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Abstract

**Background:** The leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) gene harbors both rare highly damaging missense variants (e.g. p.G2019S) and common non-coding variants (e.g. rs76904798) with lower effect sizes that are associated with Parkinson’s disease risk.

**Objectives:** This study aimed to investigate in a large meta-analysis whether the LRRK2 GWAS signal represented by rs76904798 is independently associated with Parkinson’s disease risk from LRRK2 coding variation, and whether complex linkage disequilibrium structures with p.G2019S and the 5’ non-coding haplotype account for the association of LRRK2 coding variants.

**Methods:** We performed a meta-analysis using imputed genotypes from 17,838 cases, 13,404 proxy-cases and 173,639 healthy controls of European ancestry. We excluded carriers of p.G2019S and/or rs76904798 to clarify the role of LRRK2 coding variation in mediating disease risk, and excluded carriers of relatively rare LRRK2 coding variants to assess the independence of rs76904798. We also investigated the co-inheritance of LRRK2 coding variants with p.G2019S, rs76904798 and p.N2081D.

**Results:** LRRK2 rs76904798 remained significantly associated with Parkinson’s disease after excluding carriers of relatively rare LRRK2 coding variants. LRRK2 p.R1514Q and p.N2081D were frequently co-inherited with rs76904798 and the allele distribution of p.S1647T significantly changed among cases after removing rs76904798 carriers.

Introduction

The leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) gene has been a focus of Parkinson’s disease (PD) research since the discovery that pathogenic mutations in the gene are linked to autosomal-dominant PD 1,2. The most common pathogenic mutation, p.G2019S, has a relatively high frequency in Ashkenazi Jews and North African Arabs and is also found in ~1% of Europeans with PD 3. Although the underlying mechanism of toxicity is not fully elucidated, the p.G2019S mutation has been shown to increase LRRK2 kinase activity, which is thought to cause a toxic gain of function 4. Hyperactive mutations in LRRK2 have been shown to impact lysosomal and endocytic regulation by increasing LRRK2 recruitment to lysosomes 5. Some neuropathological changes and clinical features caused by LRRK2-PD are similar to those of idiopathic PD, suggesting that there could be common mechanisms of pathogenesis and potentially common therapies 6.

Several studies have nominated LRRK2 missense variants as either causal for or associated with an increased risk of PD in individuals of Asian (e.g. p.A419V, p.R1628P and p.G2385R), Arab-Berber (e.g. p.Y2189C), and European ancestry (e.g. p.M1646T, p.N2081D and p.R1441C/G/H) 7–14. A common protective haplotype (p.N551K-p.R1398H-p.K1423K) has also been observed in individuals of both Asian and European ancestry 7. In addition, common non-coding variation (rs76904798, OR: 1.15, 95% CI: 1.13-1.18, P=1.52e-28) upstream of LRRK2 is associated with increased PD risk, an effect that is independent of the p.G2019S mutation 15. Although the exact causal variant at the 5' GWAS signal of LRRK2 is unknown 16,17, it has been shown in a relatively small cohort of 1381 PD cases and 1328 controls that the 5' signal had a low degree of correlation with known coding susceptibility variants, including p.M1646T and protective haplotype p.N551K-R1398H-K1423K, indicating the independence of this GWAS signal 18. The independence of this signal from LRRK2 coding variation suggests that changes in the expression or splicing of LRRK2 could mediate PD risk. There is evidence that the GWAS-nominated non-coding variation tagged by rs76904798 could affect LRRK2 expression. The allele rs76904798-T has been associated with increased LRRK2 expression in monocytes 19, monocyte-derived microglia-like cells 20 and in both human brain microglia and IPSC-derived models 21. The same allele has also been associated with faster development of motor symptoms 22. To further investigate the pattern of association at the LRRK2 locus and determine in a significantly larger dataset whether rs76904798 is independently associated with PD from LRRK2 coding variation, we performed a conditional association analysis using individual-level genotype data from 17,838 PD cases, 13,404 proxy-cases (i.e. individuals with a first degree relative who has PD but do not have PD themself) and 173,639 healthy controls of European ancestry.

Methods

Genotyping data

International Parkinson Disease Genomics Consortium genotyping data
Genotype data were obtained as previously described\(^\text{15}\). Only datasets with participants that had high-quality imputation scores (R\(^2\) > 0.8) for p.G2019S and the 5' non-coding variant rs76904798 were included. Quality control parameters can be found in the Supplemental Methods. In total, 13 datasets were included from IPDGC with individual level genotypes from 16,309 PD patients and 17,705 healthy controls with European ancestry (Supplementary Table 1). Following quality filtering, datasets were imputed using the Haplotype Reference Consortium imputation panel r1.1 2016 through the Michigan imputation server with default settings with phasing using the EAGLE v2.3 option\(^\text{23}\). Genotypes were filtered for imputation quality R\(^2\) > 0.8, with the exception of rs10847864 (HIP1R GWAS signal) which was included as an independent signal on chromosome 12 (located ~82mb upstream of \textit{LRRK2}) despite slightly lower imputation quality in the Myers-Faroud (MF)\(^\text{24}\) and Spanish Parkinson's (IPDGC) cohorts (SPAIN3 and SPAIN4) (Supplementary Table 2). Principal components (PCs) were calculated as described in the Supplemental Methods.

UK Biobank data
The UK Biobank is a large study of approximately 500,000 individuals from the United Kingdom with a variety of phenotypic information, including information on PD status such as ICD-10 designation and self-report\(^\text{25}\). PD cases were identified using field code 42033 and proxy cases were included based on their paternal PD status (data field 20107) and maternal PD status (data field 20110). We have previously shown that these proxy cases share genetic risk with PD cases\(^\text{15}\). Genotype data was obtained as previously described\(^\text{15}\) and was split into case-control and proxy-control datasets for separate GWAS. Quality control parameters can be found in the Supplemental Methods. After quality control, the case-control dataset consisted of individual-level genotypes from 1529 cases and 15,279 healthy controls, with the controls selected randomly from the pool of non-affected, non-proxy individuals in the biobank. The proxy-control dataset consisted of 13,404 proxy-cases and 140,655 healthy controls (Supplementary Table 1). Principal components (PCs) were calculated as described in the Supplemental Methods.

Association analyses
Summary statistics were generated for each study using a logistic regression model on imputed genotypes from chromosome 12, followed by Firth regression when logistic regression failed to converge. IPDGC data were adjusted for biological sex, age and the first 5 PCs representing population substructure. Age at onset was used for PD cases and age at study for healthy controls, except in the Vance (dbGap phs000394) and Myers-Faroud\(^\text{24}\) cohorts due to missing data. The UK Biobank data were adjusted for biological sex, age at recruitment, Townsend and the first five PCs. Genome-wide association study by proxy (GWAX) was carried out as previously described on UK Biobank proxy-case data\(^\text{15}\) since these individuals are at-risk but not true cases. A fixed-effects model was fitted using METAL v2018-08-28 under default settings\(^\text{26}\) to combine summary statistics across the 13 cohorts from IPDGC and the UK Biobank case-control and proxy-control datasets. After post GWAS filtering of multi-allelic variants, regional plots of the meta-analysis results were made around the \textit{LRRK2} gene using LocusZoom v1.3\(^\text{27}\). Forest plots were generated using the metafor package in R. A summary of the association results for the \textit{LRRK2} variants examined in this study are presented in Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3. We included an independent association signal on chromosome 12...
at the HIP1R locus (rs10847864) identified in a previous PD meta-analysis. Statistical power was calculated as described in the Supplemental Methods.

**Co-inheritance analysis**

We used two methods to compare the allelic distributions of the SNPs described in Table 1 before and after removing carriers of p.G2019S, rs76904798, p.N2081D, or a combination of these variants. First, we aggregated all samples used in the meta-analysis and calculated the percentage of carriers of a particular SNP that were excluded in the conditioned datasets (Supplementary Table 4). This was used as a disease-independent measure of the co-inheritance of the two variants. Second, we performed Fisher’s exact test in R with Bonferroni correction to assess whether there were significant differences in the allelic distributions of each SNP between the unconditioned and conditioned datasets. For this comparison, only cases and proxy-cases were included due to the large imbalance between case and control numbers in the UK Biobank. This analysis was performed separately for the IPDGC case, UK Biobank case and proxy-case datasets (Supplementary Table 5).

**Code availability**

All of the code used in this study can be found at [https://github.com/neurogenetics/LRRK2_conditional_v3](https://github.com/neurogenetics/LRRK2_conditional_v3).

**Results**

**Included Data Overview**

We included a total of 15 datasets, including 13 case-control cohorts (n_case=16,309, n_control=17,705), case-control data from the UK Biobank (n_case=1,529, n_control=15,279) and proxy-control data from the UK Biobank (n_proxy-case=13,404, n_control=140,655). Together, these sample sets comprised a total of 17,838 PD cases, 13,404 proxy-cases and 173,639 healthy controls of European ancestry. The mean age of onset for PD in the IPDGC cohorts, age at recruitment for the UK Biobank, and percentage female participants for each dataset are reported in Supplementary Table 1, where this information was available.

**LRRK2 rs76904798 is independently associated with Parkinson’s disease risk from LRRK2 coding variation**

In the examination of the IPDGC, UK Biobank case-control and proxy-control datasets, we identified 10 nonsynonymous LRRK2 coding variants with a minor allele frequency > 0.001 in all three datasets, as presented in Table 1. We verified the presence of these variants in the IPDGC datasets using whole-genome sequencing data and in the UK Biobank datasets using whole-exome sequencing data. The concordance rates were very high (avg = 99.24%), showing that the imputation of these variants was highly accurate. The imputation quality and concordance values are presented in Supplementary Tables 2 and 6, respectively.

Four of these variants (p.N551K, p.R1398H, p.M1646T and p.N2081D) have been previously associated with either decreased or increased PD risk in Europeans, and the haplotype p.S1647T-p.M2397T has been suggested to confer a protective effect in Taiwanese individuals.
Although none of the four previously associated variants reached genome-wide significance in our meta-analysis (Table 2, Supplementary Figures 1-4), the direction of effects were consistent with previous studies. We did not find any evidence of an association with PD for either p.S1647T or p.M2397T in this study (Supplementary Figures 5 and 6).

To confirm the effect of previously identified PD GWAS loci in LRRK2, we first verified the associations of the known pathogenic variant p.G2019S (OR: 9.02, 95% CI: 6.14-13.25, P=3.66E-29) and the 5’ non-coding variant rs76904798 (OR: 1.12, 95% CI: 1.08-1.16, P=4.01E-09) in a meta-analysis of all fifteen datasets (Table 2, Supplementary Figure 7). We then confirmed the independent association of rs76904798 with PD risk from p.G2019S and p.N2081D (Δp.G2019S Δp.N2081D, OR: 1.11, 95% CI: 1.07-1.16, P=1.40e−07) (Table 2, Supplementary Figure 8), the PD-linked LRRK2 coding variants (Δp.G2019S Δp.N551K Δp.R1398H Δp.M1646T Δp.N2081D, OR: 1.11, 95% CI: 1.06-1.16, P=2.144e−06), and all of the relatively rare LRRK2 coding variants examined in this study (Δp.G2019S Δp.N551K Δp.R1398H Δp.M1646T Δp.N2081D Δp.L119P Δp.I723V Δp.R1514Q Δp.P1542S, OR: 1.09, 95% CI: 1.04-1.15, P=0.0002082) (Figure 1). We used a Bonferroni-corrected P-value of P=0.006 to determine the independence of rs76904798, which is based on the number of LRRK2 coding variants excluding p.G2019S that were examined in this study (Table 1), two of which (p.N551K-p.R1398H) represent the same allele (0.05/9=0.006). We also confirmed the independent association of rs76904798 by including the allele counts of the relatively rare LRRK2 coding variants as covariates in a logistic regression model (OR: 1.11, 95% CI: 1.06-1.15, P=9.90e-07) (Supplementary Table 7).

There were no other independent signals in the LRRK2 region that reached genome-wide significance (P < 5e-8). The association of the 3’ intergenic variant rs190807041 disappears after conditioning on p.G2019S, and the 5’ signal driven by non-coding LRRK2 variation explains the associations of both rs76904798 and the proximal intronic variant rs1491942 with PD risk (Figure 2).

To better understand the role of coding variation at the LRRK2 locus in PD, we performed a meta-analysis excluding carriers of p.G2019S and rs76904798, representing the two independent GWAS signals at the locus. In total, there were 12,504 PD cases (total removed: 29.9%, p.G2019S carriers: 1.4%, rs76904798 carriers: 28.8%), 9,679 proxy-cases (total removed: 27.8%, p.G2019S carriers: 0.2%, rs76904798 carriers: 27.6%) and 127,254 controls (total removed: 26.7%, p.G2019S carriers: 0.1%, rs76904798 carriers: 26.6%) in the conditional analysis. After removing carriers of p.G2019S and rs76904798, there were no LRRK2 variants that reached genome-wide significance. The results of the association analyses for the LRRK2 variants examined in this study are presented in Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3, and forest plots are presented in and Figures 1-6 and 8-12. Forest plots of LRRK2 p.K1423K, which is in high LD with p.N551K-p.R1398H, and rs10847864 (HIP1R GWAS signal) are presented in Supplementary Figures 13 and 14, respectively. The association results for all LRRK2 missense variants are presented in Supplementary Table 8.
With this sample size, we had ~80% power to detect genotype relative risks ≥ 1.16 and ≥ 1.44 based on the minor allele frequencies (MAF) of p.M1646T and p.N2081D, respectively, in the conditional analysis (Table 1, Supplementary Figures 15 and 16). Likewise, we had ~80% power to detect genotype relative risks ≤ 0.93 based on the MAF of p.N551K and p.R1398H in the conditional analysis (Table 1, Supplementary Figures 17 and 18). This calculation is based on the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold of P=0.006.

None of the LRRK2 variants examined in this study passed Bonferroni multiple test correction after excluding carriers of p.G2019S and rs76904798, including the previously PD-linked variants p.N551K (OR_condi: 0.93, 95% CI: 0.88-0.99, P=0.01506), p.R1398H (OR_condi: 0.93, 95% CI: 0.87-0.98, P=0.01029), p.M1646T (OR_condi: 1.16, 95% CI: 1.04-1.3, P=0.00659) and p.N2081D (OR_condi: 1.2, 95% CI: 0.91-1.61, P=0.2054) (Table 2, Supplementary Figures 1-4). LocusZoom plots of the stepwise conditional analysis are presented in Figure 2. However, p.N551K, p.R1398H and p.M1646T retained Bonferroni-corrected significance when p.G2019S and rs76904798 allele counts were included as covariates in a logistic regression model, though they still did not meet genome-wide significance (Supplementary Table 7). We also performed a meta-analysis excluding carriers of p.N2081D and p.G2019S since rs76904798 is often co-inherited with p.N2081D (Supplementary Figure 19). After solely removing p.G2019S carriers, LRRK2 p.N551K, p.R1398H, p.M1646T and p.N2081D all passed Bonferroni multiple test correction (Supplementary Table 3).

**Rare LRRK2 missense variants are co-inherited with rs76904798**

To further investigate the effects of excluding rs76904798 carriers on the allele distribution of LRRK2 coding variants, we calculated the percentage of carriers that were removed after excluding carriers of p.G2019S and rs76904798 in our datasets, as shown in Supplementary Table 4. We found that 86.64% of p.N2081D carriers and 79.07% of p.R1514Q carriers were excluded after removing carriers of rs76904798 from all 15 datasets. Only 0.19% of p.N2081D carriers and 0.08% of p.R1514Q carriers were excluded after removing p.G2019S carriers, all of which also carried rs76904798. Conversely, only 10.72% of rs76904798 carriers were excluded after removing p.N2081D carriers. Since the 5' variant rs76904798 remained associated with PD after removing carriers of p.G2019S and p.N2081D (Supplementary Figure 8), the GWAS signal represented by rs76904798 is independent of p.N2081D and the previous significance reported for p.N2081D might be due to the rs76904798 signal.

We also found significant differences in the allele distributions of p.R1514Q, p.N2081D and p.S1647T after removing rs76904798 carriers from the IPDGC case, UK Biobank case, and proxy-case datasets (Supplementary Table 5). Although p.S1647T was significantly enriched among non-carriers of rs76904798, this variant was not associated with PD and around 17% of carriers were excluded after removing rs76904798 carriers. Only around 16% of p.N551K and p.R1398H carriers were excluded after removing rs76904798 carriers, and <1% after removing p.G2019S carriers. The complete results of the co-inheritance analysis are presented in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5, and the frequencies and allele distributions of the LRRK2 variants examined in this study are presented in Supplementary Table 9.
Discussion

It has been established that both rare and common variation at the \textit{LRRK2} locus can influence PD susceptibility. Several large GWASes and meta-analyses have pointed to at least two independent association signals, represented by p.G2019S and 5’ non-coding variation, that reach genome-wide significance \cite{15}. However, the literature has often produced conflicting or inconclusive results when it comes to PD risk associated with common variation at the \textit{LRRK2} locus. Interestingly, several \textit{LRRK2} variants have been associated with other disorders, suggesting that there are shared pathological mechanisms that result from genetic changes in \textit{LRRK2}. For example, \textit{LRRK2} p.N2081D has been implicated as a shared risk variant in Crohn’s disease \cite{8} and independent \textit{LRRK2}’5 variation has been shown to be a modifier of progressive supranuclear palsy phenotypes \cite{32}. To refine our understanding of the pattern of \textit{LRRK2} association with PD, we investigated the PD risk associated with \textit{LRRK2} missense variants in a large meta-analysis of European-ancestry individuals.

First, we confirmed the genome-wide associations of p.G2019S and rs76904798 and identified potential associations of four other PD-linked \textit{LRRK2} missense variants (p.N551K, p.R1398H, p.M1646T and p.N2081D), all of which passed multiple test correction. After removing carriers of the common non-coding variant rs76904798, we found that these missense variants do not pass Bonferroni correction, whereas they retained Bonferroni-corrected significance when rs76904798 was included as a covariate in a logistic regression model. These data therefore do not exclude the possibility that the putative protective haplotype p.N551K-p.R1398H and proposed risk factor p.M1646T are independently associated with PD from rs76904798. These variants, however, did not meet genome-wide significance in our study despite including a very large sample size.

In a recent analysis of whole-genome sequencing data from the Accelerating Medicines Partnership-Parkinson’s Disease (AMP-PD) cohort, Bryant et al found that the 5’ variant rs76904798 was associated with \textit{LRRK2} p.R1514Q and p.N2081D \cite{33}. We confirmed the co-inheritance of these variants with rs76904798 in a dataset that is ~20 times larger and also found a significant difference in the allele distribution of p.S1647T after removing rs76904798 carriers. Our results suggest that any association between p.N2081D and PD is very likely due to linkage disequilibrium with rs76904798. Bryant et al suggested that p.N2081D may be important in mediating disease risk associated with the 5’ non-coding variation. However, we establish here that rs76904798 is independently associated with PD from p.N2081D and therefore the role of p.N2081D in PD pathogenesis needs to be explored further and potentially reclassified.

Several \textit{LRRK2} variants have been implicated in mechanisms that are relevant to PD pathogenesis. Previous studies of the p.G2019S mutation have shown that increased \textit{LRRK2} kinase activity, typically estimated to be ~2 fold compared to wild-type, has negative effects on neuronal survival \cite{34–37}. Both p.N2081D and p.M1646T are also associated with increased kinase activity compared to wild-type \textit{LRRK2} \cite{5,38}, although the effect size is modest compared to p.G2019S. Despite this evidence, it is possible that prior data using transfection of plasmids in
HEK293T cells are unable to disambiguate the effects of p.N2081D and rs76904798 as they are often present on the same haplotype. LRRK2 p.M1646T has also been associated with increased glucocerebrosidase activity with a larger effect than p.G2019S 30. Additionally, LRRK2 p.R1398H has been shown to affect GTPase and Wnt signaling activity in the opposite manner of pathogenic LRRK2 mutations 8,39–41. A recent study has shown that both p.R1398H and p.N551K were able to counteract the putative pathogenic effects of p.G2019S in Drosophila models 42. The literature therefore suggests that each of these variants have measurable effects on protein function in cells and in vivo that are consistent with proposed direction of effects for risk vs protection. However, the relation between the functional impact and risk for PD needs to be further investigated for these coding variants.

Previous studies have also implicated the common LRRK2 variant p.M2397T in several disorders. Although this variant does not appear to affect kinase activity 36, the allele M2397 has been reported to lower LRRK2 abundance due to protein destabilization 43, which has been linked to enhanced inflammatory responses in both Crohn’s disease 43,44 and leprosy 45,46. Despite the fact that p.M2397T has demonstrated a protective association with PD in a study consisting of 573 Taiwanese cases 31, and with MSA—a disease which shares many clinical and pathological features with PD—in a study consisting of 177 neuropathologically confirmed cases from America and the UK 47, we did not find any evidence that p.M2397T was associated with PD in this study. It is possible that previous studies linking LRRK2 p.M2397T with PD and MSA were underpowered due to relatively small sample size, and further investigation in larger and diverse cohorts is warranted.

We note several limitations with this study. First, our use of imputed genotype data limits the accuracy of some genotypes, though very high concordance of the LRRK2 coding variants with whole-genome and whole-exome sequence data shows that the imputation of these variants was highly accurate. Second, although we included a large amount of data in our meta-analysis, removing over one quarter of the samples in the conditional analysis limited our statistical power to detect less common small effect (OR ~1.2) variants. Therefore, we cannot exclude that relatively common coding variants have a very small effect resulting in increased or decreased risk for PD. Further studies exploring the cumulative effects of common small effect variants could enhance our understanding of their genetic burden and should take into account the complex linkage disequilibrium structure of the LRRK2 region. Third, our analysis was limited to individuals of European ancestry, so the results of this study may not extend beyond European-ancestry populations. In depth studies of PD-linked genes in diverse cohorts will help clarify the associations discussed here as well as broader disease mechanisms.

In conclusion, here we provide insights into the relationship between coding and non-coding variation at the LRRK2 locus and demonstrate that the 5’ LRRK2 non-coding GWAS signal represented by rs76904798 is independently associated with PD risk from LRRK2 coding variation. These coding variants are therefore unlikely to drive the LRRK2 GWAS signal in individuals of European ancestry and require additional genetic and functional studies to clarify their potential impact on disease state. Characterizing the PD susceptibility associated with
LRRK2 genetic variation is critical for anticipating disease progression and response to LRRK2-targeted therapeutics.
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Figures

Figure 2. LocusZoom plot of LRRK2 association with Parkinson’s disease risk. The top left panel shows the association signal at the LRRK2 locus in the IPDGC and UK Biobank meta-analysis. The top right, bottom left and bottom right panels condition on p.G2019S, rs76904798, and both p.G2019S and rs76904798, respectively. The LRRK2 variants p.N551K, p.R1398H, p.M1646T, p.N2081D, p.G2019S and rs76904798 are indicated by red dots unless the specified variant(s) were used in the conditional analysis.
Tables

Table 1. LRRK2 variants examined in this study.

All LRRK2 missense variants with frequency > 0.001 in the IPDGC, UK Biobank case-control and proxy-control datasets, in addition to rs76904798, p.G2019S, and the independent chromosome 12 signal in HIP1R (rs10847864). MarkerName denotes the chromosome and base pair position with respect to reference assembly GRCh37/hg19. REF and ALT denote the reference and alternate alleles used in the association analysis. MAF Unconditioned and MAF Conditioned denote the minor allele frequency from the combined IPDGC and UK Biobank datasets used in the unconditioned and conditioned (Δ p.G2019S Δ rs76904798) analyses, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MarkerName (hg19)</th>
<th>REF</th>
<th>ALT</th>
<th>RS-ID</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Protein Consequence</th>
<th>MAF Unconditioned</th>
<th>MAF Conditioned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:40614434</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>rs76904798</td>
<td>intergenic</td>
<td>LINC02471;LRRK2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.1451</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40629436</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>rs33995463</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>L119P</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40657700</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>rs7308720</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>N551K</td>
<td>0.0667</td>
<td>0.0767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40671989</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>rs10878307</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>I723V</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
<td>0.0787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40702911</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>rs7133914</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>R1398H</td>
<td>0.0692</td>
<td>0.0794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40707778</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>rs35507033</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>R1514Q</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40707861</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>rs33958906</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>P1542S</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.0338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40713899</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>rs35303786</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>M1646T</td>
<td>0.0169</td>
<td>0.0196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40713901</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>rs11564148</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>S1647T</td>
<td>0.2979</td>
<td>0.3442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40734202</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>rs34637584</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>G2019S</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40740686</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>rs33995883</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>N2081D</td>
<td>0.0168</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:40758652*</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>rs3761863</td>
<td>exonic</td>
<td>LRRK2</td>
<td>M2397T</td>
<td>0.3318</td>
<td>0.3536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:407326598*</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>rs10847864</td>
<td>intronic</td>
<td>HIP1R</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.3563</td>
<td>0.3558</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Marker in independent chromosome 12 signal region.
### Table 2. Association results of LRRK2 variants.

Odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals and P-values from the IPDGC and UK Biobank meta-analyses are reported for all LRRK2 variants examined in this study and the independent chromosome 12 signal in HIP1R (rs10847864). Heterozygosity estimates are based on a meta-analysis of the unconditioned datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs76904798</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.12 (1.08-1.16)</td>
<td>4.01E-09</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.11 (1.07-1.16)</td>
<td>1.40E-07</td>
<td>23.793</td>
<td>0.04853</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs33995463</td>
<td>L119P</td>
<td>0.94 (0.69-1.29)</td>
<td>0.7223</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.64-1.29</td>
<td>0.5976</td>
<td>0.66-1.25</td>
<td>0.5521</td>
<td>5.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7308720</td>
<td>N551K</td>
<td>0.9 (0.85-0.95)</td>
<td>1.13E-04</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.88-0.99</td>
<td>0.01506</td>
<td>0.86-0.96</td>
<td>4.55E-04</td>
<td>8.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10878307</td>
<td>I723V</td>
<td>1 (0.95-1.06)</td>
<td>0.8648</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.97-1.09</td>
<td>0.3545</td>
<td>0.98-1.08</td>
<td>0.3042</td>
<td>23.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7139914</td>
<td>R1398H</td>
<td>0.9 (0.86-0.95)</td>
<td>1.31E-04</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.87-0.98</td>
<td>0.01029</td>
<td>0.86-0.96</td>
<td>6.92E-04</td>
<td>7.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs35507033</td>
<td>R1514 Q</td>
<td>1.13 (0.98-1.31)</td>
<td>0.1002</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.66-1.47</td>
<td>0.9402</td>
<td>0.98-1.32</td>
<td>0.08066</td>
<td>9.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs33958906</td>
<td>P1542S</td>
<td>0.93 (0.86-1.01)</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.88-1.05</td>
<td>0.4304</td>
<td>0.88-1.04</td>
<td>0.2771</td>
<td>17.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs35303786</td>
<td>M1646T</td>
<td>1.18 (1.07-1.3)</td>
<td>9.15E-04</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.04-1.3</td>
<td>6.59E-03</td>
<td>1.08-1.32</td>
<td>6.32E-04</td>
<td>21.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs11564148</td>
<td>S1647T</td>
<td>0.99 (0.96-1.02)</td>
<td>0.4799</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.96-1.03</td>
<td>0.7366</td>
<td>0.95-1.01</td>
<td>0.1157</td>
<td>10.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs34637584</td>
<td>G2019S</td>
<td>9.02 (6.14-13.25)</td>
<td>3.66E-29</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs33995883</td>
<td>N2081D</td>
<td>1.18 (1.07-1.29)</td>
<td>6.59E-04</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9-1.61</td>
<td>0.2054</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>17.291</td>
<td>0.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs3761863</td>
<td>M2397T</td>
<td>1.01 (0.98-1.04)</td>
<td>0.5904</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1-1.07</td>
<td>0.03988</td>
<td>0.98-1.04</td>
<td>0.7049</td>
<td>20.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs10847864</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.1 (1.07-1.13)</td>
<td>8.76E-11</td>
<td>1.09 (1.06-1.13)</td>
<td>1.65E-07</td>
<td>1.1 (1.06-1.13)</td>
<td>3.42E-10</td>
<td>32.267</td>
<td>3.67E-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>