1 **Title:** Cross-sectional study of the association between perceived organizational support
2 and COVID-19 vaccine intention

3 **Running title:** Association between perceived organizational support and COVID-19
4 vaccine intention

5 **Authors:**

6 Yuichi Kobayashi^{1,2}, MD; Tomohisa Nagata¹, MD, PhD; Yoshihisa Fujino³, MD, MPH,
7 PhD; Ayako Hino⁴, MD, PhD; Seiichiro Tateishi⁵, MD, PhD; Akira Ogami⁶, MD, PhD;
8 Mayumi Tsuji⁷, MD, PhD; Shinya Matsuda⁸, M.D, PhD; Koji Mori¹, MD, PhD; for the
9 CORoNaWork project

10 **Affiliations:**

11 ¹ Department of Occupational Health Practice and Management, Institute of Industrial
12 Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

13 ² Global Headquarters, HOYA Corporation

14 ³ Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,
15 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

16 ⁴ Department of Mental Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of
17 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

18 ⁵ Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Medicine, University of
19 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

20 ⁶ Department of Work Systems and Health, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences,
21 University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan

22 ⁷ Department of Environmental Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational
23 and Environmental Health, Japan

24 ⁸ Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and

25 Environmental Health, Japan

26 **Correspondence:** T. Nagata, Department of Occupational Health Practice and
27 Management, Institute of Industrial Ecological Sciences, University of Occupational
28 and Environmental Health, Japan, 1-1 Iseigaoka, Yahatanishi-ku, Kitakyushu 807-8555,
29 Japan.

30 Email: tomohisa@med.uoeh-u.ac.jp; Tel: +81-93-603-1611; Fax: +81-93-603-2155

31 **Category: Original Article**

32 Number of words in the abstract: 197

33 Number of words in the text: 2,449

34 Number of tables and figures: two tables, no figure

35 **Authors' contribution:**

36 YK; analysis and writing the manuscript, TN; creating the questionnaire, analysis, and
37 drafting the manuscript, YF; overall survey planning, creating the questionnaire, and
38 review of manuscripts, AH, ST, AO, MT and SM; Review of manuscripts, advice on
39 interpretation, and funding for research, KM; drafting the manuscript, review of
40 manuscripts, and advice on interpretation,

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 **Abstract (197 words)**

50 **Objectives:** This study examined the association between perceived organizational
51 support (POS) and COVID-19 vaccine intention and the influence of the
52 implementation of workplace infection prevention measures.

53 **Methods:** We analyzed 23,846 workers using data from an Internet survey of workers
54 aged 20–65 years conducted in December 2020, during a period of widespread
55 COVID-19 infection in Japan.

56 **Results:** A higher POS was associated with a higher intention to vaccinate. The
57 relationship between POS and vaccine intention was attenuated when adjusted for
58 infection prevention measures in the workplace.

59 **Conclusions:** In workplaces where POS is present, a sense of responsibility to the
60 group and altruistic behavior may arise. This means employees act to acquire herd
61 immunity to protect others, which may result in increased vaccine intention. The
62 association between POS and vaccination intention was attenuated by adjusting for
63 workplace infection prevention measures, which suggested that infection prevention
64 measures may be a confounding factor or that POS created a health climate that
65 promoted infection prevention measures. The results suggest that working to improve
66 employee well-being and implementing appropriate workplace infection prevention
67 measures during infectious disease outbreaks may promote vaccination behavior and
68 contribute to the acquisition of herd immunity in the community.

69

70 **Keywords:** Perceived organizational support (POS), COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, vaccine
71 intention, workplace infection prevention measures, herd immunity

72

73 **Introduction**

74 On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the spread of coronavirus
 75 disease 2019 (COVID-19)¹⁾ was a pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory
 76 syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). As of April 1, 2021, 128,822,735 people
 77 worldwide had been infected with COVID-19 and 2,815,166 people had died²⁾.
 78 Although the number of infected people in Japan was lower than that in Europe and the
 79 United States, the Japanese government declared a state of emergency three times (as of
 80 April 25, 2021)³⁾. It is important to implement preventive actions against emerging
 81 infectious diseases, and actions such as keeping a physical distance, wearing masks, and
 82 hand disinfection were recommended to help combat COVID-19⁴⁾. In addition to these
 83 infection prevention measures, acquisition of herd immunity is important to end the
 84 pandemic⁵⁾. However, given the few infected people at that time, a survey conducted in
 85 December 2020 reported the antibody prevalence among Japanese people was 1.35% in
 86 Tokyo, which was the city with the highest prevalence⁶⁾.

87 The newly-developed COVID-19 vaccine is being administered in many
 88 countries to achieve herd immunity. It is also important to achieve herd immunity
 89 through vaccination in Japan to protect people from COVID-19 and restore economic
 90 activities. In Japan, vaccination of approximately 40,000 healthcare workers began on
 91 February 17, 2021, and vaccination of older people (aged ≥ 65 years) began in April
 92 2021⁷⁾. However, many people are hesitant to be vaccinated, and some even refuse
 93 vaccination⁸⁾. This vaccine hesitancy is considered a major barrier to the acquisition of
 94 herd immunity against SARS-CoV-2. Vaccines may cause adverse reactions, and there
 95 are ethical issues involved in making vaccination mandatory. Therefore, in most
 96 countries, vaccination for COVID-19 is voluntary and based on individual decision

97 making⁹⁾. The vaccination rate in Japan is lower than that in other countries, including
 98 for the seasonal influenza vaccine¹⁰⁾, influenza A (H1N1) that occurred in 2009¹¹⁾, and
 99 the human papilloma virus vaccine (which appeared to be associated with side effects
 100 although the causal relationship was unclear)¹²⁾. Addressing vaccine hesitancy in Japan
 101 is therefore important to achieve herd immunity to COVID-19.

102 Organizational factors such as leadership in health promotion and the health
 103 climate have a significant impact on employees' health behaviors¹³⁾. With regard to
 104 vaccination, in workplaces with a good health climate that supports employees' health
 105 promotion and when infection prevention measures are actively implemented, the
 106 number of people in that workplace who are vaccinated may increase. Previous
 107 literature on organizational factors in the workplace and vaccination behavior reported
 108 that the presence of organizational governance influenced vaccination rates in
 109 healthcare organizations¹⁴⁾.

110 An indicator related to such a health climate is perceived organizational
 111 support (POS). POS is based on social exchange theory and refers to an employee's own
 112 perception of whether their organization is supportive of improving the well-being of its
 113 employees. A previous study found that employees with a high POS were more likely to
 114 participate in wellness programs compared with those with a low POS¹⁵⁾. Therefore,
 115 employees working in organizations with high POS may be more willing to be
 116 vaccinated to help prevent infection in the workplace. Furthermore, during the
 117 COVID-19 pandemic, employers are expected to be proactive in adopting infection
 118 prevention measures in collaboration with their employees. This suggests that proactive
 119 infection prevention measures that seek to protect the health of employees may increase
 120 POS. However, no previous studies have examined the relationship between POS in the

121 workplace during a
122 pandemic outbreak or the status of infection control efforts in the workplace and
123 vaccine intentions.

124 We therefore formulated two hypotheses: 1) “Employees with a high POS have
125 a high intention to be vaccinated with the COVID-19 vaccine” and 2) “The association
126 between POS and vaccine intention is influenced by the implementation status of
127 infection prevention measures in the workplace.” We examined these hypotheses using
128 data from an Internet survey conducted in Japan when COVID-19 vaccination was in
129 the planning stage.

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146 **Materials and Methods**

147 A research group from the University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan,
148 conducted a prospective cohort study, known as the Collaborative Online Research on
149 Novel-coronavirus and Work study (CORoNaWork study). The self-administered
150 questionnaire survey was completed by a panel registered with the Internet survey
151 company Cross Marketing Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). During the baseline survey (conducted
152 December 22–25, 2020), Japan was in the third wave of the pandemic in which the
153 numbers of COVID-19 infections and deaths were markedly higher than in the first and
154 second waves; therefore, the country was on high alert.

155 We used baseline survey data from the CORoNaWork study to conduct the
156 present cross-sectional study. The CORoNaWork study protocol, including the sampling
157 plan and participant recruitment procedure, has previously been reported in detail¹⁶.
158 Participants were aged 20–65 years and working at the time of the baseline survey (N =
159 33,087), and stratified using cluster sampling by gender, age, region, and occupation.
160 After excluding 6,051 participants who provided invalid responses, we included data for
161 27,036 participants from the database. The exclusion criteria for the present study were:
162 individuals who had been infected with the COVID-19 or who had been a close contact
163 of a person who was diagnosed with COVID-19; self-employed workers; workers in
164 small/home offices; and agriculture, forestry, and fishery workers. We finally analyzed
165 data for 23,846 workers.

166 The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of
167 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference No. R2-079). Informed
168 consent was obtained through the CORoNaWork study survey website at the time data

169 were collected.

170 Assessment of POS

171 POS was evaluated with the following question. “Your company supports employees in
172 finding a balance between active, productive working and healthy living,” based on a
173 previous study¹⁷⁾. Participants answered on a four-point scale: Strongly
174 agree/Agree/Disagree/Strongly disagree. Responses were categorized as very high, high,
175 low, and very low.

176

177 Assessment of COVID-19 vaccine intention and workplace infection control measures

178 To assess vaccine intention, participants were asked: “If a COVID-19 vaccine becomes
179 available, would you like to get it right away?” (yes or no). Workplace infection control
180 measures were evaluated with items covering nine specific measures:
181 prohibition/restriction of business trips; prohibition/restriction of visitors; prohibition of
182 holding or limiting the number of people participating in social gatherings and
183 banquets; restriction on face-to-face meetings; always wear masks during working
184 hours; installation of partitions and change of workplace layout; recommendation for
185 daily temperature check; recommendation for telecommuting; and request not to come
186 to work when sick. These nine items were selected by the researchers based on
187 discussion about infection control measures against COVID-19 in the workplace
188 described in the guidelines of the Japanese government¹⁸⁾ and professional
189 organizations¹⁹⁾.

190

191 Assessment of covariates

192 Covariates included demographic and socioeconomic factors, occupation, and number

193 of employees in the workplace. Age was expressed as a continuous variable. Yearly
 194 household income was classified into four categories: <2.50 million Japanese yen
 195 (JPY); 2.50–3.74 million JPY; 3.75–4.99 million JPY; and ≥5.00 million JPY.
 196 Education was classified into four categories: junior high school or high school,
 197 vocational school, junior college or technical school, university, and graduate school.
 198 Marital status was classified into three categories: married; divorced or bereaved; and
 199 unmarried. In the baseline survey, participants chose one of 10 options for their
 200 occupation: general employee; manager; executive manager; public employee, faculty
 201 member or non-profit organization employee; temporary/contract employee;
 202 self-employed; small office/home office; agriculture, forestry, or fishing; professional
 203 occupations (e.g., lawyer, tax accountant, medical-related); and other occupations. As
 204 noted above, three of these categories were excluded from this study, meaning the
 205 occupations were ultimately classified into seven categories. The number of employees
 206 in the workplace was classified into four categories: 1–9, 10–99, 100–999, and ≥1000.
 207 In addition, the cumulative incidence rate of COVID-19 infection 1 month before the
 208 survey was conducted in the prefectures of residence was used as a community-level
 209 variable. This information was collected from the websites of public institutions.

210

211 Statistical analyses

212 The odds ratios (OR) for the association between intention to have the COVID-19
 213 vaccination and POS were estimated using a multilevel logistic model nested in the
 214 prefectures of residence to account for area variety. The multivariate model was
 215 adjusted for sex and age (Model 1), and then additionally adjusted for equivalent
 216 income (categories), educational background (categories), marital status, occupation,

217 and number of employees in the workplace (categories) (Model 2). Finally, the model
 218 was additionally adjusted for number of workplace infection control measures (Model
 219 3). All analyses used the incidence rate of COVID-19 by prefecture as a prefecture-level
 220 variable. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses
 221 were conducted using Stata (Stata Statistical Software: Release 16; StataCorp LLC, TX,
 222 USA).

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244 **Results**

245 Table 1 shows participants' characteristics by POS category. Of the 23,846 participants,
246 1,958 (8%) reported very high POS. In very high POS category 836 (43%) workers
247 wanted the COVID-19 vaccination, and in very low POS category 1,382 (36%) workers
248 wanted vaccination.

249 Table 2 shows the association between POS (continuous and categories) and
250 COVID-19 vaccine intention. In the analysis with POS as a continuous variable, POS
251 was associated with COVID-19 vaccine intention (Model 2: OR=1.11, 95% confidence
252 interval [CI]: 1.08–1.15, $p<0.001$). The OR decreased after additional adjustment for
253 number of workplace infection control measures (Model 3: OR=1.06, 95% CI:
254 1.02–1.09, $p<0.001$). In the analysis with POS as a categorical variable, very high POS
255 was associated with COVID-19 vaccine intention (reference: very low POS) (Model 2:
256 OR=1.34, 95% CI: 1.20–1.51, $p<0.001$). The OR decreased after additional adjustment
257 for number of workplace infection control measures (Model 3: OR=1.17, 95% CI:
258 1.04–1.31, $p<0.001$). In contrast, high POS was associated with COVID-19 vaccine
259 intention (Model 2: OR=1.17, 95% CI: 1.09–1.27, $p<0.001$), but was not associated
260 with vaccine intention after additional adjustment for number of workplace infection
261 control measures (Model 3: OR=1.05, 95% CI: 0.97–1.13, $p=0.276$).

262

263

264

265

266

267

268 **Discussion**

269 This study showed that higher the POS in the population, the higher the vaccine
 270 intention. The relationship between POS and vaccine intention was attenuated when
 271 adjusted for workplace infection prevention measures. POS is based on the social
 272 exchange theory, which suggests that in workplaces with POS, there may be a sense of
 273 responsibility toward the group and altruistic behavior toward organizational members,
 274 meaning vaccine intentions for infection prevention may have increased^{20,21}. Vaccine
 275 intention also includes the social benefits of vaccination. The 5C (confidence,
 276 complacency, constraints, calculation, and collective responsibility) scale has previously
 277 been used as an indicator to evaluate the complex factors related to vaccine intention. In
 278 the 5C scale, collective responsibility is positively correlated with both collectivist
 279 tendencies and community orientation and is considered important because it
 280 corresponds to the protection of others through herd immunity through one's own
 281 vaccination behavior²².

282 There are two possible reasons why the association between POS and vaccine
 283 intention was attenuated by adjusting for workplace infection prevention measures. First,
 284 if workplace infection prevention measures are a confounding factor, proactive infection
 285 prevention measures during the COVID-19 pandemic are expected to increase
 286 employees' POS. Although POS does not increase with measures based on laws and
 287 regulations or mandatory measures, it is highly valued by organizational members when
 288 measures are based on discretionary choices of the organization²³. In addition,

289 proactive infection prevention measures may have increased employee health literacy
290 and weakened their concern about vaccines. Conversely, even after adjusting for
291 infection prevention measures, POS was associated with vaccination intention,
292 suggesting that POS itself may have a direct effect on vaccine intention. Second, POS
293 during the COVID-19 pandemic may have facilitated the implementation of workplace
294 infection prevention measures. The presence of leadership support in workplace health
295 promotion has been reported to increase POS¹⁵⁾, and high POS in the workplace may
296 increase employee participation in health behaviors²⁴⁾. The same is likely to be true for
297 workplace infection prevention measures that are implemented with a collaborative
298 understanding between employees and the company. As a result, implementation of
299 various workplace infection prevention measures may have increased understanding of
300 vaccines and fostered a “norm of preventing infection” in the workplace, which may
301 have been associated with vaccination intention.

302 Vaccine intention is based on the relative perception of the efficacy of
303 vaccination and the risk for adverse reactions. As of December 2020, when the survey
304 for this study was conducted, international information regarding vaccine trials and
305 vaccination was still in being received, and it is unlikely that the public had sufficient
306 accurate information on the COVID-19 vaccine. Therefore, it is possible that correct
307 knowledge about the seriousness of infectious diseases gained in the process of
308 implementing workplace infection prevention measures may have raised awareness
309 regarding the need for vaccination. It has also been noted that vaccination behavior is
310 susceptible to social norms, and this may have influenced the intention to vaccinate²⁵⁻²⁸⁾.

311 POS is rooted in the mutual relationship between the organization and its employees.
312 The present results suggested that a good mutual relationship between the two on a

regular basis may lead to an appropriate response to protect the health and life of the organization and its employees in the event of a crisis such as the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition, high POS has been associated with employees' work-related indicators, such as high organizational commitment, job performance, and lower turnover attitudes²³). Conversely, it has been suggested that an organization taking proactive actions to ensure the health and life of its employees during a crisis may have a positive impact on subsequent employee performance.

This study had several limitations that warrant mention. First, the generalizability of the results is uncertain because this study was conducted through an Internet panel. However, we attempted to reduce bias in the target population as much as possible by sampling according to region, job type, and prefecture based on the infection incidence rate. Second, the timing of the survey might have affected the responses of the target population. Since the survey was conducted when Japan was experiencing a full-scale spread of infection, vaccine intention and the status of implementation of workplace infection prevention measures may have been affected. In addition, the vaccination plan in Japan was undecided and it was unclear when the vaccination would be available, which may have influenced vaccine intention responses. Third, POS was evaluated with a simple question ("Your company supports employees in finding a balance between active, productive working and healthy living" (Strongly agree/Agree/Disagree/Strongly disagree), and the measurement validity of the original concept of POS was untested.

This study suggests that high POS during the COVID-19 pandemic increases employees' vaccination intention. In addition, the relationship between POS and vaccination intention is strongly influenced by implementation of workplace infection prevention measures. Therefore, promoting the improvement of employees' well-being

337 and implementing appropriate workplace infection prevention measures in the event of
338 an emerging infectious disease outbreak may influence the vaccination behavior of
339 employees. In turn, this may contribute to the acquisition of herd immunity in the
340 community.

341

342

343 **Acknowledgements**

344 This study was supported and partly funded by the University of Occupational and
345 Environmental Health, Japan; General Incorporated Foundation (Anshin Zaidan); The
346 Development of Educational Materials on Mental Health Measures for Managers at
347 Small-sized Enterprises; Health, Labour and Welfare Sciences Research Grants;
348 Comprehensive Research for Women's Healthcare (H30-josei-ippan-002); Research for
349 the Establishment of an Occupational Health System in Times of Disaster
350 (H30-roudou-ippan-007); and scholarship donations from Chugai Pharmaceutical Co.,
351 Ltd., the Collabo-Health Study Group, and Hitachi Systems, Ltd.

352 Current members of the CORoNaWork Project (in alphabetical order) are: Dr.
353 Yoshihisa Fujino (present chairperson of the study group), Dr. Akira Ogami, Dr. Arisa
354 Harada, Dr. Ayako Hino, Dr. Hajime Ando, Dr. Hisashi Eguchi, Dr. Kazunori Ikegami,
355 Dr. Kei Tokutsu, Dr. Keiji Muramatsu, Dr. Koji Mori, Dr. Kosuke Mafune, Dr. Kyoko
356 Kitagawa, Dr. Masako Nagata, Dr. Mayumi Tsuji, Ms. Ning Liu, Dr. Rie Tanaka, Dr.
357 Ryutaro Matsugaki, Dr. Seiichiro Tateishi, Dr. Shinya Matsuda, Dr. Tomohiro Ishimaru,
358 and Dr. Tomohisa Nagata. All members are affiliated with the University of
359 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan.

360

361 Ethical approval: This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of
 362 Occupational and Environmental Health, Japan (reference No. R2-079 and R3-006).
 363 Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained in the form of the website.
 364 Registry and the Registration No. of the study/Trial: N/A
 365 Animal Studies: N/A
 366 Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this
 367 manuscript.

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388 **References**

3891) World Health Organization. WHO coronavirus disease (COVID-19) dashboard.

390 Published 2019. <https://covid19.who.int/>. Accessed April 1, 2021.

3912) Johns Hopkins University and Medicine Coronavirus Resource Center. COVID-19

392 dashboard by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns

393 Hopkins University (JHU). Published 2019. <https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/map.html>.

394 Accessed April 1, 2021.

3953) Prime Minister's official website. Prime Minister Suga's press conference on the

396 new coronavirus infection. Published 2021.

397 http://www.kantei.go.jp/jp/99_suga/statement/2021/0423kaiken.html (in Japanese).

398 Accessed April 25, 2021.

3994) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. To all the people of Japan (new coronavirus

400 infection). Published 2020.

401 https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000121431_00094.html (in

402 Japanese). Accessed April 1, 2021.

4035) Fine P, Eames K, Heymann DL. "Herd immunity": a rough guide. *Clin Infect Dis*

404 2011;52:911-916.

4056) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Results of the second antibody possession

406 survey. Published 2021. <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/000761671.pdf> (in

407 Japanese). Accessed April 1, 2021.

4087) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Notice on vaccination. Published 2021.

- 409 https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/0000121431_00218.html (in
410 Japanese). Accessed April 1, 2021.
- 411 8) Dubé E, Laberge C, Guay M, Bramadat P, Roy R, Bettinger J. Vaccine hesitancy: an
412 overview. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2013;9:1763-1773.
- 413 9) Krantz I, Sachs L, Nilstun T. Ethics and vaccination. *Scand J Public Health*
414 2004;32:172-178.
- 415 10) Wada K, Smith DR. Influenza vaccination uptake among the working age
416 population of Japan: results from a national cross-sectional survey. *PLoS One*
417 2013;8(3):e59272.
- 418 11) Yi S, Nonaka D, Nomoto M, Kobayashi J, Mizoue T. Predictors of the uptake of A
419 (H1N1) influenza vaccine: findings from a population-based longitudinal study in
420 Tokyo. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e18893.
- 421 12) Sawada M, Ueda Y, Yagi A, et al. HPV vaccination in Japan: results of a 3-year
422 follow-up survey of obstetricians and gynecologists regarding their opinions toward
423 the vaccine. *Int J Clin Oncol* 2018;23:121-125.
- 424 13) Flynn JP, Gascon G, Doyle S, et al. Supporting a culture of health in the
425 workplace: A review of evidence-based elements. *Am J Health Promot*
426 2018;32:1755-1788.
- 427 14) Isaacson N, Roemheld-Hamm B, Crosson JC, Diccio-Bloom B, Winston CA.
428 Organizational culture influences health care workers' influenza immunization
429 behavior. *Fam Med* 2009;41:202-207.
- 430 15) Grossmeier J, Fabius R, Flynn JP, et al. Linking workplace health promotion best
431 practices and organizational financial performance: Tracking market performance of
432 companies with highest scores on the HERO Scorecard. *J Occup Environ Med*

433 2016;58(1):16-23.

434 16) Fujino Y, Ishimaru T, Eguchi H, et al. Protocol for a nationwide Internet-based
435 health survey in workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. *J UOEH* 2021
436 (accepted)

437 17) Grossmeier J, Castle PH, Pitts JS, et al. Workplace well-being factors that predict
438 employee participation, health and medical cost impact, and perceived support. *Am J*
439 *Health Promot* 2020;34(4):349-358.

440 18) Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. Checklist for preventing the spread of
441 COVID-19 infections in the workplace. Published 2020.
442 <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/000657665.pdf>19. Accessed April 1, 2021.

443 19) Okoshi H, Suzuki H, Nakano A, Hamada A, Miyamoto T, Yamasawa F. A guide to
444 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) infection control for businesses. *J Occup Health*
445 2021 (Accepted: Author Manuscript e12225).

446 20) Head KJ, Kasting ML, Sturm LA, Sartsock JA, Zimet GD. A national survey
447 assessing SARS-CoV-2 vaccination intentions: Implications for future public health
448 communication efforts. *Science Communication* 2020;42(5):698-723.

449 21) Sherman SM, Smith LE, Sim J, et al. COVID-19 vaccination intention in the UK:
450 results from the COVID-19 vaccination acceptability study (CoVAccS), a nationally
451 representative cross-sectional survey. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2020;1-10.

452 22) Betsch C, Schmid P, Heinemeier D, Korn L, Holtmann C, Böhm R. Beyond
453 confidence: Development of a measure assessing the 5C psychological antecedents
454 of vaccination. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0208601.

455 23) Rhoades L, Eisenberger R. Perceived organizational support: a review of the
456 literature. *J Appl Psychol* 2002;87(4):698-714.

- 457 24) Terry PE, Eisenberger R, Shanock L. The art of health promotion. An interview on
458 perceived organizational support and employee well-being with Drs. Robert
459 Eisenberger and Linda Shanock. *Am J Health Promot* 2014;29(1):TAHP2-TAHP7.
- 460 25) Sadaf A, Richards JL, Glanz J, Salmon DA, Omer SB. A systematic review of
461 interventions for reducing parental vaccine refusal and vaccine hesitancy. *Vaccine*
462 2013;31:4293-4304
- 463 26) Schmid P, Rauber D, Betsch C, Lidolt G, Denker ML. Barriers of Influenza
464 Vaccination Intention and Behavior - A Systematic Review of Influenza Vaccine
465 Hesitancy, 2005 - 2016. *PLoS One* 2017;12(1):e0170550.
- 466 27) Kang GJ, Culp RK, Abbas KM. Facilitators and barriers of parental attitudes and
467 beliefs toward school-located influenza vaccination in the United States: Systematic
468 review. *Vaccine* 2017;35(16):1987-1995.
- 469 28) Liao Q, Cowling BJ, Lam WW, Fielding R. Factors affecting intention to receive
470 and self-reported receipt of 2009 pandemic (H1N1) vaccine in Hong Kong: a
471 longitudinal study. *PLoS One* 2011;6(3):e17713.
- 472

473 Table 1. Participants' characteristics by category of perceived organizational support

	Perceived organizational support			
	Very high	High	Low	Very low
Number of participants	1958	11683	6331	3874
Age, mean (SD)	45.8 (11.2)	46.8 (10.8)	46.2 (10.4)	46.7 (9.7)
Sex, men	878 (44.8%)	5880 (50.3%)	3136 (49.5%)	1951 (50.4%)
Equivalent income (million JPY)				
<2.50	316 (16.1%)	1965 (16.8%)	1265 (20.0%)	1027 (26.5%)
2.50–3.74	463 (23.6%)	3171 (27.1%)	1950 (30.8%)	1163 (30.0%)
3.75–4.99	493 (25.2%)	3004 (25.7%)	1608 (25.4%)	878 (22.7%)
≥5.00	686 (35.0%)	3543 (30.3%)	1508 (23.8%)	806 (20.8%)
Educational background				
Junior high or high school	460 (23.5%)	2913 (24.9%)	1762 (27.8%)	1257 (32.4%)
Vocational school, junior college or technical school	446 (22.8%)	2648 (22.7%)	1547 (24.4%)	1009 (26.0%)
University	946 (48.3%)	5383 (46.1%)	2725 (43.0%)	1427 (36.8%)
Graduate School	106 (5.4%)	739 (6.3%)	297 (4.7%)	181 (4.7%)
Marital status				
Married	1245 (63.6%)	6874 (58.8%)	3354 (53.0%)	1858 (48.0%)
Bereaved/divorced	185 (9.4%)	1099 (9.4%)	648 (10.2%)	525 (13.6%)
Unmarried	528 (27.0%)	3710 (31.8%)	2329 (36.8%)	1491 (38.5%)
Occupation				
General employee	893 (45.6%)	5673 (48.6%)	3559 (56.2%)	2249 (58.1%)
Manager	207 (10.6%)	1382 (11.8%)	585 (9.2%)	320 (8.3%)
Executive manager	148 (7.6%)	527 (4.5%)	119 (1.9%)	56 (1.4%)
Public employee, faculty member, or non-profit organization employee	225 (11.5%)	1532 (13.1%)	679 (10.7%)	334 (8.6%)
Temporary/contract employee	193 (9.9%)	1421 (12.2%)	793 (12.5%)	464 (12.0%)
Professional occupation (lawyer, tax accountant, medical-related, etc.)	196 (10.0%)	863 (7.4%)	466 (7.4%)	279 (7.2%)
Other occupation	96 (4.9%)	285 (2.4%)	130 (2.1%)	172 (4.4%)
Number of employees in the workplace				
1–9	362 (18.5%)	1577 (13.5%)	782 (12.4%)	688 (17.8%)
10–100	449 (22.9%)	3015 (25.8%)	1964 (31.0%)	1336 (34.5%)
100–999	491 (25.1%)	3443 (29.5%)	2015 (31.8%)	1076 (27.8%)
≥1000	656 (33.5%)	3648 (31.2%)	1570 (24.8%)	774 (20.0%)
Number of workplace infection control measures				
None	96 (4.9%)	590 (5.1%)	513 (8.1%)	617 (15.9%)
1–2	122 (6.2%)	844 (7.2%)	732 (11.6%)	719 (18.6%)
3–4	208 (10.6%)	1567 (13.4%)	1105 (17.5%)	725 (18.7%)
5–7	613 (31.3%)	4193 (35.9%)	2314 (36.6%)	1164 (30.0%)
8–9	919 (46.9%)	4489 (38.4%)	1667 (26.3%)	649 (16.8%)
Number of employees who wanted the COVID-19 vaccination				
	836 (42.7%)	4677 (40.0%)	2263 (35.7%)	1382 (35.7%)

474 SD, standard deviation.

475

Table 2. Odds ratios for perceived organizational support (continuous and each category) and COVID-19 vaccine intention in a multiple logistic regression model

	Model 1				Model 2				Model 3			
	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value		Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value		Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value	
Perceived organizational support (continuous)	1.12	1.09	1.16	<0.001	1.11	1.08	1.15	<0.001	1.06	1.02	1.09	0.001
Perceived organizational support												
Very high	1.39	1.24	1.56	<0.001	1.34	1.20	1.51	<0.001	1.17	1.04	1.31	0.010
High	1.21	1.12	1.30	<0.001	1.17	1.09	1.27	<0.001	1.05	0.97	1.13	0.276
Low	1.01	0.93	1.10	0.742	0.99	0.91	1.07	0.744	0.93	0.85	1.01	0.078
Very low	reference				reference				reference			

Model 1: adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2: Model 1 + additionally adjusted for equivalent income (categories), educational background (categories), marital status, occupation, and number of employees in the workplace (categories).

Model 3: Model 2 + additionally adjusted for number of workplace infection control measures.

CI, confidence interval.