1 Live virus neutralisation testing in convalescent patients and subjects vaccinated 2 against 19A, 20B, 20I/501Y.V1 and 20H/501Y.V2 isolates of SARS-CoV-2

3
4 Claudia Gonzalez^{a *}, Carla Saade^{a *}, Antonin Bal^{a,b}, Martine Valette^{a,b}, Kahina Saker^a, Bruno
5 Lina^{a,b}, Laurence Josset^{a,b}, Mary-Anne Trabaud^a, Guillaume Thiery^c, Elisabeth Botelho-
6 Nevers^{d,e}, Stéphane Paul^{d,f}, Paul Verhoeven^{d,g}, Thomas Bourlet^{d,g}, Sylvie Pillet^{d,g}, Florence
7 Morfin^{a,b}, Sophie Trouillet-Assant^{a,b **}, Bruno Pozzetto^{d,g **} on behalf of COVID-SER study
8 group

9
10 ^aLaboratoire de Virologie, Institut des Agents Infectieux, Laboratoire associé au Centre
11 National de Référence des virus des infections respiratoires, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon,
12 France; ^bVirpath, CIRI, INSERM U1111, CNRS UMR5308, ENS Lyon, Université Claude
13 Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France; ^cCentre Hospitalier Universitaire de Saint-Etienne,
14 Unité des soins intensifs, Saint-Etienne, France ; ^dCIRI, équipe GIMAP, Université de Lyon,
15 Université de Saint-Etienne, INSERM U1111, CNRS UMR5308, ENS de Lyon, UCBL1, Saint-
16 Etienne, France; ^fDépartement d'immunologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Saint-
17 Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France
18 ^gDépartement des agents infectieux et d'hygiène, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Saint-
19 Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France.

20
21 ^eDépartement des maladies infectieuses, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Saint-Etienne,
22 Saint-Etienne, France ; ^fDépartement d'immunologie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de
23 Saint-Etienne, Saint-Etienne, France

24
25

26 * These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

27 ** These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

28

29 Corresponding author: Bruno Pozzetto, MD, PhD

30 Address: CIRI, équipe GIMAP, Université de Lyon, Université de Saint-Etienne, INSERM

31 U1111, CNRS UMR5308, ENS de Lyon, UCBL1, 42023 Saint-Etienne, France.

32 E-mail address: bruno.pozzetto@univ-st-etienne.fr

33 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; humoral response; variant; live virus neutralisation test.

34 **Abstract**

35 **Background:** SARS-CoV-2 mutations appeared recently and can lead to conformational
36 changes in the spike protein and probably induce modifications in antigenicity. In this study,
37 we wanted to assess the neutralizing capacity of antibodies to prevent cell infection, using a
38 live virus neutralisation test.

39 **Methods:** Sera samples were collected from different populations: two-dose vaccinated
40 COVID-19-naïve healthcare workers (HCWs; Pfizer-BioNTech BNT161b2), 6-months post
41 mild COVID-19 HCWs, and critical COVID-19 patients. We tested various clades such as
42 19A (initial one), 20B (B.1.1.241 lineage), 20I/501Y.V1 (B.1.1.7 lineage), and 20H/501Y.V2
43 (B.1.351 lineage).

44 **Results:** No significant difference was observed between the 20B and 19A isolates for HCWs
45 with mild COVID-19 and critical patients. However, a significant decrease in neutralisation
46 ability was found for 20I/501Y.V1 in comparison with 19A isolate for critical patients and
47 HCWs 6-months post infection. Concerning 20H/501Y.V2, all populations had a significant
48 reduction in neutralising antibody titres in comparison with the 19A isolate. Interestingly, a
49 significant difference in neutralisation capacity was observed for vaccinated HCWs between
50 the two variants whereas it was not significant for the convalescent groups.

51 **Conclusion:** Neutralisation capacity was slightly reduced for critical patients and HCWs 6-
52 months post infection. No neutralisation escape could be feared concerning the two variants
53 of concern in both populations. The reduced neutralising response observed towards the
54 20H/501Y.V2 in comparison with the 19A and 20I/501Y.V1 isolates in fully immunized
55 subjects with the BNT162b2 vaccine is a striking finding of the study.

Several SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOC) with mutations impacting notably the spike (S) protein have been detected recently [1]. These mutations can lead to conformational changes and probably induce modifications in antigenicity. Serological studies based on SARS-CoV-2 pseudotyped or chimeric viruses have been performed to measure the neutralisation activity of serum specimens of convalescent patients or subjects immunized by SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. Although these tests are easier to conduct, their ability to predict neutralising activity against authentic clinical viral isolates needs to be evaluated [2].

Herein we compared the ability of neutralising antibodies (NAb) directed against SARS-CoV-2 to prevent cell infection in different populations, using a live Virus Neutralisation Test (VNT) against SARS-CoV-2 isolates belonging to various clades: 19A, 20B (B.1.1.241 lineage), 20I/501Y.V1 (B.1.1.7 lineage) and 20H/501Y.V2 (B.1.351 lineage). VNT was performed as previously reported using an observed viral load of 100 to 500 50% Tissue Culture Infectious Doses (TCID₅₀) for each isolate [3,4].

Serum specimens were collected from two-dose vaccinated COVID-19 naïve healthcare workers (HCWs; n=30) between two and four weeks after the administration of the Pfizer-BioNTech BNT162b2 vaccine (group 1), a subgroup of HCWs exhibiting significant NAb against 19A (50% plaque reduction neutralisation test (PRNT₅₀) ranging from 20 to 240) 6-months after mild COVID-19 (n=29; group 2) [5], and critical COVID-19 patients sampled within one month after symptom onset (median [IQR]: 28 [22.5-33.5] days; n=25; group 3). All COVID-19 patients from groups 2 and 3 had been infected during the first wave of COVID-19 that occurred in France in March-April of 2020. Written informed consent was obtained from all HCWs; ethics approval was obtained from the national review board for biomedical research in April 2020 (Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud Méditerranée I, Marseille, France; ID RCB 2020-A00932-37), and the study was registered on

81 ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT04341142). Concerning critical patients, this study was approved by
82 the ethics committee of the university hospital of Saint-Etienne (reference number
83 IRBN512020/CHUSTE).

84 No significant difference in median NAb titres was observed between the 19A isolate
85 taken as reference and the 20B isolate that circulated during the second pandemic wave at the
86 end of 2020 in Europe (Figure 1A). As previously reported between mild and severe patients
87 [3], the three populations exhibited significantly different median levels of NAb for 19A
88 isolate (Figure 1B); the same picture was observed for the two VOC (data not shown).

89 By comparison to the 19A isolate, the median [IQR] fold reduction in NAb titres was
90 1.5 [1.2-1.7] and 3.5 [2.7-4.3] in group 1, 1.6 [1.3-1.8] and 1.9 [1.5-2.3] in group 2, and 2.3
91 [1.8-2.8] and 2.2 [1.5-2.8] in group 3, for the 20I/501Y.V1 and 20H/501Y.V2 VOC
92 respectively (Figure 1C). Interestingly, the difference in median NAb titres was highly
93 significant between the two variants ($p < 0.001$) for vaccinated subjects (group 1) whereas it
94 was not significant for the two other groups corresponding to naturally infected patients
95 (Figure 1D).

96 As a whole, critical patients exhibited a strong neutralising response against all the
97 tested strains; despite a slight reduction in NAb titres for both variants by comparison to the
98 wild-type strain, no neutralisation escape could be feared against the two VOC due to the high
99 titres of NAb.

100 The 6-months neutralising response of HCWs with mild COVID-19 was slightly
101 reduced towards both variants by comparison to the wild type strain. By contrast to that
102 reported herein, a study performed with pseudotype viruses on the same category of patients
103 (6-months mild COVID-19 HCWs) found that the neutralising response was much lower
104 against the 20H/501Y.V2 variant than against the 20I/501Y.V1 one [6], which would suggest
105 an increased risk of neutralisation escape with the former strain.

Another striking finding of the present study is the reduced neutralising response observed towards the 20H/501Y.V2 variant in fully-immunised subjects with the BNT162b2 vaccine by comparison to the wild type and 20I/501Y.V1 variant. These results are in accordance with that observed for the same vaccine in numerous studies using pseudotype viruses [6-9] or authentic variant strains [10]. The neutralisation escape of the 20H/501Y.V2 variant in subjects having received either the BNT162b2 vaccine (mean fold reduction in NAb titre of 7.8) or the Oxford-AstraZeneca AZD1222 vaccine (mean fold reduction in NAb titres of 9) was shown to be mainly mediated by the synergistic effect of mutations K417N, E484K, and N501Y in the receptor binding domain [11].

[Figure 1 near here]

Although the present study was performed using a live VNT, it focused only on the humoral response and other experiments are needed to assess the overall immune process including T-cell immune response. Another limitation of the study regards the huge differences between the three tested populations in terms of time post-infection or immunisation, which restrains the interpretation of the differences in results between the three groups.

In conclusion, the relative good conservation of the neutralising activity of sera from the three populations tested in this study against the two variants 20I/501Y.V1 and 20H/501Y.V2 is encouraging towards a putative reinfection by these strains. Long-term monitoring of the NAb response together with that of the specific cellular response will be needed to confirm these favourable findings.

Data availability statement

GISAID accession numbers:

EPI_ISL_1707038

EPI_ISL_1707039

EPI_ISL_1707040

EPI_ISL_768828

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to all the personnel of the occupational health and medicine department of Hospices Civils de Lyon who contributed to the collection of samples, especially Virginie Pitiot, Fanny Joubert and PMO team. Human biological samples and associated data were obtained from NeuroBioTec (CRB HCL, Lyon France, Biobank BB-0033-00046). We thank Karima Brahami and all members of the clinical research and innovation department for their reactivity (DRS, Hospices Civils de Lyon). All members of Saint-Etienne Hospital who contributed to the collection of samples are acknowledged.

Disclosure statement

Antonin Bal received a grant from bioMerieux and served as consultant for bioMerieux for work and research not related to this manuscript. Sophie Trouillet-Assant received a research grant from bioMerieux concerning previous works not related to this manuscript. The other authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organisation or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript.

Funding

This research is being supported by Hospices Civils de Lyon and by Fondation des Hospices Civils de Lyon. The GIMAP team of the University Hospital of Saint-Etienne is supported by a donation from the “ASSE coeur-vert” association (grant ref. no. COVID-19).

1. Plante JA, Mitchell BM, Plante KS, Debbink K, Weaver SC, Menachery VD. The variant
gambit: COVID-19's next move. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2021;29:508-515.
2. Schmidt F, Weisblum Y, Muecksch F, et al. Measuring SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing
antibody activity using pseudotyped and chimeric viruses. *J Exp Med*.
2020;217:e20201181.
3. Legros V, Denolly S, Vogrig M, et al. A longitudinal study of SARS-CoV-2-infected
patients reveals a high correlation between neutralizing antibodies and COVID-19
severity. *Cell Mol Immunol*. 2021;18:318-327.
4. Bal A, Pozzetto B, Traubad MA, et al. Evaluation of high-throughput SARS-CoV-2
serological assays in a longitudinal cohort of patients with mild COVID-19: clinical
sensitivity, specificity and association with virus neutralization test. *Clin Chem*.
2021;hvaa336. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa336>.
5. Bal A, Traubad MA, Fassier JB, et al. Six-month antibody response to SARS-CoV-2 in
healthcare workers assessed by virus neutralization and commercial assays. *Clin
Microbiol Infect*. 2021:S1198-743X(21)00005-7.
6. Kuzmina A, Khalaila Y, Voloshin O, et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike variants exhibit
differential infectivity and neutralization resistance to convalescent or post-vaccination
sera. *Cell Host Microbe*. 2021;29:522-528.e2.
7. Garcia-Beltran WF, Lam EC, St Denis K, et al. Multiple SARS-CoV-2 variants escape
neutralization by vaccine-induced humoral immunity. *Cell*. 2021;S0092-8674(21)00298-
1.

- 175 8. Xie X, Liu Y, Liu J, et al. Neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 spike 69/70 deletion, E484K
176 and N501Y variants by BNT162b2 vaccine-elicited sera. Nat Med. 2021;27:620-621.
- 177 9. Abdool Karim SS, de Oliveira T. New SARS-CoV-2 variants - Clinical, public health,
178 and vaccine implications. N Engl J Med. 2021;NEJMc2100362.
- 179 10. Planas D, Bruel T, Grzelak L, et al. Sensitivity of infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 and
180 B.1.351 variants to neutralizing antibodies. Nat Med. 2021;doi:10.1038/s41591-021-
181 01318-5. Epub ahead of print.
- 182 11. Zhou D, Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P. et al. Evidence of escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant
183 B.1.351 from natural and vaccine-induced sera. Cell. 2021;S0092-8674(21)00226-9.
184 Epub ahead of print.

Figure 1. Neutralisation of living isolates of SARS-CoV-2 by convalescent sera from critical or mild patients with COVID-19 and by vaccine-elicited sera from subjects having received two doses of the BNT162b2 vaccine.

*: p-value <0.05; **: p-value <0.01; ***: p-value <0.001; ****: p-value <0.0001; ns: non-significant.

Each serum was tested in duplicate and the mean NAb was used for the analysis. Titres were transformed into $\log_2$ values for the calculation of mean NAb titres (a value of 0.5 was attributed by convention to negative samples). In panels A, B and C: dotted lines represent the detection threshold of 50% plaque reduction neutralisation test (PRNT₅₀) ≥ 20 for neutralising antibody (NAb) titres; full lines represent median NAb titres and dash-dotted lines represent the 25% and 75% quartiles.

(A) Violin plot presenting the neutralisation of 2 SARS-CoV-2 isolates belonging to the 19A and 20B clades by serum specimens obtained from subjects tested 6-months post mild COVID-19 (n=19) and critical patients (n=15); the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed rank test was used for comparisons.

(B) Violin plot presenting the neutralisation of a SARS-CoV-2 isolate belonging to the 19A clade by serum specimens obtained from vaccinated subjects (n=30), 6-months post mild COVID-19 subjects (n=29) and critical patients (n=25); the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test was used for comparisons.

(C) Violin plots presenting the neutralisation of 3 SARS-CoV-2 isolates belonging to the 19A, 20I/501Y.V1 and 20H/501Y.V2 clades by the same serum specimens than in (B); the Friedman test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test was used for comparisons.

(D) Capacity of neutralisation of the two tested VOC (20I/501Y.V1 and 20H/501Y.V2 clades) by the same serum specimens as that in (B) and (C) in comparison to a 19A isolate taken as reference; the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed rank test was used for comparisons.

A

B

C

D