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# Method

Based on the regression model, the probability that an index case ( for period () generate the number of secondary case () is given by

where and is the estimated dispersion parameter and reproduction number for period .

This model incorporates the covariates into the and as log-linear model like follows:

where and are intercepts, and are vectors of coefficients of period variable, and are vectors of period variable for and , respectively.

To understand the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 on different two epidemic period, two analyses were conducted: (1) analysis for only period variable, (2) analysis for period variable adjusted with the sex and age of infector. In analysis for only period variable, only period variable was incorporated in both and parts of negative binomial regression; In analysis for period variable adjusted with the sex and age of infector, sex and age of infector as well as period variable was incorporated in both and parts of negative binomial regression.

Given the estimated reproduction number and dispersion parameter for each period, the proportion of infected person responsible for 80% of secondary cases was calculated using equations from the previous studies [1-3]. The proportion is given by

where satisfies

Moreover, the risk of being infector and non-infector

Furthermore, with the threshold for SSE as 6 secondary cases defined in the previous study [1], the proportion of SSE was estimated for each period with the equations like below :

Finally, using the branching process, the expected probability that one index case of SARS-CoV-2 infection results in a cluster of size were estimated with the equations from previous studies [2, 3] given by

where the probability of cluster of size or greater could be estimated like below

Following the condition that seed cases were introduced into the totally susceptible populations, the estimated probability that at least one cluster of size or greater occurs is

Note that is the same with with the condition that one index case was introduced ().

We used a Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling. Four chains of 50,000 iterations were obtained with 20,000 burn-in. Prior distribution for the coefficients in each regression were set as normal distribution with mean of 0 and variance of 100,000. Convergence was checked by the trace plot visually and Gelman-Rubin-Brooks diagnostic [4]. The posterior distribution of the estimates is demonstrated with the median and the 95% credible intervals (95% CrI).
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