticoagulant activity. Furthermore, since the clinical anticoagulant efficacy of heparin requires interaction with antithrombin III, an impaired levels/activity of antithrombin III may be associated with “heparin resistance”³⁵. In agreement with this hypothesis, an association between antithrombin III levels and mortality in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia has already been reported³⁶. An association between thromboembolic complications and the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia has been previously reported in case reports, case series, cohort studies, and cross-sectional studies, although with contradictory results³⁷. Unfortunately antiphospholipid antibody measurements were available only for a subgroup of our patients and, therefore, it was impossible to include them in a multivariate model to test for their contribution to thromboembolic risk.

Our study encompasses some limitations: first, our cohort was limited to hospitalized patients and results could be different in less severe COVID-19 disease. Second, even if the overall venous and arterial thromboembolism rate was similar to that described until now in various

studies (18), our monocentric cohort was relatively small, and, therefore, a selection bias cannot be excluded. Third, we were unable to evaluate the specific role of some markers as predictors of thrombosis in multivariate models since a complete set of biochemical coagulation data was available only for a fraction of patients. Nevertheless our study generated additional valuable knowledge about the role of diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia in predicting TEs and in stratifying their prognostic significance. In conclusion, many evidences indicate that patients with diabetes, in case of COVID-19 pneumonia, carry a significant increased risk for adverse clinical outcome when compared with patients without diabetes. It is clear from our study that part of this risk is due to an increase in thromboembolic complications. These findings suggest that in in case of SARS-Cov-2 pneumonia, patients with diabetes/stress hyperglycaemia could be considered for a more intensive prophylactic anticoagulation regimen.

Declaration of interests. The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose in relation to the topic of this manuscript. The authors declare that there are no relationships or activities that might bias, or be perceived to bias, their work.

This work was funded by Program Project COVID-19 OSR-UniSR and Ministero della Salute (COVID-2020-12371617).

Individual contributions LP, SLC and GAR contributed to the conception of the study, wrote the manuscript, researched data and contributed to the discussion. MSc and VL contributed to the acquisition and analysis of antibody data and revised the manuscript. VDP, GDL, AL, PRQ and FC recruited patients, contributed to the acquisition of samples, managed the biobanking activities and critically revised the manuscript, AD and GL contributed to the acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data and critically revised the manuscript. MT contributed to the design of the study and critically reviewed/edited the manuscript. LP is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data presented in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. The final manuscript has been read and approved by all named authors.

REFERENCES

1. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, Cheng Z, Yu T, Xia J, Wei Y, Wu W, Xie X, Yin W, Li H, Liu M, Xiao Y, Gao H, Guo L, Xie J, Wang G, Jiang R, Gao Z, Jin Q, Wang J & Cao B. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020 **395** 497-506.
2. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, Liu L, Shan H, Lei CL, Hui DSC, Du B, Li LJ, Zeng G, Yuen KY, Chen RC, Tang CL, Wang T, Chen PY, Xiang J, Li SY, Wang JL, Liang ZJ, Peng YX, Wei L, Liu Y, Hu YH, Peng P, Wang JM, Liu JY, Chen Z, Li G, Zheng ZJ, Qiu SQ, Luo J, Ye CJ, Zhu SY & Zhong NS. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 2020 **382** 1708-1720.
3. Mantovani A, Byrne CD, Zheng MH & Targher G. Diabetes as a risk factor for greater COVID-19 severity and in-hospital death: A meta-analysis of observational studies. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2020.
4. Apicella M, Campopiano MC, Mantuano M, Mazoni L, Coppelli A & Del Prato S. COVID-19 in people with diabetes: understanding the reasons for worse outcomes. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020.
5. Guo W, Li M, Dong Y, Zhou H, Zhang Z, Tian C, Qin R, Wang H, Shen Y, Du K, Zhao L, Fan H, Luo S & Hu D. Diabetes is a risk factor for the progression and prognosis of COVID-19. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2020 e3319.
6. Geerlings SE & Hoepelman AI. Immune dysfunction in patients with diabetes mellitus (DM). *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 1999 **26** 259-265.
7. Vazzana N, Ranalli P, Cuccurullo C & Davi G. Diabetes mellitus and thrombosis. *Thromb Res* 2012 **129** 371-377.
8. Secchi M, Bazzigaluppi E, Brigatti C, Marzinotto I, Tresoldi C, Rovere-Querini P, Poli A, Castagna A, Scarlatti G, Zangrillo A, Ciceri F, Piemonti L & Lampasona V.

- 379 COVID-19 survival associates with the immunoglobulin response to the SARS-CoV-2
380 spike receptor binding domain. *J Clin Invest* 2020.
- 381 9. Lampasona V, Secchi M, Scavini M, Bazzigaluppi E, Brigatti C, Marzinotto I, Davalli
382 A, Caretto A, Laurenzi A, Martinenghi S, Molinari C, Vitali G, Di Filippo L, Mercalli
383 A, Melzi R, Tresoldi C, Rovere-Querini P, Landoni G, Ciceri F, Bosi E & Piemonti L.
384 Antibody response to multiple antigens of SARS-CoV-2 in patients with diabetes: an
385 observational cohort study. *Diabetologia* 2020 **63** 2548-2558.
- 386 10. Dispinseri S, Lampasona V, Secchi M, Cara A, Bazzigaluppi E, Negri D, Brigatti C,
387 Pirillo MF, Marzinotto I, Borghi M, Rovere-Querini P, Tresoldi C, Ciceri F, Scavini
388 M, Scarlatti G & Piemonti L. Robust neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 develop
389 and persist in subjects with diabetes and COVID-19 pneumonia. *J Clin Endocrinol*
390 *Metab* 2021.
- 391 11. Yan Y, Yang Y, Wang F, Ren H, Zhang S, Shi X, Yu X & Dong K. Clinical
392 characteristics and outcomes of patients with severe covid-19 with diabetes. *BMJ*
393 *Open Diabetes Res Care* 2020 **8**.
- 394 12. Mishra Y, Pathak BK, Mohakuda SS, Tilak T, Sen S, P H, Singh R & Singh AR.
395 Relation of D-dimer levels of COVID-19 patients with diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes*
396 *Metab Syndr* 2020 **14** 1927-1930.
- 397 13. Sardu C, D'Onofrio N, Balestrieri ML, Barbieri M, Rizzo MR, Messina V, Maggi P,
398 Coppola N, Paolisso G & Marfella R. Outcomes in Patients With Hyperglycemia
399 Affected by COVID-19: Can We Do More on Glycemic Control? *Diabetes Care* 2020
400 **43** 1408-1415.
- 401 14. Soomro AY, Guerchicoff A, Nichols DJ, Suleman J & Dangas GD. The current role
402 and future prospects of D-dimer biomarker. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother*
403 2016 **2** 175-184.

- 404 15. Malas MB, Naazie IN, Elsayed N, Mathlouthi A, Marmor R & Clary B.
405 Thromboembolism risk of COVID-19 is high and associated with a higher risk of
406 mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020 **29**
407 100639.
- 408 • 16. Wang C, Zhang H, Zhou M, Cheng Y, Ye L, Chen J, Wang M & Feng Z.
409 Prognosis of COVID-19 in patients with vein thrombosis: a systematic review and
410 meta-analysis. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2020 **24** 10279-10285.
- 411 17. Tang N, Li D, Wang X & Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated
412 with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost*
413 2020 **18** 844-847.
- 414 18. Connors JM & Levy JH. Thromboinflammation and the hypercoagulability of
415 COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020.
- 416 19. Bozzani A, Arici V, Tavazzi G, Franciscone MM, Danesino V, Rota M, Rossini R,
417 Sterpetti AV, Ticozzelli G, Rumi E, Mojoli F, Bruno R & Ragni F. Acute arterial and
418 deep venous thromboembolism in COVID-19 patients: Risk factors and personalized
419 therapy. *Surgery* 2020 **168** 987-992.
- 420 20. Gu SX, Tyagi T, Jain K, Gu VW, Lee SH, Hwa JM, Kwan JM, Krause DS, Lee AI,
421 Halene S, Martin KA, Chun HJ & Hwa J. Thrombocytopathy and endotheliopathy:
422 crucial contributors to COVID-19 thromboinflammation. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2020.
- 423 21. China NHC. The diagnosis and treatment plan for the novel coronavirus disease. The
424 seventh edition 2020. 2020.
- 425 22. World_Health_Organization. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory
426 infection when novel coronavirus (nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance.
427 2020 **January 2020**.

23. Marietta M, Ageno W, Artoni A, De Candia E, Gesele P, Marchetti M, Marcucci R & Tripodi A. COVID-19 and haemostasis: a position paper from Italian Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (SISST). *Blood Transfus* 2020 **18** 167-169.
24. Thachil J, Tang N, Gando S, Falanga A, Cattaneo M, Levi M, Clark C & Iba T. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management of coagulopathy in COVID-19. *J Thromb Haemost* 2020 **18** 1023-1026.
25. Taylor FB, Jr., Toh CH, Hoots WK, Wada H & Levi M. Towards definition, clinical and laboratory criteria, and a scoring system for disseminated intravascular coagulation. *Thromb Haemost* 2001 **86** 1327-1330.
26. Righini M, Van Es J, Den Exter PL, Roy PM, Verschuren F, Ghuysen A, Rutschmann OT, Sanchez O, Jaffrelot M, Trinh-Duc A, Le Gall C, Moustafa F, Principe A, Van Houten AA, Ten Wolde M, Douma RA, Hazelaar G, Erkens PM, Van Kralingen KW, Grootenboers MJ, Durian MF, Cheung YW, Meyer G, Bounameaux H, Huisman MV, Kamphuisen PW & Le Gal G. Age-adjusted D-dimer cutoff levels to rule out pulmonary embolism: the ADJUST-PE study. *Jama* 2014 **311** 1117-1124.
27. Wijaya I, Andhika R & Huang I. Hypercoagulable state in COVID-19 with diabetes mellitus and obesity: Is therapeutic-dose or higher-dose anticoagulant thromboprophylaxis necessary? *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2020 **14** 1241-1242.
28. Lodigiani C, Iapichino G, Carenzo L, Cecconi M, Ferrazzi P, Sebastian T, Kucher N, Studt JD, Sacco C, Bertuzzi A, Sandri MT & Barco S. Venous and arterial thromboembolic complications in COVID-19 patients admitted to an academic hospital in Milan, Italy. *Thromb Res* 2020 **191** 9-14.
29. Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, Leonard-Lorant I, Ohana M, Delabranche X, Merdji H, Clere-Jehl R, Schenck M, Fagot Gandet F, Fafi-Kremer S, Castelain V, Schneider F, Grunebaum L, Angles-Cano E, Sattler L, Mertes PM & Meziani F. High risk of

- thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 2020 **46** 1089-1098.
30. Ali MAM & Spinler SA. COVID-19 and thrombosis: From bench to bedside. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 2020.
31. Zhang L, Feng X, Zhang D, Jiang C, Mei H, Wang J, Zhang C, Li H, Xia X, Kong S, Liao J, Jia H, Pang X, Song Y, Tian Y, Wang B, Wu C, Yuan H, Zhang Y, Li Y, Sun W, Zhu S, Wang S, Xie Y, Ge S, Hu Y & Xie M. Deep Vein Thrombosis in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: Prevalence, Risk Factors, and Outcome. *Circulation* 2020 **142** 114-128.
32. Hippensteel JA, Burnham EL & Jolley SE. Prevalence of venous thromboembolism in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Br J Haematol* 2020 **190** e134-e137.
33. Loo J, Spittle DA & Newnham M. COVID-19, immunothrombosis and venous thromboembolism: biological mechanisms. *Thorax* 2021.
34. Mir N, D'Amico A, Dasher J, Tolwani A & Valentine V. Understanding the andromeda strain - The role of cytokine release, coagulopathy and antithrombin III in SARS-CoV2 critical illness. *Blood Rev* 2020 100731.
35. Levy JH, Sniecinski RM, Welsby IJ & Levi M. Antithrombin: anti-inflammatory properties and clinical applications. *Thromb Haemost* 2016 **115** 712-728.
36. Gazzaruso C, Paolozzi E, Valenti C, Brocchetta M, Naldani D, Grignani C, Salvucci F, Marino F, Coppola A & Gallotti P. Association between antithrombin and mortality in patients with COVID-19. A possible link with obesity. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2020 **30** 1914-1919.
37. El Hasbani G, Taher AT, Jawad A & Uthman I. COVID-19, Antiphospholipid Antibodies, and Catastrophic Antiphospholipid Syndrome: A Possible Association? *Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord* 2020 **13** 1179544120978667.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. TEs in patients with COVID-19. The forest plots (panel a) show the Hazard Ratios (HR) for thrombosis for each factor tested. Upper panel: univariate Cox regression analysis adjusted for sex and age. Lower panel: multivariate Cox regression analysis adjusted for sex and age including variables significant at the level of <0.1 in the univariate analysis. Dots represent the HR, lines represent 95% confidence interval (CI), and solid dots indicate $P < 0.05$. Kaplan-Meier thrombosis-free survival estimates for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia (panel b). Survival rate was estimated for the presence of diabetes. The log-rank test was used to test differences in the estimated survival rate. Crosses indicate censored patients (censoring for death end of follow-up data).

Figure 2. Survival in the absence of adverse clinical outcome in patients with COVID-19 with or without TEs, according to diabetes/stress hyperglycemia or fasting glucose levels. Kaplan-Meier patient survival estimates for 169 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia (panel a). Survival rate in the absence of adverse clinical outcome (defined by composite endpoint of transfer to ICU or death, whichever occurred first) was estimated for the presence of any thrombotic event (TE) separately according diabetes/stress hyperglycemia or fasting glucose levels. The log-rank test was used to test differences in the estimated survival rate between groups. Crosses indicate censored patients (censoring for death or end of follow-up data). The forest plots (panel b) show the hazard ratios for survival in the absence of adverse clinical outcome according to presence/absence of diabetes/stress hyperglycemia or fasting glucose levels (FBG) categories. The presence of thrombotic events (TE) was considered as a time-varying covariate in Cox proportional hazards models. The effect estimates were reported as Hazard Ratios (HRs) with the corresponding 95% CI, estimated according to the Wald approximation. Cox regression analysis was adjusted for sex and age. Dots represent the HR, lines represent 95% confidence interval (CI), and solid dots indicate $P < 0.05$.

Table 1. Hemostatic parameters, inflammation markers and SARS-Cov2 antibodies according to diabetes/stress hyperglycemia and median fasting plasma glucose

Characteristics	All	Diabetes/stress hyperglycemia			Median fasting glucose (mmol/l)				Missing
		No	Yes	p	<5.6	5.6-6.9	≥ 7	p	
N		118	51		90	37	42		
Platelets (x10 ⁹ /L)	225 (153-307)	230 (157-309)	212 (151-301)	0.61	229 (157-379)	200 (160-321)	225 (146-324)	0.90	1
D-dimer (µg/ml)	5.9 (2.8-16.6)	4.2 (2.3-9.3)	11.8 (5.5-12.4)	<0.001	4.16 (2.3-9)	5.75 (2.35-11.4)	15.1 (6-35.6)	<0.001	0
Elevated aD-dimer [N (%)]	106 (62.7)	67 (56.8)	39 (76.5)	0.016	51 (56.7)	21 (56.8)	34 (81)	0.019	0
C reactive protein (mg/l)	57.5 (20.7-128.6)	53.5 (17.9-112)	87.5 (35.7-184)	0.009	35.4 (11.7-92.5)	69.1 (54-130)	117 (47.2-204)	<0.001	4
PT-INR	1.06 (0.99-1.2)	1.04 (0.98-1.15)	1.16 (1.02-1.25)	0.001	1.04 (0.98-1.17)	1.06 (0.97-1.16)	1.17 (1.04-1.27)	0.005	4
PTT-R	0.99 (0.94-1.05)	1 (0.95—1.05)	0.98 (0.91-1.06)	0.52	0.99 (0.94-1.05)	1.01 (0.95-1.1)	0.97 (0.9-1.06)	0.27	4
Ferritin µg/L	903 (387-1514)	804 (331-1450)	1058 (484-1689)	0.17	700 (308-1115)	1367 (447-2492)	1092 (588-1821)	0.003	29
IL-6 (pg/ml)	43.3 (15.4-98.5)	43.7 (13.2-95.85)	41.5 (22-124)	0.26	39.2 (9.5-86)	74.3 (13.4-131)	41.4 (26.7-153)	0.12	44
Fibrinogen (g/L)	5.53 (4.19-6.39)	5.46 (4.16-6.19)	5.65 (4.18-7.34)	0.24	5.11 (3.89-5.85)	5.87 (5.57-6.59)	5.75 (4.78-7.46)	0.004	42
von Willebrand factor (%)	307 (190-379)	306 (212-377)	336 (165-420)	0.92	307 (191-376)	301 (176-372)	358 (184-420)	0.49	101
Protein C (%)	86 (72-106)	86 (77-103)	73.5 (53-111)	0.24	87 (76-104)	82.5 (72-93)	78.5 (53-111)	0.59	96
Protein S (%)	78 (60-98)	82 (60-98)	68 (56-94)	0.36	84 (61.5-101.5)	78.5 (59-94.5)	68 (63-88.5)	0.56	98
Antithrombin III (%)	98 (88-106)	100 (92-106)	91 (80-102)	0.041	100 (88.25-105)	98 (93-109)	89 (79-104)	0.23	95
Homocysteine (µmol/L)	14.8 (10.1-19.4)	14.4 (10-18.4)	16.5 (12.3-23.5)	0.17	13.4 (10-16)	19.4 (10-24)	15.4 (9.9-21.7)	0.140	102
Padua score	3 (2-5)	3(1-5)	3 (3-5)	0.006	3 (1-5)	3 (1.5-4.5)	3 (3-5)	0.049	0
Padua score ≥ 4 [N (%)]	59 (34.9)	37 (31.4)	22 (43.1)	0.16	30 (33.3)	11 (29.7)	18 (42.9)	0.43	0
IMPROVE score	2 (1-3)	1.6 (0.97-2.9)	2.2 (1.2-4.1)	0.024	1.6 (0.9-2.9)	1.5 (11-2.9)	2.2 (1.42-4.02)	0.15	0
IMPROVE score ≥ 7 [N (%)]	12 (7.1)	7 (5.9)	5 (9.8)	0.35	7 (7.8)	1 (2.7)	4 (9.5)	0.47	0
DIC by ISTH definitions [N (%)]	4 (2.4)	1 (0.8)	3 (5.9)	0.083	0 (0)	1 (2.7)	3 (7.1)	0.042	0
IgG RBD [N (%)]	45 (51.1)	30 (47.6)	15 (60)	0.349	24 (50)	8 (47.1)	13 (56.5)	0.817	81
IgM RBD [N (%)]	46 (52.3)	33 (52.4)	13 (52)	0.99	24 (50)	10 (58.8)	12 (52.2)	0.822	81
IgA RBD [N (%)]	44 (50)	27 (42.9)	17 (68)	0.057	19 (39.6)	9 (52.9)	16 (69.6)	0.059	81
IgG S1/S2	53 (60.2)	36 (57.1)	17 (68)	0.470	28 (58.3)	10 (58.8)	15 (65.2)	0.850	81
IgM S1/S2	61 (69.3)	42 (66.7)	19 (76)	0.452	33 (68.8)	11 (64.7)	17 (73.9)	0.816	81
IgA S1/S2	66 (75)	45 (71.4)	21 (84)	0.281	34 (70.8)	13 (76.5)	19 (82.6)	0.556	81
IgG NP	58 (65.9)	42 (66.7)	16 (64)	0.808	31 (64.6)	12 (70.6)	15 (65.2)	0.901	81

DIC disseminated intravascular coagulation; INR: international normalized ratio ISTH: International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis; PT prothrombin time; PTT: partial thromboplastin time.

Table 2: Thrombotic events (TEs)

	Diabetes/stress hyperglycemia					Median fasting glucose (mmol/l)					
	All	No	Yes	p	Comorbid diabetes	Stress hyperglycemia	p	<5.6	5.6-6.9	≥ 7	p
N	169	118	51		32	19		90	37	42	
Patients with at least one event [N (%)]	49 (29)	25 (21.2)	24 (47.1)	0.001	16 (50)	8 (42.1)	0.77	20 (22.2)	9 (24.3)	20 (47.6)	0.009
Median time from symptoms to thrombosis	17 (10-24)	11 (2-20)	18 (8-28)	0.11	21 (11-31)	11 (0-28)	0.44	13 (4-22)	6 (0-12)	18 (3-33)	0.19
Arterial events [N (%)]											
- Myocardial infarction	3 (1.8)	1 (0.8)	2 (3.9)	0.22	2 (6.3)	0 (0)	0.52	0 (0)	2 (5.4)	1 (2.4)	0.10
- Stroke	1 (0.6)	0 (0)	1 (2)	0.30	1 (3.1)	0 (0)	0.99	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2.4)	0.19
- Limb ischaemia	6 (3.6)	4 (3.4)	2 (3.9)	0.99	1 (3.1)	1 (5.3)	0.99	4 (4.4)	0 (0)	2 (4.8)	0.42
- Intestinal ischaemia	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
Total patients with arterial event	10 (5.9)	5 (4.2)	5 (9.8)	0.17	4 (12.5)	1 (5.3)	0.64	4 (4.4)	2 (5.4)	4 (9.5)	0.51
Venous events [N (%)]											
- Isolated spontaneous DVT	17 (10.1)	6 (5.1)	11 (21.6)	0.004	8 (25)	3 (15.8)	0.50	6 (6.7)	3 (8.1)	8 (19)	0.08
- Isolated PVT	15 (8.9)	8 (6.8)	7 (13.7)	0.15	4 (12.5)	3 (15.8)	0.99	4 (4.4)	5 (13.5)	6 (14.3)	0.096
- DVT+ PVT	8 (4.7)	6 (5.1)	2 (3.9)	0.99	1 (3.1)	1 (5.3)	0.99	6 (6.7)	0 (0)	2 (4.8)	0.27
- Catheter-related DVT	8 (4.7)	3 (2.5)	5 (9.8)	0.055	4 (12.5)	1 (5.3)	0.64	4 (4.4)	1 (2.7)	3 (7.1)	0.64
Total patients with venous events	43 (25.4)	22 (18.6)	21 (48.8)	0.004	13 (40.6)	8 (42.1)	0.99	18 (20)	8 (21.6)	17 (40.5)	0.035
Arterial and venous events [N (%)]											
- Myocardial infarction + DVT/PVT	1 (0.6)	0 (0)	1 (2)	0.3	1 (3.1)	0 (0)	0.99	0 (0)	1 (2.7)	0 (0)	0.17
- Stroke + DVT/PVT	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	0 (0)	0 (0)	-	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	-
- Limb ischemia + DVT/PVT	3 (1.8)	2 (1.7)	1 (2)	0.99	0 (0)	1 (5.3)	0.37	2 (2.2)	0 (0)	1 (2.4)	0.65
Total patients with arterial and venous events	4 (2.4)	2 (1.7)	2 (3.9)	0.58	1 (3.1)	1 (5.3)	0.99	2 (2.2)	1 (2.7)	1 (2.4)	0.99

DVT: Deep vein thrombosis; PVT: Pulmonary vein thrombosis

A

Risk of thrombosis

B

Figure 1

Figure 2